## **EVALUATION SHEET** - Dialogue Interpreting

ORIGINAL INPUT		Length:		: n		S.	
The speed of the speech was	comfortable					challe	enging
The prosody in the delivery was					not helpful		
The topics covered were	general					very specialized	
The language was	simple					complex	
The density of information was	low					high	
There was humor, irony, and colorful language.	disagree					agree	!
Difficulty: Overall, the original speech was	easy					difficult	
INTERPRETED OUTPUT	disagree	1	2	3	4	5	agree
All main ideas were conveyed.							
All secondary ideas were conveyed.							
All the details were conveyed.							
There were no contre-sens.							
There were no omissions.							
There were no distortions.							
There were no embellishments.							
Language					1		1
The register was appropriate.							
The grammar and syntax were correct (articles, tenses, etc.).							
<ul> <li>The terminology and vocabulary were appropriate.</li> </ul>							
Pronunciation did not impede understanding.							
Presentation			ı				1
<ul> <li>The delivery was as engaging as the original.</li> </ul>							
Body language / Microphone etiquette was appropriate.							
		Length	n:	n	nins _	s.	
Quality: Overall, the interpretation was	substandard						excellent

INPUT TITLE/REF:

Creative Commons - Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-SA 4.0) - García-Beyaert, S. 2020

Adapted from "Evaluation sheet" by García-Beyaert, S., Andreeva-Pesheva, B., Gruber, M.T. and Bela, R.; originally developed at the SCIC Academy of Trainers - January 2020

## **Specific instances ORIGINAL INPUT INTERPRETED OUTPUT** Content Language Presentation Other

INTERPRETATION BY:

DATE:

ASSESSMENT BY: