



Large-time asymptotics of solutions to the Kramers-Fokker-Planck equation with a short-range potential

Xue Ping Wang

► To cite this version:

Xue Ping Wang. Large-time asymptotics of solutions to the Kramers-Fokker-Planck equation with a short-range potential. 2014. <hal-00955484v3>

HAL Id: hal-00955484 https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00955484v3

Submitted on 31 Mar 2014 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

LARGE-TIME ASYMPTOTICS OF SOLUTIONS TO THE KRAMERS-FOKKER-PLANCK EQUATION WITH A SHORT-RANGE POTENTIAL

XUE PING WANG

ABSTRACT. In this work, we use scattering method to study the Kramers-Fokker-Planck equation with a potential whose gradient tends to zero at the infinity. For short-range potentials in dimension three, we show that complex eigenvalues do not accumulate at low-energies and establish the low-energy resolvent asymptotics. This combined with high energy pseudospectral estimates valid in more general situations gives the large-time asymptotics of the solution in weighted L^2 spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Kramers equation ([17]), also called the Fokker-Planck equation ([6, 8, 9]) or the Kramers-Fokker-Planck equation ([10, 11]), is the evolution equation for the distribution functions describing the Brownian motion of particles in an external field :

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} = \left(-v \cdot \nabla_x + \nabla_v \cdot (\gamma v - \frac{F(x)}{m}) + \frac{\gamma kT}{m} \Delta_v\right) W,\tag{1.1}$$

where $W = W(t; x, v), x, v \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \ge 0$ and $F(x) = -m\nabla V(x)$ is the external force. In this equation, x and v represent the position and velocity of particles, m the mass, k the Boltzmann constant, γ the friction coefficient and T the temperature of the media. This equation is a special case of the more general Fokker-Planck equation ([17]). After a change of unknowns and for appropriate values of physical constants, the Kramers-Fokker-Planck equation (1.1) can be written into the form ([8, 17])

$$\partial_t u(t;x,v) + Pu(t;x,v) = 0, \ (x,v) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, n \ge 1, t > 0,$$

$$(1.2)$$

with some initial data

$$u(0; x, v) = u_0(x, v).$$
(1.3)

Here P is the Kramers-Fokker-Planck (KFP, in short) operator:

$$P = -\Delta_v + \frac{1}{4}|v|^2 - \frac{n}{2} + v \cdot \nabla_x - \nabla V(x) \cdot \nabla_v, \qquad (1.4)$$

where the potential V(x) is supposed to be a real-valued C^1 function.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 35J10, 35P15, 47A55.

Key words and phrases. Time-decay of solutions, nonselfadjoint operators, pseudo-spectral estimates, Krammers-Fokker-Planck equation.

Research supported in part by French ANR Project NOSEVOL BS01019 01 and by Chinese Qian Ren programme at Nanjing University.

XUE PING WANG

The large-time asymptotics of the solution is motivated by the trend to equilibrium in statistical physics and is studied by several authors in the case where $|\nabla V(x)| \to +\infty$ as $|x| \to +\infty$. See [1, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 18] and references quoted therein. Note that in the work [10] on low-temperature analysis of the tunnelling effect, only the condition $|\nabla V(x)| \ge C > 0$ outside some compact set is needed. In these cases, the spectrum of P is discrete in a neighbourhood of zero and nonzero eigenvalues of P are of strictly positive real parts. If in addition V(x) > 0 outside some compact set, the square-root, \mathfrak{m} , of the Maxwilliam is an eigenfunction of P associated with the eigenvalue zero, where \mathfrak{m} is defined by

$$\mathfrak{m}(x,v) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{4}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{v^2}{2} + V(x))}.$$
(1.5)

It is proven in these situations that the solution u(t) to (1.2) with initial data u_0 converges exponentially rapidly to \mathfrak{m} in the sense that there exists some $\sigma > 0$ such that for any nice initial data u_0 , one has in appropriate spaces

$$u(t) = \langle \mathfrak{m}, u_0 \rangle \mathfrak{m} + O(e^{-\sigma t}), \quad t \to +\infty,$$
(1.6)

where V(x) is assumed to be normalized by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-V(x)} dx = 1$$

and σ can be evaluated in some regimes in terms of the spectral gap between zero and the real parts of other nonzero eigenvalues. Since the change of the unknown from (1.1)to (1.2) is essentially given by $W(t) = \mathfrak{m}u(t)$ with appropriate choice of physical constants, this result shows that the distribution functions governed by (1.1) always tend, up to some multiplicative constant depending only on the initial data, to the Maxwillian \mathfrak{m}^2 , as $t \to +\infty$ and justifies the well-known phenomenon of the return to equilibrium in statistical physics. Since the KFP operator is neither elliptic nor selfadjoint, the proof of such result is highly nontrivial and is realized first by the entropy method in [6] (see also [18]) and later on by microlocal and spectral methods in [8, 9, 10]. If V(x)is slowly increasing so that $|\nabla V(x)| \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$, (for example, $V(x) \sim c \langle x \rangle^{\mu}$ or $V(x) \sim a \ln |x|$ as $|x| \to \infty$ for some $0 < \mu < 1$ and a, c > 0, **m** is still an eigenfunction of P associated with the eigenvalue zero (in the second case it may be an eigenfunction for some values of a and a resonant state for some other values of a), but now the essential spectrum of P is equal to $[0, +\infty]$ and there is no spectral gap between the eigenvalue zero and the other part of the spectrum of P. A natural question at this connection is whether there still exists some phenomenon of the return to equilibrium in such cases.

The goal of this work is to study spectral properties of the KFP operator and largetime asymptotics of the solutions to the KFP equation with a potential V(x) such that $|\nabla V(x)| \to 0$ as $|x| \to +\infty$. Although our final result concerns only short-range potentials in dimension three, some results hold for slowly increasing potentials in any dimension. Throughout this work, we assume that V is C^1 on \mathbb{R}^n and

$$|\nabla V(x)| \le C \langle x \rangle^{-1-\rho}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{1.7}$$

for some $\rho \ge -1$, where $\langle x \rangle = (1 + |x|^2)^{1/2}$. The potential is said to be slowly increasing if $-1 < \rho \le 0$ and if it is positive near outside some compact, and to be of short-range

if $\rho > 1$. The KFP operator P with the maximal domain in L^2 is a closed, accretive ($\Re P \ge 0$) and hypoelliptic operator. Denote

$$P = P_0 + W, \tag{1.8}$$

with $P_0 = v \cdot \nabla_x - \Delta_v + \frac{1}{4}|v|^2 - \frac{n}{2}$ and $W = -\nabla V(x) \cdot \nabla_v$. If $\rho > -1$, W is a relatively compact perturbation of the free KFP operator P_0 : $W(P_0 + 1)^{-1}$ is a compact operator in L^2 . One can check that the essential spectrum of P is equal to $\sigma(P_0) = [0, +\infty[$ and the non-zero complex eigenvalues of P have strictly positive real parts and may accumulate towards some points in the essential spectrum.

The main results of this paper can be summarized as follows.

Theorem 1.1. (a). Assume $n \ge 1$ and the condition (1.7) with $\rho \ge -1$. Then there exists C > 0 such that $\sigma(P) \cap \{z; |\Im z| > C, \Re z \le \frac{1}{C} |\Im z|^{\frac{1}{3}}\} = \emptyset$ and the resolvent $R(z) = (P-z)^{-1}$ satisfies the estimates

$$||R(z)|| \le \frac{C}{|z|^{\frac{1}{3}}},\tag{1.9}$$

and

$$\|(1 - \Delta_v + v^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} R(z)\| \le \frac{C}{|z|^{\frac{1}{6}}},\tag{1.10}$$

for $|\Im z| > C$ and $\Re z \leq \frac{1}{C} |\Im z|^{\frac{1}{3}}$.

