# AUTOMATIC PHOTOELASTIC METHODS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF MEMBRANE RESIDUAL STRESSES IN GLASS

# A. Ajovalasit, G. Petrucci, M. Scafidi

Università degli Studi di Palermo, Viale delle Scienze, 90128 Palermo, Italy

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

It is well known that photoelasticity is used for the analysis of residual stresses of glass [1,2]. The determination of residual stresses in glass, using classical transmission photoelasticity, has been the subject of several contributions based on: Babinet and Babinet-Soleil compensators [3-6], Sénarmont compensation [6-10], white light photoelasticity also using the *tint plate* [6,7] and the standard strain discs [1,2,8,9].

This work concerns the determination of membrane residual stresses in glass plates; for techniques concerning the analysis of thickness stresses in glass plates and for ax-symmetric or of any shape components reference can be made to the literature [1,11,12].

The development of digital photoelasticity [13-15] allows the user to automate the analysis of residual stresses in the glass. Specifically, the automatic photoelastic analysis of membrane residual stresses was done using: GFP - Gray Field Polariscope [16-18], SCA - Spectral Content Analysis [4,19-22], PST - phase shifting photoelasticity [23-25], RGB photoelasticity [25,26], *test fringes* method [27,28], ATPM – Automated tint plate method [29,30].

The first two methods require specific equipment; while the remaining methods are based on the use of a classical transmission polariscope interfaced with an image acquisition system. In this work a comparison of the six methods above cited is presented. In the comparison the following aspects have been especially considered: availability of commercial systems, restrictions concerning the parameter of the isoclines, acquisition system, additional equipment, system calibration, number of acquisitions and external information needed.

### 2. EXPERIMENTS

In the analysis of the methods various experimental tests were performed. The experiments were carried out using a polariscope with quarter-wave plates matched to the reference wavelength

 $\lambda_0 = 589$  nm (monochromatic yellow light) using:

- 1. monochromatic sodium vapour lamps that emit at the reference wavelength ( $\lambda_0$ =589 nm), for the experiments in monochromatic light;
- 2. energy saving fluorescent lamps (Philips Master 7L-D Super 80 18 watt/827) with discrete spectral emission having three main narrow band peaks at the following wavelengths:  $\lambda_R = 612 \text{ nm}$  (red),  $\lambda_G = 546 \text{ nm}$  (green),  $\lambda_B = 436 \text{ nm}$  (blue), for the experiments in white light;
- 3. an RGB camera, model JVC KY-F30 3CCD, with three independent CCD sensors and a Matrox-Meteor 2 digital board having a spatial resolution of 768x576 pixels and a quantization of 256 RGB levels;
- 4. a polycarbonate (MM PSM1) specimen, used both as calibration beam and as carrier in the *test fringes* methods, and a tempered glass plate , used for the analysis of residual stresses,
- 5. a full wave plate (*tint plate*), used in the automated tint plate method, having a retardation  $\delta_0^c = 1.0$  fringe orders at the reference wavelength  $\lambda_0 = 589$  nm.

#### **3. DISCUSSION**

Comparing the methods, an estimation of the errors committed has been done. As a general rule, the phase shifting methods are preferable. In fact, these methods do not require calibration procedures and carrier fringes. Moreover, the relative low retardation allows the user to operate the unwrapping procedure with limited difficulties.

In cases in which multiple acquisitions are not allowable, the following methods, based on a single acquisition, can be taken into account, in particular:

- 1. RGB photoelasticity (without reference fringes) has the advantage of being independent of the isoclinic parameter, but may give inaccurate results in the range between 0 and 0.5 fringe orders,
- 2. in the range between 0 and 0.5 fringe orders, the *test fringes* method used by the RGB photoelasticity and the *automated tint plate method* (ATPM) can noticeably mitigate such disadvantage;

3. the *test fringes* method (used by the centre fringe method) is easy to apply since the calibration procedure is not required, although the presence of a carrier is needed; this method, where applicable, proves to be very effective.

