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## The Newsvendor Problem



## Sellat P/unit

Decision:
How many
to "order"?

Unsold units are scrapped Unmet demand is lost

## Uncertain Demand

## THE LITERATURE

## REVIEWS ON NEWSVENDOR PROBLEM

- PORTEUS (2000), BENDOLY ET AL. (2006), GİNO \& PISANO (2008), LOCH \& WU (2008), BENDOLY ET AL. (2010)


## BEHAVIOURAL NEWSVENDOR PROBLEM

- SCHWEITZER \& CACHON (2000), BENZION ET AL. (2008), BOLTON \& KATOK (2008), BENZION ET AL. (2010), GAVIRNENI \& ISEN (2010), BOLTON ET AL. (2012), LAU \& BEARDEN (2012), FELIER ET AL. (2013), KREMER ET AL. (2013), MORITZ ET AL. (2013), REN \& CROSON (2013), VERICOURT ET AL. (2013), LONG \& NASIRY (2014), OCKENFELS AND SELTEN (2014), RUDI \& DRAKE (2014), KOCABIYIKOĞLU ET AL. (2015), ONKAL ET AL. (2020)


## Behavioural Findings

## Behavioral newsvendor studies:

- Actual vs. normative decision making
- Normative order quantity : $\operatorname{Pr}\left(\right.$ Demand $\left.\geq x^{*}\right)=c / p$
- Orders deviatefrom profit maximizing quantities
- Pull-to-center effect (Schweitzer \& Cachon 2000)
- the tendency of the decision makers to set their order decisions between the mean demand and the normative order quantity


## Behavioural Findings

## Behavioral newsvendor studies:

- Explanations for the discrepancy include
- psychological costs of underage and overage (Ho et al. 2010)
- demand chasing (Bolton \& Katok 2008)
- random errors (Kremer et al. 2010)
- Biased perceptions of the demand uncertainty might be another important driver.
- Overprecision (Ren \& Croson 2013)
- Decision makers may be overprecise so that they perceive the demand variability to be lower than its true value


## Behavioural Findings

## Behavioral newsvendor studies:

- Overprecision (Ren \& Croson 2013)
- $D_{p}=\beta D+(1-\beta) E[D]$
- $D_{p}$ is the perceived demand
- $D$ is the actual demand
- $E[D]$ is the mean of the actual demand


## Behavioural Findings

## Behavioral newsvendor studies:

- Overprecision (Ren \& Croson 2013)
- $D_{p}=\beta D+(1-\beta) E[D]$
- (1 - $\beta$ ) is the overprecision parameter
- If $(1-\beta)=0$, decision makers are perfectly unbiased
- If $(1-\beta)>0$, decision makers are overprecise, they perceive demand to be less variable (more stable) than it actually is.


## Behavioural Findings

If the decision maker's perception of uncertain demand is different from its true form


Erroneous judgmental demand forecasts


Skewed order decisions which deviate from normative quantities

- Understanding how decision makers react to demand uncertainty has important ramifications for
- demand forecasting
- resultant order decisions


## Research Questions

- How will decision makers' order decisions react to changes in demand variability?
- Will pull-to-centre effect persist?
- Will the decision makers demonstrate overprecision?


## EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN


> - price = 120
> - cost = 30 (high profit margin setting)
> - cost = 90 (/ow profit margin setting)
> - demand distribution: D ~ Uniform
> (Schweitzer and Cachon 2000)

- pilot round + 40 eкрегimental rounds (4 levels of demand conditions - each treatment lasted 10 rounds)
- Study not time restricted -Information message displayed on the screen when the cost/demand parameter changed



## EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

Between-subject

- High profit margin setting ( $p=120, c=30$ ) number of participants = 26
- Low profit margin setting ( $p=120, c=90$ ) number of participants = 29

Within-subject

| Demand <br> condition | Demand <br> Mean | Demand <br> Variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Uniform(30,50) | 40 | 33.33 |
| Uniform(20,60) | 40 | 133.33 |
| Uniform(10,70) | 40 | 300.00 |
| Uniform(0,80) | 40 | 533.33 |

## EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN



Sample screenshot from high-margin condition (before order decision)

## EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN



Sample screenshot from high-margin condition (after order decision)

## RESULTS

| Demand <br> condition | High-margin <br> setting |  | Low-margin <br> setting |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average <br> order <br> decision | Normative <br> decision | Average <br> order <br> decision | Normative <br> decision |
| Uniform(30,50) | 39.40 | 45 | 38.11 | 35 |
| Uniform(20,60) | 40.13 | 50 | 35.85 | 30 |
| Uniform(10,70) | 40.94 | 55 | 35.83 | 25 |
| Uniform(0,80) | 41.41 | 60 | 33.42 | 20 |

## RESULTS : HIGH-MARGIN



## RESULTS : LOW-MARGIN



## RESULTS: OVERPRECISION

- The overprecision parameters (1 - $\beta$ ) were uniformly positive.
- The participants perceived demand to be less variable (more stable) than it actually is

| Demand condition | Overprecision Parameters <br> $(1-\beta)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | High-margin <br> setting | Low-margin <br> setting |
| Uniform(30,50) | 1.12 | 0.62 |
| Uniform(20,60) | 0.99 | 0.59 |
| Uniform(10,70) | 0.94 | 0.72 |
| Uniform(0,80) | 0.93 | 0.67 |
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## RESULTS : OVERPRECISION
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## Discussion \& Concluding Remarks

- A behavioural study of newsvendor decision making under different demand patterns
- The actual order decisions differ profoundly from normative profit makimizing quantities
- Pull-to-centre effect persists almost irrevocably
- Decision makers' order decisions react to changes in demand variability


## Discussion \& Concluding Remarks

- Their average orders are further awayfrom the normative order quantities as variability increases
- Decision makers seem to perceive demand to be less variable than it actually is for both high-margin and low-margin settings
- These findings are in line with Ren and Croson (2013) that decision makers are overprecise in perceiving demand uncertainty


## Future Research

- We need to better understand the process that starts with perceiving the demand and ends up with the order decision. Specifically,
- How do demand perceptions affect the forecasts?
- How do demand forecasts influence the order decisions?