(b). Assume n = 3 and $\rho > 1$. Then P has no eigenvalues in a neighborhood of 0. Let $S(t) = e^{-tP}$ be the semigroup of contractions generated by -P. One has

$$||S(t)||_{\mathcal{L}^{2,s} \to \mathcal{L}^{2,-s}} \le Ct^{-\frac{3}{2}}, \quad t > 0.$$
(1.11)
for any $s > \frac{3}{2}$. Here $\mathcal{L}^{2,s} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{x,v}; \langle x \rangle^{2s} dx dv).$

(c). Assume n = 3 and $\rho > 2$. Then for any $s > \frac{3}{2}$, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that one has the following asymptotics

$$S(t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \langle \mathfrak{m}, \cdot \rangle \mathfrak{m} + O(t^{-\frac{3}{2}-\epsilon}), \quad t \to +\infty,$$
(1.12)

as operators from $\mathcal{L}^{2,s}$ to $\mathcal{L}^{2,-s}$.

It may be interesting to compare (1.12) with (1.6). The space distributions of solutions to (1.1) in both cases are governed by the Maxwillian, but for decreasing potentials, the density of distribution decays in time like $t^{-\frac{3}{2}}$. Recall that it is well-known for Schrödinger operator $H = -\Delta_x + U(x)$ with a real-valued potential U(x) that the space-decay rates of solutions to Hu = 0 determine the low-energy asymptotics of the resolvent $(H-z)^{-1}$ near the threshold zero, which in turn determine large-time asymptotics of solutions to the evolution equation (see, for example, [19]). From this point of view, the difference between (1.6) and (1.12) may be explained by the lack of decay in x-variables in the Maxwillian \mathfrak{m}^2 in our case and one may even expect that the solution to the KFP equation behaves like $t^{-\alpha}$ with α depending on a for critical potentials $V(x) \sim a \ln |x|, a \in]\frac{n-2}{2}, \frac{n}{2}[$. Notice also that different from (1.6) there is no

XUE PING WANG

normalization for V(x) in (1.12). Although the KFP operator is a differential operator of the first order in x-variables, the large-time behavior of solutions looks like those to the heat equation described by $e^{t\Delta_x}$ as $t \to +\infty$. This is due to the interplay between the diffusion part and the transport part of the KFP operator P and will become clear from spectral decomposition formula of e^{-tP_0} . Under stronger assumption on ρ , one can calculate the second term in large-time asymptotics of solutions which is of the order $O(t^{-\frac{5}{2}})$ in dimension three.

The method used in this work is scattering in nature: we regard the full KFP operator P as a perturbation of the free KFP operator P_0 without potential. A large part of this work is devoted to a detailed analysis of the free KFP operator. Several basic questions remain open for P_0 such as the high energy estimates for the free resolvent near the positive real axis. A key step in the proof of the large-time asymptotics of solutions to the full KFP equation is to show that the complex eigenvalues of P do not accumulate towards the threshold zero. So far as the author knows, such a statement is not yet proven for non-selfadjoint Schrödinger operators $-\Delta + U(x)$ with a general complex-valued potential satisfying $|U(x)| = O(|x|^{-\rho}), \rho > 2$. (See however [14, 20] for dissipative potentials ($\Im U(x) \leq 0$)). Results like (1.11) and (1.12) may fail if there is a sequence of complex eigenvalues tending to zero tangentially to the imaginary axis. For the KFP operator P with a short-rang potential in dimension three, we prove that there are no complex eigenvalues in neighborhood of zero by making use of the method of threshold spectral analysis and the supersymmetric structure of the operator.

The organisation of this work is as follows. In Section 2, we study in detail the spectral properties of the model operator P_0 and establish some dispersive estimate for the semigroup generated by $-P_0$. We also prove the limiting absorption principles and the low-energy asymptotics of the resolvent $R_0(z) = (P_0 - z)^{-1}$, as well as some high energy resolvent estimates. these estimates are pseudo-spectral in nature, because the numerical range of the free KFP operator is equal to the right half complex plane. The threshold spectral properties of the full KFP operator P with a short-range potential is analyzed in Section 3. We prove that there is no eigenvalue of P in a neighborhood of zero and calculate the lower-energy resolvent asymptotics. For technical reasons, we only prove these results when the dimension n is equal to three, but we believe that they remain true when $n \geq 4$. Finally, in Section 4, we prove a high energy pseudospectral estimate in general situation and this combined with the low energy resolvent estimates obtained in dimension three allows to prove the time-decay and the large-time asymptotics of solutions. In Appendix A, we study a family of nonselfadjoint harmonic oscillators which may be regarded as complex translation in variables of selfadjoint harmonic oscillators. We prove some quantitative estimates with respect to the parameters of translation, establish a spectral decomposition formula and prove some uniform timedecay estimates of the semigroup. These results are used in Section 2 to analyze the free KFP operator.

2. The free Kramers-Fokker-Planck operator

Denote by P_0 the free KFP operator (with $\nabla V = 0$):

$$P_0 = v \cdot \nabla_x - \Delta_v + \frac{1}{4} |v|^2 - \frac{n}{2}.$$
 (2.1)

 P_0 is a non-selfadjoint and hypoelliptic operator with loss of $\frac{1}{3}$ derivative in x variables. The following result is known (see [8, 16]). In particular, the essential maximal accretivity is discussed in Proposition 2.4 of [16].

Proposition 2.1. One has

$$\|\Delta_{v}u\|^{2} + \||v|^{2}u\|^{2} + \||D_{x}|^{\frac{2}{3}}u\|^{2} \le C(\|P_{0}u\|^{2} + \|u\|^{2}), \quad u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{x,v})$$
(2.2)

 P_0 defined on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{x,v})$ is essentially maximally accretive, i.e., the closure of P_0 in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{x,v})$ with core $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{x,v})$ is of maximal domain $D(P_0) = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{x,v}); P_0u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{x,v})\}$ and $\Re\langle P_0u, u \rangle \geq 0$ for $u \in D(P_0)$.

Henceforth we still denote by P_0 its closed extension in L^2 with maximal domain $D(P_0) = \{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{x,v}); P_0 u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{x,v}) \}.$

In terms of Fourier transform in x-variables, we have for $u \in D(P_0)$

$$P_0 u(x,v) = \mathcal{F}_{x \to \xi}^{-1} \hat{P}_0(\xi) \hat{u}(\xi,v), \quad \text{where}$$
 (2.3)

$$\hat{P}_0(\xi) = -\Delta_v + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^n (v_j + 2i\xi_j)^2 - \frac{n}{2} + |\xi|^2$$
(2.4)

$$\hat{u}(\xi, v) = (\mathcal{F}_{x \to \xi} u)(\xi, v) \triangleq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} u(x, v) \, dx.$$
(2.5)

Denote

$$D(\hat{P}_0) = \{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{\xi,v}); \hat{P}_0(\xi) f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{\xi,v}) \}.$$
 (2.6)

Then $\hat{P}_0 \triangleq \mathcal{F}_{x \to \xi} P_0 \mathcal{F}_{x \to \xi}^{-1}$ is a direct integral of the family of complex harmonic operators $\{\hat{P}_0(\xi); \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ which is studied in Appendix A.

The following abstract result may be useful to determine the spectrum of operators which are direct integral of a family of nonselfadjoint operators. See also Theorem 8.3 of [4] for families of bounded nonselfadjoint operators.

Theorem 2.2. Let H be a separable Hilbert space, X a non empty open set of \mathbb{R}^n and $\mathcal{H} = \{f : X \to H; \|f\| = (\int_X \|f(x)\|_H^2 dx\}^{\frac{1}{2}} < +\infty\}$. Here dx is the Lebesgue measure of \mathbb{R}^n . Suppose that both $\{Q(x); x \in X\}$ and the adjoints $\{Q(x)^*; x \in X\}$ are strongly continuous families of closed, densely defined operators with constant domains $D, D^* \subset H$, respectively. Suppose in addition that for each $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup_{x \in X} \sigma(Q(x))$, one has

$$\sup_{x \in X} \|(Q(x) - z)^{-1}\| < +\infty.$$
(2.7)

Let Q be the closed, densely defined operator in \mathcal{H} such that for any f in the domain of Q, one has $f(x) \in D$ about everywhere and

$$Qf = Q(x)f(x) \quad in \mathcal{H}.$$
(2.8)

Then one has

$$\sigma(Q) = \overline{\bigcup_{x \in X} \sigma(Q(x))} \tag{2.9}$$

Proof. If $z \notin \overline{\bigcup_{x \in X} \sigma(Q(x))}$, define $R_z : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ by $(R_z f)(x) = (Q(x) - z)^{-1} f(x)$, $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Then (2.7) shows that R_z is bounded on \mathcal{H} . One can check that $(Q - z)R_z = 1$ on \mathcal{H} and $R_z(Q - z) = 1$ on D(Q). Thus z is in resolvent set of Q. This shows that $\sigma(Q) \subset \overline{\bigcup_{x \in X} \sigma(Q(x))}$.

