Animal, page 1 of 9 © The Animal Consortium 2016 doi:10.1017/S1751731116001130



Effects of ewes grazing sulla or ryegrass pasture for different daily durations on forage intake, milk production and fatty acid composition of cheese

A. Bonanno[†], A. Di Grigoli, F. Mazza, C. De Pasquale, C. Giosuè, F. Vitale and M. Alabiso

Dipartimento Scienze Agrarie e Forestali, Università degli Studi di Palermo, viale delle Scienze, 90128 Palermo, Italy

(Received 27 December 2015; Accepted 13 May 2016)

Sulla (Sulla coronarium L.) forage is valued for its positive impact on ruminant production, in part due to its moderate content of condensed tannin (CT). The duration of daily grazing is a factor affecting the feed intake and milk production of ewes. In this study, the effects of grazing sulla pasture compared with annual ryegrass, and the extension of grazing from 8 to 22 h/day, were evaluated with regard to ewe forage intake and milk production, as well as the physicochemical properties and fatty acid (FA) composition of cheese. During 42 days in the spring, 28 ewes of the Comisana breed were divided into four groups (S8, S22, R8 and R22) that grazed sulla (S) or ryegrass (R) for 8 (0800 to 1600 h) or 22 h/day, and received no feeding supplement. In six cheese-making sessions, cheeses were manufactured from the 48 h bulk milk of each group. Compared with ewes grazing ryegrass, those grazing sulla had higher dry matter (DM) intake, intake rate and milk yield, and produced milk that was lower in fat and higher in casein. Ewes grazing for 22 h spent more time eating, which reduced the intake rate, increased DM and nutrient intake and milk yield, and reduced milk fat. Due to the ability of CT to inhibit the complete ruminal biohydrogenation of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA), the FA composition of sulla cheese was more beneficial for consumer health compared with ryegrass cheese, having lower levels of saturated fatty acids and higher levels of PUFA and n-3 FA. The FA profile of S8 cheese was better than that of S22 cheese, as it was higher in branched-chain FA, monounsaturated FA, PUFA, rumenic acid (c9,t11-C18:2), and had a greater health-promoting index. The effect of short grazing time on sulla was attributed to major inhibition of PUFA biohydrogenating ruminal bacteria, presumably stimulated by the higher accumulation of sulla CT in the rumen, which is related to a higher intake rate over a shorter eating time. Thus, grazing sulla improved the performance of ewes, thereby increasing, especially with short grazing time, the nutritional properties of cheese fat.

Keywords: dairy ewes, Sulla coronarium, daily grazing duration, sheep milk, cheese fatty acids

Implications

Sulla is a legume forage species with excellent agronomic traits that positively impacts ruminant production. Its favourable chemical composition and moderate condensed tannin (CT) content results in increased forage intake, milk yield and casein in ewes, leading to cheese that is higher in polyunsaturated and n-3 fatty acids (FA), which have beneficial effects on human health. Accordingly, the introduction of sulla to crop–livestock farming systems could enhance the yield of dairy products as well as their healthy content. Although grazing for 8 h on sulla was effective in further improving the nutritional properties of cheese fat, prolonging the grazing time (GT) from 8 to 22 h/day improved the performance of ewes, thus resulting in a viable

strategy to increase the economic productivity of grazing farming systems.

Introduction

Sulla (*Sulla coronarium* L.) is a biennial legume, which is typical of cereal-based crop rotations in Mediterranean regions, and is used for hay production or grazing (Ruisi *et al.*, 2011). This forage species (FS) has excellent agronomic traits, and as fresh or preserved forage, is valued for its positive impact on ruminant productivity and product quality. Feeding green sulla forage to dairy ewes (Bonanno *et al.*, 2007; Molle *et al.*, 2009), goats (Bonanno *et al.*, 2013a) and lambs (Bonanno *et al.*, 2011) improves their performance compared with grass-based diets. The beneficial effects of sulla on ruminant production is particularly attributable to its high protein content, high ratio of non-structural to structural

[†] E-mail: adriana.bonanno@unipa.it

carbohydrates and moderate CT concentration ranging from 0.8% to 5% of whole-plant dry matter (DM) (Ruisi *et al.*, 2011). Moderate CT levels (<6% DM) protect dietary protein from ruminal degradation due to the ability of CT to bind protein, thereby increasing its utilisation in the intestinal tract, and are active in the control of gastrointestinal nematode parasitism (Min *et al.*, 2003; Piluzza *et al.*, 2014).

In previous research, the intake of sulla forage containing CT increased casein levels in milk (Bonanno *et al.*, 2013a and 2013b). Furthermore, exposure of ewes (Cabiddu *et al.*, 2005 and 2009) and goats (Bonanno *et al.*, 2013b) to the CT contained in sulla forage increased the polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) content in milk, which has beneficial effects on human health as CT inhibits the complete ruminal biohydrogenation of dietary PUFA. In addition, due to its CT content, sulla fresh forage-based diets were able to improve the plasma oxidative status and increase the polyphenol content and total antioxidant capacity of milk in goats (Di Trana *et al.*, 2015).

Among grazing management practises, the timing and duration of daily grazing have significant effects on animal performance. In Mediterranean environments, the most common practise for small dairy ruminants is grazing for 4 to 8 h/day during the diurnal period. Generally, an increase in the duration of daily grazing improves animal productivity. The prolongation of grazing from 8 h/day to the entire day allows ewes to spend more time eating, thereby increasing the intake of forage DM and milk yield (Bonanno et al., 2007). In this regard, additional nocturnal grazing has benefits on body condition and tolerance to heat stress, and increase grass intake (lason et al., 1999). As the level and quality of herbage intake by grazing animals greatly depend on pasture management, the latter plays a key role in determining milk and cheese quality, also in relationship to FA composition. The content of PUFA in milk and cheese is particularly enhanced by pasture feeding, increasing proportionally with the amount of green forage ingested by dairy ruminants (Chilliard et al., 2007). Cabiddu et al. (2005) demonstrated how the FA composition of sheep milk is influenced by the FS consumed by ewes at pasture, also with regard to its phenological phase and grazing intensity. Currently, there is a lack of information on the influence of daily grazing duration on the FA profile of milk and cheese.

Thus, the aim of this study was to investigate the effects of feeding CT-containing sulla forage to ewes, and prolonging the daily GT, on forage intake and milk production, as well as the physicochemical properties of cheese, particularly with regard to its FA profile. To this end, grazing sulla pasture was compared with grazing annual ryegrass, and GT was prolonged from 8 to 22 h/day.

