



Eigenvalues of Laplacian with constant magnetic field on non-compact hyperbolic surfaces with finite area

Abderemane Morame, Francoise Truc

▶ To cite this version:

Abderemane Morame, Francoise Truc. Eigenvalues of Laplacian with constant magnetic field on non-compact hyperbolic surfaces with finite area. Letters in Mathematical Physics, Springer Verlag, 2011, 97 (2), pp.203-211. <10.1007/s11005-011-0489-6>. <hal-00462411v2>

HAL Id: hal-00462411 https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00462411v2

Submitted on 10 May 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Eigenvalues of Laplacian with constant magnetic field on noncompact hyperbolic surfaces with finite area

Abderemane MORAME¹ and Françoise TRUC²

¹ Université de Nantes, Faculté des Sciences, Dpt. Mathématiques, UMR 6629 du CNRS, B.P. 99208, 44322 Nantes Cedex 3, (FRANCE), E.Mail: morame@math.univ-nantes.fr

² Université de Grenoble I, Institut Fourier, UMR 5582 CNRS-UJF, B.P. 74,
38402 St Martin d'Hères Cedex, (France), E.Mail: Francoise.Truc@ujf-grenoble.fr

Abstract

We consider a magnetic Laplacian $-\Delta_A = (id + A)^*(id + A)$ on a noncompact hyperbolic surface **M** with finite area. A is a real one-form and the magnetic field dA is constant in each cusp. When the harmonic component of A satisfies some quantified condition, the spectrum of $-\Delta_A$ is discrete. In this case we prove that the counting function of the eigenvalues of $-\Delta_A$ satisfies the classical Weyl formula, even when dA = 0.¹

1 Introduction

We consider a smooth, connected, complete and oriented Riemannian surface (\mathbf{M}, g) and a smooth, real one-form A on \mathbf{M} . We define the magnetic Laplacian, the Bochner Laplacian

$$-\Delta_A = (i \ d + A)^* (i \ d + A) , \qquad (1.1)$$
$$((i \ d + A)u = i \ du + uA , \forall u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{M}; \mathbb{C}) .$$

The magnetic field is the exact two-form $\rho_B = dA$. If dm is the Riemannian measure on **M**, then

$$\rho_B = \widetilde{\mathbf{b}} \, dm \,, \quad \text{with} \quad \widetilde{\mathbf{b}} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbf{M}; \mathbb{R}) \,.$$
(1.2)

 $^{^1}$ Keywords : spectral asymptotics, magnetic field, Aharanov-Bohm, hyperbolic surface.

The magnetic intensity is $\mathbf{b} = |\mathbf{b}|$.

It is well known, (see [Shu]), that $-\Delta_A$ has a unique self-adjoint extension on $L^2(\mathbf{M})$, containing in its domain $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbf{M}; \mathbb{C})$, the space of smooth and compactly supported functions. The spectrum of $-\Delta_A$ is gauge invariant : for any $f \in C^1(\mathbf{M}; \mathbb{R})$, $-\Delta_A$ and $-\Delta_{A+df}$ are unitarily equivalent, hence they have the same spectrum.

We are interested in constant magnetic fields on \mathbf{M} in the case when (\mathbf{M}, g) is a non-compact geometrically finite hyperbolic surface of finite area; (see [Per] or [Bor] for the definition and the related references). More precisely

$$\mathbf{M} = \bigcup_{j=0}^{J} M_j \tag{1.3}$$

where the M_j are open sets of \mathbf{M} , such that the closure of M_0 is compact, and (when $J \ge 1$) the other M_j are cuspidal ends of \mathbf{M} .

