

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Effects of developmental and adult environments on ageing

Citation for published version:

Sanghvi, K, Iglesias-Carrasco, M, Zajitschek, F, Kruuk, LEB & Head, ML 2022, 'Effects of developmental and adult environments on ageing', *Évolution*, vol. 76, no. 8, pp. 1868-1882. https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.14567

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1111/evo.14567

Link: Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In: Evolution

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.





Effects of developmental and adult environments on ageing

Krish Sanghvi,^{1,2} Maider Iglesias-Carrasco,¹ Felix Zajitschek,³ Loeske E. B. Kruuk,¹ and Megan L. Head¹ ¹Reserach School of Biology, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia

²E-mail: Krishsangvi2007@gmail.com

³School of Biology Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia

Received October 15, 2021 Accepted June 28, 2022

Developmental and adult environments can interact in complex ways to influence the fitness of individuals. Most studies investigating effects of the environment on fitness focus on environments experienced and traits expressed at a single point in an organism's life. However, environments vary with time, so the effects of the environments that organisms experience at different ages may interact to affect how traits change throughout life. Here, we test whether thermal stress experienced during development leads individuals to cope better with thermal stress as adults. We manipulated temperature during both development and adulthood and measured a range of life-history traits, including senescence, in male and female seed beetles (*Callosobruchus maculatus*). We found that thermal stress during development reduced adult reproductive performance of females. In contrast, life span and age-dependent mortality were affected more by adult than developmental environments, with high adult temperatures decreasing longevity and increasing age-dependent mortality. Aside from an interaction between developmental and adult environments to affect age-dependent changes in male weight, we did not find any evidence of a beneficial acclimation response to developmental thermal stress. Overall, our results show that effects of developmental and adult environments can be both sex and trait specific, and that a full understanding of how environments interact to affect fitness and ageing requires the integrated study of conditions experienced during different stages of ontogeny.

KEY WORDS: Beneficial acclimation, *Callosobruchus maculatus*, developmental stress, matching environments, phenotypic plasticity, senescence.

Early life conditions can act directly on developing phenotypes and in consequence can have both immediate and long-lasting effects on a range of fitness-related traits (van de Pol et al, 2006; Frankenhuis et al, 2019). For example, various studies have shown that individuals that experience a favorable developmental environment have increased performance as adults compared to individuals that experience poor developmental conditions (e.g., Descamps et al, 2008; Grafen, 1988; Klepsatel et al, 2019, 2020; Madsen and Shine, 2000; Monaghan, 2008; Müller et al., 2016; Sanghvi et al, 2021; Wong and Kölliker, 2014). These responses can sometimes be due to changes in developmental resources, as seen in the "silver-spoon effect" (Grafen, 1988; Monaghan, 2008), or could be due to changes in developopmental stress (henceforth referred to as "developmental stress response"). The effects of developmental environments on fitness are also expected to depend on conditions experienced during adulthood (Gluckman et al, 2005, Monaghan, 2008). It has been suggested that individuals experiencing certain conditions during development may adjust their phenotype to improve performance when exposed to the same conditions as adults ("environmental matching" or "predictive adaptive response") (Bateson et al, 2014). Under this scenario, developmental conditions shape the phenotype in response to predicted adult conditions, so that fitness is maximized when environments experienced during development and adulthood match (Bateson et al, 2014; Beaman et al, 2016; Cleal et al, 2007; Hayward and Lummaa, 2013). A special case of the environmental matching hypothesis, the "beneficial acclimation" response (Huey et al, 1999; Woods and Harrison, 2002), deals explicitly with stressful developmental and adult

© 2022 The Authors. *Evolution* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of The Society for the Study of Evolution. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. *Evolution* 76-8: 1868–1882 temperatures. It predicts that stressful temperatures experienced during development acclimate individuals such that they perform better when they also experience these stressful temperatures as adults, compared to individuals that only experience thermal stress as adults and not during development (e.g., Bahrndorff et al, 2016; Deere and Chown, 2006; Kellermann et al, 2017; Kristensen et al., 2008; Scharf, Galkin et al, 2015; Scott and Johnston, 2012). Another hypothesis that predicts that adult environments are important for determining later life fitness consequences of developmental environments is the "environmental saturation" hypothesis (Engqvist and Reinhold 2016; Pigeon et al, 2019). This hypothesis predicts that in favorable adult environments, all individuals will perform well regardless of their developmental environment, and likewise, that all individuals will perform poorly in bad adult environments. Thus, effects of developmental environments on adult phenotypes are only evident in intermediate adult environments (e.g., Pigeon et al, 2019).

Empirical evidence from studies considering how developmental and adult environments interact to affect adult traits is not clear cut, and indicates that the various hypotheses invoked to explain the relationships between phenotypic and environmental variation are not mutually exclusive (Pigeon et al, 2019). For instance, some studies find evidence for beneficial environmental matching (Duxbury and Chapman, 2020), whereas others find other types of interactive (Briga et al, 2017), or only additive (Kleinteich et al, 2015), effects of exposure to poor conditions during both development and adulthood. Additional complexity to the tangled interactions between developmental and adult life conditions arises from the fact that responses to environmental stimuli can be trait and sex dependent (e.g., Duxbury and Chapman, 2020; Helle et al, 2012; Krause et al, 2017; Min et al, 2020; Pigeon et al, 2019; Santos et al, 2021; Scharf, Braf et al, 2015; Stillwell and Fox, 2005). For example, in cichlids reproductive rate is determined only by nutrition during development, whereas adult growth rate is determined only by nutrition in the adult stage, and clutch size is determined by both developmental and adult life nutrition (Taborsky, 2006). Differences in the way the environment affects different traits may result from energetic and physiological constraints acting on life-history traits (Partridge and Silby 1991), as well as the necessity to allocate resources across traits. Furthermore, differences between males and females in their life-histories and mating strategies mean that selection might favor males and females to respond differently to the same environment (Ceballos and Valenzuela 2011; Maklakov et al, 2009; Stillwell et al. 2010), possibly by selecting for sex-specific pace of life syndromes (Immonen et al, 2018). For example, in seed beetles, males and females respond differently to the presence and density of competitors during the larval stage, leading to sex-specific differences in a variety of lifehistory traits (Iglesias-Carrasco et al 2020; Sanghvi et al, 2021).

Research shows that in addition to influencing the absolute expression of traits, the environment can also influence how traits change over an individual's life, and specifically how they deteriorate with advancing age (i.e., how they senesce) (Balbontín and Møller, 2015; Nussey et al, 2007). Senescence occurs as a consequence of relaxed selection on fitness-related traits in older individuals due to trade-offs between life-history components (Rose and Charlesworth, 1980; Stearns, 1989). However, the rate at which individuals age may depend on a range of factors such as their sex and external environment (e.g., Sanghvi et al, 2021). Although there is some support for favorable developmental conditions leading to slower reproductive and survival senescence (Hayward, Wilson et al, 2013, Cooper and Kruuk, 2018, Sanghvi et al, 2021), an alternative hypothesis suggests that individuals experiencing good environments may senesce faster due to increased investment in growth and reproduction when young (Adler et al, 2016; Hooper et al 2017; Hunt et al, 2004; Spagopoulou et al., 2020). Additionally, senescence can also depend on the interactions between developmental and adult environments, as seen in studies that test for compensatory growth. Here, organisms that experience poor developmental environments increase their investment in growth in favorable adult environments, although at the cost of increased mortality (Dmitriew and Rowe, 2007; Metcalfe and Monaghan, 2001). Although recent research has begun investigating how interactions between developmental and adult environments affect survival and reproductive senescence (Duxbury and Chapman, 2020; Min et al, 2020; Zajitschek et al, 2009), the results do not clearly support one hypothesis (developmental stress response, beneficial acclimation. or environmental saturation).

