



Riesz transform and L^p cohomology for manifolds with Euclidean ends

Gilles Carron, Thierry Coulhon, Andrew Hassell

▶ To cite this version:

Gilles Carron, Thierry Coulhon, Andrew Hassell. Riesz transform and L^p cohomology for manifolds with Euclidean ends. Duke Mathematical Journal, Duke University Press, 2006, 133 (1), pp.59–93. http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.dmj/1145452056#abstract>. stabulation.org/line

HAL Id: hal-00003416 https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00003416

Submitted on 30 Nov 2004

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

RIESZ TRANSFORM AND L^p COHOMOLOGY FOR MANIFOLDS WITH EUCLIDEAN ENDS

GILLES CARRON, THIERRY COULHON, AND ANDREW HASSELL

ABSTRACT. Let M be a smooth Riemannian manifold which is the union of a compact part and a finite number of Euclidean ends, $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0, R)$ for some R > 0, each of which carries the standard metric. Our main result is that the Riesz transform on M is bounded from $L^p(M) \to L^p(M; T^*M)$ for 1 $and unbounded for <math>p \ge n$ if there is more than one end. It follows from known results that in such a case the Riesz transform on M is bounded for 1and unbounded for <math>p > n; the result is new for 2 . We also give someheat kernel estimates on such manifolds.

We then consider the implications of boundedness of the Riesz transform in L^p for some p > 2 for a more general class of manifolds. Assume that Mis a *n*-dimensional complete manifold satisfying the Nash inequality and with an $O(r^n)$ upper bound on the volume growth of geodesic balls. We show that boundedness of the Riesz transform on L^p for some p > 2 implies a Hodge-de Rham interpretation of the L^p cohomology in degree 1, and that the map from L^2 to L^p cohomology in this degree is injective.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold with infinite measure. The Riesz transform T on M is the operator

(1.1)
$$f \to d\Delta^{-1/2} f,$$

where Δ is the positive Laplace operator on M. The Riesz transform is always a bounded map from $L^2(M)$ to $L^2(M; T^*M)$. It is of interest to figure out the range of p for which T extends to a bounded map $L^p(M) \to L^p(M; T^*M)$. Equivalently, we can ask whether

$$\| |df| \|_p \le \|\Delta^{1/2} f\|_p$$
 for all $f \in C_c^{\infty}(M)$.

It has been shown in [13] that the Riesz transform is bounded on L^p for 1as soon as the manifold satisfies the doubling property as well as a natural heatkernel upper bound. The situation for <math>p > 2 is more complicated: there is some understanding of what happens in the more restricted class of manifolds satisfying upper and lower Gaussian estimates for the heat kernel (see [4], [3]), and it is also known that the above more general assumptions do not imply the boundedness of the Riesz transform for all p > 2. The counterexample is simply the connected sum of two copies of \mathbb{R}^n , where one easily sees that the Riesz transform is unbounded

Key words and phrases. Riesz transform, L^p cohomology.

T.C. is supported in part by the European Commission (IHP Network "Harmonic Analysis and Related Problems" 2002-2006, Contract HPRN-CT-2001-00273-HARP). He also acknowledges for this work the support of Macquarie University and Australian National University.

A.H. is supported in part by an Australian Research Council Fellowship and acknowledges the support of Université de Nantes.

for p > n (see [13]), precisely because the boundedness for such p would imply a lower Gaussian heat kernel estimate on this manifold, which is known to be false. The first aim of the present article is to find out what happens in the remaining range 2 , and to treat more generally by the same token manifolds with afinite number of Euclidean ends. Our second aim is to give, in a somewhat moregeneral class of manifolds, a cohomological consequence of the boundedness of theRiesz transform for some <math>p > 2, which explains the unboundedness in the range $p \ge n$ in the examples just mentioned.

On \mathbb{R}^n , it is a classical result in harmonic analysis that the Riesz transform is bounded on L^p for all 1 . In this case, the kernel of the Riesz transform isgiven by

$$cd\frac{1}{|z-z'|^{n-1}} = c'\frac{(z-z')_i dz^i}{|z-z'|^{n+1}}.$$

(We shall use z for a Euclidean coordinate throughout this paper, while the prime denotes the 'right variable' of a kernel K(z, z'); also, \hat{z} denotes $\frac{z}{|z|}$ and we write r, r'for |z|, |z'|.) It is useful to compactify \mathbb{R}^n to a compact manifold with boundary Z by adding a sphere at infinity, and using $|z|^{-1}$ as a boundary defining function¹ for it. If we consider this kernel of $\Delta^{-1/2}$ near the 'right boundary' of $Z \times Z$, namely $Z \times \partial Z$, where $|z'| \to \infty$, we see that it has an expansion given by

$$\frac{1}{|z-z'|^{n-1}} = \frac{1}{|z'|^{n-1}} \left(1 - 2\frac{z \cdot \hat{z}'}{|z'|} + \frac{|z|^2}{|z'|^2}\right)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \sim \frac{1}{|z'|^{n-1}} + (n-1)\frac{z \cdot \hat{z}'}{|z'|^n} + \dots$$

The leading power in this expansion is $|z'|^{-n+1}$ and the coefficient multiplying it is 1. This kernel, by itself, is not bounded on L^p for $p \ge n$ because the decay of the kernel puts it only in $L^{n/(n-1)+\epsilon}$, $\epsilon > 0$, as a function of z' with z fixed, so it can only be boundedly paired with elements in L^p for p < n. However, when we apply a z-derivative, the leading term is killed (since d1 = 0) and the kernel of T decays at one order better, namely $|z'|^{-n}$. This allows pairing with elements of L^p for any $p < \infty$. Let M be a manifold with Euclidean ends, and assume the number of ends is at least two.

It is now relatively easy to explain why the Riesz transform on M is not bounded for $p \ge n$. For simplicity we shall assume here that M has exactly two Euclidean ends. Let us compactify M to a compact manifold \overline{M} in the analogous way to Zabove. The boundary of \overline{M} is then the disjoint union of two n-1-spheres, which we shall denote $\partial \overline{M}_+$ and $\partial \overline{M}_-$. It turns out that the kernel of $\Delta^{-1/2}$ has a similar expansion at $\overline{M} \times \partial \overline{M}$, of the form

(1.2)
$$\sum_{j=n-1}^{\infty} |z'|^{-j} a_j(z), \quad a_j \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\overline{M} \times \partial M),$$

but it is no longer true that the leading term $a_{n-1} = 1$. Rather, a_{n-1} is the harmonic function on \overline{M} that equals 1 on $\partial \overline{M}_+$, and zero on $\partial \overline{M}_-$. As a consequence, applying the derivative operator in the left variable z does not make this leading term disappear, since $da_{n-1} \neq 0$. Hence the kernel of T only decays to order n-1at the right boundary of $\overline{M} \times \overline{M}$, and therefore can only be paired boundedly with elements of L^p for p < n.

¹This means that smooth functions near the boundary of the compactification are given precisely by smooth functions of \hat{z} and 1/|z|.

In this paper we shall prove

Theorem 1.1. Let M be a complete C^{∞} Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ which is the union of a compact part and a finite number of Euclidean ends. Then the Riesz transform is bounded from $L^p(M)$ to $L^p(M; T^*M)$ for 1 , and is $unbounded on <math>L^p$ for all other values of p if the number of ends is at least two.

Our method is to analyze the kernel $\Delta^{-1/2}$ based on the formula

(1.3)
$$\Delta^{-1/2} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty (\Delta + k^2)^{-1} dk.$$

Since M is a manifold which is conic at infinity, the Laplacian Δ on M lies in the class of scattering differential operators [26] and we can use methods from the scattering calculus to analyze the kernel of $\Delta^{-1/2}$. We shall analyze the kernel of $\Delta^{-1/2}$ rather precisely and work out the leading term in the expansion at the right boundary. From this, it will be straightforward to analyze the kernel of T and to prove the theorem. The plan of the paper is as follows. We briefly describe the scattering calculus in section 2. In sections 3-5 we prove the theorem using the analysis of the resolvent of the Laplacian on asymptotically conic spaces in [22] as a model. We give some large time asymptotics on derivatives of the heat kernel on manifolds with Euclidean ends in section 6.

In section 7 we change point of view and consider a much more general class of manifolds, namely complete manifolds M of dimension n satisfying the Nash inequality and with a uniform upper bound $O(r^n)$ on the volume of geodesic balls of radius r. We assume that the Riesz transform on M is bounded on L^p for some p > 2 and give several geometric and topological consequences: a Hodge-de Rham interpretation of the L^p cohomology of M (Proposition 7.3), injectivity of the map from L^2 to L^p cohomology (Lemma 7.4) and derive a contradiction if p > n and M has at least two ends (Corollary 7.5), thus generalizing the unboundedness part of Theorem 1.1 for p > n to this larger class of manifolds. In the final section we discuss our results in the context of previously known examples and pose some open problems.

2. Scattering Calculus

As noted above, we shall use the scattering calculus [26] to analyze the kernel of $\Delta^{-1/2}$ on manifolds with several Euclidean ends. The scattering calculus is expressed in terms of compactifications on M and, especially, of the double space M^2 which carries the kernel of the resolvent and of the operator $\Delta^{-1/2}$. The space M is compactified by adding a sphere at infinity S^{n-1} for each Euclidean end, and declaring $r^{-1} = 1/|z|$ and $\hat{z} = z/|z|$ to be local coordinates near a boundary point; in particular, r^{-1} is taken to be a defining function for the boundary (which we shall sometimes refer to as 'infinity'). We sometimes use $x = r^{-1}$ to denote this boundary defining function and $y = \hat{z}$ to denote boundary coordinates, extending to a collar neighbourhood of the boundary, as is customary when using the scattering calculus. The metric takes the form

$$dr^{2} + r^{2}h(y, dy) = \frac{dx^{2}}{x^{4}} + \frac{h(y, dy)}{x^{2}}$$

at each end, and is therefore a *scattering metric* as defined in [26] (of a particularly simple form, being an exact conic metric near infinity).

We are mostly interested in the case when the number of ends is at least two. In fact, for the sake of clear exposition we shall assume from now on that the number of ends is exactly two, although all proofs in this paper generalize in an obvious way to any finite number of ends. We shall label these ends + and -, thus for example we shall use z_+ as the Euclidean variable on the positive end, and z_- for the variable on the negative end; when it is not necessary to stipulate which end is being considered, we shall just use z.

It is not so obvious which compactification of M^2 is most appropriate for dealing with the Schwartz kernels of operators such as the Laplacian, or functions of the Laplacian, on manifolds with Euclidean ends. There are several different asymptotic regimes of interest when dealing with such kernels. One regime, the 'near-diagonal' regime, is when the two variables z, z' remain a finite distance apart as they both go to infinity. Another is when they both go to infinity with the ratio r/r' approaching a limit and with \hat{z}, \hat{z}' both approaching a limit. Finally there is the case that one variable approaches a limit, while the other remains fixed. The kernel has different behaviour in each of these asymptotic regimes, so they need to be represented by distinct parts of the boundary of the compactification. The space $(\overline{M})^2$ is thus too 'small' a compactification of M^2 for our purposes, since it only has the third regime distinguished; the first two are squashed into the corner.

