## DESIGN OF NOVEL ADDRESS DECODERS AND SENSE AMPLIFIER FOR SRAM BASED MEMORY

A Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the Requirements for the degree of

Master of Technology In Electronics and Communication Engineering Specialization: VLSI Design & Embedded System

> By ARVIND KUMAR MISHRA Roll No. : 212EC2140



Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering National Institute of Technology Rourkela Rourkela, Odisha, 769 008, India May 2014

## DESIGN OF NOVEL ADDRESS DECODERS AND SENSE AMPLIFIER FOR SRAM BASED MEMORY

A Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the Requirements for the degree of

Master of Technology In Electronics and Communication Engineering Specialization: VLSI Design & Embedded System

#### By ARVIND KUMAR MISHRA Roll No. : 212EC2140

Under the Guidance of Prof. Debiprasad Priyabrata Acharya



Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering National Institute of Technology Rourkela Rourkela, Odisha, 769 008, India May 2014

Dedicated to...

My Dearest one

My parents and my friends



DEPT. OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, ROURKELA ROURKELA – 769008, ODISHA, INDIA

## Certificate

This is to certify that the work in the thesis entitled **Design of Address Decoder and Sense Amplifier for SRAM** by **Arvind Kumar Mishra** is a record of an original research work carried out by him during 2013 - 2014 under my supervision and guidance in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Technology in Electronics and Communication Engineering (VLSI Design & Embedded System), National Institute of Technology, Rourkela. Neither this thesis nor any part of it, to the best of my knowledge, has been submitted for any degree or diploma elsewhere.



Prof. D.P.Acharya Dept. of Electronics and Communication Engg. National Institute of Technology Rourkela-769008

Place: Date:



DEPT. OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, ROURKELA ROURKELA – 769008, ODISHA, INDIA

## Declaration

#### I declare that

- a) The thesis work represented here is original and it is done by me under the guidance of my guide.
- b) This work has not been submitted for any degree or diploma to other Institute or university.
- c) I followed the rule and guidelines providing by the Institute for writing the thesis.
- d) Whenever I have taken materials (data, figures, method) from other work, I have specified credit to writer by mentioning their particulars in references.
- e) Whenever I have quoted written materials from other sources, I have put them under quotation marks and given due credit to the sources by citing them and giving required details in the references.

Arvind Kumar Mishra

28th May 2014

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I want to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, mentor and guide Dr. D. P. Acharya for his invaluable guidance and advice during every stage of this project. I am greatly indebted to him for his directions at times of successes along with encouragement and support during hard times. I shall forever remain determined to walk on his footsteps. I extend my hearty appreciation to Prof. K. K. Mohapatra, Prof. P. K. Tiwari, Prof. A. K. Swain, and Prof. N. M. Islam for their insightful comments and valuable suggestions during the course of my M.Tech carrier. I shall remain indebted to Dr. S. K. Sarangi, Director for all his support during the course of my M.Tech carrier.

I would also like to thank my co guide Pradip Patra and my company Sankalp Semiconductor, BBSR. I am grateful to them for providing all the necessary facilities during my stay in company. I thank my colleagues with whom I share countless hours of discussions and work.

I appreciate the stay at NIT Rourkela with all my classmates, Ph. D scholars and everyone whom made me feel at home away from home. At last but not the least, I thank my parents who always trusted and supported my endeavor of research with their love and blessings

#### ARVIND KUMAR MISHRA

Arvindengg10@gmail.com

## ABSTRACT

Address decoder and sense amplifier is important component of SRAM memory. Selection of storage cell and read operation is depends on decoder and sense amplifier respectively. Hence, performance of SRAM is depends on these components. This work survey the address decoder and sense amplifier for SRAM memory, concentrating on delay optimization and power efficient circuit techniques. We have concentrated on optimal decoder structure with least number of transistors to reduce area of SRAM

In static decoders we have stared with simple AND gate decoder and its result is examined. These simple decoder are neither area efficient nor faster one because AND/OR gate are not natural gates, they are made up from combination of NAND/NOR and NOT gate. Decoder having only NOR/NAND gate are area efficient and fast too. Therefore universal decoding having NAND-NOR alternate stages scheme is taken and examined. Universal decoding scheme are having some serious issue like different path delay which may results in false decoding as well as extra power dissipation. To overcome from this issue Novel Address decoding scheme is implemented and their result is compared with simple AND decoder and Universal decoder. Novel address decoder circuit is presented and analyzed. Novel address decoder using NAND-NOR alternate stages with pre-decoder and replica inverter chain circuit is implemented successfully.

Current mirror sense-amp and latched type sense amplifier is also implemented for SRAM. These two amplifiers are the basic one and having tremendous advantage due to their small size. They are fast enough and can be fit below the SRAM cell. We have implemented and tested 1Kb; 8 bit; 1.25GHz SRAM memory in Cadence by using UMC 90nm technology, for that decoder and sense amplifier is deployed.

