Breast Cancer Res Treat (2008) 110:161–167 DOI 10.1007/s10549-007-9689-2

EPIDEMIOLOGY

BRCA1/BRCA2 rearrangements and **CHEK2** common mutations are infrequent in Italian male breast cancer cases

Mario Falchetti · Ramona Lupi · Piera Rizzolo · Ketty Ceccarelli · Ines Zanna · Valentina Calò · Stefania Tommasi · Giovanna Masala · Angelo Paradiso · Alberto Gulino · Giuseppe Giannini · Antonio Russo · Domenico Palli · Laura Ottini

Received: 6 July 2007/Accepted: 10 July 2007/Published online: 28 July 2007 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2007

Abstract Male breast cancer (MBC) is a rare and poorly known disease. Germ-line mutations of BRCA2 and, to lesser extent, BRCA1 genes are the highest risk factors associated with MBC. Interestingly, BRCA2 germ-line rearrangements have been described in high-risk breast/ ovarian cancer families which included at least one MBC case. Germ-line mutations of CHEK2 gene have been also implicated in inherited MBC predisposition. The CHEK2 1100delC mutation has been shown to increase the risk of breast cancer in men lacking BRCA1/BRCA2 mutations. Intriguingly, two other CHEK2 mutations (IVS2+1G>A and I157T) and a CHEK2 large genomic deletion (del9-10) have been associated with an elevated risk for prostate cancer. Here, we investigated the contribution of BRCA1, BRCA2 and CHEK2 alterations to MBC predisposition in Italy by analysing a large series of MBC cases, unselected

Mario Falchetti and Ramona Lupi contributed equally to this work.

M. Falchetti · R. Lupi · P. Rizzolo · K. Ceccarelli · A. Gulino ·

G. Giannini · L. Ottini (🖂)

Department of Experimental Medicine, University of Rome "La Sapienza", Viale Regina Elena, 324, Rome, 00161, Italy e-mail: laura.ottini@uniroma1.it

I. Zanna · G. Masala · D. Palli Molecular and Nutritional Epidemiology Unit, CSPO-Scientific Institute of Tuscany, Florence, 50139, Italy

V. Calò · A. Russo

Department of Surgical and Oncological Sciences, Section of Medical Oncology, Regional Reference Center for the Biomolecular Characterization and Genetic Screening of Hereditary Tumors, University of Palermo, Palermo, 90127, Italy

S. Tommasi · A. Paradiso

Clinical Experimental Oncology Laboratory, National Cancer Institute "Giovanni Paolo II", Bari, 70126, Italy for breast cancer family history and all negative for *BRCA1/BRCA2* germ-line mutations. A total of 102 unrelated Italian MBC cases were screened for deletions/ duplications of *BRCA1*, *BRCA2* and *CHEK2* by multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification. No *BRCA1*, *BRCA2* and *CHEK2* genomic rearrangements, including the *CHEK2* del9-10, were found in the series analysed. Furthermore, none of the MBC cases and 263 male population controls, also included in this study, carried the *CHEK2* 1100delC, IVS2+1G>A and I157T common mutations. Overall, our data suggest that screening of *BRCA1/2* rearrangements is not advantageous in MBC cases not belonging to high-risk breast cancer families and that common *CHEK2* mutations play an irrelevant role in MBC predisposition in Italy.

Keywords Male breast cancer \cdot *BRCA1* \cdot *BRCA2* \cdot *CHEK2* \cdot Germ-line mutations \cdot Large genomic rearrangements \cdot MLPA

Introduction

Male breast cancer (MBC) is a rare and still poorly known disease compared to breast cancer (BC) in females [1]. In Italy, it accounts for 0.2% of all cancers in males and incidence rates, standardised on European population, are approximately 1 new case × 100,000 male residents per year [2]. A positive BC family history (FH) is associated with increased MBC relative risk and about 20% of MBC patients have a first-degree relative with the disease [3]. MBC predisposition can result from germ-line mutations in *BRCA2* (OMIM #6600185) and, at lower extent, in *BRCA1* (OMIM #113705) genes. The frequency of *BRCA1/BRCA2*

mutations ranges from 4 to 40% for *BRCA2* and up to 4% for *BRCA1*, being higher in the presence of founder effects [3–5]. Although *BRCA1/2* mutations are more frequent among MBC cases with a positive BC-FH, they have also been reported among FH-negative MBC patients [4, 6], thus indicating that mutation screening is beneficial also among MBC cases with no FH.

