Title: Has the gout epidemic peaked in the UK? A nationwide cohort study using data
from the Clinical Practice Research Datalink, from 1997 to across the COVID-19
pandemic in 2021.

4 **Authors:** Abhishek Abhishek¹, Laila J Tata², Mamas A Mamas³, Anthony J Avery².

Affiliation: ¹Academic Rheumatology, University of Nottingham, Nottingham.
 ²Population and Lifespan Sciences, University of Nottingham, Nottingham. ³Keele

- 7 Cardiovascular Research Group, School of Medicine, Keele University, Keele, UK.
- 8 **Corresponding author:** Prof. Abhishek Abhishek

9 Address for correspondence:

- 10 Professor Abhishek
- 11 A24, Academic Rheumatology,
- 12 Clinical Sciences Building,
- 13 School of Medicine,
- 14 The University of Nottingham,
- 15 Nottingham, UK
- 16 **Email:** abhishek.abhishek@nottingham.ac.uk
- 17 **Phone:** 01158231392
- 18
- 19 Word count: 650 words
- 20

The burden of gout increased globally across the 20th and 21st centuries¹. However, a study using cross-sectional datasets demonstrated stable prevalence of hyperuricaemia and gout in the USA between 2007 and 2016². Additionally, given poor persistence with urate lowering treatment (ULT), the impact of COVID-19 pandemic on ULT prescription in a nationwide cohort merits assessment to ascertain any detrimental impact³. The objectives of this study were to examine temporal trends in incidence and prevalence of gout, and ULT prescription between 1997 and 2021.

Anonymized data from Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD), one of the largest
databases of electronic health records originating during routine clinical care were
used. The study, approved by the ISAC of MHRA (Ref:20_000233), spanned from
01/01/1997 to 31/08/2021. Gout status and ULT prescriptions were ascertained using
Read and product codes (Supplementary material).

Point prevalence (95% confidence intervals (CI)) of gout on 1st July of each year was 13 calculated with CPRD population registered on that date as denominator. Incidence 14 15 (95%CI) of gout per 1,000 person-years in each calendar year was calculated using number of incident cases and total follow-up period in that year. The incidence and 16 prevalence were directly standardised to the study population for age, sex, and length 17 of registration in CPRD³ (Supplementary material). Proportion (95%CI) of prevalent 18 19 gout cases prescribed ULT within 90-days prior to 1st July in each year, and incident 20 gout cases prescribed ULT within one-year of diagnosis were calculated and directly standardised to the relevant study populations. Standardised rates were used to 21 examine temporal trend using Joinpoints analysis. Crude rates for 1999 and 2021 22 23 were stratified by age and sex to compare age-sex distribution of gout before and 24 during the COVID-19 pandemic.

1 Data for 373,371 gout patients were included. The standardised prevalence (95%CI) 2 of gout increased from 0.98(0.97-0.96)% in 1997 to 2.33(2.31-2.35)% in 2021, with 3 annual average percentage change (AAPC) (95%CI) 3.9(3.3-4.4)% (Figure 1). The 4 standardised incidence (95%CI) of gout increased from 1.31(1.26-1.37)/1,000 person-5 years in 1997 to 1.97(1.94-2.01)/1,000 person-years in 2013, and reduced to 6 0.98(0.94-1.03)/1,000 person-years in 2021. The standardised prevalence of ULT prescription increased from 25.92% in 1997 to 39.53% in 2021 (AAPC (95%CI) 7 1.3(1.0-1.5)%), whereas the proportion of incident gout cases prescribed ULT within 8 9 1-year reduced. Fewer women than men were prescribed ULT ever, and within 1-year 10 of diagnosis, despite older age at onset and higher comorbidity burden as reported 11 previously⁴.