## CONTENTS

A	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTSI			
Α	BSTRA	ACTII		
С	ONTEN	NTSIII		
LI	ST OF	TABLESVI		
1	INTRO	DDUCTION1		
	1.1	Motivation of work2		
	1.2	Literature Survey		
	1.3	Overview of Thesis4		
2	BASIC	C OF SRAM MEMORY5		
	2.1	Block Diagram of SRAM		
	2.2	Basic SRAM operations7		
	2.3	Speed of Memories7		
3	STAT	IC DECODERS9		
	3.1	Introduction10		
	3.2	Conventional AND decoder11		
	3.3	Universal Block Decoding Scheme		
	3.4	Proposed Decoding Scheme15		
	Result	s and comparison17		

4	DYNAMIC DECODERS		
	4.1	NOR array decoders21	
	4.2	Divide word line architecture	
	4.3	Decoder by using Divide word line architecture	
	4.4	Sense Amplifier Decoder	
5	SENS	E AMPLIFIER	
	5.1	Introduction	
	5.2	Current mirror Sense amplifier	
	5.3	Latch Type Sense Amplifier	
6	CONC	SLUSION	
D	ISSEM	INATION41	
REFERENCES			

## List of Figures

Figure 1 Block Diagram of SRAM memory
Figure 2 Speed comparison of Memories[8]
Figure 3 Conventional 3-to-8 decoder by using CMOS AND gate
Figure 4 Schematic of 4 to 16 decoder, divided into blocks
Figure 5 Simulation Result of Universal decoder
Figure 6 Layout of Universal Decoder14
Figure 7 Proposed 5:32 decoder using Predecoder and replica circuit
Figure 8 Layout of proposed decoder
Figure 9 Delay comparison of proposed architecture with traditional and Block architecture
Figure 10 Simulation result of proposed 5:32 decoder
Figure 11 2:4 NOR array decoder
Figure 12 Simulation of 2:4 dynamic decoder
Figure 13 Simulation result of pulsed based 2:4 decoder
Figure 14 3:8 NOR array decoder
Figure 15 Simulation Result of 3:8 NOR array decoder25
Figure 16 Block diagram of DWL structure
Figure 17 5:32 NOR array Decoder by using divided word line architecture
Figure 18 Simulation Result of 5:32 Decoder
Figure 19 Layout of 5:32 Decoder
Figure 20 Sense Amplifier Decoder
Figure 21 simulation of sense-amp decoder
Figure 22 Current Mirror Type Sense Amplifier
Figure 23 simulation result of current mirror SA
Figure 24 Layout of current mirror SA
Figure 25 Latched Type Sense Amplifier
Figure 26 Simulation Result of Latched Type SA
Figure 27 Layout of latched type SA

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 Truth table for Logic GATE	12
Table 2 Comparison Between Traditional, Block and Proposed Decoder	18
Table 3 Result of corner analysis	19
Table 4 Simulation Result of Latched Type SA	36

## 

## INTRODUCTION

In computers data (information) and program (sequence of commands) are store in some physical devices on permanent or temporary basis. This stored content is used in other computing or on time computing depends on the application. For large data which may need to access in future on permanent basis for that magnetic storage is used. Run time data is stored in semiconductor memories. Computer memories are mainly divided into two parts: Primary memory and Secondary Memory. Semiconductor memories come under primary storage. SRAM is random access memory that means its content can be access from anywhere of storage memory. Data are stored in cells and to access data randomly, fix address is assign to all locations of storage cell. SRAMs are volatile in nature that means their storage data will loss after power shutdown. Therefore they can be used to store run time data in computer systems. SRAM is used as register and cache memory to make faster program execution in computer system. SRAMs are made by using same sources as for process made therefore they are compatible with processor in all extent and their speed is matched with current processor speed. SRAM cell are made up with cross couple inverter latch having positive feedback loop therefore during write operation it store data rapidly. For reading it uses Sense Amplifier circuit which amplifies small voltage difference of lines. Semiconductors memories are faster compare to other type of memories and SRAM has highest read and write speed. Power dissipation of SRAM can be reduced by using efficient circuit techniques.

#### **1.1** Motivation of work

As VLSI technology shrink down and down, it gives high speed processors and demands low power consumption. To increase processor speed demands large amount of cache memory for temporary storage of arithmetic and logical data. Bulk storage cannot be used as cache memory due to their speed limitations. Semiconductor memory is only option to add with processor because they are having compatible CMOS structure and speed. Therefore large amount of high density and low power SRAM memory is needed to accomplice operation. SRAM is having high speed but its cell structure itself needed at least 6 transistors which is access compare to DRAM. Here peripheral circuitry optimization is needed to reduce memory size. Decoder and sense amplifier is the important and large block in SRAM so their design has big challenges. In design phase of their optimization is needed. In this work mainly decoder and sense amplifies is covered. Deferent type of decoding schemes is considered and designed. Some designs are tested too for 1Kb SRAM memory.

