

Marquette University

e-Publications@Marquette

Biomedical Sciences Faculty Research and
Publications

Biomedical Sciences, Department of

11-2021

Modulatory Actions of the Glycine Receptor β Subunit on the Positive Allosteric Modulation of Ethanol in $\alpha 2$ Containing Receptors

Braulio Muñoz

Universidad de Concepción

Trinidad Mariqueo

Universidad de Concepción

Pablo Murath

Universidad de Concepción

Christian Peters

Universidad de Concepción

Gonzalo E. Yevenes

Universidad de Concepción

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://epublications.marquette.edu/biomedsci_fac



Part of the [Neurosciences Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Muñoz, Braulio; Mariqueo, Trinidad; Murath, Pablo; Peters, Christian; Yevenes, Gonzalo E.; Moraga-Cid, Gustavo; Peoples, Robert W.; and Aguayo, Luis G., "Modulatory Actions of the Glycine Receptor β Subunit on the Positive Allosteric Modulation of Ethanol in $\alpha 2$ Containing Receptors" (2021). *Biomedical Sciences Faculty Research and Publications*. 219.

https://epublications.marquette.edu/biomedsci_fac/219

Authors

Braulio Muñoz, Trinidad Mariqueo, Pablo Murath, Christian Peters, Gonzalo E. Yevenes, Gustavo Moraga-Cid, Robert W. Peoples, and Luis G. Aguayo



Modulatory Actions of the Glycine Receptor β Subunit on the Positive Allosteric Modulation of Ethanol in $\alpha 2$ Containing Receptors

Braulio Muñoz^{1†}, Trinidad Mariqueo¹, Pablo Murath¹, Christian Peters¹, Gonzalo E. Yevenes², Gustavo Moraga-Cid³, Robert W. Peoples⁴ and Luis G. Aguayo^{1*}

¹ Laboratory of Neurophysiology, Department of Physiology, Universidad de Concepción, Concepción, Chile, ² Laboratory of Neuropharmacology, Department of Physiology, Universidad de Concepción, Concepción, Chile, ³ Department of Physiology, Universidad de Concepción, Concepción, Chile, ⁴ Department of Biomedical Sciences, Marquette University, Milwaukee, WI, United States

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Carmen Villmann,
Julius Maximilian University
of Würzburg, Germany

Reviewed by:

Angelo Keramidis,
The University of Queensland,
Australia
John Mihic,
University of Texas at Austin,
United States

*Correspondence:

Luis G. Aguayo
laguayo@udec.cl

† Present address:

Braulio Muñoz,
Department of Pharmacology
and Toxicology, Indiana University
School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN,
United States

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Molecular Signaling and Pathways,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Molecular Neuroscience

Received: 24 August 2021

Accepted: 18 October 2021

Published: 18 November 2021

Citation:

Muñoz B, Mariqueo T, Murath P,
Peters C, Yevenes GE, Moraga-Cid G,
Peoples RW and Aguayo LG (2021)
Modulatory Actions of the Glycine
Receptor β Subunit on the Positive
Allosteric Modulation of Ethanol in $\alpha 2$
Containing Receptors.
Front. Mol. Neurosci. 14:763868.
doi: 10.3389/fnmol.2021.763868

Alpha1-containing glycine receptors (GlyRs) are major mediators of synaptic inhibition in the spinal cord and brain stem. Recent studies reported the presence of $\alpha 2$ -containing GlyRs in other brain regions, such as nucleus accumbens and cerebral cortex. GlyR activation decreases neuronal excitability associated with sensorial information, motor control, and respiratory functions; all of which are significantly altered during ethanol intoxication. We evaluated the role of β GlyR subunits and of two basic amino acid residues, K389 and R390, located in the large intracellular loop (IL) of the $\alpha 2$ GlyR subunit, which are important for binding and functional modulation by G $\beta\gamma$, the dimer of the trimeric G protein conformation, using HEK-293 transfected cells combined with patch clamp electrophysiology. We demonstrate a new modulatory role of the β subunit on ethanol sensitivity of $\alpha 2$ subunits. Specifically, we found a differential allosteric modulation in homomeric $\alpha 2$ GlyRs compared with the $\alpha 2\beta$ heteromeric conformation. Indeed, while $\alpha 2$ was insensitive, $\alpha 2\beta$ GlyRs were substantially potentiated by ethanol, GTP- γ -S, propofol, Zn²⁺ and trichloroethanol. Furthermore, a G $\beta\gamma$ scavenger (ct-GRK2) selectively attenuated the effects of ethanol on recombinant $\alpha 2\beta$ GlyRs. Mutations in an $\alpha 2$ GlyR co-expressed with the β subunit ($\alpha 2AA\beta$) specifically blocked ethanol sensitivity, but not propofol potentiation. These results show a selective mechanism for low ethanol concentration effects on homomeric and heteromeric conformations of $\alpha 2$ GlyRs and provide a new mechanism for ethanol pharmacology, which is relevant to upper brain regions where $\alpha 2$ GlyRs are abundantly expressed.

Keywords: receptor pharmacology, glycine receptor, ethanol, allosteric modulation, G-protein

INTRODUCTION

Alcohol use disorder and alcoholism are major health problems affecting millions of people worldwide and causing great medical and economic burdens. Ethanol is a CNS depressant drug, and at intoxicating concentrations, it disrupts most brain functions including executive planning, awareness, muscle control, and memory (Spanagel, 2009). Inhibitory glycine receptors (GlyRs) play a central role controlling spinal and brain stem excitability

(Legendre, 2001; Harvey et al., 2004; Lynch, 2004), and it is widely accepted that pharmacologically relevant concentrations of ethanol positively modulate α1 containing GlyRs (Aguayo and Pancetti, 1994; Eggers et al., 2000; Sebe et al., 2003).

More recently, it was found that GlyRs in the nucleus accumbens (nAc) might be implicated in ethanol intake and seeking behaviors (Molander and Soderpalm, 2005; Adermark et al., 2011; Li et al., 2012; Munoz et al., 2020). Accumbal neurons appear to express a mixed population of α1 and α2 subunits, however, it is largely unknown if they are equally sensitive to ethanol. Up to now, most studies that have examined the effects of ethanol on recombinant GlyRs have used homomeric conformations of α1 or α2 expressed in HEK 293 cells or oocytes (Crawford et al., 2008; Yevenes et al., 2010; McCracken et al., 2013). The studies showed that the α2 subunit was less sensitive to ethanol than α1 homomeric subunits (Yevenes et al., 2010). Furthermore, these studies indicated that although the intracellular loop (IL) molecular requirements are present in the α2 subunit, the channel is not a target for positive allosteric modulation by ethanol (Yevenes et al., 2010).

From the available results, we have been able to initiate our understanding on how ethanol sensitivity of the different GlyRs subunits relate to behaviors. For instance, Knock In (KI) mice with mutations in the IL of the α1 and α2 subunits showed a 30% shorter duration of loss of righting reflex (LORR) to ethanol compared to WT mice (Aguayo et al., 2014; Gallegos et al., 2021). In addition, KI mice showed higher intake of ethanol upon first exposure and greater conditioned place preference to ethanol (Munoz et al., 2020).