#### References

- [1] Aben H., Guillemet C., Photoelasticity of glass. Berlin Springer 1993 Verlag.
- [2] McKenzie H.W., Hand R.J., *Basic optical stress measurement in glass*, Society of Glass Technology, Sheffield, 1999.
- [3] Redner A.S., Voloshin A.S., Surface and edge stress in tempered glass. Proc. 9<sup>th</sup> Int. Conf. on Experimental Mechanics 1990, Copenhagen, vol. 2, 884-891.
- [4] Strainoptics, Inc. 2013, available on line on web site: http://www.strainoptics.com.
- [5] ASTM 1279, Standard Test Method for Non-Destructive Photoelastic Measurement of Edge and Surface Stresses in Annealed, Heat-Strengthened, and Fully Tempered Flat Glass, Annual Book of ASTM standards.
- [6] ASTM C978, Standard Test Method for Photoelastic Determination of Residual Stress in a Transparent Glass Matrix Using a Polarizing Microscope and Optical Retardation Compensation Procedures, Annual Book of ASTM standards.
- [7] ASTM F218, Standard method for Analyzing Stress in Glass, Annual Book of ASTM standards.
- [8] ASTM C148, Standard Test Method for Polariscopic Examination of Glass Containers. Annual Book of ASTM Standards. ASTM, West Conshohocken, PA, USA.
- [9] UNI 7220, Contenitori di vetro Rilievo delle tensioni residue. (in italian), UNI, Milano, Italy.
- [10] Sharples 2013, available on line on web site: http://www.sharplesstress.com.
- [11] Aben H., Anton J., Errapart A., Modern photoelasticity for residual stress measurement in glass. *Strain* 2008, **44**, 40-48.
- [12] Laboratory of photoelasticity, Institute of Cybernetics at TTU 2013, available on line on web site: <u>http://www.ioc.ee/res/photo.html</u>.
- [13] Ramesh K., Digital Photoelasticity. Springer 2000, Berlin.
- [14] Patterson E.A., Digital photoelasticity: principles, practice and potential. Strain 2002, 38(1), 27-39.
- [15] Ramesh K., Kasimaian T., Neethi Simon B., Digital photoelasticity A comprehensive review. *Journal of Strain Analysis for Engineering Design* 2011, **46**, 245-266.
- [16] Lesniak J.R., Zickel M.J., Applications of Automated Grey-Field Polariscope. Proc. Soc. For Exp. Mech., Spring Conference, June 1998, 298-301.
- [17] Calvert, G., Lesniak J.R., Honlet, M., Applications of modern automated photoelasticity to industrial problem. Insight 2002, 44(2), 224-228.
- [18] Glass Photonics, 2013, available on line on web site: http://www.glassphotonics.com.
- [19] Sanford R.J., On the range of accuracy of spectrally scanned white light photoelasticity. *Proceeding of the SEM Conference on Experimental Mechanics* 1986, New Orleans, 901-908.
- [20] Sanford R.J., Iyengar V., The measurement of the complete photoelastic fringe order using a spectral scanner. *Proceeding of the SEM Conference on Experimental Mechanics* 1985, Las Vegas, 160-168.
- [21] Voloshin A.S., Redner A.S., Automated measurement of birefringence: development and experimental evaluation of the techniques. *Experimental Mechanics* 1989, **29**(3), 252-257.
- [22] Redner A., Automated measurement of edge stress in automotive glass. *Proc. Conf. Glass Processing Days* 2003, Tampere, Finland, 578-599.
- [23] Ajovalasit A., Petrucci G., Scafidi M., Measurement of edge residual stresses in glass by the phase shifting method. *Optics and Lasers in Engineering* 2011, **49**(5), 652-657.
- [24] Aben, H., Ainola, L., Anton, J. (1999), Half-fringe phase-stepping with separation of the principal stress directions. Proc. Estonian Acad. Sci. Eng., 1999, **5**(3), 198-211.
- [25] Battaglia S., Ajovalasit A., Petrucci G., Scafidi M., Analisi fotoelastica delle tensioni residue nel vetro. *Rivista della Stazione Sperimentale del Vetro* 2010, **40**(3), 19-31 (in Italian).
- [26] Ajovalasit A., Petrucci G., Scafidi M., RGB photoelasticity applied to the analysis of membrane residual stress in glass. *Measurement Science & Technology* 2012, 23(2) art. n. 025601
- [27] Ajovalasit A., Petrucci G., Scafidi M., Analisi delle tensioni residue nel vetro mediante la fotoelasticità con frange di riferimento. *Proc. of 40° AIAS national congress* 7-10 Sept. 2011, Palermo Italy (in Italian).
- [28] Ajovalasit A., Petrucci G., Scafidi M., Photoelastic analysis of edge residual stresses in glass by automated "test fringes" methods. *Experimental Mechanics* 2012, 52(8), 1057-1066.
- [29] Lavrador, M.B., Soares, A.C.C., Vieira, R.D., Freire, J.L.F. (1998), Automated inspection of residual stresses in glass using RGB photoelasticity. Proc. of the SEM Spring Conf. on Experimental and Applied Mechanics. Houston, Texas, June 1-3, 1998.
- [30] Ajovalasit A., Petrucci G., Scafidi M., Photoelastic analysis of edge residual stresses in glass by the automated tint plate method. *Experimental Techniques* 2013 (article in press), doi:10.1111/ext.12017.