

Washington University School of Medicine

Digital Commons@Becker

Open Access Publications

6-12-2020

Replication of associations with psychotic-like experiences in middle childhood from the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) study

Nicole R Karcher

Rachel L Loewy

Mark Savill

Shelli Avenevoli

Rebekah S Huber

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs

Authors

Nicole R Karcher, Rachel L Loewy, Mark Savill, Shelli Avenevoli, Rebekah S Huber, Tony J Simon, Ingrid N Leckliter, Kenneth J Sher, and Deanna M Barch

Replication of Associations With Psychotic-Like Experiences in Middle Childhood From the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) Study

Nicole R. Karcher^{*1}, Rachel L. Loewy², Mark Savill², Shelli Avenevoli³, Rebekah S. Huber⁴, Tony J. Simon⁵, Ingrid N. Leckliter⁵, Kenneth J. Sher⁶, and Deanna M. Barch^{1,7,○}

¹Department of Psychiatry, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO; ²Department of Psychiatry, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA; ³National Institute of Mental Health, Bethesda, MD; ⁴Department of Psychiatry, University of Utah School of Medicine Salt Lake City, Salt Lake City, UT; ⁵MIND Institute, University of California, Davis, Davis, CA; ⁶Psychology Department, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO; ⁷Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO

*To whom correspondence should be addressed; Department of Psychiatry, Campus Box 1125, Washington University in St. Louis, One Brookings Drive, Saint Louis, MO 63110, US; tel: 314-935-8459, fax: 314-935-8790, e-mail: nkarcher@wustl.edu

The fields of psychology and psychiatry are increasingly recognizing the importance of replication efforts. The current study aimed to replicate previous findings examining the construct validity and psychometric properties of a psychotic-like experiences (PLEs) measure in middle childhood using an independent subset of the baseline Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) sample. Using a remainder baseline sample of 7013 nine- to eleven-year-old children with complete data, we examined measurement invariance across race/ethnicity and sex, and examined the associations between the Prodromal Questionnaire Brief-Child Version (PQ-BC) and other measures of PLEs, internalizing symptoms, neuropsychological test performance, and developmental milestones, to determine whether previously obtained results replicated in this nonoverlapping baseline sample subset. The results replicated measurement invariance across ethnicity and sex, and analyses again found higher PQ-BC scores for African American ($\beta = .364$, 95% CI = 0.292, 0.435) and Hispanic ($\beta = .255$, 95% CI = 0.185, 0.324) groups. We also replicated that higher PQ-BC scores were associated with psychosis risk measures, higher rates of child-reported internalizing symptoms (Distress: $\beta = .378$, 95% CI = 0.357, 0.398), neuropsychological test performance deficits (eg, working memory; Distress: $\beta = -.069$, 95% CI = -0.096, -0.042), and motor (Distress: $\beta = .026$, 95% CI = 0.003, 0.049) and speech (Distress: $\beta = .042$, 95% CI = 0.018, 0.065) developmental milestone delays. The current results replicated many findings from the original study examining the PQ-BC. We replicated evidence for mean differences in race/ethnicity, and associations with other PLE measures, greater internalizing symptoms, cognitive impairments, and developmental milestone delays.

These findings indicate robust and reliable associations between PLEs and hypothesized correlates can be found in middle childhood nonclinical samples.

Key words: psychotic-like experiences/replication /Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development/middle childhood/psychometric properties/construct validity

Introduction

Research is increasingly highlighting the importance of reproducibility in psychology and psychiatry.¹ These fields have been hit by what is sometimes coined the “replication crisis,” with one investigation finding that only ~39% of included studies had findings that replicate.² Part of the replication crisis is that many researchers are not always engaging in robust research practices,^{3,4} including replicating their own findings. An analysis of the publication history in the top 100 psychology journals between 1900 and 2012 found that only ~1.6% of all publications were replication attempts.⁵ Thus, either attempting to replicate findings is not currently standard practice, or these replication attempts are not being published. The current study aimed to replicate and extend results from our previously published findings⁶ both to assess the robustness of those findings and to support the act of replication as an important practice in the fields of psychology and psychiatry.

Large datasets, such as the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) Study, can be ideal for examining the reproducibility of findings. The ABCD study is particularly suited for this task since it first released a subset of the baseline data (ie, Data Release 1.0.1, $N = 4524$;

hereafter referred to as the original sample), enabling any findings using this subset to be reproduced on the remainder of the baseline dataset (ie, replication sample). The current study aimed to replicate recent findings from the original ABCD sample, which examined the Prodromal Questionnaire-Brief Child Version (PQ-BC),⁶ one of several validated self-report measures of psychotic-like experiences (PLEs) for use in childhood and youth.^{7,8} PLEs are relatively common in children, with ~17% of 9- to 12-year-olds reporting PLEs.⁹ PLEs are considered a dimensional,¹⁰ transdiagnostic marker of psychopathology^{11,12} that is not necessarily specific to psychosis risk, as only a subset of these children is at risk for conversion to psychotic disorders¹³ or other psychiatric disorders in adulthood.¹⁴ Notably, research indicates that self-reported PLEs, even those not confirmed with clinical interview, are still clinically relevant and associated with higher rates of psychopathology^{15–17} and reduced functioning.^{17,18} Several large cross-sectional datasets over the past 2 decades have examined the correlates of PLEs, finding associations with racial/ethnic minority status,¹⁹ internalizing symptoms,^{20–22} externalizing symptoms,²⁰ developmental impairments,²³ and cognitive impairments,^{23,24} including reading,²⁵ working memory,^{26,27} and processing speed²⁸ impairments.

The aforementioned ABCD original sample study examined the psychometric properties and validity of the PQ-BC, finding that childhood PLEs were associated with family history of psychosis, internalizing symptoms, cognitive deficits (eg, working memory), and motor and speech developmental milestone delays. The current study replicated the previous analyses, using the remaining ABCD baseline sample, to further examine the psychometric properties and construct validity of the PQ-BC. First, we aimed to replicate measurement invariance across ethnicity and sex and demonstrate mean-level differences across ethnicities and sex. We also aimed to replicate associations between PQ-BC scores and associations with psychotic risk measures (eg, family history of psychotic disorder),²⁹ greater internalizing symptoms, cognitive impairments, and developmental milestone delays.^{19,30–34} Further, we aimed to extend the original study's findings by examining whether associations replicated using parent-reported psychotic experiences.

Methods

Participants

A sample of 11 874 individuals was obtained from the ABCD study, a large-scale study tracking 9- to 11-year-olds recruited from 21 research sites across the United States. These data were accessed from the National Institutes of Mental Health Data Archive (see Acknowledgments). The ABCD study aimed to recruit a normative sample of children, recruiting children using probability sampling from both public and private

elementary schools. Study-wide exclusionary criteria were as follows: child not fluent in English, MRI contraindication (eg, irremovable ferromagnetic implants or dental appliances, claustrophobia, pregnant), major neurological disorder, gestational age less than 28 weeks or birth weight less than 1200 grams, history of traumatic brain injury, or had a current diagnosis of schizophrenia, autism spectrum disorder (moderate, severe), mental retardation/intellectual disability, or alcohol/substance use disorder.^{35,36} Parents provided written informed consent and all children provided assent.