In addition to point mutations, BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes are also affected by large genomic rearrangements. In the last few years, BRCA1/BRCA2 germ-line rearrangements have been extensively studied in high-risk breast/ovarian cancer families [7, reviewed in 8, 9-19]. In this familial setting the frequency of BRCA1 rearrangements results higher compared to that observed for BRCA2 and the majority of BRCA2 rearrangements are identified in high-risk families that included at least one MBC case [10, 12, 14, 19]. Thus, as for the association between MBC and BRCA2 germ-line mutations, the presence of a male affected by BC seems to be the strongest predictor for the occurrence of BRCA2 rearrangements in high-risk families. Germ-line BRCA2 rearrangements were observed in 7 to 13% of MBC families from different populations, including French, Australian, Spanish and Portuguese [10, 14, 19, 20]. However, no BRCA1/BRCA2 rearrangements were found in MBC families of German origin [16] and no BRCA2 rearrangements were identified among Finnish MBC cases unselected for BC-FH [21]. Difference in genetic background and in case selection criteria, and the relatively small number of MBC cases, thus far analysed, could explain discrepancies in BRCA1/2 large genomic rearrangements detection rate observed in various studies. Considering that the overall frequency of BRCA2 rearrangements is rare, the relevance of MBC is an important issue.

There is some evidence implicating the low-penetrance BC susceptibility gene CHEK2 (OMIM #604373) in inherited MBC predisposition. In particular, the CHEK2 1100delC mutation has been shown to confer approximately a 10-fold increase of BC risk in men lacking BRCA1/BRCA2 mutations and it was estimated to account for 9% of MBC cases [22]. Although this mutation has been strongly associated with the increased MBC risk in high-risk BC families this association is not so evident in series of MBC cases unselected for FH [23-25]. Interestingly, the contribution of the CHEK2 1100delC mutation to BC predisposition varies by ethnic group and from country to country. A decreased frequency of the 1100delC allele in North to South orientation has been observed in Europe [26, 27]. In Italy, this variant has been shown to play an irrelevant role for BC risk in female [28], however, the role of the CHEK2 1100delC has not been investigated in Italian MBC. Two other common CHEK2 mutations, the IVS2+1G>A and the I157T, have been associated with an elevated risk for BC and prostate cancer [29–32]. The contribution of these *CHEK2* mutations to BC susceptibility is still debated in females and no data are available in males [33, 34]. Furthermore, a *CHEK2* large genomic deletion (del9-10), leading to loss of exons 9 and 10, has been recently identified as a founder mutation in Czech, Slovak and Polish populations and has been associated with an increased risk of BC and prostate cancer [12, 35, 36]. No data are currently available to what extent this deletion is responsible for cancer burden in other populations.

In the present study, we evaluated the contribution of BRCA1, BRCA2 and CHEK2 large genomic rearrangements in inheritance of MBC predisposition in Italy by assessing their prevalence in a large series of MBC cases unselected for BC-FH and all negative for BRCA1 and BRCA2 point mutations. A total of 102 unrelated Italian MBC cases were included in this study and screened by Multiplex Ligation-dependent Probe Amplification (MLPA). To further investigate the role of CHEK2 in MBC susceptibility, we also analysed the prevalence of the three common CHEK2 mutations, the 1100delC, IVS2+1G>A and I157T, in all MBC cases and in 263 healthy adult male population controls included in this study.

Patients and methods

A total of 102 unrelated Italian MBC cases, all BRCA1/ BRCA2 mutation negative, were included in this study irrespectively of breast/ovarian cancer FH. MBC cases were identified at four centres in Italy: the CSPO-Scientific Institute of Tuscany (Florence), the Cancer Genetic Counselling Center, Department of Experimental Medicine of the University "La Sapienza" (Rome), the Regional Reference Centre for the Biomolecular Characterization and Genetic Screening of Hereditary Tumors, University of Palermo (Palermo) and the Clinical Experimental Oncology Laboratory, National Cancer Institute (Bari). All MBC patients signed informed consent form with description of the study protocol, including the information about the mutational analysis of the BRCA1/BRCA2 genes. Overall, our conventional screening approaches included the analysis of the full coding sequence and intron/exon boundaries of both BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes by combining PTT, SSCP and direct sequencing [6, 18, 37]. For each study participant we obtained information on his FH for cancer at any sites, including all first- and second-degree relatives. Procedures to maintain confidentiality for all the information collected were strictly applied. A series of 263 healthy adults males were also included in this study as representative of control population. All participants signed an informed consent form and provided a blood sample. The study was approved by local ethical committees. Genomic DNA of MBC patients and population controls was extracted from peripheral blood lymphocytes by means of standard phenol-chloroform extraction.

