

ON GLOBALLY GENERATED VECTOR BUNDLES ON PROJECTIVE SPACES II

JOSÉ CARLOS SIERRA* AND LUCA UGAGLIA

ABSTRACT. Extending the main result of [12], we classify globally generated vector bundles on \mathbb{P}^n with first Chern class equal to three.

1. MAIN RESULT

The main result of the paper is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let \mathcal{E} be a globally generated vector bundle of rank k on \mathbb{P}^n . If $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 3$ and $c_2(\mathcal{E}) \leq 4$ then one of the following holds:*

- (i) $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ and $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(3)$;
- (ii) $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = 2$ and $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$;
- (iii) $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = 3$ and $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(2) \oplus T_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)$;
- (iv) $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = 3$ and $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$;
- (v) $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = 4$ and $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) \oplus T_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)$;
- (vi) $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = 4$ and $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2)$;
- (vii) $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = 4$ and $\mathcal{E} = \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^4}(2)$;
- (viii) \mathcal{E} is given by an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus s} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$, where $h^0(\mathcal{E}^*) = r$, $h^1(\mathcal{E}^*) = s$ and \mathcal{G} is a bundle as above.

Theorem 1.1 immediately implies the following:

Corollary 1.2. *Let \mathcal{E} be a globally generated vector bundle of rank k on \mathbb{P}^n . If $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 3$ then \mathcal{E} is either as in Theorem 1.1, or one of the following holds:*

- (i) $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = 5$ and $\mathcal{E} = \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^4}^2(2)^*$;
- (ii) $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = 5$ and $\mathcal{E} = T_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2)$;
- (iii) $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = 5$ and $\mathcal{E} = T_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1) \oplus T_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$;
- (iv) $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = 6$ and $\mathcal{E} = T_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1) \oplus T_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1) \oplus T_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)$;
- (v) $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = 6$ and $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-2) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus k+n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$;
- (vi) $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = 7$ and $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus k+2} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$;
- (vii) $c_2(\mathcal{E}) = 9$ and $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-3) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus k+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$;
- (viii) \mathcal{E} is given by $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus s} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$, where $h^0(\mathcal{E}^*) = r$, $h^1(\mathcal{E}^*) = s$ and \mathcal{G} is a bundle as above.

This note is a natural extension of [12]. Therefore, we still want to thank the referee of that paper for his help.

Globally generated vector bundles \mathcal{E} on \mathbb{P}^n with $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = 3$ have also been studied independently, and using a different approach, in [10] and [1] (cf. Remark 2).

* Research supported by the ‘‘Ram3n y Cajal’’ contract RYC-2009-04999, the project MTM2009-06964 of MICINN and the ICMAT ‘‘Severo Ochoa’’ project SEV-2011-0087 of MINECO.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We work over the field of complex numbers. Let \mathcal{E} be a globally generated vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^n of rank k , and let \mathcal{E}^* denote its dual bundle. In view of the following result, we will assume throughout the paper that $h^0(\mathcal{E}^*) = h^1(\mathcal{E}^*) = 0$.

Lemma 1 (First reduction). *Let \mathcal{E} be a globally generated vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^n . If $h^0(\mathcal{E}^*) = r$ and $h^1(\mathcal{E}^*) = s$ then there exists a globally generated vector bundle \mathcal{G} such that $h^0(\mathcal{G}^*) = h^1(\mathcal{G}^*) = 0$, and an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus s} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Just put together [12, Lemmas 3 and 4]. \square

Let $c_1 := c_1(\mathcal{E})$ and $c_2 := c_2(\mathcal{E})$ denote the first and second Chern class of \mathcal{E} , respectively. We point out that $c_1^2 - c_2 \geq 0$ since \mathcal{E} is globally generated. Furthermore, in order to classify globally generated vector bundles one can assume $c_2 \leq \frac{c_1^2}{2}$ thanks to the following:

Lemma 2 (Second reduction). *Let \mathcal{E} be a globally generated vector bundle with Chern classes c_1, c_2 . If $c_2 > \frac{c_1^2}{2}$ then there exists a globally generated vector bundle \mathcal{K}^* , whose dual \mathcal{K} is given by the exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus h^0(\mathcal{E})} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular, $c_1(\mathcal{K}^) = c_1$ and $c_2(\mathcal{K}^*) = c_1^2 - c_2 < \frac{c_1^2}{2}$.*