First, the previously analyzed original sample ($n = 3984$)⁶ was removed from the overall ABCD baseline ($N = 10\,977$ with complete data; see [supplementary material](#) for information about missing data and sample details), leaving a replication sample of $n = 7013$ (48.1% female; 48.0% White, 17.6% African American, 21.2% Hispanic, 13.2% Other; age: $M = 9.89$ [$SD = 0.63$]).

Measures

Prodromal Questionnaire-Brief Child Version (PQ-BC)

Participants completed the Prodromal Questionnaire-Brief Child Version (PQ-BC),³⁷ a 21-item self-report questionnaire, modified for use with 9- to 11-year-olds based on a series of interviews assessing children's understanding of the items, with a visual response scale included as a distress scale.³⁸ Consistent with previous research,^{6,37} Total and Distress scores were calculated. The Total score is the sum of endorsed questions (ie, 0 = no, 1 = yes; range: 0–21). The Distress score is the total number of endorsed questions weighted by level of distress [ie, 0 = no, 1 = yes (but no distress), 2–6 = yes (1+score on distress scale); range: 0–126].

Internalizing Symptom Measures

The validated and computerized parent-reported and child-reported Kiddie-Structured Assessment for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia (K-SADS) for DSM-5^{39–41} were used in current analyses as measures of psychopathology.³⁵ The computerized self-administered parent and child versions of the K-SADS show good to excellent concordance with the clinician-administered computerized K-SADS.⁴² For all K-SADS modules, participants were first administered a screening interview, then the supplement was administered if the participant was positive on the screening items. For participants not positive on the screener, the supplement items were assumed to be 0. As was done in the original sample, we examined internalizing symptoms using an internalizing symptoms composite (ie, summation of depression and GAD symptoms) and bipolar symptoms. We examined the Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) internalizing and externalizing symptom measures,⁴³ with similar results. We also examined parent-reported externalizing

symptoms using a K-SADS composite of current attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), oppositional defiant disorder, and conduct disorder symptom summations.^{39,40}

Psychosis Risk Measures

A measure of parent-reported child PLEs was created from 4 items from the CBCL.⁴³⁻⁴⁵ The questions included: “Hears sounds or voices that aren’t there,” “Sees things that aren’t there,” “Strange behavior,” and “Strange ideas.” Each question was scored from 0 = not true, 1 = somewhat or sometimes true, and 2 = very true or often true. When only examining the first 2 questions, there were still significant associations with PQ-BC scores (although of lessened magnitude, Total score: $\beta = .047$, 95% CI: 0.025, 0.069, FDR $P < .001$; Distress score: $\beta = .065$, 95% CI: 0.042, 0.087, FDR $P < .001$).

We also examined a summation of parent-reported K-SADS current psychotic symptoms (see [supplementary material](#) for agreement with PQ-BC scores; a child-reported psychosis module was not administered due to concerns about participant increasing the length of the assessment for children).^{39,40}

Lastly, the history of psychotic disorder, depression, and mania in first-degree relatives was assessed using the Family History Assessment Module Screener,⁴⁶ with each scored as either present or absent (family history of psychosis was an exclusionary criteria in the scoring of family history of depression and mania).

Neuropsychological Test Battery

Participants completed all tests within the National Institutes of Health Toolbox Cognitive Battery (NIHTB-CB).⁴⁷⁻⁴⁹ The NIHTB-CB consists of 7 tasks, grouped into 2 composite scores. The fluid composite consists of Flanker Inhibitory Control and Attention, List Sorting Working Memory, Dimensional Change Card Sort, Pattern Comparison Processing Speed, and Picture Sequence Memory. The crystallized composite consists of Picture Vocabulary and Oral Reading Recognition test (see ref.⁴⁸ for descriptions of individual NIHTB-CB tests). The current study utilized uncorrected NIHTB-CB scores, but all analyses include age and sex as covariates.

Developmental Milestones

The parent assessment battery included questions of motor and speech developmental milestone delays.^{43,50-52} The motor delays composite was coded as the summation of delays in attaining motor milestones [ie, rolling over (delayed = 6 mo or later), sitting (delayed = after 9 mo), walking (delayed = after 18 mo), parent-reported concern regarding motor development (parents were asked to compare their child’s development to that of other children: 0 = earlier, 1 = average, 2 = later), and

parent-reported current child clumsiness⁴³ and scored from 0 = not true, 1 = somewhat or sometimes true, and 2 = very true or often true]. The speech delays composite was coded as the summation of a delay in speaking first word (delayed = after 12 mo) and parent-reported concern regarding speech development (0 = earlier, 1 = average, 2 = later). All motor and speech milestones were scored from 0=achieved within a typical timeframe or 1 = delayed.

Financial adversity was measured as the summation of endorsement of 7 parent-reported questions of financial difficulties experienced during the past 12 months from a demographic questionnaire (note in contrast to the original study, which used a measure of income-to-needs as a proxy of socioeconomic status, we included financial adversity to reduce data missingness). This measure included the following questions (participants received a score of 1 for each endorsed item, and financial adversity was scored as the sum of these endorsed items): (1) Needed food but couldn’t afford to buy it or couldn’t afford to go out to get it?, (2) Were without telephone service because you could not afford it?, (3) Didn’t pay the full amount of the rent or mortgage because you could not afford it?, (4) Were evicted from your home for not paying the rent or mortgage?, (5) Had services turned off by the gas or electric company, or the oil company wouldn’t deliver oil because payments were not made?, (6) Had someone who needed to see a doctor or go to the hospital but didn’t go because you could not afford it?, and (7) Had someone who needed a dentist but couldn’t go because you could not afford it?

Statistical Analyses

Prior to examining mean-level differences in PLEs across various demographic strata, it is important to determine whether an instrument and its underlying construct behave similarly across groups, otherwise known as measurement invariance.⁵³ To examine measurement invariance, stepwise tests of invariance were conducted, first examining potential differences across the 4 ethnicity groups and then comparing males and females.⁵⁴ We examined stepwise changes in fit (ie, chi-square, CFI, and RMSEA) of 4 progressively restrictive nested models, to examine whether model factor loadings, thresholds, and unique/residual variance were similar across both sex and race/ethnicity (see ref.⁶ and [supplementary material](#) for additional measurement invariance model details). If the stepwise tests indicate measurement invariance across groups, mean comparisons can be meaningfully conducted across groups.⁵⁵ The remainder of analyses used hierarchical linear models (HLMs), with family and the 21 ABCD research sites treated as clustered observations in order to account for nonindependence of observations, conducted using the R lme4 package⁵⁶ (multcomp package for multiple comparison analyses⁵⁷). These analyses modeled