MLPA analysis

BRCA1. BRCA2 and CHEK2 deletions/duplications were investigated by Multiplex Ligation-dependent Probe Amplification (MLPA) using the SALSA MLPA KIT P002 BRCA1, which includes probes for each of the 24 exons of BRCA1, and the SALSA MLPA KIT P045 BRCA2/ CHEK2, which includes probes for BRCA2 exons 1-4, 7-22, 24, 25, 27 and probes for promoter region located about 2kb before CHEK2 exon 1 and for CHEK2 exon 9 (MCR Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). MLPA probes were hybridised to target sequences, ligated and amplified in a PCR reaction using the GeneAmp PCR System 9700 (Applied Biosystems, Warrington, UK) thermal cycler system, as previously described [18]. Briefly, denatured genomic DNA was hybridised overnight with the MLPA probes, PCR amplification of the ligation products was carried out with FAM-labelled primers using the alternative PCR protocol 2. Each PCR product, diluted in GeneScan-Rox 500 size standards and deionized formamide, was run on an ABI 3100 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystem, Warrington, UK). Fragment analysis took advantage of the Genescan 3.1 software (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). For the statistical analysis we transferred the size and the peak areas of each sample to an Excel file and the peak areas of expected MLPA products were evaluated by comparison with a normal control and by cumulative comparison of all samples within the same experiment. DNA samples showing probes with a dosage value less than 0.7 or greater than 1.2 were tested again. For quality control, samples with known BRCA1/BRCA2 rearrangements, kindly provided by Dr. Marco Montagna, were included in every MLPA reaction. Putative BRCA1/2 rearrangements were analysed by performing reverse transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR) and Real-Time quantitative PCR (qPCR) approaches. RT-PCR analysis of the BRCA1/ BRCA2 transcript was carried out using Superscript II (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) reverse transcriptase with gene-specific primers for the cDNA. Total RNA extractions were carried out by using Trizol reagent from peripheral blood leukocytes, as recommended by the manufacturer (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). SYBR green Real-Time qPCR was performed on a 7900 Real Time thermocycler (Applied Biosystem, Warrington, UK), as previously described [18]. Briefly, dilutions of a control DNA were used to generate calibration curves for each exon and, for each sample, the values obtained for each BRCA1/BRCA2 exon investigated were normalized on reference exon values. To exclude single base changes impairing the ligation reaction, the MLPA probe ligation sites were analysed by direct sequencing. Sequencing reactions were performed using an ABI PRISM DyeDeoxy Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit and ABI 3100 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Warrington, UK).

CHEK2 mutations analysis

The presence of the three common CHEK2 mutations, the 1100delC, IVS2+1G>A and I157T, was investigated in all MBC cases and controls. MBC cases were screened for the CHEK2 1100delC by using the SALSA MLPA KIT P045 BRCA2/CHEK2 which includes a specific probe for CHEK2 exon 10 resulting in a 490 bp amplification product in the presence of this mutation. Direct sequencing of CHEK2 exon 10 was performed to screen controls and to verify the MLPA results in a half of the MBCs (56 cases) analysed. Because of the presence of several CHEK2related pseudogenes in the human genome, two primers sets were specifically designed for nested-PCR. The CHEK2 IVS2+1G>A and I157T (470 T>C) mutations, located in intron 2 and exon 3, respectively, were analysed by PCR-RFLP. A genomic region covering both CHEK2 intron 2 and exon 3, was amplified by PCR using mutagenic primers to allow for a subsequent restriction enzyme screening. The 194 bp amplification product is cleaved by PstI (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA) in the presence of the I157T mutation, whereas for the IVS2+1G>A the wild-type product is cleaved by ScrFI (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA). Primers sequences and amplification conditions are available upon request.