#### **1.2** Literature Survey

- Michael A. Turi and José G. Delgado-Frias [1]. Dynamic decoders are always having advantages over static decoders because of their speed and power consumption. in this paper dynamic decoding schemes are discovered. Address decoder using selective pre-charging schemes are presented and analysed here. These schemes are having advantage on simple decoder and the AND–NOR decoders. Results are also compared with conventional one and giving satisfactory performance.
- Shivkaran Jain, Arun kr. Chatterjee [2], This paper presents some nand gate design styles which when used in decoder educes energy consumption and delay. Basically conventional, nor style nand, source coupled nand is discussed. The three designs conventional, nor style nand, source coupled nand, ranges in area, speed and power. In nor style nand transistors are added in parallel so high fan in is obtained and logical effort is reduced. In source coupled NAND gate number of transistors is reduced it give speed of operation compared to an inverter.
- Ireneusz B., Łukasz Z., [3], in this paper universal decoding scheme is proposed. Universal decoders are made by alternate stage of NAND and NOR gate which avoid unnecessary use of inverters. This paper overcomes problem on simple decoders. AND gate are not available naturally, they are made up by using NOR and NOT gate.

- B. S. Amrutur and M. A. Horowitz, [4][5] in this paper low power SRAM techniques are explained. Decoder with different logic style is explained here. Modelling of decoder is also explained here. Logical effort of circuits is calculated and according to that transistors are sized.
- Kevin Zhang [6], in this book basic structure of SRAM along with component are explained. Basic design techniques of components are given and sizing issues are discussed. All SRAMs basic parts are covered along with their role in memory optimization.

#### **1.3** Overview of Thesis

This work has done for design and analysis of address decoder and sense amplifier for SRAM memory. The introduction of different component of SRAM is given in Chapter 2 of the report. Chapter 3 covers static decoder design. Different available schemes are discussed here. A novel decoder design is proposed in this chapter. Dynamic decoders are discussed in chapter 4. Chapter 5 describes current mirror sense amplifier and latched type sense amplifier circuit for SRAM. Conclusion and future work is discussed in chapter 6. At end references are included.

## BASIC OF SRAM MEMORY

## 2.1 Block Diagram of SRAM

Fig.1, logical block diagram of Static Random Access Memory is shown, operation wise Structure of SRAM looks like it.



Figure 1 Block Diagram of SRAM memory

The row decoder use to select one of the  $2^m$  word lines which is connected to the memory cells. Other timing circuit like timing control, sense amplifier, pre-charge, driver, latches are also deployed to the SRAM block. Pre-charge are used to charge the large line capacitances to the desired level to make operation fast and smooth. Sometime local and global pre-charge circuits are deployed. Sense amplifiers are used to amplify bit lines differential voltage during read operation without cell data flipping. SRAM cell should have large noise margin to successful operation.

### 2.2 Basic SRAM operations

Cell should perform all three operations properly. Cell has following three operations:

- Data Write
- Data Read
- Data Retention

Data write operation start with pre-charging of bit lines to  $V_{DD}$  then write driver discharges one line to GND potential and then address decoder selects one word line and switched on access transistor of cell. Now cross couple inverters are connected with bit lines and charge transfer from high level to low level ensuring data write into cell. Cross couple data latch performs fast write operation. Before data read also bit lines are precharged to  $V_{DD}$  and as address decoder selects cell one bit line start discharging and other remain at  $V_{DD}$ . Once sufficient difference is generated Sense Amplifier is switched ON and data is taken at output latch. When bit lines are charged but word lines are unselected, data retention must be maintained. Cell data should not flip in this case. Strong cross couple inverter retains data accurately.

#### 2.3 Speed of Memories

In memory hierarchy SRAM is used in upper cache L1 due to their higher speed of operation. While L2, L3 are made by DRAMs. Main reason for using SRAM in high level cache is their integration capability in VLSI chips and highest random access speed. In every instruction cycle, processor needs register to store temporary data. So they must have high speed of data access. Therefore registers of processor are also made by SRAM memory. Figure 2 shows the speed of different memory available. SRAM memory has highest speed.



Figure 2 Speed comparison of Memories[8]

## STATIC DECODERS

#### 3.1 Introduction

Address Decoder is an important digital block in SRAM which takes up to 50% of the total chip access time and notable amount of the total SRAM power in normal read/write cycle. To design address decoder need to consider two objectives, first selecting the good circuit technique and second sizing of transistors in circuit. Novel address decoder circuit is presented and analyzed in this paper. Address decoder using NAND-NOR alternate stages with predecoder and replica inverter chain circuit is proposed and compared with traditional and universal block architecture, using UMC 90nm CMOS technology.

SRAM IP cores are frequently used as program registers, buffers and cache memory in most digital application and computing systems because of its compatible speed with processor and it is accessed at minimum once at every clock cycle. In memory hierarchy to connect bulk storage to the processor, SRAM are used as level cache.