Here, we test for interactions between effects of heat stress experienced during development and adulthood on life-history traits in male and female seed beetles (Callosobruchus maculatus). In seed beetles, hot developmental temperatures have been shown to reduce larval survival, emergence weight, and testes size (Fox et al, 2011; Stillwell and Fox, 2005; Stillwell and Fox, 2007; Stillwell et al, 2007; Vasudeva et al, 2014), whereas hot adult temperatures have been shown to reduce female fecundity and overall fitness (Stillwell and Fox, 2005). Despite these widespread effects, the exact mechanism by which thermal environments experienced at different stages interact to affect age-dependent reproduction, survival, and weight in seed beetles is unknown. We measured survival in both sexes, reproductive success in females, and weight in males, and tested for senescence in each of these. We considered weight in males over more direct measures of reproductive fitness (which are also more difficult to obtain) because weight is highly correlated with a range of fitness traits in seed beetle males, such as ejaculate size (Savalli and Fox, 1999; Vasudeva et al, 2014) and survival (Tatar et al, 1993).

Temperature is known to be crucial in determining lifehistory traits, including senescence, and physiology of ectotherms (Zuo et al, 2012) and is often manipulated in studies that test for effects of stressful developmental conditions (e.g., Scharf, Braf et al, 2015), matching environments (e.g., Min et al, 2020), and beneficial acclimation (e.g., Geister and Fischer, 2007; Leroi et al, 1994). Studies like ours, which manipulate temperature at various life stages to understand phenotypic change, are crucial if we wish to model how climate change will affect life histories of animals. Because seed beetles are not obligated to eat or drink during adulthood (Beck and Blumer, 2014), it makes them a model species for taxa that cannot compensate for a stressful developmental environment by feeding or drinking more during adulthood. High temperatures can desiccate insects; thus, such desiccating effects of thermal stress would be exacerbated in species such as seed beetles that do not drink as adults.

Methods

ORIGIN AND MAINTENANCE OF STUDY POPULATION

Our stock population of *C. maculatus* was sourced in 2017 from stock kept at the University of Western Australia (see Dougherty et al. [2017] for maintenance details). Once in our lab, stock was maintained for 14–16 generations on cowpea beans (*Vigna unguiculata*) at 24–28°C and 20%–40% relative humidity. Neither stock nor experimental beetles were provided with food or water as adults, as is the norm for seed beetle experiments.

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

To test the effects of developmental and adult temperatures on life-history traits and senescence, we used a split-brood full-sib 2×2 factorial design in which beetles were assigned to either "ancestral" (i.e., relatively cooler) temperatures (23–25°C) or "hot" temperatures (33–36°C) during development, and then ancestral or hot temperatures as adults. The ancestral temperature was at the lower end of the temperature range in which the stock had been raised for over 14–16 generations. The hot temperature was a novel and unfavorable environment (see *Results*) for this population.

To breed experimental beetles, we collected 86 male and 86 female virgin seed beetles from 150 isolated stock beans, within an hour from when they emerged. Virgin females were randomly paired with virgin males for mating and then given 20– 30 beans on which to lay eggs (at 24°C), so that each parental pair contributed a maximum of 30 offspring to our experiment (see Supporting Information A). Seed beetle females can lay many more than 30 eggs per day (Sanghvi et al, 2021), thus limiting their eggs laying in early life could possibly affect their late-life offspring. Although, because in our study, we only collected offspring from parental females on the first 2 days of eggs laying, we do not think that limiting egg laying of parental females would impact our results. We checked beans for eggs every few hours in the day and removed beans from the petri dish whenever they had an egg laid on them. Extra eggs (i.e., >1 egg per bean), when present, were scraped off prior to hatching and it was ensured visually during emergence that each bean had only one beetle developing in it. Beans with a single egg laid on them were transferred to individual Eppendorf tubes and then randomly assigned to a hot (33-36°C) or to an ancestral (23-25°C) developmental temperature for incubation until adults emerged. On the day of emergence, beetles were weighed (to the nearest 0.01 mg) using a Sartorius Cubis microbalance, and their developmental time (in days) and sex were recorded. Beetles were then assigned to either the hot or ancestral adult temperature treatment, in which they remained until they died. This generated four treatments: ancestral developmental and ancestral adult (AA), ancestral developmental and hot adult (AH), hot developmental and ancestral adult (HA), and hot developmental and hot adult (HH) temperatures (see Table S1 for sample sizes).

Experimental males were kept in their Eppendorf tubes and weighed every second day. Experimental females were individually mated with a single male from the stock population on the day of their emergence, irrespective of their emergence durations. To ensure that females throughout our experiment mated (rather than just being mounted but not copulated by a male), we observed whether the female kicked the male with her hind legs to end copulation (Berger et al, 2016; Wilson and Tomkins, 2014). If she did not, we paired her with the same male again after ~ 20 min, after which all females copulated. After copulation, females were then transferred to a Petri dish and given 15 new beans each day to lay eggs on. Beans with eggs laid on them were stored in plastic bags, and frozen the next day, at -20°C for counting later. Both sexes were checked daily for survival and their adult life span was recorded. The experiment was conducted over three experimental blocks run at different times (Block 1: 26 families; Blocks 2 and 3: 30 families each). The individuals used in the three experimental blocks came from three successive generations of stock beetles (i.e., blocks 1, 2, and 3, correspond to generations 14, 15, and 16, respectively). Some experimental beetles escaped, were killed accidentally, or could not have their sex identified accurately during the experiment, and were therefore excluded from analyses of reproduction, weight, and life span (57 excluded out of 1381 beetles). The assignment of beetles to the developmental and adult treatments was done such that each sex from each of the 86 families was approximately evenly distributed across the four treatments. The observer was blinded to the treatment of beetles during data collection to avoid bias.

We collected data on the following age-dependent traits: emergence success, development time, emergence weight, adult life span, female fertility, and female life span reproductive success. We also measured age-dependent mortality of beetles, female age-dependent (measured daily) fecundity, and male age-dependent weight (measured every 2 days). Details and full definitions of all traits as well as details of how these traits were modeled are given in Table 1.

DATA ANALYSIS

To determine the effects of developmental and adult temperature as well as their interaction on the life-history traits including senescence, we used Generalised Linear Mixed-effects Models (GLMM) or Linear Mixed-effects Models (LMM) as appropriate. All analyses were conducted in R version 3.5.2 (R Development Core Team, 2011) and models were built using the *lme4* (Bates et al, 2015), *coxme* (Therneau, 2014), and *glmmTMB* (Magnusson et al, 2017) packages. Model details for each trait are given in Table 1 and in Section B of the Supporting Information. All models contained experimental block as a three-level fixed effect and beetle family (i.e., full-sibling groups) as a random effect unless mentioned otherwise.

For traits measured prior to the assignment of adult treatments (i.e., emergence success, development time, and emergence weight), we included developmental temperature in the model as a fixed effect. For traits measured after beetles were assigned to adult temperatures (i.e., adult life span, female fertility, female lifetime reproductive success [LRS], age-dependent [daily] female fecundity, age-dependent male weight, and agedependent mortality), we included both developmental and adult temperatures as fixed effects. For all traits that were measured on both sexes (i.e., development time, emergence weight, adult life span, age-dependent mortality), we included sex and its interaction with the temperature variables as a fixed effect to allow us to test for sex-specific responses (Garcia-Sifuentes and Maney, 2021). For traits measured at multiple ages (i.e., age-dependent female fecundity and age-dependent male weight), the age (days) at which the measurements were taken was included as a fixed effect (covariate).

For age-dependent female fecundity and age-dependent male weight, we additionally included each individual's adult life span as a fixed effect in the models to account for selective disappearance (van de Pol and Verhulst, 2006). Further, for male and female life span we included emergence weight as a covariate because larger seed beetles have been previously shown to live longer (Fox et al, 2003). For age-dependent weight of males, emergence weight was also included as an interaction with age, because males at different ages could be affected by their emergence weight in different ways. For models where repeated measurements were made on the same individual, that is, agedependent female fecundity and age-dependent male weight, we added a random effect of beetle ID to avoid pseudoreplication.

In general, we started with a "full model" that included two- and three-way interactions between developmental temperature, adult temperature, sex, and age. Specifically, in the full model, three-way interactions were fit whenever developmental and adult temperatures interacted either with sex (to test for sexspecific effects) or age (to test for effects on senescence), whereas two-way interactions were fit whenever developmental temperatures interacted with sex. These "full models" were used to interpret the highest order level interactions (three- or two-way, depending on the fixed effects included). To then interpret lower order interactions (i.e., two-way interactions when the full model had three-way interactions) and the main effects of fixed effects, we fitted models with the higher order level interactions removed (i.e., a model with just two-way interactions and main effects or a model with just main effects, respectively). This was done so that parameter estimates would reflect the overall influence of these effects, averaged across all levels of other variables (Engqvist, 2005).