12 The standardised prevalence of gout remained stable across the pandemic while the 13 standardised incidence (95%CI) reduced from 1.54(1.50-1.58)/1,000 person-years in 2019, to 1.07(1.00-1.07) and 0.98 (0.94-1.03)/1,000 person-years in 2020 and 2021 14 15 respectively. The age-sex distribution of prevalent gout was similar in 2019 and 2021 (Figure S1). However, gout incidence was significantly lower in 2021 than in 2019 16 across all ages and in both sexes (Figure S1). The prevalence (95%CI) of ULT 17 prescription in gout improved from 36.72(36.41-37.02)% in 2019 to 39.53(39.19-18 19 39.91)% in 2021.

The gout epidemic appears to have peaked in the UK in 2013, with a significant reduction in incidence between 2013 and 2019 i.e. before the COVID-19 pandemic potentially due to reduction in alcohol and red-meat consumption^{5,6}. The sharp decline in its incidence during the COVID-19 pandemic likely represents under-diagnosis, potentially due to inability to seek healthcare due to restrictions imposed on the population and COVID-19 related workload on the health-service, rather than due to

improved lifestyle, as alcohol consumption increased during COVID-19 pandemic⁷.
However, this may cause a surge in gout cases presenting to health services in the
near future.

4 Overall, ULT prescriptions increased steadily since 2010, without any detrimental 5 impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, as observed for other rheumatic diseases⁸. While 6 encouraging, additional steps e.g. partnership with primary-care, guideline 7 implementation are needed for continued improvement. The modest increase in ULT 8 among prevalent gout cases during the pandemic may be driven by worsening gout 9 control potentially due to increased alcohol consumption⁷, as prevalence of first ULT 10 prescription within 1-year of diagnosis continued to decline in this period.

Competing interests: AA has received departmental research grants from
 AstraZeneca and Oxford Immunotec, speaker bureau fees from Menarini, scientific
 meeting support from Pfizer, consulting fees from Inflazome and author royalties from
 UpToDate and Springer, unrelated to this work. The other authors have no conflict of
 interest to declare.

6 **Contributorship:** AA conceived the idea for the study, contributed to the study design, 7 performed the analysis, interpreted the results and critically reviewed the paper. AJA 8 contributed to the study design, interpretation of the results and critically reviewed the 9 paper. LT contributed to the study design, advised on the analysis and interpretation 10 of the results and critically reviewed the paper. MM contributed to the study design 11 and critically reviewed the paper.

12 Acknowledgements: Nil

13 Funding: Nil

14 **Ethical approval information:** The study was approved by the Independent Scientific

15 Advisory Committee (ISAC) of the Medicines and Healthcare Regulatory Authority

16 (MHRA) (Ref: 20_000233).

17 **Data access:** Data included in this study are available from the CPRD. The statistical

18 codes may be obtained from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

19 **Patient and public involvement:** Not applicable.

20

21

22

1 Figure legend:

2 Figure 1: Temporal trend 1997-2021. (A) Gout prevalence (lower left panel). The APC (95%CI) was 6.6(6.4 to 6.8)%, 4.4(2.7 to 6.0)%, 3.3(2.8 to 3.8)%, 0.8(0.2 to 1.3)%, 3 4 and -0.8(-2.9 to 1.3)% in 1997-2006, 2006-2009, 2009-2014, 2014-2019, 2019-2021 respectively. (B) Gout incidence (lower right panel). The APC (95%CI) during 1997-5 6 2013, 2013-2019, and 2019-2021 was 2.6(2.0 to 3.2)%, -4.5(-7.2 to -1.8)%, and -23.2(-39.0 to -3.3)% respectively. (C) ULT prevalence (upper left panel). The APC (95%CI) 7 between 1997-2000, 2000-2003, 2003-2010, 2010-2021 was 4.5(2.4 to 6.6)%, 1.4(-8 9 1.9 to 4.8)%, -0.4(-0.9 to 0.1) and 2.3(2.1-2.5)% respectively. (D) ULT prescription within 1 year of diagnosis (upper right panel). The APC (95%CI) between 1997-2008, 10 11 2008-2019 was -0.4 (-0.7- to -0.2)%, -1.3 (-1.5 to -1.1)% respectively. *significant 12 joinpoints. Blue line male, red line female, black line overall. Dotted lines 95% CI.