Material and methods

Animals and experimental design

The field experiment was performed on the 'Pietranera' farm (Università degli Studi di Palermo), which is located in a semi-arid hilly area of Sicily, Italy (37°32'N; 13°31'E; 178 m above sea level).

Two adjacent fenced plots of 4000 m^2 , cultivated with 2-year sulla (*S. coronarium* L. var. Sparacia) or annual ryegrass (*Lolium multiflorum* Lam, subsp. *Wersterwoldicum*, var. Elunaria) and not previously grazed, were subdivided into two equal subplots. In all, 28 ewes of the Comisana breed were randomly distributed into four homogeneous experimental groups on the basis of days in milk (142 ± 57.0), milk yield (900 ± 124.1 g/day) and live weight (44.7 ± 6.32 kg).

For 6 weeks in April to May 2011, each group grazed one subplot. Two groups grazed for 8 h during the interval between the two daily hand milkings (0800 to 1600 h), and the other groups grazed for the entire day (22 h), except during the milking time (2 h). The experimental groups were as follows: grazing sulla for 8 h (S8), grazing sulla for 22 h (S22), grazing ryegrass for 8 h (R8) and grazing ryegrass for 22 h (R22). Water was provided ad libitum by tanks to ewes at pasture. During the night, the ewes that grazed for 8 h were housed in a wide straw-bedded pen within a semi-open fold, equipped with a water trough; they received no feeding supplement. The ewes were managed according to the guidelines for accommodation and care of experimental animals of the European Union Directive 86/609/EEC and the recommendation of the Commission of the European Communities 2007/526/EC.

Measurements, sampling and analyses

The initial and final forage biomass of pasture were estimated by cutting four areas of 2 m^2 per subplot at ground level, and drying the relative samples at 105° C for DM determination.

Measurements and sampling of eating activity and forage intake, and recording of individual milk yield of ewes were performed four times during the trial with 10-day intervals. Eating activity of ewes at pasture, expressed as time spent eating (min/day), was monitored for 24 h by direct observations and recorded every 15 min. During eating time, the plant parts ingested by ewes were also observed and recorded. Successively, samples of forage intake were collected by hand-plucking plant parts simulating the ewes' bites and respecting their recorded incidence.

Freeze-dried samples of forage intake by ewes were analysed for DM, CP (N \times 6.25), ether extract, ash, NDF, ADF and ADL. Non-fibre carbohydrates (NFC) were calculated as (100 – (CP + ether extract + ash + NDF)). Freeze-dried samples of sulla forage intake were analysed for CT by the butanol–HCl method, using delphinidin as the standard (Porter *et al.*, 1986).

The forage DM intake and *in vivo* DM digestibility of grazing ewes were assessed by the *n*-alkane method (Dove and Mayes, 1991). During the trial, the ewes were continuously dosed orally twice daily (0730 and 1700 h) with a pure cellulose stopper containing 30 mg C_{32} -alkane. For each of the four measurement periods to estimate DM intake, faecal grabs were collected twice daily after milking from each ewe during a 4-day period, then freeze-dried and grouped (using about 1 g for each of the eight freeze-dried grabs)

into individual samples. No discomfort was observed among ewes as a result of stopper intake or faeces collection. The concentration of natural odd-chain alkanes and the dosed even-chain C_{32} -alkane in faeces and forage were determined in freeze-dried samples with C_{34} added as an internal standard, extracted with *n*-hexane and purified in a silica gel column. Quantification of alkanes was performed using an HP 6890 GC system equipped with an auto-sampler and flame ionisation detector (FID) (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and an HP-5 5% phenyl methyl siloxane stationary phase (30 m, 0.32 mm ID, 0.25 µm film thickness) (J&W Scientific, Folsom, CA, USA). Data obtained were used to estimate forage DM intake and digestibility. Intake rate (g/min of DM) was calculated on the basis of DM intake and time spent eating at pasture.

The FA composition of freeze-dried forage samples (50 mg) was determined using the one-step extraction and transesterification procedure (Sukhija and Palmquist, 1988). Forage FA was identified and quantified as described for cheese FA.

Cheese was made on days 1, 3 and 5 in the 3rd week of the experiment (three replicates for each group), and repeated in the 6th week. In each of the six cheese-making sessions, 48 h bulk milk from each group was separately collected, refrigerated and transformed according to the Pecorino Siciliano cheese-making procedure. Cheeses were placed in a ripening cellar for 15 days at 18°C and 75% relative humidity.

The 48 h bulk milk from each group was sampled and analysed for lactose, fat, protein, casein and somatic cell count (SCC) by infrared methods (Combifoss 6000; Foss Electric, Hillerød, Denmark). Samples of 15-day aged cheeses were freeze-dried and analysed for DM, fat, protein (N \times 6.38) and ash, according to International Dairy Federation standards.

FAs in freeze-dried cheese samples (100 mg) were methylated in 1 ml hexane with 2 ml 0.5 M NaOCH₃ at 50°C for 15 min followed by 1 ml 5% HCl in methanol at 50°C for 15 min (Lee and Tweed, 2008). Fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) were recovered in hexane (1.5 ml). A quantity of 1 μ l of each sample was injected by auto-sampler into a HP 6890 GC system equipped with FID, and a 100 m length, 0.25 mm ID, and 0.25 µm film thickness capillary column (CP-Sil 88; Chrompack, Middelburg, The Netherlands) for FAME separation. FAs were identified by a FAME hexane mix solution (Nu-Chek-Prep, Elysian, MN, USA). A standard mixture of methyl esters of c9, t11-C18:2 and c10,t12-C18:2 (Sigma, Milano, Italy) and published isomeric profile (Kramer et al., 2004; Luna et al., 2005) were used to help in identifying the conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) isomers. Total FAs were quantified using C23:0 (Sigma) as an internal standard (4 mg/g freeze-dried sample). The health-promoting index (HPI) was calculated as unsaturated FA $(UFA)/[C12:0 + (4 \times C14:0) + C16:0]$ (Chen *et al.*, 2004).

