## New perspectives in the genetic diagnosis of male infertility

## Rossella Cannarella, Rosita A. Condorelli, Sandro La Vignera, Aldo E. Calogero

Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Catania, Catania, Italy

aldo.calogero@unict.it

The current issue of the *Croatian Medical Journal* features two interesting articles on reproductive health. Sengun et al (1) present their findings of novel mutations in the gene coding for FK506 binding protein-like (*FKBPL*) associated with male infertility, while Bilić et al (2) discuss the benefits of ovarian tissue cryopreservation. In spite of tremendous advances in the field, various aspects of reproductive health, particularly infertility, still necessitate further study and development of novel diagnostic and therapeutic approaches.

Infertility, defined by the World Health Organization as the failure to achieve conception after 12-24 months of unprotected sexual intercourse (3), affects about one out of six couples of childbearing age in Western countries (4). Male factor infertility is identified in about half of the cases (5) and most of the times presents as spermatogenesis failure (SPGF). Spermatogenesis is a 74-day-long process involving up to 2000 genes. Among them, 600 to 900 are exclusively expressed by the male germ-line (6-8). Despite a comprehensive diagnostic workup, in the majority of cases the etiology of SPGF remains elusive. A monocentric study on 1737 infertile patients reported idiopathic oligozoospermia in ~ 75% of cases (9). Classic genetic screening can identify the cause of male infertility in only 5% of unselected patients and in 20% of patients with non-obstructive azoospermia (NOA) (10). In the remaining cases, the etiology of infertility is elusive.

In recent years, several mutations of genes involved in different steps of spermatogenesis, such as spermatogonia

proliferation or meiotic division of primary spermatocytes, have been reported capable of interfering with murine spermatogenesis (11). Interestingly, many of them have been validated in infertile patients, as shown in case reports or case series. Thus, the inclusion of a comprehensive gene panel in the diagnostic algorithm of male infertility could raise the diagnostic yields (11). The first study addressing this issue analyzed a panel of three genes: NR5A1, DMRT1, and TEX11, in a cohort of 80 patients with NOA. NR5A1 (9q33.3) encodes for a transcription factor involved in the regulation of genes playing a role in steroidogenesis. Its mutation has been associated with a wide spectrum of phenotypes, ranging from 46,XY partial and complete gonadal dysgenesis, to hypospadias, micropenis, anorchia, and, in otherwise healthy men, oligozoospermia or NOA. DMRT1 (9p24.3) encodes for a testis-specific transcription factor acting in testis differentiation, whose mutations have been reported in patients with NOA. Finally, TEX11 (Xq13.1) encodes for a meiosis-specific factor, involved in double-strand breaks DNA repair, which may play a role in NOA pathogenesis due to meiotic arrest (11). Out of 80 patients with NOA investigated for NR5A1, DMRT1, and TEX11 gene mutations, the authors reported pathogenic variants in four, thus raising the diagnostic yield by 25% (10). A subsequent study has developed a gene panel including 15 genes (Table 1) involved in germ-cell proliferation and meiotic division. Interestingly, pathogenic mutations of NR5A1 and TEX11 genes were reported in 3/25 patients, increasing the diagnostic rate by 12%. Noteworthy, 11 likely pathogenic variants meriting functional analysis or segregation studies were also observed.

These data highlight the importance of designing a comprehensive and accurate gene panel to be used in patients with otherwise unexplained SPGF. Furthermore, next-generation sequencing makes this analysis widely accessible from both an economic and a geographic point of view. An appropriate gene panel for SPGF could facilitate the identification of the genetic cause of infertility (when present), but in the future it may also represent a diagnostic test predicting sperm recovery after testicular sperm extraction (TESE). Accordingly, a study matching the results of testicular histology with those of genetic testing has been published and others are currently ongoing. Interestingly, mutations (eq, deletions, missense, stop-gain) of specific genes involved in meiosis (eg, M1AP, ADAD2, TERB1, SHOC1, MSH4, RAD21L1, TEX14, DMRT1, TEX11, SYCE1, MEIOB, MEI1, STAG3-a) have been reported in patients with meiotic arrest (13,14). If validated, these gene variations may be included in a preTESE prognostic gene panel, which may help to determine the chance of sperm recovery. Finally, another challenge in this field is to understand the implications of the transmission of these gene mutations to the offspring.

In conclusion, the evidence favoring the inclusion of SPGF monogenic mutation assessment in the diagnostic workup of male infertility is accumulating. Despite this, a comprehensive panel has not yet been validated, although some gene mutations are more frequently present than others (eg, *NR5A1* or *TEX11*), and others have recently been discovered. Since more than 2000 genes are involved in spermatogenesis, we are still far from a comprehensive view of the monogenic etiology of SPGF. However, in the near future, this evidence is likely to practically affect the diagnostic workup and decision-making algorithms of male infertility.