The present study shows that the β subunit is a key molecular component that affects ethanol sensitivity since co-expression of α2 with β subunits increased the sensitivity to low ethanol concentrations opening a new mechanistic alternative to alter the effect of ethanol in higher brain regions that express α2β GlyRs (Avila et al., 2013a,b). Thus, our study provides a new role for α2 and β subunits and reveals a previously undefined aspect of GlyRs pharmacology.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Culture and Transfection

Human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 cells (CRL-1573; American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA, United States) were cultured using standard methods. The cells were transfected using the calcium phosphate technique with 2 μg of cDNA plasmids per 35 mm dish encoding GlyR α subunits and 1 μg of EGFP. To favor the formation of heteromeric GlyRs, we transfected 1 μg of α subunits/EGFP plasmids plus 4 μg of β subunit cDNA (Yevenes et al., 2010). For the Gβγ sequester study, 1 μg of ct-GRK2 was co-transfected with GlyR α2 and β subunits. All recordings were made 18–24 h after transfection. The cDNA encoding the GlyRs has been described previously (Yevenes and Zeilhofer, 2011b). Residues in GlyR α2 (K389A and R390A) were replaced by alanine (α2AA) using the QuickChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Agilent Technologies). Proper sequences of all constructs were confirmed by full-length sequencing.

For Single Channel Recordings

HEK-293 cells were cultured to 70–95% confluence in minimum essential medium (MEM) containing 10% heat-inactivated donor horse serum, Earle's salts, non-essential amino acids, sodium pyruvate, and GlutaMAX (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 37°C with 5% CO₂. Cells were plated in 35-mm dishes coated with poly-D-lysine and fibronectin and transfected with cDNA for the glycine receptors α1, α2, or α2β subunits and green fluorescent protein (Addgene) using a calcium phosphate transfection kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The cDNA ratios were 1:5 for α1: GFP, 1:10 for α2: GFP, and 1:10:2.5 for α2: β: GFP. The higher β plasmid ratio ensured heteromeric GlyR formation for recordings that were done within 48 h following transfection. In our single channel recordings, conductance measurements supported the presence of heteromeric α2β receptors with higher conductance (100 pS). In addition, previous studies showed that incorporation of β to α2 subunits reduced the effects of picrotoxinoides (Fuentelalba et al., 2011).

Electrophysiology

Glycine-evoked currents were recorded from transfected HEK 293 cells in the whole-cell voltage-clamp configuration at room temperature (20–24°C) at a holding potential of –60 mV (Yevenes et al., 2010). Patch electrodes were pulled from borosilicate glass and were filled with (in mM): 120 CsCl, 10 BAPTA, 10 HEPES (pH 7.4), 4 MgCl₂, 0.5 GTP, and 2 ATP. The external solution contained (in mM): 140 NaCl, 5.4 KCl, 2.0 CaCl₂, 1.0 MgCl₂, 10 HEPES (pH 7.4), and 10 glucose. Whole-cell recordings were performed with an Axoclamp 200B amplifier (Molecular Devices, United States) and acquired using Clampex 10.1 software. Data analysis was performed off-line using Clampfit 10.1 (Axon Instruments, Sunnyvale, CA, United States). Exogenous glycine-evoked currents were obtained using a stepper motor-driven rapid solution exchanger (Warner Instrument Corp). The percentages of rise and decay time were obtained from whole-cell current traces of 5 s of duration. The EC₁₀ values for the recombinant and neuronal receptors were obtained experimentally after the successive application of increasing concentrations of glycine (1–1000 μM). The effects of ethanol or GTP-γ-S on the peak amplitude of the current were studied at an EC₁₀ of glycine to compare the effects at equipotent concentrations. The concentration-response curve parameters (EC₅₀ and Hill coefficients, n_h) were obtained from the curve fits of normalized concentration-response data points to the equation $I_{\text{agonist}} = I_{\text{max}} (\text{agonist})^{n_h} / [(\text{agonist})^{n_h} + (\text{EC}_{50})^{n_h}]$. The mean maximal current (I_{max}) indicated corresponds to the average maximal current elicited by saturating concentrations of the agonist. To study Gβγ activation, G proteins were activated with a non-hydrolyzable analog of GTP in the internal solution (GTP-γ-S, 0.5 mM, Sigma Aldrich).

The patch pipettes for single channel recordings had tip resistances of 7–15 MΩ and were manually fire polished in a microforge (Narishige, Japan). In some experiments they were coated with DuPont elastomer R6101 to reduce capacitive noise. Data was acquired using pClamp software and analyzed off-line with Clampfit 10.1 (Axon Instruments, Union City,

CA, United States). Further details were previously published (Yevenes et al., 2008, 2010). Single-channel recording was performed at room temperature using an Axopatch 200B (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, United States) amplifier and digitized with a 1322A Digidata (Axon Instruments, Union City, CA, United States). Data were acquired at 50 kHz and digitally low-pass filtered at 5 kHz. Outside-out patches were voltage-clamped at -60 mV and superfused in an external recording solution containing (in mM): 150 NaCl, 5 KCl, 0.2 CaCl₂, 10 HEPES, 10 glucose, and 10 sucrose (pH 7.4). The intracellular recording solution contained (in mM): 140 CsCl, 10 EGTA, and 10 HEPES (pH 7.2). Solutions of glycine and ethanol were applied to patches using a stepper motor-driven solution exchange apparatus (Warner Instruments, Hamden, CT, United States) and 600 μm i.d. square glass tubing. Ethanol was alternately applied at 60 s intervals.

Single Channel Analysis

Data records from single-channel recordings obtained from patches with one to three open levels were idealized using the segmentation K-means algorithm in the QUB software suite (Qin, 2004). The parameters analyzed were single channel conductance; MOT, mean open time; MST, mean shut time; Po, open probability. For overall mean open times and open probabilities (Po), values reported were obtained from these idealized records using Channelab (Synaptosoft). Data were obtained from 5 to 7 patches for each receptor subunit combination tested recorded for 2 min. Bursts were defined as openings or groups of openings that are likely to represent individual activations of the ion channel, and that were separated by shut times greater than a critical duration (τ_{Crit}). For burst analysis, shut time distributions were fitted with probability density functions using Channelab, and a τ_{Crit} value that minimized the total number of misclassified events was determined for each subunit combination tested. These values were 39 ms for $\alpha 1$ and 90 ms for $\alpha 2\beta$ receptors. Groups of openings in idealized data records were then segmented into bursts using these values in QUB, excluding any segments of data with multi-level openings. The software programs Clampfit and Channelab were then used to fit probability density functions to distributions of burst durations as well as to intraburst open and shut events. Values for intraburst mean open and shut times and intraburst Po were obtained using Channelab.

Reagents

Glycine (Sigma-Aldrich) was prepared in external solution at a stock concentration of 10 mM. Zinc chloride (Sigma-Aldrich) was prepared in H₂O at a stock concentration of 10 mM. Ethanol (Merk-Millipore) and trichloroethanol was dissolved directly in the external solution. Propofol (Sigma-Aldrich) and isoflurane (Baxter) was dissolved in DMSO at a stock concentration of 100 mM and kept at -20°C .

Sample Size

The target number of samples in each group for our electrophysiological experiments was determined based on findings reported in our previously published studies (Yevenes

et al., 2006, 2008, 2010). Using these effect sizes and an α -level set at 0.05 and at 80% power, we determined that 5–7 electrophysiological recordings was an appropriate sample size.

Replication

All sample sizes indicated in figures for electrophysiological experiments represent biological replicates.