1 References:

- 2 **1.** Singh JA, Gaffo A. Gout epidemiology and comorbidities. Semin Arthritis 3 Rheum. 2020;50(3S):S11-S16. 4 2. Chen-Xu M, Yokose C, Rai SK, Pillinger MH, Choi HK. Contemporary Prevalence of Gout and Hyperuricemia in the United States and Decadal 5 Trends: The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2007-2016. 6 7 Arthritis Rheumatol. 2019;71(6):991-999. 8 3. Kuo CF, Grainge MJ, Mallen C, Zhang W, Doherty M. Rising burden of gout in the UK but continuing suboptimal management: a nationwide population 9 10 study. Ann Rheum Dis. 2015;74(4):661-7. 4. Drivelegka, P., Sigurdardottir, V., Svärd, A. et al. Comorbidity in gout at the 11 time of first diagnosis: sex differences that may have implications for dosing of 12 urate lowering therapy. Arthritis Res Ther 20, 108 (2018). 13 14 5. Adults health related behaviours. Health Survey for England 2019. Published 2020. NHS Digital. https://files.digital.nhs.uk/D4/93337C/HSE19-Adult-health-15 behaviours-rep.pdf. Accessed on 31st October 2021. 16 6. Stewart C, Piernas C, Cook B, Jebb SA. Trends in UK meat consumption: 17 18 analysis of data from years 1-11 (2008-09 to 2018-19) of the National Diet and Nutrition Survey rolling programme. Lancet Planet Health. 19 202;5(10):e699-e708. 20 7. Niedzwiedz CL, Green MJ, Benzeval M, Campbell D, Craig P, Demou E, et al. 21 22 Mental health and health behaviours before and during the initial phase of the
- 23 COVID-19 lockdown: longitudinal analyses of the UK Household Longitudinal
- 24 Study. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2021 Mar;75(3):224-231.

| 1 | 8. | Hausmann JS, Kennedy K, Simard JF, Liew JW, Sparks JA, Moni TT, et al; |
|---|----|--|
| 2 | | COVID-19 Global Rheumatology Alliance. Immediate effect of the COVID-19 |
| 3 | | pandemic on patient health, health-care use, and behaviours: results from an |
| 4 | | international survey of people with rheumatic diseases. Lancet Rheumatol. |
| 5 | | 2021;3(10):e707-e714. |
| 6 | | |

Supplementary material

2 Index

| 3 | 1. Case and urate lowering treatment (ULT) definitionpage 1 |
|---|---|
| 4 | 2. Variables for direct standardisationpage 1 |
| 5 | 3. Joinpoint analysispage 1 |
| 6 | 4. Figure S1page 2 |
| 7 | 5. Read codes to ascertain gout statuspage 3 |
| 8 | 6. Product codes to ascertain ULT prescriptionpage 4 |

9 1. Case definition: Gout was defined using published Read code list expanded with additional codes³. Prevalent gout cases had either prior primary-care 10 consultation for gout or had specific Read codes indicating long-term gout only. 11 12 Incident gout cases consulted for gout for the first time with at-least one-year prior disease-free registration in the CPRD. The requirement to have one-year 13 disease free registration minimises the risk of classifying prevalent cases as 14 15 incident³. For ascertaining incident gout cases, separate at-risk cohorts comprising of all individuals registered with up-to-standard practices who had 16 no diagnostic codes for gout before the latest of their current registration date 17 plus 365 days or January 1st of the calendar year were constructed. Follow-up 18 started from the latest of these two dates to the earliest of first gout diagnosis, 19 20 transfer out of GP surgery, death, December 31st of the year; or, for the year 21 2021, the study end date.