We modeled female age-dependent (daily) fecundity with a negative binomial error distribution (using package *glmmTMB*) because females did not lay any eggs on most days. This model fitted random intercepts of different females and different families and random slopes to allow the effects of age to vary between families and females (henceforth called "Global model"). To test for the presence of (broad-sense) heritability in female age-dependent fecundity, we compared this "Global model" to a model without any random effects of family (either intercepts or slopes) using Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) and log-likelihood ratio tests with the *anova* function in the *stats* package.

We explored the effects of age and environment on male weight further, for males that experienced hot adult temperatures. This was done to test whether males from AH treatments had a faster rate of age-dependent weight loss than males from HH treatments, which would possibly indicate a beneficial acclimation effect.

For all linear models, residuals were checked visually to ensure they met assumptions of normality and homoscedasticity. When they did not, the response variable was transformed (see Table 1). To test for overdispersion in our models, we used the function *simulateResiduals* in the package *DHARMa* (Hartig 2020). There was evidence for overdispersion (in female LRS), so we additionally fitted an observation-level random effect (Harrison 2014). Wherever appropriate, effect sizes were calculated as "Hedge's g" for all two-group comparisons (following eqs. 1 and 2 in Nakagawa and Cuthill, 2007) to indicate

Random Effects	Family	Family	Family	Family	None	Family, observation	Age Family and Age ID as random effects)	Family, Individual ID	Family
Fixed Effects	DevT. + Block	Sex \times DevT. + Block	Sex \times DevT. + Block	Sex × DevT. × AdultT. + Emer- gence weight + Block	DevT. × AdultT. + Block	DevT. × AdultT. + Adult Life span + Block	DevT. × AdultT. × Age (and Age ²) + Adult Life Span + Block	DevT. × AdultT. × Age (and Age ²) + Age × Emergence weight + Adult Life Span + Block	Sex \times DevT. \times AdultT. + Block
Data Transformation (LMM)/Link Function (GLMM)	Logit	Power $((y^{\lambda} - 1)/\lambda)$ $\lambda = -0.14141$			Logit	Log_e (y)	Log_e (y)	None	None
Error Distribution	Binomial	Gaussian	Gaussian	Gaussian	Binomial	Poisson	Negative binomial distribution	Gaussian	
Model Type	GLMM	LMM	LMM	LMM	GLM	GLMM	GLMM	LMM	Cox proportional hazards
Definition	Likelihood of adults emerging from a bean with an egg laid on it	Number of days between the laying of an egg and the emergence of an adult	Weight (mg) of beetle on the day of emergence	Number of days between the emergence of an individual and its death	Likelihood that a female laid at least one egg in her lifetime	LRS: total number of eggs laid by a female in her lifetime	Number of eggs laid by a female each day, measured since day of emergence throughout her life span	Weight (mg) of males measured every alternate day, since day of emergence, throughout his life span	Likelihood of adult beetles dying at a given adult age
Trait	Emergence success	Development time	Emergence weight	Adult life span	Fertility (females)	Life time reproductive success (females)	Age dependent (daily) fecundity (females)	Age-dependent weight (males)	Age-dependent survival

Table 1. Summary of traits and models used for analyses.

the strength of the effect seen. Given the large number of tests performed in the study, we set the critical α level for *P*-values to 0.01 instead of 0.05.

Results

Our analyses indicated a range of effects of developmental and adult temperature on seed beetle traits. We describe these results below, and give full output for each model in Tables S3–S12.

AGE-INDEPENDENT TRAITS

Emergence rate

Hot developmental temperature reduced the emergence success of beetles (z = -14.853, P < 0.001; Table S3): 78% of eggs emerged as adult beetles from the ancestral developmental temperature, whereas 49% emerged as adult beetles from the hot developmental temperature.

Developmental time

Hot developmental temperatures accelerated developmental times of beetles. Specifically, beetles that survived to emergence that had experienced hot developmental temperatures had a shorter development time than those experiencing ancestral developmental temperatures (DF = 1337, t = -94.522, P < 0.001; Table S4), with both males and females being affected in a similar way (DF = 1337, t = -0.731, P = 0.465; Table S4) (mean \pm SE for Hot developmental temperatures: males = 23.1 ± 0.1 , females = 23.5 ± 0.2 days; Ancestral developmental temperatures: males = 37.8 ± 0.2 , females = 37.99 ± 0.2 days; Hedge's g: males = 4.41, females = 4.962).

Emergence weight

Overall, hot developmental temperatures lead to the emergence of lighter beetles. There was a significant interaction of developmental temperature and sex on the emergence weight of beetles (DF = 1327, t = 12.710, P < 0.001; Table S5), whereas on average, beetles showed a decrease in emergence weight when developing in hot temperature (DF = 1328, t = -18.837, P < 0.001; Table S5): this reduction in weight was more severe for females than for males (Fig. S1; Hedge's g: males = 0.436, females = 1.4) (mean ± SE for males: 3.510 ± 0.030 in hot developmental, and 3.750 ± 0.030 in ancestral developmental temperatures; for females: 4.710 ± 0.039 mg in hot developmental temperatures).

Adult life span

Hot developmental and hot adult temperatures had contrasting effects on adult life span. Overall, adult life span was not affected by the three-way interaction between developmental temperature,



Figure 1. The effects of developmental and adult temperatures on female (red) and male (blue) adult life span (raw data): *ancestral developmental and ancestral adult* (AA), *ancestral developmental and hot adult* (AH), *hot developmental and hot adult* (HH), and *hot developmental and ancestral adult* (HA) temperatures. Median, interquartile ranges (5%, 25%, 50%, 75%, and 95%) presented.

adult temperature, and sex (DF = 1253, t = -0.691, P = 0.490; Table S6; Fig. 1). Nor was it affected by the two-way interaction between developmental and adult temperature (DF = 1254, t = -1.297, P = 0.195) or between developmental temperature and sex (DF = 1298, t = -1.283, P = 0.2). However, there was a significant effect of the interaction between adult temperature and sex on life span (DF = 1251, t = -14.781, P < 0.001; Table S6). Specifically, hot adult temperatures on average decreased life span of beetles compared to ancestral temperatures (DF = 1259, t = -51.097, P < 0.001), but this decrease was greater for males than for females (Fig. S2; Males: Hedge's g = 4.820; Females: Hedge's g = 2.493). In contrast, hot developmental temperatures on average increased adult life span of beetles compared to ancestral developmental temperatures (DF = 1304, t = 4.3, P < 0.001; Table S6; Males: Hedge's g = 0.10; Females: Hedge's g = 0.06).

Female fertility

Females that developed in hot temperatures were less likely to be fertile than females that developed in ancestral temperatures (15.5% of females from the hot developmental temperature did not lay any eggs compared to 0.6% of females from the ancestral developmental temperature, z = -5.405, P < 0.001; Table S7). Neither adult temperature on its own (z = -1.557, P = 0.119) nor its interaction with developmental temperature (z = 0.017, P = 0.986) had a significant effect on female fertility.

Female LRS

In general, there were additive effects of hot developmental and hot adult temperatures on female LRS. There was no effect of the interaction between developmental and adult temperatures on



Figure 2. Box plot comparing the median, interquartile ranges (5%, 25%, 50%, 75%, and 95%) of the effects of developmental (DevT) and adult temperature (AdultT) on female lifetime reproductive success (Total_eggs). H = hot temperature; A = ancestral temperature.



Figure 3. The survival probability of adult males from four treatments with increasing age, namely: ancestral developmental and ancestral adult (AA-red), ancestral developmental and hot adult (AH-green), hot developmental and hot adult (HH-purple), and hot developmental and ancestral adult (HA-blue) temperatures, using Kaplan-Meier curves. Shaded regions represent 95% confidence intervals.

female LRS (z = -1.637, P = 0.102). However, both hot developmental (z = -16.223, P < 0.001, Hedge's g = 0.945) and hot adult (z = -10.366, P < 0.001, Hedge's g = 0.241) temperatures independently reduced the LRS of females, compared to ancestral developmental and adult temperatures, respectively (Fig. 2; Table S8).