It turns out that there is a space denoted \overline{M}_{sc}^2 , the 'scattering double space', which satisfies these criteria. It is obtained by performing two blowups on M^2 . The first is blowing up the corner $(\partial \overline{M})^2$, creating the so-called b-double space, and the second is blowing up the boundary of the diagonal (which lifts to the bdouble space to be transverse to the boundary, hence this blowup is well-defined). Each asymptotic regime is represented by a boundary hypersurface of \overline{M}_{sc}^2 . The first, 'near-diagonal' regime is represented by the boundary hypersurface created by the second blowup, denoted sf for 'scattering face'; the second is represented by the boundary hypersurface created by the first blowup, denoted bf for 'b-face' (since it is present in the b-calculus) and the third regime is represented by the two boundary hypersurfaces $\partial \overline{M} \times \overline{M}, \ \overline{M} \times \partial \overline{M}$ of $(\overline{M})^2$, denoted lb and rb for 'left boundary' a nd 'right boundary'. Note that when M has k ends, then bf has k^2 components and sf, lb and rb each have k components.

The structure of sf and bf is as follows. Each component of sf is naturally diffeomorphic to $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n} \times S^{n-1}$, and z - z' and \hat{z} are coordinates on the interior of each component of sf. Each component of bf is naturally isomorphic to a blowup of the space $S^{n-1} \times S^{n-1} \times [0,1]$, with coordinates $(\hat{z}, \hat{z}', |z|(|z| + |z'|)^{-1})$; the blowup is of the submanifold $\{\hat{z} = \hat{z}', |z|(|z| + |z'|)^{-1} = 1/2\}$ which corresponds to the boundary of the diagonal.

The scattering calculus is an algebra of pseudodifferential operators on M which is defined by the properties of their Schwartz kernels. Namely, A is a scattering psuedodifferential operator of order (m, 0) on M iff the kernel of A, when lifted to \overline{M}_{sc}^2 , is conormal² of order m at the diagonal of \overline{M}_{sc}^2 smoothly up to the boundary sf, is smooth elsewhere at sf, and is rapidly decreasing at bf, lb and rb. The resolvent of the Laplacian $(\Delta - \lambda^2)^{-1}$ is a scattering pseudodifferential operator

²In other words, the kernel of A has a singularity at the diagonal characteristic of pseudodifferential operators of order m, and this holds smoothly up to the boundary, in the sense that it could be extended across the boundary as a conormal distribution. See [23], section 18.2, for the precise definition.



FIGURE 1. The double scattering space

of order (-2,0) on M for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \neq 0$. In fact, the structure of the resolvent on the spectrum, i.e. the kernel of $(\Delta - (\lambda \pm i0)^2)^{-1}$ for real λ , can also be described on \overline{M}_{sc}^2 , although here the kernel is no longer rapidly decreasing at bf, lb and rb, rather it is a 'Legendrian distribution' [22]. Our approach is partly modelled on the analysis in this paper. However, we take advantage of the assumption here that M has exact Euclidean ends, which leads to great simplifications over the analysis of [22] since we can exploit the well-known explicit formulae for the resolvent of the Laplacian on \mathbb{R}^n and use these as ingredients for a parametrix of the resolvent kernel on M, thereby avoiding the need to use Legendrian distributions in this paper.

Notation. We write z for a Euclidean variable $z = (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and write $\langle z \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |z|^2}$, while x is used for $|z|^{-1}$, or, sometimes, where more convenient, for $\langle z \rangle^{-1}$. For a manifold with corners X, we write $\dot{C}^{\infty}(X)$ for the space of smooth functions which vanish to infinite order at the boundary of X. We use notation $[X; S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_n]$ to denote the blowup of X at the submanifolds S_1, S_2, \ldots (in that order).

3. PARAMETRIX CONSTRUCTION

To analyze the operator $\Delta^{-1/2}$ we return to the formula (1.3). We first observe that the off-diagonal terms in the kernel of $\Delta^{-1/2}$ come from a neighbourhood of zero in the integral (1.3). Indeed, let $s_0(k)$ be a cutoff function equal to 1 in a neighbourhood of k = 0 and equal to zero outside a compact set, and let $s_1(k) = 1 - s_0(k)$. Then we may insert the factor $1 = s_0(k) + s_1(k)$ into the integral (1.3). With the factor s_i inserted, the integral gives a function $g_i(\Delta)$ of Δ , i = 0or 1, where

$$g_1(t) = \int_0^\infty s_1(k) \frac{1}{k^2 + t^2} \, dk$$

is easily checked to be a classical symbol of order -1. By the symbolic functional calculus [21], this term is a scattering pseudodifferential operator of order -1, hence $dg_1(\Delta)$ is a scattering pseudodifferential operator of order zero. It is therefore

bounded on L^p for all $1 [29]. So we are reduced to studying <math>dg_0(\Delta)$, given by the integral (1.3) with factor $s_0(k)$ inserted.

We shall write down a fairly explicit parametrix for $(\Delta + k^2)^{-1}$ for small k. In doing so, we need to consider the different asymptotics that this kernel takes when k = 0 and $k \neq 0$. Indeed, on \mathbb{R}^n the kernel decays as $|z - z'|^{-(n-1)/2}$ for $k \neq 0$ and $|z - z'|^{-n+2}$ for k = 0, as $|z - z'| \to \infty$, which (except when n = 3) is a different rate. This can be encoded geometrically by blowing up at the boundary when k = 0. Consider the space

(3.1)
$$\overline{M}_{\mathrm{sc},k}^2 = [\overline{M}_{\mathrm{sc}}^2 \times [0, k_0]; \mathrm{bf} \times \{0\}; \mathrm{lb} \times \{0\}; \mathrm{rb} \times \{0\}].$$

We shall denote the boundary hypersurfaces which are the lifts of $\mathrm{bf} \times [0, k_0]$, $\mathrm{lb} \times [0, k_0]$ and $\mathrm{rb} \times [0, k_0]$ to $\overline{M}_{\mathrm{sc},k}^2$ by bf, lb and rb, and $\mathrm{sf} \times [0, k_0]$ by sf; this is of course an abuse of notation, but in the context it will always be clear whether it is a boundary hypersurface of $\overline{M}_{\mathrm{sc}}^2$ or $\overline{M}_{\mathrm{sc},k}^2$ that is referred to. We shall denote the new boundary hypersurfaces corresponding to the three blowups by bf0, lb0 and rb0, according as they arise from the first, second or third blowups in (3.1) respectively, and we shall denote $\overline{M}_{\mathrm{sc}}^2 \times \{0\}$ by zf, for 'zero face'. We also define $\Delta_{\mathrm{sc},k}$ to be $\Delta_{\mathrm{sc}} \times [0, k_0] \subset \overline{M}_{\mathrm{sc},k}^2$. Let χ be a smooth function on $\overline{M}_{\mathrm{sc},k}^2$ which is equal to one in a neighbourhood of $\Delta_{\mathrm{sc},k}$, and whose support meets the boundary of $\overline{M}_{\mathrm{sc},k}^2$ only at sf and zf.

We recall the well-known expression for the resolvent kernel $(\Delta + k^2)^{-1}$ on \mathbb{R}^n for $n \geq 3$:

(3.2)
$$(\Delta + k^2)^{-1} = \frac{e^{-k|z-z'|}}{|z-z'|^{-n+2}} f_n(k|z-z'|),$$

where $f_n(t)$ is symbolic of order (n-3)/2 as $t \to \infty$, while it is O(1) and has a classical expansion in powers and logarithms as $t \to 0$. In fact, f_n is a polynomial of order (n-3)/2 when $n \ge 3$ is odd. It is straightforward to check

Lemma 3.1. Let Z be the compactification of \mathbb{R}^n . Then the resolvent kernel $(\Delta + k^2)^{-1}$ is such that $\chi(\Delta + k^2)^{-1}$ is conormal at $\Delta_{\mathrm{sc},k}$, and

(3.3)
$$(1-\chi)(\Delta+k^2)^{-1} \in \rho_{\rm sf}^0(\rho_{\rm bf}\rho_{\rm lb}\rho_{\rm rb})^\infty(\rho_{\rm bf0}\rho_{\rm lb0}\rho_{\rm rb0})^{n-2}\mathcal{C}^\infty(\overline{M}_{{\rm sc},k}^2).$$

Here, 'conormal to $\Delta_{sc,k}$ ' means that the kernel is conormal in z - z' which defines $\Delta_{sc,k}$ and smooth in the remaining variables $x = |z|^{-1}, \hat{z}, k$, uniformly up to the boundary.

For example, let us check the statement of the lemma near the triple intersection $bf0 \cap rb0 \cap rb$. Coordinates near this codimension three corner are \hat{z}, \hat{z}' and boundary defining functions $\rho_{rb0} = k/x = k|z|$ for rb0, $\rho_{rb} = x'/k = 1/(k|z'|)$ for rb and $\rho_{bf0} = x$ for bf0. Near this corner, |z'| is much larger than |z| so we may expand

$$k|z-z'| = k|z'| \left(1 - \frac{2z \cdot \hat{z}'}{|z'|} + \frac{|z|^2}{|z'|^2}\right)^{1/2} = \frac{1}{\rho_{\rm rb}} \left(1 - 2\hat{z} \cdot \hat{z}' \rho_{\rm rb} \rho_{\rm rb0} + (\rho_{\rm rb} \rho_{\rm rb0})^2\right)^{1/2},$$

from which it is easy to check that (3.3) holds.

We also need a single space version of this space. Let

(3.4)
$$\overline{M}_k = [\overline{M} \times [0, k_0]; \partial M \times \{0\}]$$



FIGURE 2. Blowing up $\overline{M}_{sc}^2 \times [0, k_0]$ to produce $\overline{M}_{sc,k}^2$

Denote the boundary hypersurfaces bb, zf and ff which arise from $\partial \overline{M} \times [0, k_0]$, $\overline{M} \times \{0\}$ and from the blowup, respectively, and denote corresponding boundary defining functions by $\rho_{\rm bb}$, $\rho_{\rm zf}$ and $\rho_{\rm ff}$. Again, it will always be clear in context whether zf refers to the zero-face of \overline{M}_k or $\overline{M}_{{\rm sc},k}^2$. We have

Lemma 3.2. Let $v \in \dot{C}^{\infty}(\overline{M})$. Then there is a function $u \in \rho_{bb}^{\infty} \rho_{ff}^{n-2} \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\overline{M}_k)$, such that $(\Delta + k^2)u|zf$ is equal to v, and $(\Delta + k^2)u$ vanishes to infinite order at both ff and bb.