Statistical analysis

Data were statistically analysed using SAS 9.2 software. For individual data of eating activity (min/day), forage intake and milk yield, with the ewe as experimental unit, the fixed effects of sampling day (SD; 1 to 4), FS (sulla and ryegrass), daily GT (8 and 22 h) and FS \times GT interaction were assessed

by a MIXED model for repeated measures, with SD as the repeated measure, and the ewe within the FS × GT as the repeated subject, regarded as a random error term. The traits of bulk milk and cheese, with the group as experimental unit, were analysed by the GLM procedure with a model considering the effects of sampling week (3rd and 6th), FS, GT and FS × GT. The SCC values were transformed logarithmically (log₁₀). When a significant effect ($P \le 0.05$) of the FS × GT interaction was detected, means were compared using *P* values that were adjusted according to the Tukey–Kramer multiple comparisons test.

Results

Ewe performance

The initial forage biomass resulted in 4.56, 4.46, 2.31 and 2.50 t/ha of DM, with incidences of cultivated species of 99.0%, 99.3%, 87.4% and 84.4% in S8, S22, R8 and R22 subplots, respectively, and decreased to 3.20, 2.10, 2.48, and 1.50 t/ha of DM, with 82.0%, 84.1%, 62.6% and 80.4% of cultivated species, at the end of the 42-day grazing period.

The sulla forage was higher in CP and NFC, and lower in NDF, ether extract, oleic (OA) (c9-C18:1), linoleic (LA) (C18:2n-6) and α -linolenic acid (ALA) (C18:2n-3) than ryegrass, and with a CT content of 3.3% DM (Table 1).

The eating activity (Table 2) did not differ between the S8 and R8 ewes, but increased for both forages with longer grazing, although this increase was greater with ryegrass, explaining the significant $FS \times GT$ interaction.

DM intake was higher with sulla than ryegrass; consequently, ewes grazing sulla had increased CP, ether extract and NFC intake, but had reduced ingestion of NDF, OA and LA (Table 2). Ewes that grazed for 22 h had higher DM, nutrient and FA intake than those that grazed for 8 h. Due to the higher DM intake, longer grazing on sulla pasture increased CT intake.

The intake rate (g/min of DM) was lower with sulla than ryegrass, whereas the reduction due to 22 h grazing tended to be less intense with ryegrass. The S22 ewes showed increased *in vivo* DM digestibility compared with R22 ewes. Higher milk yield was observed with sulla forage and 22 h grazing (Table 2).

Bulk milk and cheese

The components of bulk milk, with the exception of SCC, were affected by FS and daily GT (Table 2). Grazing sulla and prolonged grazing to 22 h/day reduced milk fat. The milk protein and casein showed a significant interaction due to the lower levels emerged in R8 milk.

Cheese yield was similar among groups, whereas its composition reflected that of the bulk milk of origin. Indeed, R8 cheese was higher in fat and lower in protein (Table 2).

Both FS and daily GT influenced the FA composition of cheese fat (Tables 3 and 4), whereas they did not change the content of total FA (Table 4).

Table 1 Chemical (% dry matter (DM)) and fatty acid composition (g/kg DM) of sulla and ryegrass forage intake of ewes grazing for 8 or 22 h/day
(means ± SD)

	Su	lla	Rye	grass
	8 h/day	22 h/day	8 h/day	22 h/day
Samples (n)	4	4	4	4
DM (%)	17.3 ± 1.89	17.3 ± 1.92	20.2 ± 1.84	20.5 ± 2.17
СР	25.2 ± 4.56	25.3 ± 3.95	15.5 ± 1.58	15.8 ± 1.64
Ether extract	3.40 ± 0.97	3.30 ± 0.93	4.04 ± 0.32	4.15 ± 0.41
Ash	11.8 ± 1.94	11.5 ± 2.08	14.7 ± 1.11	14.9 ± 129
NDF	28.1 ± 14.6	28.2 ± 14.1	44.7 ± 6.60	44.1 ± 7.42
ADF	25.0 ± 13.9	24.9 ± 13.5	32.3 ± 4.41	31.9 ± 4.86
ADL	5.80 ± 5.44	5.64 ± 5.31	2.24 ± 0.65	2.18 ± 0.59
Non-fibre carbohydrates	31.5 ± 11.9	31.7 ± 12.1	21.0 ± 8.49	21.0 ± 8.98
Condensed tannins (g DE/100 g DM)	3.19 ± 1.51	3.35 ± 1.65		
C12:0	0.15 ± 0.042	0.14 ± 0.040	0.94 ± 0.077	0.98 ± 0.096
C14:0	0.26 ± 0.075	0.25 ± 0.071	0.19 ± 0.015	0.20 ± 0.019
C16:0	3.95 ± 1.13	3.79 ± 1.07	3.92 ± 0.32	4.09 ± 0.40
C18:0	0.77 ± 0.22	0.74 ± 0.21	0.59 ± 0.048	0.61 ± 0.060
<i>c</i> 9-C18:1 (OA)	0.95 ± 0.27	0.91 ± 0.26	1.40 ± 0.11	1.46 ± 0.14
C18:2n-6 (LA)	2.71 ± 0.78	2.60 ± 0.73	4.86 ± 0.40	5.06 ± 0.49
C18:3n-3 (ALA)	17.1 ± 4.90	16.4 ± 4.63	20.0 ± 1.63	20.8 ± 2.04

DE = delphinidin equivalent; OA = oleic acid; LA = linoleic acid; $ALA = \alpha$ -linolenic acid.

Table 2 Eating activity, dry matter (DM), nutrients and fatty acid intake, DM digestibility, milk yield and quality traits of bulk milk and cheese of ewes grazing sulla or ryegrass for 8 or 22 h/day