family unit and research site as random intercepts. Every model included age, sex, financial adversity, first-degree family history of psychosis, and race/ethnicity as covariates. HLMs analyzed the relations between Total and Distress PQ-BC scores (as well as parent-reported current K-SADS psychotic experiences) and: (1) ethnicity (White, African American, Hispanic, Other [note, Asian participants were included in the Other category to be consistent with original sample analyses]; False Discovery Rate (FDR)-corrected for multiple comparisons between ethnicity groups), (2) sex, (3) history of psychosis, depression or mania in first-degree relatives (FDR-corrected for multiple comparisons between family history groups), (4) psychosis risk measures (ie, parent-reported K-SADS psychotic symptom domain and parent-reported child PLEs derived from the CBCL), (5) K-SADS internalizing, bipolar, and externalizing symptom composites, (6) neuropsychological test performance (crystallized or fluid intelligence NIHTB-CB composites, then individual NIHTB-CB tests to examine the independent contributions of each test), and (7) motor and speech developmental milestone delays composites, then individually to examine the independent contributions of each developmental milestone. Results are expressed as standardized estimates (β s) with 95% CIs and FDR multiple comparison corrected. See [supplementary tables 3 and 4](#) for both the unadjusted and adjusted estimates for each of these models. Due to significant skew and zero inflation of PQ-BC scores, we also examined log-transformed scores and negative binomial general linear mixed models (GLMMs), with the vast majority of results generally replicated using these alternative models (see [supplementary material](#) for details). Lastly, all findings from the original sample replicated when using the entire baseline ABCD sample, including finding hypothesized associations between PQ-BC scores and family history of psychosis in models with covariates and finding similar strength of associations.

Results

Basic Properties of PQ-BC

60.60% of the sample endorsed at least one PQ-BC question (original sample: 61.8%), with 42.7% reporting distress associated with at least one PQ-BC question (original sample: 43.0%). Both the PQ-BC Total ($M = 2.60$, $SD = 3.55$; original sample: $M = 2.63$, $SD = 3.54$) and Distress ($M = 6.26$, $SD = 10.59$; original sample: $M = 6.19$, $SD = 10.43$) scores showed high internal reliability (replication sample: Total Score $\alpha = .864$; Distress Score $\alpha = .865$; original sample: Total Score $\alpha = .863$; Distress Score $\alpha = .873$), which did not increase when any item was deleted. The correlation coefficients between each item and the PQ-BC Total score were 0.334–0.521, and for the Distress Score, 0.361–0.545 (original sample: Total score = 0.369–0.516, Distress Score = 0.382–0.535). For both the Total and Distress

PQ-BC scores, the results of a CFA again confirmed the appropriateness of the one-factor structure for both the Total (CFI = 0.919, RMSEA = 0.023) and Distress (CFI = 0.921, RMSEA = 0.027) scores.

Measurement Invariance and Mean Comparison Analyses

Consistent with original analyses, stepwise tests examining invariance across both sex and race/ethnicity showed good fit in terms of CFI and RMSEA scores (for sex: CFIs ≥ 0.973 ; RMSEAs ≤ 0.030 ; for race/ethnicity: CFIs ≥ 0.973 ; RMSEAs ≤ 0.029), and minimal decrement in fit at all steps (ie, loadings, thresholds, and unique/residual variance are similar across both sex and race/ethnicity; for sex: change in CFI $\leq |0.006|$; change in RMSEA $\leq |0.004|$; for race/ethnicity: change in CFI $\leq |0.009|$; change in RMSEA $\leq |0.006|$). These models, therefore, passed all criteria to indicate strict invariance, suggesting that both the Total and Distress scores are measuring the same construct across sex and race/ethnicity. As shown in [table 1](#), in terms of mean comparisons, consistent with the original sample, males showed higher PQ-BC Total scores. The replication sample also showed greater Distress scores among males (see [table 2](#) for model estimates). We replicated that African American and Hispanic participants showed higher PQ-BC scores than the White group. In the replication sample, these groups had higher scores than the Other group and the Other group had higher scores than the White group, as shown in [table 1](#) (see [table 2](#) for model estimates).

Relations With Psychosis Risk Measures

As in the original analyses, first-degree family history of psychosis showed a small magnitude association with greater PQ-BC scores in models examining family history of psychosis, depression, and mania simultaneously but with no additional covariates (Total: $\beta = .024$, 95% CI: 0.001, 0.048; Distress: $\beta = .024$, 95% CI: 0.001, 0.047). However, in contrast to the original sample, when covariates were added (specifically race/ethnicity and financial adversity), the size of the effect diminished ([table 2](#)). Also, in contrast, in the replication sample, family history of depression showed a small magnitude association with greater PQ-BC scores with or without covariates ([table 2](#)), while it was not related in the original sample. However, consistent with the original sample, higher PQ-BC scores showed hypothesized relations to both greater parent-reported K-SADS current psychotic symptoms and greater CBCL parent-reported child PLEs ([table 2](#)).

Relations With Internalizing and Externalizing Symptoms

We replicated the finding of higher PQ-BC scores being associated with greater child-reported internalizing

Table 1. Means and Standard Errors for PQ-BC Scores by Ethnicity and Sex for Both Original Sample and Replication Sample Analyses

	Original Sample ($n = 3984$)				Replication Sample ($n = 7013$)			
	Total Score		Distress Score		Total Score		Distress Score	
	M	SE	M	SE	M	SE	M	SE
Ethnicity								
White	2.38	0.22	5.47	0.55	2.13	0.22	4.87	0.54
African American	3.14	0.28**	7.56	0.76**	3.48	0.23**	8.72	0.60**
Hispanic	2.89	0.25*	7.18	0.67**	3.00	0.23**	7.56	0.59**
Other	2.62	0.26	6.00	0.70	2.43	0.24*	5.72	0.62*
Sex								
Male	2.73	0.21	6.20	0.55	2.95	0.21	7.04	0.54
Female	2.40	0.22**	5.84	0.56	2.55	0.22**	6.37	0.54**

Note: PQ-BC, Prodromal Questionnaire-Brief Child Version; n , sample size; M , mean; SE, Standard Error.

*Every model included age, sex, financial adversity, first-degree family history of psychosis, and race/ethnicity as covariates.

* $P < .05$, ** $P < .01$ (for the post hoc mean comparisons with White as a reference group for ethnicity; male as the reference group for sex).

symptoms (table 2). We replicated the hypothesized relations between higher PQ-BC scores and greater scores on each of the child-reported internalizing symptom modules (Total: β s = .199–.304; Distress: β s = .230–.345), and bipolar symptoms. As with the original sample, for the replication sample, the sizes of correlations, in general, were much smaller for parent-reported child symptoms on the K-SADS (and for parent-reported GAD symptoms with PQ-BC Total scores, FDR-corrected $P = .16$), though the direction was the same (ie, internalizing composite: Total: $\beta = .037$; 95% CI = 0.015, 0.059; Distress: $\beta = .054$; 95% CI = 0.031, 0.077; internalizing symptom modules: Total: $\beta = .016$ –.045; Distress: $\beta = .026$ –.064; bipolar symptoms: Total: $\beta = .050$; 95% CI = 0.027, 0.073; Distress: $\beta = .069$; 95% CI = 0.046, 0.092). As with the original sample, parent-reported current externalizing symptoms also showed a small magnitude association with PQ-BC scores (table 2). However, in contrast with the original sample, parent-reported externalizing symptoms showed stronger associations with PQ-BC scores than parent-reported K-SADS internalizing symptoms, Z s > 3.85 , P s $< .001$.

