Letter to the editor

Absolute Lymphocyte Count Is Unrelated to Overall Survival in Newly Diagnosed Elderly

Patients with Multiple Myeloma Treated with Immunomodulatory Drugs

Mariasanta Napolitano ¹, MD, PhD; Giorgia Saccullo ¹, MD; Roberto Bono ¹, MD; Antonio Branca

².MD: Clotilde Cangialosi ³.MD: Salvatrice Mancuso ¹.MD: Simona Raso ¹.MD: Gerlando Ouintini

¹,MD; Maria Grazia ¹Lipari,MD; Francesco Fabbiano ³,MD; Giorgina Specchia ⁴,MD;Alberto

Dolce,PhD⁵; Francesco Di Raimondo ²;MD Sergio Siragusa ¹,MD.

¹Cattedra ed U.O. di Ematologia con trapianto, Policlinico Universitario di Palermo

²Divisione di Ematologia, Ospedale Ferrarotto, Università di Catania

³Azienda Ospedaliera "Ospedali Riuniti Villa Sofia-Cervello", Palermo

⁴ Cattedra ed U.O di Ematologia con trapianto, Policlinico Universitario di Bari

⁵ Istituto Italiano di Statistica (ISTAT)-Palermo

Correspondence to:

Napolitano Mariasanta, MD, PhD U.O. di Ematologia con trapianto

Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Policlinico "P. Giaccone" di Palermo

Via del vespro 127, I-90127 Palermo

Tel: + 390916554405

Fax: +390916554402

e-mail: mariasanta.napolitano@unipa.it

The absolute lymphocyte count (ALC) has been widely studied in hematologic and solid malignancies as a marker of host antitumor immunity. Its significance has been evaluated in multiple myeloma (MM) and other hematological tumors [1-3] during different clinical stages of disease [4,5]. It has been studied as an independent prognostic factor for survival in patients with acute myeloid leukaemias (AML) [6] and included in several validated prognostic scores, such as the International Prognostic Score (IPS)[7]. Ege et al have reported that ALC, determined as a surrogate marker of host immune status in patients with newly diagnosed MM, is an independent prognostic factor for clinical outcome [8]. However, the role of ALC at diagnosis in patients with MM not eligible for ASCT, treated with regimens containing immunomodulatory drugs (IMIDs), has been less explored and scanty data can only be deduced from few studies on non-Hodgkin's lymphoma [9].

Aim of the current report was to evaluate the role of ALC at diagnosis in older patients with Multiple Myeloma not eligible for ASCT, treated with IMIDs.

We analyzed data on 435 consecutive patients with MM aged ≥ 65 y.o., collected between 1998 and 2006. All cases required to be originally diagnosed, followed, and treated at the four participating centers. Patients were excluded if they had a concomitant diagnosis of malignancy or any other plasma cell proliferative disorder. The primary endpoint was to assess the role of ALC on overall survival (OS) at the time of MM diagnosis. We also evaluated the influence of ALC on first complete remission (CR) rate and progression free survival (PFS) at two and four years. Complete remission was defined as follows: paraprotein not measurable by serum proteins electro-phoresis, Bence Jones proteinuria not detectable on urine electrophoresis, and bone marrow aspiration showing less than 5% plasma cells.

Logistic regression (step wise selection) was performed to estimate the significance of ALC on efficacy of first line treatment and we used as prognostic factors age, ALC, sex, type of MM, and

the ISS. ALC was assessed as a continuous variable and dichotomized based on finding the optimal cut-off point.

The overall survival (OS) was analyzed using the approach of Kaplan and Meier[10], and it was calculated from the date of MM diagnosis to the end of study or last available follow-up. Differences between survival curves were tested for statistical significance using the two-tailed log-rank test. Median OS was not reached for one group, so we calculated the mean OS. As a continuous variable, ALC was analyzed also through the Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve with the calculation of the Area under curve (AUC).

Multivariate analysis was performed using the Cox proportional hazards model [11]. Hazard ratio with confidence interval (CI) was calculated for the survival analysis. As selection criteria of the variable to be included in the model we used the stepwise method with entry probability set at 0.05 and probability to remove set at 0.10. . PFS, the ratio of surviving patients at a specific time, were also calculated in the two groups at 2 and 4 years. All P-values represented were two-sided, and statistical significance was declared when P < 0.05.

Overall, 125 patients satisfied the criteria to be included in the current analysis:.