AGE-DEPENDENT TRAITS

Age-dependent mortality

In general, hot developmental and hot adult temperatures had contrasting effects on age-dependent mortality of beetles. Agedependent mortality was not affected by any of the three- or two-way interactions between sex, developmental temperature, and adult temperature (-1.3 < z < 1.95, all *P*-values >0.05; Table S9; Figs. 3 and 4). Similar to the effects of hot developmental temperature seen on adult life span, beetles that developed in hot temperatures were more likely to have lower age-dependent mortality (i.e., slower rate of survival senescence) than beetles from ancestral developmental temperatures (z = -3.03, P = 0.002). In contrast, beetles that experienced hot adult temperatures had a faster rate of age-dependent mortality than beetles from ancestral adult temperatures (z = 35, P < 0.001).



Figure 4. The survival probability of adult females from four treatments with increasing age, namely: *ancestral developmental and ancestral adult* (AA-red), *ancestral developmental and hot adult* (AH-green), *hot developmental and hot adult* (HH-purple), and *hot developmental and ancestral adult* (HA-blue) temperatures, using Kaplan-Meier curves. Shaded regions represent 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 5. Effects of adult age on female daily fecundity for all four treatments: ancestral developmental and ancestral adult (AA-Purple), ancestral developmental and hot adult (AH-Green), hot developmental and hot adult (HH-Orange), and hot developmental and ancestral adult (HA-Red) temperatures. Shaded regions represent 95% confidence intervals. See Section D in the Supporting Information for an explanation for why females show increased average fecundity in late adult life.

Age-dependent (daily) female fecundity

In general, there were additive effects of hot developmental and hot adult environments on female reproductive senescence. Overall, age-dependent female fecundity was not affected by the three-way interaction between developmental temperature, adult temperature, and age (z = 0.097, P = 0.923) (Fig. 5; Table S10). However, developmental (z = -7.514, P < 0.001) and adult temperatures (z = -8.988, P < 0.001) each interacted with age to affect fecundity of females. Specifically, females that experienced either hot developmental or hot adult temperature showed a faster decline in fecundity with increasing age, compared to females that experienced ancestral developmental or ancestral adult temperatures, respectively. Although females in hot adult temperatures laid a higher number of eggs than females in ancestral adult temperatures in early adult life, the opposite was true for late adult life (Fig. 5). On the other hand, females from ancestral developmental temperatures always laid more eggs than females from hot developmental temperatures, when averaged across the effects of adult temperatures (Fig. 5). The model that allowed slopes and intercepts for age-dependent (daily) fecundity to vary between females and between families (of full-sibs) provided a better fit to the data than the model that did not have a random effect of family and only allowed the intercepts of different females to vary ($\Delta DF = 5$, $\Delta AIC = 414$). This suggests signifi-



Figure 6. Effects of adult age on weight (mg) in males for all four treatments: ancestral developmental and ancestral adult (AA-Purple), ancestral developmental and hot adult (AH-Green), hot developmental and hot adult (HH-Orange), and hot developmental and ancestral adult (HA-Red) temperatures. Shaded regions represent 95% confidence intervals.

cant between-family variation in female reproductive senescence rates.

Age-dependent male-weight

We found some evidence for a beneficial acclimation effect of hot developmental temperature on senescence of male weight. There was a significant effect of the three-way interaction between developmental temperature, adult temperature, and age on male weight (DF = 4745, t = 5.1, P < 0.001; Table S11; Fig. 6). This interaction was due to males that experienced hot temperature during development and adulthood having a lower rate of age-dependent weight decline than males that experienced hot temperatures during adulthood but ancestral developmental temperatures (DF = 1368, t = 7.011, P < 0.001; Table S12). When age-dependent changes in weight were binned by life span (Fig. S3), they showed that heavier individuals lived longer and that male weight decreased throughout the lifetime of males (see Section D in the Supporting Information). This suggests that the apparent increase in average male weight seen in late adult life (evidenced by a significant quadratic effect of age in Table S11 and increase in weight toward the end of life in Fig. 6) is due to selective disappearance of lighter beetles (effect of life span: P < 0.001).

Discussion

Environments experienced during development and adulthood can interact in complex ways to shape adult traits. Consequently, the results of studies testing the effects of exposure to favorable or unfavorable conditions both during the development and adulthood are mixed. To improve our understanding of how heat stress experienced at different life stages affects individual phenotypes, as well as to test whether interactive effects of developmental and adult-life environments affect rates of ageing, we subjected juvenile and adult C. maculatus to a combination of stressful/hot temperatures and benign/ancestral temperatures. We then measured a range of age-independent and age-dependent traits in both males and females. We found that although female reproductive traits were affected negatively by stressful developmental temperature, nonreproductive traits, such as life span and agedependent mortality, were only affected negatively by stressful adult temperature. The only evidence for any interaction between developmental and adult environment was for male weight senescence. Below, we discuss the evidence for and against our two main hypotheses for how environments at different stages affect traits (developmental stress response and beneficial acclimation response). We then discuss the implications of other results we found, namely, sex-specific effects and other general patterns in senescence.

EVIDENCE FOR A RESPONSE TO STRESSFUL DEVELOPMENTAL ENVIRONMENTS

We found that individuals experiencing hot/stressful temperatures during development emerged sooner as adults than those experiencing ancestral temperatures. Such effects of developmental temperature on development time may inform us about how generation times and thus rates of evolution of ectotherms might change due to climate warming (Promislow et al, 2022). Development times of beetles in our study were on average longer compared to those seen in some (e.g., Berger et al., 2016) but not all studies of this species (e.g., Iglesias-Carrasco et al, 2020; Sanghvi et al, 2021), possibly due to lab-specific adaptations of these populations or differing levels of inbreeding. Accelerated development caused by high temperatures came with costs, such as lower body weight at emergence (which is well known to occur in ectotherms: Zuo et al, 2012), and lower emergence success. The lack of developmental stress response for adult life span and age-dependent mortality could be due to hot developmental temperatures causing selective disappearance of poor-quality larvae. This selection at the developmental stage would mean that only larvae of a higher quality would emerge as adults, thus masking the effects of temperature on adult survival.

In females, hot developmental temperatures resulted in reduced reproductive performance (lower LRS and fertility, faster reproductive senescence). This suggests a developmental stress response for female reproduction, where, independent of the adult environment, stressful developmental environments reduced female fitness (see also Cooper and Kruuk [2018] for review; Sanghvi et al, 2021). A possible explanation for this effect is that females experiencing hot developmental temperatures develop faster, emerge at a lower weight (Guntrip et al, 1997), and thus allocate more energy to somatic maintenance than to reproduction (Kirkwood and Austad, 2000; Maklakov and Chapman, 2019). Consequently, females experiencing hot developmental temperatures lay fewer eggs throughout their life, compared to females from ancestral developmental temperatures.

EVIDENCE FOR A RESPONSE TO STRESSFUL ADULT ENVIRONMENTS

When exposed to hot temperatures as adults, females had higher early adult life reproduction but shorter life spans and faster reproductive senescence than females experiencing ancestral adult temperatures. These findings support classic life-history theory (Partridge 1987; Stearns, 1989) that proposes a trade-off between early adult and late adult life reproduction (Reed et al, 2008), and between survival and reproduction (Hammers et al, 2013; Kirkwood and Rose, 1991; Marshall et al, 2017). In the presence of high temperature, such trade-offs appear to be common across taxa including seed beetles (Berger et al, 2017; Kim et al, 2020). An explanation for these patterns could be that females have evolved a "live-fast die-young" life-history strategy to adapt to living in stressful adult environments. In such a strategy, we would expect females from stressful environments to invest more in early life reproduction at the cost of reduced late life reproduction and life spans due to trade-offs between these life-history components (Kirkwood and Rose, 1991; Stearns 1989), than females from favorable environments. Although we saw a similar reduction in adult life span of males from hot adult temperatures, whether this is due to life-history trade-offs, constraints, or an adaptation could be investigated by future studies.