Associations With Neuropsychological Test Performance

As shown in table 3, we also replicated that both lower fluid and crystallized intelligence independently showed small magnitude associations with greater PQ-BC scores, and that specifically impairments in working memory were associated with greater PQ-BC scores (table 3). Further, in the replication sample, impairments in picture vocabulary showed a small magnitude association with greater PQ-BC scores.

Associations With Developmental Milestones Delays

Lastly, we replicated that delays in both motor (table 3) and speech milestone delays independently showed small magnitude associations with greater PQ-BC scores, and

that specifically clumsiness was associated with greater PQ-BC scores (note after FDR-correction for Total scores, FDR $P = .11$). In the replication sample, subjective speech delays showed a small magnitude association with greater PQ-BC scores (see supplementary material for additional analyses using log-transformed scores and negative binomial GLMMs). Family history of psychosis was associated with PQ-BC scores even with the developmental delays in the same model.

Examining Associations Using Parent-Reported Current K-SADS Psychotic Experiences

Similar to the findings using PQ-BC scores, parent-reported current psychotic experiences showed small magnitude associations with family history of psychosis ($\beta = .043$, 95% CI: 0.020, 0.067, FDR $P < .001$) and family history of depression ($\beta = .050$, 95% CI: 0.026, 0.074, FDR $P < .001$), increased CBCL parent-reported PLEs ($\beta = .262$, 95% CI: 0.239, 0.284, FDR $P < .001$), being male ($\beta = -.048$, 95% CI: -0.094 , -0.001 , FDR $P = .04$), both greater parent-reported internalizing ($\beta = .139$, 95% CI: 0.116, 0.162, FDR $P < .001$) and externalizing ($\beta = .139$, 95% CI: 0.116, 0.163, FDR $P < .001$) symptoms, decreased fluid intelligence NIHTB-CB scores ($\beta = -.047$, 95% CI: -0.075 , -0.020 , FDR $P < .001$), and both motor delays ($\beta = .075$, 95% CI: 0.026, 0.074, FDR $P < .001$) and clumsiness ($\beta = .088$, 95% CI: 0.064, 0.111, FDR $P < .001$).

In contrast, there were several differences compared to the findings using PQ-BC scores. First, the sizes of the effects were reduced between parent-reported current psychotic symptoms with race/ethnicity (β s < 0.046 , FDR P s $> .13$; for mean differences, Z s < 1.50 , FDR P s $> .55$), child-reported internalizing symptoms ($\beta = .003$, 95% CI: -0.020 , 0.026, FDR $P = .80$), crystallized intelligence ($\beta = -.007$, 95% CI: -0.035 , 0.021, FDR $P = .61$) and working memory ($\beta = -.010$, 95% CI: -0.038 , 0.017,

Table 2. Demographic and Symptom Measure Model Estimates for Both Original Sample and Replication Sample Analyses^a

	Original Sample (n = 3984)						Replication Sample (n = 7013)							
	Total			Distress			Total			Distress				
	β	t	FDR P	β	t	FDR P	β	t	FDR P	β	t	FDR P		
Sex														
Male	-.092	-2.976	.003	-.035	-1.121	.26	-.113	-.157, -.068	-4.922	<.001	-.063	-.108, -.018	-2.718	.007
Race/ethnicity														
African American	.213	3.523	.001	.201	3.297	.002	.381	.310, .451	10.604	<.001	.364	.292, .435	10.012	<.001
Hispanic	.142	2.811	.007	.164	3.247	.002	.246	.177, .315	7.007	<.001	.255	.185, .324	7.181	<.001
Other	.067	1.302	.19	.051	0.981	.33	.084	.012, .157	2.271	.02	.080	.007, .154	2.145	.03
Family history with covariates														
Psychosis	.065	4.071	<.001	.068	4.272	<.001	.015	-.008, .038	1.268	.21	.015	-.008, .038	1.243	.21
Depression	.030	1.814	.10	.021	1.294	.29	.041	.017, .065	3.396	.003	.053	.029, .077	4.325	<.001
Mania	.023	1.446	.15	.014	0.861	.39	.020	-.004, .043	1.662	.15	.020	-.004, .043	1.667	.14
Family history without covariates														
Psychosis	.075	4.697	<.001	.079	4.927	<.001	.023	.00004, .046	1.966	.049	.024	.001, .048	2.033	.04
Depression	.028	1.679	.09	.020	1.217	.27	.041	.017, .065	3.346	.002	.054	.030, .078	4.363	<.001
Mania	.027	1.679	.09	.018	1.114	.27	.026	.003, .050	2.172	.045	.026	.003, .050	2.178	.04
CBCL Parent-Report	.083	4.245	<.001	.082	4.693	<.001	.095	.073, .118	8.30	<.001	.106	.083, .128	9.087	<.001
Child PLEs														
K-SADS Parent-Reported	.065	4.336	<.001	.073	4.792	<.001	.046	.024, .069	4.084	<.001	.049	.027, .072	4.279	<.001
Current Child Psychotic Symptoms														
Internalizing symptoms	.311	21.607	<.001	.353	24.785	<.001	.331	.310, .352	30.857	<.001	.378	.357, .398	35.361	<.001
Bipolar symptoms	.200	3.939	<.001	.197	13.175	<.001	.272	.250, .293	24.884	<.001	.291	.270, .313	26.476	<.001
Externalizing symptoms	.076	3.528	<.001	.062	4.496	<.001	.094	.069, .118	7.627	<.001	.100	.075, .124	8.031	<.001

Note: β = standardized regression coefficient; t = t-test test statistic; p = P-value; FDR = False Discovery Rate-corrected for multiple comparisons; CBCL = Child Behavior Checklist; K-SADS = Kiddie-Structured Assessment for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia.

^aSignificant model estimates are in bold. See ref.⁶ for 95% CIs for original sample models. Every model included age, sex, financial adversity, first-degree family history of psychosis, and race/ethnicity as covariates. Please see [supplementary table 3](#) for both the unadjusted and adjusted estimates for each of these models.

FDR $P = .65$) impairments, as well as with speech delays ($\beta = .014$, 95% CI: -0.010 , 0.038 , FDR $P = .26$).