Data Analysis

All data was presented as mean \pm standard error of means (SEM). The analyses were performed using two-tailed unpaired and two-tailed paired Student's *t*-tests following an *F*-test to confirm similar variances. Non-normally distributed data were analyzed using two-tailed Welch's tests for unpaired data. A two-way ANOVA test followed by Sidak's multiple comparisons test was performed for **Figures 2B,D, 5B**. The value $*p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant. All the statistical analysis and plots were performed with MicroCal Origin 8.0 (Northampton, MA, United States) and Prism 9.0 (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA, United States) software.

RESULTS

The β Subunit Converts α2 Subunits to an “α1-Like” Glycine Receptor With Respect to Ethanol Sensitivity

Glycine receptors can be expressed in recombinant systems as homomeric or heteromeric complexes (4 α subunits:1 β subunit) (Yu et al., 2021; Zhu and Gouaux, 2021) and their expression can be monitored looking at changes on their properties such as time to activation and glycine affinity (**Figure 1A**). **Figure 1B** shows current traces activated by an EC₁₀ concentration of glycine in homomeric and heteromeric GlyRs. In agreement to previous studies (Yevenes et al., 2010), this data shows that homomeric $\alpha 1$ GlyRs activate faster than the $\alpha 2$ GlyRs. Additionally, the co-expression of β with $\alpha 2$ resulted in an $\alpha 2\beta$ complex that displayed a faster time course for activation, thus resulting in an $\alpha 1$ -like phenotype (**Figure 1C**). As indicated in methods, we used a high β : α plasmid ratio to ensure the assembly of heteropentameric receptors (4 α subunits:1 β subunit). Analyses of concentration-response relationships show that the $\alpha 2$ -homomeric GlyRs display a higher EC₅₀ than the $\alpha 1$ GlyRs. In the $\alpha 1$ GlyRs, for example, the EC₅₀ was 40 ± 1 μM ($n = 10$), while in $\alpha 2$ it was 86 ± 2 μM ($p < 0.001$, $n = 10$, **Figure 1E** and **Table 1**). In addition, co-expression of the β subunit decreased the EC₅₀ in $\alpha 2$ -containing GlyRs to 48 ± 8 μM ($n = 10$), without significant differences in $\alpha 1\beta$ GlyRs (**Figures 1D,E** and **Table 1**). This decrease in EC₅₀ in $\alpha 2\beta$ GlyRs changes some properties of the $\alpha 2$ -homomeric receptor complex, thus functionally it is an $\alpha 1$ -like GlyRs. Because the WT subunits display two basic residues in the IL that are important for ethanol modulation (Yevenes et al., 2010; Muñoz et al., 2020), we replaced the K389 and R390 residues in the WT $\alpha 2$ GlyR ($\alpha 2\text{AA}\beta$) to test their role in the heteropentameric receptor (Gallegos et al., 2021). We found that the mutations did not cause large effects in the properties of




TABLE 1 | Properties of whole cell currents activated by several GlyRs conformations.



GlyR	EC ₅₀ (μM)	nH	I _{max} (pA)	Rise time (s)	10 mM ethanol potentiation (%)	100 mM ethanol potentiation (%)	GTP-γ-S potentiation (%)	Propofol potentiation (%)
α1	40 ± 1 (10)	1.96 ± 0.1	2111 ± 351	1.111 ± 0.1	11 ± 3 (16)	46 ± 4 (16) (**)	80 ± 10 (ref)	363 ± 33 (ref)
α1β	46 ± 2 (9)	1.85 ± 0.1	2355 ± 573	1.847 ± 0.3	9 ± 4 (10)	25 ± 5 (10)	ND	ND
α2	86 ± 2 (10) (***)	1.52 ± 0.1	2493 ± 359	8.116 ± 1.5	-4 ± 4 (12)	12 ± 4 (12)	-1 ± 7 (4)	30 ± 7 (6)
α2β	48 ± 8 (10) (***)	1.5 ± 0.1	3280 ± 471	2.339 ± 0.3 (***)	31 ± 7 (15) (***)	90 ± 20 (15) (**)	73 ± 11 (10) (**)	210 ± 50 (7)
α2AAβ	60 ± 1 (12)	1.5 ± 0.03	1676 ± 276	3.286 ± 0.2 (*)	12 ± 7 (8)	10 ± 6 (8)	-14 ± 12 (10)	306 ± 58 (8)

Values are given as mean ± SEM. Values were fitted to the equation $I_{glycine} = I_{max} [glycine]^{nH} / ([glycine]^{nH} + [EC_{50}]^{nH})$ using Origin 8.0 software. The EC₁₀ calculated for all subunits was used to measure rise time, decay time, ethanol and GTP-γ-S sensitivity experiment. "Ethanol potentiation" corresponds to the change between the control with glycine EC₁₀ and presence of 10 and 100 mM ethanol. The "GTP-γ-S potentiation" corresponds to the change after 15 minutes of dialysis of the non-hydrolyzed analog, GTP-γ-S (200 μM). The "Propofol Potentiation" corresponds to the change between control with 30 μM Propofol. ND: Non determined *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 and ***p < 0.001, One way ANOVA (n) = number of cells.

the current (Figures 1C,E see legend for explanation of denoted residues in the IL).

Next, we tested the sensitivity of the different homomeric and heteromeric receptor conformations to ethanol using the

EC_{10–20} determined from the data in Table 1. The low concentration of the agonist used in this experiment is related to its property of acting as a positive allosteric modulator (PAM), where its largest effect is at EC_{10–20} (Aguayo et al., 1996). First,



we tested the sensitivity of α1 homomeric GlyRs to increasing concentrations of ethanol and found that 50 and 100 mM potentiated the peak current activated with 15 μM glycine (Figures 2A,B, closed squares). The data also showed that the potentiation of glycine-mediated currents was smaller in α1β heteromeric GlyRs, mostly at higher ethanol concentrations (50 and 100 mM). For example, at 100 mM of ethanol, the potentiation was 46 ± 4% in α1 and 25 ± 5% in the α1β conformer ($p < 0.001$, Figures 2A,B and Table 1). In agreement with a previous study (Yevenes et al., 2010), α2 homomeric GlyRs activated with 20 μM glycine were insensitive to 100 mM ethanol (12 ± 4%, Figures 2C,D, closed circles). However, α2β heteromeric GlyRs showed a higher sensitivity at concentrations as low as 10 mM (31 ± 7% of control, $p = 0.035$, Two-way ANOVA, Sidak's multiple comparisons test), 50 mM (65 ± 9% of control, $p < 0.0001$, Two-way ANOVA, Sidak's multiple comparisons test) and up to 100 mM ethanol (90 ± 20% of control, $p = 0.0056$, Two-way ANOVA, Sidak's multiple comparisons test, Figures 2C,D and Table 1). Thus, the more significant effect of co-expressing β with α subunits is the increase in sensitivity to ethanol with the α2 containing subunit [ethanol × GlyR subunit composition interaction: $p = 0.0001$, $F(4,90) = 7.271$, Two-way ANOVA].

Effect of the β Subunit on the Action of Ethanol at the Single Channel Level

To further characterize the effects of a low ethanol concentration (10 mM) on the homomeric and heteromeric GlyRs conformations, we recorded single channel activity using the outside out configuration with glycine alone as control (10 μM for α1, 20 μM for α2, and 10 μM for α2β) and comparing with 10 mM ethanol in the same recording. In α1 homomeric GlyRs, ethanol altered the P_o and frequency of opening (Figures 3A,B and Table 2). For example, open probability in α1 homomeric GlyRs increased by 300% above control (control: 0.12 ± 0.03 vs. 10 mM: 0.52 ± 0.18; $p < 0.001$, paired t -test, Figures 3A,B and Table 2). The heteromeric α1β conformation showed a smaller increase in open probability than homomeric α1 subunits after ethanol application (control: 0.22 ± 0.06 vs. 10 mM: 0.47 ± 0.18; Unpaired t -test, Figure 3B and Table 2). In agreement with the whole-cell results, α2 homomeric GlyRs showed no changes in this parameter with 10 mM ethanol (control: 0.15 ± 0.03 vs.