Results

In order to evaluate the prevalence and the spectrum of BRCA1 and BRCA2 genomic rearrangements in MBC, we screened a series of 102 unrelated Italian MBC cases with no detectable BRCA1/BRCA2 point mutations, by MLPA. All MBC patients were included irrespectively of breast/ ovarian cancer FH. Among all cases, a positive FH of breast-ovarian cancer in at least one first-degree relative was reported in 25 of the 102 (24.5%) MBC patients (Table 1). In particular, 10 (10/102, 9.8%) MBC cases belonged to high-risk breast-ovarian cancer families with two or more BC cases or additional high-risk features such as early age at BC diagnosis (less than 40 years) or bilateral BC (Table 1). Notably, one case belonged to MBC family in which another MBC case was diagnosed at 56 years of age (Table 1). Overall, age at BC diagnosis ranged between 24 and 90 years (median, 67 years). All 102 MBC

Breast Cancer Res Treat (2008) 110:161-167

Table 1Distribution of the 102unselected MBC cases analysedaccording to breast/ovariancancer family history in first-degree relatives

	No. of subjects (%)
Total MBC patients	102
MBC cases with negative FH	77 (75.5%)
MBC cases with positive FH	25 (24.5%)
MBC cases with high-risk FH	
Breast/Ovarian Cancer in 2 or more relatives	3
Early onset BC (<40 years)	4
Bilateral BC	2
MBC	1
Total	10 (9.8%)

cases analysed resulted negative for *BRCA1* rearrangements. One sample showed an abnormal *BRCA2* MLPA profile. Based on the reduced probes dosage (a dosage quotient less than 0.6) a *BRCA2* exon 10 deletion could be suspected (Fig. 1a). This result was consistently replicated in three independent experiments. To confirm this data by using different techniques, we performed a qPCR assay that we recently developed and successfully applied for quantifying specific *BRCA1* exons dosage [18]. Here, the dosage of *BRCA2* exon 10 region, normalized on reference *BRCA2* exon 25 values, was performed by means of qPCR and no exon 10 loss was detected (data not shown). To exclude the presence of sequence variants that could affect the MLPA reaction, direct sequencing of a 139 bp PCR fragment, encompassing the ligation site (nt 1376) of the MLPA probe for *BRCA2* exon 10, was performed. An heterozygous A>T substitution at nt 1374, was identified in the genomic DNA of the MBC case showing the altered MLPA profile. This *BRCA2* variant, 1374 A>T (K382N), is not reported in the BIC database (http://research.nh-gri.nih.gov/bic). Since it is located just 1 bp upstream the ligation site, it may potentially affect the ligation reaction at the exon 10 probe binding site and cause the altered MLPA profile (Fig. 1b). Overall, no *BRCA2* rearrangements were found in the 102 MBC cases analysed. In this study, we used the SALSA MLPA KIT P045 BRCA2/

Fig. 1 (a) Electropherograms of BRCA2 MLPA analysis. Comparison between a MBC sample showing BRCA2 exon 10 signal reduction (top) and a control sample (bottom). Arrows indicate the BRCA2 exon 10 probe position. (b) Graphic explicative model of BRCA2 exon 10 signal reduction. The presence of the heterozygous germ-line BRCA2 1374 A>T substitution. occurring near to the exon 10 probe binding site (underlined), creates a mismatch (bold) and affects the ligation reaction, thus causing a reduction of BRCA2 exon10 probe signal



CHEK2 (MCR Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). Since this kit contains a control probe specific for the CHEK2 1100delC mutation, it allows the screening of this mutation simultaneously in the same MLPA experiments. Thus, we examined the presence of the CHEK2 1100delC mutation in all 102 MBC cases analysed by MLPA. Moreover, 263 healthy adult male population controls were screened for this mutation by direct sequencing. None of the MBC cases and controls carried the CHEK2 1100delC mutation. To further analyse the role of CHEK2 alterations in MBC predisposition, we extended our screening to include two other common CHEK2 mutations, the IVS2+1G>A and the I157T (470 T>C). All 102 MBC cases and 263 controls were genotyped for the presence of these two mutations by PCR-RFLP. None of the MBC cases or controls carried the CHEK2 IVS2+1G>A and I157T. Finally, taking advantage of using the SALSA MLPA KIT P045 BRCA2/CHEK2 we could also exclude the presence of CHEK2 rearrangements, including the CHEK2 del9-10, in all 102 MBC cases tested by MLPA.