Forage species (FS)	Sulla		Ryegrass			P-value		
Grazing time (GT)	8 h/day	22 h/day	8 h/day	22 h/day	SEM	FS	GT	FS × GT
Eating activity (min/day)	325 C	479 B	338 C	551 A	4.75	***	***	***
Intake (g/day)								
DM	1341	1587	1038	1215	50.4	***	* * *	Ns
СР	342	404	160	190	11.8	***	* * *	Ns
NDF	356	419	464	531	19.7	* * *	**	Ns
Non-fibre carbohydrates	440	532	220	263	14.9	* * *	* * *	Ns
Condensed tannins	45.0	57.7			2.08		* * *	
<i>c</i> 9-C18:1 (OA)	1.30	1.47	1.46	1.78	0.057	* * *	* * *	Ns
C18:2n-6 (LA)	3.71	4.19	5.05	6.18	0.18	* * *	* * *	+
C18:3n-3 (ALA)	23.4	26.5	20.8	25.5	0.92	+	* * *	Ns
Intake rate (g/min of DM)	4.57	3.38	3.08	2.26	0.11	* * *	* * *	+
DM digestibility (%)	67.4 ab	69.5 a	67.9 ab	66.4 b	0.88	Ns	Ns	*
Milk								
Yield (g/day)	712	820	510	616	46.3	* * *	*	Ns
Lactose (%)	4.64	4.67	4.56	4.58	0.020	* * *	Ns	Ns
Fat (%)	6.88	6.33	7.12	6.86	0.18	*	*	Ns
Protein (%)	5.92 A	5.90 A	5.53 B	5.85 A	0.029	***	* * *	***
Casein (%)	4.62 A	4.58 A	4.32 B	4.57 A	0.024	***	* * *	* * *
Somatic cell count (log ₁₀ n/ml)	5.83	5.46	5.33	5.29	0.21	Ns	Ns	Ns
Cheese								
Yield at 15 days (%)	11.6	11.8	12.1	12.3	0.53	Ns	Ns	Ns
Moisture (%)	34.4	34.6	32.8	35.8	1.13	Ns	Ns	Ns
Fat (% DM)	43.9 B	42.8 B	48.4 A	43.7 B	0.81	**	**	*
Protein (% DM)	45.5 A	47.1 A	42.5 B	46.0 A	0.45	***	* * *	*
Ash (% DM)	8.52	8.39	7.97	7.93	0.36	Ns	Ns	Ns

 $\hline \hline OA = oleic acid; LA = linoleic acid; ALA = \alpha-linolenic acid; Ns = not significant.$ a,b,c,P < 0.05; A,B,C,P < 0.01. $***P < 0.001, **P < 0.01, *P < 0.05, +P < 0.10. \\ \hline \end{tabular}$

Table 3 Saturated fatty acid (FA) composition (mg/g fat) of cheese from milk of ewes grazing sulla or ryegrass for 8 or 22 h/day

Forage species (FS)	Sulla		Rye	grass		<i>P</i> -value		
Grazing time (GT)	8 h/day	22 h/day	8 h/day	22 h/day	SEM	FS	GT	FS × GT
C4:0	5.95	6.65	5.64	6.09	0.53	Ns	Ns	Ns
C6:0	11.9	13.3	11.4	11.5	0.78	Ns	Ns	Ns
C8:0	15.9	18.1	14.9	15.2	1.04	+	Ns	Ns
C9:0	0.29	0.39	0.51	0.40	0.082	Ns	Ns	Ns
C10:0	53.2	62.0	50.8	53.1	3.08	Ns	Ns	Ns
C11:0	2.16	2.39	2.68	2.86	0.25	+	Ns	Ns
C12:0	30.7	37.1	31.1	33.9	2.13	Ns	+	Ns
anteiso-C13:0	0.29	0.26	0.44	0.48	0.029	* * *	Ns	Ns
C13:0	1.03	1.20	1.48	1.65	0.12	* * *	Ns	Ns
iso-C14:0	0.82	0.75	1.23	1.35	0.071	* * *	Ns	Ns
C14:0	77.9	86.6	84.5	89.5	2.94	Ns	*	Ns
iso-C15:0	1.34	1.16	3.57	3.76	0.23	* * *	Ns	Ns
anteiso-C15:0	3.46 Bb	3.00 Bc	5.51 Aa	5.73 Aa	0.11	* * *	Ns	**
C15:0	8.59	8.73	12.5	12.7	0.26	* * *	Ns	Ns
iso-C16:0	2.17	1.90	2.82	2.85	0.11	* * *	Ns	Ns
C16:0	172	169	184	189	4.27	**	Ns	Ns
iso-C17:0	4.98	3.98	6.82	6.48	0.28	* * *	*	Ns
anteiso-C17:0	4.28 ab	4.32 ab	4.06 b	4.59 a	0.087	Ns	**	*
C17:0	3.29 Bb	6.03 ABa	7.59 Aa	7.67 Aa	0.58	* * *	*	*
iso-C18:0	0.49	0.37	0.75	0.57	0.082	*	+	Ns
C18:0	69.8	72.7	72.7	77.4	3.99	Ns	Ns	Ns
C20:0	5.82	6.05	2.89	2.83	0.49	* * *	Ns	Ns
C22:0	1.84	1.68	1.33	1.33	0.14	**	Ns	Ns
C24:0	0.82	0.91	0.62	0.59	0.058	* * *	Ns	Ns
Saturated FA	479	509	509	532	11.7	*	*	Ns
Branched-chain FA	17.8 Bb	15.7 Bc	25.2 Aa	25.8 Aa	0.59	* * *	Ns	*

$$\begin{split} & \text{Ns} = \text{not significant.} \\ & {}^{a,b,c}P < 0.05; \, {}^{A,B}P < 0.01. \\ & {}^{***}P < 0.001, \, {}^{**}P < 0.01, \, {}^{*}P < 0.05, \, {}^{+}P < 0.10. \end{split}$$

Saturated fatty acid (SFA) levels (Table 3) were lower in sulla than in ryegrass cheese, and in cheese produced from 8 h compared with 22 h of grazing, in large part due to the smaller contribution of C16:0 and C14:0, respectively. Compared with sulla, ryegrass increased the level of most branched-chain fatty acids (BCFA) and their total amounts. The significant interaction shows that longer grazing decreased total BCFA in only sulla cheese.

Most of the monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) (Table 4), among which OA predominates, were lower in sulla than in ryegrass cheese. Consequently, total MUFA was lower in sulla cheese, with decreased levels in S22 compared with S8 cheese. An exception emerged for the trans vaccenic acid (VA) (t11-C18:1), which was detected at higher concentrations in sulla cheeses, regardless of grazing duration.

Total PUFA (Table 4) was almost two-fold higher in sulla than in ryegrass cheese. A slight but significant reduction of PUFA emerged in S22 compared with S8 cheese. Sulla cheeses were particularly enriched in LA, ALA and eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) (C20:5n-3). The rumenic acid (RA) (CLA c9,t11-C18:2) had a significant interaction due to its reduction in S22 compared with S8 cheese. However, the total content of other CLA isomers was higher in sulla than in ryegrass cheese.