Discussion

The current study is the first to examine whether associations found using a subset of the ABCD baseline sample (Data Release 1.0.1) replicated in the remainder baseline sample (Data Release 2.0.1). The current study found important evidence supporting the validity of the PQ-BC, including replicating mean differences in sex and race, associations with several psychosis risk measures, cognitive impairments, and developmental milestone delays. PLEs were associated with both internalizing and externalizing scores, consistent with previous research,^{21,31,32,58} and perhaps indicating both have roles in the etiology or early clinical manifestations of PLEs. The current study found associations similar in magnitude compared to the original sample (ie, β s $\leq .38$). These findings have important implications for the measurement of PLEs and additionally highlight the importance of replication as a good research practice. Furthermore, the current replication study found associations similar to other large cross-sectional studies of PLEs in childhood and adolescence.^{20,22–26} The current findings underscore that robust and reliable associations between PLEs and the aforementioned factors can be found in middle childhood manifestations of non-clinical psychosis spectrum symptoms.

An important deviation in findings from the original sample is that in the replication sample we did not fully find an association between PLEs and family history of psychosis. Specifically, when we added race/ethnicity and financial adversity to the model, first-degree family history of psychosis was no longer associated with PLEs, though it did in the original sample. This is consistent with previous research finding that belonging to a minority racial or ethnic group (especially African American or Hispanic groups in the United States) is associated with increased psychosis spectrum symptoms.^{59,60} Speculative hypotheses for these associations include the possibility that increased psychosis spectrum symptoms may result from the increased exposure to stress, such as discrimination, associated with membership of a racial/ethnic minority (as well as potentially increased prevalence of cultural mistrust which may lead to heightened suspiciousness).⁶¹ Likewise, financial adversity is also associated with increased psychosis spectrum symptoms, perhaps as a result of the increased parental distress, reduced community resources, increased toxin exposure, and other related factors associated with living in impoverished conditions,^{62,63} or potentially because increased genetic risk for psychosis may result in lower socioeconomic status and/or lower parental academic achievement, thereby leading to greater financial adversity.

Nonetheless, the associations between family history of depression and PLEs found in the current analyses suggest less specificity in associations with familial risk for mental health disorders.⁶⁴ Furthermore, previous research suggests that PLEs can be nonspecific markers of psychopathology, with evidence that most children reporting PLEs never later develop a psychotic disorder.^{13,65} Although in this study PLEs were associated with both parent-reported psychotic symptoms and a 4-item measure of PLEs, the fact that the family history of psychosis was not robust to full replication lends some support for the notion that PLEs can be nonspecific markers of psychopathology. Further, our finding the strongest association (β s $> .33$) was between PLEs and child-reported internalizing symptoms provides additional evidence that PLEs, at least in middle childhood, are nonspecific markers of psychopathology, as opposed to specifically markers of psychosis risk.^{11,12} Future research should examine the stability of PLEs as future waves of the ABCD study are released and examine whether elevated PLEs over time are more specifically associated with increased family history of psychosis.

The replication sample also showed several other differences with the original sample. First, in the replication sample, we found consistent effects for sex across both Total and Distress scores, whereby males showed higher PLEs overall, whereas in the original sample males only showed higher Total scores. These findings are consistent with some previous research finding males show greater incidence of PLEs,⁶⁶ although note that other research has found the opposite.^{67,68} We also found that in addition to African American and Hispanic participants showing higher PLEs than White participants (which is consistent with the original sample), participants in the “Other” group, which consisted primarily of biracial and Asian participants, also showed higher PLEs in the replication sample. In sum, the replication sample is even more consistent than the original sample in finding elevated rates of PLEs in non-white participants,^{66,69} which may indicate that factors such as discrimination may already be influencing PLEs in middle childhood.^{59,70,71} Furthermore, the current findings of biracial and Asian participants also showing elevated rates of PLEs is consistent with previous research on prodromal symptoms.⁷²

An extension of the original study included examining whether results replicated using parent-reported psychotic experiences. The associations with these scores did not entirely overlap with self-reported PLEs, whereby parent-reported psychotic experiences were not significantly associated with several risk factors, including race/ethnicity, working memory, crystallized intelligence, or speech delays. This provides important evidence for regions of convergence and divergence between self-reported PLEs and parent-reported psychotic experiences. Further, the association between child-reported PLEs

and parent-reported PLEs was quite small. A number of factors may explain the low associations both between child and parent PLEs, as well as the divergence in the associations found with child versus parent PLEs, including low observability by parents of certain types of PLEs, variability in parent-child relationships, as well as parental stress and symptoms.⁷³ Future research should parse the extent to which these discrepancies are the result of these aforementioned factors.

This replication found many of the same relationships between PLEs and cognitive function, including associations with both fluid and crystallized IQ and working memory. However, the replication sample also showed several differences compared to the original sample. For cognitive functioning, the associations between Total scores with processing speed or reading recognition scores were even further reduced. However, these cognitive impairments were not consistently associated with PLEs in the original sample (ie, not strongly associated with Distress scores), and therefore may not show robust associations with middle childhood PLEs. Processing speed impairments may be too subtle to be reliably detected middle childhood PLEs, given the heterogeneity of this group with only a small subset who will develop a psychotic disorder.

In addition, while the original study found some evidence of an association between Total PQ-BC scores and impairments in reading recognition, the replication sample did not replicate this finding. Instead, the current study found associations between both Total and Distress scores and a measure of receptive vocabulary (ie, picture vocabulary), consistent with previous research.^{23,74–76} Notably, in the original sample, there was some limited evidence that impairments in picture vocabulary were associated with increased PQ-BC scores (ie, there was an association with log-transformed PQ-BC scores). Thus, overall, the original and replication samples showed evidence of associations between PLEs and crystallized intelligence impairments, with arguably more robust evidence for associations with a task examining receptive vocabulary. Furthermore, the replication sample also found associations between PLEs and subjective speech impairments for both Total and Distress scores. The findings for receptive vocabulary impairments and increased subjective speech delays supports a wealth of previous research finding language impairments in psychosis.⁷⁷ These findings indicate that both expressive and receptive language impairments are associated with increased PLEs, perhaps due to conceptual overlap with disorganized speech, or because these impairments contribute to disorganized speech.

The current study has several limitations. First, replication is particularly strong when findings are replicated in independent samples with differing methods and sampling techniques. The current study replicates findings

from a subset of the baseline sample ($n = 3984$) with the remainder of the baseline sample ($n = 7013$). While these are independent in the sense of different participants, they use the same methods and sampling techniques. Thus, while a valuable contribution, these findings need to be replicated in a sample ascertained using different methods. Furthermore, in both samples there was a relatively high rate of endorsement of PLEs (approximately ~60% of sample endorsed at least one PLE, see [supplementary table 2](#) for rates of endorsement for each individual question), indicating the possibility that the questions are tapping into constructs other than PLEs at this developmental stage. In addition, as with the original sample, associations with other self-reports were in the small-moderate range and associations with behavioral measures tended to be small ($\beta s \leq .15$), although findings continued to be in line with the extant literature.^{23,29,66,78} However, as was discussed in regards to the original sample, these generally small magnitude associations are expected given the nature of the sample (ie, a non-help-seeking sample without schizophrenia spectrum diagnoses), although we cannot rule out that the small magnitude associations are at least in part due to the presence of some false positives (ie, participants erroneously endorsing PLEs) and the inclusion of transitory PLEs that are inherent in assessing PLEs in a middle childhood population. However, assessing distress associated with PLEs in part helps avoid these false positives. While participants may misclassify other symptoms as distressing PLEs (eg, intrusive thoughts), endorsing these experiences as distressing PLEs is regardless a clinically relevant rather than a normative experience. It should also be noted that the strength of the associations found in the current study may change over the course of childhood into adolescence.^{23,79} In addition, it does perhaps indicate that future work should take steps to strengthen the PQ-BC as a PLE measure. We recently began this work by conducting item response theory analyses and initial steps to create a screening form⁸⁰ to begin the work of creating a clinically useful measure.