Definition of urate lowering treatment (ULT) prescription: ULT prescription was 22 23 defined as a prescription of allopurinol, febuxostat, benzbromarone, probenecid or sulfinpyrazone. Product codes were used to ascertain ULT prescription. 24

<u>2. Variables for direct standardization</u>: The incidence and prevalence were directly
 standardised to the entire study population across the study period for age (10 year age-band), sex, and length of registration in CPRD (=<1, >1-5, >5-10, >10 15, >15-20, >20-25, >25 years). The latter was included because increasing
 length of registration in CPRD increases incidence and prevalence estimates
 of gout in CPRD³.

7 3. Joinpoint analysis: Joinpoint analysis was done using the Joinpoint Trend Software version 4.9.0.0 obtained 8 Analysis from 9 https://surveillance.cancer.gov/joinpoint/ and developed by the National Cancer Institute (NCI) of the National Institute for Health (NIH). It uses Bayesian 10 Information Criterion to generate different numbers of joinpoints indicating 11 12 points in time where trends in the prevalence and incidence change significantly and to fit separate linear trends in each time segment. Initially a model is fitted 13 without joinpoints (i.e., a straight line fitted to the data) with joinpoints added 14 15 whenever a change in trend over time is statistically significant. Annual percentage changes (APC) for each segment and average APC (AAPC) for the 16 entire study period are calculated. 17



<u>4.</u> Figure S1: (A) Crude prevalence of gout 2019 and 2021, stratified by age and sex. (B) Crude incidence of gout 2019 and 2021, stratified by age and sex. Solid
 black line male 2019, long dash line black female 2019. Grey solid line male
 2021, grey long dash line female 2021.

5. Read codes used to ascertain gout status.

| Gout | | | | |
|---------|--------------|---|---------------|----------------|
| Medcode | Readcode | Readterm | Incident gout | Prevalent gout |
| 709 | C3400 | Gout | 1 | 1 |
| 2857 | N023.00 | Gouty arthritis | 1 | 1 |
| 3759 | 1443.00 | H/O: gout | | 1 |
| 4440 | C34y200 | Gouty tophi of other sites | 1 | 1 |
| 9874 | C34y500 | Gouty tophi of hand | 1 | 1 |
| 10080 | C340.00 | Gouty arthropathy | 1 | 1 |
| 11462 | C342.00 | Idiopathic gout | 1 | 1 |
| 12594 | N023z00 | Gouty arthritis NOS | 1 | 1 |
| 14996 | 6691.00 | Initial gout assessment | 1 | 1 |
| 16475 | 66900 | Gout monitoring | | 1 |
| 17284 | 2D52.00 | O/E - auricle of ear - tophi | 1 | 1 |
| 21697 | C24E 00 | Gout due to impairment of renal | 1 | 1 |
| 21087 | C345.00 | function | | |
| 24153 | C34z.00 | Gout NOS | 1 | 1 |
| 27521 | $C24y_{7}00$ | Other specified gouty manifestation | 1 | 1 |
| 27521 | C34y200 | NOS | | |
| 28999 | C34y.00 | Other specified gouty manifestation | 1 | 1 |
| 29658 | 6693.00 | Joints gout affected | | 1 |
| 34006 | 6695.00 | Date gout treatment started | | 1 |
| 34105 | 6699.00 | Gout treatment changed | | 1 |
| 35660 | 6692.00 | Follow-up gout assessment | | 1 |
| 35664 | N023700 | Gouty arthritis of the ankle and foot | 1 | 1 |
| 36481 | C34y000 | Gouty tophi of ear | 1 | 1 |
| 43646 | 669A.00 | Date gout treatment stopped | | 1 |
| 44566 | C344.00 | Drug-induced gout | 1 | 1 |
| 45465 | N023300 | Gouty arthritis of the forearm | 1 | 1 |
| 49775 | N023600 | Gouty arthritis of the lower leg | 1 | 1 |
| 50067 | C34y300 | Gouty iritis | 1 | 1 |
| 52101 | N023400 | Gouty arthritis of the hand | 1 | 1 |
| 52103 | 6698.00 | Gout drug side effects | | 1 |
| 52117 | 669Z.00 | Gout monitoring NOS | | 1 |
| 52969 | C341.00 | Gouty nephropathy | 1 | 1 |
| 57334 | G557300 | Gouty tophi of heart | 1 | 1 |
| 58064 | N023x00 | Gouty arthritis of multiple sites | 1 | 1 |
| 58746 | 6697.00 | Gout associated problems | | 1 |
| 59344 | C34y400 | Gouty neuritis | 1 | 1 |
| 60541 | N023y00 | Gouty arthritis of other specified site | 1 | 1 |
| 61145 | C341z00 | Gouty nephropathy NOS | 1 | 1 |
| 68209 | 6696.00 | Date of last gout attack | | 1 |
| 72471 | N023100 | Gouty arthritis of the shoulder region | 1 | 1 |
| 93677 | N023800 | Gouty arthritis of toe | 1 | 1 |
| 93689 | C34y100 | Gouty tophi of heart | 1 | 1 |