This means that, for any j, $1 \leq j \leq J$, there exist strictly positive constants a_j and L_j such that M_j is isometric to $\mathbb{S} \times]a_j^2, +\infty[$, equipped with the metric

$$ds_j^2 = y^{-2} (L_j^2 d\theta^2 + dy^2); \qquad (1.4)$$

 $(\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}^1 \text{ is the unit circle and } M_j \cap M_k = \emptyset \text{ if } j \neq k)$. Let us choose some $z_0 \in M_0$ and let us define

$$d : \mathbf{M} \to \mathbb{R}_+; \quad d(z) = d_g(z, z_0); \tag{1.5}$$

 $d_q(.,.)$ denotes the distance with respect to the metric g.

For any $b \in \mathbb{R}^J$, there exists a one-form A, such that the corresponding magnetic field dA satisfies

$$dA = \widetilde{\mathbf{b}}(z)dm \quad \text{with} \quad \widetilde{\mathbf{b}}(z) = b_j \ \forall \ z \in M_j \ .$$
 (1.6)

The following statement on the essential spectrum is proven in [Mo-Tr1]:

Theorem 1.1 Assume (1.3) and (1.6). Then for any j, $1 \le j \le J$ and for any $z \in M_j$ there exists a unique closed curve through z, $C_{j,z}$ in (M_j, g) , not contractible and with zero g-curvature. ($C_{j,z}$ is called an horocycle of M_j). The following limit exists and is finite:

$$[A]_{M_j} = \lim_{d(z) \to +\infty} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{j,z}} A .$$
(1.7)

If $J^A = \{j \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq j \leq J \text{ s.t. } [A]_{M_j} \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z} \} \neq \emptyset$, then $\operatorname{sp}_{ess}(-\Delta_A) = \left[\frac{1}{4} + \min_{j \in J^A} b_j^2, +\infty\right].$ (1.8)

If $J^A = \emptyset$, then $\operatorname{sp}_{ess}(-\Delta_A) = \emptyset$: $-\Delta_A$ has purely discrete spectrum, (its resolvent is compact).

When the magnetic Laplacian $-\Delta_A$ has purely discrete spectrum, it is called a magnetic bottle, (see [Col2]).

If $A = df + A^H + A^{\delta}$ is the Hodge decomposition of A with A^H harmonic, $(dA^H = 0 \text{ and } d^*A^H = 0)$, then $\forall j$, $[A]_{M_j} = [A^H]_{M_j}$, so the discreteness of the spectrum of $-\Delta_A$ depends only on the harmonic component of A. So one can see the case $J^A = \emptyset$ as an Aharonov-Bohm phenomenon [Ah-Bo], a situation where the magnetic field dA is not sufficient to describe $-\Delta_A$ and the use of the magnetic potential A is essential : we can have magnetic bottle with null intensity.

2 The Weyl formula in the case of finite area with a non-integer class one-form

Here we are interested in the pure point part of the spectrum. We assume that $J^A = \emptyset$, then the spectrum of $-\Delta_A$ is discrete. In this case, we denote by $(\lambda_j)_j$ the increasing sequence of eigenvalues of $-\Delta_A$, (each eigenvalue is repeated according to its multiplicity). Let

$$N(\lambda, -\Delta_A) = \sum_{\lambda_j < \lambda} 1.$$
 (2.1)

We will show that the asymptotic behavior of $N(\lambda)$ is given by the Weyl formula :

Theorem 2.1 Consider a geometrically finite hyperbolic surface (\mathbf{M}, g) of finite area, and assume (1.6) with $J^A = \emptyset$, (see (1.7 for the definition). Then

$$N(\lambda, -\Delta_A) = \lambda \frac{|\mathbf{M}|}{4\pi} + \mathbf{O}(\sqrt{\lambda} \ln \lambda) . \qquad (2.2)$$

Remark 2.2 As J^A depends only on the harmonic component of A, J^A is not empty when **M** is simply connected. In [Go-Mo] there are some results close to Theorem 2.1, but for simply connected manifolds.

The cases where the magnetic field prevails were studied in [Mo-Tr1] and in [Mo-Tr2].

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Any constant depending only on the b_j and on $\min_{1 \le j \le J} \inf_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |[A]_{M_j} - 2k\pi|$ will be denoted invariably C.