10 mM: 0.11 ± 0.04; Figure 3B and Table 2). On the other hand, heteromeric α2β GlyRs were markedly affected by ethanol as reflected by an increase in open probability (control: 0.17 ± 0.03 vs. 10 mM: 0.45 ± 0.1; $p < 0.001$, paired t -test, Figures 3A,B and Table 2). The data shows that β subunit co-expression with α decreased channel conductance between homo- and heteromeric GlyRs (85–90 vs. 45 pS, respectively), and that no differences were found in the values of channel conductance in the presence of ethanol in any of the different subunit conformations (Figure 3A and Table 2).

A previous study proposed a kinetic model to explain the effects of ethanol in the α1 subunit (Welsh et al., 2009). Therefore, using a similar concentration of ethanol to that tested previously (Welsh et al., 2009), the effects of ethanol (100 mM) on the α2β conformation were analyzed (Figure 4). In homomeric α1 GlyRs, the results showed that this concentration of ethanol did not affect open probability (P_o , $p = 0.1619$, $t_9 = 1.6$, Paired t -test, $n = 7$), frequency of opening (5,483 ± 2,323 ctrl events vs. 7,166 ± 3,412 ethanol events, $p = 0.3428$, $t_6 = 1.03$, Paired t -test) and mean open time (4.7 ± 0.4 ms ctrl vs. 5.8 ± 0.7 ms ethanol, $p = 0.07$, $t_6 = 2.198$, Paired t -test) (Figures 4A–C). On the other hand, in α2 homomeric GlyRs, ethanol decreased P_o (0.3 ± 0.09 ctrl vs. 0.16 ± 0.08 ethanol, $p = 0.045$, $t_3 = 3.322$, Paired t -test) and the frequency of opening (928.3 ± 660.8 ctrl events vs. 705.3 ± 620.2 ethanol events, $p = 0.02$, $t_3 = 4.401$, Paired t -test) (Figures 4D–F). In α2β receptors, however, ethanol enhanced P_o (0.11 ± 0.05 ctrl vs. 0.21 ± 0.05 ethanol, $p = 0.029$, $t_4 = 3.336$, Paired t -test) and with a tendency to increase the frequency of opening (2,746 ± 2,073 ctrl events vs. 4,782 ± 2,166 ethanol events, $p = 0.087$, $t_4 = 2.25$, Paired t -test) (Figures 4G–I). Altogether, ethanol enhanced P_o in both α1 (1.89 ± 0.35) and α2β, but not in α2 (α2: 0.47 ± 0.16 vs. α2β: 2.68 ± 0.54 ethanol, $p = 0.0097$, $t_7 = 3.519$, unpaired t -test) (Figure 4J). However, mean open time was not modified by ethanol (Figure 4H). In addition, analysis of intraburst open probabilities showed no differences in the α1 and α2β subunit combinations (0.70 ± 0.040 vs. 0.64 ± 0.041, respectively; $P > 0.05$, two-tailed T test). In α1 subunit glycine receptors, ethanol increased burst duration (28 ± 5.2 vs. 42 ± 2.2 ms for control and ethanol, respectively; $P < 0.01$, paired t -test) without altering intraburst P_o (0.70 ± 0.040 vs. 0.57 ± 0.052 for control and ethanol, respectively; $P > 0.05$, paired t -test). In α2β subunit glycine receptors, ethanol did not alter burst duration (89 ± 15

TABLE 2 | Effects of ethanol on the single channel properties for different conformations.

GlyR	Control				10 mM ethanol			
	P_o	Conductance (pS)	Frequency (Hz)	n	P_o	Conductance (pS)	Frequency (Hz)	n
α1	0.12 ± 0.03	85 ± 2	37 ± 9	8	0.52 ± 0.18 (***)	85 ± 2	55 ± 9 (*)	8
α1β	0.22 ± 0.06	45 ± 2	56 ± 8	8	0.47 ± 0.18	47 ± 1	64 ± 10 (**)	8
α2	0.15 ± 0.03	91 ± 2	37 ± 11	8	0.11 ± 0.04	89 ± 1	44 ± 14	8
α2β	0.17 ± 0.03	43 ± 1	58 ± 14	7	0.45 ± 0.1 (***)	44 ± 1	79 ± 11 (*)	7
α2AAβ	0.16 ± 0.06	45 ± 1	44 ± 3	10	0.14 ± 0.06	45 ± 1	43 ± 3	10

Values are given as mean ± SEM. The EC_{10} calculated for all subunits was used for outside out ethanol sensitivity recordings (−60 mV). Absolute values were statistically analyzed using the paired t -test. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$. Below $p < 0.05$ was statistically significant comparing with 10 mM Ethanol. n = (number of cells).



vs. 66 ± 12 ms for control and ethanol, respectively; $P > 0.05$, paired t -test) or intraburst P_o (0.64 ± 0.041 vs. 0.69 ± 0.019 for control and ethanol, respectively; $P > 0.05$, paired t -test). Although the addition of the β subunit to the $\alpha 2$ GlyR conferred ethanol sensitivity similar to that seen in $\alpha 1$ GlyRs, the kinetics

of the $\alpha 2\beta$ GlyR nevertheless differed from those of the $\alpha 1$ GlyR. For example, while open probability within individual receptor activations (bursts) was similar, burst duration and intraburst mean open and shut times differed considerably between $\alpha 1$ and $\alpha 2\beta$ GlyRs (Table 3).

TABLE 3 | GlyR Burst Analysis.

GlyR	Intraburst open times				Intraburst shut times			Mean burst length (ms)	Intraburst P _o
	τ ₁ (ms) (Area)	τ ₂ (ms) (Area)	τ ₃ (ms) (Area)	Mean (ms)	τ ₁ (ms) (Area)	τ ₂ (ms) (Area)	Mean (ms)		
α ₁	0.48 ± 0.076 (35 ± 2.3)	2.7 ± 0.35 (46 ± 3.2)	15 ± 2.0 (20 ± 2.6)	4.6 ± 0.58	0.50 ± 0.045 (77 ± 3.6)	4.2 ± 2.1 (9.7 ± 1.8)	2.5 ± 0.27	27.5 ± 5.24	0.70 ± 0.040
α ₂ β	0.31 ± 0.12 (26 ± 5.7)	4.4 ± 2.1 (31 ± 10)	20 ± 1.7 (51 ± 12)	13 ± 2.3**	0.20 ± 0.0020 (27 ± 4.2)	2.6 ± 0.63 (54 ± 9.9)	9.3 ± 2.6*	88.8 ± 14.6**	0.64 ± 0.041

* $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$; two-tailed T -test. $n = 6$ and 4 cells for α_1 and $\alpha_2\beta$, respectively.