In addition, another limitation is that the other psychosis risk measures were parent-report, though several of the associations found for child-reported PQ-BC scores replicated when examining parent-reported current psychotic symptoms. In general, the size of associations with parent-reported symptoms (eg, the children's internalizing symptoms) tended to be smaller in size compared to the size of associations with other child-reported symptoms. Along these lines, parent-report of developmental milestones are subject to recall bias.⁸¹ While the current study provides important evidence for the robust nature of several associations with PLEs using the PQ-BC, future research will be required to further validate the PQ-BC, including evidence that it is associated with 'gold-standard' interview measures,

such as the SIPS,⁸² as well as evidence for test-retest reliability. Follow-up research will examine the stability of these associations over time using future waves of the ABCD sample. Overall, the current study provided important further evidence for the validity of the PQ-BC, including indicating that many of the original PLE associations were robust to replication, with several new findings emerging in the even larger replication sample.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary data are available at *Schizophrenia Bulletin Open* online.

Funding

The ABCD Study is supported by the National Institutes of Health and additional federal partners under award numbers U01DA041022, U01DA041028, U01DA041048, U01DA041089, U01DA041106, U01DA041117, U01DA041120, U01DA041134, U01DA041148, U01DA041156, U01DA041174, U24DA041123, U24DA041147, U01DA041093, and U01DA041025. A full list of supporters is available at <https://abcdstudy.org/federal-partners.html>. A listing of participating sites and a complete listing of the study investigators can be found at https://abcdstudy.org/Consortium_Members.pdf. ABCD consortium investigators designed and implemented the study and/or provided data but did not necessarily participate in analysis or writing of this report. This manuscript reflects the views of the authors and may not reflect the opinions or views of the NIH or ABCD consortium investigators. The ABCD data repository grows and changes over time. The ABCD data used in this report came from DOI:10.15154/1503209. This work was supported by National Institute on Drug Abuse (U01 DA041120 to D.M.B. and K.J.S.); National Institute of Mental Health (MH014677 to N.R.K.; MH018261-31 to M.S.; R01 MH107108 to T.J.S.); Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (R01 HD042974 to T.J.S.); and National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (K05-AA017242 to K.J.S.).

Acknowledgments

We thank the families participating in the Adolescent Brain and Cognitive Development study. Data used in the preparation of this article were obtained from the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) Study (<https://abcdstudy.org>), held in the NIMH Data Archive (NDA). This is a multisite, longitudinal study designed to recruit more than 10 000 children aged 9–10 years and follow them over 10 years into early adulthood.

Conflicts of Interest

R.L.L. is a Lundbeck International Neuroscience Foundation faculty member. T.J.S. is CEO of Cognivive, Inc. a digital neurotherapeutics company (there was no overlap between the content of this manuscript and Cognivive's business interests). No other authors reported disclosures.

References

1. Aarts AA, Anderson JE, Anderson CJ, *et al.* Estimating the reproducibility of psychological science. *Science*. 2015;349(6251):253–267.
2. Collaboration OS. PSYCHOLOGY. Estimating the reproducibility of psychological science. *Science*. 2015;349(6251):aac4716.
3. Hofseth LJ. Getting rigorous with scientific rigor. *Carcinogenesis*. 2018;39(1):21–25.
4. Lilienfeld SO. Psychology's replication crisis and the grant culture: righting the ship. *Perspect Psychol Sci*. 2017;12(4):660–664.
5. Makel MC, Plucker JA, Hegarty B. Replications in psychology research: how often do they really occur? *Perspect Psychol Sci*. 2012;7(6):537–542.
6. Karcher NR, Barch DM, Avenevoli S, *et al.* Assessment of the prodromal questionnaire-brief child version for measurement of self-reported psychotic-like experiences in childhood. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2018;75(8):853–861.
7. Gundersen SV, Goodman R, Clemmensen L, *et al.* Concordance of child self-reported psychotic experiences with interview- and observer-based psychotic experiences. *Early Interv Psychiatry*. 2019;13(3):619–626.
8. Kelleher I, Harley M, Murtagh A, Cannon M. Are screening instruments valid for psychotic-like experiences? A validation study of screening questions for psychotic-like experiences using in-depth clinical interview. *Schizophr Bull*. 2011;37(2):362–369.
9. Kelleher I, Connor D, Clarke MC, Devlin N, Harley M, Cannon M. Prevalence of psychotic symptoms in childhood and adolescence: a systematic review and meta-analysis of population-based studies. *Psychol Med*. 2012;42(9):1857–1863.
10. Johns LC, van Os J. The continuity of psychotic experiences in the general population. *Clin Psychol Rev*. 2001;21(8):1125–1141.
11. Linscott RJ, van Os J. An updated and conservative systematic review and meta-analysis of epidemiological evidence on psychotic experiences in children and adults: on the pathway from proneness to persistence to dimensional expression across mental disorders. *Psychol Med*. 2013;43(6):1133–1149.
12. van Os J, Reininghaus U. Psychosis as a transdiagnostic and extended phenotype in the general population. *World Psychiatry*. 2016;15(2):118–124.
13. Poulton R, Caspi A, Moffitt TE, Cannon M, Murray R, Harrington H. Children's self-reported psychotic symptoms and adult schizophreniform disorder: a 15-year longitudinal study. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2000;57(11):1053–1058.
14. Fisher HL, Caspi A, Poulton R, *et al.* Specificity of childhood psychotic symptoms for predicting schizophrenia by 38 years of age: a birth cohort study. *Psychol Med*. 2013;43(10):2077–2086.