Consider a cusp $M = M_j = \mathbb{S} \times]\alpha^2, +\infty[$ equipped with the metric $ds^2 = L^2 e^{-2t} d\theta^2 + dt^2$ for some $\alpha > 0$ and L > 0.

Let us denote by $-\Delta^M_A$ the Dirichlet operator on M, associated to $-\Delta_A$. The first step will be to prove that

$$N(\lambda, -\Delta_A^M) = \lambda \frac{|M|}{4\pi} + \mathbf{O}(\sqrt{\lambda} \ln \lambda) . \qquad (2.3)$$

Since $-\Delta_A^M$ and $-\Delta_{A+d\varphi+kd\theta}^M$ are gauge equivalent for any $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbf{M}}; \mathbb{R})$ and any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we can assume that

$$-\Delta_A^M = L^{-2} e^{2t} (D_\theta - A_1)^2 + D_t^2 + \frac{1}{4} , \quad \text{with} \quad A_1 = -\xi \pm b L e^{-t} , \ \xi \in]0,1[,$$

 $(b=b_j \;,\; 2\pi\xi-[A]_M\;\in\; 2\pi\mathbb{Z})$. Then we get that

$$\operatorname{sp}(-\Delta_A^M) = \bigcup_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{sp}(P_\ell) \; ; \; P_\ell = D_t^2 + \frac{1}{4} + \left(e^t \frac{(\ell + \xi)}{L} \pm b\right)^2 \; ,$$

for the Dirichlet condition on $L^2(I; dt)$; $I =]\alpha^2, +\infty[$. This implies that

$$N(\lambda, -\Delta_A^M) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} N(\lambda, P_\ell) = \sum_{\ell \in X_\lambda} N(\lambda, P_\ell)$$
(2.4)

with $X_{\lambda} = \{ \ell \ / \ e^{\alpha^2} \frac{|\ell + \xi|}{L} < \sqrt{\lambda - 1/4} - b \}$. Denoting by Q_{ℓ} the Dirichlet operator on I associated to

$$Q_{\ell} = D_t^2 + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{(\ell + \xi)^2}{L^2} e^{2t}$$
,

we easily get that

$$Q_{\ell} - C\sqrt{Q_{\ell}} \leq P_{\ell} \leq Q_{\ell} + C\sqrt{Q_{\ell}} . \qquad (2.5)$$

Therefore one can find a constant C(b), depending only on b, such that, for any $\lambda >> 1 + C(b)$,

$$N(\lambda - \sqrt{\lambda}C(b), Q_{\ell}) \leq N(\lambda, P_{\ell}) \leq N(\lambda + \sqrt{\lambda}C(b), Q_{\ell}) .$$
 (2.6)

Following Titchmarsh's method ([Tit], Theorem 7.4) we establish the following bounds

Lemma 2.3 There exists C > 1 so that for any $\mu >> 1$ and any $\ell \in X_{\mu}$,

$$w_{\ell}(\mu) - \pi \leq \pi N(\mu - \frac{1}{4}, Q_{\ell}) \leq w_{\ell}(\mu) + \frac{1}{12} \ln \mu + C$$
, (2.7)

with

$$w_{\ell}(\mu) = \int_{\alpha^{2}}^{+\infty} \left[\mu - \frac{(\ell + \xi)^{2}}{L^{2}} e^{2t} \right]_{+}^{1/2} dt \qquad (2.8)$$
$$= \int_{\alpha^{2}}^{T_{\mu,L}} \left[\mu - \frac{(\ell + \xi)^{2}}{L^{2}} e^{2t} \right]_{+}^{1/2} dt ;$$
$$(e^{T_{\mu,L}} = L\sqrt{\mu}/(\inf_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} |\xi - k|)).$$