Sulla cheeses, which are lower in SFA and MUFA and higher in PUFA, had lower SFA/PUFA and SFA/UFA ratios than ryegrass cheeses. Compared with 22 h grazing, 8 h grazing led to a reduction in SFA, regardless of FS, but had increased MUFA and PUFA only in sulla cheese. Consequently, the SFA/PUFA and SFA/UFA ratios tended to be lowest in S8 cheeses. Total n-6 and n-3 FA were affected by FS, being higher in sulla cheeses. The n-6/n-3 ratio was lower in sulla cheeses and showed a trend towards reduction in R22 cheeses. With regard to FS, the Δ -9 desaturase ratios (DR) of the desaturase products to the sum of precursors and products (Table 4) were lower in sulla than in ryegrass cheeses, with exception of the DR of C16:0 that, affected by GT, was lower in 22 h than in 8 h cheeses. A less pronounced reduction of both DR of C14:0 and RA occurred when sulla pasture was grazed for 8 h than for 22 h. The HPI was highest in S8 cheeses.

Discussion

Ewe performance

During the 42-day grazing period, sulla pasture ensured higher forage availability for ewes than ryegrass, whereas 22 h grazing corresponded to a lower final biomass than 8 h grazing. Nevertheless, the forage biomass in each subplot

Forage species (FS)	Sulla		Ryegrass			<i>P-</i> value		
Grazing time (GT)	8 h/day	22 h/day	8 h/day	22 h/day	SEM	FS	GT	FS × G1
<i>c</i> 9-C14:1	1.09	1.05	1.74	1.87	0.067	***	Ns	Ns
<i>t</i> 9-C16:1	1.50	1.38	1.65	1.43	0.038	*	***	Ns
<i>c</i> 9-C16:1	6.85	5.73	11.37	11.11	0.22	* * *	**	+
<i>c</i> 10-C17:1	1.35	1.25	3.01	2.74	0.059	* * *	**	Ns
<i>t</i> 11-C18:1 (VA)	30.1	26.7	23.2	22.5	1.76	**	Ns	Ns
<i>c</i> 9-C18:1 (OA)	117	110	137	142	4.36	* * *	Ns	Ns
<i>c</i> 11-C18:1	1.86	2.18	2.65	2.80	0.20	**	Ns	Ns
<i>c</i> 12-C18:1	0.98	0.93	0.99	1.05	0.035	+	Ns	Ns
<i>c</i> 13-C18:1	0.64	0.55	0.47	0.47	0.032	* * *	Ns	Ns
<i>c</i> 14 + <i>t</i> 16-C18:1	5.30	5.21	4.64	4.86	0.14	**	Ns	Ns
<i>c</i> 15 + <i>t</i> 12-C18:1	4.16	4.06	3.53	3.81	0.089	* * *	Ns	+
<i>c</i> 16-C18:1	1.26	0.94	0.69	0.81	0.085	* * *	Ns	+
Monounsaturated FA	172 Bb	160 Bc	191 Aa	195 Aa	4.18	* * *	Ns	*
t9, t12-C18:2	5.81	5.05	5.68	5.41	0.33	Ns	Ns	Ns
<i>c</i> 9, <i>t</i> 13-C18:2	2.98	2.81	2.95	2.97	0.088	Ns	Ns	Ns
<i>t</i> 11, <i>c</i> 15-C18:2	9.11	8.06	4.11	4.67	0.54	* * *	Ns	Ns
C18:2n-6 (LA)	15.3	15.2	8.2	8.7	0.78	* * *	Ns	Ns
CLA c9, t11-C18:2 (RA)	10.9 a	8.92 b	9.61 ab	9.95 ab	0.68	Ns	Ns	*
Other CLA isomers	5.48	5.27	3.37	3.10	0.14	***	+	Ns
C18:3n-6 (GLA)	0.20	0.10	n.d.	n.d.	0.057		Ns	
C18:3n-3 (ALA)	30.7	30.7	7.28	8.58	1.08	* * *	Ns	Ns
C20:2n-6	0.068	0.058	0.082	0.070	0.052	Ns	Ns	Ns
C20:3n-3	0.63	0.52	0.66	0.44	0.16	Ns	Ns	Ns
C20:4n-6	0.82	0.73	0.95	0.83	0.046	*	*	Ns
C20:5n-3 (EPA)	0.80	0.69	0.63	0.58	0.073	*	Ns	Ns
C22:6n-3 (DHA)	0.33	0.35	0.22	0.35	0.035	Ns	+	Ns
Polyunsaturated FA	83.2 Aa	78.4 Ab	43.8 Bc	45.5 Bc	1.39	***	Ns	*
Unsaturated FA	255 Aa	239 ABb	235 Bb	240 ABb	3.64	*	Ns	**
Unidentified FA	3.92 a	5.02 b	4.94 ab	4.50 ab	0.26	Ns	Ns	**
Total FA	738	753	749	777	10.96	Ns	+	Ns
SFA/PUFA	5.77	6.49	11.65	11.68	0.17	***	*	+
SFA/UFA	1.88	2.13	2.18	2.22	0.070	*	+	+
n-6 FA	25.2	23.9	17.9	17.9	1.06	* * *	Ns	Ns
n-3 FA	41.6	40.3	12.9	14.6	0.70	* * *	Ns	+
n-6/n-3	0.60 Bc	0.59 Bc	1.39 Aa	1.22 Ab	0.030	* * *	**	*
DR <i>c</i> 9-C14:1/C14:0 + <i>c</i> 9-C14:1	0.014 B	0.012 C	0.020 A	0.020 A	0.0003	* * *	*	**
DR $c9$ -C16:1/C16:0 + $c9$ -C16:1	0.0086	0.0081	0.0089	0.0075	0.0002	Ns	***	+
DR <i>c</i> 9-C18:1/C18:0 + <i>c</i> 9-C18:1	0.63	0.60	0.66	0.65	0.0078	***	+	Ńs
DR RA/VA + RA	0.27 Bb	0.25 Bc	0.29 Aa	0.31 Aa	0.0035	* * *	Ńs	***
Health-promoting index ¹	0.50 a	0.43 b	0.43 b	0.42 b	0.016	*	*	*

Table 4 Unsaturated fatty acid (FA) composition (mg/g fat) of cheese from milk of ewes grazing sulla or ryegrass for 8 or 22 h/day

Ns = not significant; VA = *trans* vaccenic acid; OA = oleic acid; LA = linoleic acid; RA = rumenic acid; GLA = γ -linolenic acid; ALA = α -linolenic acid; EPA = eicosapentaenoic acid; DHA = decosahexaenoic acid; DR = Δ -9 desaturase ratio. a,b,cP < 0.05; ^{A,B,C}P < 0.01.