FIGURE 3. Blowing up $M \times [0, k_0]$ to produce \overline{M}_k

Proof. We first use results from [28] to show that we can solve $\Delta f = v$ on M. The Laplacian on an asymptotically Euclidean manifold M may be written in the form

$$\Delta = x^{n/2+1} P x^{n/2-1}$$

where P is an elliptic b-differential operator on M. A short computation shows that near infinity, P takes the form

$$-(x\partial_x)^2 + \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 + x^2 \Delta_{S^{n-1}}, \quad x = |z|^{-1},$$

where $\Delta_{S^{n-1}}$ is the standard Laplacian on the (n-1)-sphere. This is a strictly positive operator, so P is 'totally elliptic', and hence is Fredholm acting between the b-Sobolev spaces³ $H_b^2(M) \to L_b^2(M)$. Thus Δ itself is Fredholm acting between $x^{n/2-1}H_b^2(M) \to x^{n/2+1}L^2(M)$. Also P is self-adjoint with respect to the measure induced by g_b , so its index is equal to zero, hence it is invertible if and only if its null space is trivial. This is therefore also true for $\Delta : x^{n/2-1}H_b^2(M) \to x^{n/2+1}L^2(M)$. It is also shown in [28], section 5.25, that if $Pf \in \dot{C}^{\infty}(M)$, with f in $L^2(M)$, then fhas an asymptotic expansion of the form

(3.5)
$$f \sim \sum_{j} x^{n-2+j} a_j \phi_j(\hat{z}),$$

where ϕ_j is a spherical harmonic with eigenvalue $j(j+n-2): \Delta_{S^{n-1}}\phi_j = j(j+n-2)\phi_j$. In particular, such a function tends to zero at infinity. It follows from this and from the maximum principle that there is no nontrivial solution to $\Delta f = 0$, with $f \in x^{n/2-1}H_b^2(M)$, because by (3.5) f would be a harmonic function tending to zero at infinity. Hence we can solve $\Delta f = v$, $v \in \dot{C}^{\infty}(M)$, where f has an expansion (3.5).

Let f be as in the previous paragraph. We first find a formal expansion for u near the corner $zf \cap ff$ of \overline{M}_k . Coordinates near this corner are x, y and K = k/x. Let us look for an expansion for u of the form

(3.6)
$$u = \sum_{j} x^{n-2+j} \phi_j a_j(K), \quad K = \frac{k}{x},$$

³Here $L_b^2(M)$ is the L^2 -space with respect to the b-metric $g_b = x^2 g$; thus $L_b^2(M) = \langle z \rangle^{n/2} L^2(M)$. Also $H_b^2(M)$ is the b-Sobolev space of order 2, defined as the set of functions $g \in L^2(M)$ such that $Qg \in L^2(M)$ for all b-differential operators of order 2 on M (near infinity, such operators take the f orm $\langle z \rangle^2 \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \partial_{z_i} \partial_{z_j}$, with $a_{ij} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\overline{M})$).

where $a_j(0)$ is given by the expansion (3.5) for f, so that u|zf = f. The operator $\Delta + k^2$ may be written

(3.7)
$$(x^2 D_x)^2 + i(n-1)x^3 D_x + x^2 \Delta_{S^{n-1}} + k^2$$

Acting on the jth term this gives

(3.8)
$$x^{2} \Big((xD_{x})^{2} + i(n-2)xD_{x} + \Delta_{S^{n-1}} + K^{2} \Big).$$

Here D_x indicates the derivative keeping k fixed. When we switch to using coordinates (x, y, K), then we must replace xD_x by $xD_x - KD_K$, getting

(3.9)
$$x^{2} \Big((xD_{x} - KD_{K})^{2} + i(n-2)(xD_{x} - KD_{K}) + \Delta_{S^{n-1}} + K^{2} \Big)$$

Acting on the *j*th term, we may replace $\Delta_{S^{n-1}}$ by j(j+n-2) and xD_x by -i(j+n-2), getting the operator

(3.10)
$$R_j \equiv x^2 \Big((KD_K)^2 - i(n-2+2j)(KD_K) + K^2 \Big).$$

The equation $R_j(a_j(K)) = 0$ has a smooth solution for every j, with initial condition $a_j(0)$ determined by the coefficient in (3.5). We may cut this off with a cutoff function in K whose derivative is supported where $K \in [1, 2]$. The error term is then of the form

(3.11)
$$\sum_{j} x^{n+j} \phi_j \tilde{b}_j(K),$$

with b_j supported in [1, 2].

We now change to variables which are smooth at the other corner, $bb \cap ff$, namely k and $\rho = x/k = 1/K$. The error term above may be written

(3.12)
$$\sum_{j} k^{n+j} \phi_j b_j(\rho),$$

where b_j is supported in [1/2, 1]. Let us try to solve it away with a series of the form

(3.13)
$$\sum_{j} k^{n-2+j} \phi_j c_j(\rho).$$

Writing the operator in these new variables we get

(3.14)
$$(k\rho^2 D_{\rho})^2 + i(n-1)k^2\rho^3 D_{\rho} + x^2 \Delta_{S^{n-1}} + k^2 = k^2 \Big((\rho^2 D_{\rho})^2 + i(n-1)\rho^3 D_{\rho} + \rho^2 \Delta_{S^{n-1}} + 1 \Big).$$

Let $c_j = e^{-1/\rho} e_j$. Then e_j satisfies the equation (3.15)

$$\left((\rho^2 D_{\rho} - i)^2 + i(n-1)\rho(\rho^2 D_{\rho} - i) + \rho^2 j(j+n-2) + 1 \right) e_j = e^{1/\rho} b_j \implies \left(-2\rho^2 \partial_{\rho} + (n-1)\rho + \left((\rho^2 D_{\rho})^2 + i(n-1)(\rho^3 D_{\rho}) + \rho^2 j(j+n-2) \right) \right) e_j = e^{1/\rho} b_j$$

This is a regular singular ODE with a solution of the form $e_j = \rho^{(n-1)/2} \tilde{e}_j$ where \tilde{e}_j is smooth down to $\rho = 0$. This gives us a formal series in powers of $\rho_{\rm ff}$ at ff in which each term is uniformly rapidly decreasing with all derivatives at bb (i.e., as $\rho \to 0$). Borel summing at ff, we get a formal solution that matches with f to infinite order at ff. Making a correction that vanishes to infinite order at zf, in

order to make u agree exactly with f at zf, we get a function u which satisfies all conditions of the lemma.

We now use this lemma to define a harmonic function on M which will be key to the parametrix construction. We begin by choosing a smooth function $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ which is equal to 1 for |z| large and is supported in $\{|z| > 1\}$. Using this we define functions ϕ_{\pm} on M, with ϕ_{\pm} supported on the \pm end of M, in the obvious way. Then let u_{\pm} be the function given by Lemma 3.2 from the function $v = -\phi_{\pm}$. It follows that

(3.16)
$$(\Delta + k^2)(e^{kz \cdot \omega}\phi_{\pm} + u_{\pm}) \in \rho_{\mathrm{zf}}\rho_{\mathrm{bb}}^{\infty}\rho_{\mathrm{ff}}^{\infty}\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M).$$

Moreover, (3.17)

 $\Phi_{\pm} = \phi_{\pm} + u_{\pm} | \text{zf}$ is a harmonic function equal to 1 at $\partial \overline{M}_{\pm}$ and 0 at $\partial \overline{M}_{\pm}$.

We now define our parametrix. It is based on the resolvent kernel for \mathbb{R}^n , but there is a crucial additional term (G_3 below) which corrects the leading order coefficient of the kernel at the face rb0 (see the discussion of this coefficient in the Introduction). We now write ϕ_{\pm} to denote this function of the left variable o M^2 and ϕ'_{\pm} denote this function of the right variable on M^2 . Let $G_{int}(k)$ be a parametrix, modulo smoothing operators, for $(\Delta + k^2)^{-1}$ in the interior of M. We may assume that it is localized sufficiently close to the diagonal. We recall that the resolvent of the Laplacian on \mathbb{R}^n has the form (3.2). Using this notation we define

(3.18)

$$\tilde{G}(k) = G_1(k) + G_2(k) + G_3(k), \text{ where}$$

$$G_1(k) = \frac{e^{-k|z-z'|}}{|z-z'|^{n-2}} f_n(k|z-z'|) \left(\phi_+\phi'_+ + \phi_-\phi'_-\right)$$

$$G_2(k) = G_{\text{int}}(k) \left(1 - \phi_+\phi'_+ - \phi_-\phi'_-\right)$$

$$G_3(k) = \frac{e^{-k|z'|}}{|z'|^{n-2}} f_n(k|z'|) \left(u_+\phi'_+ + u_-\phi'_-\right).$$

4. Error term and resolvent

In this section, we correct the parametrix to the exact resolvent. The main point is that we obtain complete information about the regularity of the kernel of the error term on $\overline{M}_{\mathrm{sc},k}^2$, and therefore of the resolvent itself on this space. This allows us to determine the regularity of $\Delta^{-1/2}$ and $d\Delta^{-1/2}$ and compute its behaviour to leading order at the boundary hypersurfaces of $\overline{M}_{\mathrm{sc}}^2$.

Applying $\Delta + k^2$ to our parametrix (on the left), we get

(4.1)
$$(\Delta + k^2)\tilde{G}(k) \equiv (\Delta + k^2)(G_1(k) + G_2(k) + G_3(k)) = \operatorname{Id} + \tilde{E}(k),$$

where this equation defines $\tilde{E}(k)$. We may think of $\tilde{E}(k)$ either as a kernel on $\overline{M}_{\mathrm{sc},k}^2$ or, by restricting to $M^2 \times [0, k_0]$ which is the interior of $\overline{M}_{\mathrm{sc},k}^2$ together with the interior of zf, a family of kernels parametrized by k acting on functions on M.