Discussion

In this paper, we report the results of the first multi-centre study performed to investigate the prevalence and the spectrum of BRCA1 and BRCA2 genomic rearrangements in Italian MBC cases. A large series of MBC patients, unselected for breast/ovarian cancer FH and with no detectable BRCA1/BRCA2 germ-line point mutations, was analysed by MLPA. No BRCA1 and BRCA2 genomic rearrangements were detected in the 102 MBC cases analysed. Currently BRCA1/BRCA2 germ-line rearrangements are investigated in high-risk breast/ovarian cancer families and a higher frequency of BRCA1, compared to BRCA2, rearrangements is reported in this familial setting [7-18]. Interestingly, BRCA2 rearrangements seem to be clustered to high-risk families with at least one MBC case [14, 19, 20], thus indicating the relevance of MBC to select families for BRCA genes rearrangements analysis. With regard to MBC, the majority of the studies have analysed relatively small number of MBC cases and have focused on the screening of BRCA2 rearrangements in familial MBC [10, 14, 19–21]. It is noteworthy that also a BRCA1 germline rearrangement was found in a high-risk BC family that included a case of MBC [12]. Considering that BRCA1/2 germ-line mutations can be also identified in MBC with no BC-FH [4, 6], in the present study, we wanted to assess the relevance of BRCA1 and BRCA2 rearrangements in unselected MBCs by analysing a large series of MBC patients included irrespectively of their breast/ovarian cancer FH. Overall, in our series about 25% of MBC patients reported a positive breast/ovarian cancer FH in at least one firstdegree relative and about 10% belonged to high-risk breast-ovarian cancer families. Notably, the fraction of MBC cases with BC-FH in our series was consistent with the overall percentage of FH-positive MBCs reported in the general population [3], thus indicating that our series is representative of a standard MBC population. Taking into account that *BRCA2* rearrangements were found in about 10% of high-risk MBC families [10, 14, 19, 20] and that our series included 10 MBC cases belonging to high-risk families we could have expected to find no more than one case with genomic rearrangements. Overall, our data indicate that *BRCA1/2* rearrangements are irrelevant in the settings of a standard MBC population and suggest that the screening for *BRCA1/2* rearrangements is advantageous only in the context of high-risk MBC families.

Here, we performed MLPA to search for BRCA1/2 genomic rearrangements. MLPA is a rapid, high sensitive and cost-efficient technique useful to screen large genomic rearrangements [reviewed in 8]. However, MLPA shows some technical limitations since probes target only short sequences in each exon and rearrangements involving other portions could be lost. In our experience false-positive alterations involving single exons were quite common and were resolved by repeated testing or by adopting a multistep approach implying different PCR-based techniques. In the MBC series screened in this study, a putative BRCA2 exon 10 deletion was shown to be due to the presence of a novel BRCA2 sequence variant (BRCA2 1374A>T) located at the binding sites for the exon 10 probe. A MLPA profile suggestive of a deletion of the BRCA2 exon 9 was also observed in a MBC carrier of the BRCA2 1003delA germline mutation [6] because of the occurrence of this mutation at the ligation site of the MLPA probe for the BRCA2 exon 9. Thus caution in interpreting data and multiple approaches in validating positive testing results are needed in BRCA1/2 MLPA screening.

We also investigated the contribution of CHEK2 mutations on inherited MBC predisposition in Italy by screening MBC cases and population controls for the presence of the three common CHEK2 mutations (1100delC, IVS2+1G>A and I157T). Since the SALSA MLPA KIT P045 BRCA2/ CHEK2, used in this study, contains a control probe specific for the CHEK2 1100delC mutation, it allowed us to screen for this mutation all MBC cases in the context of BRCA2 MLPA analysis. Very recently, the simultaneous screening for the CHEK2 1100delC mutation and BRCA1/2 rearrangements by MLPA has been proposed as a useful strategy in populations in which the CHEK2 mutation shows a very low frequency [38]. In our study, none of the 102 MBC cases, analysed by MLPA, and none of the 263 healthy adult male population controls, screened by direct sequencing, carried the CHEK2 1100delC mutation, thus suggesting that this mutation is very infrequent in the Italian male population. Indeed, a decreased frequency of the 1100delC allele in North to South orientation has been observed in Europe [26, 27]. In Italy, this variant has been reported to play an irrelevant role for BC risk in females [28]. Here, we showed that the CHEK2 1100delC variant does not play a relevant role also for BC risk in males. Although this mutation has been strongly associated with the increased MBC risk in high-risk BC families [22] this association is not so evident in population-based MBC series [23-25]. Our data are concordant to results obtained in other unselected MBC series, including those from Finland, USA, UK and Israel, in which it was reported that the CHEK2 1100delC is unlikely to account for a significant proportion of MBC cases [23-25]. To further investigate the role of CHEK2 in inherited MBC predisposition, we genotyped all 102 MBC cases and 263 controls for two other common CHEK2 mutations, the IVS2+1G>A and the I157T. None of the MBC cases and controls carried these mutations. The CHEK2 IVS2+1G>A and I157T were previously associated with an elevated risk for female BC and prostate cancer [29–32], however, this association is still debated [33, 34]. Our results suggest that the CHEK2 IVS2+1G>A and I157T play an irrelevant role in MBC in Italy. Taking advantage of using the SALSA MLPA KIT P045 BRCA2/CHEK2, which contains a probe specific for CHEK2 exon 9, we could also exclude the presence of the CHEK2 del9-10 in all MBC cases screened by MLPA. This large CHEK2 deletion was recently identified as founder mutation in Czech, Slovak and Polish populations and associated with an increased risk of female BC and prostate cancer [12, 35, 36]. Here, we showed that the CHEK2 del9-10 does not play a role in MBC predisposition in Italy. It will be of interest to further investigate whether CHEK2 mutations can be associated with prostate cancer in Italian male population and, on the other hand, to verify whether they can be associated with MBC in other populations. Overall, our data suggest that screening of large BRCA1/2 rearrangements is not likely to be recommended in MBC cases not belonging to high-risk families as well as screening of common CHEK2 mutations is not advantageous in Italian MBC cases.