All enrolled patients were treated with regimens containing thalidomide or lenalidomide. The first-line treatment of MM included: melphalan, prednisone and thalidomide (MPT, in 89 patients); vincristine, melphalan, prednisone and thalidomide (VMPT) administered in 24 patients; 5 patients received a combination of thalidomide and dexamethasone (TD); 2 patients received lenalidomide and dexamethasone, 2 were treated with cyclophosmamide, lenalidomide and prednisone (CRP), 2 patients were treated with cyclophosmamide, thalidomide and dexamethasone (CTD), 1 with melphalan, dexamethasone and thalidomide (MDT). Patients characteristics and their distribution are reported in Table 1, according to the ALC (≥1.4x109/l vs. <1.4x109/l) at presentation. The

choice of ALC \geq 1.4x109/l as the cut-off point was supported by the available data in the literature, furthermore it yielded the greatest differential in survival, from the log-rank test.

In particular, 51 patients presented values of ALC lower than 1.4 and 74 patient higher than 1.4. Comparing the two groups with respect to all the considered parameters we didn't find any significant difference (Table I). Only hemoglobin values are slightly higher in the ALC >1.4 group (p=0.048).

Complete remission (CR) after first-line treatment was observed in 33 (27.7%) patients, very good partial remission (VGPR) in 36 (30.2%) patients and partial remission (PR) in 18 patients (15.1%). A persistent disease was found in 38 patients (30.4 %). Comparing the two groups for the response to treatment, we didn't find any difference in the two groups (chi square test, p=0.47), so ALC did not influence the efficacy of the first line treatment. These data are confirmed also when evaluating at cytofluorimetric assay T lymphocytes subpopulation (CD4+and CD8+). We have also evaluated the relation between ALC and the success of the first line treatment through logistic regression putting sex, age, type of MM (kappa or lambda), ISS and ALC as covariates but no significance was found (sex p= 0.238, age p= 0.678, type of MM p= 0.245, ISS p= 0.929 and ALC p= 0.218). Lymphocytes subpopulation (CD4+and CD8+) count did not vary significantly during treatment with IMIDs. Considering the overall survival probability, we didn't find any difference between the two ALC groups: with a global mean OS of 60.4 months (CI 53.9-63.9, range 1-78), the patients with more than 1.400 showed a mean OS of 63.2 (CI 53.5-72.9, range 1-77), (Figure 1, logrank test p=0.60).

We extended the analysis to a multivariate approach , using the Cox regression and considering ALC groups (HR 0.69, CI 0.40-1.18, p=0.18) as prognostic factor corrected for sex (p=0.75), age (p=0.032), type of MM (p=0.162) and ISS (p=0.704) and still no significant difference was found with the exception of age of the patients that shows a quite obvious slight higher risk for subjects with increasing of age (HR1.115, CI 1.008-1.235).

The two years-PFS for the group with ALC lower than 1,400 was 90.2% (5 deaths) against 86.3% (8 deaths) of the ALC patients with more than 1,400. The four year-PFS of the two groups was 86.3% (7 deaths) and 82.4% (13 deaths), respectively. "with a value of 0.5787, wefound that ALC values are not able to discriminate between the two groups of survivor and dead". No statistical difference was still found.

In our study, high levels of ALC at diagnosis did not identify, among patients with MM aged > 65 years not eligible for ASCT, those at longer survival. These data are only in part in contrast with previous investigations. We here report on a populationolder than 65 years, treated with immunomodulatory drugs where ALC seems also unrelated to first line treatment response A potential limitation of the use of ALC as a prognostic marker consists in the different cutoff values reported in survival analysis [12]. This suggests that ALC might not be the bestsurrogate marker of host immunity to understand the underling antitumor mechanisms, in specific clinical contexts.

The lack of significance of ALC, in patients treated with thalidomide-based regimens [5,13] supports the hypothesis that other prognostic factors are needed in such population. This could be particularly true when using chemotherapy with immunomodulatory drugs, since these treatments improve CR and OS in MM patients regardless of ISS and chromosomal abnormalities at diagnosis [14]. Different reported effects on baseline ALC in studies exploring the action of immunomodulatory drugs on autoimmune or hematological disorders support the hypothesis that still unknown mechanisms, less explored in elderly patients, are able to affect treatment response.

POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTERSTS

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest

References.

- 1. Boulassel M.R., Herr A.L., Edwards M.D., et al Early lymphocyte recovery following autologous peripheral blood stem cell transplantation is associated with better survival in younger patients with lymphoproliferative disorders. Hematology 2006, 11, 165–170.
- 2. Shen HQ, Feng JH, Tang YM, et al. Absolute lymphocyte count is associated with minimal residual disease level in childhood B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Leuk Res. 2013 Jun;37(6):671-4.
- 3. Shin,SJ., Roh, J., Kim, M., et al. Prognostic significance of absolute lymphocyte count/absolute monocyte count ratio at diagnosis in patients with multiple myeloma. Korean J Pathol. 2013 Dec;47(6):526-33

4. Porrata L.F., Gertz M.A., Litzow M.R., et al. Early lymphocyte recovery predicts superior survival after autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for patients with primary

.

systemic amyloidosis. Clinical Cancer Research 2005, 11, 1210–1218.