In recent developed VLSI system, processor speed is much higher than the available bulk storage speed. SRAM is the only available and CMOS compatible memory which is having seed compatible with processor but it takes large area to implement. Therefore memory hierarchy is used. SRAM is used as register memory inside the processor and upper level cache in memory hierarchy at microprocessor to seed up the system and to increase system performance.

Due to large amount of storage cells in memories it can be found various solutions of address decoder designs leading to power consumption reduction and performance improvement. Usually different kind of precharging dynamic decoders are used. Design of dynamic decoder is complex and having more probability of wrong sensing. Traditional static decoder gives more accurate result but it is having more number of transistors with large delay. Some solutions use hierarchical decoders with predecoding and also implemented binary tree decoder built by DE multiplexers.

SRAM operation start with decoding of address, therefore row and column decoders is most important componant in all random-access memories. SRAM performance is determined by the time taken to access data and power consumption. Row decoders takes an n-bit address data as input and gives 2<sup>n</sup> outputs, one of them is having unique output which activates cell of SRAM. Small decoders are realise by single bloch by using 2-input and 3input logic gates but for large decoders hierarchy is used.

## 3.2 Conventional AND decoder



Figure 3 Conventional 3-to-8 decoder by using CMOS AND gate [11]

Conventional decoder by using CMOS AND gate is shown in Fig. 3. Here two input AND gate is used because as number of input increases delay of decoder increases drastically.

This is the basic static decoder circuit. There is a problem with implementation of the decoder in CMOS technology, because AND gates are not directly available in CMOS, their realization needs two gates, NAND and NOT serially connected. It increases number of transistors, power consumption and delay. So structure of the decoder have to be realized directly with NOT, NAND and NOR gates only.

### 3.3 Universal Block Decoding Scheme

As shown in Table 1, NAND gate gives unique logic low output when both of its input is high and it gives high output for other combination. Therefor we cannot make decoder by only using NAND gate. NOR gate gives unique logic high output when both of its input is low and it gives high output for other combination. Both these gate need inverter at output to make decoder and this increase number of transistor as well as delay in circuit. But their unique and different property can be used as combination and gives excellent result, because NAND gives output low but demands high all input and NOR gives output high but demands low input.

Input Com	bination	Output For Different Gates			
1		i			
Δ	B	AND	OR	NAND	NOR
Л	D	AND	OK	INAND	NOR
0	0	0	0	1	1
0	1	0	1	1	0
0	1	0	1	1	0
1	0	0	1	1	0
1	1	1	1	0	0
	1		1	0	0

Table 1 Truth Table For Logic Gates

To design Decoder, Gate with unique output is required. As shown in Table 1, NOR Gate give unique high output for both low inputs and NAND gives unique low output for both high input. Based on this principle, universal design scheme is proposed to design decoder by using combination of NAND and NOR. For high logic output, the last stage of decoder is consist of NOR gates and previous to that with NAND gates, the alternate stages will continue up to input stage. Number of decoder inputs will decide the no. of stages of decoder and hence the first level i.e. either NAND or NOR gates. For even no. of input, the first stage is of NOR and for odd number of inputs it is of NAND for block architecture. Fig. 4 shows the architecture of this decoder. In this case 4:16 decoder has been taken as example.



Figure 4 Schematic of 4 to 16 decoder, divided into blocks [3]

Problem in block architecture decoder is that, it is not fully optimized in terms of transistor count, delay and power dissipation. Also due to different path lengths for different inputs, i.e. LSB need to travel every stage from input to output while MSB need to travel only last stage, that's why some address combination gives multiple outputs high due to path delay differences.

As shown in fig. 5, when address is 00000, before line 0 at decoder output become high, line 15 became high for some duration. This is because different path delay in at output stage. Layout is shown in fig. 6.

This results in false selection of cell and extra power dissipation. Only single inverter is driving the stage of large gate so delay of decoder will increases for large input. Also as number of stages increase delay increases. To eliminate these problems new decoding scheme is proposed.



Figure 5 Simulation Result of Universal decoder



Figure 6 Layout of Universal Decoder

### 3.4 Proposed Decoding Scheme

We have proposed a 5:32 decoder for SRAM (Fig. 7) using pre-decoder and inverter replica based circuit in addition to alternate NAND and NOR stage. In this architecture pre-decoder circuit reduces the gate count, also number of stages from input to output which results in reduction in delay and power consumption. By the application of predecoder circuit we can reduce number of stages, it can be performed at combination 4,8,16... input decoder structure. Here we have reduced one stage.

Fig. 7 shows the proposed 5:32 decoder, here NAND and NOR stages works to produce unique output. We have used predecoder circuitry to reduce the number of stages as compared to universal architecture, also reduced the count of transistors which makes proposed decoder faster and dissipates less power. Replica circuitry is used to overcome the problem of multiple selections due to variable path delay. It provides the same delay to MSB as that of LSB, and therefore the fixed delay circuit is formed for every logic combination change. First stage of this decoder is always predecoder, which can be made either NAND or NOR gates depends on number of input line. In this case first stage is NOR based architecture. NOR gate provides high unique high output when all its input is low. Next stage is NAND gate because it gives unique low output when all input combination is high. Again NAND output can be decoded by NOR stage and when input combination increases we can employ predecoder.



