es in the chemical system (phase transitions, reactions *etc.*).

iv) Backtransformation to level N, *i.e.* generation of a new initial configuration. Details which have been lost by step *ii)* have to be restored.

v) Simulation based on N-level scenario in order to relax the system.

It has been demonstrated very recently in MD and MC simulations of polycarbonates [11][12] that such a strategy can, indeed, be realized and that it can be very effective in order to overcome the limitations mentioned above.

[1] J.A. McCammon, S.C. Harvey, 'Dynamics of Proteins and Nucleic Acids', Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.
[2] W.F. van Gunsteren, H.J.C. Berendsen, *Angew. Chem.* **1990**, *102*, 1020.
[3] M.P. Allen, D.J. Tildesley, 'Computer Simulation of Liquids', Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1990.
[4] J. Brickmann, S.M. Kast, H. Vollhardt, S. Reiling, 'Trends in Molecular Dynamics Simulation Techniques', in 'Frontiers of Chemical Dynamics', Ed. E. Yurtsever, Nato ASI Series, Vol. 470, p. 217.
[5] B.R. Brooks, R.E. Bruccoleri, B.D. Olafson, D.J. States, S. Swaminathan, M. Karplus, *J. Comput. Chem.* **1983**, *4*, 187; L. Nilsson, M. Karplus, *ibid.* **1986**, *7*, 591.
[6] S. Reiling, J. Brickmann, *Macromol. Chem. Theory Simul.* **1995**, *4*, 725; R. Marhöfer, S. Reiling, J. Brickmann, *Ber. Bunsen-Ges. Phys. Chem.* **1966**, *100*, 1350.
[7] S. Reiling, M. Schlenkrich, J. Brickmann, *J. Comput. Chem.* **1996**, *18*, 450.
[8] M. Schlenkrich, J. Brickmann, A. MacKerel, M. Karplus, in 'Biological Membranes – A Molecular Perspective from Computation and Experiment', Eds. K.M. Merz, Jr. and B. Roux, Birkhäuser, Boston–Basel–Berlin, 1996, p. 31.
[9] H. Vollhardt, Dissertation, TH Darmstadt 1996; H. Vollhardt, J. Brickmann, in preparation.
[10] T.J. McIntosh, S.A. Simon, *Biochemistry* **1986**, *25*, 4948.
[11] W. Tschöp, Dissertation, Bonn, 1996: 'Coarse Graining and Inverse Mapping for Polymers'.
[12] W. Tschöp, K. Kremer, in preparation.
[13] T. Mosell, G. Schrimpf, C. Hahn, J. Brickmann, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1996**, *100*, 4571; T. Mosell, G. Schrimpf, J. Brickmann, *ibid.* **1996**, *100*, 4582.
[14] S.J. Picken, W.F. van Gunsteren, P.T. van Duijnen, W.H. de Jeu, *Liq. Cryst.* **1989**, *6*, 357; M.R. Wilson, M.P. Allen, *Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst.* **1991**, *198*, 465; I. Ono, S. Kondo, *Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. Lett.* **1991**, *8*, 69.
[15] J. Huth, T. Mosell, K. Nicklas, A. Sariban, J. Brickmann, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1994**, *98*, 7685.
[16] S. Hauptmann, T. Mosell, S. Reiling, J. Brickmann, *Chem. Phys.* **1996**, *208*, 57.
[17] G.R. Luckhurst, R.A. Stephens, R.W. Phippen, *Liq. Cryst.* **1990**, *8*, 451; E. de Miguel, L.F. Rull, M.K. Chalam, K.E. Gubbins, *Mol. Phys.* **1991**, *74*, 405; D. Frenkel, B.M. Mulder, *ibid.* **1985**, *55*, 1171; D. Frenkel, *Liq. Cryst.* **1989**, *5*, 929.
[18] K. Nicklas, P. Bopp, J. Brickman, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1994**, *101*, 3157.
[19] G. Schrimpf, M. Schlenkrich, J. Brickmann, P. Bopp, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1992**, *96*, 7404; G. Schrimpf, J. Brickmann, *J. Comput.-Aided Materials Des.* **1995**, *2*, 49.

