HBM4EU chromates study - Usefulness of measurement of blood chromium levels in the assessment of occupational Cr(VI) exposure.

Sophie Ndaw, Veruscka Leso, Radia Bousoumah, Aurélie Rémy, Beatrice Bocca, Radu Corneliu Duca, Lode Godderis, Emilie Hardy, Beata Janasik, An van Nieuwenhuyse, Hermínia Pinhal, Katrien Poels, Simo P. Porras, Flavia Ruggieri, Tiina Santonen, Sílvia Reis Santos, Paul.T.J. Scheepers, Maria João Silva, Jelle Verdonck, Susana Viegas, Wojciech Wasowicz, Ivo Iavicoli, HBM4EU chromates study team, Kukka Aimonen, Guillaume Antoine, Rob Anzion, Manuella Burgart, Andrea Cattaneo, Domenico M. Cavallo, Alcina Costa, Giuseppe De Palma, Flavien Denis, Giovanni Forte, Angela Gambelunghe, Ogier Hanser, Carina Ladeira, Elisabeth Leese, Risto Lehtinen, Henriqueta Louro, Piero Lovreglio, Nicole Majery, Philippe Marsan, Mathieu Melczer, Armandida Miranda, Edna Ribeiro, Françoise Schaefers, Marta Senofonte, Filomena Seuanes, Maurice van Dael, Riitta Velin

PII:S0013-9351(22)01085-4DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2022.113758Reference:YENRS 113758To appear in:Environmental Research

Received Date: 10 April 2022

Revised Date: 19 June 2022

Accepted Date: 20 June 2022

Please cite this article as: Ndaw, S., Leso, V., Bousoumah, R., Rémy, Auré., Bocca, B., Duca, R.C., Godderis, L., Hardy, E., Janasik, B., van Nieuwenhuyse, A., Pinhal, Hermí., Poels, K., Porras, S.P., Ruggieri, F., Santonen, T., Santos, Sí.Reis., Scheepers, P.T.J., Silva, Maria.Joã., Verdonck, J., Viegas, S., Wasowicz, W., Iavicoli, I., HBM4EU chromates study team, Aimonen, K., Antoine, G., Anzion, R., Burgart, M., Cattaneo, A., Cavallo, D.M., Costa, A., De Palma, G., Denis, F., Forte, G., Gambelunghe, A., Hanser, O., Ladeira, C., Leese, E., Lehtinen, R., Louro, H., Lovreglio, P., Majery, N., Marsan, P., Melczer, M., Miranda, A., Ribeiro, E., Schaefers, Franç., Senofonte, M., Seuanes, F., van Dael, M., Velin, R., HBM4EU chromates study - Usefulness of measurement of blood chromium levels in the assessment of occupational Cr(VI) exposure., *Environmental Research* (2022), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2022.113758.



This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2022 Published by Elsevier Inc.

- HBM4EU chromates study Usefulness of measurement of blood 1
- Chromium levels in the assessment of occupational Cr(VI) exposure. 2
- 3

4 **Principal Authors**

- Sophie Ndaw¹, Veruscka Leso², Radia Bousoumah¹, Aurélie Rémy¹, Beatrice Bocca³, Radu 5
- Corneliu Duca^{4,5}, Lode Godderis⁵, Emilie Hardy⁴, Beata Janasik⁶, An van Nieuwenhuyse^{4,5}, 6
- Hermínia Pinhal⁷, Katrien Poels⁵, Simo P. Porras⁸, Flavia Ruggieri³, Tiina Santonen⁸, Sílvia 7 Reis Santos⁷, Paul. T.J. Scheepers⁹, Maria João Silva⁷, Jelle Verdonck⁵, Susana Viegas¹⁰, 8
- Wojciech Wasowicz⁶, Ivo Iavicoli², HBM4EU chromates study team. 9
- 10

HBM4EU chromates study team 11

- Kukka Aimonen⁸, Guillaume Antoine¹, Rob Anzion⁹, Manuella Burgart¹, Andrea Cattaneo¹¹, 12
- Domenico M. Cavallo¹¹, Alcina Costa¹², Giuseppe De Palma¹³, Flavien Denis¹, Giovanni Forte³, Angela Gambelunghe¹⁴, Ogier Hanser¹, Carina Ladeira¹⁵, Elisabeth Leese¹⁶, Risto 13
- 14
- Lehtinen⁸, Henriqueta Louro⁷ Piero Lovreglio¹⁷, Nicole Majery¹⁸, Philippe Marsan¹, Mathieu Melczer¹, Armandida Miranda¹², Edna Ribeiro¹⁵, Françoise Schaefers⁴, Marta Senofonte³, 15
- 16
- Filomena Seuanes¹², Maurice van Dael⁹, Riitta Velin⁸ 17
- 18

19 **Corresponding authors:**

- 20
- 21 Sophie Ndaw
- 22 French National Research and Safety Institute (INRS),
- 23 Vandoeuvre-les-Nancy,
- 24 France
- 25 e-mail: sophie.ndaw@inrs.fr
- 26 27 Ivo Iavicoli
- Section of Occupational Medicine 28
- 29 Department of Public Health,
- 30 University of Naples Federico II,
- 31 Naples.
- 32 Italy
- 33 e-mail: ivo.iavicoli@unina.it
- 34 35
- 36 ¹French National Research and Safety Institute, Vandoeuvre-les-Nancy, France
- ²Department of Public Health, University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy 37
- 38 ³ Department of Environment and Health, Istituto Superiore di Sanità, 00161 Rome, Italy
- 39 ⁴Department of Health Protection, Laboratoire National de Santé (LNS), Dudelange,
- 40 Luxembourg
- 41 ⁵Centre for Environment and Health, Department of Public Health and Primary Care, KU
- 42 Leuven (University of Leuven), Kapucijnenvoer 35, 3000, Leuven, Belgium
- 43 ⁶Nofer Institute of Occupational Medicine, Lodz, Poland
- 44 ⁷National Institute of Health Dr. Ricardo Jorge, Department of Human Genetics and
- 45 Environmental Health Lisbon, Portugal

- 46 ⁸Finnish Institute of Occupational Health, Helsinki, Finland
- ⁹Radboud Institute for Health Sciences, Radboudumc, Nijmegen, the Netherlands
- ⁴⁸ ¹⁰NOVA NOVA National School of Public Health, Public Health Research Centre,
- 49 Universidade NOVA de Lisboa, 1600–560 Lisbon, Portugal; Comprehensive Health
- 50 Research Center (CHRC), 1169–056 Lisbon, Portugal.
- ⁵¹ ¹¹Department of Science and High Technology, University of Insubria, Como, Italy
- ¹²National Institute of Health Dr. Ricardo Jorge, Department of Health Promotion, Lisbon,
- 53 Portugal
- ¹³Department of Medical and Surgical Specialties, Radiological Sciences and Public Health,
- 55 University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy
- ¹⁴Department of Medicine and Surgery, University of Perugia, Perugia, Italy
- ¹⁵H&TRC- Health & Technology Research Center, ESTeSL- Escola Superior de Tecnologia
- 58 da Saúde de Lisboa, Instituto Politécnico de Lisboa; Comprehensive Health Research Center
- 59 (CHRC), 1169–056 Lisbon, Portugal
- ⁶⁰ ¹⁶Health and Safety Executive, Buxton, SK17 9JN, United Kingdom
- ⁶¹¹⁷Interdisciplinary Department of Medicine, University of Bari, Bari, Italy
- 62 ¹⁸Service de Santé Au Travail Multisectoriel (STM), Luxembourg
- 63
- 64 Author contributions

65 Sophie Ndaw: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing-original draft, 66 Supervision, Visualization, Veruscka Leso: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, 67 Writing-original draft, Supervision, Visualization. Radia Bousoumah: Conceptualization, 68 Methodology, Investigation, Writing-review & editing. Aurélie Rémy: Conceptualization, 69 Methodology, Data analysis, Writing-original draft, Supervision, Visualization. Beatrice Bocca: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing-review & editing. Radu 70 71 Corneliu Duca: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing-review & editing. 72 Lode Godderis: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing-review & editing. 73 Emilie Hardy: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing-review & editing. 74 Beata Janasik: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing-review & editing. An 75 van Nieuwenhuyse: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing-review & editing. 76 Hermínia Pinhal: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing-review & editing. 77 Katrien Poels: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing-review & editing. 78 Simo P. Porras: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing-review & editing. 79 Edna Ribeiro: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing-review & editing.

80 Flavia Ruggieri: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing-review & editing. Tiina Santonen: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing-review & editing, 81 82 Supervision. Sílvia Reis Santos: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing-83 review & editing. Paul. T.J. Scheepers: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, 84 Writing-review & editing. Maria João Silva: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, 85 Writing-review & editing. Jelle Verdonck: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, 86 Writing-review & editing. Susana Viegas: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, 87 Writing-review & editing. Wojciech Wasowicz: Conceptualization, Methodology, 88 Investigation, Writing-review & editing. Ivo Iavicoli: Conceptualization, Methodology, 89 Investigation, Writing-original draft, Supervision, Visualization.

90 All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

91

ournal Press

- HBM4EU chromates study Usefulness of measurement of blood 1
- Chromium levels in the assessment of occupational Cr(VI) exposure. 2
- 3

4 **Principal Authors**

- Sophie Ndaw¹, Veruscka Leso², Radia Bousoumah¹, Aurélie Rémy¹, Beatrice Bocca³, Radu 5
- Corneliu Duca^{4,5}, Lode Godderis⁵, Emilie Hardy⁴, Beata Janasik⁶, An van Nieuwenhuyse^{4,5}, 6
- Hermínia Pinhal⁷, Katrien Poels⁵, Simo P. Porras⁸, Flavia Ruggieri³, Tiina Santonen⁸, Sílvia 7 Reis Santos⁷, Paul. T.J. Scheepers⁹, Maria João Silva⁷, Jelle Verdonck⁵, Susana Viegas¹⁰, 8
- Wojciech Wasowicz⁶, Ivo Iavicoli², HBM4EU chromates study team. 9
- 10

HBM4EU chromates study team 11

- Kukka Aimonen⁸, Guillaume Antoine¹, Rob Anzion⁹, Manuella Burgart¹, Andrea Cattaneo¹¹, 12
- Domenico M. Cavallo¹¹, Alcina Costa¹², Giuseppe De Palma¹³, Flavien Denis¹, Giovanni Forte³, Angela Gambelunghe¹⁴, Ogier Hanser¹, Carina Ladeira¹⁵, Elisabeth Leese¹⁶, Risto 13
- 14
- Lehtinen⁸, Henriqueta Louro⁷ Piero Lovreglio¹⁷, Nicole Majery¹⁸, Philippe Marsan¹, Mathieu Melczer¹, Armandida Miranda¹², Edna Ribeiro¹⁵, Françoise Schaefers⁴, Marta Senofonte³, 15
- 16 Filomena Seuanes¹², Maurice van Dael⁹, Riitta Velin⁸
- 17
- 18

19 **Corresponding authors:**

- 20
- 21 Sophie Ndaw
- 22 French National Research and Safety Institute (INRS),
- 23 Vandoeuvre-les-Nancy,
- 24 France
- 25 e-mail: sophie.ndaw@inrs.fr
- 26 27 Ivo Iavicoli
- Section of Occupational Medicine 28
- 29 Department of Public Health,
- 30 University of Naples Federico II,
- 31 Naples.
- 32 Italy
- 33 e-mail: ivo.iavicoli@unina.it
- 34 35
- 36 ¹French National Research and Safety Institute, Vandoeuvre-les-Nancy, France
- 37 ²Department of Public Health, University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy
- 38 ³ Department of Environment and Health, Istituto Superiore di Sanità, 00161 Rome, Italy
- 39 ⁴Department of Health Protection, Laboratoire National de Santé (LNS), Dudelange,
- 40 Luxembourg
- 41 ⁵Centre for Environment and Health, Department of Public Health and Primary Care, KU
- 42 Leuven (University of Leuven), Kapucijnenvoer 35, 3000, Leuven, Belgium
- 43 ⁶Nofer Institute of Occupational Medicine, Lodz, Poland
- 44 ⁷National Institute of Health Dr. Ricardo Jorge, Department of Human Genetics and
- 45 Environmental Health Lisbon, Portugal

- ⁸Finnish Institute of Occupational Health, Helsinki, Finland
- ⁹Radboud Institute for Health Sciences, Radboudumc, Nijmegen, the Netherlands
- ⁴⁸ ¹⁰NOVA NOVA National School of Public Health, Public Health Research Centre,
- 49 Universidade NOVA de Lisboa, 1600–560 Lisbon, Portugal; Comprehensive Health
- 50 Research Center (CHRC), 1169–056 Lisbon, Portugal.
- ⁵¹ ¹¹Department of Science and High Technology, University of Insubria, Como, Italy
- ¹²National Institute of Health Dr. Ricardo Jorge, Department of Health Promotion, Lisbon,
- 53 Portugal
- ¹³Department of Medical and Surgical Specialties, Radiological Sciences and Public Health,
- 55 University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy
- ¹⁴Departement of Medicine and Surgery, University of Perugia, Perugia, Italy
- ¹⁵H&TRC- Health & Technology Research Center, ESTeSL- Escola Superior de Tecnologia
- 58 da Saúde de Lisboa, Instituto Politécnico de Lisboa; Comprehensive Health Research Center
- 59 (CHRC), 1169–056 Lisbon, Portugal
- ⁶⁰ ¹⁶Health and Safety Executive, Buxton, SK17 9JN, United Kingdom
- ⁶¹¹⁷Interdisciplinary Departement of Medecine, University of Bari, Bari, Italy
- 62 ¹⁸Service de Santé Au Travail Multisectoriel (STM), Luxembourg
- 63
- 64 Abstract

Occupational exposures to hexavalent chromium (Cr(VI)) can occur in welding, hot working 65 66 stainless steel processing, chrome plating, spray painting and coating activities. Recently, within the human biomonitoring for Europe initiative (HBM4EU), a study was performed to 67 68 assess the suitability of different biomarkers to assess the exposure to Cr(VI) in various job 69 tasks. Blood-based biomarkers may prove useful when more specific information on systemic 70 and intracellular bioavailability is necessary. To this aim, concentrations of Cr in red blood 71 cells (RBC-Cr) and in plasma (P-Cr) were analyzed in 345 Cr(VI) exposed workers and 175 72 controls to understand how these biomarkers may be affected by variable levels of exposure 73 and job procedures.