Proof. Consider the kernel \mathcal{K} of the epimorphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus h^0(\mathcal{E})} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$. \square

Globally generated vector bundles with $c_1 \leq 2$ were classified in [12]. From now on we concentrate on the case $c_1 = 3$. We start by considering the cases in which \mathcal{E} admits a global section whose zero locus is a hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^n :

Proposition 2.1. *If $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-3)) \neq 0$ then $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(3)$. Moreover, if $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-3)) = 0$ then $h^0(\mathcal{E}_K(-3)) = 0$ for every linear subspace $K \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ of dimension greater than one.*

Proof. The first statement was shown in [12, Lemma 5]. On the other hand, if $h^0(\mathcal{E}_K(-3)) \neq 0$ then $\mathcal{E}_K = \mathcal{O}_K(3) \oplus \mathcal{O}_K^{\oplus k-1}$ by the first assertion and Lemma 1. Therefore $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(3) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}^{\oplus k-1}$ (see for instance [11, Ch. I, Theorem 2.3.2]), whence $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-3)) \neq 0$. \square

Proposition 2.2. *Assume $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-3)) = 0$.*

- (i) *If $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-2)) \neq 0$ then either $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$, or $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(2) \oplus T_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)$.*
- (ii) *If $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-2)) = 0$ then $h^0(\mathcal{E}_K(-2)) = 0$ for every linear subspace $K \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ of dimension greater than one.*

Proof. To prove (i), we essentially argue as in [12, Proposition 3.2]. If $n = 1$ the result is trivial, so we assume $n \geq 2$. Let $s \in H^0(\mathcal{E}(-2))$ be a non-zero section. Consider the corresponding exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(-2) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0,$$

and let $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be the zero locus of s . We claim that Z is a finite scheme of length at most one. To get a contradiction, let P, Q be two points (maybe infinitely close) where s vanishes and let $L \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be the line joining P and Q . Restricting to L and twisting, we get

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L(2) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_L(2) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since \mathcal{E} is globally generated and $\mathcal{F}_L(2)$ is a quotient of \mathcal{E}_L , we deduce that $\mathcal{F}_L(2)$ is also globally generated. Furthermore

$$3 = c_1(\mathcal{E}_L) = c_1(\mathcal{O}_L(2)) + c_1(\mathcal{F}_L(2)) = 2 + c_1(\mathcal{F}_L(2))$$

and $P, Q \in Z$, so s vanishes on L . Let $\mathbb{P}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a general plane containing L . Then s does not vanish identically on \mathbb{P}^2 as otherwise $s \in H^0(\mathcal{E}(-2))$ would be the zero section. Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be the hypersurface of degree 2 where s vanishes (considered as a section of \mathcal{E}). Then s vanishes on L and $V \cap \mathbb{P}^2$, whence $h^0(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3)) \neq 0$ contradicting Proposition 2.1. This proves the claim. Consider the restriction sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_H(-2) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_H \rightarrow 0$$

to a hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ not meeting Z . Then \mathcal{F}_H is a vector bundle such that $\mathcal{F}_H(2)$ is globally generated and $c_1(\mathcal{F}_H(2)) = 1$. Therefore $\mathcal{F}_H(2)$ is either $\mathcal{O}_H(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus k-2}$ or $T_H(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus k-n}$ by [12, Proposition 3.1]. As

$$\mathcal{E}_H(-2) \in \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}_H, \mathcal{O}_H) = H^{n-2}(\mathcal{F}_H(-n)) = 0,$$

we deduce that \mathcal{E}_H is either $\mathcal{O}_H(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_H(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus k-2}$ or $\mathcal{O}_H(2) \oplus T_H(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus k-n}$. We claim that $h^{n-1}(\mathcal{F}(-n-1)) = 0$. Assume the claim proved. Then

$$\mathcal{E}(-2) \in \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}) = H^{n-1}(\mathcal{F}(-n-1)) = 0,$$

whence $\mathcal{E}(-2) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \oplus \mathcal{F}$ and \mathcal{F} is a vector bundle such that $\mathcal{F}(2)$ is globally generated and $c_1(\mathcal{F}(2)) = 1$, so \mathcal{E} is either $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$ or $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(2) \oplus T_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)$ by [12, Proposition 3.1] and Lemma 1. Let us prove the claim. Consider the restriction sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^*(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_H^*(1) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $h^1(\mathcal{E}^*) = 0$ by assumption and $h^1(\mathcal{E}_H^*(1)) = 0$, we get $h^1(\mathcal{E}^*(1)) = 0$. Now consider the restriction sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(-n-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(-n) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_H(-n) \rightarrow 0.$$