It is also possible that the effects of adult temperature on patterns of female reproduction are not causal, but rather act through female mortality. For instance, if hot temperatures increased expected future mortality rates, this could lead to females terminally investing in early adult life reproduction (Clutton-Brock, 1984). This increased early adult life investment would in turn lead to fewer eggs being available for laying later, which results in a less equal distribution of eggs over a female's life span, leading to faster senescence (e.g., Gribble et al, 2018).

EVIDENCE FOR AN INTERACTION BETWEEN DEVELOPMENTAL AND ADULT ENVIRONMENTS

In general, there was very little indication of significant interactions between developmental and adult environments. However, we found that developmental and adult-life environments interacted significantly to affect age-dependent change in male weight. This interaction suggested that males that experienced hot temperature at both stages showed a significantly slower rate of age-dependent weight loss than males that experienced favorable developmental but hot adult temperatures. This result is consistent with the beneficial acclimation hypothesis (Wilson and Franklin, 2002), which is a form of adaptive plasticity. On the other hand, these differences could also result from selective disappearance of poorer quality beetles during development stage in hot temperatures but not ancestral temperatures.

Previous studies looking at age-dependent traits have not found any evidence for beneficial acclimation effects when manipulating foraging environments (Briga et al, 2019), or diet and temperature (Min et al, 2020). Future studies could test whether beneficial acclimation effects could actually be due to allocation of resources towards somatic (i.e., body weight) maintenance by males that experience developmental stress, at the expense of investment in reproduction. This is crucial because heat is known to affect rates of spermatogenesis and testis size in seed beetles (Vasudeva et al, 2014), and thus could create such environmentdependent life-history trade which would explain our results for male weight senescence.

There was no evidence that any other traits measured in our study were affected by an interaction between developmental and adult environments. This contrasts with recent studies in *Drosophila* (Duxbury and Chapman, 2020, Min et al, 2020), which found that female reproductive senescence was affected by an interaction between developmental and adult diets. One possible explanation as to why we did not find such interactions could be because seed beetles do not eat or drink during adulthood, whereas *Drosophila* do (Duxbury and Chapman, 2020; Min et al, 2020). This could allow species such as *Drosophila* to compensate for a poor developmental environment e.g., low nutrition and higher rates of heat-induced desiccation by feeding and drinking more when they experience favorable environments in adulthood (Metcalfe and Monaghan, 2001). Thus, the effects of poor developmental environments would depend on the quality of adult environments, leading to an interaction between environments at different life stages for such species, although studies on facultative adult feeder/ capital breeders such as seed beetles are needed to allow us to make such comparisons. It is also possible that compensations in adulthood for stress experienced during developmental, are easier when diet rather than temperature is manipulated, something future studies could test.

SEX-SPECIFIC EFFECTS

In general, male and female traits responded in the same direction to both developmental and adult environments. Female emergence weight was more affected by developmental environments than was male emergence weight. On the other hand, male life span was more affected by adult environments than was female life span. A reason for these interactions between sex and emergence weight could be that females begin with heavier weights than males, and thus have more potential for change in their weight (as seen in Iglesias-Carrasco et al., 2020). Additionally, females are heavier and have higher water content, thus heatinduced desiccation during development could affect them more than it affects males. Alternatively, having a higher water content could also make females more resistant to desiccation than males.

For life span, because males had longer life spans to begin with, this trait could have a greater potential for change than female life spans. Although previous studies show seed beetle males on average live shorter lives than females (Berger et al, 2016), our results show the opposite. This is likely because females mated and laid eggs in our study, whereas males remained virgins and mating history has been shown to affect seed beetle life spans (den Hollander and Gwynne, 2009; Ronn et al, 2006; Sanghvi et al 2021).

A reason for sex-specific responses to environmental change could be due to males and females having evolved to have different life history strategies (e.g., pace of life syndromes) under different adult environments (Hamalainen et al, 2018; Immonen et al, 2018). Testing for such sex \times environment interactions would help us understand how male and female seed beetles (which are an invasive species in many countries) will respond to increasing temperatures caused by climate change, and whether warming would reduce the performance of one sex more than the other (Rogell et al, 2014).

OTHER PATTERNS IN SENESCENCE

Finally, we also found two patterns in senescence that could have interesting ecological and evolutionary consequences. First, there were significant differences in rates of reproductive senescence between individual females (shown in, e.g., Bouwhuis et al, 2010) and between families (of full-sibs). This was evidenced by models that allowed the slopes of both different females and different families to vary providing a better fit to the data than a model that did not have random effects of family and only allowed intercepts (but not slopes) of females to vary. The observation of between-family differences could indicate (broadsense) heritability of reproductive senescence rates, although we note that variation between families could also be driven by nongenetic maternal effects. Further analysis including estimation of narrow-sense heritability, accounting for maternal effects, would be needed to assess the potential for reproductive senescence rates to evolve in response to selection. Such a study would inform us whether climate warming would be able to select on senescence rates.

Second, we found evidence for selective disappearance of lighter males with increasing age. This may have masked individual-level decreases in weight due to heavier individuals surviving longer. To our knowledge, such evidence of individuallevel patterns of senescence being masked by population-level patterns of ageing due to selective disappearance of individuals has previously only been shown in vertebrates (Bouwhuis et al, 2009; Hayward et al, 2013).

Conclusions

Ours is one of the first studies to test whether heat stress experienced at different life stages interacts to affect individual life histories and senescence. We show that depending on the trait and the sex measured, either developmental and/or adult environments can affect the resulting phenotype. Although these phenotypic differences could be due to adaptive plasticity of life-history strategies under different environments, they could also be due to life-history trade-offs or due to temperature acting on these different traits in a noncausal manner. Overall, we show that the way environments affect an individual's phenotypic responses is complex. Considering that our findings suggest that the adult environment might have a stronger influence than developmental environments on traits that are not direct measures of reproduction, studies should integrate the effects of environments experienced during development and adulthood to avoid biased results, as well as measure a diverse range of life-history traits. We show that environments can affect traits at different stages of ontogeny and that age-dependent changes in traits depend on the effects of environment experienced at that age and on the past environments experienced. In particular, studies that test for the effects of changing temperatures must measure organisms throughout their lifetime to achieve a complete picture of how organisms will respond to climate change.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

KS, MLH, and LEBK designed the experiment. KS collected the data. KS and FZ analyzed the data. MIC, MLH, and KS wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed equally in critical assessment of the manuscript and subsequent revisions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to T. Chapman, N. G. Prasad, and two anonymous reviewers for providing comments for revision that greatly improved our manuscript. We would like to thank M. Jennions, C. Moritz, M. Vrtilek, and D. Rosauer for helpful comments on an earlier version of this manuscript and during experimental design. We would also like to thank A. Vincent and Jigisha for help with maintenance of stock beetles. Funding for this project came from an Australian Research Council Future Fellowship grant awarded to MLH (grant #FT160100149).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

DATA ARCHIVING

Data and code for this study can be accessed from the Open Science Framework (https://osf.io/dt5ah/). Data and code have been uploaded on DRYAD (https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.nvx0k6dvt).

LITERATURE CITED

- Adler, M., Telford, M. & Bonduriansky, R. (2016) Phenotypes optimized for early-life reproduction exhibit faster somatic deterioration with age, revealing a latent cost of high condition. J. Evol. Biol., 29, 2436–2446.
- Bahrndorff, S., Gertsen, S., Pertoldi, C. & Kristensen, T.N. (2016) Investigating thermal acclimation effects before and after a cold shock in *Drosophila melanogaster* using behavioural assays. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.*, 117, 241–251.
- Balbontín, J. & Møller, A. (2015) Environmental conditions during early life accelerate the rate of senescence in a short-lived passerine bird. *Ecology*, 96, 948–959.
- Bates, D., Machler, M., Bolker, B. & Walker, S. (2014) Fitting linear mixedeffects models using lme4. J. Stat. Softw., 67, 1–48.
- Bateson, P., Gluckman, P. & Hanson, M. (2014) The biology of developmental plasticity and the Predictive Adaptive Response hypothesis. J. Physiol., 592, 2357–2368.
- Beaman, J.E., White, C.R. & Seebacher, F. (2016) Evolution of plasticity: mechanistic link between development and reversible acclimation. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 31, 237–249.
- Beck, C.W. & Blumer, L.S. (2014) A handbook on bean beetles, Callosobruchus maculatus. National Science Foundation, Alexandria, VA.
- Berger, D., Marinossi-Allibert, I., Grieshop, K., Lind, M.I., Maklakov, A.A. & Arnqvist, G. (2016) Intralocus sexual conflict and the tragedy of the commons in seed beetles. *The American Naturalist*, 188, 98–112.
- Berger, D., Stangberg, J., Grieshop, K., Martinossi, I. & Arnqvist, G. (2017) Temperature effects on life-history trade-offs, germline maintenance and mutation rate under simulated climate warming. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 284, 20171721.
- Bouwhuis, S., Charmantier, A., Verhulst, S. & Sheldon, B.C. (2010) Individual variation in rates of senescence: natal origin effects and disposable soma in a wild bird population. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 79, 1251– 1261.
- Bouwhuis, S., Sheldon, B., Verhulst, S. & Charmantier, A. (2009) Great tits growing old: selective disappearance and the partitioning of senescence

to stages within the breeding cycle. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 276, 2769–2777.