- 5 Kim H., Sohn H.J., Kim S., et al. Early lymphocyte recovery predicts longer survival after autologous peripheral blood stem cell transplantation in multiple myeloma. Bone Marrow Transplantation 2006, 37, 1037–1042.
- 6. Behl D., Porrata L.F., Markovic S.N., et al. Absolute lymphocyte count recovery after induction chemotherapy predicts superior survival in acute myelogenous leukemia. Leukemia 2006, 20, 29–34
- 7. Hasenclever D, Diehl V. A prognostic score for advanced Hodgkin's disease. International Prognostic Factors Project on Advanced Hodgkin's Disease, N Engl J Med, 1998;339(21):1506–14
- 8 Ege H, Gertz MA, Markovic SN, et al. Prediction of survival using absolute lymphocyte count for newly diagnosed patients with multiple myeloma: a retrospective study. British Journal of Hematology 2007, 141(6): 749–90.
- 9 T. E.Witzig, C. B. Reeder, J. Polikoff, et al. Initial results from an international study in relapse/refractory aggressive non- Hodgkin's lymphoma to confirm the activity, safety and criteria for predicting response to lenalidomide monotherapy. Blood 2007, 110, abstract 2572
- 10. D'Agostino, Ralph B.; Albert Belanger; Ralph B. D'Agostino, Jr A suggestion for using powerful and informative tests of normality. The American Statistician 1990, 44 (4): 316–321 11. Kaplan E., Meier P. Nonparametric estimation from in-complete observations. Journal of the American Statistical Association 1958, 53: 457–481.
- 12 Mahindra A, Laubach J, Raje N, et al. Latest advances and current challenges in the treatment of multiple myeloma. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2012;9(3):135-43

13. Chanan-Khan A, Kena C Miller, Takeshita K et al. Results of a phase 1 clinical trial of thalidomide in combination with fludarabine as initial therapy for patients with treatment requiring chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL). Blood 2005, 106;10:3348-52

14 Song MK, Chung JS, Joo YD, et al . Clinical value of absolute lymphocyte counts before bortezomib-dexamethasone therapy in relapsed multiple myeloma patients. Acta Haematol.2010, 124(1):34-9

Table I. Baseline characteristics of patients

(According to ALC \geq 1.4 x 10^9 /l vs ALC < 1.4 x 10^9 /l at diagnosis of MM)

CHARACTERISTICS	All (n= 125)	$ALC < 1.4 \times 10^9/l$ (n = 51)	$ALC \ge 1.4 \times 10^9/l$ (n = 74)	P Value
Age at diagnosis (years, median and range)	71.5 (50-85)	75 (50-83)	70 (50-85)	0.0503*
Sex (n) • Male • Female	73 52	35 16	38 36	0.0701§
Albumin (g/l, median and range) • <u>All levels</u> • < 3.5 (n=56) • > 3.5 (n=69)	3.5 (0.8-4.8) 3.1 (0.8-3.5) 4.0 (3.5-4.8)	3.4 (2.5-4.5) 3.1 (2.5-3.4) 4.0 (3.5-4.5)	3.7 (0.8-4.8) 3.0 (0.8-3.5) 4.0 (3.5-4.8)	0.0081* 0.1800* 0.1201*
$\beta 2 micr (mg/l, median and range)$ • All levels • $\leq 3.5 (n=42)$ • $\geq 3.5 (n=83)$	4.3 (1.3-43.1) 2.6 (1.3-3.4) 8.0 (3.5-43.1)	3.9 (1.3-43.1) 2.8 (1.3-3.1) 6.8 (3.5-43.1)	4.4 (1.3-14.2) 1.9 (1.3-3-4) 6.9 (3.6-14.2)	0.5598* 0.0650* 0.6374*
Calcium (mg/dl, median and range)	9.2 (7.3-17.2)	10 (5.8-13.8)	10.6 (6.1-16.5)	0.1292*
Hemoglobin (g/dl, median and range)	10.2 (5.8-16.5)	9.9 (1.5-13.8)	10.9 (7.8-16.5)	0.048*
ISS (n) Stage I Stage II Stage III	36 45 44	16 19 16	20 26 28	0.7430^
LDH (U/L, median and range)	308 (101 3340)	308 (127-3340)	308.5 (101-1022)	0.9478*
Componente M (g/dl), median (range)	3.2 (0.4-7.3)	4 (0.4-7.3)	2.8 (1.0-6.7)	0.4223*
Platelets x 10 ⁹ /l, median (range)	187 (71-649)	186 (77-649)	189 (71-393)	0.4201*
Creatinine (mg/dl) media (range)	1.1 (0.5-21.1)	1.0 (0.5-21.1)	1.1 (0.5-8.7)	0.4084*

^{*} Mann-Whitney test (independent samples) § Fisher's exact test ^ Chi square test