As $h^{n-2}(\mathcal{F}_H(-n)) = 0$ and $h^{n-1}(\mathcal{F}(-n)) = h^{n-1}(\mathcal{E}(-n-2)) = h^1(\mathcal{E}^*(1)) = 0$, we deduce $h^{n-1}(\mathcal{F}(-n-1)) = 0$.

We now prove (ii). It suffices to show it for every hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^n$. If $h^0(\mathcal{E}_H(-2)) \neq 0$ for some hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, we deduce from (i) and Lemma 1 that either $\mathcal{E}_H = \mathcal{O}_H(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_H(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus k-2}$, or \mathcal{E}_H fits in an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus s} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H(2) \oplus T_H(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus k+s-n} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_H \rightarrow 0$$

Assume first $n \geq 4$. Then $h^i(\mathcal{E}_H(-j)) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1$ and every $j \geq 3$. Consider the restriction sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(-j-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(-j) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_H(-j) \rightarrow 0$. We deduce from Serre's vanishing theorem that $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-3)) = h^1(\mathcal{E}(-3)) = 0$, whence $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-2)) = h^0(\mathcal{E}_H(-2)) \neq 0$. Now assume $n = 3$. The Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem yields $\chi(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{1}{6}(c_1^3 - 3c_1c_2 + 3c_3) + c_1^2 - 2c_2 + \frac{22}{12}c_1 + k$. Since $c_1 = c_2 = 3$ we deduce $\chi(\mathcal{E}) = 8 + k + \frac{1}{2}(c_3 + 1)$. To get a contradiction, assume $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-2)) = 0$. Then the restriction sequence gives $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)) \leq 3$ and $h^0(\mathcal{E}) \leq 9 + k$. We deduce $h^3(\mathcal{E}) = h^0(\mathcal{E}^*(-4)) = 0$ and $h^2(\mathcal{E}) = h^1(\mathcal{E}^*(-4)) = 0$ from Serre duality and the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^*(-j-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^*(-j) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_H^*(-j) \rightarrow 0$. Hence $-h^1(\mathcal{E}) \geq (c_3 - 1)/2$, that is, $c_3 = 1$ since c_3 is odd (see for instance [11, p. 113]). Therefore $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)^{\oplus 3}$, and we get a contradiction. \square

Remark 1. We would like to thank Edoardo Ballico for pointing out the following gap in the proof of [12, Proposition 3.2]. The natural isomorphism between $H^{n-1}(\mathcal{F}(-n-1))$ and the dual of $H^1(\mathcal{F}^*)$ holds if the quotient \mathcal{F} is a locally free sheaf, so we just have $\mathcal{E}(-1) \in \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}) = H^{n-1}(\mathcal{F}(-n-1))$. In order to show that $h^{n-1}(\mathcal{F}(-n-1)) = 0$, and hence $\mathcal{E}(-1) = \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}$, just note that

$h^{n-1}(\mathcal{F}(-n)) = h^{n-1}(\mathcal{E}(-n-1)) = h^1(\mathcal{E}^*) = 0$ and that $h^{n-2}(\mathcal{F}_H(-n)) = 0$ (cf. Lemma 3 below).

The cases $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-3)) \neq 0$ and $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-2)) \neq 0$ were described in Propositions 2.1 and 2.2, respectively. Now we study in detail the case $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)) \neq 0$. The following lemma, that somehow appeared in the proof of Proposition 2.2, will be used in the sequel:

Lemma 3. *Let $s \in H^0(\mathcal{E}(-1))$ be a non-zero section, and let $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$ be the corresponding exact sequence of sheaves. If $h^{n-2}(\mathcal{F}_H(-n)) = 0$ for some hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ then $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) \oplus \mathcal{F}(1)$. In particular, $\mathcal{F}(1)$ is a globally generated vector bundle with $c_1(\mathcal{F}(1)) = 2$.*

