

LE MATEMATICHE

Vol. LXVI (2011) – Fasc. I, pp. 93–104

doi: 10.4418/2011.66.1.9

## ON A MIXED BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM INVOLVING THE $p$ -LAPLACIAN

D. AVERNA - S. M. BUCCELLATO - E. TORNATORE

In this paper we prove the existence of infinitely many solutions for a mixed boundary value problem involving the one dimensional  $p$ -Laplacian. A result on the existence of three solutions is also established. The approach is based on multiple critical points theorems.

### 1. Introduction

We want to study the following mixed boundary value problem involving the one-dimensional  $p$ -Laplacian

$$\begin{cases} -(r|u'|^{p-2}u')' + s|u|^{p-2}u = \lambda f(t, u) & \text{in } I = ]a, b[ \\ u(a) = u'(b) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (RD_\lambda)$$

where  $p > 1$ ,  $\lambda$  is a positive parameter,  $f : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a  $L^2$ -Carathéodory function and  $r, s \in L^\infty([a, b])$  such that

$$r_0 := \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{t \in [a, b]} r(t) > 0, \quad s_0 := \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{t \in [a, b]} s(t) \geq 0.$$

In this paper we generalize the results obtained in [1] and [6] with  $p = 2$ .

Our main tool to investigate the existence of infinitely many solutions for mixed boundary value problems is the infinitely many critical points theorem due to Ricceri ([7]). Here, we recall it as given in [3].

---

Entrato in redazione: 2 dicembre 2010

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 34B15.

Keywords: Critical points, Mixed boundary value problem,  $p$ -Laplacian.

**Theorem 1.1.** (see [7, Theorem 2.5] and [3, Theorem 2.1]) *Let  $X$  be a reflexive Banach space,  $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuously Gâteaux differentiable, coercive and sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous functional,  $\Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be sequentially weakly upper semicontinuous and continuously Gâteaux differentiable functional.*

Put, for each  $r > \inf_X \Phi$

$$\varphi(r) := \inf_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])} \frac{\sup_{v \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])} \Psi(v) - \Psi(u)}{r - \Phi(u)}, \quad (1)$$

$$\gamma := \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi(r), \quad \delta := \liminf_{r \rightarrow (\inf_X \Phi)^+} \varphi(r).$$

One has

- ( $\alpha$ ) For every  $r > \inf_X \Phi$  and every  $\lambda \in ]0, \frac{1}{\varphi(r)}[$ , the restriction of the functional  $\Phi - \lambda\Psi$  to  $\Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r])$  admits a global minimum, which is a critical point (local minimum) of  $\Phi - \lambda\Psi$  in  $X$ .
- ( $\beta$ ) If  $\gamma < \infty$  then, for each  $\lambda \in ]0, \frac{1}{\gamma}[$ , the following alternative holds: either
  - ( $\beta_1$ )  $\Phi - \lambda\Psi$  possesses a global minimum, or
  - ( $\beta_2$ ) there is a sequence  $\{u_n\}$  of critical points (local minima) of  $\Phi - \lambda\Psi$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \Phi(u_n) = +\infty$ .
- ( $\zeta$ ) If  $\delta < +\infty$  then, for each  $\lambda \in ]0, \frac{1}{\delta}[$ , the following alternative holds: either
  - ( $\zeta_1$ ) there is a global minimum of  $\Psi$  which is a local minimum of  $\Phi - \lambda\Psi$ , or
  - ( $\zeta_2$ ) there is a sequence  $\{u_n\}$  of pairwise distinct critical points (local minima) of  $\Phi - \lambda\Psi$ , with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \Phi(u_n) = \inf_X \Phi$  which weakly converges to a global minimum of  $\Phi$ .

Now, we recall a result which ensures the existence of three critical points; the result has been obtained in [5], it is a more precise version of theorem 3.2 of [4]

**Theorem 1.2.** (see [5, Theorem 3.6] ) *Let  $X$  be a reflexive real Banach space,  $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuously Gâteaux differentiable, coercive and sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous functional whose Gâteaux derivative admits a continuous inverse on  $X^*$ ,  $\Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuously Gâteaux differentiable functional whose Gâteaux derivative is compact. Assume that*

$$\Phi(0) = \Psi(0) = 0$$

and that there exist  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\bar{u} \in X$ , with  $0 < r < \Phi(\bar{u})$ , such that

$$(a_1) \frac{\sup_{\Phi(u) \leq r} \Psi(u)}{r} < \frac{\Psi(\bar{u})}{\Phi(\bar{u})};$$

$$(a_2) \text{ for each } \lambda \in \Lambda_r := \left] \frac{\Phi(\bar{u})}{\Psi(\bar{u})}, \frac{r}{\sup_{\Phi(u) \leq r} \Psi(u)} \left[ \text{ the functional } \Phi - \lambda \Psi \text{ is coercive.}$$

