#### Northumbria Research Link

Citation: Gonul, Sinan, Önkal, Dilek, Kocabiyikoglu, Ayse and Göğüş, Celile Itir (2022) Forecasts and Order Decisions: Reactions to Demand Variability. In: 42nd International Symposium on Forecasting, ISF 2022, 10-13 Jul 2022, Oxford. (Unpublished)

URL:

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link: http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/49867/

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: <a href="http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html">http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html</a>

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)





## Forecasts and Order Decisions: Reactions to Demand Variability

M. Sinan Gönül

Newcastle Business School Northumbria University Dilek Önkal

Newcastle Business School Northumbria University Ayşe Kocabıyıkoğlu

> Sabanci Business School Sabancı University

C. Itır Göğüş

Faculty of Business Administration Bilkent University



Northumbria University NEWCASTLE

## THE OUTLINE

The newsvendor problem

#### The literature

#### Experimental design (conditions, participants)

Results

#### **Discussion & concluding remarks**



#### The Newsvendor Problem Sell at **p** /unit Buy from **C** /unit Decision: How many recasting to "order"? Uncertain Demand Unsold units are scrapped 3 Unmet demand is lost Northumbria University NEWCASTLE

### THE LITERATURE

#### **REVIEWS ON NEWSVENDOR PROBLEM**

 PORTEUS (2000), BENDOLY ET AL. (2006), GİNO & PISANO (2008), LOCH & WU (2008), BENDOLY ET AL. (2010)

**BEHAVIOURAL NEWSVENDOR PROBLEM** 

 SCHWEITZER & CACHON (2000), BENZION ET AL. (2008), BOLTON & KATOK (2008), BENZION ET AL. (2010), GAVIRNENI & ISEN (2010), BOLTON ET AL. (2012), LAU & BEARDEN (2012), FELIER ET AL. (2013), KREMER ET AL. (2013), MORITZ ET AL.
 (2013), REN & CROSON (2013), VERICOURT ET AL. (2013), LONG & NASIRY (2014), OCKENFELS AND SELTEN (2014), RUDI & DRAKE (2014), KOCABIYIKOĞLU ET AL. (2015), ONKAL ET AL.
 (2020)



#### ©Behavioral newsvendor studies:

- Actual vs. normative decision making
  - Normative order quantity : Pr(Demand ≥ x\*)=c/p
- Orders *deviate* from profit maximizing quantities
- Pull-to-center effect (Schweitzer & Cachon 2000)
  - the *tendency* of the decision makers to set their order decisions between the mean demand and the normative order quantity

thumbria

#### Behavioral newsvendor studies:

- Explanations for the discrepancy include
  - psychological costs of underage and overage (Ho et al. 2010)
  - demand chasing (Bolton & Katok 2008)
  - random errors (Kremer et al. 2010)
- **Biased perceptions** of the **demand uncertainty** might be another important driver.
  - Overprecision (Ren & Croson 2013)
  - Decision makers may be *overprecise* so that they *perceive* the demand variability to be <u>lower</u> than its true value

6

thumbria

Behavioral newsvendor studies:

- Overprecision (Ren & Croson 2013)
  - $D_{\rho} = \beta D + (1 \beta)E[D]$
  - *D<sub>p</sub>* is the perceived demand
  - *D* is the actual demand
  - *E*(*D*) is the mean of the actual demand

7

rthumbria

Behavioral newsvendor studies:

- Overprecision (Ren & Croson 2013)
  - $D_{\rho} = \beta D + (1 \beta)E[D]$
  - $(1 \beta)$  is the overprecision parameter
    - If (1 β) = 0, decision makers are perfectly unbiased
    - If (1 β) > 0, decision makers are
       overprecise, they perceive demand to
       be less variable (more stable) than it
       actually is.