Acknowledgement The study was supported by a grant from Associazione Italiana per la Ricerca sul Cancro (AIRC) to Laura Ottini.

References

- Weiss JR, Moysich KB, Swede H (2005) Epidemiology of male breast cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 14:20–26
- Zanetti R, Gafà L, Pannelli F, Conti E, Rosso S (eds) (2001) Il cancro in Italia 3. I dati dei Registri Tumori. Volume terzo: 1993– 1998. Il Pensiero Scientifico Editore. Roma
- Fentiman IS, Fourquet A, Hortobagyi GN (2006) Male breast cancer. Lancet 367(9510):595–604

- 4. Giordano SH (2005) A review of the diagnosis and management of male breast cancer. Oncologist 10(7):471–479
- Ford D, Easton DF, Stratton M, Narod S, Goldgar D, Devilee P, Bishop DT, Weber B, Lenoir G, Chang-Claude J et al (1998) Genetic heterogeneity and penetrance analysis of the BRCA1 and BRCA2 Genes in breast cancer families. Am J Hum Genet 62:676–689
- Ottini L, Masala G, D'Amico C, Mancini B, Saieva C, Aceto G, Gestri D, Vezzosi V, Falchetti M, De Marco M et al (2003) *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* mutation status and tumor characteristics in male breast cancer: a population-based study in Italy. Cancer Res 63(2):342–347
- Hartmann C, John AL, Klaes R, Hofmann W, Bielen R, Koehler R et al (2004) Large BRCA1 gene deletions are found in 3% of German high-risk breast cancer families. Hum Mutat 24:534
- 8. Mazoyer S (2005) Genomic rearrangements in the BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes. Hum Mutat 25:415–422
- Hendrickson BC, Judkins T, Ward BD, Eliason K, Deffenbaugh AE, Burbidge LA et al (2005) Prevalence of five previously reported and recurrent BRCA1 genetic rearrangement mutations in 20,000 patients from hereditary breast/ovarian cancer families. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 43:309–313
- Woodward AM, Davis TA, Silva AG, Kirk JA, Leary JA (2005) kConFab Investigators. Large genomic rearrangements of both BRCA2 and BRCA1 are a feature of the inherited breast/ovarian cancer phenotype in selected families. J Med Genet 42:e31
- Casilli F, Tournier I, Sinilnikova OM, Coulet F, Soubrier F, Houdayer C, Hardouin A, Berthet P, Sobol H, Bourdon V et al (2006) The contribution of germline rearrangements to the spectrum of BRCA2 mutations. J Med Genet 43(9):e49
- Walsh T, Casadei S, Coats KH, Swisher E, Stray SM, Higgins J, Roach KC, Mandell J, Lee MK, Ciernikova S et al (2006) Spectrum of mutations in BRCA1, BRCA2, CHEK2, and TP53 in families at high risk of breast cancer. JAMA 295:1379–1388
- 13. Agata S, Viel A, Della Puppa L, Cortesi L, Fersini G, Callegaro M, Dalla Palma M, Dolcetti R, Federico M, Venuta S et al (2006) Prevalence of BRCA1 genomic rearrangements in a large series of Italian breast and breast/ovarian cancer families without detectable BRCA1 and BRCA2 point mutations. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 45(9):791–797
- 14. Gutierrez-Enriquez S, de La Hoya M, Martinez-Bouzas C, de Abajo AS, Cajal TR, Llort G, Blanco I, Beristain E, Diaz-Rubio E, Alonso C et al (2006) Screening for large rearrangements of the BRCA2 gene in Spanish families with breast/ovarian cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat 103(1):103–107
- Moisen AM, Fortin J, Dumont M, Samson C, Bessette P, Chiquette J, Laframboise R, Lepine J, Lesperance B, Pichette R et al (2006) No evidence of BRCA1/2 genomic rearrangements in high-risk French-Canadian breast/ovarian cancer families. Genet Test 10:104–115
- 16. Preisler-Adams S, Schonbuchner I, Fiebig B, Welling B, Dworniczak B, Weber BH (2006) Gross rearrangements in BRCA1 but not BRCA2 play a notable role in predisposition to breast and ovarian cancer in high-risk families of German origin. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 168:44–49
- Thomassen M, Gerdes AM, Cruger D, Jensen PK, Kruse TA (2006) Low frequency of large genomic rearrangements of BRCA1 and BRCA2 in western Denmark. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 168(2):168–171
- 18. Buffone A, Capalbo C, Ricevuto E, Sidoni T, Ottini L, Falchetti M, Cortesi E, Marchetti P, Scambia G, Tomao S et al (2007) Prevalence of BRCA1 and BRCA2 genomic rearrangements in a cohort of consecutive Italian breast and/or ovarian cancer families. Breast Cancer Res Treat Feb 28 [Epub ahead of print]
- Machado PM, Brandao RD, Cavaco BM, Eugenio J, Bento S, Nave M, Rodrigues P, Fernandes A, Vaz F (2007) Screening for a