Proof of Lemma 2.3

The lower bound is easily obtained (see [Tit], Formula 7.1.2 p 143) so we

focus on the upper bound. Let us define $V_{\ell} = \frac{(\ell+\xi)^2}{L^2} e^{2t}$ and denote by ϕ_{μ}^{ℓ} a solution of $Q_{\ell}\phi = (\mu - \frac{1}{4})\phi$. Consider x_{ℓ} and y_{ℓ} so that $V_{\ell}(x_{\ell}) = \mu$ and $V_{\ell}(y_{\ell}) = \nu$, for a given $0 < \nu < \mu$ to be determined later. We denote by m the number of zeros of ϕ_{μ}^{ℓ} on $]\alpha^{2}, y_{\ell}[$. Recall that the number n of zeros of ϕ_{μ}^{ℓ} on $]\alpha^{2}, x_{\ell}[$ is equal to $N(\mu - \frac{1}{4}, Q_{\ell})$. Applying Lemma 7.3 p 146 in [Tit] we deduce that

$$m\pi = \int_{\alpha^2}^{y_{\ell}} \left[\mu - V_{\ell}\right]^{1/2} dt + R_{\ell}$$

with $R_{\ell} = \frac{1}{4} \ln(\mu - V_{\ell}(\alpha^2)) - \frac{1}{4} \ln(\mu - V_{\ell}(y_{\ell})) + \pi$, hence

$$|n\pi - \int_{\alpha^2}^{x_\ell} \left[\mu - V_\ell\right]^{1/2} dt| \le (x_\ell - y_\ell)(\mu - \nu)^{1/2} + R_\ell + (n - m)\pi$$

According to the Sturm comparison theorem ([Tit], p 107-108), we have

$$(n-m)\pi \le (x_{\ell}-y_{\ell})(\mu-\nu)^{1/2}$$

and

$$|n\pi - \int_{\alpha^2}^{x_\ell} [\mu - V_\ell]^{1/2} dt| \le \ln(\frac{\mu}{\nu})(\mu - \nu)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{4}\ln\mu - \frac{1}{4}\ln(\mu - \nu) + 2\pi$$

Now taking $\nu = \mu - \mu^{2/3}$ we get the desired estimate.

In view of (2.4) we now compute $\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} w_{\ell}(\mu)$. We first get the following

Lemma 2.4 There exists C > 1 such that, for any $\mu >> 1$ and any $t \in [\alpha^2, T_{\mu,L}]$,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\mu - \frac{(x+\xi)^2}{L^2} e^{2t} \right]_{+}^{1/2} dx - \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[\mu - \frac{(\ell+\xi)^2}{L^2} e^{2t} \right]_{+}^{1/2} \right| \leq C(\sqrt{\mu} + \frac{e^t}{L}).$$

This leads to

Lemma 2.5 There exists C > 1 such that, for any $\mu >> 1$,

$$\left| \int_{\alpha^2}^{T_{\mu,L}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\mu - \frac{(x+\xi)^2}{L^2} e^{2t} \right]_{+}^{1/2} dx dt - \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} w_{\ell}(\mu) \right| \leq C \sqrt{\mu} \ln \mu \, dx \, dt - \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} w_{\ell}(\mu) \, dx \, dt = C \sqrt{\mu} \ln \mu \, dx \, dt + C \sqrt{\mu} \ln \mu \, dx \, dt + C \sqrt{\mu} \ln \mu \, dx \, dt = C \sqrt{\mu} \ln \mu \, dx \, dt + C \sqrt{\mu} \ln \mu \, dx \, dt = C \sqrt{\mu} \ln \mu \, dx \, dt + C \sqrt{\mu} \ln \mu \, dx \, dt = C \sqrt{\mu} \ln \mu \, dx \, dt + C \sqrt{\mu} \ln \mu \, dx \, dt = C \sqrt{\mu} \ln \mu \, dx \, dt + C \sqrt{\mu} \ln \mu \, dx \, dt = C \sqrt{\mu} \ln \mu \, dx \, dt + C \sqrt{\mu} \ln \mu \, dx \, dt = C \sqrt{\mu} \ln \mu \, dx$$