Compared to controls, significantly higher RBC-Cr levels were observed in bath plating and paint application workers, but not in welders, while all the 3 groups had significantly greater P-Cr concentrations. RBC-Cr and P-Cr in chrome platers showed a high correlation with Cr(VI) in inhalable dust, outside respiratory protective equipment (RPE), while such correlation could not be determined in welders. In platers, the use of RPE had a significant

79 impact on the relationship between blood biomarkers and Cr(VI) in inhalable and respirable

80 dust. Low correlations between P-Cr and RBC-Cr may reflect a difference in kinetics.

81 This study showed that Cr-blood-based biomarkers can provide information on how workplace

exposure translates into systemic availability of Cr(III) (extracellular, P-Cr) and Cr(VI)
(intracellular, RBC-Cr). Further studies are needed to fully appreciate their use in an
occupational health and safety context.

85

Key words: Red Blood Cells Chromium, Plasma Chromium, Biological Monitoring,
workplace, electroplating, Welding,

- 88
- 89

91

90 1. Introduction

92 Chromium (Cr) is a transition element belonging to the heavy metal group. It exists in oxidation 93 states ranging from -2 to +6. Of these Cr species, metallic (Cr(0)), trivalent (Cr(III)) and 94 hexavalent Chromium (Cr(VI)) species occur in the working environment. Particularly, Cr(VI) 95 and its compounds have found wide industrial applications due to their capacity to confer 96 hardenability, durability and corrosion resistance compared to other metals (Alvarez et al., 97 2021). Cr(VI) compounds may also be used as pigments in dyes, paints, inks, and plastics. 98 Occupational exposures can occur mainly in welding and hot working stainless steel, high Cr 99 alloys and Cr-coated metal; electrolytic Cr(VI) plating in baths; Cr-containing pigments, spray 100 paints and coatings and removal of old paints and coatings (SCOEL, 2017).

101 Chronic occupational exposure to Cr(VI) can induce several adverse health effects, including 102 lung impairments, pneumonia, bronchitis and asthma, as well as skin, sinonasal, kidney, liver, 103 and hematological alterations (Khadem et al., 2017). The International Agency for Research 104 on Cancer (IARC) classified Cr(VI) compounds as group I human carcinogenic agents (IARC, 105 2012), as these compounds can cause cancer of the lung. Recently, the Rijksinstituut voor 106 Volksgezondheid en Milieu (RIVM) proposed sufficient evidence between exposure to Cr(VI) 107 compounds and sinonasal cancer (den Braver-Sewradj et al., 2021). Additionally, positive 108 associations have been observed with stomach and laryngeal cancer (den Braver-Sewradj et 109 al., 2021). However, despite its carcinogenic properties, the use of Cr(VI) compounds, i.e. 110 chromates, Cr trioxide and dichromium tris(chromate), are still authorized for specific 111 purposes, under the EU Regulation on Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and restriction

of CHemicals (REACH) (ECHA, 2021). Therefore, monitoring the exposure to these speciesis of particular importance in the context of occupational health protection.

114 Exposure assessment to Cr(VI) compounds can combine airborne environmental 115 measurements with human biomonitoring (HBM) besides hand wipe samples to evaluate 116 dermal contamination. The common biomarker used for the biomonitoring of Cr exposure at 117 workplace is urinary Cr (U-Cr). Although U-Cr allows to achieve a suitable evaluation of the 118 exposure experienced by workers, some improvements are still required (Devoy et al., 2016). 119 In fact, U-Cr is not specific for Cr(VI) since it measures exposure to both Cr(III) and Cr(VI). 120 The possibility for workers to be simultaneously exposed to a mixture of Cr(III) and Cr(VI) 121 compounds make it difficult to interpret U-Cr results and to inform suitable hazard and risk 122 assessment in occupational settings (SCOEL, 2017).

123 RBC-Cr has been proposed as a more specific biomarker for occupational Cr(VI) exposure. 124 The concentration of Cr in red blood cells (RBC-Cr) may represent the portion of Cr(VI) able 125 to reach the bloodstream in its non-reduced form and may be directly dependent on the airway 126 inhaled dose (Goldoni et al., 2010). Cr(III) cannot pass the cell membranes, whereas Cr(VI) 127 can be transported into the RBCs via active anion channels (Kortenkamp et al., 1987). Once in 128 the RBCs, Cr(VI) is completely reduced to Cr(III) by the Fe(II) of haemoglobin, then trapped 129 in the RBCs until the end of their life-span. Blood half-life of RBC-Cr is estimated to be 130 approximately 63 days (half of the average RBC life span in humans) and follows zero order 131 kinetics when eliminated from the blood compartment (Törnqvist et al., 2002). Thus RBC-Cr 132 values reflect the average exposure to systemic Cr(VI) over the past four months. To date, few 133 studies have investigated the RBC-Cr levels in occupationally exposed subjects.

134 Zhang et al. (2011) found significantly higher RBC-Cr concentrations in male chrome-plating 135 workers than in controls. Comparably, a significantly higher mean RBC-Cr was found in 136 chromate exposed workers compared to controls, with a positive correlation between RBC-Cr 137 and U-Cr (Wang et al., 2011a; 2011b; 2012). Goldoni et al. (2010) found lower median RBC-138 Cr levels at the beginning of the workweek compared to the levels detected at the end of the 139 working shift on Tuesday. RBC-Cr was significantly correlated to the U-Cr, at the beginning 140 of the work-shift, and to the Cr levels in the exhaled breath condensate at the end of the work-141 shift. In welders, a low number of samples with detectable levels (Weiss et al., 2013) and low 142 RBC-Cr concentrations (Scheepers et al., 2008; Stanislawska et al., 2020) were reported. The 143 disparities reported in these studies probably relate to the differences in the occupational 144 settings explored (Goldoni et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2012; Weiss et al., 145 2013; Stanislawska et al., 2020), in line with the different kinetics and bioavailability of the Cr

species present in the different contexts (Pesch et al., 2018). In addition, factors influencingRBC-Cr were not investigated.

148 Recently, within the HBM for Europe initiative (HBM4EU), a harmonized multicenter study 149 was performed to gather data on the current occupational exposure to Cr(VI) in Europe and to 150 assess the suitability and added value of different biomarkers of Cr(VI) exposure. This 151 HBM4EU study has a unique set-up, including multiple countries collecting biomonitoring and 152 industrial hygiene information using harmonized protocols. It allowed to overcome the typical 153 challenge in occupational studies related to the low number of workers that can be recruited in 154 national studies. From the HBM4EU results, the complementary role of different types of biomarkers clearly emerged, with the suggested possibility to employ RBC-Cr when more 155 156 specific information on the contribution to intracellular bioavailability of Cr(VI) is necessary, 157 in addition to the excretion of total Cr in urine (Santonen et al., 2022). 158 In this paper, we report earlier unpublished results from the HBM4EU chromates study on Cr 159 levels in RBC-Cr and plasma (P-Cr) to demonstrate their added value compared to other 160 biomarkers of exposure. The main goal of the present study is to better understand the role of

161 RBC-Cr and P-Cr as CrVI biomarkers in workers engaged in different job tasks with exposure 162 to Cr(VI) compounds and in controls. Moreover, this research includes the careful investigation 163 of the relationship between external exposure, RBC-Cr and P-Cr levels to understand the 164 correlations between blood biomarkers and factors influencing their levels. In addition, we aim 165 to improve our understanding how confounding factors and variables that affect the exposure 166 levels may contribute to the interpretation of blood biomarkers.

167

168 2. Materials and methods

169

The study was performed using the design previously reported by Santonen et al. (2019) in order to collect data in a harmonized way in different industrial sectors across Europe. Study methods have been described in Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) and can be found in the HBM4EU Library (<u>https://www.hbm4eu.eu/online-library/</u>).

174

176

175 2.1. Study population

The study was carried out in nine European countries, i.e., Belgium, Finland, France, Italy,
Luxembourg, Poland, Portugal, UK and the Netherlands. In the UK no blood was collected.
Thus, only eight countries participated in this part of the study. Workers engaged in job tasks

180 resulting in exposure to Cr(VI), e.g., chrome plating, surface treatment by sanding, spraying or 181 painting, and stainless-steel welding, were considered suitable to be included in the study, as 182 detailed by Santonen et al. (2019). However, as some activities performed were not classifiable 183 under the three categories previously used (Santonen et al., 2022), machining, thermal 184 spraying, steel production, maintenance and laboratory work were also considered, leading to seven categories in total. Workers with no documented occupational exposure to Cr(VI) 185 186 compounds (e.g., administrative workers), from the same or other companies, were enrolled as 187 controls (respectively, "within company controls" or "outwith company controls"). Both 188 companies and workers were invited to participate in the study and information was provided 189 on the aims and study procedures. Written informed consent was given before enrollment in 190 the survey (Santonen et al. (2019). Participation was completely voluntary, and participants 191 could withdraw from the study at any time. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics 192 Committees of the involved institutions in each of the participating country (Santonen et al., 193 2019; 2022).

194

196

195 2.2. Collection of contextual information

197 General information on the workplace, work practices and risk management measures (RMMs) 198 were collected from a company representative prior to the sampling campaign. Enrolled 199 workers provided (as close as possible to the end of work shift) a detailed description of their 200 job activities, specific work tasks, use of personal protective equipment (PPE) and work organization during the week of sampling. Additionally, they were also asked to provide 201 202 information on possible extra-occupational sources of exposure due to e.g., general living 203 environments and habits, smoking, orthopedic and dental implants, food supplements and 204 recreative activities.

205

206 2.3. Blood sample collection

One blood sample was collected from each (exposed or non-exposed) participant, preferentially on the 3rd - 5th day of the working week, at the end of the work shift. A venous blood sample was collected from the fore arm using a single-use syringe or winged needle and transferred to a tube appropriate for trace element analyses containing potassium ethylenediamine tetra acetic acid (K-EDTA) as anticoagulant.

The sample was kept at $+4^{\circ}$ C until transfer to the laboratory. To avoid hemolysis, separation of plasma and RBC was conducted, preferably within 8 h (and maximum 24 h) from the

214 specimen collection, following the method described by Devoy et al. (2016). Samples were 215 centrifuged (10 min at 1000–2000 x g or 5 min at 2700 x g) and the supernatants containing 216 the plasma and white blood cells were stored at +4 °C up to 7 days or at -20°C until analysis. 217 The pellet underwent three washing steps with 0.9% NaCl solution (with a volume 218 corresponding to the initial volume of blood collected), in order to eliminate interfering 219 plasma/Cr residues. The haematocrit (HT) values (measured before (HT1) and after the 220 washing steps (HT2)) were determined to adjust for RBC loss during washing steps. After the 221 last washing step, the tube containing RBCs was filled up with 1% Triton X-100 in deionized 222 water/0.2% HNO₃ (GFAAS analysis) or 1% Triton X-100 in deionized water/0.2% NH₄OH 223 (ICP-MS analysis) up to the initial volume. Washed RBCs were then stored under the required 224 conditions (i.e., room temperature up to 3 days or at -20°C for longer time).