The Effects of Ethanol on $\alpha_2\beta$ Glycine Receptors Is Mediated by a Gβγ-Linked Mechanism


Previous reports using neuronal and recombinant α_1 GlyRs showed that the amplitude of the glycine-activated current was strongly enhanced after 15 min of intracellular dialysis with GTP-γ-S, implying that Gβγ enhances GlyRs activity (Yevenes et al., 2003, 2010). To investigate the dependency of G protein activation on the potentiation of $\alpha_2\beta$ GlyRs by ethanol, we transfected α_2 in different subunit conformations in HEK 293 cells. The data showed that after 15 min of intracellular dialysis, a large current enhancement was found in $\alpha_2\beta$ heteromeric GlyRs ($73 \pm 11\%$), but not in α_2 homomeric GlyRs ($-1 \pm 7\%$, $p = 0.0013$, $F(3,15) = 5.03$, Two-way ANOVA, Sidak's multiple comparisons test; **Figures 5A,B** and **Table 1**). Therefore, the data indicates that the β subunit confers the properties for G protein modulation. Thus, these data demonstrate the importance of Gβγ signaling for the ethanol effects on α_2 GlyRs. Employing a widely used approach to examine the involvement of Gβγ, we expressed a Gβγ scavenger protein, ct-GRK2, that binds with high affinity to this dimer (Yevenes et al., 2003, 2008). Cells transfected with ct-GRK2 should not be potentiated by ethanol because ct-GRK2 binds "free" Gβγ and prevents its interaction with effectors. Overexpression of ct-GRK2 in an independent experiment show a significant attenuation of the potentiation induced by 100 mM ethanol in $\alpha_2\beta$ GlyRs (control: $100 \pm 13\%$ vs. ct-GRK2: $10 \pm 5\%$; $p < 0.001$, $t_7 = 7.313$, Unpaired t -test, **Figures 5C,D**) strongly indicating that the Gβγ signaling is critical for ethanol effects on $\alpha_2\beta$ -containing GlyRs.

It was previously reported that the α_2 subunit has the molecular determinants in the intracellular domain necessary for allosteric modulation of GlyRs via activation of Gβγ (Yevenes et al., 2010). Here, two basic amino acids (K389 and R390) in the large intracellular loop of the α_2 GlyRs subunit were detected and they were homologous to residues present in the α_1 GlyRs subunit (K385 and K386) that are critical for binding and functional modulation by ethanol and Gβγ (Yevenes et al., 2006, 2008, 2010). In this study, we found that changing these two basic amino acids to alanine in α_2 and co-expressing the mutant with the β subunit decreased the EC₅₀ value compared to α_2 homomeric GlyRs ($p < 0.001$, **Figure 1E** and **Table 1**). More interesting, the mutation abolished the ethanol-induced potentiation present in $\alpha_2\beta$ heteromeric GlyRs ($90 \pm 20\%$ vs. $10 \pm 6\%$, $p = 0.001$, $t_{20} = 3.86$, Unpaired t -test with Welch's correction) (**Figures 6A–C** and **Table 1**). Single channel

recordings confirmed that the mutation of these two residues in α_2 conferred resistance against low ethanol concentration effects (P_o control: 0.16 ± 0.06 vs. P_o 10 mM: 0.14 ± 0.06 ; **Figures 6D,E** and **Table 2**), being significantly reduced compared with the naïve α_2 subunit ($143 \pm 27\%$ vs. $6.6 \pm 33\%$, $p = 0.098$, $t_{15} = 2.957$, Unpaired t -test, **Figure 6E**). Mechanistically, the activation of G-protein after 15 min of intracellular dialysis with GTP-γ-S showed that the α_2 AA mutation in the intracellular loop conferred resistance to potentiation by Gβγ when co-transfected with the β subunit ($73 \pm 11\%$ vs. $-11 \pm 11\%$, $p < 0.001$, $t_{18} = 5.239$, Unpaired t -test; **Figures 6F–H** and **Table 1**). Thus, these results support the idea that these two residues and the β subunit are important for α_2 GlyR modulation by ethanol.

Beta Subunits Affect the Pharmacology of α_2 Glycine Receptors to Positive Allosteric Modulators

Several studies have reported different molecular sites in transmembrane 2 and 3 (TM2 and TM3) within the α_1 subunit that are important for the actions of ethanol and other allosteric modulators (Mascia et al., 1996, 2000; Mihic et al., 1997; Lobo et al., 2004; Borghese et al., 2012). Furthermore, several PAMs differentially affect homomeric α_1 and α_2 GlyRs (Yevenes and Zeilhofer, 2011b). Therefore, we wanted to characterize whether the incorporation of the β subunit affected the modulation to some typical PAMs in α_2 GlyRs. The data show that α_2 homomeric GlyRs are inhibited by the applications of Zn²⁺, isoflurane, and trichloroethanol (**Figures 7A,B**). The data also show that the β subunit causes a reversal from inhibition to potentiation of the $\alpha_2\beta$ complexes in presence of trichloroethanol (α_2 : $-29 \pm 5\%$ vs. $\alpha_2\beta$: $60 \pm 24\%$; $p = 0.0005$, $t_{21} = 4.152$, $n = 13$ α_2 , and $n = 10$ $\alpha_2\beta$, **Figures 7A,B**) and Zn²⁺ (α_2 : $-10 \pm 13\%$ vs. $\alpha_2\beta$: $44 \pm 17\%$; $p = 0.0151$, $t_{21} = 2.647$, $n = 13$ α_2 , and $n = 10$ $\alpha_2\beta$, **Figures 7A,B**). The finding that isoflurane was unable to produce a potentiating action in α_2 and $\alpha_2\beta$ supports the notion that α_2 containing GlyRs are not molecular targets for this PAM (α_2 : $-24 \pm 9\%$ vs. $\alpha_2\beta$: $0 \pm 10\%$; $p = 0.0879$, $t_{20} = 1.794$, $n = 13$ α_2 , and $n = 9$ $\alpha_2\beta$, **Figures 7A,B**). Another classical PAM is propofol, which has been shown to potentiate α_1 -mediated GlyRs currents (Moraga-Cid et al., 2011) and to modulate glycinergic synaptic transmission in medium spiny neurons (MSNs) in the nAc (Munoz et al., 2018). In addition, a single phenylalanine residue (F380 in IL) was found to be critical on this effect in α_1 GlyRs (Moraga-Cid et al., 2011). Our data show that α_2 homomeric GlyRs were potentiated to a small extent by propofol ($30 \pm 7\%$




of control) (**Figure 7D** and **Table 1**), whereas both $\alpha 2\beta$ naive and its mutant version ($\alpha 2AA$) were significantly potentiated by the modulator as compared to $\alpha 2$ GlyRs ($\alpha 2\beta$: $210 \pm 50\%$, $\alpha 2AA\beta$: $306 \pm 58\%$; $\alpha 2$ vs. $\alpha 2\beta$, $p < 0.0001$, $t_{11} = 9.613$, $n = 6$ $\alpha 2$ and $n = 7$ $\alpha 2\beta$, $\alpha 2$ vs. $\alpha 2AA\beta$, $p = 0.0009$, $t_{12} = 4.094$, $n = 8$ $\alpha 2AA\beta$, **Figures 7C,D** and **Table 1**). Thus, the data suggest that the β subunit can exert critical sensitivity to several PAMs in an $\alpha 2$ expressing channel.

DISCUSSION

A New Modulatory Role for β Subunits in the Function of Glycine Receptors

The present study provides new information about a previously unrecognized role of the β subunit in the allosteric modulation of GlyRs by an important group of depressants, i.e., ethanol and general anesthetics. Additionally, it provides evidence for the critical role of basic residues present in the IL of the $\alpha 2$ subunit on its functional modulation by $G\beta\gamma$ and ethanol. Furthermore, the present data support the conclusion that GlyRs expressing $\alpha 2\beta$ are one of the most sensitive brain targets for ethanol allosteric modulation.