Proof. We deduce $h^{n-1}(\mathcal{F}(-n)) = h^{n-1}(\mathcal{E}(-n-1)) = h^1(\mathcal{E}^*) = 0$ from the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-n) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(-n-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(-n) \rightarrow 0$, Serre duality, and the assumption $h^1(\mathcal{E}^*) = 0$ throughout the paper. Therefore, we get $h^{n-1}(\mathcal{F}(-n-1)) = 0$ from the restriction sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(-n-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(-n) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_H(-n) \rightarrow 0$. As $\mathcal{E}(-1) \in \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}) = H^{n-1}(\mathcal{F}(-n-1)) = 0$, we deduce $\mathcal{E}(-1) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \oplus \mathcal{F}$. \square

From now on we also assume $c_2 \leq 4$ (cf. Lemma 2).

Proposition 2.3. *If $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-2)) = 0$ and $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)) \neq 0$ then one of the following holds:*

- $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$;
- $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) \oplus T_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)$;
- $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2)$.

Proof. For $n = 1$ the result is obvious, so we assume $n \geq 2$. Let $s \in H^0(\mathcal{E}(-1))$ be a non-zero section, and consider the exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be the zero locus of s . We claim that Z is a finite scheme of length at most two. To get a contradiction, let $T \subset Z$ be a subscheme of length three and let $\Pi \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a plane containing T . Consider the restriction \mathcal{E}_Π and the quotient

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Pi^{\oplus k-2} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_\Pi \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0$$

(cf. [11, Ch. I, Lemma 4.3.1]). Then \mathcal{Q} is a globally generated vector bundle of rank two, $c_1(\mathcal{Q}) = c_1(\mathcal{E}_\Pi) = 3$ and $c_2(\mathcal{Q}) = c_2(\mathcal{E}_\Pi) \leq 4$. The restriction to Π of the non-zero section $s \in H^0(\mathcal{E}(-1))$ yields a non-zero section in $H^0(\mathcal{E}_\Pi(-1))$ by Proposition 2.2(ii). Therefore, since $H^0(\mathcal{E}_\Pi(-1)) \cong H^0(\mathcal{Q}(-1))$, we get a non-zero section $\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{Q}(-1))$ vanishing on $T \subset \Pi$. Since the zero locus of σ is finite as otherwise $\sigma \in H^0(\mathcal{Q}(-2)) \cong H^0(\mathcal{E}_\Pi(-2)) = 0$, we get $c_2(\mathcal{Q}(-1)) \geq 3$ contradicting the fact

$$c_2(\mathcal{Q}(-1)) = (-1)^2 - c_1(\mathcal{Q}) + c_2(\mathcal{Q}) = c_2(\mathcal{Q}) - 2 \leq 2,$$

and hence proving the claim. Now consider the restriction

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_H(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_H \rightarrow 0$$

to a hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ such that $Z \cap H = \emptyset$. Then $\mathcal{F}_H(1)$ is a globally generated vector bundle, $c_1(\mathcal{F}_H(1)) = 2$ and $c_2(\mathcal{F}_H(1)) \leq 2$. Therefore $\mathcal{F}_H(1)$ can be as in [12, Theorem 1.1], cases (i)-(iv). In case (i) we have $\mathcal{F}_H(1) = \mathcal{O}_H(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus k-2}$, so $h^{n-2}(\mathcal{F}_H(-n)) = 0$ and hence $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(2)$ by Lemma 3, giving a contradiction. In case (ii) we have $\mathcal{F}_H(1) = \mathcal{O}_H(1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus k-3}$, so $h^{n-2}(\mathcal{F}_H(-n)) = 0$ and therefore $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$ by Lemma 3. In case (iv) we also have $h^{n-2}(\mathcal{F}_H(-n)) = 0$. Therefore $\mathcal{F}(1)$ is a globally generated vector bundle by Lemma 3 such that $\mathcal{F}_H(1)$ is either $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}^{\oplus k-4}$ or $\mathcal{N}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}^{\oplus k-3}$, and we get a contradiction by [12, Theorem 1.1]. If $\mathcal{F}_H(1)$ is as in case (iii), we remark that