Then, for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_r$ , the functional  $\Phi - \lambda \Psi$  has at least three distinct critical points in  $X$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

Now, consider problem  $(RD_\lambda)$ .

We recall that a function  $f : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a  $L^2$ -Carathéodory function if

- ( $\cdot$ )  $t \rightarrow f(t, x)$  is measurable for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- ( $\cdot$ )  $x \rightarrow f(t, x)$  is continuous for every  $t \in [a, b]$ ;
- ( $\cdot$ ) for every  $\rho > 0$ ,  $\sup_{|x| \leq \rho} |f(t, x)| \in L^2([a, b])$ .

Put

$$F(t, x) := \int_0^x f(t, \xi) d\xi \quad \forall (t, x) \in [a, b] \times \mathbb{R},$$

$$k := \frac{2(p+1)r_0}{2^p(p+1)\|r\|_\infty + (p+2)(b-a)^p\|s\|_\infty}, \quad (2)$$

where

$$\|r\|_\infty := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [a, b]} r(t), \quad \|s\|_\infty := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in [a, b]} s(t)$$

$$A := \liminf_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\int_a^b \max_{|x| \leq \xi} F(t, x) dt}{\xi^p}, \quad B := \limsup_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b F(t, \xi) dt}{\xi^p}, \quad (3)$$

$$\lambda_1 := \frac{r_0}{p(b-a)^{p-1}kB}, \quad \lambda_2 := \frac{r_0}{p(b-a)^{p-1}A}. \quad (4)$$

where we suppose  $\lambda_1 = 0$  if  $B = \infty$ , and  $\lambda_2 = +\infty$  if  $A = 0$ .

Denote by  $X$  the Sobolev space  $\{u \in W^{1,p}([a, b]), u(a) = 0\}$  endowed with the following norm

$$\|u\| := \left( \int_a^b r(t) |u'(t)|^p dt + \int_a^b s(t) |u(t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

We observe that the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is equivalent to the usual one.

A function  $u : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said a generalized solution to problem  $(RD_\lambda)$  if  $u \in C^1([a, b])$ ,  $r|u'|^{p-2}u' \in AC([a, b])$ ,  $u(a) = u'(b) = 0$ , and

$$-(r(t)|u'(t)|^{p-2}u'(t))' + s(t)|u(t)|^{p-2}u(t) = \lambda f(t, u)$$

for almost every  $t \in I = ]a, b[$ .

A function  $u \in X$  is said a weak solution of problem  $(RD_\lambda)$  if

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b r(t)|u'(t)|^{p-2}u'(t)v'(t)dt + \int_a^b s(t)|u(t)|^{p-2}u(t)v(t)dt = \\ = \lambda \int_a^b f(t, u(t))v(t)dt \quad \forall v \in X. \end{aligned}$$

Standard methods show that generalized solutions to problem  $(RD_\lambda)$  coincides with weak ones when  $f$  is a  $L^2$ -Caratheodory function.

It is well known that  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is compactly embedded in  $(C^0([a, b]), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  and one has

$$\|u\|_\infty \leq \sqrt[p]{\frac{(b-a)^{p-1}}{r_0}} \|u\| \quad \forall u \in X. \tag{5}$$

In order to study problem  $(RD_\lambda)$ , we will use the functionals  $\Phi, \Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by putting

$$\Phi(u) := \frac{1}{p} \|u\|^p, \quad \Psi(u) := \int_a^b F(t, u(t))dt \quad \forall u \in X, \tag{6}$$

$\Phi$  is continuous and convex, hence it is weakly sequentially lower semicontinuous. Moreover  $\Phi$  is continuously Gâteaux differentiable and its Gâteaux derivative admits a continuous inverse. On the other hand,  $\Psi$  is Gâteaux differentiable with compact derivative and one has