If the decision maker's perception of <u>uncertain demand</u> is *different* from its true form

#### Erroneous judgmental demand forecasts

*Skewed* order decisions which *deviate* from normative quantities

- Understanding how decision makers *react to* demand uncertainty has important ramifications for
  - demand forecasting
  - resultant order decisions





9

### **Research Questions**

- How will decision makers' order decisions react to changes in <u>demand variability</u>?
- Will *pull-to-centre* effect persist?
- Will the decision makers demonstrate overprecision?



10



#### • price = 120

- cost = 30 (high profit margin setting)
- cost = 90 (low profit margin setting)
- demand distribution: D ~ Uniform (Schweitzer and Cachon 2000)

pilot round + 40 experimental rounds (4 levels of demand conditions - each treatment lasted 10 rounds)
Study not time restricted
Information message displayed on the screen when the cost/demand parameter changed





Between-subject

- High profit margin setting (p = 120, c = 30) number of participants = 26
- Low profit margin setting (p = 120, c = 90) number of participants = 29

#### Within-subject

| Demand<br>condition | Demand<br>Mean | Demand<br>Variance |
|---------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Uniform(30,50)      | 40             | 33.33              |
| Uniform(20,60)      | 40             | 133.33             |
| Uniform(10,70)      | 40             | 300.00             |
| Uniform(0,80)       | 40             | 533.33             |





# Sample screenshot from high-margin condition (**before** order decision)



C3. RDSS X Round 1 Demand for this product is distributed uniformly between 0 and 80. Your Price Cost of Demand Total Total Profit order ordering revenue cost 120 30 4800 1200 3600 74 40 Please press 'continue' to see the summary table Continue

Sample screenshot from high-margin condition (**after** order decision)

14



### RESULTS

| Demand .       | High-margin<br>setting       |                       | Low-margin<br>setting        |                       |
|----------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|
| condition      | Average<br>order<br>decision | Normative<br>decision | Average<br>order<br>decision | Normative<br>decision |
| Uniform(30,50) | 39.40                        | 45                    | 38.11                        | 35                    |
| Uniform(20,60) | 40.13                        | 50                    | 35.85                        | 30                    |
| Uniform(10,70) | 40.94                        | 55                    | 35.83                        | 25                    |
| Uniform(0,80)  | 41.41                        | 60                    | 33.42                        | 20                    |



### **RESULTS : HIGH-MARGIN**





### **RESULTS: LOW-MARGIN**





### **RESULTS : OVERPRECISION**

- The overprecision parameters (1 β) were uniformly positive.
- The participants *perceived* demand to be less variable (more stable) than it *actually* is

|                  | Overprecision Parameters<br>(1 – <i>β</i> ) |                       |  |
|------------------|---|-----------------------|--|
| Demand condition | High-margin<br>setting                      | Low-margin<br>setting |  |
| Uniform(30,50)   | 1.12  | 0.62                  |  |
| Uniform(20,60)   | 0.99  | 0.59                  |  |
| Uniform(10,70)   | 0.94  | 0.72                  |  |
| Uniform(0,80)    | 0.93  | 0.67                  |  |



### **RESULTS : OVERPRECISION**





## Discussion & Concluding Remarks

- A <u>behavioural</u> study of newsvendor decision making under different demand patterns
- The actual order decisions differ profoundly from normative profit maximizing quantities
  - Pull-to-centre effect persists almost irrevocably
- Decision makers' order decisions react to changes in <u>demand variability</u>





## Discussion & Concluding Remarks

- Their average orders are *further away* from the *normative order quantities* as variability <u>increases</u>
- Decision makers seem to perceive demand to be *less variable* than it actually is for both *high-margin* and *low-margin* settings
- These findings are in line with <u>Ren and Croson</u> (2013) that decision makers are **overprecise** in perceiving demand uncertainty





## Future Research

- We need to better understand the process that starts with *perceiving the demand* and ends up with the order decision. Specifically,
  - How do demand perceptions affect the forecasts?
  - How do demand forecasts influence the order decisions?