Molecular Photovoltaic Devices Mimic Photosynthesis

Jacques-E. Moser[a]), **Pierre Bonhôte**[a]), **Lorenz Walder**[b]), and **Michael Grätzel**[a])*

Abstract. Photoinduced charge-transfer processes involving molecules adsorbed at interfaces are a fascinating topic which is presently attracting wide attention. Our investigations have focused on the identification of the factors that control the dynamics of such processes. The goal is to design molecular electronic devices that achieve efficient light-induced charge separation. Applications of similar systems in photochromic and electrochromic devices appear also feasible.

Dynamics of Charge-Transfer Processes Involving Molecular Sensitizers

Photoinduced interfacial charge transfer between a discrete molecular excited state and a continuum of acceptor levels in a solid is the simplest photochemical surface reaction. Besides its fundamental interest, research in this field is strongly motivated by a large number of practical applications. This process is indeed the basis of redox photosensitization of wide band gap semiconductors and is involved in most of the photographic and xerographic processes as well as in the photochemical solar energy conversion [1][2].

$$S + h\nu \rightarrow S^* \qquad (1)$$

$$S^* + SC \rightarrow S^+ + e^-_{cb}(SC) \qquad (2)$$

$$S^+ + e^-_{cb}(SC) \rightarrow S \qquad (3)$$

Charge injection (*Eqn. 2*) competes kinetically with the decay of the sensitizer's excited state. Hence, for dyes that are characterized by emission lifetimes as short as 1 ns, an ultrafast interfacial electron transfer rate is required to provide high injection yields. Back electron-transfer from the conduction band of the solid to the dye's oxidized state S$^+$ (*Eqn. 3*) is equally important for photochemical conversion, since its rate controls the efficiency of the overall charge separation [3][4].

Mesoscopic transparent thin films (4–10 µm thick) supported by a glass substrate and colloidal dispersions, both constituted of nanocrystalline particles (~ 20 nm diameter) of TiO$_2$ anatase semiconductor (band gap ≈ 3.2 eV), are employed. These provide substrate material characterized by a very large effective surface area. Dye molecules carrying carboxylic or phosphonic anchoring groups, or a catechol moiety, are grafted onto the acidic

---

Correspondence: Prof. Dr. M. Grätzel[a])
[a]) Laboratoire de Photonique et Interfaces
   Institut de Chimie Physique
   Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne
   CH–1015 Lausanne
[b]) Institut für Chemie
   Universität Osnabrück
   Barbarastr. 7
   D–49069 Osnabrück

es in the chemical system (phase transitions, reactions *etc.*).

iv) Backtransformation to level N, *i.e.* generation of a new initial configuration. Details which have been lost by step *ii)* have to be restored.

v) Simulation based on N-level scenario in order to relax the system.

It has been demonstrated very recently in MD and MC simulations of polycarbonates [11][12] that such a strategy can, indeed, be realized and that it can be very effective in order to overcome the limitations mentioned above.

[1] J.A. McCammon, S.C. Harvey, 'Dynamics of Proteins and Nucleic Acids', Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.

[2] W.F. van Gunsteren, H.J.C. Berendsen, *Angew. Chem.* **1990**, *102*, 1020.

[3] M.P. Allen, D.J. Tildesley, 'Computer Simulation of Liquids', Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1990.

[4] J. Brickmann, S.M. Kast, H. Vollhardt, S. Reiling, 'Trends in Molecular Dynamics Simulation Techniques', in 'Frontiers of Chemical Dynamics', Ed. E. Yurtsever, Nato ASI Series, Vol. 470, p. 217.

[5] B.R. Brooks, R.E. Bruccoleri, B.D. Olafson, D.J. States, S. Swaminathan, M. Karplus, *J. Comput. Chem.* **1983**, *4*, 187; L. Nilsson, M. Karplus, *ibid.* **1986**, *7*, 591.