15. Moriyama TS, van Os J, Gadelha A, *et al.* Differences between self-reported psychotic experiences, clinically relevant psychotic experiences, and attenuated psychotic symptoms in the general population. *Front Psychiatry*. 2019;10:782.
16. Rimvall MK, Gundersen S, Clemmensen L, *et al.* Evidence that self-reported psychotic experiences in children are clinically relevant. *Schizophr Res*. 2019;204:415–416.
17. van der Steen Y, Myin-Germeys I, van Nierop M, *et al.* ‘False-positive’ self-reported psychotic experiences in the general population: an investigation of outcome, predictive factors and clinical relevance. *Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci*. 2019;28(5):532–543.
18. Healy C, Campbell D, Coughlan H, Clarke M, Kelleher I, Cannon M. Childhood psychotic experiences are associated with poorer global functioning throughout adolescence and into early adulthood. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. 2018;138(1):26–34.
19. Laurens KR, Hodgins S, Taylor E, Murray R. Is earlier intervention for schizophrenia possible? Identifying antecedents of schizophrenia in children aged 9–12 years. *Schizophrenia: The Final Frontier*. 2011:19–32.
20. Healy C, Brannigan R, Dooley N, *et al.* Childhood and adolescent psychotic experiences and risk of mental disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychol Med*. 2019;49(10):1589–1599.
21. Guloksuz S, van Nierop M, Lieb R, van Winkel R, Wittchen HU, van Os J. Evidence that the presence of psychosis in non-psychotic disorder is environment-dependent and mediated by severity of non-psychotic psychopathology. *Psychol Med*. 2015;45(11):2389–2401.
22. Sullivan SA, Wiles N, Kounali D, *et al.* Longitudinal associations between adolescent psychotic experiences and depressive symptoms. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(8):e105758.
23. Cannon M, Caspi A, Moffitt TE, *et al.* Evidence for early-childhood, pan-developmental impairment specific to schizophreniform disorder: results from a longitudinal birth cohort. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2002;59(5):449–456.
24. Mollon J, David AS, Zammit S, Lewis G, Reichenberg A. Course of cognitive development from infancy to early adulthood in the psychosis spectrum. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2018;75(3):270–279.
25. Hameed MA, Lewis AJ, Sullivan S, Zammit S. Child literacy and psychotic experiences in early adolescence: findings from the ALSPAC study. *Schizophr Res*. 2013;145(1-3):88–94.
26. Reininghaus U, Rauschenberg C, Ten Have M, *et al.* Reasoning bias, working memory performance and a transdiagnostic phenotype of affective disturbances and psychotic experiences in the general population. *Psychol Med*. 2019;49(11):1799–1809.
27. Rossi R, Zammit S, Button KS, Munafò MR, Lewis G, David AS. Psychotic experiences and working memory: a population-based study using signal-detection analysis. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(4):e0153148.
28. Kelleher I, Murtagh A, Clarke MC, Murphy J, Rawdon C, Cannon M. Neurocognitive performance of a community-based sample of young people at putative ultra high risk for psychosis: support for the processing speed hypothesis. *Cogn Neuropsychiatry*. 2013;18(1-2):9–25.
29. Polanczyk G, Moffitt TE, Arseneault L, *et al.* Etiological and clinical features of childhood psychotic symptoms: results from a birth cohort. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2010;67(4):328–338.
30. Barragan M, Laurens KR, Navarro JB, Obiols JE. Psychotic-like experiences and depressive symptoms in a community sample of adolescents. *Eur Psychiatry*. 2011;26(6):396–401.
31. Downs JM, Cullen AE, Barragan M, Laurens KR. Persisting psychotic-like experiences are associated with both externalising and internalising psychopathology in a longitudinal general population child cohort. *Schizophr Res*. 2013;144(1-3):99–104.
32. Kelleher I, Keeley H, Corcoran P, *et al.* Clinicopathological significance of psychotic experiences in non-psychotic young people: evidence from four population-based studies. *Br J Psychiatry*. 2012;201(1):26–32.
33. Laurens KR, Hobbs MJ, Sunderland M, Green MJ, Mould GL. Psychotic-like experiences in a community sample of 8000 children aged 9 to 11 years: an item response theory analysis. *Psychol Med*. 2012;42(7):1495–1506.
34. Laurens KR, Hodgins S, Maughan B, Murray RM, Rutter ML, Taylor EA. Community screening for psychotic-like experiences and other putative antecedents of schizophrenia in children aged 9–12 years. *Schizophr Res*. 2007;90(1-3):130–146.
35. Barch DM, Albaugh MD, Avenevoli S, *et al.* Demographic, physical and mental health assessments in the adolescent brain and cognitive development study: Rationale and description. *Dev Cogn Neurosci*. 2018;32:55–66.
36. Garavan H, Bartsch H, Conway K, *et al.* Recruiting the ABCD sample: design considerations and procedures. *Dev Cogn Neurosci*. 2018;32:16–22.
37. Loewy RL, Pearson R, Vinogradov S, Bearden CE, Cannon TD. Psychosis risk screening with the Prodromal Questionnaire–brief version (PQ-B). *Schizophr Res*. 2011;129(1):42–46.
38. Hirshfeld-Becker DR. *Being Brave: A Program for Coping with Anxiety for Young Children and Their Parents*. Boston, MA: Massachusetts General Hospital; 2006.
39. Kaufman J, Birmaher B, Brent D, *et al.* Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children–Present and Lifetime Version (K-SADS-PL): initial reliability and validity data. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 1997;36(7):980–988.
40. Kobak KA, Kratochvil CJ, Stanger C, Kaufman J. *Computerized screening of comorbidity in adolescents with substance or psychiatric disorders*. Paper presented at: Anxiety Disorders and Depression, 2013; La Jolla, CA.
41. Townsend L, Kobak K, Kearney C, *et al.* Development of Three Web-Based Computerized Versions of the Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia (KSADS-COMP) Child Psychiatric Diagnostic Interview: preliminary Validity Data. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2019;59(2):309–325.
42. Townsend L, Kobak K, Kearney C, *et al.* Development of three web-based computerized versions of the Kiddie Schedule for affective disorders and schizophrenia child psychiatric diagnostic interview: preliminary validity data. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2020;59(2):309–325.
43. Achenbach TM. *The Achenbach System of Empirically Based Assessment (ASEBA): Development, Findings, Theory and Applications*. Burlington, VT: University of Vermont Research Center for Children, Youth, and Families; 2009.
44. Ducharme S, Albaugh MD, Nguyen TV, *et al.*; Brain Development Cooperative Group. Trajectories of cortical surface area and cortical volume maturation in normal brain development. *Data Brief*. 2015;5:929–938.
45. Sheffield JM, Kandala S, Burgess GC, Harms MP, Barch DM. Cingulo-opercular network efficiency mediates the association between psychotic-like experiences and cognitive ability in the general population. *Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging*. 2016;1(6):498–506.