- Briga, M., Jimeno, B. & Verhulst, S. (2019) Coupling lifespan and aging? The age at onset of body mass decline associates positively with sex-specific lifespan but negatively with environment-specific lifespan. *Experimental Gerontology*, 119, 111–119.
- Briga, M., Koetsier, E., Boonekamp, J.J., Jimeno, B. & Verhulst, S. (2017) Food availability affects adult survival trajectories depending on early developmental conditions. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 284, 20162287.
- Ceballos, C.P. & Valenzuela, N. (2011) The role of sex-specific plasticity in shaping sexual dimorphism in a long-lived vertebrate, the snapping turtle *Chelydra serpentina*. *Evolutionary Biology*, 38, 163– 181.
- Cleal, J.K., Poore, K.R., Boullin, J.P., Khan, O., Chau, R., Hambidge, O., Torrens, C., Newman, J.P., Poston, L., Noakes, D.E., Hanson, M.A. & Green, L.R. (2007) Mismatched pre- and postnatal nutrition leads to cardiovascular dysfunction and altered renal function in adulthood. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 104, 9529– 9533.
- Clutton-Brock, T.H. (1984) Reproductive effort and terminal investment in iteroparous animals. *The American Naturalist*, 123, 212–229.
- Cooper, E.B. & Kruuk, L.E. (2018) Ageing with a silver-spoon: a metaanalysis of the effect of developmental environment on senescence. *Evolution Letters*, 2, 460–471.
- Deere, J.A. & Chown, S.L. (2006) Testing the beneficial acclimation hypothesis and its alternatives for locomotor performance. *The American Naturalist*, 168, 630–644.
- den Hollander, M. & Gwynne, D.T. (2009) Female fitness consequences of male harassment and copulation in seed beetles, *Callosobruchus maculatus. Animal Behaviour*, 78, 1061–1070.
- Descamps, S., Boutin, S., Berteaux, D., Mcadam, A.G. & Gaillard, J.M. (2008) Cohort effects in red squirrels: the influence of density, food abundance and temperature on future survival and reproductive success. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 77, 305–314.
- Dmitriew, C. & Rowe, L. (2007) Effects of early resource limitation and compensatory growth on lifetime fitness in the ladybird beetle (*Harmonia* axyridis). Journal of Evolutionary Biology, 20, 1298–1310.
- Dougherty, L.R., Van Lieshout, E., Mcnamara, K.B., Moschilla, J.A., Arnqvist, G. & Simmons, L.W. (2017) Sexual conflict and correlated evolution between male persistence and female resistance traits in the seed beetle *Callosobruchus maculatus*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 284, 20170132.
- Duxbury, E.M.L. & Chapman, T. (2020) Sex-specific responses of life span and fitness to variation in developmental versus adult diets in *Drosophila melanogaster. The Journals of Gerontology: Series A*, 7, 1431–1438.
- Engqvist, L. (2005) The mistreatment of covariate interaction terms in linear model analyses of behavioural and evolutionary ecology studies. *Animal Behaviour*, 70, 967–971.
- Engqvist, L. & Reinhold, K. (2016) Adaptive trans-generational phenotypic plasticity and the lack of an experimental control in reciprocal match/mismatch experiments. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 7, 1482–1488.
- Fox, C.W., Stillwell, R.C., Wallin, W.G., Curtis, C.L. & Reed, D.H. (2011) Inbreeding-environment interactions for fitness: complex relationships between inbreeding depression and temperature stress in a seed-feeding beetle. *Evolutionary Ecology*, 25, 25–43.
- Fox, C.W., Dublin, L. & Pollitt, S.J. (2003) Gender differences in lifespan and mortality rates in two seed beetle species. *Functional Ecology*, 17, 619–626.

- Frankenhuis, W.E., Nettle, D. & Dall, S.R. (2019) A case for environmental statistics of early-life effects. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, 374, 20180110.
- Garcia-Sifuentes, Y. & Maney, D.L. (2021) Reporting and misreporting of sex differences in the biological sciences. *ELife*, 10, e70817.
- Geister, T.L. & Fischer, K. (2007) Testing the beneficial acclimation hypothesis: temperature effects on mating success in a butterfly. *Behavioral Ecology*, 18, 658–664.
- Gluckman, P.D., Hanson, M.A. & Spencer, H.G. (2005) Predictive adaptive responses and human evolution. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 20, 527–533.
- Grafen, A. (1988) On the uses of data on lifetime reproductive success. In: Clutton-Brock, T.H. (ed.) Reproductive success. Chicago University Press, Chicago, pp 454–471
- Gribble, K.E., Moran, B.M., Jones, S., Corey, E.L. & Mark Welch, D.B. (2018) Congeneric variability in lifespan extension and onset of senescence suggest active regulation of aging in response to low temperature. *Experimental Gerontology*, 114, 99–106.
- Guntrip, J., Silby, R.M. & Holloway, G.J. (1997) The effect of novel environment and sex on the additive genetic variation and covariation in and between emergence body weight and development period in the cowpea weevil, *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Coleoptera, Bruchidae). *Heredity*, 78, 158–165.
- Hamalainen, A., Immonen, E., Tarka, M. & Schuett, W. (2018) Evolution of sex-specific pace-of-life syndromes: causes and consequences. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 72, 1–15.
- Hammers, M., Richardson, D., Burke, T. & Komdeur, J. (2013) The impact of reproductive investment and early-life environmental conditions on senescence: support for the disposable soma hypothesis. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 26, 1999–2007.
- Hartig, F. (2020) DHARMa: residual diagnostics for hierarchical (multilevel/mixed) regression models. R Package version 0.3.2.0. Available via http://florianhartig.github.io/DHARMa/.
- Harrison, X.A. (2014) Using observation-level random effects to model overdispersion in count data in ecology and evolution. *PeerJ*, 2, e616.
- Hayward, A.D. & Lummaa, V. (2013) Testing the evolutionary basis of the predictive adaptive response hypothesis in a preindustrial human population. *Evolution, Medicine, and Public Health*, 2013, 106–117.
- Hayward, A.D., Wilson, A.J., Pilkington, J.G., Clutton-Brock, T.H., Pemberton, J.M. & Kruuk, L.E. (2013) Reproductive senescence in female Soay sheep: variation across traits and contributions of individual ageing and selective disappearance. *Functional Ecology*, 27, 184–195.
- Helle, H., Koskela, E. & Mappes, T. (2012) Life in varying environments: experimental evidence for delayed effects of juvenile environment on adult life history. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 81, 573–582.
- Hooper, A.K., Spagopoulou, F., Wylde, Z., Maklakov, A.A. & Bonduriansky, R. (2017) Ontogenetic timing as a condition-dependent life history trait: high-condition males develop quickly, peak early, and age fast. *Evolution*, 71, 671–685.
- Huey, R.B., Berrigan, D., Gilchrist, G.W. & Herron, J.C. (1999) Testing the adaptive significance of acclimation: a strong inference approach. *American Zoologist*, 39, 323–336.
- Hunt, J., Brooks, R., Jennions, M.D., Smith, M.J., Bentsen, C.L. & Bussiere, L.F. (2004) High-quality male field crickets invest heavily in sexual display but die young. *Nature*, 432, 1024.
- Iglesias-Carrasco, M., Brookes, S., Kruuk, L.E. & Head, M.L. (2020) The effects of competition on fitness depend on the sex of both competitors. *Ecology and Evolution*, 10, 9808–9826.
- Immonen, E., Hamalainen, A., Schuett, W. & Tarka, M. (2018) Evolution of sex-specific pace-of-life syndromes: genetic architecture and physiological mechanisms. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 72, 1–23.