[6] S. Reiling, J. Brickmann, *Macromol. Chem. Theory Simul.* **1995**, *4*, 725; R. Marhöfer, S. Reiling, J. Brickmann, *Ber. Bunsen-Ges. Phys. Chem.* **1966**, *100*, 1350.

[7] S. Reiling, M. Schlenkrich, J. Brickmann, *J. Comput. Chem.* **1996**, *18*, 450.

[8] M. Schlenkrich, J. Brickmann, A. MacKerrel, M. Karplus, in 'Biological Membranes – A Molecular Perspective from Computation and Experiment', Eds. K.M. Merz, Jr. and B. Roux, Birkhäuser, Boston–Basel–Berlin, 1996, p. 31.

[9] H. Vollhardt, Dissertation, TH Darmstadt 1996; H. Vollhardt, J. Brickmann, in preparation.

[10] T.J. McIntosh, S.A. Simon, *Biochemistry* **1986**, *25*, 4948.

[11] W. Tschöp, Dissertation, Bonn, 1996: 'Coarse Graining and Inverse Mapping for Polymers'.

[12] W. Tschöp, K. Kremer, in preparation.

[13] T. Mosell, G. Schrimpf, C. Hahn, J. Brickmann, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1996**, *100*, 4571; T. Mosell, G. Schrimpf, J. Brickmann, *ibid.* **1996**, *100*, 4582.

[14] S.J. Picken, W.F. van Gunsteren, P.T. van Duijnen, W.H. de Jeu, *Liq. Cryst.* **1989**, *6*, 357; M.R. Wilson, M.P. Allen, *Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst.* **1991**, *198*, 465; I. Ono, S. Kondo, *Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. Lett.* **1991**, *8*, 69.

[15] J. Huth, T. Mosell, K. Nicklas, A. Sariban, J. Brickmann, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1994**, *98*, 7685.

[16] S. Hauptmann, T. Mosell, S. Reiling, J. Brickmann, *Chem. Phys.* **1996**, *208*, 57.

[17] G.R. Luckhurst, R.A. Stephens, R.W. Phippen, *Liq. Cryst.* **1990**, *8*, 451; E. de Miguel, L.F. Rull, M.K. Chalam, K.E. Gubbins, *Mol. Phys.* **1991**, *74*, 405; D. Frenkel, B.M. Mulder, *ibid.* **1985**, *55*, 1171; D. Frenkel, *Liq. Cryst.* **1989**, *5*, 929.

[18] K. Nicklas, P. Bopp, J. Brickman, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1994**, *101*, 3157.

[19] G. Schrimpf, M. Schlenkrich, J. Brickmann, P. Bopp, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1992**, *96*, 7404; G. Schrimpf, J. Brickmann, *J. Comput.-Aided Materials Des.* **1995**, *2*, 49.

Molecular Photovoltaic Devices Mimic Photosynthesis

Jacques-E. Moser[a]), **Pierre Bonhôte**[a]), **Lorenz Walder**[b]), and **Michael Grätzel**[a])*

Abstract. Photoinduced charge-transfer processes involving molecules adsorbed at interfaces are a fascinating topic which is presently attracting wide attention. Our investigations have focused on the identification of the factors that control the dynamics of such processes. The goal is to design molecular electronic devices that achieve efficient light-induced charge separation. Applications of similar systems in photochromic and electrochromic devices appear also feasible.

Dynamics of Charge-Transfer Processes Involving Molecular Sensitizers

Photoinduced interfacial charge transfer between a discrete molecular excited state and a continuum of acceptor levels in a solid is the simplest photochemical surface reaction. Besides its fundamental interest, research in this field is strongly motivated by a large number of practical applications. This process is indeed the basis of redox photosensitization of wide band gap semiconductors and is involved in most of the photographic and xerographic processes as well as in the photochemical solar energy conversion [1][2].

$$S + h\nu \rightarrow S^* \qquad (1)$$

$$S^* + SC \rightarrow S^+ + e^-_{cb}(SC) \qquad (2)$$

$$S^+ + e^-_{cb}(SC) \rightarrow S \qquad (3)$$

Charge injection (*Eqn. 2*) competes kinetically with the decay of the sensitizer's excited state. Hence, for dyes that are characterized by emission lifetimes as short as 1 ns, an ultrafast interfacial electron transfer rate is required to provide high injection yields. Back electron-transfer from the conduction band of the solid to the dye's oxidized state S^+ (*Eqn. 3*) is equally important for photochemical conversion, since its rate controls the efficiency of the overall charge separation [3][4].