By construction, the complete symbol of the diagonal singularity of $\tilde{G}(k)$ is the inverse, modulo symbols of order $-\infty$, of the complete symbol of $\Delta + k^2$. Thus $\tilde{E}(k)$ is smooth at the diagonal. Also, we see due to the properties of u_{\pm} that $\tilde{E}(k)$ vanishes to infinite order at bf, bf0, lb, rb and lb0. The crucial property of $\tilde{E}(k)$ is the order of vanishing at rb0. To calculate this, we need to determine the leading

10

coefficient of the expansion of $\hat{G}(k)$ at rb0. These terms come from $G_1(k)$ and $G_3(k)$. Since $|z - z'| = |z'| - z \cdot \hat{z}' + O(\rho_{\rm rb0}\rho_{\rm bf0}^{-1})$ at rb0, we have $k|z - z'| = k|z'| + O(\rho_{\rm rb0})$; note that k|z| vanishes on rb0, while k|z'| is finite in the interior of rb0. Hence

(4.2)
$$G_1(k) = \frac{e^{-k|z'|}}{|z'|^{n-2}} f_n(k|z'|)\phi_{\pm}(z) + O(\rho_{\rm rb0}^{n-1}) \text{ at rb0}$$

If we combine this with $G_3(k)$, then using (3.17) we see that the leading coefficient becomes

$$\frac{e^{-k|z'|}}{|z'|^{n-2}} f_n(k|z'|) \Phi_{\pm}(z) + O(\rho_{\rm rb0}^{n-1}) = \rho_{\rm rb0}^{n-2} e^{-k|z'|} f_n(k|z'|) \Phi_{\pm}(z) + O(\rho_{\rm rb0}^{n-1}) \text{ at } {\rm rb0} \,.$$

The leading term annihilated by the operator $\Delta + k^2$ (since Φ_{\pm} is harmonic and k = 0 at rb0), so the error term $\tilde{E}(k)$ is $O(\rho_{\rm rb0}^{n-1})$ at rb0 — an improvement of one order over what might be expected, and the main point of introducing the correction term $G_3(k)$. Thus, we have

(4.3)
$$\tilde{E}(k) \in \rho_{\rm sf}^{\infty} \rho_{\rm bf}^{\infty} \rho_{\rm bf0}^{\infty} \rho_{\rm lb}^{\infty} \rho_{\rm rb0}^{\infty} \rho_{\rm rb0}^{\infty} \sigma_{\rm rb0}^{n-1} \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\overline{M}_{{\rm sc},k}^2).$$

Note that both $x = \langle z \rangle^{-1}$ and $x' = \langle z' \rangle^{-1}$ are smooth on $\overline{M}_{\mathrm{sc},k}^2$, the former function vanishing simply at lb, lb0, bf, bf0, sf and the latter vanishing simply at rb, rb0, bf, bf0, sf. Thus (4.3) implies that the kernel of $\tilde{E}(k)$ is $(\langle z \rangle \langle z' \rangle)^{1-n}$ times a bounded function on $\overline{M}_{\mathrm{sc},k}^2$. This implies that $\tilde{E}(k)$ is Hilbert-Schmidt, uniformly for $k \in [0, k_0]$, hence compact for each $k \in [0, k_0]$. Therefore Id $-\tilde{E}(0)$ has finite dimensional null space and cokernel of the same dimension on $L^2(M)$. We next show that we can modify our parametrix by the addition of a finite rank term so that the new error term is invertible for small k. The correction term will be

(4.4)
$$G_4(k) = \sum_{i=1}^N \phi_i \langle \psi_i, \cdot \rangle \quad N = \dim \left(\operatorname{Id} - \tilde{E}(0) \right)$$

where the ϕ_i , ψ_i are in $\dot{C}^{\infty}(M)$ and independent of k. Since $\tilde{E}(0)$ maps into $\dot{C}^{\infty}(M)$, the null space is contained in $\dot{C}^{\infty}(M)$ and hence is independent of the choice of l. Thus we choose ψ_i to span the null space of $\mathrm{Id} - \tilde{E}(0)$, and we would like to choose ϕ_i so that $\Delta \phi_i$ span a space supplementary to the range of $\mathrm{Id} + \tilde{E}(0)$. This is possible since Δ has trivial null space, and Δ is self-adjoint, hence the range of Δ on $\dot{C}^{\infty}(M)$ is dense in $L^2(M)$. Choosing such ϕ_i , we define $G_4(k)$ (which is actually independent of k) by (4.4). We now define

$$G(k) = G_1(k) + G_2(k) + G_3(k) + G_4(k) = G(k) + G_4(k)$$

and define E(k) by setting

(4.5)
$$(\Delta + k^2)G(k) = \operatorname{Id} + E(k) \implies E(k) = \tilde{E}(k) + (\Delta + k^2)G_4(k);$$

E(k) enjoys all the properties of $\tilde{E}(k)$ listed above. In addition, since E(0) is such that $\mathrm{Id} + E(0)$ is invertible, it follows that actually $\mathrm{Id} + E(k)$ is invertible for all sufficiently small k; we assume that k_0 is chosen so that $\mathrm{Id} + E(k)$ is invertible for all $k \in [0, k_0]$.

We now analyze the inverse of $\mathrm{Id} + E(k)$. Let us write

$$(\mathrm{Id} + E(k))^{-1} = \mathrm{Id} + S(k),$$

where this equation defines S(k). The decay of the kernel E(k) at the boundary of $\overline{M}_{\mathrm{sc},k}^2$ implies that E(k) is Hilbert-Schmidt on $L^2(M)$. Hence S(k) is also Hilbert-Schmidt. The regularity (4.3) of $\tilde{E}(k)$ on $\overline{M}_{\mathrm{sc},k}^2$, and the fact that $x'/(x'+k) \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\overline{M}_{\mathrm{sc},k}^2)$ vanishes simply at rb, bf, sf, imply that

$$E(k) \in x^{N}(x')^{n-1} \left(\frac{x'}{x'+k}\right)^{N} L^{\infty}(M^{2} \times [0, k_{0}]) \text{ for all } N.$$

Using this and the formula

$$S(k) = E(k) + E(k)^{2} + E(k)S(k)E(k)$$

shows that

(4.6)
$$S(k) \in x^{N}(x')^{n-1} \left(\frac{x'}{x'+k}\right)^{N} L^{\infty}(M^{2} \times [0,k_{0}]) \text{ for all } N.$$

We are particularly interested in the kernel G(k)S(k), which we shall call $G_5(k)$, since the addition of $G_5(k)$ will correct the parametrix G(k) to the exact resolvent kernel.

Lemma 4.1. Let $l = 0, 1, 2 \dots$ Then the kernel

(4.7)
$$\int_0^{\kappa_0} s_0(k) \nabla^{(l)} G(k) S(k) \, dk$$

is in

(4.8)
$$\langle z \rangle^{-(n-1+l)} \langle z' \rangle^{-(n-1)} L^{\infty}(M^2) \cap \langle z \rangle^{-(n-2+l)} \langle z' \rangle^{-n} L^{\infty}(M^2).$$

Remark 4.2. Much more precise statements can be made about the kernels (4.6) and (4.7), for example by using Melrose's Pushforward Theorem [27], which shows that these kernels are actually conormal, with respect to the boundary and the diagonal, on $\overline{M}_{\rm sc}^2$. However, the L^{∞} statements will suffice for our purposes and are more straightforward to prove.

Proof. Let us break up G(k) into two parts $G(k) = \chi G(k) + (1 - \chi)G(k)$, where χ is as in Lemma 3.1. Thus $\chi G(k)$ is a smooth family of scattering pseudodifferential operators, while $(1 - \chi)G(k)$ has no singularity at the diagonal.

We first consider $(1-\chi)G(k)$ which is localized away from the diagonal. Let m_x denote the multiplication operator by $x = \langle z \rangle^{-1}$ on M. Then we have

$$\nabla^{(l)}(1-\chi)G(k)S(k) = \left(\nabla^{(l)}(1-\chi)G(k)m_x^{n+l}\right)\left(m_x^{-(n+l)}S(k)\right)$$

The kernel $\nabla^{(l)}G(k)$ decays to order n-2+l at lb0 and to order ∞ at lb and bf. If we multiply this kernel by $\langle z' \rangle^{-(n+l)}$, which corresponds to composing with m^{n+l} on the right, then it also decays to order n+l at bf0 and sf. This means that we can write

(4.9)
$$\nabla^{(l)}(1-\chi)G(k)m_x^{n+l} \in x^{n-2+l} \left(\frac{x}{x+k}\right)^2 L^{\infty}(M^2 \times [0,k_0]), \quad x = \langle z \rangle^{-1}$$

since x is a product of boundary defining functions for lb, lb0, bf, bf0, sf, and x/x+k vanishes to first order at lb and bf. In a similar way, using (4.6), we find that

(4.10)
$$m_x^{-(n+l)}S(k) \in (x')^{n-1} \left(\frac{x'}{x'+k}\right)^2 L^1(M; L^{\infty}(M \times [0, k_0]));$$

note that composing with $m_x^{-(n+l)}$ on the left is harmless here because the kernel S(k) vanishes to infinite order on every boundary hypersurface where $x^{-(n+1)}$ blows

up. For this same reason the kernel is L^1 in the left variable, uniformly in the right variable and in k. It follows that the composition

(4.11)
$$\nabla^{(l)}(1-\chi)G(k)S(k) \in x^{n-2+l}(x')^{n-1}\left(\frac{x}{x+k}\right)^2 \left(\frac{x'}{x'+k}\right)^2 L^{\infty}(M^2 \times [0,k_0]).$$

Now we integrate in k. If we ignore the $(x/x+k)^2$ factor (which is bounded) then we find that

$$\int_0^{k_0} s_0(k) \nabla^{(l)} (1-\chi) G(k) S(k) \, dk \in x^{n-2+l} (x')^n L^{\infty}(M^2),$$

because

$$\int_{0}^{k_{0}} \left(\frac{x'}{x'+k}\right)^{2} dk \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{x'}{x'+k}\right)^{2} dk = Cx', \ C = \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+\overline{k}}\right)^{2} d\overline{k}.$$

In exactly the same way we show that

$$\int_0^{\kappa_0} s_0(k) \nabla^{(l)}(1-\chi) G(k) S(k) \, dk \in x^{n-1+l}(x')^{n-1} L^{\infty}(M^2).$$

Finally we consider the integral (4.7) with G(k) replaced by $\chi G(k)$. We may regard $\nabla^{(l)}\chi G(k)$ as a smooth family of scattering pseudodifferential operators, and S(k) as an element of

(4.12)
$$\rho_{\rm rb}^{\infty}\rho_{\rm rb0}^{n-1}\dot{C}^{\infty}(M;\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\overline{M}_k)).$$

Since scattering pseudodifferential operators map $\dot{C}^{\infty}(M)$ to itself continuously, it follows that $\nabla^{(l)}\chi G(k)S(k)$ is also an element of the space (4.12). Performing the k integral we get an extra vanishing factor at $\mathrm{rb} \subset \overline{M}_{\mathrm{sc}}^2$, yielding $x^{\infty}(x')^n L^{\infty}(M^2)$, which proves the Lemma for this piece. This completes the proof. \Box

5. Riesz Transform

Recall that in Section 3 we split $\Delta^{-1/2} = g_0(\Delta) + g_1(\Delta)$, where $dg_1(\Delta)$ was bounded from L^p to L^p for all 1 , and

(5.1)
$$g_0(\Delta) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty s_0(k) (\Delta + k^2)^{-1} dk.$$

Hence, it remains to analyze $dg_0(\Delta)$. Let us decompose

(5.2)
$$(\Delta + k^2)^{-1} = G_1(k) + G_2(k) + G_3(k) + G_4(k) + G_5(k)$$

as in the previous section and write $dg_0(\Delta) = T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4 + T_5$ correspondingly.