BRCA2 rearrangement in high-risk breast/ovarian cancer families: evidence for a founder effect and analysis of the associated phenotypes. J Clin Oncol 25(15):2027–2034

- Tournier I, Paillerets BB, Sobol H, Stoppa-Lyonnet D, Lidereau R, Barrois M, Mazoyer S, Coulet F, Hardouin A, Chompret A et al (2004) Significant contribution of germline BRCA2 rearrangements in male breast cancer families. Cancer Res 64:8143– 8147
- Karhu R, Laurila E, Kallioniemi A, Syrjakoski K (2006) Large genomic BRCA2 rearrangements and male breast cancer. Cancer Detect Prev 30(6):530–534
- 22. Meijers-Heijboer H, van den Ouweland A, Klijn J, Wasielewski M, de Snoo A, Oldenburg R, Hollestelle A, Houben M, Crepin E, van Veghel-Plandsoen M, Elstrodt F, van Duijn C, Bartels C, Meijers C, Schutte M, McGuffog L, Thompson D, Easton D, Sodha N, Seal S, Barfoot R, Mangion J, Chang-Claude J, Eccles D, Eeles R, Evans DG, Houlston R, Murday V, Narod S, Peretz T, Peto J, Phelan C, Zhang HX, Szabo C, Devilee P, Goldgar D, Futreal PA, Nathanson KL, Weber B, Rahman N, Stratton MR; CHEK2-Breast Cancer Consortium (2002) Low-penetrance susceptibility to breast cancer due to CHEK2(*)1100delC in non-carriers of BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations. Nat Genet 31(1):55–59
- Neuhausen S, Dunning A, Steele L, Yakumo K, Hoffman M, Szabo C et al (2004) Role of CHEK2*1100delC in unselected series of non-BRCA1/2 male breast cancers. Int J Cancer 108:477–478
- 24. Ohayon T, Gal I, Baruch RG, Szabo C, Friedman E (2004) CHEK2*1100-delC and male breast cancer risk in Israel. Int J Cancer 108:479–480
- Syrjäkoski K, Kuukasjärvi T, Auvinen A, Kallioniemi OP (2004) CHEK2 1100delC is not a risk factor for male breast cancer population. Int J Cancer 108(3):475–476
- Martinez-Bouzas C, Beristain E, Guerra I, Gorostiaga J, Mendizabal JL, De-Pablo JL, Garcia-Alegria E, Sanz-Parra A, Tejada MI (2007) CHEK2 1100delC is present in familial breast cancer cases of the Basque Country. Breast Cancer Res Treat 103(1):111–113
- Narod SA, Lynch HT (2007) CHEK2 mutation and hereditary breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 25(1):6–7
- 28. Caligo MA, Agata S, Aceto G, Crucianelli R, Manoukian S, Peissel B, Scaini MC, Sensi E, Veschi S, Cama A, Radice P, Viel A, D'Andrea E, Montagna M (2004) The CHEK2 c.1100delC mutation plays an irrelevant role in breast cancer predisposition in Italy. Hum Mutat 24(1):100–101
- 29. Kilpivaara O, Vahteristo P, Falck J, Syrjakoski K, Eerola H, Easton D, Bartkova J, Lukas J, Heikkila P, Aittomaki K, Holli K, Blomqvist C, Kallioniemi OP, Bartek J, Nevanlinna H (2004) CHEK2 variant I157T may be associated with increased breast cancer risk. Int J Cancer 111:543–547
- Bogdanova N, Enssen-Dubrowinskaja N, Feshchenko S, Lazjuk GI, Rogov YI, Dammann O, Bremer M, Karstens JH, Sohn C,