Mesoscopic transparent thin films (4–10 μm thick) supported by a glass substrate and colloidal dispersions, both constituted of nanocrystalline particles (~ 20 nm diameter) of TiO_2 anatase semiconductor (band gap ≈ 3.2 eV), are employed. These provide substrate material characterized by a very large effective surface area. Dye molecules carrying carboxylic or phosphonic anchoring groups, or a catechol moiety, are grafted onto the acidic

Correspondence: Prof. Dr. M. Grätzel[a])
[a]) Laboratoire de Photonique et Interfaces
    Institut de Chimie Physique
    Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne
    CH–1015 Lausanne
[b]) Institut für Chemie
    Universität Osnabrück
    Barbarastr. 7
    D–49069 Osnabrück

surface of titania. A monolayer of the adsorbed molecules on the particles results in optical densities that can easily exceed unity. Upon pulsed laser-light excitation, electron injection from adsorbed sensitizer molecules is monitored by fast spectroscopic techniques.

On titanium dioxide, the photoinduced charge-injection process that leads to the oxidized dye S⁺ takes place on time scales ranging from 100 fs to several μs, depending on the sensitizer used (*Table 1*). Such a variation of 8 orders of magnitude can be accounted for by very different values of the electronic coupling element. *Frank-Condon* factors indeed are expected to play only a negligible role in systems that should be kinetically nearly optimum in terms of the *Marcus* theory. In such a case, where the system is coupled to a continuum of acceptor levels and nuclear degrees of freedom are neglected, the rate constant for charge injection is given by the golden rule expression:

$$k_{inj} = (2\pi / h) \cdot |V|^2 \cdot \rho \qquad (4)$$

where $|V|$ is the electron coupling matrix element and ρ is the density of electronic acceptor states in the conduction band of the semiconductor [9].

Results displayed in *Table 1* show that efficient sensitizers have to be designed in a way that the forward electron-transfer step is associated with strong electronic coupling between the π^* orbital of the dye molecule's excited state and the empty Ti^{IV} 3d orbital manifold of the semiconductor, which constitutes the acceptor levels in the conduction band of TiO_2. This is effectively achieved in directly linking the sensitizer's moiety that carries the lowest π^* orbital to the surface. Another approach, demonstrated by the case of alizarin, which forms strongly colored surface complexes on TiO_2, consists in exciting directly a ligand-to-metal charge-transfer band, where the role of the metal is played by surface Ti^{4+} ions.

Observed injection rate constants of the order of 10^{13} s⁻¹ certainly preclude complete nuclear relaxation of the dye excited state prior to electron transfer, and suggest that the reaction probably proceeds adiabatically [8][10]. Vibrational relaxation of the excited state of $RuL_2(NCS)_2$ has indeed been observed to take place within a few picoseconds. The subsequent thermalization and trapping of hot injected electrons is known to be extremely fast and to occur typically with $k_{th} \approx 10^{13}$ s⁻¹ [11]. Equilibrium which would tend to repopulate the excited state of the sensitizer is therefore prevented.