¹Chen *et al.* (2004).

****P*<0.001, ***P*<0.01, **P*<0.05, +*P*<0.10.

seemed not to limit the ewes from expressing their selective behaviour and voluntary feed intake.

The chemical composition of forages grazed by ewes was in line with that of the respective plant species (Molle *et al.*, 2003; Bonanno *et al.*, 2013a).

Sulla CT level (3.3% DM) was moderate (<6% DM) in relation to the potential adverse effects on feed utilisation by the animals in accordance with Min *et al.* (2003).

Similar to every other green forage, both sulla and ryegrass were rich in PUFA (>75% of total FA), which mostly

consisted of ALA (> 60%), in line with Cabiddu *et al.* (2005). The lower levels of OA, LA and ALA in sulla forage were mainly due to the lower levels of lipid (ether extract).

Prolonging grazing from 8 to 22 h/day significantly increased the ewes' eating activity with ryegrass compared with sulla, demonstrating their preference for ryegrass during the evening and night, as observed by Harvey *et al.* (2000). As ryegrass was lower in NFC than sulla, the major preference for eating ryegrass after sunset could be explained by the better palatability linked to the increase in water-soluble

carbohydrates (WSC) in forage, due to the accumulation of diurnal photosynthesis products (Avondo *et al.*, 2008). As ryegrass was higher in NDF than sulla, this behaviour could also be caused by the exigency to eat more fibre in the night, as high-fibre intake during the day may compete with rumination (Dumont *et al.*, 2001).

The higher DM intake of ewes grazing sulla compared with those grazing ryegrass could be related to sulla composition. which is lower in NDF and higher in NFC than ryegrass, favouring voluntary intake as observed by Molle et al. (2003). The lower intake rate with ryegrass may be explained by the low amount of biomass per bite, which characterises the prehension of grass forage by ewes (Orr et al., 2001). The 8 h grazing ewes had higher intake rates than the 22 h grazing ewes to counterbalance the shorter presence at pasture, in line with previous studies that found that short grazing is generally compensated by faster intake rate compared with grazing for the entire day (Romney et al., 1996) and that sheep can regulate intake rate as a function of the time allowance at pasture when herbage availability is high (lason et al., 1999). Accordingly, 22 h grazing would have allowed the ewes to modulate herbage intake in smaller and more numerous meals.

Despite their higher forage intake and intake rate, S22 ewes showed higher DM digestibility than R22 ewes. This result could be linked to a wider and more uniform distribution of eating activity throughout the whole day, which Bonanno et al. (2007) observed on ewes grazing sulla compared with ewes grazing ryegrass and attributed to CT. Similarly, Landau et al. (2000) demonstrated that CT-exposed cattle spent less time on main meals and had increased frequency of small meals: these authors interpreted this eating pattern as a means to preserve the ruminal environment from the negative effects of high CT intake, such as partial deactivation of microbial cellulase, and consequently lower fibre degradation in the rumen (Min et al., 2003) and impairment of DM and CP digestibility (Molle et al., 2009). On the contrary, in this study, the hypothesised eating pattern of S22 ewes (more small meals widely distributed throughout the day), attributed to CT, could have favoured a slower passage of ingesta along the digestive tract, or a more constant rumen environment, in this way improving nutrient utilisation, as can be presumed by the higher DM digestibility of S22 ewes.

The ewes' milk yield showed a trend corresponding to DM intake, being higher with sulla forage and 22 h grazing. On the whole, grazing sulla forage and the extension of grazing from 8 to 22 h allowed the ewes to spend more time eating, and increased their voluntary DM intake and milk yield, according to Bonanno *et al.* (2007).

Bulk milk and cheese

With regard to bulk milk composition, the reduction in fat occurred with sulla and 22 h grazing, which can be attributed to the dilution effect caused by a higher milk yield. On the contrary, milk protein and casein did not show a dilution effect, and were lowest in R8 milk, corresponding to the lowest milk yield. Thus, there were positive effects of both sulla and ryegrass in maintaining and increasing milk protein and casein composition, respectively, when grazing was prolonged, consequently leading to an increase in milk yield. The favourable effect of feeding sulla on milk protein and casein was also observed by Bonanno *et al.* (2013a and 2013b), and attributed to the intake of CT. This was able to reduce protein degradability in the rumen, and consequently increase the amount of amino acids to be absorbed in the intestinal tract (Min *et al.*, 2003), thereby contributing to the improved efficiency of dietary protein utilisation for milk casein synthesis in the udder tissue. The increase in milk protein and casein in R22 milk could be a consequence of improved microbial protein synthesis in the rumen due to a higher WSC/CP ratio in the forage grazed after sunset, as hypothesised by Avondo *et al.* (2008).

On the whole, compared with GT, FS had a higher impact on the FA profile of cheese. The total SFA content was lower in cheese from sulla, due to the lower content in C16:0, and in cheese from 8 h grazing ewes, due to the lower contribution of C14:0 and, tendentially, C12:0. These three mediumchain SFA have hypercholesterolaemic effects by increasing low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (Ohlsson, 2010). The higher content in C14:0 in cheese from 22 h grazing ewes, regardless of FS, does not seem to be completely linked to the activity of the Δ -9 DR of C14:0, which was lower only in S22 cheese; then, its increase, together with that of C12:0, could be attributed to the higher NDF intake induced by the longer grazing duration, as the even-chain SFA from C:6 to C14:0 are entirely synthesised *de novo* within the mammary gland from acetate, produced during cellulose fermentation in the rumen (Bauman and Griinari, 2003). The BCFA content was lower in sulla cheese, especially when sulla was grazed for a longer period of time. Milk BCFA, which has garnered interest because of its anti-cancer activity, is particularly recognised for its iso-C15:0 and iso-C16:0 content (Parodi, 2009), which is mainly derived from the biosynthesis of cellulolytic bacteria leaving the rumen. For this reason, they are favoured by diets with higher fibre levels or forage/ concentrate ratio, and are considered markers of rumen microbial fermentation and microbial flow from rumen to the duodenum (Vlaeminck et al., 2006). Accordingly, the higher BCFA level in ryegrass cheese can be linked to the higher NDF intake of ewes. Vlaeminck et al. (2006) suggested that variation in the BCFA profile could reflect changes in the rumen environment and microbial population induced by diet. Hence, the decreasing total BCFA in S22 cheeses could be justified by the higher NFC intake of ewes that could have reduced the contribution of cellulolytic bacteria to the ruminal population, and the relative biosynthesis of BCFA (Vlaeminck et al., 2006).