Conversely, if $z \in \sigma(Q(x_0))$ for some $x_0 \in X$, then either $||(Q(x_0) - z)u_n|| \to 0$ or $||(Q(x_0) - z)^*v_n|| \to 0$ for some sequences $\{u_n\}, \{v_n\}$ in H with $||u_n|| = ||v_n|| = 1$. In fact if one had both $||(Q(x_0) - z)u|| \ge c||u||$ and $||(Q(x_0) - z)^*v|| \ge c||v||$ for some c > 0 and for all $u \in D$ and $v \in D^*$, z would belong to the resolvent set of $Q(x_0)$. Since $x \to Q(x)$ is strongly continuous on D, for any $\epsilon > 0$, we can find $f \in \mathcal{H}$ in the form $f = \chi(x)u_{n_0}$ (or $g = \chi(x)v_{n_0} \in \mathcal{H}$ in the second case $||(Q(x_0) - z)^*v_n|| \to 0)$ for some n_0 and for some numerical function χ supported in a sufficiently small neighborhood of x_0 such that ||f|| = 1 and $||(Q - z)f|| < \epsilon$ (or ||g|| = 1 and $||(Q - z)^*g|| < \epsilon$). This shows that $z \in \sigma(Q)$. Consequently, $\sigma(Q) \supset \bigcup_{x \in X} \sigma(Q(x))$.

Remark that if the condition (2.7) is not satisfied, the equality (2.9) may fail. See Theorem 8.3 of [4].

Proposition 2.3. Let P_0 denote the free KFP operator with the maximal domain. Then one has: $\sigma(P_0) = [0, +\infty[$.

Proof. We want to apply Theorem 2.2. One sees that P_0 is unitarily equivalent with \hat{P}_0 which is a direct integral of a family of operators $\{\hat{P}_0(\xi); \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ with constant domain D. Lemma A.1 shows that

$$\bigcup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} \sigma(\hat{P}_0(\xi)) = [0, +\infty[.$$

It is clear that $D(\hat{P}_0(\xi)^*) = D$ and $x \to \hat{P}_0(\xi)$ and $x \to \hat{P}_0(\xi)^*$ are strongly continuous on D. To apply Theorem 2.2 to show that $\sigma(P_0) = [0, +\infty[$, it remains to check the condition (2.7): for each $z \notin [0, +\infty[$, there exists some constant C_z such that

$$\|(\hat{P}_0(\xi) - z)^{-1}\| \le C_z \tag{2.10}$$

uniformly in $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For ξ in a compact, this follows from the fact that since $P_0(\xi)$ forms a holomorphic family of type (A) in sense of Kato, the resolvent $(\hat{P}_0(\xi) - z)^{-1}$ is locally bounded in $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for each $z \notin [0, +\infty[$ ([13]). For $|\xi|$ large $(|\xi|^2 > |\Re z| + 1)$, using the representation

$$(\hat{P}_0(\xi) - z)^{-1} = -\int_0^T e^{-t(\hat{P}_0(\xi) - z)} dt - \int_T^\infty e^{-t(\hat{P}_0(\xi) - z)} dt$$

with $T \geq 3$ fixed, one deduces from Corollary A.4 that there exists $C = C(\Re z)$ independent of ξ such that

$$\|(\hat{P}_0(\xi) - z)^{-1}\| \le C + \frac{C}{\xi^2 - \Re z}$$
(2.11)

for $\xi^2 > |\Re z| + 1$. This proves (2.10) which finishes the proof of Proposition 2.3.

From Proposition A.3 in Appendix A on a family of non-selfadjoint harmonic oscillators, one can deduce some time-decay estimates for e^{-tP_0} in appropriate spaces. Denote

$$\mathcal{L}^{2,s}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \langle x \rangle^{2s} dx dv).$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}^p = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n_x; L^2(\mathbb{R}^n_v)), \quad p \ge 1,$$

equipped with their natural norms.

Theorem 2.4. (a). One has the following dispersive type estimate: $\exists C > 0$ such that

$$\|e^{-tP_0}u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}} \le \frac{C}{t^{\frac{n}{2}}} \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^1}, \quad t \ge 3,$$
(2.12)

for $u \in \mathcal{L}^1$.

(b). For $s > \frac{n}{2}$, one has for some $C_s > 0$

$$\|e^{-tP_0}u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,-s}} \le \frac{C_s}{t^{\frac{n}{2}}} \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,s}},\tag{2.13}$$

for $t \geq 3$ and $u \in L^{2,s}$.

Proof. For $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{x,v})$, we denote by \hat{u} the Fourier transform of u in x-variables. Then one has

$$\|e^{-tP_0}u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}} \le \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \|e^{-t\hat{P}_0(\xi)}\hat{u}\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_{\xi}}$$
(2.14)

Here \mathcal{L}^1_{ξ} denotes the space $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n_{\xi}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^n_v))$. Corollary A.4 gives for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\|e^{-t\hat{P}_{0}(\xi)}\hat{u}\|_{L^{2}_{v}} \leq \frac{e^{-\xi^{2}(t-2-\frac{4}{e^{t}-1})}}{(1-e^{-t})^{n}}\|\hat{u}(\xi,\cdot)\|_{L^{2}_{v}}.$$
(2.15)

Since $t - 2 - \frac{4}{e^t - 1} \ge c_0 t > 0$ for some $c_0 > 0$ when $t \ge 3$, one obtains that

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-t\hat{P}_{0}(\xi)}\hat{u}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1}_{\xi}} &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}_{\xi}} \frac{e^{-\xi^{2}(t-2-\frac{4}{e^{t}-1})}}{(1-e^{-t})^{n}} d\xi \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1}} \\ &\leq Ct^{-\frac{n}{2}} \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{1}} \end{aligned}$$
(2.16)

for $t \geq 3$ and for any $u \in S$. An argument of density proves (a) for any $u \in \mathcal{L}^1$.

Theorem 2.4 (b) is a consequence of Theorem 2.4 (a).

For a symbol $a(x, v; \xi, \eta)$, denote by $a^w(x, v, D_x, D_v)$ the associated Weyl pseudodifferential operator defined by

$$a^{w}(x,v,D_{x},D_{v})u(x,v)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \int \int e^{i(x-x')\cdot\xi+i(v-v')\cdot\eta} a(\frac{x+x'}{2},\frac{v+v'}{2},\xi,\eta)u(x'v')dx'dv'd\xi d\eta$$
for $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{x,v}).$

$$(2.17)$$

XUE PING WANG

The following Proposition is a spectral decomposition for the semigroup e^{-tP_0} which follows from Proposition A.3 given in Appendix A and some elementary symbolic calculus for Weyl pseudo-differential operators (see [12]).