The easiest kernel to deal with is T_4 ; this kernel is in $\dot{C}^{\infty}(M^2)$, hence is bounded from L^p to L^p for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. The kernel T_2 is bounded on L^p for 1because it is a classical zero order pseudodifferential operator with proper support; $see Chapter VI, section 5 of [29]. The kernel <math>T_1$ we decompose further as as $T_1 = T_{1,1} + T_{1,2} + T_{1,3}$, where

(5.3)
$$T_{1,1} = \left(d_z \frac{e^{-k|z-z'|}}{|z-z'|^{n-2}} f_n(k|z-z'|)\right) \left(\phi_+ \phi'_+ + \phi_- \phi'_-\right),$$
$$T_{1,2} = \chi \left(\frac{e^{-k|z-z'|}}{|z-z'|^{n-2}} f_n(k|z-z'|)\right) \left((d\phi_+)\phi'_+ + (d\phi_-)\phi'_-\right),$$
$$T_{1,3} = (1-\chi) \left(\frac{e^{-k|z-z'|}}{|z-z'|^{n-2}} f_n(k|z-z'|)\right) \left((d\phi_+)\phi'_+ + (d\phi_-)\phi'_-\right).$$

and χ is as defined above Lemma 3.1. It is clear that $T_{1,1}$ is bounded on L^p for $1 , because t he Riesz kernel on <math>\mathbb{R}^n$ has this property. Also, $T_{1,2}$, like T_2 , it is a classical zero order pseudodifferential operator with proper support, hence bounded on all L^p .

We next consider T_5 . Lemma 4.1, with l = 1, shows that T_5 is in $L^p(M; L^{p'}(M))$, where $p'^{-1} = 1 - p^{-1}$, for all $p \in (1, \infty)$, which implies that T_5 is bounded on L^p for $1 . Thus we are left with <math>T_{1,3} + T_3$.

Lemma 5.1. The kernel of $T_{1,3} + T_3$ such that

(5.4)
$$T_{1,3} + T_3 \in \rho_{\rm rb}^{n-1} \rho_{\rm lb}^n \left(\rho_{\rm sf} \rho_{\rm bf}\right)^{2n-2} \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\overline{M}_{\rm sc}^2).$$

Moreover, the leading coefficient of $T_{1,3} + T_3$ at rb is a constant times $d\Phi_{\pm}$.

Proof. Let us first consider the kernel of $T_{1,3}$ near rb and away from bf. This given by

$$\int_0^{k_0} \left(\frac{e^{-k|z-z'|}}{|z-z'|^{n-2}} f_n(k|z-z'|) \left(d\phi_+ \phi'_+ + d\phi_- \phi'_- \right) \right) dk;$$

note that $T_{1,3}$ is supported away from lb, bf and sf since the support of $d\phi$ is compact. It is a smooth function of z and x', y', k/x' which is rapidly decreasing in k/x'. It vanishes to order $(x')^{n-2}$ at x' = 0; note that x' is a boundary defining function for rb in this region. Moreover, it is given by

$$(x')^{n-2}e^{-k/x'}f_n(k/x')\left(d\phi_+\phi'_+ + d\phi_-\phi'_-\right) + O((x')^{n-1})$$

Changing variable of integration to k/x' and taking into account dk = x'd(k/x') we see that the integral is

$$C_n(x')^{n-1} \left(d\phi_+ \phi'_+ + d\phi_- \phi'_- \right) + O((x')^n)$$

at rb. If we do the analogous calculation for T_3 and add the results we find that the kernel of $T_{1,3} + T_3$ is given by

$$C_n(x')^{n-1} \left(d\Phi_+ \phi'_+ + d\Phi_- \phi'_- \right) + O((x')^n)$$

at rb. This proves the last statement of the lemma.

A similar computation can be done for T_3 at lb, but now the result vanishes to order n at the left boundary, because the derivative d, which is applied to the left variable of the kernel, increases the order of vanishing by 1 at the left boundary.

Consider next the kernel T_3 near the triple intersection of rb, rb0 and bf0. In this case, local boundary defining functions are $\rho_{\rm rb} = x'/k$, $\rho_{\rm bf0} = x$ and $\rho_{\rm rb0} = k/x$. We claim that the kernel is actually a smooth function of x'/k, x'/x, x, y and y' in this region, which is a stronger statement, since $x'/x = \rho_{\rm rb} \cdot \rho_{\rm rb0}$. To see this, note that the kernel of T_3 is equal to $e^{-1/\rho_{\rm rb}}$ times a C^{∞} function on $\overline{M}_{\rm sc,k}^2$. Generally, if h(u, v) is any smooth function of u and $v, u, v \ge 0$, then $e^{-1/u}h(u, v)$ is a smooth function of u and v/u. In other words, the function $\tilde{h}(u, w) = e^{-1/u}h(u, w/u)$ is smooth. (This is easily checked directly by differentiating \tilde{h} ; inverse powers of u are harmless due to the $e^{-1/u}$ factor.) Now let $u = \rho_{\rm rb}$ and $v = \rho_{\rm rb0}$, and treat the other coordinates as parameters, and the claim follows.

The kernel T_3 vanishes to order n-2 at rb0 and 2n-3 at bf0. We change variable of integration to k/x' as before, and the change of measure dk = x'd(k/x') gives us additional vanishing at *both* rb0 and bf0, since x' = (x'/x)x vanishes at both rb0 and bf0. Thus the result is a smooth function of (x'/x, x, y, y') which vanishes to order n-1 at $rb = \{x'/x = 0\}$ and order 2n-2 at $bf = \{x = 0\}$, which verifies the statement of the lemma near the corner $rb \cap bf \subset \overline{M}_{sc}^2$. The other regions of \overline{M}_{sc}^2 are treated similarly.

This lemma implies that, for $1 , <math>T_{1,3}+T_3$ is an element of $L^p(M; L^{p'}(M))$. Moreover, for $p \ge n$, this is not true since the function $(x')^{n-1}$ is not in $L^{p'}$ then, and the coefficient of $(x')^{n-1}$ is $d\Phi_{\pm}$ which does not vanish identically. Therefore $T_{1,3}+T_3$ cannot be applied to any bounded function equal to $x(\log x)^{-1}$ near infinity, which lies in L^p for $p \ge n$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Remark 5.2. If M has one Euclidean end then the same argument shows that the Riesz transform is bounded on L^p for all $1 . In this case, the parametrix <math>\tilde{G}(k)$ can be taken to be (compare with (3.18))

$$\frac{e^{-k|z-z'|}}{|z-z'|^{n-2}}f_n(k|z-z'|)\phi\phi' + G_{\rm int}(k)(1-\phi\phi') + \frac{e^{-k|z'|}}{|z'|^{n-2}}f_n(k|z'|)(1-\phi)\phi'.$$

In this case the role of Φ_{\pm} in the computation above is played by the constant function 1. The argument is the same as above, except that the gradient of 1 vanishes so that we get $\rho_{\rm rb}^n$ instead of $\rho_{\rm rb}^{n-1}$ in (5.4) (as outlined in the introduction), leading to the boundedness for all p strictly between 1 and ∞ .

6. Heat kernel

As part of the analysis of the heat kernel we analyzed the structure of the resolvent $(\Delta + k^2)^{-1}$ for real k, including an analysis of the asymptotics of its kernel when $k \to 0$. This analysis remains valid for any cone $\{k = i\lambda \mid \text{Im } \lambda \geq \epsilon \text{ Re } \lambda\}$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. We can use this to obtain information about the heat kernel H(t, z, z')of $e^{-t\Delta}$ on M via the contour integral

(6.1)
$$e^{-t\Delta} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} e^{-t\lambda^2} \left(\Delta - \lambda^2\right)^{-1} 2\lambda \, d\lambda$$

where Γ is the contour $\{\lambda = se^{-i\pi/12} \cup \lambda = se^{i\pi/12} \mid s \in \mathbb{R}^+\}.$

Let us focus on the heat kernel in the following asymptotic regime: We fix a point $z \in M$, which we think of as being in the 'compact part' of M (where the metric is not flat), and fix an end of M and a point $\omega \in S^{n-1}$ which we think of as a point at infinity for this end. Consider the behaviour of the heat kernel H(t, z, z') where $z' = r'\omega$ and $t \to \infty$, $r' \to \infty$ so that \sqrt{t}/r' approaches a finite positive limit σ .

Proposition 6.1. Assume that M has Euclidean ends, with the number of ends at least two. Under the limiting process described above, $t^{n/2}\nabla_z^{(l)}H(t,z,z')$ approaches a limit, for any value of l. Indeed

(6.2)
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{n/2} \nabla_z^{(l)} H(t, z, z') = (4\pi)^{-n/2} e^{-1/4\sigma^2} \nabla_z^{(l)} \Phi(z), \ \sigma = \frac{\sqrt{t}}{r'} > 0 \ fixed.$$

where Φ is the harmonic function which tends to 1 at the given end and tends to 0 at all other ends. In particular, we have a lower bound on the derivatives of the heat kernel for large time:

(6.3)
$$\sup_{z,z'\in M} \left| \nabla_z^{(l)} H(t,z,z') \right| \ge c_l t^{-n/2}, \text{ for some } c_l > 0, \quad t \ge 1.$$

Remark 6.2. For l = 0 this result is not surprising. The point of this proposition is that taking derivatives in the z variable gives no additional decay in the heat kernel (in this asymptotic regime). This contrasts with Euclidean space where each additional derivative gives additional decay of $t^{-1/2} = (\sigma r')^{-1}$.

Proof. The kth z-derivative of the heat kernel is given by the contour integral (6.1) with the resolvent replaced by the kth z-derivative of the resolvent. Clearly, to prove the theorem we only have to consider the kernel of the resolvent in a neighbourhood of rb and rb0.

Near the interior of rb0, and away from rb the function $\Lambda = \lambda/x'$ is a smooth function, which goes to infinity at rb; in fact, Λ^{-1} is a boundary defining function for rb. In this integral (6.1), the term $e^{-t\lambda^2} = e^{-\sigma^2\Lambda^2}$ therefore vanishes together with all its derivatives at rb, since $\sigma > 0$ by assumption, which means that we may ignore the expansion of the resolvent at rb. Hence to find the asymptotics the heat kernel near rb in this regime we only need to consider the expansion of the resolvent at rb0 (up to a correction that vanishes to infinite order as $r' \to \infty$).