Figure 7 Proposed 5:32 decoder using Predecoder and replica circuit

Based on this simple approach this type of decoder can be designed and it is basic principle of this designed technique. Third stage of this decoder needs inverter for decoding but simple inverter gives false decoder due to different path delay in different gate stage. So replica circuit overcomes the problem of multiple selections. CMOS inverter have optimal fan-out 4,so for driving 16x2 stage we need 8 inverter with 4 high and 4 low logic. Based on this approach replica chain is made and decoder is designed. Layout of proposed decoder is shown in fig. 8.

Simulation results shows that the transistor count, delay and power dissipation in proposed decoder is smallest in comparison with Traditional and Block architecture. Fig. 9 shows that, as the size of decoder increases, the performance of proposed decoder is improved over block and traditional decoder architectures.



Figure 8 Layout of proposed decoder



Figure 9 Delay comparison of proposed architecture with traditional and Block architecture

#### **Results and comparison**

For 5:32 decoder, comparison between traditional, universal block and proposed architecture is shown in table 2. It shows the delay, number of transistors and power dissipation in proposed architecture is less than that of traditional and universal block architecture. Fig. 9 shows the proposed decoder is having better performance over traditional and block and it improves with the increase in size of decoder with respect to other. Fig. 10 shows the simulation of proposed decoder. Table 3 represents the results for corner analysis of proposed decoder where the largest delay is found out for SS case and it is 129.5ps whereas the smallest is for FF case and it is 116ps.



Figure 10 Simulation result of proposed 5:32 decoder

Comparison Detween Traditional, Dioek and Troposed				
	Traditional	Block	Proposed	
Delay (ps)	162	119	98	
No. of Transistors	370	250	250	
Power (uW)	295	210	155	

Table 2Comparison Between Traditional, Block and Proposed

Conditions	Propagation	
	Delay (ps)	
TT	98	
SS	129.5	
FF	81.6	
FS	97	
SF	101	

Table 3
Result of Corner Analysis

Decoder with NAND and NOR stages, predecoder and replica circuit is designed, it gives less power consumption and delay than that of traditional and block architecture. Delay and power dissipation in proposed decoder is 60.49% and 52.54% of traditional and 82.35% and 73.80% of universal block architecture respectively. High speed decoder is the important block for fast SRAM. Proposed decoder is used to implement a 1-kb 8-bit 1.25-GHz SRAM Memory.

## DYNAMIC DECODERS

Decoder is basic building block for any random access memory (RAM). Decoders are basically static and dynamic type. Dynamic decoders are further classified in many ways. But basic NOR decoder is most widely used in its different derived form. Dynamic decoder circuit technique is outstanding and notably performs better than static CMOS decoder because of their fewer transistors.

SRAM memory designs normally use Word-Line address decoders which are implemented with static CMOS Logic. Static CMOS are high fan-out techniques and logical effort study show that for minimum delay in decoder circuit, tree of two and three input NAND and NOR gates along with inverters should be used. Faster decoders have implemented by balancing the logical effort of gates. One easy and most straightforward technique to accomplish this is to use dynamic decoder circuits.

#### 4.1 NOR array decoders

Basic 2:4 NOR array decoder is shown in fig.11. There is one pull-up PMOS and two pull-down NMOS in every line. Every pull-up PMOS is controlled by single control signals (ctl) and control as on-off switch of decoder. If applied signal is high logic then line cannot be pulled high, low control logic is needed to pull line at high logic. By varying pulse width of control signal power dissipation can be controlled. As pulse width decrease power dissipation decrease but degrades performance of decoder. We can decrease pulse width according to time needed to decode the address. In every line pull-up and pull-down forms voltage divider circuit and it divides V<sub>DD</sub> into two parts in pull-up and pull-down resisters. Size of pull-down transistor must be low compare to pull-up transistor to maintain high swing at output line. As size of pull-down is increase, resistance decreases and swing goes down. Inverters are used in this circuit is symmetrical type with following specifications:

$$\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)_{n} = \frac{120n}{80n}$$
,  $\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)_{p} = \frac{360n}{80n}$ ,  $NM_{L} = .4V$ ,  $NM_{H} = .4V$ 

Propagation delay = 7ps

Fig.12 below shows the simulation result of 2:4 dynamic NOR array decoder when control signal grounded permanently. All transistors are having  $\frac{W}{L} = \frac{120n}{80n}$  for both NMOS and PMOS. In this case propagation delay is 17ns and power dissipation is 133µW.



Figure 11 2:4 NOR array decoder [8]

Variation of propagation delay with respect to aspect ratio of transistor is given below:

1) When 
$$\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)_{\rm P} = \frac{120n}{80n}$$
 and  $\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)_{\rm N} = \frac{120n}{80n}$ 

Propagation delay is 17ps.