We now compute the integral in the left-hand side. Making the change of variables $y^2 = \frac{(x+\xi)^2}{L^2\mu}e^{2t}$ we obtain that it is equal to $\mu L \int_{\alpha^2}^{T_{\mu,L}} e^{-t} dt \int_{\mathbb{R}} [1-x^2]_+^{1/2} dx$, so we get

Lemma 2.6 There exists C > 1 such that, for any $\mu >> 1$,

$$\left| \int_{\alpha^{2}}^{T_{\mu,L}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\mu - \frac{(x+\xi)^{2}}{L^{2}} e^{2t} \right]_{+}^{1/2} dx dt - \mu L e^{-\alpha^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[1 - x^{2} \right]_{+}^{1/2} dx \right| \leq C \sqrt{\mu}$$

Noticing that $|M| = 2\pi L e^{-\alpha^2}$ and using Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6 we have

Lemma 2.7

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\ell} \in w_{\ell}(\mu) = \frac{|M|}{4\pi} \mu + \mathbf{O}(\sqrt{\mu} \ln \mu), \quad \text{as} \quad \mu \to +\infty$$

In view of (2.4), (2.6) and (2.7) Lemma 2.7 ends the proof of formula (2.3). Now it remains to consider the whole surface **M**.

Now it remains to $\left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{J} M_{j}\right)$ We have : $\mathbf{M} = \left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{J} M_{j}\right)$

where the M_j are open sets of **M**, such that the closure of M_0 is compact, and the other M_j are cuspidal ends of **M** and

$$M_j \cap M_k = \emptyset$$
, if $j \neq k$. We denote $M_0^0 = \mathbf{M} \setminus (\bigcup_{j=1}^J \overline{M_j})$, then

$$\mathbf{M} = \overline{M_0^0} \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^J \overline{M_j}\right).$$
(2.9)

Let us denote respectively by $-\Delta_{A,D}^{\Omega}$ and by $-\Delta_{A,N}^{\Omega}$ the Dirichlet operator and the Neumann-like operator on an open set Ω of **M** associated to $-\Delta_A$. The minimax principle and (2.9) imply that

$$N(\lambda, -\Delta_{A,D}^{M_0^0}) + \sum_{1 \le j \le J} N(\lambda, -\Delta_{A,D}^{M_j}) \le N(\lambda, -\Delta_A)$$

$$\le N(\lambda, -\Delta_{A,N}^{M_0^0}) + \sum_{1 \le j \le J} N(\lambda, -\Delta_{A,N}^{M_j})$$
(2.10)

The Weyl formula with remainder, (see [Hor] for Dirichlet boundary condition and [Sa-Va] p. 9 for Neumann-like boundary condition), gives that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} N(\lambda, -\Delta_{A,D}^{M_0^0}) = (4\pi)^{-1} |M_0^0| \lambda + \mathbf{O}(\sqrt{\lambda}) \\ N(\lambda, -\Delta_{A,N}^{M_0^0}) = (4\pi)^{-1} |M_0^0| \lambda + \mathbf{O}(\sqrt{\lambda}) \end{array} \right\} .$$
 (2.11)

The asymptotic formula for $N(\lambda, -\Delta_{A,N}^{M_j})$,

$$N(\lambda, -\Delta_{A,N}^{M_j}) = \lambda \frac{|M_j|}{4\pi} + \mathbf{O}(\sqrt{\lambda}\ln\lambda) , \qquad (2.12)$$

is obtained as for the Dirichlet case (2.3) (with $M = M_i$), by noticing that $N(\lambda,P_{\ell,D}) \leq N(\lambda,P_{\ell,N}) \leq N(\lambda,P_{\ell,D}) + 1$, where $P_{\ell,D}$ and $P_{\ell,N}$ are Dirichlet and Neumann operators on a half-line $I =]\alpha^2, +\infty[$, associated to the same differential Schödinger operator $P_{\ell} = D_t^2 + \frac{1}{4} + (e^t \frac{(\ell + \xi)}{L} \pm b)^2$. We get (2.2) from (2.3) with $M = M_j$, (2.12), (for any j = 1, ..., J),

(2.10) and $(2.11).\square$

Remark 2.8 Theorem 2.1 still holds if the metric of \mathbf{M} is modified in a compact set.