Proposition 2.5. For any t > 3, one has

$$e^{-tP_0} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} e^{-lt + (t-2)\Delta_x} p_l^w(v, D_x, D_v), \qquad (2.18)$$

where the series is norm-convergent as operators in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{x,v})$ and $p_l(v,\xi,\eta)$ is given by

$$p_{l}(v,\xi,\eta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-iv'\cdot\eta/2} \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=l} e^{-2|\xi|^{2}} \psi_{\alpha}(v+v'+2i\xi) \psi_{\alpha}(v-v'+2i\xi) \right) dv', \quad l \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(2.19)

In particular,

$$p_0(v,\xi,\eta) = 2^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-v^2 - \eta^2 + 2iv \cdot \xi}.$$
(2.20)

We just indicate that for t > 3, one has $t - 2 - \frac{4}{e^t - 1} > 0$ and

$$e^{(t-2)\Delta_x} p_l^w(v, D_x, D_v) = e^{t\Delta_x} \Pi_l^{D_x}$$
(2.21)

is a bounded operator, where Π_l^{ξ} is the Riesz projection of $\hat{P}_0(\xi)$ associated with the eigenvalue $E_l = l + |\xi|^2$. See Lemma A.1 in Appendix A. The norm-convergence as operators in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{x,v})$ of the right-hand side of (2.18) follows from (A.10) which gives:

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \|e^{-lt + (t-2)\Delta_x} p_l^w(v, D_x, D_v)\| \le \frac{1}{(1-e^{-t})^n}, \quad t > 3.$$

The detail of the proof is omitted. As an application of this spectral decomposition (2.18), we can establish the following result on large-time approximation of solutions to the free KFP equation.

Proposition 2.6. There exists C > 0 such that

$$\|e^{-tP_0}u - e^{(t-2)\Delta_x}p_0^w u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}} \le C\frac{e^{-t}}{t^{\frac{n}{2}}}\|u - e^{-2\Delta_x}p_0^w u\|_{\mathcal{L}^1},$$
(2.22)

for $t \geq 3$ and for any $u \in \mathcal{L}^1$ with $e^{-2\Delta_x} u \in \mathcal{L}^1$.

Proof. By a direction calculation, one can check that

$$\mathcal{F}_{x\to\xi}^{-1}\Pi_0^\xi \mathcal{F}_{x\to\xi} = e^{-2\Delta_x} p_0^w, \qquad (2.23)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{x \to \xi}^{-1} e^{-t\hat{P}_0(\xi)} \Pi_0^{\xi} \mathcal{F}_{x \to \xi} = e^{(t-2)\Delta_x} p_0^w.$$
(2.24)

 p_0^w is continuous on \mathcal{L}^1 . In fact, let $\tau : u(x, v) \to u(x + 2v, v)$. Then one has

$$p_0^w u(x,v) = \langle \psi_0, \tau u \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n_v)}(x) \psi_0(v),$$

where $\psi_0 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{4}}} e^{-\frac{v^2}{4}}$ is the first eigenfunction of $-\Delta_v + \frac{v^2}{4}$. It follows that

$$\|p_0^w u\|_{\mathcal{L}^1} \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \psi_0(v) |u(x+2v,v)| dx dv = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \psi_0(v) |u(y,v)| dy dv \le \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^1}.$$

Denote $u_0 = e^{-2\Delta_x} p_0^w u$ for $u \in \mathcal{L}^1$ with $\operatorname{supp}_{\xi} \hat{u}$ compact. The proof of Lemma A.2 shows that if n = 1, one has

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-t(k+\xi^2)} \|\Pi_k^{\xi}\|$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-t(k+\xi^2)+2\xi^2} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{C_k^j}{j!} (4\xi^2)^j$$

$$= \frac{e^{-\xi^2(t-2)-t}}{1-e^{-t}} + \frac{e^{-\xi^2(t-2)}}{1-e^{-t}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} (\frac{4\xi^2}{e^{t}-1})^j$$

$$\leq \frac{e^{-\xi^2(t-2)-t}}{1-e^{-t}} \left(1 + \frac{4\xi^2 e^{\frac{4\xi^2}{e^{t}-1}}}{1-e^{-t}}\right), \quad t > 0.$$
(2.25)

When $n \ge 1$, we deduce from the explicit formula for the Riesz projections Π_k^{ξ} and the above one-dimensional bound that there exist some constants C, a > 0 such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-t(k+\xi^2)} \|\Pi_k^{\xi}\| \le C(1+\xi^2) e^{-at\xi^2 - t}, \quad t > 3.$$
(2.26)

Making use of the above estimate and following the proof of Theorem 2.4 with u replaced by $u - u_0$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-tP_0}(u-u_0)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}} &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|e^{-t(k+\xi^2)}\Pi_k^{\xi}(\hat{u}-\hat{u}_0)\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_{\xi}} \\ &\leq C' \frac{e^{-t}}{t^{\frac{n}{2}}} \|u-u_0\|_{\mathcal{L}^1}, \quad t>3. \end{aligned}$$
(2.27)

Since $e^{-tP_0}u_0 = e^{(t-2)\Delta_x}p_0^w u$, an argument of density proves the desired result.

The time-decay of e^{-tP_0} is governed by the first eigenvalue of the harmonic oscillator in *v*-variables and propagation of energy due to the transport term $v \cdot \nabla_x$. Although this term is of the first order in ξ , Theorem 2.4 shows that solutions to the free KFP equation decay like those to the heat equation in space variables. A natural question is to see if the results of Theorem 2.4 are still true for the full KFP operator with a potential V(x) such that $|\nabla V(x)|$ tends to zero sufficiently rapidly. In this work, we prove a result similar to Theorem 2.4 (b) through resolvent estimates for the full KFP operator P.

In order to study the resolvent of P, we establish here some limiting absorption principles for the resolvent of P_0 and its low-energy asymptotics. Different from the limiting absorption principle for selfadjopint operators, the problem we want to study here is pseudospectral in nature, because \mathbb{R}_+ is located in the interior of the numerical range of P_0 . Set $R_0(z) = (P_0 - z)^{-1}$, $\hat{R}_0(z) = (\hat{P}_0 - z)^{-1}$ and $\hat{R}_0(z,\xi) = (\hat{P}_0(\xi) - z)^{-1}$ for $z \notin \mathbb{R}_+$. Then $R_0(z) = \mathcal{F}_{x \to \xi}^{-1} \hat{R}_0(z) \mathcal{F}_{x \to \xi}$. Note that $\hat{R}_0(z)$ is multiplication in ξ -variables by $\hat{R}_0(z,\xi)$. **Proposition 2.7.** Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and l < a < l + 1 be fixed. Take $\chi \ge 0$ and $\chi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n_{\xi})$ with supp $\chi \subset \{\xi, |\xi| \le a + 4\}$, $\chi(\xi) = 1$ when $|\xi| \le a + 3$ and $0 \le \chi(\xi) \le 1$. Then one has

$$\hat{R}_0(z,\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^l \chi(\xi) \frac{\Pi_k^{\xi}}{\xi^2 + k - z} + r_l(z,\xi), \qquad (2.28)$$

for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re z < a$ and $\Im z \neq 0$. Here $r_l(z,\xi)$ is holomorphic in z with $\Re z < a$ verifying the estimate

$$\sup_{\Re z < a, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} \| r_l(z,\xi) \|_{\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n_v))} < \infty.$$
(2.29)

Proof. Let $\chi_1 = 1 - \chi$. For $\Re z < 0$, one has

$$\hat{R}_{0}(z,\xi) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t(\hat{P}_{0}(\xi)-z)} dt
= \int_{0}^{\infty} \chi_{1}(\xi) e^{-t(\hat{P}_{0}(\xi)-z)} dt + \int_{0}^{\infty} \chi(\xi) e^{-t(\hat{P}_{0}(\xi)-z)} dt
\triangleq I_{1}(z,\xi) + I_{2}(z,\xi).$$
(2.30)

Since $\Re P_0(\xi) \ge 0$, it is clear that for each fixed T, $\int_0^T \chi_1(\xi) e^{-t(\hat{P}_0(\xi)-z)} dt$ is uniformly bounded in ξ and z with $\Re z \le a$:

$$\|\int_0^T \chi_1(\xi) e^{-t(\hat{P}_0(\xi)-z)} dt \|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n_v))} \le T e^{aT},$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Corollary A.4 with T = 3 shows that

$$\|e^{-t(\hat{P}_0(\xi)-z)}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n_v))} \le Ce^{-t(\xi^2-2-e^{-1}-\Re z)}$$
(2.31)

for $t \geq 3$. Since χ_1 is supported in $\xi^2 \geq a+3$, $I_1(z,\xi)$ is holomorphic in z with $\Re z < a$ and verifies the estimate (2.29). To study $I_2(z,\xi)$, we decompose $e^{-t\hat{P}_0(\xi)}$ as

$$e^{-tP_0(\xi)} = J_1(t,\xi) + J_2(t,\xi),$$

where $J_j(t,\xi) = e^{-t\hat{P}_0(\xi)}S_j^{\xi}$ with $S_1^{\xi} = \sum_{k=0}^l \Pi_k^{\xi}$ and $S_2^{\xi} = 1 - S_1^{\xi}$. For $\Re z < 0$, the contribution of $J_1(t,\xi)$ to $\hat{R}_0(z,\xi)$ is

$$\int_0^\infty e^{tz} J_1(t,\xi) dt = \sum_{k=0}^l \frac{\Pi_k^{\xi}}{\xi^2 + k - z}.$$