Classically, the β subunit of GlyRs has been widely understood to act as a structural component in the receptor because it does not present the molecular requirement for glycine binding and Cl^- ion permeation (Grudzinska et al., 2005; Weltzien et al., 2012). The β subunit contributes to GlyR physiology reducing single channel conductance (**Table 2**), affects the pharmacology of the GlyR complex (**Table 1**), and has a key role in the generation of startle disease (James et al., 2013; Piro et al., 2021). Together with α subunits, the β subunit forms heteropentameric receptors having all the properties of native receptors, i.e., highly selective to its natural agonist, inhibited by strychnine, and modulated by several PAMs such as Zn^{2+} , propofol, and ethanol (Yevenes and Zeilhofer, 2011a). Also, together with a peripheral protein (gephyrin), the β subunit has a receptor anchoring function that localizes the GlyRs to the postsynaptic region (Grudzinska et al., 2005; Zeilhofer et al., 2005; Weltzien et al., 2012). Heteromeric GlyRs, however, have also been found at extrasynaptic locations where they mediate tonic glycinergic inhibition in the spinal dorsal horn (Gradwell et al., 2017), supporting a structural and anchoring role in sensorial pathways. Because $\alpha 2\beta$ heteromeric conformations are found in the central nervous system (Forstera et al., 2017), it is likely that they contribute to the effect of ethanol on the tonic current induced by





glycine in the nucleus accumbens (Munoz et al., 2020). However, the homomeric $\alpha 2$ GlyR was found to be insensitive to ethanol (Sanchez et al., 2015).

The present study provides functional evidence supporting a modulatory role for $\alpha 2$ containing GlyRs. For example, we found that co-expression of the β subunit in heteromeric GlyRs makes the $\alpha 2\beta$ configuration more sensitive to glycine (Table 1), ethanol, and to several pharmacologically relevant PAMs. Furthermore, mutation of two amino acids in the IL that were suggested to be related to $G\beta\gamma$ binding and modulation of $\alpha 1$ subunits (Yevenes et al., 2010) abolished the potentiation of the $\alpha 2\beta$ GlyRs by ethanol and GTP- γ -S. Additionally, co-expression of ct-GRK2, a ligand with $G\beta\gamma$ blocking properties (Yevenes et al., 2003), significantly reduced the potentiation by

ethanol in $\alpha 2\beta$ GlyRs adding additional support to the notion that the β subunit changes the functional properties of $\alpha 2$ -containing GlyRs. Thus, the $\alpha 2\beta$ conformer shows an $\alpha 1$ -like pharmacological phenotype and adds new information about the molecular requirements for several clinically relevant PAMs (Mascia et al., 1996, 2000; Mihic et al., 1997; Yevenes and Zeilhofer, 2011a; McCracken et al., 2013).

Implication for the Presence of β Containing Glycine Receptors in the Upper Brain

Although GlyRs have been routinely linked to neuronal inhibition of spinal regions (Legendre, 2001; Lynch, 2004;



Zeilhofer et al., 2012), more recent studies have reported the expression of $\alpha 2$ and $\alpha 3$ subunits in supra spinal regions (Salling and Harrison, 2014; Forstera et al., 2017; McCracken et al., 2017; Munoz et al., 2018, 2020; Gallegos et al., 2019) being primarily related to ethanol (Forstera et al., 2017; McCracken et al., 2017; Gallegos et al., 2019; Munoz et al., 2020) and propofol actions (Munoz et al., 2018). Using KO mice, it was suggested that $\alpha 2$ - and $\alpha 3$ -containing GlyRs are important for sustaining tonic currents in the forebrain (McCracken et al., 2017) and that they might contribute to ethanol consumption (Blednov et al., 2015). These published results are interesting because while homomeric $\alpha 2$ or $\alpha 3$ GlyRs are insensitive to ethanol (Yevenes et al., 2010; Sanchez et al., 2015), any effect on animal behavior should be associated to the expression of β subunits in $\alpha 2$ -containing GlyRs, or to some receptor compensation in the KO mice. Recent studies provided experimental evidence supporting the expression of $\alpha 1$, $\alpha 2$, and $\alpha 3$ subunits in the nAc in WT mice (Forstera et al., 2017). In addition, using KI mice with the same mutation in $\alpha 2$ (K389 and R390), we demonstrated the presence of $\alpha 2\beta$ GlyRs in accumbal neurons (Gallegos et al., 2021). Interestingly, $\alpha 2$ KI mice showed reduced sedation and increased ethanol consumption, suggesting that the $\alpha 2$ subunit is important for the ethanol potentiation of GlyRs in the adult brain (Gallegos et al., 2021). The insensitivity to ethanol of glycinergic synaptic currents in the nAc suggests that these GlyRs are mainly composed of $\alpha 1\beta$ conformations (Munoz et al., 2018). Therefore, the broad expression of α and β subunits likely play a role in several behavioral conduits and might allow for the development of pharmacotherapy based on the presence of these ethanol sensitive targets.

Potential Mechanisms for the Conversion of the $\alpha 2$ Subunit Into an “ $\alpha 1$ -Like” Heteromeric Complex

In an attempt to understand how the addition of the β subunit to the $\alpha 2$ subunit was able to confer sensitivity to several PAMs, and primarily to ethanol, we can consider a previously published model for GlyRs gating (Krashia et al., 2011). The study on the $\alpha 1$ subunit showed that ethanol did not affect channel conductance or open and closed dwell times and likelihoods of the channel. On the other hand, the main effect of ethanol on $\alpha 1$ function was the enhancement of burst durations (Welsh et al., 2009) which likely reflected a decreased glycine unbinding rate(s) ($k-1$ and/or $2k-2$), without affecting other transitions (Kirson et al., 2017), which resulted in a prolongation of burst durations without a change in mean open time (Welsh et al., 2009). The present results show that ethanol increased open probability (P_o) and frequency of opening without affecting mean open time in $\alpha 1$ GlyRs, but decreased P_o and frequency of opening in $\alpha 2$ GlyRs. In $\alpha 2\beta$ GlyRs, ethanol enhanced both P_o and frequency of opening in a manner similar to that found in the $\alpha 1$ subunit. On the other hand, we found that ethanol did not change the intraburst MOT or intraburst P_o or burst duration in the $\alpha 2\beta$. In addition, expression of the β subunit in $\alpha 2$ receptors shortened mean open time to approximately that of the $\alpha 1$ subunit, indicating an increase in closing rate.

The higher affinity of $\alpha 2$ receptors for glycine compared to $\alpha 1$ receptors, which results from multiple kinetic rates rather than a simple change in unbinding rate (Lape et al., 2008; Krashia et al., 2011), may render this conformer resistant to the potentiating effects of ethanol in the absence of the β subunit (see scheme, Figure 8).

Our results suggest that the β subunit might rearrange the states of GlyRs changing the kinetics at the single channel level. It is possible that the β subunit interspaced with $\alpha 2$ allows the exposure of key residues important for the conformational changes occurring after agonist binding (Lape et al., 2008; Krashia et al., 2011). They might be complementary to those recently reported that showed that shortening the IL in human GlyRs increased the open probability. The model proposed was that the IL has a modulatory action on GlyRs gating by introducing tension between TM3 and TM4 and causing them to reorient during channel opening (Ivica et al., 2020).