$\mathcal{F}_H(1)$ is either $T_H(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_H(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_H^{k-n-1}$ or $\mathcal{G} \oplus \mathcal{O}_H^{k-n}$, where \mathcal{G} is a vector bundle of rank $n-1$ obtained as a quotient

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H(1) \oplus T_H(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0$$

(cf. [12, Remark 3]). If $\mathcal{F}_H(1) = T_H(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_H(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_H^{k-n-1}$ then $h^{n-2}(\mathcal{F}_H(-n)) = 0$, and hence $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1) \oplus \mathcal{F}(1)$ by Lemma 3. Therefore, $\mathcal{F}(1)$ is either $T(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$ or $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2)$ by [12, Theorem 1.1]. Let us see now that $\mathcal{F}_H(1) = \mathcal{G} \oplus \mathcal{O}_H^{k-n}$ yields a contradiction. Assume first $n = 3$. Then $h^1(\mathcal{F}_H(-3)) = h^1(\mathcal{G}(-4)) = h^1(\mathcal{G}^*(-2)) = 0$, as $\mathcal{G}^*(-2) \cong \mathcal{G}(-4)$ since \mathcal{G} is of rank two and $c_1(\mathcal{G}(-4)) = -6$. Therefore $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \oplus \mathcal{F}(1)$ by Lemma 3, and hence $\mathcal{F}(1) = \mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}^{\oplus k-3}$ by [12, Theorem 1.1]. This contradicts the assumption $h^1(\mathcal{E}^*) = 0$. Assume now $n \geq 4$. To get a contradiction, we point out that $h^1(\mathcal{F}_H^*(-1)) = h^1(\mathcal{G}^*) = 1$. Then it follows from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_H^*(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_H^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H(-1) \rightarrow 0$$

that $h^1(\mathcal{E}_H^*) = 1$. Hence the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^*(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_H^* \rightarrow 0$$

yields $h^2(\mathcal{E}^*(-1)) \neq 0$, as we assume $h^1(\mathcal{E}^*) = 0$. Let us see that $h^2(\mathcal{E}^*(-2)) = 0$. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_H^*(-1-j) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_H^*(-j) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H(-1-j) \rightarrow 0.$$

Then $h^i(\mathcal{E}_H^*(-j)) = h^i(\mathcal{F}_H^*(-1-j)) = h^i(\mathcal{G}^*(-j)) = 0$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and every integer $j \geq 2$ (here we use $n \geq 4$). So we deduce from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^*(-1-j) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^*(-j) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_H^*(-j) \rightarrow 0$$

and Serre's vanishing theorem that $h^2(\mathcal{E}^*(-2)) = 0$. Therefore, $h^2(\mathcal{E}^*(-2)) = 0$ and $h^2(\mathcal{E}^*(-1)) \neq 0$ yields $h^2(\mathcal{E}_H^*(-1)) \neq 0$, which is a contradiction as $h^2(\mathcal{E}_H^*(-1)) = h^2(\mathcal{F}_H^*(-2)) = h^2(\mathcal{G}^*(-1)) = 0$. \square

Finally, we consider the case $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$.

Corollary 2.4. *Assume $n \geq 3$. If $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$ but $h^0(\mathcal{E}_H(-1)) \neq 0$ for some hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, then $n = 4$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ is either $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2)$, or a quotient $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \rightarrow 0$ of rank three.*

Proof. Suppose first $n \geq 4$. If $h^0(\mathcal{E}_H(-1)) \neq 0$ then it follows from Lemma 1 and Proposition 2.3 that \mathcal{E}_H fits in an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus s} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \oplus \mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_H \rightarrow 0$, where $r = h^0(\mathcal{E}_H^*)$, $s = h^1(\mathcal{E}_H^*)$ and either

- (i) $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{O}_H(1)^{\oplus 3}$, or
- (ii) $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{O}_H(1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus T_H(-1)$, or
- (iii) $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2)$.