By (A.4), one has for $t \ge T > 0$

$$\|J_2(t,\xi)\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n_v))} \le \sum_{k=l+1}^{\infty} e^{-t(k+\xi^2)+2\xi^2} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{C_k^j}{j!} (4\xi^2)^j \triangleq J_{21}(t,\xi) + J_{22}(t,\xi)$$
(2.32)

where

$$J_{21}(t,\xi) = e^{-\xi^2(t-2)} \sum_{j=0}^{l+1} \frac{(4\xi^2)^j}{j!} \sum_{k=l+1}^{\infty} C_k^j e^{-tk}$$
$$J_{22}(t,\xi) = e^{-\xi^2(t-2)} \sum_{j=l+2}^{\infty} \frac{(4\xi^2)^j}{j!} \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} C_k^j e^{-tk}.$$

 $J_{21}(t,\xi)$ and $J_{22}(t,\xi)$ can be evaluated as in the proof of Proposition A.3 (see also the proof of (2.26) in the case l = 0) and we omit the details here. One has for some C, a > 0

$$J_{21}(t,\xi) \leq e^{-\xi^2(t-2)-(l+1)t} \sum_{j=0}^{l+1} \frac{(4\xi^2)^j l!}{j!(1-e^{-t})^{l+1}},$$
(2.33)

$$J_{22}(t,\xi) \leq C e^{-a\xi^2 t - (l+1)t}$$
(2.34)

for $t \geq T$. Since $|\xi|$ is bounded on the support of χ , this implies that there exists some constant C such that

$$\|J_2(t,\xi)\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n_v))} \le Ce^{-(l+1)t}$$
(2.35)

uniformly in $\xi \in supp\chi$ and $t \geq T$. We obtain a decomposition for $\hat{R}_0(z,\xi)$ when $\Re z < 0$:

$$\hat{R}_0(z,\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^l \chi(\xi) \frac{\Pi_k^{\xi}}{\xi^2 + k - z} + r_l(z,\xi), \qquad (2.36)$$

where

$$r_l(z,\xi) = I_1(z,\xi) + \int_0^\infty e^{tz} J_2(t,\xi) dt.$$

By the estimates (2.31) and (2.34), $r_l(z,\xi)$ is holomorphic in z with $\Re z < a$ and verifies the estimate (2.29). Since the both sides of (2.36) are holomorphic in $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_+$ with $\Re z < a$, this representation formula remains valid for z in this region. \Box

For $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$, introduce the weighted Sobolev space

$$\mathcal{H}^{r,s} = \{ u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2n}); (1+|D_v|^2+|v|^2+|D_x|^{\frac{2}{3}})^{\frac{r}{2}} \langle x \rangle^s u \in L^2 \}.$$

Denote $\mathcal{B}(r, s; r', s')$ the space of continuous linear operators from $\mathcal{H}^{r,s}$ to $\mathcal{H}^{r',s'}$. The hypoellipticity of P_0 (Proposition 2.1) shows that $(P_0 + 1)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(0, 0; 2, 0)$. A commutator argument shows that $(P_0 + 1)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(0, s; 2, s)$ for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

Corollary 2.8. Set $R_0(z) = (P_0 - z)^{-1}, z \notin \mathbb{R}_+$.

(a). Assume $n \ge 1$. Let I be a compact interval of \mathbb{R} which does not contain any non negative integer. Then for any $s > \frac{1}{2}$, one has

$$\sup_{\lambda \in I; \epsilon \in]0,1]} \|R_0(\lambda \pm i\epsilon)\|_{\mathcal{B}(0,s;2,-s)} < \infty$$
(2.37)

The boundary values of the resolvent $R_0(\lambda \pm i0) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0_+} R_0(\lambda \pm i\epsilon)$ exists in $\mathcal{B}(0, s; 2, -s)$ for $\lambda \in I$ and is continuous in λ .

(b). Assume $n \ge 3$. Let I be a compact interval containing some non negative integer. Then for any s > 1, one has

$$\sup_{\lambda \in I; \epsilon \in]0,1]} \|R_0(\lambda \pm i\epsilon)\|_{\mathcal{B}(0,s;2,-s)} < \infty$$
(2.38)

for any $k \in \mathcal{N}$, the limite $R_0(k \pm i0) = \lim_{z \to k, z \notin \mathbb{R}_+} R_0(z)$ exists in $\mathcal{B}(0, s; 2, -s)$ for any s > 1. One has $R_0(0+i0) = R_0(0-i0)$ and $R_0(k+i0) - R_0(k-i0) \in \mathcal{B}(0, s; 2, -s)$ for any $s > \frac{1}{2}$ if $k \ge 1$.

Proof. Proposition 2.7 shows that

$$R_0(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{l} \chi(D_x) \Pi_k^{D_x} (-\Delta_x + k - z)^{-1} + r_l(z), \qquad (2.39)$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re z < a$ and $\Im z \neq 0$ and that $r_l(z)$ is bounded on L^2 and holomorphic in z with $\Re z < a$. $\chi(D_x) \prod_k^{D_x}$, $k = 0, 1, \cdots$, are Weyl pseudodifferential operators with nice symbols b_k independent of x:

$$\chi(D_x)\Pi_k^{D_x} = b_k^w(v, D_x, D_v)$$
(2.40)

with $b_k(v,\xi,\eta)$ given by

$$b_k(v,\xi,\eta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-iv'\cdot\eta/2} \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=k} \chi(\xi)\psi_\alpha(v+v'+2i\xi)\psi_\alpha(v-v'+2i\xi) \right) dv'.$$
(2.41)

In particular,

$$b_0(v,\xi,\eta) = 2^{\frac{n}{2}}\chi(\xi)e^{-v^2-\eta^2+2iv\cdot\xi+2\xi^2}.$$
(2.42)

These Weyl pseudodifferential operators belong to $\mathcal{B}(r, s; r', s)$ for any $r, r', s \in \mathbb{R}$ ([12]).

Since for any compact interval $I' \subset \mathbb{R}$, one has

$$\sup_{\lambda \in I', \epsilon \in]0,1]} \|\langle x \rangle^{-s} (-\Delta_x - (\lambda \pm i\epsilon))^{-1} \langle x \rangle^{-s} \|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n_x))} < \infty$$
(2.43)

for any s > 1/2 if I' does not contain 0 and for any s > 1 and $n \ge 3$ if I' contains 0, it follows from (2.39) that for $I \subset]-\infty, a[$

$$\sup_{\lambda \in I; \epsilon \in]0,1]} \|R_0(\lambda \pm i\epsilon)\|_{\mathcal{B}(0,s;0,-s)} < \infty$$
(2.44)

for $s > \frac{1}{2}$ if $I \cap \mathbb{N} = \emptyset$ or s > 1 and $n \ge 3$ if $I \cap \mathbb{N} \neq \emptyset$. Estimates (2.37) and (2.38) follow from (2.44) and the resolvent equation

$$R_0(z) = R_0(-1) + (1+z)R_0(-1)R_0(z)$$

by noticing that $R_0(-1) \in \mathcal{B}(0, s; 2, s)$ for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$. The other assertions of Corollary 2.8 can be proven by making use of the properties of $(-\Delta_x - (\lambda \pm i0))^{-1}$.

The formula (2.39) can also be used to study the threshold asymptotics of the resolvent $R_0(z)$ as $z \to k$, $\Im z \neq 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. To simplify calculations, we only consider the threshold zero in the case n = 3.