The sulla cheese, compared with ryegrass cheese, was lower in MUFA, with the exception of VA, and was markedly higher in PUFA, especially LA, ALA and EPA, in accordance with Cabiddu *et al.* (2005) who similarly compared the milk from ewes grazing sulla or ryegrass for the entire day, and Bonanno *et al.* (2013b), who compared the milk from goats fed sulla or a hay-based diet.

The reduction of MUFA in sulla cheeses was mainly due the lower OA, presumably linked to its lower intake, and also to the lower activity of Δ -9 desaturase in mammary gland, as indicated by the DR ratio of C18:0.

Due to the increased level of PUFA and n-3 FA, the sulla cheeses resulted in a more beneficial FA profile for the health needs of consumers (Bauman *et al.*, 2006; Dewhurst *et al.*, 2006; Chilliard *et al.*, 2007). These results do not appear to be supported by the LA and ALA intake due to grazing sulla, which were comparable with those with ryegrass, but can be explained by the intake of sulla CT that would have been able to inhibit the activity of ruminal microorganisms in biohydrogenating PUFA, as demonstrated by Cabiddu *et al.* (2009), and then favour PUFA transfer in milk and cheese.

The effect of daily GT was relevant only on sulla pasture where shorter grazing resulted in S8 cheeses that were higher in RA, MUFA and PUFA content. The RA is the most abundant among CLA isomers, and is known for its benefits on human health due to its anti-cancer and anti-atherogenic effects (Parodi, 2009). Hence, the HPI, which assigns a health value to cheese fat, was the highest in S8 cheeses that consequently appeared to be less detrimental to human health. In addition, the Δ -9 DR of C14:0 that provides the best estimation of the mammary Δ -9 desaturase activity (Corl *et al.*, 2001) and that of RA improved in S8 cheeses in comparison with S22 cheeses.

Also, these results could be related to the CT intake of S8 ewes that, although lower than that of S22 ewes, was presumably more frequent and concentrated over a shorter eating time, as indicated by the higher intake rate. This intake pattern could have provoked a certain CT accumulation in the rumen that would have further inhibited the PUFA biohvdrogenating activity of ruminal microorganisms. Accordingly, this supposed lower degree of PUFA biohydrogenation in S8 ewes can be linked to the higher formation of intermediates of the saturation process among which RA contributed to increase the levels of PUFA. The incomplete saturation of dietary PUFA into stearic acid gives origin to both VA and RA in the rumen; VA is the last intermediate of LA and ALA biohydrogenation, formed also by the isomerisation of OA, whereas RA is formed at the first step of biohydrogenation of LA (Bauman et al., 2006; Chilliard et al., 2007). However, the increase in RA in S8 cheeses has to be linked mainly to the conversion of VA flowing from the rumen into RA, occurring in the mammary gland through the activity of the Δ -9 desaturase enzyme system (Chilliard et al., 2007; Jenkins et al., 2008), and favoured by a high level of VA (Bauman *et al.*, 2006).

The decrease in PUFA and RA in S22 cheeses, compared with S8 cheeses, due to longer grazing is in accordance with the observations by Cabiddu *et al.* (2005 and 2009) on milk from ewes exposed to sulla CT. This result could be linked to the eating pattern previously described for S22 ewes (more small meals widely partitioned throughout the day) that, in addition to improving DM digestibility, would have also mitigated the adverse effects of CT accumulation on PUFA biohydrogenating bacteria in the rumen, as supported by the slight (but not significant) decrease in VA acid, to which can be linked the lower DR of RA.

Conclusions

This study confirmed the potential of sulla forage to enhance ruminant productivity and the nutritional content of dairy products. Grazing sulla forage improved ewes' dairy performance. In addition, due to the ability of CT to inhibit dietary PUFA biohydrogenation by ruminal microorganisms, this forage induced a more beneficial cheese FA profile for consumer health, by increasing the level of PUFA and n-3 FA. Short grazing on sulla pasture was effective for further improving the nutritional properties of cheese fat, as it increased the contents of BCFA, MUFA, PUFA, RA as well as the HPI. Nevertheless, prolonging daily grazing from 8 to 22 h improved the ewes' productive responses, thus resulting in a viable strategy to increase the economic productivity of grazing farming systems.

Acknowledgements

This research was conducted within the project PROLACTIS and the 'Project for the development of organic farming in Sicily', funded by the Regione Siciliana, Italy.

References

Avondo M, Bonanno A, Pagano RI, Valenti B, Di Grigoli A, Alicata ML, Galofaro V and Pennisi P 2008. Milk quality as affected by grazing time of day in Mediterranean goats. Journal of Dairy Research 75, 48–54.

Bauman DE and Griinari JM 2003. Nutritional regulation of milk fat synthesis. Annual Review of Nutrition 23, 203–227.

Bauman DE, Mather IH, Wall RJ and Lock AL 2006. Major advances associated with the biosynthesis of milk. Journal of Dairy Science 89, 1235–1243.

Bonanno A, Di Grigoli A, Di Trana A, Di Gregorio P, Tornambè G, Bellina V, Claps S, Maggio G and Todaro M 2013a. Influence of fresh forage-based diets and α_{s1} -casein (CSN1S1) genotype on nutrient intake and productive, metabolic, and hormonal responses in milking goats. Journal of Dairy Science 96, 2107–2117.

Bonanno A, Di Grigoli A, Montalbano M, Bellina V, Mazza F and Todaro M 2013b. Effects of diet on casein and fatty acid profiles of milk from goats differing in genotype for α_{S1} -casein synthesis. European Food Research and Technology 237, 951–963.

Bonanno A, Di Grigoli A, Vargetto D, Tornambè G, Di Miceli G and Giambalvo D 2007. Grazing sulla and/or ryegrass forage for 8 or 24 hours daily. Effects on ewes feeding behaviour. In Permanent and temporary grassland plant, environment and economy (ed. A De Vliegher and L Carlier), pp. 208–211. European Grassland Federation, Ghent, Belgium.

Bonanno A, Di Miceli G, Di Grigoli A, Frenda AS, Tornambè G, Giambalvo D and Amato G 2011. Effects of feeding green forage of sulla (*Hedysarum coronarium* L.) on lamb growth, gastrointestinal nematode infection, and carcass and meat quality. Animal 5, 148–154.