- Kellermann, V., Van Heerwaarden, B. & Sgrò, C.M. (2017) How important is thermal history? Evidence for lasting effects of developmental temperature on upper thermal limits in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Proceedings* of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 284, 20170447.
- Kim, K.E., Jang, T. & Lee, K.P. (2020) Combined effects of temperature and macronutrient balance on life-history traits in *Drosophila melanogaster*: implications for life-history trade-offs and fundamental niche. *Oecologia*, 193, 299–309.
- Kirkwood, T.B. & Austad, S.N. (2000) Why do we age? *Nature*, 408, 233–238.
- Kirkwood, T.B. & Rose, M.R. (1991) Evolution of senescence: late survival sacrificed for reproduction. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*, 332, 15–24.
- Kleinteich, A., Wilder, S.M. & Schneider, J.M. (2015) Contributions of juvenile and adult diet to the lifetime reproductive success and lifespan of a spider. *Oikos*, 124, 130–138.
- Klepsatel, P., Knoblochová, D., Girish, T.N., Dircksen, H. & Gáliková, M. (2020) The influence of developmental diet on reproduction and metabolism in *Drosophila*. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 20, 93.
- Klepsatel, P., Girish, T.N., Dircksen, H. & Gáliková, M. (2019) Reproductive fitness of *Drosophila* is maximised by optimal developmental temperature. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 222, jeb202184.
- Krause, E.T., Krüger, O. & Schielzeth, H. (2017) Long-term effects of early nutrition and environmental matching on developmental and personality traits in zebra finches. *Animal Behaviour*, 128, 103–115.
- Kristensen, T.N., Hoffmann, A.A., Overgaard, J., Sørensen, J.G., Hallas, R. & Loeschcke, V. (2008) Costs and benefits of cold acclimation in fieldreleased *Drosophila*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 105, 216–221.
- Leroi, A.M., Bennett, A.F. & Lenski, R.E. (1994) Temperature acclimation and competitive fitness: an experimental test of the beneficial acclimation assumption. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 91, 1917–1921
- Madsen, T. & Shine, R. (2000) Silver spoons and snake body sizes: prey availability early in life influences long-term growth rates of free-ranging pythons. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 69, 952–958.
- Magnusson, A., Skaug, H., Nielsen, A., Berg, C., Kristensen, K., Maechler, M., Van Bentham, K., Bolker, B., Brooks, M. & Brooks, M.M. (2017) Package 'glmmTMB'. R package v0.2. 0.
- Maklakov, A.A. & Chapman, T. (2019) Evolution of ageing as a tangle of trade-offs: energy versus function. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 286, 20191604.
- Maklakov, A.A., Hall, M.D., Simpson, S.J., Dessmann, J., Clissold, F.J., Zajitschek, F., Lailvaux, S.P., Raubenheimer, D., Bonduriansky, R. & Brooks, R.C. (2009) Sex differences in nutrient-dependent reproductive ageing. *Aging Cell*, 8, 324–30.
- Marshall, H.H., Vitikainen, E.I., Mwanguhya, F., Businge, R., Kyabulima, S., Hares, M.C., Inzani, E., Kalema-Zikusoka, G., Mwesige, K. & Nichols, H.J. (2017) Lifetime fitness consequences of early-life ecological hardship in a wild mammal population. *Ecology and Evolution*, 7, 1712– 1724.
- Metcalfe, N.B. & Monaghan, P. (2001) Compensation for a bad start: grow now, pay later? *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 16, 254–260.
- Min, K.W., Jang, T. & Lee, K.P. (2020) Thermal and nutritional environments during development exert different effects on adult reproductive success in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Ecology and Evolution*, 11, 443– 457.
- Monaghan, P. (2008) Early growth conditions, phenotypic development and environmental change. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society* of London B: Biological Sciences, 363, 1635–1645.

- Müller, T., Küll, C.L. & Müller, C. (2016) Effects of larval versus adult density conditions on reproduction and behavior of a leaf beetle. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 70, 2081–2091.
- Nakagawa, S., Cuthill, I.C. (2007) Effect size, confidence intervals and statistical significance: a practical guide for biologists. *Biological Reviews* of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, 82, 591–605.
- Nussey, D.H., Kruuk, L.E., Morris, A. & Clutton-Brock, T.H. (2007) Environmental conditions in early life influence ageing rates in a wild population of red deer. *Current Biology*, 17, R1000-R1001.
- Partridge, L. (1987) Is accelerated senescence a cost of reproduction? *Functional Ecology*, 1, 317–320.
- Partridge, L. & Silby, R. (1991) Constraints in the evolution of life histories. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 332, 3–13.
- Pigeon, G., Loe, L.E., Bischof, R., Bonenfant, C., Forchhammer, M., Irvine, R.J., Ropstad, E., Stien, A., Veiberg, V. & Albon, S. (2019) Silver spoon effects are constrained under extreme adult environmental conditions. *Ecology*, 100, e02886.
- Promislow, D.E., Flatt, T. & Bonduriansky, R. (2022) The biology of aging in insects: from *Drosophila* to other insects and back. *Annual review of entomology*, 67, 83–103.
- R Development Core Team. (2011) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna.
- Reed, T.E., Kruuk, L.E., Wanless, S., Frederiksen, M., Cunningham, E.J. & Harris, M.P. (2008) Reproductive senescence in a long-lived seabird: rates of decline in late-life performance are associated with varying costs of early reproduction. *The American Naturalist*, 171, E89–E101.
- Rogell, B., Widegren, W., Hallsson, L.R., Berger, D., Bjorklund, M. & Maklakov, A.A. (2014) Sex-dependent evolution of life-history traits following adaptation to climate warming. *Functional Ecology*, 28, 469– 478.
- Ronn, J., Katlava, M. & Arnqvist, G. (2006) The costs of mating and egg production in *Callosobruchus* seed beetles. *Animal Behaviour*, 72, 335– 342.
- Rose, M. & Charlesworth, B. (1980) A test of evolutionary theories of senescence. *Nature*, 287, 141–142.
- Santos, M.A., Carromeu-Santos, A., Quina, A.S., Santos, M., Matos, M. & Simões, P. (2021) High developmental temperature leads to low reproduction despite adult temperature. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, 95, p.102794.
- Sanghvi, K., Zajitschek, F., Iglesias-Carrasco, M., Head, M.J. (2021) Sexand trait-specific silver-spoon effects of developmental environments, on ageing. *Evolutionary Ecology*, 35, 367–385.
- Savalli, U.M. & Fox, C.W. (1999) The effect of male size, age, and mating behavior on sexual selection in the seed beetle *Callosobruchus maculatus*. *Ethology Ecology & Evolution*, 11, 49–60.
- Scharf, I., Braf, H., Ifrach, N., Rosenstein, S., Subach, A. (2015) The effects of temperature and diet during development, adulthood, and mating on reproduction in the red flour beetle. *PLoS ONE*, 10(9), e0136924.
- Scharf, I., Galkin, N. & Halle, S. (2015) Disentangling the consequences of growth temperature and adult acclimation temperature on starvation and thermal tolerance in the red flour beetle. *Evolutionary Biology*, 42, 54– 62.
- Scott, G.R. & Johnston, I.A. (2012) Temperature during embryonic development has persistent effects on thermal acclimation capacity in zebrafish. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109, 14247.
- Spagopoulou, F., Teplitsky, C., Lind, M.I., Gustafsson, L. & Maklakov, A. (2020) Silver-spoon upbringing improves early-life fitness but promotes reproductive ageing in a wild bird. *Ecology Letters*, 23, 994–1002.