Using the L^{∞} bounds (4.11), we may write the kth derivative of the resolvent kernel in the form

(6.4)
$$K_0(z, y', \Lambda) + K_1(z, y', \Lambda, r'),$$
$$K_0 = (r')^{-(n-2)} e^{i\Lambda} f_n(\Lambda) \nabla_z^{(l)} \Phi(z), \quad K_1 = O((r')^{-(n-1)})$$

in the region of interest. Let us first substitute K_0 for the resolvent into the integral (6.1). Thus we want to compute the limit

(6.5)
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{n/2} \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} e^{-t\lambda^2} (r')^{-n+2} e^{i\Lambda} f_n(\Lambda) \nabla_z^{(l)} \Phi(z) \lambda \, d\lambda$$

Substituting $\lambda = (r')^{-1}\Lambda$ and $t = \sigma^2 (r')^2$, and using $\lambda d\lambda = (r')^{-2}\Lambda d\Lambda$, we get

(6.6)
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{\pi i} \sigma^n \nabla_z^{(l)} \Phi(z) \int_{\Gamma} e^{-\sigma^2 \Lambda^2} e^{i\Lambda} f_n(\Lambda) \Lambda \, d\Lambda.$$

Taking the limit is trivial, since (6.6) is independent of t. To perform the integral, consider the case of \mathbb{R}^n , with kernel $(\Delta - \lambda^2)^{-1}(z, z')$ with z fixed to be the origin. This gives rise to an integral

(6.7)
$$\frac{1}{\pi i} (r')^{-n} \int_{\Gamma} e^{-\sigma^2 \Lambda^2} e^{i\Lambda} f_n(\Lambda) \Lambda \, d\Lambda$$

which is equal to

$$(4\pi t)^{-n/2}e^{-(r')^2/4t} = (4\pi t)^{-n/2}e^{-1/(4\sigma^2)}$$

Multiplying through by $(r')^n$ gives

$$\frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} e^{-\sigma^2 \Lambda^2} e^{i\Lambda} f_n(\Lambda) \Lambda \, d\Lambda = (4\pi)^{-n/2} \sigma^{-n} e^{-1/(4\sigma^2)}.$$

Hence, (6.6) is equal to

(6.8)
$$(4\pi)^{-n/2}e^{-1/(4\sigma^2)}\nabla_z^{(l)}\Phi(z),$$

which is the right hand side of (6.2). If we now substitute K_1 for the resolvent in (6.1), which vanishes to an additional order as $r' \to \infty$ as compared to K_0 , then the integral also vanishes to an additional order, giving a zero contribution to the limit (6.2). This proves the proposition.

It is also of interest to compute the leading behaviour of the heat kernel H(t, z, z')as $t \to \infty$ and as z, z' both tend to infinity, but along different ends. Suppose that $z = r\omega$, where $\omega \in S^{n-1}_{-}$ is fixed and that $z' = r'\omega', \omega' \in S^{n-1}_{+}$ is fixed, and suppose further that $\sqrt{t}/r \to \sigma, \sqrt{t}/r' \to \sigma'$ where $\sigma, \sigma' \in (0, \infty)$.

Proposition 6.3. Under this asymptotic regime, the limit

(6.9)
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{n-1} H(t, z, z') = q(\sigma, \sigma'), \quad \frac{\sqrt{t}}{r} \to \sigma, \ \frac{\sqrt{t}}{r'} \to \sigma'$$

exists and is finite. Hence in this asymptotic regime the heat kernel has t^{-n+1} decay as $t \to \infty$.

Remark 6.4. For $n \ge 3$ this is faster than the usual $t^{-n/2}$ decay. Hence Gaussian lower bounds do not hold for the heat kernel on M. This was observed in [6], and can be heuristically explained in terms of Bro wnian motion on M. Here we give an explicit quantitative description of the failure of this lower bound.

Proof. We shall perform a similar computation as in the proof of the previous proposition. Since $|z|/|z'| \to \sigma'/\sigma$ under this limiting regime, and z, z' go to infinity along different ends, we end up at the 'anti-diagonal' part of bf. Hence we need to consider the resolvent kernel near the anti-diagonal part of bf and bf0, where $y \in S_{-}^{n-1}$ and $y' \in S_{+}^{n-1}$. It is the $G_3(k)$ term which is important here; we need the leading behaviour of u_+ at the negative end. It is not hard to show that

$$u_{+} = A|z|^{-n+2}e^{-k|z|}f_{n}(k|z|) + O(|z|^{-n+1})$$
 for some $A > 0$.

at this end. Indeed, the harmonic function Φ_+ is equal to $A'|z|^{-n+2} + O(|z|^{-n+1})$ as $z \to \infty$ along this end, for some A' > 0. The leading coefficient $a_0(K)$ from Lemma 3.2 must then be equal to a constant times $e^{-K}f_n(K)$, which follows readily from the fact that $|z|^{-n+2}e^{-k|z|}f_n(k|z|)$ satisfies the equation $(\Delta + k^2)u = 0$. The specific structure of the parametrix G(k), together with the estimate (3.3) with l = 0, shows that in this region the resolvent kernel may be written as a sum

(6.10)
$$K_0(y, y', r', \Lambda) + K_1(y, y', r', \Lambda),$$
$$K_0 = Ar^{-n+2}(r')^{-n+2}e^{i\lambda r}f_n(\lambda r)e^{i\lambda r'}f_n(\lambda r'), \quad K_1 = O((r')^{-2(n-1)})$$

in the region of interest. Substituting K_0 for the resolvent into the integral (6.1), we obtain

(6.11)
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{n-1} \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} e^{-t\lambda^2} A r^{-n+2} (r')^{-n+2} e^{i\lambda r} f_n(\lambda r) e^{i\lambda r'} f_n(\lambda r') \lambda \, d\lambda.$$

Let $\alpha = \sigma'/\sigma = \lim r/r'$. Substituting $\lambda = (r')^{-1}\Lambda$ and $t = (\sigma')^2(r')^2$, and using $\lambda d\lambda = (r')^{-2}\Lambda d\Lambda$, we get (6.12)

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{n-1} (r')^{-2(n-1)} \alpha^{-n+2} \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} e^{-(\sigma')^2 \Lambda^2} e^{i\Lambda} f_n(\Lambda) e^{i\alpha\Lambda} f_n(\alpha\Lambda) \Lambda \, d\Lambda = C(\alpha, \sigma').$$

Thus the limit exists and is finite, when K_0 is substituted for the resolvent. As in the previous proof, when K_1 is substituted for the resolvent the limit is zero, since K_1 decays to an additional order at infinity. This completes the proof.

7. Riesz transform and L^p cohomology

Here (M^n, g) is a complete Riemannian manifold of dimension n.

We want here to discuss some consequence of the boundedness of the Riesz transform on L^p for some p > 2 for the L^p cohomology. On (M, g), the space of L^2 differential forms admits the Hodge decomposition

$$L^{2}(T^{*}M) = \mathcal{H}^{1}(M) \oplus \overline{dC_{0}^{\infty}(M)} \oplus \overline{d^{*}C_{0}^{\infty}(\Lambda^{2}T^{*}M)},$$

where $\mathcal{H}^1(M) = \{ \alpha \in L^2(T^*M), d\alpha = 0 = d^*\alpha \}$ (see [17]). Let us recall now the definition of reduced L^p -cohomology: for $p \ge 1$, the first space of reduced L^p cohomology of (M, g) is

$$H_p^1(M) = \frac{\{\alpha \in L^p(T^*M), d\alpha = 0\}}{\overline{dC_0^{\infty}(M)}} ,$$

where we take the closure in L^p . The first space of reduced L^2 cohomology can be identified with $\mathcal{H}^1(M)$. As noticed in [4], if we assume that for some $p \geq 2$ the Riesz transform $T := d\Delta^{-1/2}$ is bounded on L^p and on $L^{p/(p-1)}$, then the Hodge projector

$$P = d\Delta^{-1}d^* = TT^* : L^p(M; T^*M) \cap L^2(M; T^*M) \longrightarrow L^2(M; T^*M)$$

i.e. the orthogonal projector of $L^2(M;T^*M)$ onto the space of 'exact forms' extends by continuity to a bounded operator

$$P: L^p(M; T^*M) \longrightarrow L^p(M; T^*M).$$

We assume now that (M^n, g) is a complete Riemannian manifold, $n \ge 2$, satisfying the Nash inequality

(7.1)
$$\mu\left(\int_{M} f^{2} d\operatorname{vol}\right)^{1+2/n} \leq \left(\int_{M} |f| d\operatorname{vol}\right)^{4/n} \int_{M} |df|^{2} d\operatorname{vol}_{M}$$

for all $f \in C_0^{\infty}(M)$ and some $\mu > 0$, and that the volume growth of geodesic balls is uniformly bounded:

(7.2)
$$\forall x \in M, \forall r > 0, \text{ vol } B(x, r) \le Cr^n$$

It follows from [11] that (7.1) implies a matching lower bound:

(7.3)
$$\forall x \in M, \, \forall r > 0, \, \operatorname{vol} B(x, r) \ge cr^n.$$

Note that (7.1) easily implies the Faber-Krahn inequality :

(7.4)
$$\lambda_1(\Omega) \ge \mu \left(\operatorname{vol} \Omega \right)^{-2/n}$$

for all $\Omega \subset M$ with finite measure, where

$$\lambda_1(\Omega) = \inf\left\{\frac{\int_{\Omega} |df|^2 d\operatorname{vol}}{\int_{\Omega} f^2 d\operatorname{vol}}, f \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}\right\}$$

is the first eigenvalue for the Laplacian on Ω for the Dirichlet boundary conditions (in fact, (7.1) and (7.4) are equivalent, see [18]). Also, if n > 2, (7.1) is equivalent to the Sobolev inequality :

(7.5)
$$\nu\left(\int_{M}|f|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}d\operatorname{vol}\right)^{1-2/n} \leq \int_{M}|df|^{2}d\operatorname{vol}, \ \forall f \in C_{0}^{\infty}(M),$$

for some $\nu > 0$ (see for instance [7]).

According to [13], we know that on (M, g) the Riesz transform is bounded on L^q for $q \in]1, 2]$. Hence if we assume that for some $p \geq 2$ the Riesz transform is also bounded on L^p then, according to the above remark, the Hodge projector

$$P =: L^p(M; T^*M) \cap L^2(M; T^*M) \longrightarrow L^2(M; T^*M)$$

extends by continuity to a bounded operator

 $P: L^p(M; T^*M) \longrightarrow L^p(M; T^*M).$

Lemma 7.1. Under the hypotheses (7.1, 7.2), if the Riesz transform is bounded in L^p for some p > 2, then $P(L^p(M;T^*M))$ is the closure in $L^p(M;T^*M)$ of $dC_0^{\infty}(M)$.