2) When 
$$\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)_{\rm P} = \frac{120n}{80n}$$
 and  $\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)_{\rm N} = \frac{200n}{80n}$ 

Propagation delay is 21ps.

3) When 
$$\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)_{\rm P} = \frac{120n}{80n}$$
 and  $\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)_{\rm N} = \frac{360n}{80n}$ 

Propagation delay is 24ps.



Figure 12 Simulation of 2:4 dynamic decoder



Figure 13 Simulation result of pulsed based 2:4 decoder

As result shown above, as dimensions increases results in capacitance of MOSFET therefore delay increases. Therefore lowest dimensions are best suited. We also observe that power dissipation is large in this decoder, to reduce power dissipation we can reduce control signal pulse width. By keeping pulse width 30ps (period 100ps, off time 30ps which will ON PMOS), power dissipation is reduced to 80µW. Simulation result of pulsed control is shown in fig.13.

A bigger version of NOR array decoder 3:8 is taken as shown in fig.14. This decoder is having same construction and working as 2:4 decoders. Simulation result of 3:8 NOR array decoder is below in fig.15. Propagation delay 27ps and power dissipation is 240  $\mu$ W when all transistors are having  $\frac{W}{L} = \frac{120n}{80n}$  for both NMOS and PMOS.



Figure 14 3:8 NOR array decoder



Figure 15 Simulation Result of 3:8 NOR array decoder

Power dissipation can be reduced by using pulsed scheme here too, but we cannot reduce much. Power dissipation is major problem in NOR array decoder, As number of input increases to 4 and above, delay and power dissipation increases drastically.

Other split type decoder structure can be applied to reduce delay in order to implement larger decoder structures. One possible scheme is to divide the structure into local and global address lines i.e. divide word line structure.

#### 4.2 Divide word line architecture

When decoder structure become large, at least two stage structures is used to implement alter net decoder. Divided word line decoder structure divide the single SRAM into small blocks. Local word line is switched on when both the globe word line and block select are activated together by address line.

Since at a time only one block is selected and being activated, hence reducing word line delay and power dissipation of SRAM. In fig. 16 block diagram of DWL structure where decoder are divided into two types, first MSB of address is decoded into global word line and rest are as local word line.



Figure 16 Block diagram of DWL structure

## 4.3Decoder by using Divide word line architecture

5:32 decoder has been implemented by using divided word line architecture. 2:4 NOR decoder is used as global decoder and 3:8 as local decoder. All NOR decoders are implemented with control switch and controlled by external signal. LSB of address is given to the 3:8 decoders and control signal is given to by 2:4 decoder. When address is applied to the decoder, first three LSBs try to activate local decoders but there control signal are low output remain low. After some time global decoder gives high signal to one local decoder one output rises. Simulation result is shown in fig.17 and layout in fig.18.This circuit is implemented in CMOS 90nm technology,  $V_{DD}$ =1V, for  $\frac{W}{L} = \frac{120n}{80n}$  (both NMOS and PMOS) it gives best result. Worst case delay = 41ps (at line 28). Average power dissipation = 819mW.

This decoder is successfully implemented in 1Kb, 1.25GHz SRAM memory.



Figure 17 5:32 NOR array Decoder by using divided word line architecture





Figure 19 Layout of 5:32 Decoder

## **4.4Sense Amplifier Decoder**

By the application of selective pre-charging, Sense-Amp decoder is proposed. Figure 20 shows the schematic of this decoder. Accept sense amplifier circuitry, this decoder is same as NOR decoder. In 4:16 decoder first two bit are used for NOR array connection to activated transistors. Rest of two lines are connected by AND logic. Here source couple NAND gate is used to generate AND logic. Two address bit activates four output line and one of them is selected by AND logic. In sense amplifier cross couple inverter is used to make line full charge. To keep power dissipation low precharge stage is of short duration. Additionally, strong inverters are not needed for the precharge and discharge signals since the signals are not inverted. The Sense-Amp decoder, designed in 90-nm CMOS technology, uses an 180-ps period discharge-precharge-evaluate cycle with stage lengths of 60 ps each. The cycle is based on the precharge and discharge signals. In the discharge

stage all select-lines are first pulled-down to ground via discharge transistor and only the last selected select-line is pulled to ground.



Figure 20 Sense Amplifier Decoder



Figure 21 simulation of sense-amp decoder

Unlike the AND–NOR scheme, this eliminates the need for further peripheral circuitry to pull-down the last selected select-line when only the address MSBs change.

Simulation result of decoder is given in fig. 21. This circuit is implemented in CMOS 90nm technology,  $V_{DD} = 1$ V. Delay = 120ps (period, discharge- precharge-evaluation). Average power dissipation from = 564mW (120ps cycle). This decoder can push any amount of current so need of driver circuit is eliminated.