225

227

226 2.4. Blood sample analysis

228 Blood samples were analyzed by each participating country according to the analytical method 229 used by the national laboratory involved in the chromate study. Inductively coupled plasma – 230 mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) was used to quantify RBC-Cr and P-Cr, except for Portugal who 231 performed analyses by graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry (GFAAS). 232 Supplementary Table S1 gives an overview of the methods used to measure RBC-Cr and P-Cr 233 by country. RBC-Cr concentration was divided by HT2 as an approximation of RBC volume 234 and expressed in µg/L. Total P-Cr content was divided by the volume of the collected plasma aliquot and expressed in $\mu g/L$. 235

236 Successful participation in the HBM4EU Inter-Laboratory Comparison Investigations (ICI) 237 was mandatory to perform analyses for the occupational Cr study. The aim of ICI was to 238 provide laboratories with an assessment of their analytical performance and reliability of their 239 data in comparison with other laboratories (Esteban López et al., 2021). Four rounds were 240 organized from August 2018 to December 2019 by the Institute and Outpatient Clinic of Occupational, Social and Environmental Medicine (IPASUM) at the Friedrich-Alexander 241 University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, with the requirement to pass at least 2 rounds to perform 242 243 analysis under HBM4EU. Two different test samples consisting of ~ 3 mL serum or blood 244 spiked with Cr (Cr ICP standard, ammonium dichromate in H₂O, 1000 mg/L, J.T.Baker) at two 245 different concentrations (low and high) were dispatched at each round (apart from the first 246 round where 6 samples were distributed). Laboratory results were rated using Z-scores in

accordance with ISO 13528 and ISO 17043. The standard deviation for proficiency assessment

248 was set at 25%. Detailed results of Cr ICI studies are described by Nübler et al. (2021).

249

251

250 2.5. Urine and air sample collection and Cr analysis

Sampling protocols and sample analysis have been described in detail in Santonen et al.,(2019).

In brief, two urines samples were collected from the exposed workers, the first before the start of the shift at the beginning of the working week, and the second at the end of the shift in the end of the working week. One spot urine sample was collected from the controls at any time of the working week. All the laboratory analyzing the urine samples had successfully passed ICI rounds within HBM4EU. The limits of quantification were between 0.05 and 0.31 μ g/L, depending on the laboratory.

260 Simultaneous sampling of the inhalable dust and respirable dust fractions of Cr(VI) and total 261 Cr was performed in the breathing zone following the standard CEN-EN481:1993 Workplace 262 atmospheres - size fraction definitions for measurement of air particles. Inhalable dust, 263 corresponding to mass fraction of total airborne particles which is inhaled through the nose and 264 the mouth, was collected using an IOM sampling head. Respirable dust, corresponding to mass 265 fraction of total airborne particles penetrating to the lower gas exchange regions (nonciliated 266 airways), was collected using the Higgins Dewell type or similar cyclone sampling heads. Both 267 inhalable and respirable dusts fractions were collected by personal air sampling for a 268 representative period of the work shift (>75%). The air samples were first analysed 269 gravimetrically and subsequently for total Cr and Cr(VI) by OSHA Method ID-125G (OSHA 270 2002) and ISO 16740 Method (ISO 2005), respectively, with some minor adaptations 271 performed by some laboratories.

272

273 2.6. Statistical analysis

274

275 Descriptive statistics

A descriptive statistics analysis reporting minimum (min), maximum (max), geometric mean
(GM) and 95% confidence interval (CI), median and percentiles (P10, P25, P75, P90, P95) was
performed on RBC-Cr and P-Cr concentrations, for all participants, and by exposure group.

270 performed on RDC of and 1 of concentrations, for an participants, and by exposure grou

279 Data below the limit of quantification (LOQ) were substituted by LOQ/2.

281 Inferential statistics

282 A logarithmic transformation was applied to both RBC-Cr and P-Cr concentrations to reduce the skewness and variability of the data to meet assumptions of statistical parametric tests 283 284 (ANOVA, linear regressions). In order to compare RBC-Cr and P-Cr levels between exposure 285 groups and between countries, an ANOVA was performed on the log transformed data, with an "exposure" effect (controls vs exposed), a "country" effect, and the interaction between the 286 287 two effects. When the "country" effect was significant, a multiple comparison post hoc test 288 (with Bonferroni correction) was used to test the differences of levels between countries. To 289 consider the variability of background levels by country, a mixed linear regression model was 290 applied on the log transformed RBC-Cr and P-Cr data to test the "exposure group" fixed effect, 291 including a "country" random effect. When the "exposure group" effect was significant, a 292 multiple comparison post hoc test (with Bonferroni correction) was used to test the differences 293 of levels between groups.

The correlations between P-Cr, RBC-Cr and other Cr measurements (e.g., U-Cr, 294 295 inhalable/respirable Cr (VI) outside RPE) (Santonen et al., 2022) were estimated using the 296 Spearman correlation coefficients p. For each correlation coefficient, the number of couples of 297 measurements and the p-value of the correlation coefficient were reported. To assess the impact 298 of wearing RPE on the P-Cr and RBC-Cr concentrations, correlations were calculated 299 specifically for workers who did not wear RPE (bath plating and welding workers) and 300 compared to workers who did. When high correlation was observed between P-Cr or RBC-Cr and other Cr measurements, a multiple linear regression model was applied on the log 301 302 transformed [RBC Cr] (or [P Cr]), with the "log transformed Cr parameter" effect, the 303 "RPE wearing" effect, and their interaction. This regression allowed to estimate the slope of 304 the relation (on a log scale) between [RBC-Cr] (or [P-Cr]) and [respirable Cr(VI) outside RPE] 305 (or [inhalable Cr(VI) outside RPE]), and the slope difference between "with RPE" or "without 306 RPE", due to the estimation of the interaction effect.

Finally, the effects of different influencing parameters were tested. A mixed linear regression model was applied to the log transformed RBC-Cr and P-Cr data, with an "exposure group" as fixed effect (exposed vs control), an "influencing parameter" effect and their interaction, including a "country" random effect. Influencing parameters were divided into three groups. The first group of individual parameters was related to the participant personal habits: alcohol consumption (yes/no) and the number of glasses by month (<20 or >20); smoking status (nonsmoker/current smoker/former smoker) and the amount of cigarettes/day for smokers (<10 or

314 >10); hobbies (yes/no), presence of orthopedic or dental implants (yes/no), presence of dental fillings (yes/no). The second group of parameters were related to the living environment of 315 316 participants: home location (rural/urban), road traffic (related vehicle emissions) close to home 317 (low/medium/high intensity), and presence of industrial plants close to home (yes/no). The last 318 group of parameters were related to some specific occupational activities: exposure tasks frequencies (hours/week), and for welders, the welded material (iron, stainless, other), and the 319 320 welding method when stainless steel was welded (MAG/MIG/MMA/TIG/Other). 321 Statistical analyses were performed using Stata Statistical Software (Version 16.1, StatCorp,

322 College Station, TX, USA). The statistical significance threshold was set at 5%.

323

324 3. Results

325

327

326 3.1. Study Population

328 A total of 345 exposed workers and 175 controls volunteered to provide a blood sample. The 329 distribution of the study population across Europe and the distribution of workers according to 330 the main tasks performed are summarized in Table 1. As discussed in Santonen et al. (2022), 331 the tasks covered in each country were dependent on the participating companies and workers 332 willing to participate to the study (see Table 1). The control group encompassed 134 "within 333 company controls" and 41 "outwith company controls" (Table 1). The mean age (±SD) was 42 334 years (± 11) and 44 years (± 10) in the exposed group and control group, respectively. Most of 335 the exposed workers were male (n=336, 97%), while in the control group, the proportion of 336 males was lower (n=127, 72 %). A total of 164 workers (31%) were smokers, i.e. 143 (41%) 337 among exposed workers and 21 (12%) among controls.

338

Table 1. Distribution of the study population and number of blood samples collected in each
country (i.e., BE: Belgium, FI: Finland, FR: France, IT: Italy, LU: Luxembourg, NL: the
Netherlands, PL: Poland and PT: Portugal).

| Group | BE | FI | FR | IT | LU | NL | PL | РТ | Total |
|--------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-------|
| Chrome plating | 7 | 15 | 18 | 6 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 5 | 70 |
| Paint applications | 11 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 47 |
| Machining | 9 | 2 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 35 |
| Welding | 28 | 18 | 18 | 37 | 16 | 0 | 51 | 3 | 171 |

| | | | Journ | al Pre- | | | | | |
|------------------|----|----|-------|---------|----|----|----|----|-----|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Thermal spraying | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 |
| Steel production | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Maintenance and | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 7 |
| laboratory | | | | | | | | | |
| All exposed | 64 | 40 | 56 | 47 | 16 | 20 | 52 | 50 | 345 |
| workers | | | | | | | | | |
| Within company | 31 | 9 | 22 | 31 | 8 | 12 | 19 | 2 | 134 |
| controls | | | | | | | | | |
| Outwith company | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 41 |
| controls | | | | | | | | | |
| All controls | 31 | 25 | 22 | 31 | 8 | 12 | 19 | 27 | 175 |

343

345

344 3.2. RBC-Cr and P-Cr levels in the control group

346 RBC-Cr and P-Cr levels in the control group are detailed in Table 2. "Outwith company 347 controls" showed significantly higher GM and median RBC-Cr compared to "within company 348 controls" (1.11 and 1.02 vs 0.59 and 0.38 µg/L, respectively). However, P95 levels were 349 somewhat higher among "within company controls" (5.06 µg/L, see Table 2). Higher P-Cr 350 levels were observed in "within company controls" (GM 0.55, median 0.55 and P95 2.61 µg/L) 351 as opposed to "outwith company controls" levels (GM 0.31, median 0.34 and P95 0.70 µg/L). 352 However, no significant difference was found between the two control sub-groups (Figure S1 353 in supplementary materials) when the variability due to the diverse involved countries was 354 taken into account. Thus, both sub-groups were mixed and only an "all controls" group was 355 considered for the following statistical analyses.

356

Table 2. RBC-Cr and P-Cr levels (μg/L) in "within company controls" and "outwith company
 controls"

| | Control group | n | Median | GM (CI) | P95 |
|------|--------------------------|-----|--------|---------------------|------|
| C-Cr | Within company controls | 134 | 0.38 | 0.59 (0.46-0.76) | 5.06 |
| RBO | Outwith company controls | 41 | 1.02 | 1.11 (0.93-1.32) | 3.0 |
| Cr | Within company controls | 134 | 0.55 | 0.55 (0.48-0.63) | 2.61 |
| Ŀ | Outwith company controls | 41 | 0.34 | 0.31 (0.25-0.38) | 0.70 |

n: number of workers, GM: geometric mean, CI: 95% confidence interval, P95: 95th percentile.
 361

362 3.3. RBC-Cr and P-Cr levels across countries

Differences in RBC-Cr and P-Cr levels were observed between countries, but also between controls and exposed workers (Table 3). The box plots of the distribution of RBC-Cr and P-Cr across participating countries are presented in supplementary material (Figure S2). A significant effect of the "exposure" and "country" was observed on the log transformed RBC-Cr and P-Cr data, while no interaction between these variables was observed.

Regarding RBC-Cr, the concentrations in samples of exposed workers from France and The 369 370 Netherlands were significantly higher than the concentrations measured in the other countries 371 with median levels above 4 µg/L (Note that in previous paper (Santonen et al., 2022) we 372 erroneously stated that RBC-Cr results were lower in these two countries when compared to 373 other countries). Italy and Poland exhibited the lowest RBC-Cr concentrations with median 374 values below 0.5 µg/L. As for Belgium, more than 92% of samples had RBC-Cr concentration 375 below the LOQ. However, Belgium reported the highest LOQ among the participating 376 countries.

For P-Cr in exposed workers, the concentrations in The Netherlands were significantly higherthan in all other countries, while Poland and Portugal had the lowest concentrations.