CONCLUSION

We describe a new role for the β subunit for modulation by ethanol and other PAMs in $\alpha 2$ containing GlyRs. Additionally, our study supports the notion that heteromeric β expressing GlyRs might play a crucial role in the control of excitability in upper brain regions that express $\alpha 2$ subunits.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

BM and LA designed the study and wrote the manuscript. BM performed and analyzed most whole cell experiments. RP performed and analyzed the single channel studies. TM, PM, CP, and GM-C assisted in the electrophysiological experiments. BM, GY, GM-C, and LA corrected and discussed the manuscript. LA obtained the funding to support the study, guided and discussed the experiments, and corrected the manuscript. All authors revised and approved the final version of the manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was supported by NIH RO1 AA025718 and CONICYT DPI20140008.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Lauren J. Aguayo for her expert technical assistance and English revision. We appreciate the constructive comments of Lucia Sivilotti (University College London, United Kingdom).

REFERENCES

- Adermark, L., Clarke, R. B., Olsson, T., Hansson, E., Soderpalm, B., and Ericson, M. (2011). Implications for glycine receptors and astrocytes in ethanol-induced elevation of dopamine levels in the nucleus accumbens. *Addict. Biol.* 16, 43–54. doi: 10.1111/j.1369-1600.2010.00206.x
- Aguayo, L. G., Castro, P., Mariqueo, T., Munoz, B., Xiong, W., Zhang, L., et al. (2014). Altered sedative effects of ethanol in mice with alpha1 glycine receptor subunits that are insensitive to Gbetagammodulation. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 39, 2538–2548. doi: 10.1038/npp.2014.100
- Aguayo, L. G., and Pancetti, F. C. (1994). Ethanol modulation of the gamma-aminobutyric acidA- and glycine-activated Cl- current in cultured mouse neurons. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 270, 61–69.
- Aguayo, L. G., Tapia, J. C., and Pancetti, F. C. (1996). Potentiation of the glycine-activated Cl- current by ethanol in cultured mouse spinal neurons. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 279, 1116–1122.
- Avila, A., Nguyen, L., and Rigo, J. M. (2013a). Glycine receptors and brain development. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 7:184. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2013.00184
- Avila, A., Vidal, P. M., Dear, T. N., Harvey, R. J., Rigo, J. M., and Nguyen, L. (2013b). Glycine receptor alpha2 subunit activation promotes cortical interneuron migration. *Cell Rep.* 4, 738–750. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2013.07.016
- Blednov, Y. A., Benavidez, J. M., Black, M., Leiter, C. R., Osterndorff-Kahanek, E., and Harris, R. A. (2015). Glycine receptors containing alpha2 or alpha3 subunits regulate specific ethanol-mediated behaviors. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 353, 181–191. doi: 10.1124/jpet.114.221895
- Borghese, C. M., Blednov, Y. A., Quan, Y., Iyer, S. V., Xiong, W., Mihic, S. J., et al. (2012). Characterization of two mutations, M287L and Q266I, in the alpha1 glycine receptor subunit that modify sensitivity to alcohols. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 340, 304–316. doi: 10.1124/jpet.111.185116
- Crawford, D. K., Perkins, D. I., Trudell, J. R., Bertaccini, E. J., Davies, D. L., and Alkana, R. L. (2008). Roles for loop 2 residues of alpha1 glycine receptors in agonist activation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 283, 27698–27706. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M802384200
- Eggers, E. D., O'Brien, J. A., and Berger, A. J. (2000). Developmental changes in the modulation of synaptic glycine receptors by ethanol. *J. Neurophysiol.* 84, 2409–2416. doi: 10.1152/jn.2000.84.5.2409
- Forstera, B., Munoz, B., Lobo, M. K., Chandra, R., Lovinger, D. M., and Aguayo, L. G. (2017). Presence of ethanol-sensitive glycine receptors in medium spiny neurons in the mouse nucleus accumbens. *J. Physiol.* 595, 5285–5300. doi: 10.1113/jp273767
- Fuentealba, J., Munoz, B., Yevenes, G., Moraga-Cid, G., Perez, C., Guzman, L., et al. (2011). Potentiation and inhibition of glycine receptors by tunit. *Neuropharmacology* 60, 453–459. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2010.10.023
- Gallegos, S., Munoz, B., Araya, A., and Aguayo, L. G. (2019). High ethanol sensitive glycine receptors regulate firing in D1 medium spiny neurons in the nucleus accumbens. *Neuropharmacology* 160:107773. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2019.107773
- Gallegos, S., San Martin, L., Araya, A., Lovinger, D. M., Homanics, G. E., and Aguayo, L. G. (2021). Reduced sedation and increased ethanol consumption in knock-in mice expressing an ethanol insensitive alpha 2 subunit of the glycine receptor. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 46, 528–536. doi: 10.1038/s41386-020-0689-9
- Gradwell, M. A., Boyle, K. A., Callister, R. J., Hughes, D. I., and Graham, B. A. (2017). Heteromeric α/β glycine receptors regulate excitability in parvalbumin-expressing dorsal horn neurons through phasic and tonic glycinergic inhibition. *J. Physiol.* 595, 7185–7202. doi: 10.1113/jp274926
- Grudzinska, J., Schemm, R., Haeger, S., Nicke, A., Schmalzing, G., Betz, H., et al. (2005). The beta subunit determines the ligand binding properties of synaptic glycine receptors. *Neuron* 45, 727–739. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2005.01.028
- Harvey, R. J., Depner, U. B., Wasse, H., Ahmadi, S., Heindl, C., Reinold, H., et al. (2004). GlyR alpha3: an essential target for spinal PGE2-mediated inflammatory pain sensitization. *Science* 304, 884–887. doi: 10.1126/science.1094925
- Ivica, J., Lape, R., Jazbec, V., Yu, J., Zhu, H., Gouaux, E., et al. (2020). The intracellular domain of homomeric glycine receptors modulates agonist efficacy. *J. Biol. Chem.* 296:100387. doi: 10.1074/jbc.RA119.012358
- James, V. M., Bode, A., Chung, S.-K., Gill, J. L., Nielsen, M., Cowan, F. M., et al. (2013). Novel missense mutations in the glycine receptor β subunit gene (GLRB) in startle disease. *Neurobiol. Dis.* 52, 137–149. doi: 10.1016/j.nbd.2012.12.001
- Kirson, D., Todorovic, J., and Mihic, S. J. (2017). Single channel analysis of isoflurane and ethanol enhancement of taurine-activated glycine receptors. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 364, 70–76. doi: 10.1124/jpet.117.243840
- Krashia, P., Lape, R., Lodesani, F., Colquhoun, D., and Sivilotti, L. G. (2011). The long activations of $\alpha 2$ glycine channels can be described by a mechanism with reaction intermediates (“flip”). *J. Gen. Physiol.* 137, 197–216. doi: 10.1085/jgp.201010521
- Lape, R., Colquhoun, D., and Sivilotti, L. G. (2008). On the nature of partial agonism in the nicotinic receptor superfamily. *Nature* 454, 722–727. doi: 10.1038/nature07139
- Legendre, P. (2001). The glycinergic inhibitory synapse. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 58, 760–793. doi: 10.1007/pl00000899
- Li, J., Nie, H., Bian, W., Dave, V., Janak, P. H., and Ye, J. H. (2012). Microinjection of glycine into the ventral tegmental area selectively decreases ethanol consumption. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 341, 196–204. doi: 10.1124/jpet.111.190058
- Lobo, I. A., Mascia, M. P., Trudell, J. R., and Harris, R. A. (2004). Channel gating of the glycine receptor changes accessibility to residues implicated in receptor potentiation by alcohols and anesthetics. *J. Biol. Chem.* 279, 33919–33927. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M313941200
- Lynch, J. W. (2004). Molecular structure and function of the glycine receptor chloride channel. *Physiol. Rev.* 84, 1051–1095. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00042.2003
- Mascia, M. P., Mihic, S. J., Valenzuela, C. F., Schofield, P. R., and Harris, R. A. (1996). A single amino acid determines differences in ethanol actions on strychnine-sensitive glycine receptors. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 50, 402–406.
- Mascia, M. P., Trudell, J. R., and Harris, R. A. (2000). Specific binding sites for alcohols and anesthetics on ligand-gated ion channels. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 97, 9305–9310. doi: 10.1073/pnas.160128797
- McCracken, L. M., Lowes, D. C., Salling, M. C., Carreau-Vollmer, C., Odean, N. N., Blednov, Y. A., et al. (2017). Glycine receptor $\alpha 3$ and $\alpha 2$ subunits mediate tonic and exogenous agonist-induced currents in forebrain. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 114, E7179–E7186. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1703839114
- McCracken, L. M., Trudell, J. R., Mccracken, M. L., and Harris, R. A. (2013). Zinc-dependent modulation of alpha2- and alpha3-glycine receptor subunits by ethanol. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* 37, 2002–2010. doi: 10.1111/acer.12192
- Mihic, S. J., Ye, Q., Wick, M. J., Koltchine, V. V., Krasowski, M. D., Finn, S. E., et al. (1997). Sites of alcohol and volatile anaesthetic action on GABA(A) and glycine receptors. *Nature* 389, 385–389. doi: 10.1038/38738
- Molander, A., and Soderpalm, B. (2005). Glycine receptors regulate dopamine release in the rat nucleus accumbens. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* 29, 17–26. doi: 10.1097/01.alc.0000150006.17168.f7
- Moraga-Cid, G., Yevenes, G. E., Schmalzing, G., Peoples, R. W., and Aguayo, L. G. (2011). A single phenylalanine residue in the main intracellular loop of alpha1 gamma-aminobutyric acid type A and glycine receptors influences their sensitivity to propofol. *Anesthesiology* 115, 464–473. doi: 10.1097/ALN.0b013e31822550f7
- Munoz, B., Gallegos, S., Peters, C., Murath, P., Lovinger, D. M., Homanics, G. E., et al. (2020). Influence of nonsynaptic alpha1 glycine receptors on ethanol consumption and place preference. *Addict. Biol.* 25:e12726. doi: 10.1111/adb.12726
- Munoz, B., Yevenes, G. E., Forstera, B., Lovinger, D. M., and Aguayo, L. G. (2018). Presence of inhibitory glycinergic transmission in medium spiny neurons in the nucleus accumbens. *Front. Mol. Neurosci.* 11:228. doi: 10.3389/fnmol.2018.00228
- Piro, I., Eckes, A.-L., Kasaragod, V. B., Sommer, C., Harvey, R. J., Schaefer, N., et al. (2021). Novel functional properties of missense mutations in the glycine receptor β subunit in startle disease. *Front. Mol. Neurosci.* 14:745275. doi: 10.3389/fnmol.2021.745275
- Qin, F. (2004). Restoration of single-channel currents using the segmental k-means method based on hidden Markov modeling. *Biophys. J.* 86, 1488–1501. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3495(04)74217-4
- Salling, M. C., and Harrison, N. L. (2014). Strychnine-sensitive glycine receptors on pyramidal neurons in layers II/III of the mouse prefrontal cortex are tonically activated. *J. Neurophysiol.* 112, 1169–1178. doi: 10.1152/jn.00714.2013