# 

SENSE AMPLIFIER

#### **5.1 Introduction**

Sense amplifier comes under the part of read circuit and used to access data from selected cell of SRAM and the robustness of bit sensing is depend on it. It is used to boost the difference between bit lines voltage during read operation. It convert small voltage difference (around 100–200 mV in case of 1V power supply) into full logic voltage (in this case 0V and 1V). Bit lines are subjected to large capacitances so reducing their voltage to ground level from V<sub>DD</sub> will take large time therefore sense amplifier increases the speed of read operation. Operation and performance of sense amplifier leads to direct impact on SRAM's performance therefore there area efficient and power efficient design is very essential to increase performance of memory. Sense amplifier operation should not change contain of cell, because in SRAM read operation unlike DRAM refreshing operation is not used. During initial design phase choice of circuit topology, perfect transistor sizing, operating point of transistor, low power dissipation, optimal gain and transient response must be taken care based on the timing control and layout constraints for SRAM memory system. Optimal bit line voltage difference play important role because reducing bit line voltage takes large time due to large capacitance. Taking less voltage difference leads to speed up memory but may cause problem during read, so optimal quantity should be taken.

## **5.2Current mirror Sense amplifier**

Basically any differential amplifier can work as sense amplifier. Many types of structures has been proposed [13][14]. Below, a current-mirror type Sense Amplifier is shown in fig. 22.

In 6T cell this amplifier having tremendous advantage because fit below the cell, so during layout area can be optimized.

Gain of this amplifier is given by

$$A = g_m(r_{o1} || r_{o2})$$



Figure 22 Current Mirror Type Sense Amplifier

As shown in fig. 1, circuit is having to transistor M1 and M2 as current source load, M3 and M4 as driver transistor, M5 as current source, M6 and M7 are forming inverter (amplifier). In current source as we increase aspect ratio, current increases thus output level decreases. Input of driver also play important role here because g<sub>m</sub> depends on gate voltage. By increasing aspect ratio of load transistors it will try to push more current to output node so swing increase. Output of amplifier is not digital logic (either V<sub>DD</sub> or GND), therefore to achieve proper logic level inverter is placed. Aspect ratio of all transistors is given below.

$$\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)_{1,2} = \frac{1400n}{200n}, \left(\frac{W}{L}\right)_{3,4} = \frac{400n}{80n}, \left(\frac{W}{L}\right)_5 = \frac{450n}{80n}, \left(\frac{W}{L}\right)_6 = \frac{370n}{80n}, \left(\frac{W}{L}\right)_7 = \frac{120n}{80n}$$



Figure 24 Layout of current mirror SA

Simulation result of sense amplifier is given below in fig.23 output of amplifier in shown by green line. This circuit will work up to input 950mV (here VDD is 1V) which is higher than bit line voltage. Large size of current mirror PMOS ensure mid DC level (500mV) and providing symmetrical level therefore symmetrical inverter is used at output.Propagation delay is 61ps and average power dissipation is  $57\mu$ W for this SA at

100mV differential input. As difference between BL and BLB is increase SA operation become fast but bit line voltage take large time to charge and discharge. Output is single ended so need of differential to single end conversion is omitted this is the main advantage of this type of amplifier. Layout of current mirror type SA is shown in fig.24 above.

## 5.3 Latch Type Sense Amplifier

A latch-type SA is shown in fig 25. Basically latch type sense amplifiers have two cross couple inverter as in 6T SRAM cell to amplify deference between bit line voltages. During low read enable both access PMOS M6 and M7 are switched on and output node charges to bit line voltages. After some time when enable goes high and M5 switched on operation of sense amplifier started. In this phase when enable goes high both access transistor switched off and bit lines will decoupled with sense amplifier so further any change in bit line voltage will not affect the read operation and this time can be used to pre-charge the bit line which leads to time save of operation. Once enable is high, access transistors are decoupled then both cross couple inverter works and bring output.



Figure 25 Latched Type Sense Amplifier



Figure 26 Simulation Result of Latched Type SA

Operation of this amplifier is fast enough because cross couple inverter forms positive feedback and brings output rapidly. The sizing of transistors is as follows

$$\left(\frac{W}{L}\right)_{1,2} = \frac{1400n}{80n}, \left(\frac{W}{L}\right)_{3,4} = \frac{800n}{80n}, \left(\frac{W}{L}\right)_5 = \frac{1200n}{80n}, \left(\frac{W}{L}\right)_{6,7} = \frac{1200n}{80n}$$

Table	4
-------	---

BL Voltage	BLB Voltage	Propagation delay	Average power
900mV	700mV	31ps	112µW
700mV	900mV	32ps	110µW
1V	900mV	41ps	103µW
900mV	1V	39ps	103µW
800mV	900mV	43ps	98µW
900mV	800mV	42ps	97µW



Figure 27 Layout of latched type SA

Simulation result of latched type sense amplifier is shown in fig.26, two outputs are shown. During low SE signal both output goes high up to the bit line voltage and when SE goes high output are going either low or high. Complete result is shown in table 4.