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi'(u)(v) &= \int_a^b r(t)|u'(t)|^{p-2}u'(t)v'(t)dt + \int_a^b s(t)|u(t)|^{p-2}u(t)v(t)dt, \\ \Psi'(u)(v) &= \int_a^b f(t, u(t))v(t)dt \quad \forall v \in X, \end{aligned}$$

moreover

$$\Phi(0) = \Psi(0) = 0.$$

A critical point for the functional  $\Phi - \lambda\Psi$  is any  $u \in X$  such that

$$\Phi'(u)(v) - \lambda\Psi'(u)(v) = 0 \quad \forall v \in X.$$

We can observe that each critical point for functional  $\Phi - \lambda\Psi$  is a generalized solution for problem  $(RD_\lambda)$ .

### 3. Main results

Our main results are the following theorems

**Theorem 3.1.** *Assume that*

$$(h_1) \int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} F(t, \xi) dt \geq 0 \quad \forall \xi \geq 0,$$

$$(h_2) \liminf_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\int_a^b \max_{|x| \leq \xi} F(t, x) dt}{\xi^p} < k \limsup_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\int_a^b F(t, \xi) dt}{\xi^p}$$

where  $k$  is given by (2).

Then, for each  $\lambda \in ]\lambda_1, \lambda_2[$ , where  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  are given by (4), the problem  $(RD_\lambda)$  has a sequence of weak solutions which is unbounded in  $X$ .

*Proof.* Our goal is to apply Theorem 1.1. Consider the Sobolev space  $X$  and the operators defined in (6). Pick  $\lambda \in ]\lambda_1, \lambda_2[$ .

By using (3), let  $\{c_n\}$  be a real sequence such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} c_n = +\infty$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\int_a^b \max_{|\xi| \leq c_n} F(t, \xi) dt}{c_n^2} = A.$$

Put  $r_n = \frac{r_0}{p(b-a)^{p-1}} c_n^p$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , taking into account (5), one has  $\|v\|_\infty \leq c_n$  for all  $v \in X$  such that  $\|v\|^p \leq pr_n$ .

Hence, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(r_n) &= \inf_{u \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r_n])} \frac{\sup_{v \in \Phi^{-1}(]-\infty, r_n])} \Psi(v) - \Psi(u)}{r_n - \Phi(u)} \\ &\leq \frac{\sup_{\|v\|^p < pr_n} \int_a^b F(t, v(t)) dt}{r_n} \\ &\leq \frac{\int_a^b \max_{|\xi| \leq c_n} F(t, \xi) dt}{r_n} = \frac{p(b-a)^{p-1}}{r_0} \frac{\int_a^b \max_{|\xi| \leq c_n} F(t, \xi) dt}{c_n^p} \end{aligned}$$

therefore, since from  $(h_2)$  one has  $A < \infty$ , we obtain

$$\gamma := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(r_n) \leq \frac{p(b-a)^{p-1}}{r_0} A < \infty.$$

Now, we claim that the functional  $I_\lambda = \Phi - \lambda\Psi$  is unbounded from below.

By using (3), let  $\{d_n\}$  be a real sequence such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n = +\infty$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b F(t, d_n) dt}{d_n^p} = B. \tag{7}$$

For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  define

$$\omega_n(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{2d_n}{b-a}(t-a) & \text{if } t \in [a, \frac{a+b}{2}[ \\ d_n & \text{if } t \in [\frac{a+b}{2}, b]. \end{cases}$$

Clearly  $\omega_n \in X$  and

$$\|\omega_n\|^p \leq \frac{d_n^p}{2(p+1)(b-a)^{p-1}} (2^p(p+1)\|r\|_\infty + (p+2)(b-a)^p\|s\|_\infty) \tag{8}$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\omega_n) - \lambda\Psi(\omega_n) &= \frac{1}{p}\|\omega_n\|^p - \lambda \int_a^b F(t, \omega_n(t)) dt \\ &\leq \frac{d_n^p}{2(p+1)(b-a)^{p-1}} (2^p(p+1)\|r\|_\infty + \\ &\quad (p+2)(b-a)^p\|s\|_\infty) - \lambda \int_a^b F(t, \omega_n(t)) dt. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Taking into account  $(h_1)$ , we have

$$\int_a^b F(t, \omega_n(t)) dt \geq \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b F(t, d_n) dt. \tag{10}$$