- Sanchez, A., Yevenes, G. E., San Martin, L., Burgos, C. F., Moraga-Cid, G., Harvey, R. J., et al. (2015). Control of ethanol sensitivity of the glycine receptor alpha3 subunit by transmembrane 2, the intracellular splice cassette and C-terminal domains. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 353, 80–90. doi: 10.1124/jpet.114.221143
- Sebe, J. Y., Eggers, E. D., and Berger, A. J. (2003). Differential effects of ethanol on GABA(A) and glycine receptor-mediated synaptic currents in brain stem motoneurons. *J. Neurophysiol.* 90, 870–875. doi: 10.1152/jn.00119.2003
- Spanagel, R. (2009). Alcoholism: a systems approach from molecular physiology to addictive behavior. *Physiol. Rev.* 89, 649–705. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00013.2008
- Welsh, B. T., Goldstein, B. E., and Mihic, S. J. (2009). Single-channel analysis of ethanol enhancement of glycine receptor function. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 330, 198–205. doi: 10.1124/jpet.109.154344
- Weltzien, F., Puller, C., O'sullivan, G. A., Paarmann, I., and Betz, H. (2012). Distribution of the glycine receptor beta-subunit in the mouse CNS as revealed by a novel monoclonal antibody. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 520, 3962–3981. doi: 10.1002/cne.23139
- Yevenes, G. E., Moraga-Cid, G., Avila, A., Guzman, L., Figueroa, M., Peoples, R. W., et al. (2010). Molecular requirements for ethanol differential allosteric modulation of glycine receptors based on selective Gbetagamma modulation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 285, 30203–30213. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M110.134676
- Yevenes, G. E., Moraga-Cid, G., Guzman, L., Haeger, S., Oliveira, L., Olate, J., et al. (2006). Molecular determinants for G protein bg modulation of ionotropic glycine receptors. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281, 39300–39307. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M608272200
- Yevenes, G. E., Moraga-Cid, G., Peoples, R. W., Schmalzing, G., and Aguayo, L. G. (2008). A selective G betagamma-linked intracellular mechanism for modulation of a ligand-gated ion channel by ethanol. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 105, 20523–20528. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0806257105
- Yevenes, G. E., Peoples, R. W., Tapia, J. C., Parodi, J., Soto, X., Olate, J., et al. (2003). Modulation of glycine-activated ion channel function by G-protein betagamma subunits. *Nat. Neurosci.* 6, 819–824. doi: 10.1038/nm1095
- Yevenes, G. E., and Zeilhofer, H. U. (2011b). Molecular sites for the positive allosteric modulation of glycine receptors by endocannabinoids. *PLoS One* 6:e23886. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0023886
- Yevenes, G. E., and Zeilhofer, H. U. (2011a). Allosteric modulation of glycine receptors. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 164, 224–236. doi: 10.1111/j.1476-5381.2011.01471.x
- Yu, H., Bai, X.-C., and Wang, W. (2021). Characterization of the subunit composition and structure of adult human glycine receptors. *Neuron* 109, 2707–2716.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2021.08.019
- Zeilhofer, H. U., Studler, B., Arabadzisz, D., Schweizer, C., Ahmadi, S., Layh, B., et al. (2005). Glycinergic neurons expressing enhanced green fluorescent protein in bacterial artificial chromosome transgenic mice. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 482, 123–141.
- Zeilhofer, H. U., Wildner, H., and Yevenes, G. E. (2012). Fast synaptic inhibition in spinal sensory processing and pain control. *Physiol. Rev.* 92, 193–235. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00043.2010
- Zhu, H., and Gouaux, E. (2021). Architecture and assembly mechanism of native glycine receptors. *Nature* doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-04022-z [Online ahead print].

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Muñoz, Mariqueo, Murath, Peters, Yevenes, Moraga-Cid, Peoples and Aguayo. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.