| 94539 | Nyu1700 | [X]Other secondary gout | 1 | 1 |
|--------|---------|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| 97539 | N023200 | Gouty arthritis of the upper arm | 1 | 1 |
| 108901 | C346.00 | Acute exacerbation of gout | 1 | 1 |
| 111834 | C343.00 | Lead-induced gout | 1 | 1 |
| 113314 | N023000 | Gouty arthritis of unspecified site | 1 | 1 |
| 114211 | C341000 | Gout nephropathy unspecified | 1 | 1 |

6. Product codes to ascertain urate lowering treatment prescription

| Droduct codo | Drug substance name | Droduct codo | Drug substance |
|--------------|---------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Product code | | Product code | name |
| 11975 | Allopurinol | 42859 | Febuxostat |
| 34005 | Allopurinol | 43336 | Febuxostat |
| 41612 | Allopurinol | 42536 | Febuxostat |
| 23368 | Allopurinol | 43161 | Febuxostat |
| 46941 | Allopurinol | 77342 | Benzbromarone |
| 44239 | Allopurinol | 47263 | Benzbromarone |
| 368 | Allopurinol | 31662 | Probenecid |
| 19037 | Allopurinol | 68886 | Probenecid |
| 78470 | Allopurinol | 8944 | Probenecid |
| 64906 | Allopurinol | 1302 | Probenecid |
| 41520 | Allopurinol | 5204 | Sulfinpyrazone |
| 34566 | Allopurinol | 20133 | Sulfinpyrazone |
| 67256 | Allopurinol | 18519 | Sulfinpyrazone |
| 72223 | Allopurinol | 9951 | Sulfinpyrazone |
| 34711 | Allopurinol | 13419 | Sulfinpyrazone |
| 44240 | Allopurinol | 10554 | Sulfinpyrazone |
| 24215 | Allopurinol | | |
| 67748 | Allopurinol | | |
| 34930 | Allopurinol | | |
| 30768 | Allopurinol | | |
| 19201 | Allopurinol | | |
| 33484 | Allopurinol | | |
| 34947 | Allopurinol | | |
| 71008 | Allopurinol | | |
| 74645 | Allopurinol | | |
| 41541 | Allopurinol | | |
| 76 | Allopurinol | | |
| 34278 | Allopurinol | | |
| 77467 | Allopurinol | | |
| 34573 | Allopurinol | | |
| 68025 | Allopurinol | | |
| 13467 | Allopurinol | | |
| 76324 | Allopurinol | | |
| 7805 | Allopurinol | | |
| 413 | Allopurinol | | |

| 41664 | Allopurinol | |
|-------|-------------|--|
| 71717 | Allopurinol | |
| 78521 | Allopurinol | |
| 5182 | Allopurinol | |
| 17255 | Allopurinol | |
| 76616 | Allopurinol | |
| 72153 | Allopurinol | |
| 45352 | Allopurinol | |
| 83504 | Allopurinol | |