Proposition 2.9. Let n = 3. One has the following low-energy resolvent asymptotics for $R_0(z)$: for $s, s' > \frac{1}{2}$ and s + s' > 2, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$R_0(z) = G_0 + O(|z|^{\epsilon}), \ as \ z \to 0, z \notin \mathbb{R}_+,$$
 (2.45)

as operators in $\mathcal{B}(-1,s;1,-s')$. More generally, for any integer $N \ge 1$ and $s > N + \frac{1}{2}$, there exists $\epsilon > 0$

$$R_0(z) = \sum_{j=0}^N z^{\frac{j}{2}} G_j + O(|z|^{\frac{N}{2}+\epsilon}), \text{ as } z \to 0, z \notin \mathbb{R}_+,$$
(2.46)

as operators in $\mathcal{B}(-1, s; 1, -s)$. Here the branch of $z^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is chosen such that its imaginary part is positive when $z \notin \mathbb{R}_+$ and $G_j \in \mathcal{B}(-1, s; 1, -s)$ for $s > j + \frac{1}{2}$, $j \ge 1$. In particular,

$$G_0 = F_0 + F_1, (2.47)$$

where F_0 is the operator with integral kernel

$$F_0(x,v;x',v') = \frac{\psi_0(v)\psi_0(v')}{4\pi|x-x'|}$$
(2.48)

and $F_1 \in \mathcal{B}(-1,s;1,-s')$ for any $s,s' \geq 0$ and $s+s' > \frac{3}{2}$. $G_1 : \mathcal{H}^{-1,s} \to \mathcal{H}^{1,-s}$, $s > \frac{3}{2}$, is an operator of rank one with integral kernel given by

$$K_1(x, x'; v, v') = \frac{i}{4\pi} \psi_0(v) \psi_0(v').$$
(2.49)

Here $\psi_0 = (2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{4}}e^{-\frac{v^2}{4}}$ is the first eigenfunction of the harmonic oscillator $-\Delta_v + \frac{v^2}{4}$.

Proof. Note that by a complex interpolation, the results on the resolvent $R_0(z)$ in Corollary 2.8 also hold in $\mathcal{B}(-1, s; 1, -s)$.

For $z \notin \mathbb{R}_+$, (2.39) with l = 0 shows that

$$R_0(z) = b_0^w(v, D_x, D_v)(-\Delta_x - z)^{-1} + r_0(z), \qquad (2.50)$$

with $r_0(z) \in \mathcal{B}(-1,0;1,0)$ holomorphic in z when $\Re z < a$ for some $a \in]0,1[$. Here the cut-off $\chi(\xi)$ is chosen such that $\chi \in C_0^{\infty}$ and $\chi(\xi) = 1$ in a neighbourhood of $\{|\xi|^2 \leq a\}$. Therefore $r_0(z)$ admits a convergent expansion in powers of z for z near 0

$$r_0(z) = r_0(0) + zr'_0(0) + \cdots$$

in $\mathcal{B}(-1,0;1,0)$. It is sufficient to analyze the lower-energy expansion of $b_0^w(v, D_x, D_v)(-\Delta_x - z)^{-1}$.

The integral kernel of $b_0^w(v, D_x, D_v)(-\Delta_x - z)^{-1}, z \notin \mathbb{R}_+$, is given by

$$K(x, x'; v, v'; z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i\sqrt{z}|y-(x-x')|} \frac{1}{4\pi|y-(x-x')|} \Phi(v, v', y) \, dy \tag{2.51}$$

with

$$\Phi(v, v', y) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{9}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{4}(v^2 + v'^2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i(y-v-v')\cdot\xi + 2\xi^2} \chi(\xi) \, d\xi$$

Since $\chi \in C_0^{\infty}$, one has the following asymptotic expansion for K(x, x'; v, v'; z): for any $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$ and $N \ge 0$

$$|K(x,x';v,v';z) - \sum_{j=0}^{N} z^{\frac{j}{2}} K_j(x,x',v,v')| \le C_{N,\epsilon} |z|^{\frac{N+\epsilon}{2}} |x-x'|^{N-1+\epsilon} e^{-\frac{1}{4}(v^2+v'^2)}$$
(2.52)

where

$$K_j(x, x'; v, v') = \frac{i^j}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |y - (x - x')|^{j-1} \Phi(v, v', y) dy.$$
(2.53)

Remark that for $N \ge 1$, $s', s > N + \frac{1}{2}$ and $0 < \epsilon < \min\{s, s'\} - N - \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\langle x \rangle^{-s} \langle x' \rangle^{-s'} |x - x'|^{N-1+\epsilon} e^{-\frac{1}{4}(v^2 + v'^2)} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{12})$$

XUE PING WANG

and the same is true if N = 0 and $s, s' > \frac{1}{2}$ with s + s' > 2. We obtain the asymptotic expansion for $b_0^w(v, D_x, D_v)(-\Delta_x - z)^{-1}$ in powers of $z^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for z near 0 and $z \notin \mathbb{R}_+$.

$$b_0^w(v, D_x, D_v)(-\Delta_x - z)^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^N z^{\frac{j}{2}} K_j + O(|z|^{\frac{N}{2}+\epsilon}), \text{ as}$$
 (2.54)

as operators in $\mathcal{B}(0, s'; 0, -s)$, $s', s > N + \frac{1}{2}$ (and s + s' > 2 if N = 0). By the hypoelltpticity of P_0 , this expansion still holds in $\mathcal{B}(-1, s'; 1, -s)$. This proves (2.46) with G_k given by

$$G_{2j} = K_{2j} + \frac{r_0^{(j)}(0)}{j!}, \quad G_{2j+1} = K_{2j+1}, \quad j \ge 0.$$
 (2.55)

To show (2.47) and (2.49), note that since $\chi(0) = 1$, one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Phi(v, v', y) \, dy = \chi(0)\psi_0(v)\psi_0(v') = \psi_0(v)\psi_0(v').$$

One can then calculate that

$$K_{0}(x, x', v, v') = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \Phi(v, v', y) \frac{1}{|y - (x - x')|} dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi |x - x'|} \psi_{0}(v) \psi_{0}(v')$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \Phi(v, v', y) (\frac{1}{|y - (x - x')|} - \frac{1}{|x - x'|}) dy$$

(2.56)

and

$$K_1(x, x', v, v') = \frac{i}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Phi(v, v', y) dy = \frac{i}{4\pi} \psi_0(v) \psi_0(v').$$
(2.57)

This shows (2.49) and that $G_0 = F_0 + F_1$ with $F_1 = K_{0,1} + r_0(0)$, $K_{0,1}$ being the operator with the integral kernel

$$K_{0,1}(x,x',v,v') = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Phi(v,v',y) \left(\frac{1}{|y-(x-x')|} - \frac{1}{|x-x'|}\right) dy,$$

which is a smooth function and

$$K_{0,1}(x, x', v, v') = O(\psi_0(v)\psi_0(v')|x - x'|^{-2})$$

for |x - x'| large. Therefore $K_{0,1}$ is bounded in B(-1, s; 1, -s') for any $s, s' \ge 0$ and $s + s' > \frac{3}{2}$. This shows that $F_1 = K_{0,1} + r_0(0)$ has the same continuity property, which proves the decomposition (2.47) for G_0 .

The following high-energy pseudo-spectral estimate is used in the proof of Theorem 1.1 (a).

Proposition 2.10. Let $n \ge 1$. Then for every $\delta > 0$, there exists M > 0 such that

$$||R_0(z)|| \le \frac{M}{|z|^{\frac{1}{3}}},\tag{2.58}$$

and

$$\|(1 - \Delta_v + v^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} R_0(z)\| \le \frac{M}{|z|^{\frac{1}{6}}},\tag{2.59}$$

for $|\Im z| > \delta$ and $\Re z \le \frac{1}{M} |\Im z|^{\frac{1}{3}}$.