379

Table 3. Distribution of RBC-Cr and P-Cr concentrations (µg/L) in exposed workers and
controls groups across participating countries (i.e., BE: Belgium, FI: Finland, FR: France, IT:
Italy, LU: Luxembourg, NL: The Netherlands, PL: Poland and PT: Portugal).

| | Group | | BE | FI | FR | IT | LU | NL | PL | РТ |
|------------------|----------|--------|---|------|-------|------|--|------|------|---------------------|
| | | n | 31 | 25 | 22 | 31 | 8 | 12 | 19 | 27 |
| | | Min | <loq< td=""><td>0.92</td><td>3.58</td><td>0.04</td><td><loq< td=""><td>4.17</td><td>0.05</td><td>0.56</td></loq<></td></loq<> | 0.92 | 3.58 | 0.04 | <loq< td=""><td>4.17</td><td>0.05</td><td>0.56</td></loq<> | 4.17 | 0.05 | 0.56 |
| | Controls | | | | | | | | | |
| r ¹ 1 | | median | <loq< td=""><td>1.14</td><td>4.45</td><td>0.09</td><td>0.74</td><td>4.67</td><td>0.36</td><td>0.66</td></loq<> | 1.14 | 4.45 | 0.09 | 0.74 | 4.67 | 0.36 | 0.66 |
| BC-C | | max | <loq< td=""><td>1.57</td><td>5.56</td><td>4.14</td><td>3.22</td><td>5.34</td><td>0.74</td><td>3.40</td></loq<> | 1.57 | 5.56 | 4.14 | 3.22 | 5.34 | 0.74 | 3.40 |
| RI | | n | 64 | 40 | 56 | 47 | 16 | 20 | 52 | 50 |
| | Exposed | min | <loq< td=""><td>0.30</td><td>2.97</td><td>0.04</td><td>0.18</td><td>4.67</td><td>0.14</td><td>0.61</td></loq<> | 0.30 | 2.97 | 0.04 | 0.18 | 4.67 | 0.14 | 0.61 |
| | workers | median | <loq< td=""><td>1.55</td><td>4.49</td><td>0.11</td><td>0.78</td><td>5.69</td><td>0.46</td><td>1.89</td></loq<> | 1.55 | 4.49 | 0.11 | 0.78 | 5.69 | 0.46 | 1.89 |
| | | max | 2.50 | 7.61 | 10.00 | 0.42 | 2.20 | 21.2 | 1.31 | 4.56 |
| | | n | 31 | 25 | 22 | 31 | 8 | 12 | 19 | 27 |
| | Controla | min | <loq< td=""><td>0.40</td><td>0.60</td><td>0.24</td><td>0.79</td><td>2.46</td><td>0.09</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<> | 0.40 | 0.60 | 0.24 | 0.79 | 2.46 | 0.09 | <loq< td=""></loq<> |
| P-Cr | Controls | median | <loq< td=""><td>0.54</td><td>0.74</td><td>0.75</td><td>0.95</td><td>2.63</td><td>0.16</td><td><loq< td=""></loq<></td></loq<> | 0.54 | 0.74 | 0.75 | 0.95 | 2.63 | 0.16 | <loq< td=""></loq<> |
| | | max | 2.05 | 0.71 | 1.09 | 1.16 | 1.09 | 3.36 | 0.45 | 0.86 |
| | Exposed | n | 64 | 40 | 56 | 47 | 16 | 20 | 52 | 50 |
| | workers | min | <loq< td=""><td>0.39</td><td>0.61</td><td>0.44</td><td>0.77</td><td>2.62</td><td>0.13</td><td>< LOQ</td></loq<> | 0.39 | 0.61 | 0.44 | 0.77 | 2.62 | 0.13 | < LOQ |

| | | Journal I | Pre-pro | of | | | | |
|--------|------|-----------|---------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| | | | | | | | | |
| median | 1.08 | 0.70 | 0.83 | 1.10 | 0.96 | 3.71 | 0.37 | < LOQ |
| max | 4.68 | 4.49 | 1.94 | 2.35 | 2.21 | 8.94 | 1.49 | 2.51 |

- 384 n: number of workers, min: minimum, max: maximum, LOQ: limit of quantification. 385 3.4. RBC-Cr and P-Cr levels in exposed workers 386 387 388 The highest RBC-Cr levels (median 4.34 and P95 8.37 µg/L) were observed in chrome plating 389 workers, followed by machining (median 3.30 µg/L), maintenance and laboratory work 390 (median 2.58 μ g/L) and paint application (median 1.41 μ g/L) (Table 4). Welders and steel 391 production workers had the lowest RBC-Cr levels (median 0.40 µg/L and 0.38 µg/L, 392 respectively). The box plots of the distribution of RBC-Cr and P-Cr according to the work 393 activity are presented in Figure 1. RBC-Cr concentrations were significantly higher in the bath 394 plating and paint application groups, when compared to controls and the welding group. 395 Compared to the controls, P-Cr concentrations were significantly higher in bath plating workers 396 (median 1.14 and P95 6.14 μ g/L), paint application and welding groups.
- 397

Table 4. RBC-Cr and P-Cr concentrations (µg/L) in exposed workers and controls.

| Worker groups n GM Cl- Cl+ min Median P95 max Steel production 9 0.38 0.38 0.38 <loq< td=""> 4.34 8.37 21.22 Steel production 9 0.38 0.38 0.38 <loq< td=""> 0.38 0.38 0.38 Maintenance and laboratory 7 2.05 1.15 3.64 0.68 2.58 5.44 5.44 Paint applications 47 1.07 0.80 1.43 2LOQ 1.41 3.57 4.56 Thermal spraying 6 0.93 0.51 1.72 0.30 1.06 2.30 2.30 Welding 171 0.49 0.41 0.58 <loq< td=""> 0.40 5.12 7.48 All workers 345 0.89 0.77 1.03 <loq< td=""> 0.63 5.00 5.56 Chrome plating 70 1.52 1.24 1.86 <loq< td=""> 0.16 1.87 1.87</loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<> | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------|----------------------------|-----|------|------|------|---|---|------|-------|
| Chrome plating 70 2.44 1.79 3.34 <loq< th=""> 4.34 8.37 21.22 Steel production 9 0.38 0.38 0.38 <loq< td=""> 0.38 0.38 0.38 Maintenance and laboratory 7 2.05 1.15 3.64 0.68 2.58 5.44 5.44 Machining 35 1.88 1.34 2.65 <loq< td=""> 3.30 5.35 5.41 Paint applications 47 1.07 0.80 1.43 <loq< td=""> 1.41 3.57 4.56 Thermal spraying 6 0.93 0.51 1.72 0.30 1.06 2.30 2.30 Welding 171 0.49 0.41 0.58 <loq< td=""> 0.40 5.12 7.48 All workers 345 0.89 0.77 1.03 <loq< td=""> 0.63 5.00 5.56 Chrome plating 70 1.52 1.24 1.86 <loq< td=""> 1.61 8.87 1.87</loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<> | | Worker groups | n | GM | CI- | CI+ | min | Median | P95 | max |
| Steel production Maintenance and laboratory 9 0.38 0.38 0.38 <loq< th=""> 0.38 0.38 0.38 Dig Maintenance and laboratory 7 2.05 1.15 3.64 0.68 2.58 5.44 5.44 Machining 35 1.88 1.34 2.65 <loq< th=""> 3.30 5.35 5.41 Paint applications 47 1.07 0.80 1.43 <loq< th=""> 1.41 3.57 4.56 Thermal spraying 6 0.93 0.51 1.72 0.30 1.06 2.30 2.30 Welding 171 0.49 0.41 0.58 <loq< th=""> 0.40 5.12 7.48 All workers 345 0.89 0.77 1.03 <loq< th=""> 0.63 5.00 5.56 Chrome plating 70 1.52 1.24 1.86 <loq< th=""> 1.14 6.14 8.9 Steel production 9 0.74 0.48 1.15 <loq< th=""> 1.06 1.87 1.87</loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<> | | Chrome plating | 70 | 2.44 | 1.79 | 3.34 | <loq< td=""><td>4.34</td><td>8.37</td><td>21.22</td></loq<> | 4.34 | 8.37 | 21.22 |
| Diameter Maintenance and laboratory 7 2.05 1.15 3.64 0.68 2.58 5.44 5.44 Machining 35 1.88 1.34 2.65 <loq< td=""> 3.30 5.35 5.41 Paint applications 47 1.07 0.80 1.43 <loq< td=""> 1.41 3.57 4.56 Thermal spraying 6 0.93 0.51 1.72 0.30 1.06 2.30 2.30 Welding 171 0.49 0.41 0.58 <loq< td=""> 0.40 5.12 7.48 All workers 345 0.89 0.77 1.03 <loq< td=""> 0.63 5.00 5.56 Chrome plating 70 1.52 1.24 1.86 <loq< td=""> 1.14 6.14 8.9 Steel production 9 0.74 0.48 1.15 <loq< td=""> 1.06 1.87 1.87 Maintenance and laboratory 7 0.31 0.13 0.73 <loq< td=""> 2.61 2.63</loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<> | | Steel production | 9 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.38 | <loq< td=""><td>0.38</td><td>0.38</td><td>0.38</td></loq<> | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.38 |
| Digit Machining 35 1.88 1.34 2.65 <loq< th=""> 3.30 5.35 5.41 Paint applications 47 1.07 0.80 1.43 <loq< td=""> 1.41 3.57 4.56 Thermal spraying 6 0.93 0.51 1.72 0.30 1.06 2.30 2.30 Welding 171 0.49 0.41 0.58 <loq< td=""> 0.40 5.12 7.48 All workers 345 0.89 0.77 1.03 <loq< td=""> 0.63 5.00 5.56 Chrome plating 70 1.52 1.24 1.86 <loq< td=""> 1.64 8.9 Steel production 9 0.74 0.48 1.15 <loq< td=""> 1.06 1.87 1.87 Machining 35 0.59 0.46 0.75 <loq< td=""> 0.69 2.61 2.63 Paint applications 47 0.50 0.39 0.64 <loq< td=""> 0.45 1.71 2.51 Therma</loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<> | | Maintenance and laboratory | 7 | 2.05 | 1.15 | 3.64 | 0.68 | 2.58 | 5.44 | 5.44 |
| Deg Paint applications 47 1.07 0.80 1.43 <loq< th=""> 1.41 3.57 4.56 Thermal spraying 6 0.93 0.51 1.72 0.30 1.06 2.30 2.30 Welding 171 0.49 0.41 0.58 <loq< td=""> 0.40 5.12 7.48 All workers 345 0.89 0.77 1.03 <loq< td=""> 0.73 5.83 21.22 All controls 175 0.68 0.56 0.83 <loq< td=""> 0.63 5.00 5.56 Chrome plating 70 1.52 1.24 1.86 <loq< td=""> 1.14 6.14 8.9 Steel production 9 0.74 0.48 1.15 <loq< td=""> 1.06 1.87 1.87 Maintenance and laboratory 7 0.31 0.13 0.73 <loq< td=""> 2.02 2.76 2.76 Paint applications 47 0.50 0.39 0.64 <loq< td=""> 0.45 1.71 2.51<!--</td--><td>Ċ</td><td>Machining</td><td>35</td><td>1.88</td><td>1.34</td><td>2.65</td><td><loq< td=""><td>3.30</td><td>5.35</td><td>5.41</td></loq<></td></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<> | Ċ | Machining | 35 | 1.88 | 1.34 | 2.65 | <loq< td=""><td>3.30</td><td>5.35</td><td>5.41</td></loq<> | 3.30 | 5.35 | 5.41 |
| E Thermal spraying 6 0.93 0.51 1.72 0.30 1.06 2.30 2.30 Welding 171 0.49 0.41 0.58 <loq< td=""> 0.40 5.12 7.48 All workers 345 0.89 0.77 1.03 <loq< td=""> 0.73 5.83 21.22 All controls 175 0.68 0.56 0.83 <loq< td=""> 0.63 5.00 5.56 Chrome plating 70 1.52 1.24 1.86 <loq< td=""> 1.06 1.87 1.87 Maintenance and laboratory 9 0.74 0.48 1.15 <loq< td=""> 1.06 1.87 1.87 Machining 35 0.59 0.46 0.75 <loq< td=""> 2.61 2.63 Paint applications 47 0.50 0.39 0.64 <loq< td=""> 0.45 1.71 2.51 Thermal spraying 6 0.73 0.51 1.05 0.49 0.65 1.76 1.76 <</loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<> | BC | Paint applications | 47 | 1.07 | 0.80 | 1.43 | <loq< td=""><td>1.41</td><td>3.57</td><td>4.56</td></loq<> | 1.41 | 3.57 | 4.56 |
| Welding 171 0.49 0.41 0.58 <loq< th=""> 0.40 5.12 7.48 All workers 345 0.89 0.77 1.03 <loq< td=""> 0.73 5.83 21.22 All controls 175 0.68 0.56 0.83 <loq< td=""> 0.63 5.00 5.56 Chrome plating 70 1.52 1.24 1.86 <loq< td=""> 1.14 6.14 8.9 Steel production 9 0.74 0.48 1.15 <loq< td=""> 1.06 1.87 1.87 Maintenance and laboratory 7 0.31 0.13 0.73 <loq< td=""> 2.76 2.76 Machining 35 0.59 0.46 0.75 <loq< td=""> 0.69 2.61 2.63 Paint applications 47 0.50 0.39 0.64 <loq< td=""> 0.45 1.71 2.51 Thermal spraying 6 0.73 0.51 1.05 0.49 0.65 1.76 1.76 Welding</loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<> | Υ. Υ | Thermal spraying | 6 | 0.93 | 0.51 | 1.72 | 0.30 | 1.06 | 2.30 | 2.30 |
| All workers 345 0.89 0.77 1.03 <loq< th=""> 0.73 5.83 21.22 All controls 175 0.68 0.56 0.83 <loq< td=""> 0.63 5.00 5.56 Chrome plating 70 1.52 1.24 1.86 <loq< td=""> 1.14 6.14 8.9 Steel production 9 0.74 0.48 1.15 <loq< td=""> 1.06 1.87 1.87 Maintenance and laboratory 7 0.31 0.13 0.73 <loq< td=""> 2.76 2.76 Machining 35 0.59 0.46 0.75 <loq< td=""> 0.69 2.61 2.63 Paint applications 47 0.50 0.39 0.64 <loq< td=""> 0.45 1.71 2.51 Thermal spraying 6 0.73 0.51 1.05 0.49 0.65 1.76 1.76 Welding 171 0.75 0.67 0.83 <loq< td=""> 0.86 2.11 2.73 All workers 345 0.78 0.71 0.85 <loq< td=""> 0.48 2.56 3.3</loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<> | | Welding | 171 | 0.49 | 0.41 | 0.58 | <loq< td=""><td>0.40</td><td>5.12</td><td>7.48</td></loq<> | 0.40 | 5.12 | 7.48 |
| All controls 175 0.68 0.56 0.83 <loq< th=""> 0.63 5.00 5.56 Chrome plating 70 1.52 1.24 1.86 <loq< td=""> 1.14 6.14 8.9 Steel production 9 0.74 0.48 1.15 <loq< td=""> 1.06 1.87 1.87 Maintenance and laboratory 7 0.31 0.13 0.73 <loq< td=""> 0.69 2.61 2.63 Machining 35 0.59 0.46 0.75 <loq< td=""> 0.45 1.71 2.51 Paint applications 47 0.50 0.39 0.64 <loq< td=""> 0.45 1.76 1.76 Welding 171 0.75 0.67 0.83 <loq< td=""> 0.86 2.11 2.73 All workers 345 0.78 0.71 0.85 <loq< td=""> 0.82 3.27 8.94 All controls 175 0.48 0.43 0.54 <loq< td=""> 0.48 2.56 3.36</loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<> | | All workers | 345 | 0.89 | 0.77 | 1.03 | <loq< td=""><td>0.73</td><td>5.83</td><td>21.22</td></loq<> | 0.73 | 5.83 | 21.22 |
| Chrome plating 70 1.52 1.24 1.86 <loq< th=""> 1.14 6.14 8.9 Steel production 9 0.74 0.48 1.15 <loq< td=""> 1.06 1.87 1.87 Maintenance and laboratory 7 0.31 0.13 0.73 <loq< td=""> <loq< td=""> 2.76 2.76 Machining 35 0.59 0.46 0.75 <loq< td=""> 0.69 2.61 2.63 Paint applications 47 0.50 0.39 0.64 <loq< td=""> 0.45 1.71 2.51 Thermal spraying 6 0.73 0.51 1.05 0.49 0.65 1.76 1.76 Welding 171 0.75 0.67 0.83 <loq< td=""> 0.86 2.11 2.73 All workers 345 0.78 0.71 0.85 <loq< td=""> 0.48 2.56 3.36</loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<> | | All controls | 175 | 0.68 | 0.56 | 0.83 | <loq< td=""><td>0.63</td><td>5.00</td><td>5.56</td></loq<> | 0.63 | 5.00 | 5.56 |
| Steel production 9 0.74 0.48 1.15 <loq< th=""> 1.06 1.87 1.87 Maintenance and laboratory 7 0.31 0.13 0.73 <loq< td=""> <loq< td=""> 2.76 2.76 Machining 35 0.59 0.46 0.75 <loq< td=""> 0.69 2.61 2.63 Paint applications 47 0.50 0.39 0.64 <loq< td=""> 0.45 1.71 2.51 Thermal spraying 6 0.73 0.51 1.05 0.49 0.65 1.76 1.76 Welding 171 0.75 0.67 0.83 <loq< td=""> 0.86 2.11 2.73 All workers 345 0.78 0.71 0.85 <loq< td=""> 0.48 2.56 3.36</loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<> | | Chrome plating | 70 | 1.52 | 1.24 | 1.86 | <loq< td=""><td>1.14</td><td>6.14</td><td>8.9</td></loq<> | 1.14 | 6.14 | 8.9 |
| Maintenance and laboratory70.310.130.73 <loq< th=""><loq< th="">2.762.76Machining350.590.460.75<loq< td="">0.692.612.63Paint applications470.500.390.64<loq< td="">0.451.712.51Thermal spraying60.730.511.050.490.651.761.76Welding1710.750.670.83<loq< td="">0.862.112.73All workers3450.780.710.85<loq< td="">0.482.563.36</loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<> | | Steel production | 9 | 0.74 | 0.48 | 1.15 | <loq< td=""><td>1.06</td><td>1.87</td><td>1.87</td></loq<> | 1.06 | 1.87 | 1.87 |
| Machining 35 0.59 0.46 0.75 <loq< th=""> 0.69 2.61 2.63 Paint applications 47 0.50 0.39 0.64 <loq< td=""> 0.45 1.71 2.51 Thermal spraying 6 0.73 0.51 1.05 0.49 0.65 1.76 1.76 Welding 171 0.75 0.67 0.83 <loq< td=""> 0.86 2.11 2.73 All workers 345 0.78 0.71 0.85 <loq< td=""> 0.82 3.27 8.94 All controls 175 0.48 0.43 0.54 <loq< td=""> 0.48 2.56 3.36</loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<> | | Maintenance and laboratory | 7 | 0.31 | 0.13 | 0.73 | <loq< td=""><td><loq< td=""><td>2.76</td><td>2.76</td></loq<></td></loq<> | <loq< td=""><td>2.76</td><td>2.76</td></loq<> | 2.76 | 2.76 |
| Paint applications 47 0.50 0.39 0.64 <loq< th=""> 0.45 1.71 2.51 Thermal spraying 6 0.73 0.51 1.05 0.49 0.65 1.76 1.76 Welding 171 0.75 0.67 0.83 <loq< td=""> 0.86 2.11 2.73 All workers 345 0.78 0.71 0.85 <loq< td=""> 0.82 3.27 8.94 All controls 175 0.48 0.43 0.54 <loq< td=""> 0.48 2.56 3.36</loq<></loq<></loq<></loq<> | Ċ | Machining | 35 | 0.59 | 0.46 | 0.75 | <loq< td=""><td>0.69</td><td>2.61</td><td>2.63</td></loq<> | 0.69 | 2.61 | 2.63 |
| Thermal spraying60.730.511.050.490.651.761.76Welding1710.750.670.83 <loq< td="">0.862.112.73All workers3450.780.710.85<loq< td="">0.823.278.94All controls1750.480.430.54<loq< td="">0.482.563.36</loq<></loq<></loq<> | Р-(| Paint applications | 47 | 0.50 | 0.39 | 0.64 | <loq< td=""><td>0.45</td><td>1.71</td><td>2.51</td></loq<> | 0.45 | 1.71 | 2.51 |
| Welding1710.750.670.83 <loq< th="">0.862.112.73All workers3450.780.710.85<loq< td="">0.823.278.94All controls1750.480.430.54<loq< td="">0.482.563.36</loq<></loq<></loq<> | | Thermal spraying | 6 | 0.73 | 0.51 | 1.05 | 0.49 | 0.65 | 1.76 | 1.76 |
| All workers 345 0.78 0.71 0.85 <loq< th=""> 0.82 3.27 8.94 All controls 175 0.48 0.43 0.54 <loq< td=""> 0.48 2.56 3.36</loq<></loq<> | | Welding | 171 | 0.75 | 0.67 | 0.83 | <loq< td=""><td>0.86</td><td>2.11</td><td>2.73</td></loq<> | 0.86 | 2.11 | 2.73 |
| All controls 175 0.48 0.43 0.54 <loq 0.48="" 2.56="" 3.36<="" td=""><td></td><td>All workers</td><td>345</td><td>0.78</td><td>0.71</td><td>0.85</td><td><loq< td=""><td>0.82</td><td>3.27</td><td>8.94</td></loq<></td></loq> | | All workers | 345 | 0.78 | 0.71 | 0.85 | <loq< td=""><td>0.82</td><td>3.27</td><td>8.94</td></loq<> | 0.82 | 3.27 | 8.94 |
| | | All controls | 175 | 0.48 | 0.43 | 0.54 | <loq< td=""><td>0.48</td><td>2.56</td><td>3.36</td></loq<> | 0.48 | 2.56 | 3.36 |