Cabiddu A, Decandia M, Addis M, Piredda G, Pirisi A and Molle G 2005. Managing Mediterranean pastures in order to enhance the level of beneficial fatty acids in sheep milk. Small Ruminant Research 59, 169–180.

Cabiddu A, Molle G, Decandia M, Spada S, Fiori M, Piredda G and Addis M 2009. Responses to condensed tannins of flowering sulla (*Hedysarum coronarium* L.) grazed by dairy sheep. Part 2: effects on milk fatty acid profile. Livestock Science 123, 230–240.

Chen S, Bobe G, Zimmerman S, Hammond EG, Luhman CM, Boylston TD, Freeman AE and Beitz DC 2004. Physical and sensory properties of dairy products from cows with various milk fatty acid compositions. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 52, 3422–3428.

Chilliard Y, Glasser F, Ferlay A, Bernard L, Rouel J and Doreau M 2007. Diet rumen biohydrogenation and nutritional quality of cow and goat milk fat. European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology 109, 828–855.

Corl BA, Baumgard LH, Dwyer DA, Griinari JM, Phillips BS and Bauman DE 2001. The role of Δ^9 -desaturase in the production of cis-9 trans-11 CLA. Journal of Nutrition Biochemistry 12, 622–630.

Dewhurst RJ, Shingfield KJ, Lee MRF and Scollan ND 2006. Increasing the concentrations of beneficial polyunsaturated fatty acids in milk produced by dairy cows in high-forage systems. Animal Feed Science and Technology 131, 168–206.

Di Trana A, Bonanno A, Cecchini S, Giorgio D, Di Grigoli A and Claps S 2015. Effects of Sulla forage (*Sulla coronarium* L.) on the oxidative status and milk polyphenol content in goats. Journal of Dairy Science 98, 37–46.

Dove H and Mayes RW 1991. Use of plant wax alkanes as marker substances in studies of the nutrition of herbivores: a review. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 42, 913–952.

Dumont B, Meuret M, Boissy A and Petit M 2001. Le pâturage vu par l'animal: mécanismes comportementaux et applications en élevage. Fourrages 166, 213–238.

Harvey A, Parsons AJ, Rook AJ, Penning PD and Orr RJ 2000. Dietary preference of sheep for perennial ryegrass and white clover at contrasting sward surface heights. Grass and Forage Science 55, 242–252.

lason GR, Mantecon AR, Sim DA, Gonzalez J, Foreman E, Bermudez FF and Elston DA 1999. Can grazing sheep compensate for a daily foraging time constraint? Journal of Animal Ecology 68, 87–93.

Jenkins TC, Wallace RJ, Moate PJ and Mosley EE 2008. Board-invited review: recent advances in biohydrogenation of unsaturated fatty acids within the rumen microbial ecosystem. Journal of Animal Science 86, 397–412.

Kramer JK, Cruz-Hernandez C, Deng Z, Zhou J, Jahreis G and Dugan ME 2004. Analysis of conjugated linoleic acid and trans 18:1 isomers in synthetic and animal products. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 79, 11375–11455.

Landau S, Silanikove N, Nitsan Z, Barkai D, Baram H, Provenza PD and Perevolotsky A 2000. Short-term changes in eating patterns explain the effects of condensed tannins of feed intake in heifers. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 69, 199–213.

Lee MRF and Tweed JKS 2008. Isomerisation of cis-9 trans-11 conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) to trans-9 trans-11 CLA during acidic methylation can be avoided by a rapid base catalysed methylation of milk fat. Journal of Dairy Research 75, 354–356.

Luna P, de la Fuente MA and Juárez M 2005. Conjugated linoleic acid in processed cheeses during the manufacturing stages. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 53, 2690–2695.

Min BR, Barry TN, Attwood GT and McNabb WC 2003. The effect of condensed tannins on the nutrition and health of ruminants feed fresh temperate forages: a review. Animal Feed Science and Technology 106, 3–19.

Molle G, Decandia M, Fois N, Ligios S, Cabiddu A and Sitzia M 2003. The performance of Mediterranean dairy sheep given access to sulla (*Hedysarum coronarium* L.) and annual ryegrass (*Lolium rigidum* Gaudin) pastures in different time proportions. Small Ruminant Research 49, 319–328.

Molle G, Decandia M, Giovannetti V, Cabiddu A, Fois N and Sitzia M 2009. Responses to condensed tannins of flowering sulla (*Hedysarum coronarium* L.) grazed by dairy sheep. Part 1: effects on feeding behaviour intake diet digestibility and performance. Livestock Science 123, 138–146.

Ohlsson L 2010. Dairy products and plasma cholesterol levels. Food & Nutrition Research 54, 5124, doi:103402/fnr.v54i0.5124.

Orr RJ, Penning PD, Rutter SM, Champion RA, Harvey A and Rook AJ 2001. Intake rate during meals and meal duration for sheep in different hunger states grazing grass or white clover swards. Applied Animal Behaviour Science 75, 33–45.

Parodi PW 2009. Milk fat nutrition. In Dairy fats and related products (ed. AY Tamime), pp. 28–51. Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, UK.

Piluzza G, Sulas L and Bullitta S 2014. Tannins in forage plants and their role in animal husbandry and environmental sustainability: a review. Grass and Forage Science 69, 32–48.

Porter LJ, Hrstick LN and Chan BG 1986. The conversion of procyanidins and prodelphinidins to cyniadin and delphinidin. Phytochemistry 25, 223–230.

Romney DL, Sendalo DSC, Owen E, Mtenga LA, Penning PD, Mayes RW and Hendy CRC 1996. Effects of tethering management on feed intake and behaviour of Tanzanian goats. Small Ruminant Research 19, 113–120.

Ruisi P, Siragusa M, Di Giorgio G, Graziano D, Amato G, Carimi F and Giambalvo D 2011. Pheno-morphological agronomic and genetic diversity among natural populations of sulla (*Hedysarum coronarium* L.) collected in Sicily, Italy. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution 58, 245–257.

Sukhija PS and Palmquist DL 1988. Rapid method for determination of total fatty acid content and composition of feedstuffs and feces. Journal of Agricultural Food Chemistry 36, 1202–1206.

Vlaeminck B, Fievez V, Cabrita ARJ, Fonseca AJM and Dewhurst RJ 2006. Factors affecting odd- and branched-chain fatty acids in milk: a review. Animal Feed Science and Technology 131, 389–417.