Proof. We first prove that for some constant C > 0

$$|R_0(z)|| \le \frac{C}{|z|^{\frac{1}{3}}},\tag{2.60}$$

for $z = -\frac{n}{2} + i\mu$ with $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$. It suffices to show that

$$\|\hat{R}_0(-\frac{n}{2}+i\mu,\xi)\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n_v))} \le \frac{C}{|z|^{\frac{1}{3}}},\tag{2.61}$$

uniformly in $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Notice that $\hat{P}_0(0)$ is selfadjoint and that one has

$$\|\hat{R}_0(z,0)\| \le \frac{1}{|\Im z|} \text{ and } \|v \cdot \xi \hat{R}_0(z,0)\| \le \frac{C'|\xi|}{\sqrt{|\Im z|}},$$

for $\Im z \neq 0$. Making use of the resolvent equation

$$\hat{R}_0(z,\xi) = \hat{R}_0(z,0) - \hat{R}_0(z,\xi)iv \cdot \xi \hat{R}_0(z,0),$$

one obtains

$$\|\hat{R}_0(z,\xi)\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2_v)} \le \frac{C}{|\Im z|},\tag{2.62}$$

if $|\xi| \leq c_1 \sqrt{|z|}$ for some $c_1 > 0$. For $c|z|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq |\xi|$ with c > 0 small enough, since we are concerned with estimates for |z| large, we can use a rotation and a rescaling to reduce to a semiclassical problem. Set $A(h) = -h^2 \Delta_v + \frac{v^2}{4} + iv_1$. Then

$$\|\hat{R}_0(z,\xi)\| = |\xi|^{-2} \|(A(h) - z')^{-1}\|$$

where $h = |\xi|^{-2}$ and $z' = |\xi|^{-2}(\frac{n}{2} + z)$. According to Theorem 1.4 of [5], one has

$$\|(A(h) - z')^{-1}\| \le Ch^{-\frac{2}{3}},\tag{2.63}$$

if $0 < h \le h_0$, $|z'| \le C$ and $\Re z' \le \frac{|\Im z'|^2}{4}$. In particular, for $z = -\frac{n}{2} + i\mu$ with μ real, one has

$$\|\hat{R}_0(z,\xi)\| \le C'h^{\frac{1}{3}} \le C''|z|^{-\frac{1}{3}},\tag{2.64}$$

for $c|z|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq |\xi| \leq c^{-1}|z|^{\frac{3}{2}}$. This proves (2.60). Now to prove (2.58), set $z = \lambda + i\mu$ with $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and write

$$R_0(\lambda + i\mu) = R_0(-\frac{n}{2} + i\mu) - (\lambda + \frac{n}{2})R_0(-\frac{n}{2} + i\mu)R_0(\lambda + i\mu).$$

According to (2.60),

$$\|(\lambda + \frac{n}{2})R_0(-\frac{n}{2} + i\mu)\| \le \frac{C|\lambda + \frac{n}{2}|}{|z|^{\frac{1}{3}}} \le \frac{1}{2}$$

if $|\lambda| \leq \frac{1}{M} |\mu|^{\frac{1}{3}}$ and $|\mu| \geq M$ for some M > 1 large enough. (2.58) follows from (2.60) and the equation $R_0(\lambda + i\mu) = (1 + (\lambda + \frac{n}{2})R_0(-\frac{n}{2} + i\mu))^{-1}R_0(-\frac{n}{2} + i\mu)$ when $|\lambda|\frac{1}{M}|\Im z|^{\frac{1}{3}}$ with M > 0 sufficiently large. The estimate (2.58) for $\Re z = \lambda < -\frac{1}{M}|\Im z|^{\frac{1}{3}}$ follows from

the accretivity of P_0

To show (2.59), notice that for $z = \lambda + i\mu$ with $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, one has the identity

$$\|\nabla_{v}u\|^{2} + \frac{1}{4}\||v|u\|^{2} = (\lambda + \frac{n}{2})\|u\|^{2} + \Re\langle (P_{0} - z)u, u\rangle$$

for $u \in D$. One obtains from (2.58) that

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_{v} R_{0}(z)w|^{2} &+ \frac{1}{4} |||v|R_{0}(z)w||^{2} \\ &\leq |\lambda + \frac{n}{2}|||R_{0}(z)w||^{2} + ||u||||R_{0}(z)w|| \\ &\leq C(\frac{|\lambda|}{|z|^{\frac{2}{3}}} + \frac{1}{|z|^{\frac{1}{3}}})||w||^{2}, \quad w \in L^{2}, \end{aligned}$$

for $|\Im z| > M$ and $\Re z \leq \frac{1}{M} |\Im z|^{\frac{1}{3}}$. (2.59) is proven.

Remark that when $n \ge 3$, Corollary 2.8 shows the existence of the boundary values of the free resolvent $R_0(\lambda \pm i0)$ for any $\lambda > 0$. But so far it is still unclear what kind of upper bound one can expect for $R_0(\lambda \pm i0)$ as $\lambda \to +\infty$. From Propositions 2.9 and 2.10, one can deduce the following

Corollary 2.11. Let n = 3. Let $S_0(t)$, $t \ge 0$, denote the semigroup generated by $-P_0$. Then for any integer $N \ge 1$ and $s > N + \frac{1}{2}$, the following asymptotic expansion holds for some $\epsilon > 0$

$$e^{-tP_0} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}, 2k+1 \le N} t^{-\frac{2k+3}{2}} \beta_k G_{2k+1} + O(t^{-\frac{N+2}{2}-\epsilon}), \quad t \to +\infty,$$
(2.65)

in $\mathcal{B}(0, s, 0_s)$. Here β_k is some non zero constant. In particular, the leading term $\beta_0 G_1$ is a rank-one operator given by

$$\beta_0 G_1 = \frac{1}{8\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}} \langle \mathfrak{m}_0, \cdot \rangle \mathfrak{m}_0 : \mathcal{L}^{2,s} \to \mathcal{L}^{2,-s}$$
(2.66)

for any $s > \frac{3}{2}$. Here $\mathfrak{m}_0(x, v) = 1 \otimes \psi_0(v)$.

The proof of Corollary 2.11 uses a representation of the free semigroup e^{-tP_0} as contour integral of the resolvent $R_0(z)$ in the right half complex plane. See Section 4 for more details in the case $V \neq 0$ where we shall prove a similar result for the full KFP operator P (see (1.12)). In the final step of the proof of (1.12), we shall apply Proposition 2.13 below to compute the leading term. As a preparation for the proof of this proposition, we establish some formulae on the evolution of observables which may be of interest in themselves.

Lemma 2.12. Let $n \ge 1$. For $t \ge 0$ and $0 \le s \le t$, one has the following equalities as operators from $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{x,v})$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{x,v})$:

$$e^{-(t-s)P_0}v_j e^{-sP_0} = e^{-tP_0}(v_j \cosh s - 2\partial_{v_j} \sinh s + 2(\cosh s - 1)\partial_{x_j})$$
(2.67)

$$e^{-(t-s)P_0}\partial_{v_j}e^{-sP_0} = -\frac{1}{2}e^{-tP_0}((v_j\sinh s - 2\partial_{v_j}\cosh s + 2\partial_{x_j}\sinh s))$$
(2.68)

$$e^{-(t-s)P_0} x_j e^{-sP_0} = e^{-tP_0} (x_j + v_j \sinh s - 2(\cosh s - 1)\partial_{v_j} + 2(\sinh s - s)\partial_{x_j})$$
(2.69)

Proof. For fixed t > 0, set $f(s) = e^{-(t-s)P_0}v_j e^{-sP_0}$, $0 \le s \le t$. Proposition 2.1 shows that for $u \in S$, $A^k e^{-tP_0}u \in L^2$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where A may be one of the operators $v_j, \partial_{v_i}, \partial_{x_i}, j = 1, \cdots, n$. As operators from \mathcal{S} to L^2 , one has:

$$f'(s) = e^{-(t-s)P_0}[P_0, v_j]e^{-sP_0} = -2e^{-(t-s)P_0}\partial_{v_j}e^{-sP_0}$$
(2.70)

$$f''(s) = -2e^{-(t-s)P_0} \left[\frac{v^2}{4} + v \cdot \partial_x, \ \partial_{v_j} \right] e^{-sP_0} = f(s) + 2\partial_{x_j} e^{-tP_0}$$
(2.71)