Then, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\Phi(\omega_n) - \lambda\Psi(\omega_n) \leq \frac{d_n^p}{2(p+1)(b-a)^{p-1}} (2^p(p+1)\|r\|_\infty + \tag{11}$$

$$(p+2)(b-a)^p\|s\|_\infty) - \lambda \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b F(t, d_n) dt = \frac{d_n^p r_0}{p(b-a)^{p-1}k} - \lambda \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b F(t, d_n) dt.$$

Now, if  $B < \infty$ , we fix  $\varepsilon \in ]\frac{r_0}{p\lambda(b-a)^{p-1}k}, 1[$ , from (7) there exists  $v_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b F(t, d_n) dt > \varepsilon B d_n^p \quad \forall n > v_\varepsilon$$

therefore

$$\Phi(\omega_n) - \lambda\Psi(\omega_n) \leq \left[ \frac{r_0}{p(b-a)^{p-1}k} - \lambda\varepsilon B \right] d_n^p \quad \forall n > v_\varepsilon$$

by the choice of  $\varepsilon$ , one has

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\Phi(\omega_n) - \lambda \Psi(\omega_n)] = -\infty.$$

On the other hand, if  $B = +\infty$ , we fix

$$M > \frac{r_0}{p\lambda(b-a)^{p-1}k},$$

from (7) there exists  $v_M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b F(t, d_n) dt > Md_n^p \quad \forall n > v_M$$

therefore

$$\Phi(\omega_n) - \lambda \Psi(\omega_n) \leq \left[ \frac{r_0}{p(b-a)^{p-1}k} - \lambda M \right] d_n^p \quad \forall n > v_M$$

by the choice of  $M$ , one has

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\Phi(\omega_n) - \lambda \Psi(\omega_n)] = -\infty.$$

Hence, our claim is proved.

Since all assumptions of Theorem 1.1 are verified, the functional  $I_\lambda = \Phi - \lambda \Psi$  admits a sequence  $\{u_n\}$  of critical points such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\| = +\infty$  and the conclusion is achieved.  $\square$

Now, we point out the following consequence of Theorem 3.1

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a nonnegative continuous function,  $s \in C^0([a, b])$ ,  $r \in C^1([a, b])$  and put  $F(x) = \int_0^x f(\xi) d\xi \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Assume that*

$$\liminf_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(\xi)}{\xi^p} < \frac{k}{2} \limsup_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(\xi)}{\xi^p}.$$

Then, for each

$$\lambda \in \left[ \frac{2r_0}{pk(b-a)^p \limsup_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(\xi)}{\xi^p}}, \frac{r_0}{p(b-a)^p \liminf_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(\xi)}{\xi^p}} \right[$$

the problem

$$\begin{cases} -(r|u'|^{p-2}u')' + s|u|^{p-2}u = \lambda f(u) & \text{in } I = ]a, b[ \\ u(a) = u'(b) = 0 \end{cases}$$

possesses a sequence of pairwise distinct classical solutions.

**Remark 3.3.** In Theorem 3.1 we can replace  $\xi \rightarrow +\infty$  by  $\xi \rightarrow 0^+$ , applying in the proof part  $(\zeta)$  of Theorem 1.1 instead of  $(\beta)$ . In this case a sequence of pairwise distinct solutions to the problem  $(RD_\lambda)$  which converges uniformly to zero is obtained.

**Example 3.4.** Put

$$a_n := \frac{2n!(n+2)! - 1}{4(n+1)!}, \quad b_n := \frac{2n!(n+2)! + 1}{4(n+1)!}$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and define the nonnegative continuous function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as follows

$$f(\xi) = \begin{cases} \frac{32(n+1)!^2[(n+1)!^p - n!^p]}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{1}{16(n+1)!^2} - \left(\xi - \frac{n!(n+2)}{2}\right)^2} & \text{if } \xi \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [a_n, b_n] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By a simple computation, we obtain  $\liminf_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(\xi)}{\xi^p} = 0$ ,  $\limsup_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(\xi)}{\xi^p} = 2^p$ ,  
so

$$0 = \liminf_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(\xi)}{\xi^p} < \frac{2(p+1)}{e(2^p(p+1) + (p+2))} = \frac{(p+1)}{2^p e(2^p(p+1) + (p+2))} \limsup_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(\xi)}{\xi^p}.$$

Hence, from Corollary 3.2, for each  $\lambda > \frac{2^p(p+1) + (p+2)}{2^p p(p+1)}$ , the problem

$$\begin{cases} -(e^{-t}|u|^{p-2}u)' + e^{-t}|u|^{p-2}u = \lambda f(u) & \text{in } ]0, 1[ \\ u(0) = u'(1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

has a sequence of pairwise distinct generalized solutions.