Proof. According to [10], the Nash inequality implies that the semigroup $e^{-t\Delta}$ satisfies the bound

(7.6)
$$||e^{-t\Delta}||_{L^1 \to L^\infty} \le Ct^{-n/2}, \forall t > 0.$$

A result of N. Varopoulos ([30]) then implies the following mapping property for $q \in]1, n[:$

(7.7)
$$\Delta^{-1/2}: L^q(M) \to L^{qn/(n-q)}(M).$$

In order to prove the lemma, we have to show that if $\alpha \in C_0^{\infty}(M; T^*M)$ then $P\alpha$ can be approximated in L^p by a sequence of elements of $dC_0^{\infty}(M)$. We seek a sequence χ_k of smooth functions with compact support such that

$$L^p - \lim_{k \to \infty} d(\chi_k \Delta^{-1} d^* \alpha) = P \alpha.$$

Since we assume that the Riesz transform is bounded in L^p , we know that its adjoint

$$\Delta^{-1/2}d^*: L^{p/(p-1)}(M; T^*M) \to L^{p/(p-1)}(M)$$

is bounded. Hence we have $\Delta^{-1/2} d^* \alpha \in L^{p/(p-1)}(M)$. Note that the condition p/(p-1) < n is satisfied since we are assuming that $n \ge 2$, in which case $p > 2 \ge n/(n-1)$. Thus, by (7.7),

$$\Delta^{-1/2}\Delta^{-1/2}d^*\alpha = \Delta^{-1}d^*\alpha \in L^{\frac{pn}{n(p-1)-p}}(M).$$

Choose a point $o \in M$ and choose

$$\chi_k(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in B(o,k) \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin B(o,2k) \end{cases}$$

with $\|d\chi_k\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C/k.$

Since

$$d(\chi_k \Delta^{-1} d^* \alpha) = \chi_k (d\Delta^{-1} d^* \alpha) + d\chi_k (\Delta^{-1} d^* \alpha)$$

and

$$\chi_k(d\Delta^{-1}d^*\alpha) = \chi_k P\alpha$$

obviously tends to $P\alpha$ in L^p as $k \to \infty$, we need only to show that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \|d\chi_k(\Delta^{-1}d^*\alpha)\|_{L^p} = 0$$

We know that $\varphi = \Delta^{-1} d^* \alpha$ is harmonic outside a big ball $B(o, R_0)$ containing the support of α . Now the Faber-Krahn inequality (7.4) implies a mean value inequality for harmonic functions (see [19], Lemma 6.9) which yields:

$$|\varphi(x)| \le C(\mu, n, p)r^{-\frac{(p-1)n-p}{p}} \|\varphi\|_{L^{\frac{pn}{n(p-1)-p}}(B(x,r))},$$

provided that $B(x,r) \subset M \setminus B(o,R_0)$. In particular if $\rho(x) = \text{dist}(x,o) - R_0 > 0$ we obtain

$$|\varphi(x)|^p \le \frac{C}{\rho(x)^{(p-1)n-p}}.$$

Hence we finally obtain, if say $k \ge 2R_0$:

$$\|d\chi_k(\Delta^{-1}d^*\alpha)\|_{L^p}^p \le C \frac{\operatorname{vol} B(o,2k)}{k^{(p-1)n}} \le Ck^{(2-p)n}$$

which indeed goes to zero when $k \to \infty$. We have proved that

$$PL^p(M; T^*M)) \subset \overline{dC_0^{\infty}(M)}.$$

The converse inclusion follows from the fact that

$$dC_0^{\infty}(M) \subset PL^p(M; T^*M))$$

and that P, being a bounded projector, has a closed range.

As a consequence of Lemma 7.1, if the assumptions (7.1,7.2) are satisfied and if the Riesz transform is bounded on L^p for some p > 2, then $H_p^1(M)$ can be identified with:

$$\{\alpha \in L^p(M; T^*M) \mid d\alpha = 0 \text{ and } P\alpha = 0\}.$$

Moreover we also have

Lemma 7.2. Under the hypotheses (7.1, 7.2), if the Riesz transform is bounded in L^p for some p > 2, then

$$\{\alpha \in L^p(M; T^*M) \mid d^*\alpha = 0\} = \{\alpha \in L^p(M; T^*M) \mid P\alpha = 0\}.$$

Proof. As a matter of fact, we have $\{\alpha \in L^p(M; T^*M), P\alpha = 0\} = \text{Im}(\text{Id} - P)$. The density of $C_0^{\infty}(M; T^*M)$ in $L^p(M; T^*M)$ and the boundedness of P in L^p imply that $(\text{Id} - P)(C_0^{\infty}(M; T^*M))$ is dense in Im(Id - P). But we have

$$(\mathrm{Id}-P)(C_0^{\infty}(M;T^*M)) \subset \{\alpha \in L^p(M;T^*M) \mid d^*\alpha = 0\}.$$

The latter space is closed, hence we have the inclusion

$$\{\alpha \in L^p(M; T^*M) \mid P\alpha = 0\} \subset \{\alpha \in L^p(M; T^*M) \mid d^*\alpha = 0\}.$$

Now assume that $\alpha \in L^p(M; T^*M)$ is coclosed. We define a sequence of cutoff functions by

(7.8)
$$\chi_k(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in B(o,k) \\ \frac{\log(k^2/\operatorname{dist}(x,o))}{\log k} & \text{if } x \in B(o,k^2) \setminus B(o,k) \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin B(o,k^2) \end{cases}$$

Then $L^p - \lim_{k \to \infty} \chi_k \alpha = \alpha$ but now $\chi_k \alpha \in L^2$ and $P\chi_k \alpha = -T\Delta^{-1/2}(\operatorname{int}_{\nabla\chi_k}\alpha)$, because $d^*(\chi_k \alpha) = -\operatorname{int}_{\nabla\chi_k} \alpha$ (here $\operatorname{int}_{\nabla\chi_k} \alpha$ denotes the contraction of α with the vector field $\nabla\chi_k$). Take $l \in]1, n[$ with

$$\frac{1}{l} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{n}.$$

Then we have

 $\left\|\operatorname{int}_{\nabla\chi_k}\alpha\right\|_{L^l} \le \left\|\alpha\right\|_{L^p(M\setminus B(o,k))} \left\|\nabla\chi_k\right\|_{L^n}.$

But an easy computation leads to

$$\|\nabla \chi_k\|_{L^n}^n \le C(\log k)^{-(n-1)}$$

20

With (7.7), we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \left\| \Delta^{-1/2} (\operatorname{int}_{\nabla \chi_k} \alpha) \right\|_{L^p} = 0$$

hence by continuity of T we obtain $P\alpha = 0$.

In particular we obtain a Hodge-de Rham interpretation of the L^p cohomology:

Proposition 7.3. Under the hypotheses (7.1, 7.2), if the Riesz transform is bounded in L^p for some p > 2, then

$$H^1_p(M) \simeq \{ \alpha \in L^p(M; T^*M) \mid d\alpha = 0 \text{ and } d^*\alpha = 0 \}.$$

In general one cannot compare L^p cohomology for different values of p. However let us now assume that the Ricci curvature of M is bounded from below:

(7.9)
$$\operatorname{Ricci} \ge -(n-1)\kappa^2 g.$$

From the Bochner formula

$$\Delta \alpha \equiv \nabla^* \nabla \alpha + \operatorname{Ricci}(\alpha, \cdot)$$

we see that if α is a harmonic 1-form in L^2 , then it satisfies the subelliptic estimate

(7.10)
$$\Delta |\alpha| \le (n-1)\kappa^2 |\alpha|.$$

Indeed if for $\varepsilon > 0$ we define $f_{\varepsilon} = \sqrt{|\alpha|^2 + \varepsilon}$, then it is classical to show that the Bochner formula and the Kato inequality imply

$$\Delta f_{\varepsilon} \le (n-1)\kappa^2 f_{\varepsilon}.$$

Passing to the limit $\varepsilon = 0$, we get the desired subelliptic estimate (only in the distributional sense). Hence with the Nash inequality we can deduce that α is in fact bounded and

(7.11)
$$\|\alpha\|_{L^{\infty}} \le C(n,\kappa,\mu) \|\alpha\|_{L^2};$$

this can be done using a Nash-Moser iteration scheme [8], but we can also use our upper bound on the heat operator with the inequality (7.10), to assert that for every $x \in M$

$$t \mapsto \left(e^{-t(\Delta - (n-1)\kappa^2)} |\alpha| \right) (x)$$

is non-decreasing. With the mapping properties of the heat operator (7.6) we obtain

 $|\alpha|(x) \le Ct^{-n/2} e^{t(n-1)\kappa^2} \|\alpha\|_{L^2};$

with $1/t = (n-1)\kappa^2$, we obtain the desired bound. Hence there is a well defined map

$$\mathcal{H}^1(M) \to H^1_p(M).$$

Proposition 7.3 immediately implies

Lemma 7.4. Assume that (7.1, 7.2, 7.9) hold, and that for some p > 2, the Riesz transform is bounded on L^p and on $L^{p/(p-1)}$. Then the natural map

$$\mathcal{H}^1(M) \to H^1_p(M)$$

is injective.

A corollary of this lemma is:

21

Corollary 7.5. Assume that n > 2, and that (M, g) satisfies the assumptions (7.1, 7.2, 7.9) and that it has more than two ends, then for every $p \ge n$ the Riesz transform is not bounded on L^p .

Proof. In n > 2, then (M, g) satisfies the Sobolev inequality (7.5), and following [9], we know that if M has more than two ends there exists a non-constant bounded harmonic function h with finite Dirichlet energy⁴, hence dh is a harmonic L^2 1-form. Take χ_k as in (7.8). We have $d\chi_k h = \chi_k dh + hd\chi_k$, but if $p \ge n$, then if $V(r) := \operatorname{vol} B(o, r)$ we have

$$\|hd\chi_k\|_{L^p}^p \le C \|h\|_{L^{\infty}}^p \int_k^{k^2} ((\log k)r)^{-p} dV(r)$$

and integrating by parts we have :

$$\int_{k}^{k^{2}} r^{-p} dV(r) = \frac{\operatorname{vol} B(o, k^{2})}{k^{2p}} - \frac{\operatorname{vol} B(o, k)}{k^{p}} + p \int_{k}^{k^{2}} \frac{\operatorname{vol} B(o, r)}{r^{p+1}} dr.$$

This quantity is bounded for p > n and grows as $C \log k$ if p = n. Hence we obtain that $dh = L^p - \lim_{k\to\infty} d(\chi_k h)$. Hence dh is zero in reduced L^p cohomology. Since dh is non-zero in $\mathcal{H}^1(M)$, and since under (7.1,7.2) the Riesz transform is bounded on L^p for $1 , Lemma (7.4) says that it can not be bounded on <math>L^p$ if $p \ge n$.

This generalizes the unboundedness part of Theorem 1.1 to the much larger class of manifolds satisfying (7.1), (7.2), (7.9).

8. Concluding remarks and open problems

In this final section we discuss some questions suggested by the work above, and pose some open problems.

For any complete Riemannian manifold M of infinite measure there are numbers $p_{\min} \leq 2 \leq p_{\max}$ such that the Riesz transform is bounded on L^p for all p between p_{\min} and p_{\max} (it may or may not be bounded at $p = p_{\min}$ or $p = p_{\max}$). We may call these values the lower and upper thresholds for M.