Gene	Inheritance	OMIM number	OMIM phenotype	Spermatogenic defect	Mutation detection frequency	HGNC gene number
NR5A1	AR	184757	SF8	Azoospermia Oligozoospermia	2% (7/315)	Nuclear receptor subfamily 5, group A, member 1
SYCP3	AD	604759	SF4	Azoospermia Oligozoospermia	10.5% (2/19)	Synaptonemal complex protein 3
ZMYND15	AR	614312	SF14	Azoospermia Oligozoospermia	1 consanguineous family	Zinc finger, MYND-type contain- ing 15
TAF4B	AR	601689	SF13	Azoospermia Oligozoospermia	1 consanguineous family	TAF4b RNA polymerase II, TATA box binding protein (TBP)-associ- ated factor
TEX11	XLR	300311	SF, X-linked, 2	Azoospermia	1%-2.4% (7/289) azoo- spermia; 15% azoospermia with meiotic arrest	Testis expressed 11 a
NANOS1	AD	608226	SF12	Azoospermia Oligozoospermia OAT	2.6% (5/195)	Nanos homologue 1 (Drosophila)
PLK4	AD	605031	-	Azoospermia (Sertoli cell-only syndrome)	1.2% (1/81)	Polo like kinase 4
MEIOB	AR	617670	SF22	NOA	1 consanguineous family	Meiosis specific with OB domains
SYCE1	AR	611486	SF15	NOA	1 consanguineous family	Synaptonemal complex central element protein 1
USP9Y	YL	400005	SF, Y-linked, 2	NOA	3 probands (4-db DEL; DEL incl. entire gene)	Ubiquitin specific peptidase 9, Y-linked
SOHLH1	-	610224	-	NOA	2% (2/100)	Spermatogenesis and oogenesis specific basic helix-loop-helix 1
RHOXF2	-	300447	-	Severe oligozoospermia	<1% (1/250)	Rhox homeobox family member 2
TEX15	AR	605795	-	Azoospermia Oligozoospermia	2 family; 1 proband	Testis expressed 15, meiosis and synapsis associated
HSF2	AD	140581	-	Azoospermia	<1%(1/766)	Heat shock transcription factor 2
KLHL10	AD	608778	SF11	OAT	1.3% (7/556)	Kelch-like family member 10

TABLE 1. Genes whose mutations cause spermatogenic failure characterized by a decreased sperm number (12)\*

\*Abbreviations: OMIM – Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man; HGNC – Hugo Gene Nomenclature Committee; AD – autosomal dominant; AR – autosomal recessive; NOA – non-obstructive azoospermia; OAT – oligo-astheno-teratozoospermia; SF – spermatogenic failure.

## References

- Sengun DA, Tanoglu EG, Ulucan H. A novel mutation in FK506 binding protein-like (FKBPL) causes male infertility. Croat Med J. 2021;62:227-32.
- 2 Bilić K, Vilaj M, Golubić-Ćepulić B, Ježek D. Ovarian tissue bank. Croat Med J. 2021;62:297-9.
- 3 World Health Organization. Report of the meeting on the prevention of infertility at the primary health care levels. Geneva: WHO; 1983).
- Agarwal A, Mulgund A, Hamada A, Chyatte MR. A unique view on male infertility around the globe. Reprod Biol Endocrinol. 2015;13:37. Medline:25928197 doi:10.1186/s12958-015-0032-1
- 5 Valenti D, La Vignera S, Condorelli RA, Rago R, Barone N, Vicari E, et al. Follicle-stimulating hormone treatment in normogonadotropic infertile men. Nat Rev Urol. 2013;10:55-62. Medline:23229508 doi:10.1038/nrurol.2012.234
- 6 Schultz N, Hamra FK, Garbers DL. A multitude of genes expressed solely in meiotic or postmeiotic spermatogenic cells offers a myriad of contraceptive targets. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003;100:12201-6. Medline:14526100 doi:10.1073/ pnas.1635054100
- Matzuk MM, Lamb DJ. The biology of infertility: research advances and clinical challenges. Nat Med. 2008;14:1197-213.
  Medline:18989307 doi:10.1038/nm.f.1895
- Yan W. Male infertility caused by spermiogenic defects: lessons from gene knockouts. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 2009;306:24-32.
  Medline:19481682 doi:10.1016/j.mce.2009.03.003

- 9 Punab M, Poolamets O, Paju P, Vihljajev V, Pomm K, Ladva R, et al. Causes of male infertility: a 9-year prospective monocentre study on 1737 patients with reduced total sperm counts. Hum Reprod. 2017;32:18-31. Medline:27864361
- 10 Tüttelmann F, Ruckert C, Röpke A. Disorders of spermatogenesis: Perspectives for novel genetic diagnostics after 20 years of unchanged routine. Med Genetik. 2018;30:12-20. Medline:29527098 doi:10.1007/s11825-018-0181-7
- 11 Cannarella R, Condorelli RA, Duca Y, La Vignera S, Calogero AE. New insights into the genetics of spermatogenic failure: a review of the literature. Hum Genet. 2019;138:125-40. Medline:30656449 doi:10.1007/s00439-019-01974-1
- 12 Cannarella R, Condorelli RA, Paolacci S, Barbagallo F, Guerri G, Bertelli M, et al. Next-generation sequencing: toward an increase in the diagnostic yield in patients with apparently idiopathic spermatogenic failure. Asian J Androl. 2021;23:24-9. Medline:32655042 doi:10.4103/aja.aja\_25\_20
- 13 Wyrwoll MJ, Temel ŞG, Nagirnaja L, Oud MS, Lopes AM, van der Heijden GW, et al. Bi-allelic mutations in M1AP are a frequent cause of meiotic arrest and severely impaired spermatogenesis leading to male infertility. Am J Hum Genet. 2020;107:342-51. Medline:32673564 doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2020.06.010
- 14 Krausz C, Riera-Escamilla A, Moreno-Mendoza D, Holleman K, Cioppi F, Algaba F, et al. Genetic dissection of spermatogenic arrest through exome analysis: clinical implications for the management of azoospermic men. Genet Med. 2020;22:1956-66. Medline:32741963 doi:10.1038/s41436-020-0907-1