n: number of workers, GM : geometric mean, CI : 95% confidence interval of the geometric mean min: minimum,

400 P95 : 95th percentile, max: maximum, LOQ : limit of quantification.

402 **Figure 1**. Distribution of RBC-Cr [1a] (μ g/L) and P-Cr [1b] (μ g/L) according to the work 403 activity. Box plots: The bottom and top of the box are, respectively, the P25 and P75, and the 404 horizontal line inside the box is the median (P50).

405



409 3.5. Correlation between RBC-Cr and P-Cr

410

406 407 408

411 The Spearman correlation coefficient ρ between RBC-Cr and P-Cr was 0.21 when all the 412 involved participants were considered (n=520, sum of exposed workers and controls,

413 $p<10^{-3}$). This ρ value was 0.24 in the control group (n=175, p=0.0012). In exposed workers, 414 the highest correlation coefficients were found in chrome platers ($\rho = 0.66$, n=70, p<10⁻³), 415 followed by machining workers ($\rho = 0.64$, n=35, p<10⁻³) and thermal sprayers ($\rho = 0.37$, n=6, 416 p=0.47), whereas very low correlation coefficients were obtained for the other groups (e.g., ρ 417 =0.066 in welders, n=171, p=0.39).

418

420

419 3.6. Correlation between other Cr measurements and RBC/P-Cr

Taking into account exposed workers, RBC-Cr correlated only with respirable Cr(VI) outside RPE (ρ =0.49, n=86, p<10⁻³) while P-Cr correlated with respirable Cr(VI) outside RPE (ρ =0.65, n=86, p<10⁻³), total respirable Cr outside RPE (ρ =0.44, n=75, p<10⁻³) and with post-shift U-Cr (ρ =0.52, n=318, p<10⁻³). Supplementary Figure S3 shows a heatmap on the Spearman correlations between different markers of exposure regardless of the worker groups (i.e., all worker groups combined).

- 427 When worker groups were analyzed separately, somewhat higher correlations were found in
- 428 chrome platers between both RBC-Cr and P-Cr and respirable Cr(VI) outside RPE (ρ =0.64,
- 429 n=52, p<10⁻³ and ρ =0.83, n=52, p<10⁻³), inhalable Cr(VI) outside RPE (ρ =0.54, n=55, p<10⁻³
- 430 and $\rho=0.77$, n=55, p<10⁻³), total respirable Cr outside RPE ($\rho=0.76$, n=19, p<10⁻³ and $\rho=0.86$,

| 431 | n=19, p<10 ⁻³ , respectively), total inhalable Cr outside RPE (ρ =0.49, n=16, p=0.05 and ρ =0.54, |
|-----|--|
| 432 | n=16, p=0.03, respectively), post-shift U-Cr (ρ =0.46, n=67, p<10 ⁻³ and ρ =0.78, n=52, p<10 ⁻³ , |
| 433 | respectively) and hand contamination (ρ =0.53, n=60, p<10 ⁻³ and ρ =0.73, n=60, p<10 ⁻³ , |
| 434 | respectively). |
| 435 | Likewise, in machining workers, RBC-Cr and P-Cr were moderately correlated with total |
| 436 | respirable Cr outside RPE (ρ =0.59, n=6, p=0.22 and ρ =0.47, n=6, p=0.34 respectively) and |
| 437 | highly correlated with total inhalable Cr outside RPE (ρ =1, n=5, p<10 ⁻³ and ρ =0.89, n=5, |
| 438 | p=0.04). The correlation with hand contamination was observed only with P-Cr (ρ =0.59, n=22, |
| 439 | p=0.0035). |
| 440 | In welders, RBC-Cr and P-Cr were well correlated with respirable Cr(VI) outside RPE (ρ =0.59, |
| 441 | n=17, p=0.013 and ρ =0.70, n=17, p=0.0015). A correlation with post-shift U-Cr was observed |
| 442 | only with P-Cr (ρ =0.54, n=156, p<10 ⁻³). |
| 443 | Stratifying by the use or not use of RPE, higher correlations were obtained between RBC-Cr |
| 444 | [or P-Cr] and respirable/inhalable Cr(VI) outside RPE, in the chrome plating group (Table 5). |
| 445 | |
| 446 | Table 5. Spearman correlations ρ between RBC-Cr or P-Cr and respirable Cr(VI) outside |
| 447 | RPE or inhalable Cr(VI) outside RPE, in the chrome plating group stratified by the use or not |