Now we point out the following result of three generalized solutions.

**Theorem 3.5.** Assume that there exist three positive constants  $c$ ,  $d$  and  $\nu$  with  $c < d$ ,  $\nu < p$  and a function  $\mu \in L^1([a, b])$  such that

$$(i_1) \int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} F(t, \xi) dt > 0 \quad \forall \xi \in [0, d],$$

$$(i_2) \frac{\int_a^b \max_{|\xi| \leq c} F(t, \xi) dt}{c^p} < k \frac{\int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} F(t, d) dt}{d^p}$$

where  $k$  is given by (2),

$$(i_3) F(t, \xi) \leq \mu(t)(1 + |\xi|^\nu) \quad \forall t \in [a, b] \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$



Then, for each

$$\lambda \in \left] \frac{r_0 d^p}{pk(b-a)^{p-1} \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b F(t, d) dt}, \frac{r_0 c^p}{p(b-a)^{p-1} \int_a^b \max_{|\xi| \leq c} F(t, \xi) dt} \right[$$

the problem  $(RD_\lambda)$  has at least three generalized solutions.

*Proof.* Our goal is to apply Theorem 1.2. Consider the Sobolev space  $X$  and the operators defined in (6).

Now, we claim that  $(i_2)$  ensures  $(a_2)$  of Theorem 1.2. In fact, set  $r = \frac{r_0 c^p}{p(b-a)^{p-1}}$  and consider the function  $\bar{u} \in X$  defined by putting

$$\bar{u}(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{2d}{b-a}(t-a) & \text{if } t \in [a, \frac{a+b}{2}[ \\ d & \text{if } t \in [\frac{a+b}{2}, b]. \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

We observe that

$$p\Phi(\bar{u}) = \frac{2^p d^p}{(b-a)^p} \int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} r(t) dt + \frac{2^p d^p}{(b-a)^p} \int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} (t-a)^2 s(t) dt + d^p \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b s(t) dt$$

from  $0 < c < d$  by using the previous relation and (2) we have

$$0 < r < \Phi(\bar{u}) < \frac{r_0 d^p}{pk(b-a)^{p-1}}.$$

In virtue of  $(i_1)$  we have

$$\Psi(\bar{u}) \geq \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b F(t, d) dt.$$

Therefore, one has

$$\frac{\Psi(\bar{u})}{\Phi(\bar{u})} \geq \frac{p(b-a)^{p-1} k}{r_0 d^p} \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b F(t, d) dt. \tag{13}$$

From (5) if  $\Phi(u) \leq r$ , we have  $\max_t |u(t)| \leq c$  therefore

$$\sup_{\Phi(u) \leq r} \Psi(u) \leq \int_a^b \max_{|\xi| \leq c} F(t, \xi) dt. \tag{14}$$

Hence, owing to (13), (14) and  $(i_2)$  condition  $(a_1)$  of Theorem 1.1 is verified.

We prove that the operator  $\Phi - \lambda \Psi$  is coercive, in fact, for each  $u \in X$ , by using  $(i_3)$  one has

$$\Phi(u) - \lambda \Psi(u) = \frac{1}{p} \|u\|^p - \lambda \int_a^b F(t, u(t)) dt \geq$$

$$\frac{1}{p} \|u\|^p - \lambda \int_a^b \mu(t)(1 + |u(t)|^v) dt \geq$$

$$\frac{1}{p} \|u\|^p - \lambda \int_a^b \mu(t) dt - \lambda \int_a^b \mu(t) |u(t)|^v dt$$

and, by using (5)

$$\int_a^b |\mu(t)| |u(t)|^v dt \leq \|u\|_\infty^v \int_a^b |\mu(t)| dt \leq \left( \frac{(b-a)^{p-1}}{r_0} \right)^{\frac{v}{p}} \|u\|^v \|\mu\|_1,$$

we have

$$\Phi(u) - \lambda \Psi(u) \geq \frac{1}{p} \|u\|^p - \lambda \|\mu\|_1 - \lambda \left( \frac{(b-a)^{p-1}}{r_0} \right)^{\frac{v}{p}} \|u\|^v \|\mu\|_1 \quad (15)$$

hence condition (a<sub>2</sub>) of Theorem 1.2 is verified. All assumptions of Theorem 1.2 are satisfied and the proof is complete.  $\square$

Now, we point out the following consequence of Theorem 3.2.