In cases (i) and (ii) we get $h^i(\mathcal{E}_H(-j)) = h^i(\mathcal{G}(-j)) = 0$ for $i \in \{0, 1\}$ and every integer $j \geq 2$ (here we use $n \geq 4$). Hence we deduce from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(-j-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(-j) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_H(-j) \rightarrow 0$$

and Serre's vanishing theorem that $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-2)) = h^1(\mathcal{E}(-2)) = 0$. Therefore

$$h^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = h^0(\mathcal{E}_H(-1)) \neq 0,$$

yielding a contradiction. Hence case (iii) holds and $n = 4$. Furthermore, we claim that $h^0(\mathcal{E}_H^*) = 0$. From the dual sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_H^* \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^* \oplus \mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus s} \rightarrow 0$ we deduce that $h^i(\mathcal{E}_H^*(-j)) = h^i(\mathcal{G}^*(-j)) = 0$ for $i \in \{0, 1\}$ and every integer $j \geq 1$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^*(-1-j) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^*(-j) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_H^*(-j) \rightarrow 0$$

and Serre's vanishing theorem we get $h^0(\mathcal{E}^*(-1)) = h^1(\mathcal{E}^*(-1)) = 0$, and hence $h^0(\mathcal{E}_H^*) = h^0(\mathcal{E}^*) = 0$. Therefore \mathcal{E}_H is either $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2)$, or a quotient $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}^{\oplus s} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_H \rightarrow 0$ where, in the latter, $s = 1$ as $c_3(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2)) \neq 0$.

Assume now $n = 3$. We argue as in Proposition 2.2. To get a contradiction, assume $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$ and $h^0(\mathcal{E}_H(-1)) \neq 0$. Then we deduce from Proposition 2.3 that \mathcal{E}_H is given by an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus s} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H(1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus T_H(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_H^{\oplus k+s-4} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_H \rightarrow 0$$

As $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$, we deduce from the restriction sequence that $h^0(\mathcal{E}) \leq k + 5$. We deduce $h^3(\mathcal{E}) = h^0(\mathcal{E}^*(-4)) = 0$ and $h^2(\mathcal{E}) = h^1(\mathcal{E}^*(-4)) = 0$ from Serre duality and the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^*(-1-j) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^*(-j) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_H^*(-j) \rightarrow 0$. By the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem we get $\chi(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{1}{6}(c_1^3 - 3c_1c_2 + 3c_3) + c_1^2 - 2c_2 + \frac{22}{12}c_1 + k$, and hence $h^0(\mathcal{E}) - h^1(\mathcal{E}) = k + 5 + c_3/2 \leq k + 5 - h^1(\mathcal{E})$, that is, $c_3 = 0$ giving a contradiction (see for instance [5, Theorem 1.1]). \square

Let us see that only the first case in Corollary 2.4 actually occurs:

Proposition 2.5. *Assume $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$ but $h^0(\mathcal{E}_H(-1)) \neq 0$ for some hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^4$. Then $\mathcal{E} \cong \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^4}(2)$.*

Proof. It follows from Corollary 2.4 that $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ is either $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2)$, or a quotient $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \rightarrow 0$ of rank three.

If $\mathcal{E}_H = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2)$ then we see from Serre's vanishing theorem and the restriction sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(-j-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(-j) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_H(-j) \rightarrow 0$$

that $h^1(\mathcal{E}(-2)) = h^1(\mathcal{E}_H(-2)) = 1$. Therefore we have a non-trivial extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^*(2) \rightarrow 0$$

We claim that $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1)^{\oplus 5}$. In view of [11, Ch. I, Theorem 2.3.2], it is enough to show that $\mathcal{G}_H = \mathcal{O}_H(1)^{\oplus 5}$. Let us see that \mathcal{G}_H has no intermediate cohomology. From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_H \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H(1) \oplus T_H \rightarrow 0,$$

we deduce that $h^1(\mathcal{G}_H(j)) = 0$ for every integer j and that $h^2(\mathcal{G}_H(j)) = 0$ for every integer $j \neq -4$. For $j = -4$, we have $h^2(\mathcal{G}_H(-4)) = h^1(\mathcal{G}_H^*)$. It follows from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H(-1-j) \oplus \Omega_H(-j) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_H^*(-j) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H(-j) \rightarrow 0$$

that $h^0(\mathcal{G}_H^*(-j)) = h^1(\mathcal{G}_H^*(-j)) = h^2(\mathcal{G}_H^*(-j)) = 0$ for every $j \geq 1$. Therefore Serre's vanishing theorem applied to the restriction sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^*(-j-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^*(-j) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_H^*(-j) \rightarrow 0$$

yields $h^1(\mathcal{G}^*(-1)) = h^2(\mathcal{G}^*(-1)) = 0$, and hence $h^1(\mathcal{G}_H^*) = h^1(\mathcal{G}^*) = 0$. Then Horrocks' theorem (see for instance [11, Ch. I, Theorem 2.3.1]) implies that \mathcal{G}_H splits. Finally $c_1(\mathcal{G}_H) = 5$ and $h^0(\mathcal{G}_H(-2)) = 0$, so we get $\mathcal{G}_H = \mathcal{O}_H(1)^{\oplus 5}$. Then $\mathcal{E} = \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^4}(2)$.