46. Rice JP, Reich T, Buchholz KK, et al. Comparison of direct interview and family history diagnoses of alcohol dependence. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res*. 1995;19(4):1018–1023.
47. Gershon RC, Wagster MV, Hendrie HC, Fox NA, Cook KF, Nowinski CJ. NIH toolbox for assessment of neurological and behavioral function. *Neurology*. 2013;80(11 Suppl 3):S2–S6.
48. Weintraub S, Dikmen SS, Heaton RK, et al. Cognition assessment using the NIH Toolbox. *Neurology*. 2013;80(11 Suppl 3):S54–S64.
49. Weintraub S, Dikmen SS, Heaton RK, et al. The cognition battery of the NIH toolbox for assessment of neurological and behavioral function: validation in an adult sample. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc*. 2014;20(6):567–578.
50. Kessler RC, Avenevoli S, Costello EJ, et al. Design and field procedures in the US National Comorbidity Survey Replication Adolescent Supplement (NCS-A). *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res*. 2009;18(2):69–83.
51. Kessler RC, Avenevoli S, Costello EJ, et al. National comorbidity survey replication adolescent supplement (NCS-A): II. Overview and design. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2009;48(4):380–385.
52. Merikangas KR, Avenevoli S, Costello EJ, Koretz D, Kessler RC. National comorbidity survey replication adolescent supplement (NCS-A): I. Background and measures. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2009;48(4):367–379.
53. Byrne BM, Watkins D. The issue of measurement invariance revisited. *J Cross Cult Psychol* 2003;34(2):155–175.
54. Pagliaccio D, Luking KR, Anokhin AP, et al. Revising the BIS/BAS Scale to study development: measurement invariance and normative effects of age and sex from childhood through adulthood. *Psychol Assess*. 2016;28(4):429–442.
55. Chen FF. What happens if we compare chopsticks with forks? The impact of making inappropriate comparisons in cross-cultural research. *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 2008;95(5):1005–1018.
56. Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *arXiv e-prints arXiv:1406.2014*.
57. Hothorn T, Bretz F, Westfall P. Simultaneous inference in general parametric models. *Biom J*. 2008;50(3):346–363.
58. Laurens KR, Tzoumakis S, Dean K, Harris F, Carr VJ, Green MJ. Population profiles of child-reported psychotic-like experiences and their differential association with other psychopathologies. *Br J Clin Psychol*. 2019;59(1):22–38.
59. Anglin DM, Lighty Q, Greenspoon M, Ellman LM. Racial discrimination is associated with distressing subthreshold positive psychotic symptoms among US urban ethnic minority young adults. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol*. 2014;49(10):1545–1555.
60. Leaune E, Dealberto MJ, Luck D, et al. Ethnic minority position and migrant status as risk factors for psychotic symptoms in the general population: a meta-analysis. *Psychol Med*. 2019;49(4):545–558.
61. Paksarian D, Merikangas KR, Calkins ME, Gur RE. Racial-ethnic disparities in empirically-derived subtypes of subclinical psychosis among a U.S. sample of youths. *Schizophr Res*. 2016;170(1):205–210.
62. Hastings PD, Serbin LA, Bukowski W, et al. Predicting psychosis-spectrum diagnoses in adulthood from social behaviors and neighborhood contexts in childhood [published online ahead of print April 24, 2019]. *Dev Psychopathol*. 2019;1–15. doi:10.1017/S095457941900021X.
63. Morgan C, Gayer-Anderson C. Childhood adversities and psychosis: evidence, challenges, implications. *World Psychiatry*. 2016;15(2):93–102.
64. Selzam S, Coleman JRI, Caspi A, Moffitt TE, Plomin R. A polygenic p factor for major psychiatric disorders. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2018;8(1):205.
65. van Os J, Linscott RJ. Introduction: the extended psychosis phenotype–relationship with schizophrenia and with ultrahigh risk status for psychosis. *Schizophr Bull*. 2012;38(2):227–230.
66. Calkins ME, Moore TM, Merikangas KR, et al. The psychosis spectrum in a young U.S. community sample: findings from the Philadelphia Neurodevelopmental Cohort. *World Psychiatry*. 2014;13(3):296–305.
67. Zammit S, Kounali D, Cannon M, et al. Psychotic experiences and psychotic disorders at age 18 in relation to psychotic experiences at age 12 in a longitudinal population-based cohort study. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2013;170(7):742–750.
68. Karcher NR, Slutske WS, Kerns JG, Piasecki TM, Martin NG. Sex differences in magical ideation: a community-based twin study. *Personal Disord*. 2014;5(2):212–219.
69. Adriaanse M, van Domburgh L, Hoek HW, Susser E, Doreleijers TA, Veling W. Prevalence, impact and cultural context of psychotic experiences among ethnic minority youth. *Psychol Med*. 2015;45(3):637–646.
70. Karlens S, Nazroo JY, McKenzie K, Bhui K, Weich S. Racism, psychosis and common mental disorder among ethnic minority groups in England. *Psychol Med*. 2005;35(12):1795–1803.
71. Morgan C, Fisher H, Hutchinson G, et al. Ethnicity, social disadvantage and psychotic-like experiences in a healthy population based sample. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. 2009;119(3):226–235.
72. Cicero DC, Krieg A, Martin EA. Measurement invariance of the prodromal questionnaire-brief among White, Asian, Hispanic, and multiracial populations. *Assessment* 2019;26(2):294–304.
73. Olino TM, Finsaas M, Dougherty LR, Klein DN. Is parent-child disagreement on child anxiety explained by differences in measurement properties? an examination of measurement invariance across informants and time. *Front Psychol*. 2018;9:1295.
74. Meier MH, Caspi A, Reichenberg A, et al. Neuropsychological decline in schizophrenia from the premorbid to the postonset period: evidence from a population-representative longitudinal study. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2014;171(1):91–101.
75. Reichenberg A, Weiser M, Rabinowitz J, et al. A population-based cohort study of premorbid intellectual, language, and behavioral functioning in patients with schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, and nonpsychotic bipolar disorder. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2002;159(12):2027–2035.
76. Seidman LJ, Cherkertzian S, Goldstein JM, Agnew-Blais J, Tsuang MT, Buka SL. Neuropsychological performance and family history in children at age 7 who develop adult schizophrenia or bipolar psychosis in the New England Family Studies. *Psychol Med*. 2013;43(1):119–131.
77. Mollon J, Reichenberg A. Cognitive development prior to onset of psychosis. *Psychol Med*. 2017;48(3):392–403.
78. Yamasaki S, Usami S, Sasaki R, et al. The association between changes in depression/anxiety and trajectories of psychotic-like experiences over a year in adolescence. *Schizophr Res*. 2017;195:149–153.
79. Dickson H, Cullen AE, Jones R, et al. Trajectories of cognitive development during adolescence among youth at-risk for schizophrenia. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2018;59(11):1215–1224.
80. Karcher NR, Perino MT, Barch DM. An item response theory analysis of the prodromal questionnaire-brief child

- version: developing a screening form that informs understanding of self-reported psychotic-like experiences in childhood. *J Abnorm Psychol.* 2020;129(3):293–304.
81. Majnemer A, Rosenblatt B. Reliability of parental recall of developmental milestones. *Pediatr Neurol.* 1994;10(4):304–308.
82. Miller TJ, McGlashan TH, Rosen JL, *et al.* Prodromal assessment with the structured interview for prodromal syndromes and the scale of prodromal symptoms: predictive validity, interrater reliability, and training to reliability. *Schizophr Bull.* 2003;29(4):703–715.