Table 1. *Electronic Coupling Matrix Element* |V| *Calculated from Experimental Values of the Injection Rate Constant* k_{inj} *Measured by Nano- and Femtosecond Laser Flash Photolysis for Various Sensitizers Adsorbed onto Colloidal* TiO_2. τ_f *and* Φ_{inj} *are the excited-state lifetime and the injection quantum yield, respectively. In the sensitizers column, L stands for the 4,4'-dicarboxy-2,2'-bipyridyl ligand.*

Sensitizers	k_{inj} [s⁻¹]	|V| [cm⁻¹]	τ_f [ns]	Φ_{inj}	Refs.
$Ru^{II}(bpy)_3$	2×10^5	0.04	600	0.1	[6]
$Ru^{II}L_3$ (H_2O)	3×10^7	0.3	600	0.6	[6]
Eosin-Y	9×10^8	2	1	0.4	[5]
$Ru^{II}L_3$ (EtOH)	4×10^{12}	90	600	1	[7]
Coumarin-343	5×10^{12}	100	10	1	[9]
$Ru^{II}L_2(NCS)_2$	10^{13}	130	50	1	[8][10]
Ti_s^{IV}-Alizarin	$>10^{13}$	5×10^3	–	1	–

Table 2. *Thermodynamic and Kinetic Data Related to the Back Transfer Which Takes Place between Conduction-Band Electrons and Oxidized Dye Species Adsorbed on the Surface*

Sensitizers	$-\Delta G$ [eV]	log (k_b [s⁻¹])	|V| [cm⁻¹]	Refs.
$Ru^{II}L_2(NCS)_2$	1.75	6.6	22	[4]
Coumarin-343	1.65	6.0	1.7	[3]
Ti_s^{IV}-Alizarin	1.65	6.1	5.6	[3]

The rate of the back electron-transfer process, which takes place between the conduction band of the solid and the oxidized dye species S⁺, is slower by more than 6 orders of magnitude compared to charge-injection rates of efficient sensitizers.

Although very large reaction driving forces should make the system lying deep in the kinetic inverted region, nuclear tunneling effects, due to high-frequency vibrational modes implied in the inner-sphere reorganization, weaken the role of the *Frank-Condon* factor [3]. Therefore, electronic coupling is found once more to dictate in a large extend the kinetics of the charge-transfer process, which was found indeed to be temperature- and medium-independent [3][4]. Factors likely to be important in controlling the slow rate of charge recombination include delocalization of injected electrons in the conduction

band and carriers trapping in remote defects of the solid.

Molecular-Based Photochromic and Electrochromic Systems

The linear, rigid molecular diad 1 constituted of an electron donor (triarylamine, D) linked to a chromophore (ruthenium bis(terpyridine), S) has been synthesized [12]. The positive redox potentials of 1 are 0.95 V and 1.50 V *vs.* NHE, respectively, assigned to the D/D·⁺ and the S/S⁺ couples. The compound displays an MLCT absorption band at 504 nm ($\varepsilon = 27\,700$ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹). Electrochemical oxidation to 1⁺ (S–D⁺) is accompanied by blue-shifting of the MLCT band ($\lambda_{max} = 488$ nm, $\varepsilon = 22\,500$ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) and the development of the absorption band of D⁺ at 750 nm, with $\varepsilon = 15\,600$ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹.

Fig. 1. *Conceptual drawing showing free-energy surface crossing for interfacial charge-transfer processes depicted by* Eqns. 2 *and* 3. While electron injection is kinetically nearly optimum ($\Delta G^{\ddagger} \approx$ 0), charge recombination falls deep into the inverted region. In the latter case, nuclear tunneling is likely to occur predominately, due to efficient contribution of the high-frequency vibrational modes and important *Frank-Condon* overlap.


Fig. 2. *Absorption spectra of the TiO₂-supported diad* 1 *in the dark* (dotted line) *and under white light illumination* (solid line). Electrode was polarized in both cases at +0.55 V vs. NHE.