Assume now that \mathcal{E}_H is given by a quotient

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \rightarrow 0$$

Then $c_t(\mathcal{E}) = c_t(\mathcal{E}_H) = 1 + 3t + 4t^2 + 2t^3$. Therefore, we get a contradiction by the Schwarzenberger condition (S_4^3) [11, p.113] for $s = 4$. \square

We can now prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We can assume $h^0(\mathcal{E}^*) = h^1(\mathcal{E}^*) = 0$ by Lemma 1, otherwise we get case (viii). If $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-3)) \neq 0$ then we get case (i) by Proposition 2.1. If $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-3)) = 0$ but $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-2)) \neq 0$ then we get cases (ii) and (iii) by Proposition 2.2. If $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-2)) = 0$ but $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)) \neq 0$ then we get cases (iv), (v) and (vi) by Proposition 2.3. If $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$ but $h^0(\mathcal{E}_H(-1)) \neq 0$ for some hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ then we get case (vii) by Corollary 2.4 and Proposition 2.5. Furthermore, we claim that there is no vector bundle \mathcal{E} on \mathbb{P}^5 such that $\mathcal{E}_H = \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^4}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}^{\oplus k-4}$. As $h^i(\mathcal{E}_H^*(-j)) = 0$ for $i \in \{0, 1\}$ and every integer $j \geq 1$, we deduce from Serre's vanishing theorem and the restriction sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^*(-1-j) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^*(-j) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_H^*(-j) \rightarrow 0$$

that $h^i(\mathcal{E}^*(-1)) = 0$ for $i \in \{0, 1\}$. Therefore $h^0(\mathcal{E}^*) = h^0(\mathcal{E}_H^*) = k - 4$ and hence there exists a rank-4 vector bundle \mathcal{G} such that $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{G} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}^{\oplus k-4}$. Then $c_t(\mathcal{G}) = c_t(\mathcal{E}_H) = 1 + 3t + 4t^2 + 2t^3 + t^4$ and we get a contradiction by the Schwarzenberger condition (S_5^4) [11, p.113] for $s = 5$. This proves the claim. Finally, if $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$ and $h^0(\mathcal{E}_H(-1)) = 0$ for every hyperplane $H \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ then we get

$$h^0(\mathcal{E}) \leq h^0(\mathcal{E}_H) \leq \dots \leq h^0(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{P}^2}) \leq h^0(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{P}^1}) = k + 3.$$

Let us see that this is impossible. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}^{\oplus h^0(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{P}^2})} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \rightarrow 0$$

where \mathcal{K} is a vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^2 with $h^0(\mathcal{K}) = h^1(\mathcal{K}) = 0$, $c_1(\mathcal{K}) = -3$ and $c_2(\mathcal{K}) = c_2(\mathcal{K}^*) = 9 - c_2 \geq 5$. Then the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem

$$\chi(\mathcal{K}) = \frac{1}{2}(c_1(\mathcal{K})^2 - 2c_2(\mathcal{K}) + 3c_1(\mathcal{K})) + rk(\mathcal{K})$$

for vector bundles on \mathbb{P}^2 yields

$$0 \leq h^2(\mathcal{K}) = -c_2(\mathcal{K}) + h^0(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{P}^2}) - k \leq -5 + h^0(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{P}^2}) - k$$

i.e. $h^0(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{P}^2}) \geq k + 5$, so we get a contradiction. \square

As a consequence, we obtain the classification of globally generated vector bundles \mathcal{E} on \mathbb{P}^n with $c_1 = 3$ and no restriction on c_2 .