As shown in table, if difference between bit lines is large operation takes less time but to make high difference required large time. Also it takes large power when difference is large. Latched type sense amplifier needed differential to single end conversion or taking single output leaving one draggling. But it cause deferential loading so conversion is necessary. Here for simulation purpose chain of two inverters are subjected as load having large aspect ratio. Layout of this amplifier is shown in fig. 27.

# 

## CONCLUSION

Different types of static and dynamic decoder has been designed and analyzed in this work. Two types of basic sense amplifiers also designed and analyzed here too. In static decoder three decoders are analyzed and designed. Conventional AND decoder suffers due to large transistors number and delay. AND gates are not naturally available, they are realized by combination of NAND and NOT gates. We cannot make decoder without unique output combination of gate. AND gate gives unique high output when all inputs are high. In NAND gate case, it gives unique low output when both inputs are high. So in second stage of decoder we cannot use NAND gate. So only by using NAND gate decoder cannot be made. But if we use NAND and NOR gate alternate decoder can be made. Based on this approach decoder is made. But this decoder has some serious issues like different path delay. To solve these issues new decoder is proposed. This proposed decoder has better performance compared to other two. Delay and power dissipation in proposed decoder is 60.49% and 52.54% of traditional and 82.35% and 73.80% of universal block architecture respectively.

In dynamic decoder work started with conventional NOR decoder. This decoder has large power dissipation. As decoder size increases power and delay increases drastically. Large decoder structure has realized by using small decoders and selective pre-charging scheme. This decoder performance has improved and it has deployed in memory IC design. Another dynamic decoder is designed and analyzed. New sense amplifier decoder is designed. Its power dissipation is very low.

Two basic sense amplifiers also designed and analyzed here. They are small size cell compatible amplifiers. These decoders are used in memory designed and all circuits tested successfully in Cadence, UMC 90nm technology. Layout, DRC check, RC extraction and post-layout simulation of circuits is also done in Cadence, UMC 90nm technology.

SRAM memories are used in high speed computers and embedded applications. They are used as register and cache memory of processor. SRAMs operating frequency is compatible with modern processor speed because they are fabricated in CMOS technology. So demand of SRAM memory will remain high in coming years. So there efficient design and fabrication has large scope in current and near future market.

In future work more efficient decoder can be realized by improving available decoders. Other current mode logic will be used to implement decoders. Sense amplifier with cascade logic and current mode sensing will be taken. Other memory components are also need optimization and up gradation.

## DISSEMINATION

A.K.Mishra, D.P. Acharya and P. Patra, "Novel Design Technique of Address Decoder for SRAM", *IEEE International Conference on Advanced Communication Control and Computing Technologies 2014*, May 2014.

## REFERENCES

- Michael A. Turi and José G. Delgado-Frias, "High-Performance Low-Power Selective Precharge Schemes for Address Decoders" *IEEE Transactions On Circuits And Systems*, vol. 55, no. 9, Page: 917 – 921, 2008.
- [2] Shivkaran Jain, Arun kr. Chatterjee "Nand gate architectures for memory decoder" *International Journal of Computers & Technology*, Page: 610-614, 2013.
- [3] I. Brzozowski, Ł. Zachara and A. Kos "Universal Design Method of n-to-2<sup>n</sup> Decoders," *Mixed Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems Conference*, Poland, June 2013.
- [4] B. S. Amrutur and M. A. Horowitz, "Fast low-power decoders for RAMs," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 36, no. 10, pp. 1506–1515, Oct. 2001.
- [5] Bharadwaj S. Amrutur, "Design and Analysis Of Fast Low Power SRAMs" P.H.D Thesis, Stanford University, 1999.
- [6] A. Pavlov, M. Sachdv "CMOS SRAM Circuit Design and Parametric Test in Nano-Scaled Technologies": Springer publication.
- [7] Kevin Zhang, "Embedded Memories for Nano-Scale VLSIs" Springer publication.
- [8] Jan M. Rabaey, Anantha Chandrakasan, and Borivoje Nikolic, "Digital Integrated Circuits a Design Perspective," *PHI Learning*, 2009.
- [9] V. Sharma "SRAM Design for Wireless Sensor Networks, Analog Circuits and Signal Processing" Springer Science, New York 2013.
- [10] Betty Prince,"High Performance Memories: New Architecture DRAMs and SRAMs Evolution and Function" John Wiley & Sons, 1996.
- [11] David A Hodges, "Digital integrated circuits design" TMH.
- [12] S. M. Kang and Y. Leblebici, CMOS Digital Integrated Circuits, Analysis and Design, ThirdEdition, McGraw-Hill, New York, 2003.

- [13] Behrad Razavi, Design of Analog CMOS Integrated Circuits, McGraw-Hill, New York, 2001.
- [14] Allen and Holberg, CMOS Analog Circuit Design, Oxford University Press 2001.
- [15] L. Wen Z. Li Y. Li, "High-performance dynamic circuit techniques with improved noise immunity for address decoders" Published in IET Circuits, Devices & Systems Received on 3rd January 2012.