- Stearns, S.C. (1989) Trade-offs in life-history evolution. *Functional Ecology*, 3, 259–268.
- Stillwell, R.C., Blanckenhorn, W., Teder, T., Davidowitz, G., Fox, C.W. (2010) Sex differences in phenotypic plasticity affect variation in sexual size dimorphism in insects: from physiology to evolution. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 55, 227–245.
- Stillwell, R.C. & Fox, C.W. (2005) Complex patterns of phenotypic plasticity: interactive effects of temperature during rearing and oviposition. *Ecology*, 86, 924–934.
- Stillwell, R.C. & Fox, C.W. (2007) Environmental effects on sexual size dimorphism of a seed-feeding beetle. *Oecologia*, 153, 273–280.
- Stillwell, R.C., Wallin, W.G., Hitchcock, L.J. & Fox, C.W. (2007) Phenotypic plasticity in a complex world: interactive effects of food and temperature on fitness components of a seed beetle. *Oecologia*, 153, 309– 321.
- Taborsky, B. (2006) The influence of juvenile and adult environments on lifehistory trajectories. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 273, 741–750.
- Tatar, M., Carey, J.R. & Vaupel, J.W. (1993) Long-term cost of reproduction with and without accelerated senescence in *Callosobruchus maculatus*: analysis of age-specific mortality. *Evolution*, 47, 1302–1312.
- Therneau, T. (2014) Coxme: mixed-effects cox models. R v2.2-16. Available via https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/coxme/index.html.
- van de Pol, M. & Verhulst, S. (2006) Age-dependent traits: a new statistical model to separate within- and between- individual effects. *American Naturalist*, 167, 766–773.
- van de Pol, M., Bruinzeel, L.W., Heg, D., Van Der Jeugd, H.P. & Verhulst, S. (2006) A silver spoon for a golden future: long-term effects of natal origin on fitness prospects of oystercatchers (*Haematopus ostralegus*). *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 75, 616–626.
- Vasudeva, R., Deeming, D.C. & Eady, P.E. (2014) Developmental temperature affects the expression of ejaculatory traits and the outcome of sperm competition in *Callosobruchus maculatus. Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 27, 1811–8.
- Wilson, R.S. & Franklin, C.E. (2002) Testing the beneficial acclimation hypothesis. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 17, 66–70.
- Wilson, C.J. & Tomkins, J.L. (2014) Countering counteradaptations: males hijack control of female kicking behavior. *Behavioral Ecology*, 25, 470– 476.
- Wong, J.W. & Kölliker, M. (2014) Effects of food restriction across stages of juvenile and early adult development on body weight, survival and adult life history. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 27, 2420– 2430.
- Woods, H.A. & Harrison, J.F. (2002) Interpreting rejections of the beneficial acclimation hypothesis: when is physiological plasticity adaptive? *Evolution*, 56, 1863–1866.
- Zajitschek, F., Hunt, J., Jennions, M.D., Hall, M.D. & Brooks, R.C. (2009) Effects of juvenile and adult diet on ageing and reproductive effort of male and female black field crickets, *Teleogryllus commodus. Functional Ecology*, 23, 602–611.
- Zuo, W., Moses, M.E., West, G.B., Hou, C. & Brown, J.H. (2012) A general model for effects of temperature on ectotherm ontogenetic growth and development. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 279, 1840–1846.

Associate Editor: N. G. Prasad Handling Editor: T. Chapman

Supporting Information

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Figure S1: Effects of developmental temperature (DevT) and sex (M- males, F- females) on emergence weight (mg) of beetles. Significant interaction between DevT and sex seen in Table S5 arises because- male weight is less affected by developmental temperature compared to female weight; or because differences in male vs female weight are greater in ancestral developmental environments than in hot developmental environments.

Figure S2: Effects of adult temperature (AdultT) and sex (M- males, F- females) on adult life span (days) of beetles. Significant interaction seen in Table S6, between adult temperature and sex arises because- male life span is more affected by adult temperature than female life span is; or due to the difference in male vs female life spans being greater in ancestral adult environments than in hot adult environments.

Figure S3: Change in weight (mg) with adult age (in days), of males, with plot binned by male adult life span ((age range for each bin] = sample size of males in each bin). *Ancestral Developmental and Ancestral Adult (AA), Ancestral Developmental and Hot Adult (AH), Hot Developmental and Ancestral Adult (AA), Ancestral Developmental and Hot Adult (AH), Hot Developmental and Ancestral Adult (HA), and Hot Developmental and Hot Adult (HH) temperatures. Each smoothed spline is created using the average weight of males in that given life span group. Males which have higher weights at adult age 0 (emergence) live longer than males which have lower emergence weights. 4 to 6 bins were created for each treatment because these allowed the clearest interpretation of curves visually, with the least amount of lines crossing over.*

Table S1. Final sample sizes of emerged individuals used to analyse adult traits. Ancestral Developmental and Ancestral Adult (AA), Ancestral Developmental and Hot Adult (AH), Hot Developmental and Hot Adult (HH), and Hot Developmental and Ancestral Adult (HA) temperatures.

Table S3. Effect of Developmental temperature on emergence success. Modelled using a GLMM logistical regression with binomial error distribution and "logit" link function. (N = 2303).

Table S4. The effect of developmental temperature and sex on **development time** of beetles (N = 1370) Modelled using LMMs. Power $((y^{\lambda} - 1)/\lambda)$) transformation of data ($\lambda = -0.141414$). "Full model" shows the parameter estimates and significance values for the model with two-way interactions (highlighted in grey), while the main-effects models shows parameter estimates and significance values for interpretation of only the main-effects (highlighted in grey).

Table S5: The effect of developmental temperature and sex on **emergence weight** of beetles (N = 1369). Modelled using LMMs. "Full model" shows the parameter estimates and significance values for the model with two-way interactions (highlighted in grey), while the main-effects models shows parameter estimates and significance values for interpretation of only the main-effects (highlighted in grey).

Table S6: The effect of developmental temperature, adult temperature, and sex on **adult lifespan** of beetles (N = 1324), after accounting for the effects of emergence weight. Modelled using LMMs. "Full model" shows the parameter estimates and significance values for the model with three-way interactions (highlighted in grey), while the two-way and main-effects models shows parameter estimates and significance values for interpretation of only the two-way interactions and main-effects (highlighted in grey) respectively.

Table S7. The effects of developmental and adult temperature on **female fertility** (N = 635). Modelled using a GLMM (Logit link function). "Full model" shows the parameter estimates and significance values for the model with two-way interactions (highlighted in grey), while the main-effects model shows parameter estimates and significance values for interpretation of only the main-effects (highlighted in grey).

Table S8: The effects of developmental and adult temperature on **female lifetime reproductive success (LRS)** after accounting for selective disappearance (N = 635). Modelled using a GLMM (link = log). "Full model" shows the parameter estimates and significance values for the model with two-way interactions (highlighted in grey), while the main-effects model shows parameter estimates and significance values for interpretation of only the main-effects (highlighted in grey).

Table S9: The effects of developmental temperature, adult temperature, and sex on **age-dependent mortality** (N = 1329). Modelled using a Coxproportional hazards mixed effects model. "Full model" shows the parameter estimates and significance values for the model with three-way interactions (highlighted in grey), while the two-way and main-effects model shows parameter estimates and significance values for interpretation of only the two-way interactions and main-effects (highlighted in grey) respectively.

Table S10: The effects of developmental temperature, adult temperature, and age on **age-dependent (daily) fecundity of females** (N = 619). Modelled using GLMM (negative binomial error distribution). "Full model" shows the parameter estimates and significance values for the model with three-way interactions (highlighted in grey), while the two-way interactions model shows parameter estimates and significance values for interpretation of only the two-way interactions (highlighted in grey). Main-effects model not included because it does not allow age to interact with treatment, thus does not inform us about age-dependent changes. Adult age modelled as a continuous variable.

Table S11: The effects of developmental temperature, adult temperature, and age on male weight (mg). Modelled using LMMs. Three-way interactions (in grey). "Full" model uses males from both hot and ancestral adult temperatures (N = 673).

Table S12: Exploratory test conducted on age-dependent male weight, shown in model S11. The effects of developmental temperature on age-dependent male weight are analysed for males who only experience hot adult temperatures, to compare AH (*Ancestral Developmental and Hot Adult*) and HH (*Hot Developmental and Hot Adult*) treatments. (N = 354).