- 448 use of RPE (n is the number of couples of measurements and p is the p-value of the
- 449 correlation coefficient).
- 450

| | | RBC-Cr | | | P-Cr | |
|----------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|--------------------|
| | All workers | Use of RPE | No use of RPE | All workers | Use of RPE | No use of RPE |
| Respirable | ρ =0.64, | ρ =0.34, | ρ=0.81, | ρ =0.83, | ρ =0.65, | $\rho = 0.87,$ |
| Cr(VI) outside | n=52, | n=12, | n=31, | n=52, | n=12, | n=31, |
| RPE | p<10 ⁻³ | p=0.27 | p<10 ⁻³ | p<10 ⁻³ | p=0.02 | p<10 ⁻³ |
| Inhalable | $\rho = 0.54,$ | $\rho = -0.31,$ | $\rho = 0.86,$ | $\rho = 0.77,$ | ρ=0.21, | r $\rho = 0.89$, |
| Cr(VI) outside | n=55, | n=15, | n=31, | n=55, | n=15, | n=31, |
| RPE | p<10 ⁻³ | p=0.25 | p<10 ⁻³ | p<10 ⁻³ | p=0.44 | p<10 ⁻³ |

451

For the relations between [RBC-Cr] and [respirable Cr(VI) outside RPE], between [RBC-Cr] and [inhalable Cr(VI) outside RPE] and between [P-Cr] and [inhalable Cr(VI) outside RPE] in platers, the slope was significantly higher for workers not wearing RPE than for workers wearing RPE. These findings suggest that the use of RPE has an impact on the relation between [RBC-Cr] and [respirable Cr(VI) outside RPE]. In the same group of workers, for the relation between [P-Cr] and [respirable Cr(VI) outside RPE], the slope was not statistically different for workers not wearing RPE compared to those wearing RPE. Indeed, wearing RPE has no

459 impact on the relation between [P-Cr] and [respirable Cr(VI) outside RPE]. Regression equation for RBC-Cr and inhalable Cr(VI) in platers was $\ln(RBC-Cr) = 1.64+0.23*\ln(CrVI)$ 460 461 $\mu g/m^3$) (R²=0.42) and for P-Cr and inhalable Cr(VI) levels ln(P-Cr) = 1.05+0.45*ln(CrVI) $\mu g/m^3$) (R²=0.72) suggesting that OEL of 5 $\mu g/m^3$ corresponds to RBC-Cr levels of 7.5 $\mu g/l$ 462 463 and P-Cr levels of 6 µg/l. This regression analysis is presented in Figure 2. Additional graphical representation of the linear regression models between RBC-Cr/P-Cr and respirable Cr(VI) 464 465 outside RPE, for platers not wearing RPE, are provided in supplementary materials (Figure S4). 466

467

Figure 2: [2a] Linear regression model between RBC-Cr and inhalable Cr(VI) outside RPE.
Regression equation: ln(RBC-Cr)=1.64+0.23*ln(InhCrVI_outside); R²=0.42; n=31 (left
panel). [2b] Linear regression model between P-Cr and inhalable Cr(VI) outside RPE.
Regression equation: ln(P-Cr)= 1.05+0.45*ln(InhCrVI_outside); R²=0.72; n=31 (right panel),
472



In the welding group, the coupled data count [RBC-Cr/respirable Cr(VI) outside RPE] was
limited (n=17, see above). Stratifying by the use or not use of RPE gave a too low number of
coupled data in each group to conclude.

- 478
- 479 3.7. Other influencing parameters
- 480 The statistical tests of the potential influencing parameters (personal habits, living
- 481 environment, and occupational activities) showed no effect on P-Cr and RBC-Cr. Only
- 482 a small effect of the road traffic (related to vehicle emissions) was found on both P-Cr
- 483 and RBC-Cr. The difference in P-Cr and RBC-Cr between controls and exposed workers
- 484 disappeared when controls were exposed to high intensity traffic.

486 4. Discussion

487

This paper is part of the HBM4EU chromates study, performed to collect EU-wide data on current occupational exposure to Cr(VI) and to assess the suitability and added value of different biological indicators in Cr biomonitoring (Santonen et al. 2022). The aim of this research was to assess the RBC-Cr and P-Cr levels in Cr(VI) exposed workers compared to controls in order to understand, also, which factors, related to the exposure levels or job procedures, may affect the concentrations of these biomarkers and the interpretation of the obtained results.

495

497

496 4.1. Exposure of chrome platers, welders and paint application workers

498 The highest RBC-Cr levels were observed in chrome plating workers. Exposures in surface 499 treatment operations (both chrome plating and painting) were significantly higher compared to 500 those experienced by welders and controls. These findings are in agreement with results earlier 501 reported for Cr urinary excretion by Santonen et al., (2022) and Viegas al., (2022). Chrome 502 platers showed higher exposure for U-Cr. The highest P95 value for inhalable Cr(VI) were also 503 observed for chrome plating and painting. Differences in terms of processes, types of activities 504 performed and Cr(VI) emissions, e.g. aerosols formed when hydrogen gas escapes from the 505 warm baths or emissions produced in spray painting, paint removal, coating activities, water-506 solubility and size of particles, could be responsible for the diverse levels determined in such 507 groups of workers (Viegas al., 2022).

508 Moreover, although the pulmonary epithelial lining fluid and lung macrophages have Cr(VI) 509 reducing capacity, a fraction of the non-reduced Cr(VI) may reach the pulmonary tissue and 510 enter the bloodstream. This kinetic process is more rapid in the case of more water-soluble 511 compounds, while those with a low water solubility show less rapid toxicokinetics and may 512 have much longer airway retention (Petrilli et al. 1986; De Flora et al. 1997; OSHA, 2006). 513 Therefore, as the differences between occupational settings suggest, RBC-Cr may reflect 514 primarily exposures with water soluble Cr, such as Cr acid and water-soluble chromates in 515 paint, and much less clearly reflect exposure to welding fumes that more likely contain scarcely 516 water-soluble chromates depending on the welding technique (Antonini et al., 2004). This 517 could be demonstrated by the higher correlation between the inhalable and respirable Cr(VI) 518 exposure and RBC-Cr and P-Cr in chrome platers compared to welders. A relation supported

also by Viegas et al., (2022) who observed that similar air inhalable Cr(VI) levels resulted in
almost two-times higher urinary Cr levels in platers compared to welders.

521

523

522 4.2. Factors affecting RBC-Cr and P-Cr levels

524 The acquired physicochemical features of the airborne Cr(VI) compounds, related to the 525 different source processes, may affect their absorption, cell membrane penetration and cellular 526 bioavailability. This may also explain the variable correlations found between RBC-Cr, P-Cr 527 and environmental measurements in different job tasks. As an example, in chrome plating, the 528 two biomarkers were highly correlated with the respirable Cr(VI) outside RPE, while in 529 machining workers, such correlation failed to emerge, although this data may be affected by 530 the low number of available observations. Additionally, we did not observe any significant 531 impact of the type of welding process, the base metal and filler metals used, and exposure task 532 frequencies on RBC-Cr and P-Cr results. These findings confirm those previously obtained by 533 Scheepers et al. (2008), who did not observe differences in RBC-Cr median concentrations due 534 to the mild, high alloy and stainless steel worked. However, not all occupational aspects could 535 be analyzed in our study due to the considerable heterogeneity of the work situations reported 536 in the questionnaire.

537 Once Cr(VI) compounds enter into the bloodstream most of them will readily be reduced to 538 Cr(III) (Devoy et al. 2016). However, it remains to be clarified how the levels of exposure may 539 affect the Cr(VI) reduction capacity. In fact, it cannot be excluded that once in the bloodstream, 540 low levels of Cr(VI) could be metabolized, for the most part, into Cr(III), thus preventing Cr 541 internalization in RBCs and favoring its persistence in plasma. Conversely, when the levels of 542 exposure increase, at some point Cr(VI) scavenging capacity by glutathione and ascorbate may 543 be exceeded resulting in accumulation of Cr(VI) in RBCs. Also, genetic polymorphisms may 544 influence the Cr(VI) cellular uptake, intracellular reduction and trapping of reduced Cr and 545 should be considered as important determinants of the accumulation of Cr in cells (Qu et al. 546 2008). Further research should elucidate these issues in order to achieve a suitable 547 understanding of the results particularly concerning the representativeness of the P-Cr levels 548 with respect to the Cr(VI) or Cr(III) exposure and find possible conditions of susceptibility.

549 Concerning other factors potentially responsible for the variability in the observed HBM 550 results, our findings did not indicate a contribution of environmental, extra-professional co-551 exposures to RBC-Cr and P-Cr levels, including age and the smoking habit. This is in line with 552 Scheepers et al. (2008) who did not find an influence of age on RBC-Cr and P-Cr and with Qu et al. (2008), Lukanova et al. (1996), and Zhang et al. (2011) who did not report a significant
difference in RBC-Cr between smoker and non-smoker subjects.

555 The use of PPE should be deeply considered. With respect to the inhalable Cr(VI) exposure 556 data, only 27% of chrome platers (15 out 55 workers) reported using RPE. A clear impact of 557 the use of RPE on biomarkers concentrations was observed as better correlations between 558 RBC-Cr and P-Cr and inhalable or respirable Cr(VI) were determined in the group without 559 RPE with respect to those workers using RPE (Table 5). In the same line, previous publications 560 (Santonen et al., 2022; Viegas at al., 2022) reported that the higher urinary Cr levels among 561 platers when compared with other groups as welders or painters, maybe the results of 562 ineffective protection by RPE.

563 Dermal and gastro-intestinal uptake, e.g. due to hand to mouth contact, in addition to uptake 564 by inhalation may contribute to the observed exposure in chrome bath platers (Cherrie et al., 565 2006). Significant hand contamination was previously reported for chrome plating, welding 566 and machining (Viegas et al., 2022). These observations suggest that the effect of PPE on Cr 567 uptake needs further evaluation where HBM such as P-Cr and RBM-Cr could be useful.

568

570

569 4.3. Comparison with previous studies

Our results were in line with the median 1.95 µg/L RBC-Cr determined in German welders 571 572 (Weiss et al. 2013); 1.5 and 3.4 µg/L in pre- and post-shift samples, respectively, in Italian Cr 573 plating workers (Goldoni et al. 2010), 4.41 µg/L in Chinese platers (Zhang et al. 2011). 574 Conversely, much higher levels, 19.02 µg/L, were detected in Bulgarian platers compared to 575 our data (Lukanova et al. 1996). Our findings resulted in the same order of magnitude, or lower, 576 than those determined in unexposed populations, such as the farmers recruited from an area 577 about 90 miles away from a chromate facility in Shandong, China (median 2.64 µg/L) (Qu et 578 al. 2008), the controls enrolled by Zhang et al. (2011) (median 1.54 μ g/L), and the levels 579 determined in non-occupationally exposed subjects from heavily polluted Bulgarian areas and 580 from a resort town on the Black Sea cost (median 2.02 μ g/L) (Lukanova et al., 1996). 581 Concerning available data on the P-Cr, our median result is lower than the median values 582 reported by an Italian study (Goldoni et al. 2010) in chrome plating workers (3.0 µg/L at the 583 end of the Tuesday working shift). However, suitable comparisons with previous studies are 584 prevented by the different experimental settings explored, in terms of investigated populations, 585 periods of investigation, diverse occupational practices and Cr exposure levels, as well as 586 different HBM sampling and analytical strategies used. This strongly supports the need for

harmonized and joined protocols for data gathering, sampling and chemical analyses, in order
to achieve an acceptable comparison of the obtained results while reducing the influence of
methodological differences (Santonen et al., 2019).

590

592

591 4.4. Correlation between RBC-Cr and P-Cr

593 P-Cr showed median levels significantly greater compared to controls (0.48 µg/L), not only in 594 the employees performing chrome plating (1.14 μ g/L), but also in welders (0.86 μ g/L), while 595 this latter group showed no significant differences in RBC-Cr compared to controls. This may 596 suggest that Cr-compounds in fumes and gases produced during the welding activities may 597 follow different kinetics, due to their physico-chemical characteristics, compared to the 598 emissions generated from the other explored activities, including painting and spraying. This 599 is supported by the high observed correlation between both RBC-Cr and P-Cr with respect to 600 the inhalable and respirable Cr(VI) outside the RPE, and the lack of a correlation between the 601 two biomarkers in welders. These findings may also reflect differences in composition with 602 respect to Cr(III) and Cr(VI) in welding fumes and other sources of exposure. In fact, the Cr(VI) 603 content in total Cr ranged from 4 to 82% in welding fumes (Pesch et al., 2018; Mei et al., 2018) 604 and concentrations of inhalable Cr(III) (total or soluble) may exceed those of Cr(VI) 605 (Stanislawska et al., 2020). Additionally, this latter study reported a positive correlation 606 between the Cr(III) in the inhalable fraction and P-Cr in welders, supporting the role of Cr(III) 607 exposure to explain the P-Cr levels in these workers.