Proof of Corollary 1.2. It follows from Theorem 1.1 and Lemmas 1 and 2. \square

Remark 2. Some well-known globally generated vector bundles seem to be *hidden* in Theorem 1.1(viii) (e.g. $T_{\mathbb{P}^2}$) and Corollary 1.2(viii) (e.g. the Tango bundle \mathcal{T} given by the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}^4}(-2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}^{\oplus 7} \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \rightarrow 0$, see for instance [11, Ch. I, §4]). They can be easily detected in our classification by means of [11, Ch. I, Lemmas 4.3.1 and 4.3.2]. In this context, we point out that the only globally generated vector bundle of rank k on \mathbb{P}^n with $c_1 = 3$ and $k < n$ which does not split is the Tango bundle \mathcal{T} of rank 3 on \mathbb{P}^4 , as one immediately deduces from Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 that $c_n(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ if and only if $\mathcal{E} = \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^4}^2(2)^* \cong \wedge^2 T_{\mathbb{P}^4}(-2)$, giving the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & & 0 & & 0 & \\
& & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
& & & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}^{\oplus 3} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}^{\oplus 3} & \\
& & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & T_{\mathbb{P}^4}(-2) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}^{\oplus 10} & \longrightarrow & \wedge^2 T_{\mathbb{P}^4}(-2) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & T_{\mathbb{P}^4}(-2) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}^{\oplus 7} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & & & 0 & & 0
\end{array}$$

Remark 3. As in [12], one can easily deduce the classification of triple Veronese embeddings of \mathbb{P}^r in a Grassmannian of $(k-1)$ -planes from Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2. The case $k=2$ has been studied in [8]. Globally generated vector bundles and embeddings in Grassmannians are closely related to matrices of constant rank on projective spaces (see [9] and [7]), but we will not consider this matter in this note.

Remark 4. Following the research initiated in [12], globally generated vector bundles and reflexive sheaves with low first Chern class on projective spaces and quadric hypersurfaces have been recently studied by several authors (see [5], [6], [10], [1], [2], [3] and [4]).

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Anghel, N. Manolache: Globally generated vector bundles on \mathbb{P}^n with $c_1 = 3$. arXiv:1202.6261v1 [math.AG]
- [2] E. Ballico, S. Huh, F. Malaspina: Globally generated vector bundles of rank 2 on a smooth quadric threefold. arXiv:1211.1100 [math.AG]
- [3] E. Ballico, S. Huh, F. Malaspina: On higher rank globally generated vector bundles over a smooth quadric threefold. arXiv:1211.2593 [math.AG]
- [4] E. Ballico, S. Huh, F. Malaspina: Reflexive and spanned sheaves on \mathbb{P}^3 . arXiv:1301.1822 [math.AG]
- [5] L. Chiodera, Ph. Ellia: Rank two globally generated vector bundles with $c_1 \leq 5$. Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste 44 (2012) 413-422.
- [6] Ph. Ellia: Chern classes of rank two globally generated vector bundles on \mathbb{P}^2 . arXiv:1111.5718 [math.AG]
- [7] M.L. Fania, E. Mezzetti: Vector spaces of skew-symmetric matrices of constant rank. Linear Algebra Appl. 434 (2011) 2383-2403.
- [8] S. Huh: On triple Veronese embeddings of \mathbb{P}^n in the Grassmannians. Math. Nachr. 284 (2011) 1453-1461.
- [9] L. Manivel, E. Mezzetti: On linear spaces of skew-symmetric matrices of constant rank. Manuscripta Math. 117 (2005) 319-331.
- [10] N. Manolache: Globally generated vector bundles on \mathbb{P}^3 with $c_1 = 3$. arXiv:1202.5988v1 [math.AG]
- [11] Ch. Okonek, M. Schneider, H. Spindler: Vector bundles on complex projective spaces. Progress in Mathematics, 3. Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass., 1980.
- [12] J.C. Sierra, L. Ugaglia: On globally generated vector bundles on projective spaces. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 213 (2009) 2141-2146.

INSTITUTO DE CIENCIAS MATEMÁTICAS (ICMAT), CONSEJO SUPERIOR DE INVESTIGACIONES CIENTÍFICAS (CSIC), CAMPUS DE CANTOBLANCO, 28049 MADRID, SPAIN
E-mail address: jcsierra@icmat.es

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PALERMO, VIA
ARCHIRAFI 34, 90123, PALERMO, ITALY
E-mail address: luca.ugaglia@unipa.it