When 1 is anchored onto a nanocrystalline TiO₂ film, the heterotriad D–S |TiO₂ produces under illumination a charge-separated state D⁺–S |(e⁻) TiO₂ which decays by charge recombination with $k = 7 \times 10^7$ s⁻¹ (70%), 3×10^5 s⁻¹ (20%), and 4×10^3 s⁻¹ (10%) kinetic components.

$$D–S^*|TiO_2 \rightarrow D–S^+|(e^-) \, TiO_2 \rightarrow$$
$$D^+–S|(e^-) \, TiO_2 \quad \text{fast} \tag{5}$$

$$D^+–S|(e^-) \, TiO_2 \rightarrow$$
$$D–S|TiO_2 \quad \text{slow} \tag{6}$$

Photochromism was observed when charge recombination in the heterotriad D–S|TiO₂ is prevented by positive polari-zation of the supporting conducting glass. At +0.55 V, under illumination with white light, the absorption spectrum of the film changed within a few seconds, with development of an absorption maximum at 750 nm corresponding to the formation of D⁺–S |TiO₂ (*Fig. 2*). The initial spectrum (D–S |TiO₂) was regenerated by applying a potential of –0.3 V. Several iterations of that cycle were realized without modification of the respective spectra, which opens interesting perspectives towards applications for information storage or smart windows.

Nanocrystalline TiO₂ films are also a very promising support for the realization of electrochromic devices [13]. Exploit-ing the high surface area of these layers, characterized by a roughness factor reaching 1000 for 10 μm thickness, it was possible to amplify by this factor the change of light absorbance produced by oxidation and reduction of an adsorbed monolayer of electrochromic molecules. Thus, when a TiO₂ film modified by a monolayer of an adsorbable viologen like 2 ($\varepsilon = 9000$ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹, $E° = -0.18$ V vs. NHE) was stepped to –1 V, the electrode turned from color-less to deep blue in less than 1 s. The related absorption change at 522 nm was as high as 2. Owing to the position of the conduction-band edge of the TiO₂ (more negative than the redox potential of the viologen), reoxidation of the reduced 2 is not feasible unless protons or lithium ions are added to the electrolyte. In presence of such strongly TiO₂-adsorbed cations, the conduction-band edge can be lowered to 0 V. Under these conditions, grafted violo-gens like 2 exhibit reversible electrochem-istry. Electrochromic windows up to 10 by 10 cm and small displays were built, using a counterelectrode made of Prussian blue on conducting glass. Very sharp color changes are achieved in 0.5–3 s.

[1] A. Hagfeldt, M. Grätzel, *Chem. Rev.* **1995**, *95*, 49.
[2] B. O'Regan, M. Grätzel, *Nature (London)* **1991**, *353*, 737.
[3] J.E. Moser, M. Grätzel, *Chem. Phys.* **1993**, *176*, 493.
[4] J.E. Moser, *Solar Energy Mater. Solar Cells* **1995**, *38*, 343.
[5] J.E. Moser, M. Grätzel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, *106*, 6557.
[6] J. Desilvestro, M. Grätzel, L. Kavan, J.E. Moser, J. Augustynski, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985**, *107*, 2988.
[7] R. Eichberger, F. Willig, *Chem. Phys.* **1990**, *141*, 159.
[8] J.E. Moser, M. Grätzel, J.R. Durrant, D.R. Klug, in 'Femtochemistry', Ed. M. Chergui, World Scientific, London, 1996.
[9] J.M. Rehm, G.L. McLendon, Y. Nagasawa, K. Yoshihara, J.E. Moser, M. Grätzel, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1996**, *100*, 9577.
[10] J.E. Moser, Y. Tachibana, M. Grätzel, D.R. Klug, J.R. Durrant, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1996**, *100*, 20056.
[11] D.E. Skinner, D.P. Colombo, J.J. Cavaleri, R.M. Bowman, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1995**, *99*, 7853.
[12] P. Bonhôte, J.E. Moser, N. Vlachopoulos, L. Walder, S.M. Zakeeruddin, R. Humphry-Baker, P. Péchy, M. Grätzel, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1996**, 1163.
[13] a) A. Hagfeldt, N. Vlachopoulos, S. Gilbert, M. Grätzel, *Proc. SPIE-Int. Soc. Opt. Eng. XIII* **1994**, *2255*, 297; b) A. Hagfeldt, L. Walder, M. Grätzel, *Proc. SPIE-Int. Soc. Opt. Eng. XIV* **1995**, *2531*, 60; c) F. Campus, P. Bonhôte, M. Grätzel, S. Heinen, L. Walder, submitted.