Our results may also indicate that each specific biomarker may reflect different time windows 608 of exposure. P-Cr follows 1st-order kinetics thus reflecting recent exposure, RBC-Cr, that 609 610 follows a zero-order kinetics, may be more related to chronic exposure as it better reflects the 611 moving average exposure over the past 4 months depending on the lifespan of RBCs (Goldoni 612 et al. 2010). Once trapped in RBCs, Cr(VI) is maintained until the RBCs are sequestered by 613 the spleen or until the Cr is eluted into the plasma. Given the long lifespan of RBCs in the body (up to 120 days), it can be estimated that RBC-Cr may be representative of the amount of 614 615 Cr(VI) accumulated within the cells over the preceding eight to ten weeks (Miksche and 616 Lewalter, 1997). This explains also the greater correlation found between P-Cr and U-Cr (both 617 following 1st order kinetics), compared to the RBC-Cr. Conversely to the whole Cr content in 618 blood, RBC-Cr can be specific for Cr(VI) exposures. Therefore, this study did not focus on the Cr 619 concentrations in the whole blood, that may represent a mix between the Cr in plasma and in 620 red blood cells, thus losing the possibility to understand the source of exposure. Overall, this

underlines the importance to monitor the Cr concentrations in different biological matrices,
including the RBCs and plasma, in order to better define the toxicokinetic profile of Cr with respect to
both the quantitative and qualitative aspects of the external exposure, e.g. environmental concentrations,
type of Cr compounds, and identify the most suitable biomarker to employ.

625

627

626 4.5. Background exposure and observed RBC-Cr and P-Cr in controls

Our findings highlighted a large variability in the background levels in controls between 628 629 countries and depending on whether the controls were recruited "within" or "outwith" 630 company. The higher levels observed in some control subjects of our study suggest the 631 possibility that controls enrolled "within" companies may be unknowingly and indirectly 632 exposed to Cr compounds. This "bystander exposure" may be explained by crosscontamination throughout workplace and common areas. Lack of industrial hygiene 633 634 measurements and very limited contextual data related to activities of workers in the control 635 group does not allow a definite interpretation. To this aim, further investigation is necessary to 636 collect new data from adults of different countries, not occupationally exposed to Cr, in order to derive reference values for the general population for a suitable comparison. These may be 637 638 important to better discriminate occupational exposure from the background one (Santonen et 639 al., 2022) and detect individuals that are exposed at levels higher than expected, and that might 640 need increased attention in risk assessment (Vogel et al. 2019). Reference values, together with 641 limit values, should be viewed as a part of integrated guidance value systems that may assure 642 an accurate interpretation of the HBM data and a suitable assessment of the exposure and possible adverse health effects (Iavicoli et al., 2019). 643

644

645 4.6. Strenghts and limitations

646

647 Our study has relevant strengths that deserve attention. These include the investigation of a number of workers, greater than the sample size achievable in national studies, coming from 648 649 various European countries, and sharing harmonized HBM protocols. Enrolled workers were 650 engaged in a series of different activities involving Cr(VI), and, although the subdivision of the 651 different job tasks can limit the representativeness of the various group samples, this study 652 represents a comprehensive attempt to define the contribution of different job practices in 653 affecting the exposure levels and HBM results. The rich dataset obtained, in fact, allows the 654 analysis of RBC-Cr and P-Cr in relation to the air concentration data, U-Cr, extraprofessional 655 information, job procedures as well as information on the use of RPE. This allows to report

656 regression equations between blood biomarkers and inhalable or respirable Cr(VI) in air samples for chrome platers. Such equations can be used to derive biological limit values 657 658 corresponding to the occupational exposure level for Cr(VI). General limitations of HBM4EU 659 chromates study have been discussed in previous paper (Galea et al., 2021; Santonen et al., 660 2022). Additionally, controls enrolled "within" the same companies limit the possibility to discriminate the contribution of the exposures exclusively related to the job tasks and prevent 661 662 us to extrapolate definite considerations on the added values of both the blood biomarkers 663 explored.

664

666

665 6. Conclusions

This study showed that Cr-blood based biomarkers can provide useful information on how workplace exposure translates into systemic availability of Cr(III) (extracellular, P-Cr) and Cr(VI) (intracellular, RBC-Cr). It shows that among the different job tasks, the highest exposure to Cr(VI) is related to chrome plating. RBC-Cr and P-Cr in chrome platers both demonstrated a high correlation with inhalable and respirable Cr(VI) outside RPE, while, in welders, such correlation was evident only of respirable Cr(VI). Different kinetics between RBC-Cr and P-Cr may explain the low correlation found between these two biomarkers.

Work is still necessary to fully appreciate RBC-Cr and P-Cr use in an occupational health and safety context. Future research should address potential intra- and inter-individual variations in RBC-Cr and P-Cr and background levels in non-occupationally exposed populations. Future work should also clarify how Cr-blood based biomarkers inform health risk and if these markers have added value in the existing framework of exposure and health risk surveillance.

680 Author contributions

681 Sophie Ndaw: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing-original draft, 682 Supervision, Visualization. Veruscka Leso: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing-original draft, Supervision, Visualization. Radia Bousoumah: Conceptualization, 683 Methodology, Investigation, Writing-review & editing. Aurélie Rémy: Conceptualization, 684 685 Methodology, Data analysis, Writing-original draft, Supervision, Visualization. Beatrice Bocca: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing-review & editing. Radu 686 687 Corneliu Duca: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing-review & editing. 688 Lode Godderis: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing-review & editing.

689 Emilie Hardy: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing-review & editing. 690 Beata Janasik: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing-review & editing. An 691 van Nieuwenhuyse: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing-review & editing. 692 Hermínia Pinhal: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing-review & editing. 693 Katrien Poels: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing-review & editing. 694 Simo P. Porras: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing-review & editing. 695 Edna Ribeiro: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing-review & editing. 696 Flavia Ruggieri: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing-review & editing. 697 Tiina Santonen: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing-review & editing, 698 Supervision. Sílvia Reis Santos: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing-699 review & editing. Paul. T.J. Scheepers: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, 700 Writing-review & editing. Maria João Silva: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, 701 Writing-review & editing. Jelle Verdonck: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, 702 Writing-review & editing. Susana Viegas: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, 703 Writing-review & editing. Wojciech Wasowicz: Conceptualization, Methodology, 704 Investigation, Writing-review & editing. Ivo Iavicoli: Conceptualization, Methodology, 705 Investigation, Writing-original draft, Supervision, Visualization.

All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

707

708 Ethics:

The study involves human subjects. Consent from subjects participating in the study was

received prior to conducting the study. Study protocols have been approved by ethical review

boards in each of the participating countries with the approvals granted before recruiting the

study participants. The ethical boards reviewing and approving the study are as follows:

- •Belgium: Ethische Commissie Onderzoek UZ/KU Leuven, Belgium
- •Finland: Coordinating ethics committee, HUS Joint Authority, Helsinki, Finland
- •France: Comité de Protection des Personnes (CPP) Sud-Ouest
- •Italy: Ethical committee at the Istituto Superiore di Sanità (ISS)
- •The Netherlands: Medisch Ethische Toetsingscommissie (METC) Oost Nederland
- •Poland: Bioethical Committee at the Nofer Institute of Occupational Medicine
- •Portugal: Ethical Committees of Lisbon School of Health Technology and National Institute

720 of Health Dr. Ricardo Jorge

•Luxembourg: CNER Comité National d'Ethique de Recherche (National Ethics Committee

for research)

| 723 | |
|-----|---|
| 724 | Funding Sources: |
| 725 | This work has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and |
| 726 | innovation program under grant agreement No 733032 and received co-funding from the |
| 727 | author's organizations and/or Ministries. |
| 728 | |
| 729 | Disclaimer |
| 730 | The contents, including any opinions and/or conclusions expressed in the manuscript, are those |
| 731 | of the authors alone and do not necessarily reflect the opinions or policy of the organization to |
| 732 | which they are employed. |
| 733 | |
| 734 | Declaration of competing interest |
| 735 | The authors declare that they have no know competing financial interest or personal |
| 736 | relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper. |
| 737 | |
| 738 | Acknowledgements |
| 739 | The project team would like to thank all the companies and workers who participated in the |
| 740 | HBM4EU chromates study. |
| 741 | |
| 742 | Appendix A. Supplementary data |
| 743 | |
| 744 | References |
| 745 | |
| 746 | Alvarez CC, Bravo Gómez ME, Hernández Zavala A. Hexavalent chromium: Regulation and |
| 747 | health effects. J Trace Elem Med Biol. 2021 May; 65:126729. doi: 10.1016/j.jtemb.2021. |
| 748 | |
| 749 | Antonini JM, Taylor MD, Zimmer AT, Roberts JR. Pulmonary responses to welding fumes: |
| 750 | role of metal constituents. J Toxicol Environ Health A. 2004 Feb 13;67(3):233-49. doi: |
| 751 | 10.1080/15287390490266909. |
| 752 | |
| 753 | Cherrie JW, Semple S, Christopher Y, Saleem A, Hughson GW, Philips A. How important is |
| 754 | inadvertent ingestion of hazardous substances at work? Ann Occup Hyg. 2006. 50(7): 693-704. |
| 755 | |

De Flora S, Camoirano A, Bagnasco M, Bennicelli C, Corbett GE, Kerger BD. Estimates of
the chromium(VI) reducing capacity in human body compartments as a mechanism for
attenuating its potential toxicity and carcinogenicity. Carcinogenesis. 1997 Mar;18(3):531-7.
doi: 10.1093/carcin/18.3.531.

760

den Braver-Sewradj SP, van Benthem J, Staal YCM, Ezendam J, Piersma AH, Hessel EVS.
Occupational exposure to hexavalent chromium. Part II. Hazard assessment of carcinogenic
effects. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol. 2021 Nov;126:105045. doi: 10.1016/j.yrtph.2021.105045.
Epub 2021 Sep 8. PMID: 34506880.

765

Devoy J, Géhin A, Müller S, Melczer M, Remy A, Antoine G, Sponne I. Evaluation of
chromium in red blood cells as an indicator of exposure to hexavalent chromium: An in vitro
study. Toxicol Lett. 2016 Jul 25;255:63-70. doi: 10.1016/j.toxlet.2016.05.008.

769

ECHA 2021. Adopted opinions and previous consultations on application for authorization.
https://echa.europa.eu/applications-for-authorisation-previous-consultations (2021), Accessed
01 December 2021

773

Esteban López M, Göen T, Mol H, Nübler S, Haji-Abbas-Zarrabi K, Koch HM, KasperSonnenberg M, Dvorakova D, Hajslova J, Antignac JP, Vaccher V, Elbers I, Thomsen C,
Vorkamp K, Pedraza-Díaz S, Kolossa-Gehring M, Castaño A. The European human
biomonitoring platform - Design and implementation of a laboratory quality assurance/quality
control (QA/QC) programme for selected priority chemicals, Int J Hyg Environ Health,
Volume 234, 2021, 113740, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2021.113740</u>.

780

Galea KS, Porras SP, Viegas S, Bocca B, Bousoumah R, Duca RC, Godderis L, Iavicoli I,
Janasik B, Jones K, Knudsen LE, Leese E, Leso V, Louro H, Ndaw S, Ruggieri F, Sepai O,
Scheepers PTJ, Silva MJ, Wasowicz W, Santonen T. HBM4EU chromates study - Reflection
and lessons learnt from designing and undertaking a collaborative European biomonitoring
study on occupational exposure to hexavalent chromium. Int J Hyg Environ Health. 2021 234,
113725, doi:10.1016/j.ijheh.2021.113725.

787

Goldoni M, Caglieri A, De Palma G, Acampa O, Gergelova P, Corradi M, Apostoli P, MuttiA. Chromium in exhaled breath condensate (EBC), erythrocytes, plasma and urine in the

| 790 | biomonitoring of chrome-plating workers exposed to soluble Cr(VI). J Environ Monit. 2010 |
|-----|--|
| 791 | 12(2):442-7. doi: 10.1039/b914673c. |
| 792 | |
| 793 | IARC 2012. Chromium (VI) compounds. Retrieved from https://monographs.iarc.fr/wp- |
| 794 | content/uploads/2018/06/mono100C-9.pdf (2012) |
| 795 | |
| 796 | Iavicoli I, Leso V, Fontana L. The reference values in the interpretation of toxicological data. |
| 797 | Med Lav. 2019 Aug 26;110(4):251-270. doi: 10.23749/mdl.v110i4.8662. |
| 798 | |
| 799 | ISO. ISO 16740:2005; Workplace Air-Determination of Hexavalent Chromium in Airborne |
| 800 | Particulate Matter-Method by Ion Chromatography and Spectrophotometric Measurement |
| 801 | Using Diphenyl Carbazide. International Standard Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2005. |
| 802 | Available online: https://www.iso.org/standard/30432.html (accessed on 09 May 2022). |
| 803 | |
| 804 | Khadem M, Golbabaei F, Rahmani A. Occupational exposure assessment of chromium (VI): a |
| 805 | review of environmental and biological monitoring, Int. J. Occup. Hygiene (2017) 118-131. |
| 806 | |
| 807 | Kortenkamp A, Beyersmann D, O'Brien P. Uptake of Cr(III) complexes by erythrocytes. |
| 808 | Toxicol. Environ. Chem. 1987; 14, 23–32. |
| 809 | |
| 810 | Lukanova A, Toniolo P, Zhitkovich A, Nikolova V, Panev T, Popov T, Taioli E, Costa M. |
| 811 | Occupational exposure to Cr(VI): comparison between chromium levels in lymphocytes, |
| 812 | erythrocytes, and urine. Int Arch Occup Environ Health. 1996;69(1):39-44. doi: |
| 813 | 10.1007/BF02630737. |
| 814 | |
| 815 | Mei N, Belleville L, Cha Y, Olofsson U, Odnevall Wallinder I, Persson KA, Hedberg YS. Size- |
| 816 | separated particle fractions of stainless-steel welding fume particles - A multi-analytical |
| 817 | characterization focusing on surface oxide speciation and release of hexavalent chromium. J |
| 818 | Hazard Mater. 2018 15;342:527-535. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2017.08.070. |
| 819 | |
| 820 | Miksche LW, Lewalter J. Health surveillance and biological effect monitoring for chromium- |
| 821 | exposed workers. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol. 1997 Aug;26(1 Pt 2):S94-9. doi: |
| 822 | 10.1006/rtph.1997.1146. PMID: 9380843. |
| 823 | |

| 824 | Nübler S, López ME, Castaño A, Mol H, Schäfer M, Haji-Abbas-Zarrabi K, Bury D, Koch |
|-----|---|
| 825 | HM, Vaccher V, Antignac JP, Dvorakova D, Hajslova J, Thomsen C, Vorkamp K, Göen T. |
| 826 | Interlaboratory comparison investigations (ICI) and external quality assurance schemes |
| 827 | (EQUAS) for cadmium in urine and blood: Results from the HBM4EU project, Int J Hyg |
| 828 | Environ Health Volume 234, 2021, 113711, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2021.113711. |
| 829 | |
| 830 | OSHA. Metal and Metalloid Particulates in Workplace Atmospheres (ICP Analysis); |
| 831 | Occupational Safety & Health Administration, United States Department of Labor: |
| 832 | Washington, DC, USA, 2002. Available online: https://www.osha.gov/dts/sltc/methods/ |
| 833 | inorganic/id125g/id125g.pdf (accessed on 09 May 2022). |
| 834 | |
| 835 | OSHA. Occupational Exposure to Hexavalent Chromium. 2006. Available at https://www.os |
| 836 | ha.gov/laws-regs/federalregister/2006-02-28-0. Accessed on 17 November 2021. |
| 837 | |
| 838 | Pesch B, Lotz A, Koch HM, Marczynski B, Casjens S, Käfferlein HU, Welge P, Lehnert M, |
| 839 | Heinze E, Van Gelder R, Hahn JU, Behrens T, Raulf M, Hartwig A, Weiss T, Brüning T. |
| 840 | Oxidatively damaged guanosine in white blood cells and in urine of welders: associations with |
| 841 | exposure to welding fumes and body iron stores. Arch Toxicol. 2015 Aug; 89(8):1257-69. doi: |
| 842 | 10.1007/s00204-014-1319-2. |
| 843 | |
| 844 | Pesch B, Lehnert M, Weiss T, Kendzia B, Menne E, Lotz A, Heinze Ev, Behrens T, Gabriel S, |
| 845 | Schneider W, Brüning T. Exposure to hexavalent chromium in welders: Results of the |
| 846 | WELDOX II field study, Annals of Work Exposures and Health. 2018, 62 (3), Pages 351–361, |
| 847 | https://doi.org/10.1093/annweh/wxy004 |
| 848 | |
| 849 | Petrilli FL, Rossi GA, Camoirano A, Romano M, Serra D, Bennicelli C, De Flora A, De Flora |
| 850 | S. Metabolic reduction of chromium by alveolar macrophages and its relationships to cigarette |
| 851 | smoke. J Clin Invest. 1986 Jun;77(6):1917-24. doi: 10.1172/JCI112520. |
| 852 | |
| 853 | Qu Q, Li X, An F, Jia G, Liu L, Watanabe-Meserve H, Koenig K, Cohen B, Costa M, Roy N, |
| 854 | Zhong M, Chen LC, Liu S, Yan L. CrVI exposure and biomarkers: Cr in erythrocytes in relation |
| 855 | to exposure and polymorphisms of genes encoding anion transport proteins. Biomarkers. 2008 |
| 856 | Aug;13(5):467-77. doi: 10.1080/13547500802099574. Erratum in: Biomarkers. 2008 |

857 Aug;13(5):557.

| 858 | |
|-----|--|
| 859 | Santonen T, Alimonti A, Bocca B, Duca RC, Galea KS, Godderis L, Göen T, Gomes B, Hanser |
| 860 | O, Iavicoli I, Janasik B, Jones K, Kiilunen M, Koch HM, Leese E, Leso V, Louro H, Ndaw S, |
| 861 | Porras SP, Robert A, Ruggieri F, Scheepers PTJ, Silva MJ, Viegas S, Wasowicz W, Castano |
| 862 | A, Sepai O. Setting up a collaborative European human biological monitoring study on |
| 863 | occupational exposure to hexavalent chromium. Environ Res. 2019 177:108583. doi: |
| 864 | 10.1016/j.envres.2019.108583. |
| 865 | |
| 866 | Santonen T, Porras SP, Bocca B, Bousoumah R, Duca RC, Galea KS, Godderis L, Göen T, |
| 867 | Hardy E, Iavicoli I, Janasik B, Jones K, Leese E, Leso V, Louro H, Majery N, Ndaw S, Pinhal |
| 868 | H, Ruggieri F, Silva MJ, van Nieuwenhuyse A, Verdonck J, Viegas S, Wasowicz W, Sepai O, |
| 869 | Scheepers PTJ; HBM4EU chromates study team. HBM4EU chromates study - Overall results |
| 870 | and recommendations for the biomonitoring of occupational exposure to hexavalent chromium, |
| 871 | Environ Res, Volume 204, Part A, 2022, 111984, |
| 872 | https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2021.111984. |
| 873 | |
| 874 | Scheepers PT, Heussen GA, Peer PG, Verbist K, Anzion R, Willems J. Characterisation of |
| 875 | exposure to total and hexavalent chromium of welders using biological monitoring. Toxicol |
| 876 | Lett. 2008 May 30;178(3):185-90. doi: 10.1016/j.toxlet.2008.03.013. |
| 877 | |
| 878 | SCOEL/REC/386 Chromium VI compounds. Recommendation from the Scientific Committee |
| 879 | on Occupational Exposure Limits. 2017. Available at https://op.europa.eu/en/publication- |
| 880 | detail/-/publication/75d27056-893f-11e7-b5c6-01aa75ed71a1/language-en. |
| 881 | |
| 882 | Stanislawska M, Janasik B, Kuras R, Malachowska B, Halatek T, Wasowicz W. Assessment |
| 883 | of occupational exposure to stainless steel welding fumes - A human biomonitoring study. |
| 884 | Toxicol Lett. 2020 Sep 1;329:47-55. doi: 10.1016/j.toxlet.2020.04.019. |
| 885 | |
| 886 | Törnqvist M, Fred C, Haglund J, Helleberg H, Paulsson B, Rydberg P. Protein adducts: |
| 887 | quantitative and qualitative aspects of their formation, analysis and applications. J Chromatogr |
| 888 | B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci. 2002 Oct 5;778(1-2):279-308. doi: 10.1016/s1570- |
| 889 | 0232(02)00172-1. |
| 890 | |

- 891 Verdonck J, Duca RC, Galea KS, Iavicoli I, Poels K, Töreyin ZN, Vanoirbeek J, Godderis L.
- 892 Systematic review of biomonitoring data on occupational exposure to hexavalent chromium.
- 893 Int J Hyg Environ Health. 2021 Jul;236:113799. doi: 10.1016/j.ijheh.2021.113799.
- 894

Viegas S, Martins C, Bocca B, Bousoumah R, Duca RC, Galea KS, Godderis L, Iavicoli I,
Janasik B, Jones K, Leese E, Leso V, Ndaw S, van Nieuwenhuyse A, Poels K, Porras SP,
Ruggieri F, Silva MJ, Verdonck J, Wasowicz W, Scheepers PTJ, Santonen T, HBM4EU
Chromates Study Team. HBM4EU Chromates Study: Determinants of Exposure to Hexavalent
Chromium in Plating, Welding and Other Occupational Settings. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public
Health. 2022; 19(6):3683. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19063683

901

Vogel N, Conrad A, Apel P, Rucic E, Kolossa-Gehring M. Human biomonitoring reference
values: Differences and similarities between approaches for identifying unusually high
exposure of pollutants in humans. Int J Hyg Environ Health. 2019 Jan;222(1):30-33. doi:
10.1016/j.ijheh.2018.08.002.

906

Wang TC, Jia G, Zhang J, Ma YH, Liu LZ, Zhang N, Feng WY, Zhou JW, Song YS, Yan L,
Du XM. Vitamin B12 and folate deficiency and elevated plasma total homocysteine in workers
with chronic exposure to chromate. Occup Environ Med. 2011a Dec;68(12):870-5. doi:
10.1136/oem.2010.063305.

911

Wang T, Jia G, Zhang J, Ma Y, Feng W, Liu L, Zhang N, Yan L, Wang X, Zhang X, Liu Z,
Du X, Zhen S. Renal impairment caused by chronic occupational chromate exposure. Int Arch
Occup Environ Health. 2011b Apr;84(4):393-401. doi: 10.1007/s00420-010-0569-4.

915

Wang TC, Song YS, Wang H, Zhang J, Yu SF, Gu YE, Chen T, Wang Y, Shen HQ, Jia G.
Oxidative DNA damage and global DNA hypomethylation are related to folate deficiency in
chromate manufacturing workers. J Hazard Mater. 2012 Apr 30;213-214:440-6. doi:
10.1016/j.jhazmat.2012.02.024.

920

921 Weiss, T., Pesch, B., Lotz, A., Gutwinski, E., Van Gelder, R., Punkenburg, E., Kendzia, B.,

922 Gawrych, K., Lehnert, M., Heinze, E., Hartwig, A., Käfferlein, H. U., Hahn, J. U., Brüning, T.,

923 WELDOX Group, 2013. Levels and predictors of airborne and internal exposure to chromium

- and nickel among welders-results of the WELDOX study. Int J Hyg Environ Health, 216(2),
- 925 175–183. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2012.07.003
- 926
- 227 Zhang XH, Zhang X, Wang XC, Jin LF, Yang ZP, Jiang CX, Chen Q, Ren XB, Cao JZ, Wang
- 928 Q, Zhu YM. Chronic occupational exposure to hexavalent chromium causes DNA damage in
- electroplating workers. BMC Public Health. 2011 Apr 12;11:224. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-11-
- 930 224.

Journal Prevention

HBM4EU chromates study - Usefulness of measurement of blood Chromium levels in the assessment of occupational Cr(VI) exposure.

Principal Authors

Sophie Ndaw¹, Veruscka Leso², Radia Bousoumah¹, Aurélie Rémy¹, Beatrice Bocca³, Radu Corneliu Duca^{4,5}, Lode Godderis⁵, Emilie Hardy⁴, Beata Janasik⁶, An van Nieuwenhuyse^{4,5}, Hermínia Pinhal⁷, Katrien Poels⁵, Simo P. Porras⁸, Flavia Ruggieri³, Tiina Santonen⁸, Sílvia Reis Santos⁷, Paul. T.J. Scheepers⁹, Maria João Silva⁷, Jelle Verdonck⁵, Susana Viegas¹⁰, Wojciech Wasowicz⁶, Ivo Iavicoli², HBM4EU chromates study team.

Highlights

Suitability of blood biomarkers was evaluated to assess occupational Cr(VI)exposure Bath platers and painters had higher Red Blood Cells-Cr levels compared to controls Bath platers, painters and welders had higher plasma-Cr levels compared to controls Red Blood Cells- and Plasma-Cr were highly correlated with inhalable Cr(VI) in platers Blood-Cr biomarkers can provide information on systemic and intracellular availability

Declaration of interests

☑ The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

□ The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

Journal Prevention