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a nonnegative continuous function and  $r \in C^1([a, b])$ ,  $s \in C^0([a, b])$ . Assume that there exist positive constants  $a, c, d$  and  $v$ , with  $c < d$  and  $v < p$ , such that*

$$(i_1') \quad \frac{F(c)}{c^p} \leq \frac{k}{2} \frac{F(d)}{d^p}$$

where  $k$  is given by (2),

$$(i_2') \quad F(\xi) \leq a(1 + |\xi|^v) \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then, for each  $\lambda \in \left] \frac{2r_0 d^p}{pk(b-a)^p F(d)}, \frac{r_0 c^p}{p(b-a)^p F(c)} \right[$  the problem

$$\begin{cases} -(r|u|^{p-2}u)' + s|u|^{p-2}u = \lambda f(u) & \text{in } I = ]a, b[ \\ u(a) = u'(b) = 0 \end{cases}$$

has at least three classical solutions.

**Example 3.7.** The problem

$$\begin{cases} -(|u'|u') + \left(\frac{2+t}{3}\right)|u|u = \lambda t^2 [2e^{-t^2} u^{17} (9 - u^2) + 1] & \text{in } I = ]0, 1[ \\ u(0) = u'(1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

admits at least three classical solutions for each  $\lambda \in \left] \frac{128e^4}{7(2^{18} + e^4)}, \frac{3e}{2(e+1)} \right[$ .

In fact, if we choose, for example,  $c = 1$  and  $d = 2$ , hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied.

**Remark 3.8.** In ([2]), has been studied a mixed boundary problem involving the one dimensional  $p$ -Laplacian of type

$$\begin{cases} -(|u'|^{p-2}u')' + |u|^{p-2}u = \lambda f(t, u) \text{ in } I = ]a, b[ \\ u(a) = u'(b) = 0 \end{cases} .$$

We observe that our equation gives back that case with  $r = s = 1$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] D. Averna - N. Giovannelli - E. Tornatore, *Existence of three solutions for a mixed boundary value problem with the Sturm-Liouville equation*, preprint.
- [2] D. Averna - R. Salvati, *Three solutions for a mixed boundary value problem involving the one dimensional  $p$ -Laplacian*, J. Math. Appl. 298 (2004), 245–283.
- [3] G. Bonanno - G. Molica Bisci, *Infinitely many solutions for a boundary value problem with discontinuous nonlinearities*, Bound. Value Probl. (2009), 1–20.
- [4] G. Bonanno - P. Candito, *Non-differentiable functionals and applications to elliptic problems with discontinuous nonlinearities*, J. Differential Equations 244 (2008), 3031–3059.
- [5] G. Bonanno - S. A. Marano, *On the structure of the critical set of non-differentiable functions with a weak compactness condition*, Applicable Analysis 89 (1) (2010), 1–10.
- [6] G. Bonanno - E. Tornatore, *Infinitely many solutions for a mixed boundary value problem*, Ann. Polon. Math. 99 (2010), 285–293.
- [7] B. Ricceri, *A general variational principle and some of its applications*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 113 (2000), 401–410.
- [8] E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications Vol. III*, Springer, Berlin, 1990.

*DIEGO AVERNA*

*Dipartimento di Matematica ed Informatica*

*Facoltà di Scienze*

*Università degli Studi di Palermo*

*Via Archirafi 23, 90143 - Palermo (Italy)*

*e-mail: averna@math.unipa.it*

*STEFANIA M. BUCCELLATO*

*Dipartimento di Metodi e Modelli Matematici*

*Facoltà di Ingegneria*

*Università degli Studi di Palermo*

*Viale delle Scienze, 90100 - Palermo (Italy)*

*e-mail: stefania\_maria.buccellato@unipa.it*

*ELISABETTA TORNATORE*

*Dipartimento di Metodi e Modelli Matematici*

*Facoltà di Ingegneria*

*Università degli Studi di Palermo*

*Viale delle Scienze, 90100 - Palermo (Italy)*

*e-mail: elisa@math.unipa.it*