When A = 0, $-\Delta = -\Delta_0$ has embedded eigenvalues in its essential spectrum, $(sp_{ess}(-\Delta) = [\frac{1}{4}, +\infty[)]$. If $N_{ess}(\lambda, -\Delta)$ denotes the number of these eigenvalues in $[\frac{1}{4}, \lambda[$, then it is well known that one has an upper bound $N_{ess}(\lambda, -\Delta) \leq \lambda \frac{|\mathbf{M}|}{4\pi}$; see [Col1] and [Hej] for the history and related improvement of the upper bound.

Recently [Mul] established a sharp asymptotic formula, similar to our case,

$$N_{ess}(\lambda, -\Delta) = \lambda \frac{|\mathbf{M}|}{4\pi} + \mathbf{O}(\sqrt{\lambda} \ln \lambda)$$

for some particular \mathbf{M} .

Acknowledgement.

We are grateful to Yves Colin de Verdière for his useful comments and for pointing out the results for embedded eigenvalues of $-\Delta$.

References

- [Ah-Bo] Y. Aharonov, D. Bohm : Significance of electromagnetic potentials in quantum theory, Phys. Rev., 115, (1959), p. 485-491.
- [Bor] D. Borthwick : Spectral Theory of Infinite-Area Hyperbolic Surfaces, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2007.
- [Col1] Y. Colin de Verdière : Pseudo-laplaciens II, Ann. Institut Fourier, 33 (2), (1983), p. 87-113.
- [Col2] Y. Colin de Verdière : L'asymptotique de Weyl pour les bouteilles magnétiques, Comm. Math. Phys., 105, (1986), p. 327-335.
- [Go-Mo] S. Golénia, S. Moroianu : Spectral Analysis of Magnetic Laplacians on Conformally Cusp Manifolds, Ann. Henri Poincaré, 9, (2008), p. 131-179.
- [Hej] D. Hejhal : The Selberg trace formula for $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$, II, Lecture Notes in Math. 1001, Springer-verlag, Berlin, 1983.

- [Hor] Lars Hörmander : The Analysis of Linear P.D.O. IV , Springer-verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [Ivr] V. J. Ivrii : Microlocal Analysis and Precise Spectral Asymptotics, Springer-verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [Mo-Tr1] A. Morame, F. Truc : Magnetic bottles on geometrically finite hypersurfaces, J. of Geometry and Physics, 59-7, (2009), p 1095-1105.
- [Mo-Tr2] A. Morame, F. Truc : Magnetic bottles on the Poincaré half-plane: spectral asymptotics, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 48-3, (2008), p 597-616.
- [Mul] W. Müller : Weyl's law in the theory of automorphic forms, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes, 354,(2008), p. 133-163.
- [Per] P. Perry : The Spectral Geometry of Geometrically Finite Hyperbolic Manifolds, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 76, Part1, AMS, (2007), p. 289-327.
- [Sa-Va] Y. Safarov, D. Vassiliev : The Asymptotic distribution of Eigenvalues of Partial Differential Operators, AMS Trans.155, 1996.
- [Shu] M. Shubin : The essential Self-adjointness for Semi-bounded Magnetic Schrödinger operators on Non-compact Manifolds, J. Func. Anal., 186, (2001), p. 92-116.
- [Tit] E. C. Titchmarsh : Eigenfunction Expansions associated with Secondorder Differential equations I, second edition, Oxford at the Clarendon Press, 1962.