There are a number of classes of manifolds on which the Riesz transform is known to be bounded on L^p for all p (in other words, $p_{\min} = 1$ and $p_{\max} = \infty$); for example, manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature [5], Cartan-Hadamard manifolds with a spectral gap [25], noncompact symmetric spaces [2] and Lie groups of polynomial growth [1] (see [4] for more examples and references). On the other hand, Coulhon and Ledoux showed in [15] that for any $p_0 > 2$ there is a manifold M with bounded geometry such that $p_{\max} \leq p_0$. Another example, with polynomial volume growth, was given in [14]. Since, as we mentioned earlier, it was shown by Coulhon and Duong that $p_{\min} = 1$ for a large class of complete manifolds, and that $p_{\max} = 2$ for certain simple surfaces, one could wonder whether 2 is the upper threshold for a large class of manifolds. But H.-Q. Li [24] proved that for *n*-dimensional cones with compact basis,

$$p_{\max} = \begin{cases} n\left(\frac{n}{2} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 + \lambda_1}\right)^{-1}, & \lambda_1 < n-1\\ +\infty, & \lambda_1 \ge n-1, \end{cases}$$

⁴In fact if $M \setminus K = U_+ \cup U_-$ with K compact and U_{\pm} unbounded then $\lim_{x \to \infty, x \in U_+} h(x) = \pm 1$.

where λ_1 is the smallest nonzero eigenvalue of the Laplacian on the basis. Note that $p_{\text{max}} > n$ here.

Open Problem 8.1. Is a result similar to H.-Q. Li's valid for smooth manifolds with one conic or asymptotically conic end? what happens for several conic ends?

Manifolds with more than one Euclidean end satisfy the doubling condition but not the scaled L^2 Poincaré inequality. Our result sheds some light on the implications for the Riesz transform of these conditions: it follows from [24] and [16] that doubling together with Poincaré (equivalently, upper and lower Gaussian estimates of the heat kernel) are not sufficient for the Riesz transform to be bounded for *all* p > 2. Theorem 1.1 shows that these conditions are not necessary for the Riesz transform to be bounded for *some* p > 2.

The class of manifolds with Euclidean ends is of course extremely special. One can attempt to enlarge the class of known examples synthetically, i.e. by creating further examples from known examples by performing various operations. Our results may be seen as obstructions to the stability of the L^p boundedness of the Riesz transform under gluing for p above the dimension.

Open Problem 8.2. Under which conditions is boundedness of the Riesz transform on L^p stable under the following operations on manifolds:

- gluing,
- compact metric perturbations,
- taking products, $((M_1, g_1), (M_2, g_2)) \to (M_1 \times M_2, g_1 \oplus g_2)$,
- warped products.

We only mention, without proof, one result along these lines. Namely, if the Riesz transform is bounded on L^p on a complete Riemannian manifold M of infinite measure, and with Ricci curvature bounded from below, then it is bounded on L^p on $M \times N$ for any compact N.

We also mention a conjecture on manifolds obtained by gluing several copies of a simply connected nilpotent Lie group (endowed with a left invariant metric). According to [1] we know that the Riesz transform is bounded for every p on a simply connected nilpotent Lie group. Let (N, g_0) be a simply connected nilpotent Lie group of dimension n > 2 (endowed with a left invariant metric). According to [1] we know that the Riesz transform on (N, g_0) is bounded for every p. Let ν be the homogeneous dimension of N; for instance we can set

$$\nu = \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{\log \operatorname{vol} B(o, R)}{\log R},$$

 $o \in N$ being a fixed point. Let (M, g) be a manifold obtained by gluing k > 1 copies of (N, g_0) . The manifold M is diffeomorphic to the sphere with k points removed. According to [13] we know that on (M, g) the Riesz transform is bounded on L^p for $p \in]1, 2]$. The argument of Section 7 can be applied (changing the n appearing in analytic inequalities by the homogeneous dimension ν). Then (7.5) implies that the Riesz transform is not bounded on L^p for $p \ge \nu$. We can moreover compute the L^p cohomology of M. The arguments of Proposition 3.3 of [12] are given for the L^2 cohomology of such M but they can be easily modified for L^p cohomology. We find that for $p \in]1, \nu[$,

$$H_p^1(M) \simeq H_c^1(M) = \mathbf{R}^{k-1},$$

whereas for $p \geq \nu$ we find

$$H_p^1(M) = \{0\}.$$

That is, the conclusion of Lemma 7.4 is satisfied if and only if $p < \nu$. This gives another proof of the fact that the Riesz transform is not bounded on L^p for $p \ge \nu$.

Open Problem 8.3. Show that the Riesz transform on (M, g) is bounded on L^p for $p \in]1, \nu[$.

Finally, it would be interesting to get results for differential forms. One can consider either $\nabla \Delta^{-1/2}$ or $(d + \delta) \Delta^{-1/2}$, where $\Delta = (d + \delta)^2$ is the Laplacian on forms and $\Delta^{-1/2}$ really means $f(\Delta)$ where f(0) = 0 and $f(x) = x^{-1/2}$ for x > 0 (this projects off the L^2 null space of Δ , i.e. the L^2 -cohomology, which is trivial in the case of 0-forms when M has infinite measure).

Open Problem 8.4. Determine the upper and lower thresholds for $(d + \delta)\Delta^{-1/2}$ or $\nabla \Delta^{-1/2}$ acting on k-forms on manifolds with Euclidean ends.

Open Problem 8.5. Extend the results of section 7 to differential forms of all degrees.

References

- G. Alexopoulos, An application of homogenization theory to harmonic analysis: Harnack inequalities and Riesz transforms on Lie groups of polynomial growth, *Canad. J. Math.* 44 (1992), 691–727.
- [2] J.-Ph. Anker, Sharp estimates for some functions of the Laplacian on noncompact symmetric spaces, Duke Math. J. 65 (1992), no. 2, 257–297.
- [3] P. Auscher and T. Coulhon, Riesz transform on manifolds and Poincaré inequalities, preprint 2004.
- [4] P. Auscher, T. Coulhon, X.T. Duong and S. Hofmann, Riesz transform on manifolds and heat kernel regularity, to appear in Ann. Sc. E.N.S.
- [5] D. Bakry, Etude des transformations de Riesz dans les variétés riemanniennes à courbure de Ricci minorée, Séminaire de Probabilités, XXI, 137–172, Lecture Notes in Math., 1247, Springer, Berlin, 1987.
- [6] I. Benjamini, I. Chavel and E. Feldman, Heat kernel lower bounds on manifolds using the old ideas of Nash, unpublished manuscript, 1993.
- [7] D. Bakry, T. Coulhon, M. Ledoux, L. Saloff-Coste, Sobolev inequalities in disguise, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 44 (1995), no. 4, 1033–1074.
- [8] P. Bérard, From vanishing theorems to estimating theorems: the Bochner technique revisited, Bull. A.M. S. 19 (1988), 371–406.
- H.D. Cao, Y. Shen and Yingand S. Zhu, The structure of stable minimal hypersurfaces in Rⁿ⁺¹, Math. Res. Lett. 4 (1997), no. 5, 637–644.
- [10] E.A. Carlen, S. Kusuoka and D. Stroock, Upper bounds for symmetric Markov transition functions, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Probab. Statist. 23 (1987), no. 2, suppl., 245–287.
- [11] G. Carron, Inégalités isopérimétriques de Faber-Krahn et conséquences, in Actes de la table ronde de géométrie différentielle (Luminy, 1992), Sémin. Congr., vol. 1, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1996, pp. 205–232.
- [12] G. Carron, L²-cohomologie et parabolicité, preprint 2004 math.DG/0407163.
- [13] T. Coulhon and X.T. Duong, Riesz transforms for $1 \leq p \leq 2,$ Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 351 (1999), 1151-1169.
- [14] T. Coulhon and X.T. Duong, Riesz transform and related inequalities on non-compact Riemannian manifolds, Comm. in Pure and Appl. Math. 56 (2003), no. 12, 1728-1751.
- [15] T. Coulhon and M. Ledoux, Isopérimétrie, décroissance du noyau de la chaleur et transformations de Riesz: un contre-exemple, Ark. Mat. 32 (1994), no. 1, 63–77.
- [16] T. Coulhon T., Li H.Q., Estimations inférieures du noyau de la chaleur sur les variétés coniques et transformée de Riesz, Archiv der Mathematik 83 (2004), 229–242.
- [17] G. De Rham, Variétés différentiables, formes, courants, formes harmoniques, Hermann, 3rd edition, 1973.

24

- [18] A. Grigor'yan, Heat kernel upper bounds on a complete non-compact manifold, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 10 (1994), no. 2, 395–452.
- [19] A. Grigor' yan, Estimates of heat kernels on Riemannian manifolds, in Spectral theory and geometry (Edinburgh, 1998), 140–225, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 273, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [20] A. Grigor' yan and L. Saloff-Coste, Heat kernel on connected sums of Riemannian manifolds, Math. Res. Lett. 6 (1999), no. 3-4, 307–321.
- [21] A. Hassell and A. Vasy, Symbolic functional calculus and N-body resolvent estimates, J. Funct. Anal. 173 (2000), 257–283.
- [22] A. Hassell and A. Vasy, The resolvent for Laplace-type operators on asymptotically conic spaces, Ann. Inst. Fourier 51 (2001), 1299-1346.
- [23] L. Hörmander, The analysis of linear partial differential operators, vol. 3, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [24] H.-Q. Li, La transformation de Riesz sur les variétés coniques, J. Funct. Anal. 168 (1999), no. 1, 145–238.
- [25] N. Lohoué, Comparaison des champs de vecteurs et des puissances du laplacien sur une variété riemannienne à courbure non positive, J. Funct. Anal. 61 (1985), no. 2, 164–201.
- [26] R.B. Melrose, Spectral and scattering theory for the Laplacian on asymptotically Euclidian spaces, in *Spectral and Scattering Theory*, M. Ikawa, ed., Marcel Dekker, 1994.
- [27] R.B. Melrose, Calculus of conormal distributions on manifolds with corners, Int. Math. Res. Not. 3 (1992), 51–61.
- [28] R.B. Melrose, The Atiyah-Patodi-Singer Index Theorem, A. K. Peters, Wellesley, MA, 1993.
- [29] E.M. Stein, Harmonic Analysis, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
- [30] N.Th. Varopoulos, Hardy-Littlewood theory for semigroups, J. Funct. Anal. 63 (1985), no. 2, 240–260.

Département de Mathématiques, Université de Nantes, 44322, Nantes, FRANCE E-mail address: gilles.carron@math.univ-nantes.fr

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE CERGY-PONTOISE, 95302, PONTOISE, FRANCE *E-mail address*: thierry.coulhon@math.u-cergy.fr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ANU, CANBERRA, ACT 0200, AUSTRALIA *E-mail address*: hassell@maths.anu.edu.au