Dork T (2005) Association of two mutations in the CHEK2 gene with breast cancer. Int J Cancer 116:263–266

- 31. Dong X, Wang L, Taniguchi K, Wang X, Cunningham JM, McDonnell SK, Qian C, Marks AF, Slager SL, Peterson BJ, Smith DI, Cheville JC, Blute ML, Jacobsen SJ, Schaid DJ, Tindall DJ, Thibodeau SN, Liu W (2003) Mutations in CHEK2 associated with prostate cancer risk. Am J Hum Genet 72:270– 280
- Seppala EH, Ikonen T, Mononen N, Autio V, Rokman A, Matikainen MP, Tammela TL, Schleutker J (2003) CHEK2 variants associate with hereditary prostate cancer. Br J Cancer 89:1966– 1970
- 33. Schutte M, Seal S, Barfoot R, Meijers-Heijboer H, Wasielewski M, Evans DG, Eccles D, Meijers C, Lohman F, Klijn J, van den Ouweland A, Futreal PA, Nathanson KL, Weber BL, Easton DF, Stratton MR, Rahman N. Breast Cancer Linkage Consortium (2003) Variants in CHEK2 other than 1100delC do not make a major contribution to breast cancer susceptibility. Am J Hum Genet 72:1023–1028
- 34. Baynes C, Healey CS, Pooley KA, Scollen S, Luben RN, Thompson DJ, Pharoah PD, Easton DF, Ponder BA, Dunning AM; SEARCH breast cancer study (2007) Common variants in the ATM, BRCA1, BRCA2, CHEK2 and TP53 cancer susceptibility genes are unlikely to increase breast cancer risk. Breast Cancer Res 9(2):R27
- 35. Cybulski C, Wokolorczyk D, Huzarski T, Byrski T, Gronwald J, Gorski B, Debniak T, Masojc B, Jakubowska A, van de Wetering T, Narod SA, Lubinski J (2006) A deletion in CHEK2 of 5,395 bp predisposes to breast cancer in Poland. Breast Cancer Res Treat 102(1):119–122
- 36. Cybulski C, Wokolorczyk D, Huzarski T, Byrski T, Gronwald J, Gorski B, Debniak T, Masojc B, Jakubowska A, Gliniewicz B, Sikorski A, Stawicka M, Godlewski D, Kwias Z, Antczak A, Krajka K, Lauer W, Sosnowski M, Sikorska-Radek P, Bar K, Klijer R, Zdrojowy R, Malkiewicz B, Borkowski A, Borkowski T, Szwiec M, Narod SA, Lubinski J (2006) A large germline deletion in the Chek2 kinase gene is associated with an increased risk of prostate cancer. J Med Genet 43(11):863–866
- 37. Russo A, Calo V, Agnese V, Bruno L, Corsale S, Augello C, Gargano G, Barbera F, Cascio S, Intrivici C, Rinaldi G, Gulotta G, Macaluso M, Surmacz E, Giordano A, Gebbia N, Bazan V (2007) BRCA1 genetic testing in 106 breast and ovarian cancer families from southern Italy (Sicily): a mutation analyses. Breast Cancer Res Treat Jan 13 [Epub ahead of print]
- 38. Gutierrez-Enriquez S, Balmana J, Baiget M, Diez O (2007) Detection of the CHEK2 1100delC mutation by MLPA BRCA1/2 analysis: a worthwhile strategy for its clinical applicability in 1100delC low-frequency populations? Breast Cancer Res Treat Apr 26 [Epub ahead of print]