This shows that $f(s) = C_1 e^s + C_2 e^{-s} - 2\partial_{x_j} e^{-tP_0}$. C_1, C_2 can be determined by the initial data $f(0) = e^{-tP_0}v_j$ and $f'(0) = -2e^{-tP_0}\partial_{v_j}$:

$$C_{1} = e^{-tP_{0}}(\frac{1}{2}v_{j} - \partial_{v_{j}} + \partial_{x_{j}}), \quad C_{2} = e^{-tP_{0}}(\frac{1}{2}v_{j} + \partial_{v_{j}} + \partial_{x_{j}}).$$

This proves (2.67). (2.68) follows from (2.67) and the equality

$$e^{-(t-s)P_0}\partial_{v_j}e^{-sP_0} = -\frac{1}{2}f'(s).$$

To prove (2.69), one can check the following commutator relation:

$$[e^{-tP_0}, x_j] = -\int_0^t e^{(t-s)P_0} v_j e^{-sP_0} ds \qquad (2.72)$$
$$= -e^{-tP_0} \left(v_j \sinh t - 2(\cosh t - 1)\partial_{v_j} + 2(\sinh t - t)\partial_{x_j} \right),$$

which means that the commutator initially defined as forms on $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}$ extends to operators from \mathcal{S} to L^2 and the equality (2.72) holds. A successive application of this commutator relation shows that if $u \in \mathcal{S}$, then $\langle x \rangle^r e^{-tP_0} u \in L^2$ for any $r \in \mathbb{R}$. It follows from (2.67) that

$$e^{-(t-s)P_0} x_j e^{-sP_0} = e^{-tP_0} x_j + \int_0^s e^{-(t-\tau)P_0} v_j e^{-\tau P_0} d\tau$$

= $e^{-tP_0} (x_j + v_j \sinh s - 2(\cosh s - 1)\partial_{v_j} + 2(\sinh s - s)\partial_{x_j}).$
s proves (2.69).

This proves (2.69).

Proposition 2.13. Let n = 3. Assume that $u \in \mathcal{L}^{2,-s}$ for some $\frac{3}{2} < s < 2$ such that there exists some constant c_0 and $\psi \in L^2_v$ with $(-\Delta_v + v^2)\psi \in L^2_v$ such that

$$u(x,v) - c_0(1 \otimes \psi) \in \mathcal{L}^{2,\delta}(\mathbb{R}^6_{x,v})$$

for some $\delta > 0$. Then on has

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0_{-}} \lambda R_0(\lambda) u = -c_0 \langle \psi_0, \psi \rangle_{L^2_v} \mathfrak{m}_0$$
(2.73)

in $\mathcal{L}^{2,-s}$ for any $s > \frac{3}{2}$, where $\mathfrak{m}_0 = 1 \otimes \psi_0(v)$.

Proof. For $\lambda < 0$, $R_0(\lambda)$ maps $\mathcal{L}^{2,-s}$ to $\mathcal{L}^{2,-s}$ for any s and one has

$$||R_0(\lambda)||_{\mathcal{B}(0,0;0,0)} \le \frac{C}{|\lambda|}, \quad ||R_0(\lambda)||_{\mathcal{B}(0,s';0,-s)} \le C_{s,s'}$$

if $s, s' > \frac{1}{2}$ with s + s' > 2, uniformly in $\lambda \in]-1, 0[$. An argument of complex interpolation shows that for any s, s' > 0, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$||R_0(\lambda)||_{\mathcal{B}(0,s';0,-s)} \le C|\lambda|^{-1+\epsilon}.$$
 (2.74)

This shows that

$$\lambda R_0(\lambda)(u - c_0(1 \otimes \psi)) = o(1), \quad \text{as } \lambda \to 0_-$$
(2.75)

in $\mathcal{L}^{2,-s}$ for any s > 0. To prove Proposition 2.13, it suffices to study the limit $\lim_{\lambda\to 0_-} \lambda R_0(\lambda)(1\otimes\psi)$. By Proposition 2.7, the resolvent $R_0(\lambda)$ can be decomposed as

$$R_0(\lambda) = b_0^w(v, D_x, D_v)(-\Delta_x - \lambda)^{-1} + r_0(\lambda)$$
(2.76)

where

$$b_0(v,\xi,\eta) = 2^{\frac{3}{2}}\chi(\xi)e^{-v^2 - \eta^2 + 2iv\cdot\xi + 2\xi^2}$$

with χ a smooth cut-off around 0 with compact support, and $r_0(\lambda)$ is uniformly bounded as operators in L^2 for $\lambda < a$ for some $a \in]0, 1[$.

We claim that the following estimate holds uniformly in $\lambda < a$:

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-2} r_0(\lambda) \langle x \rangle^2 f\| \le C(\|f\| + \|H_0 f\|)$$
(2.77)

for any $f \in D(H_0)$, where $H_0 = -\Delta_v + v^2 - \Delta_x$. Remark that $r_0(\lambda)$ is a pseudodifferential operator in x-variables: $r_0(\lambda) = r_0(\lambda, D_x)$, with operator-valued symbol $r_0(\lambda, \xi) \in \mathcal{B}(L^2_v)$ (see (2.36)). The proof of Proposition 2.7 shows that $r_0(\lambda, \xi)$ can be decomposed as

$$r_0(\lambda,\xi) = r_{0,1}(\lambda,\xi) + r_{0,2}(\lambda,\xi)$$

with

$$r_{0,1}(\lambda,\xi) = \int_0^T \chi_1(\xi) e^{-t(\hat{P}_0(\xi) - \lambda)} dt$$

for some fixed $T \geq 3$ and $r_{0,2}(\lambda, \xi)$ is smooth and rapidly decreasing in ξ , uniformly for $\lambda < a$ (see (2.31), (2.33) and (2.34)). Since $r_{0,2}(\lambda, D_x)$ is a convolution in x-variables with a smooth and rapidly decreasing kernel, one has $\langle x \rangle^{-s} r_{0,2}(\lambda, D_x) \langle x \rangle^{s}$ is uniformly bounded for any s. To study $r_{0,1}(\lambda, D_x)$, we use commutator techniques. One writes

$$\langle x \rangle^{-2} r_{0,1}(\lambda, D_x) x^2 = \langle x \rangle^{-2} \left(x^2 r_{0,1}(\lambda, D_x) + \sum_{j=1}^n [[r_{0,1}(\lambda, D_x), x_j], x_j] \right)$$

Making use of (2.72), one can calculate

$$\begin{aligned} [r_{0,1}(\lambda, D_x), x_j] \\ &= -i(\partial_{\xi_j} \chi_1)(D_x) \int_0^T e^{-t(\hat{P}_0(\xi) - \lambda)} dt + \chi_1(D_x) \int_0^T [e^{-t(\hat{P}_0(\xi) - \lambda)}, x_j] dt \\ &= -i(\partial_{\xi_j} \chi_1)(D_x) \int_0^T e^{-t(\hat{P}_0(\xi) - \lambda)} dt \\ &+ \chi_1(D_x) \int_0^T e^{-t(P_0 - \lambda)} (v_j \sinh t - 2(\cosh t - 1)\partial_{v_j} + 2(\sinh t - t)\partial_{x_j}) dt \end{aligned}$$
(2.78)

Since P_0 is accretive, one obtains

$$\|[r_{0,1}(\lambda, D_x), x_j]f\| \le C(\|f\| + \|v_jf\| + \|\partial_{v_j}f\| + \|\partial_{x_j}f\|), \quad f \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Similarly, one can check that the second commutator $[[r_{0,1}(\lambda, D_x), x_j], x_j]$ verifies

$$\|[[r_{0,1}(\lambda, D_x), x_j], x_j]f\| \le C(\|f\| + \|H_0f\|).$$

This proves that

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-2} r_{0,1}(\lambda) \langle x \rangle^{2} f\| \le C(\|f\| + \|H_{0}f\|)$$
(2.79)

for any $f \in D(H_0)$, which gives (2.77). It follows from (2.77) and the uniform boundedness of $||r_0(\lambda)||$ for $\lambda < a, a > 0$, that for any $s \in [0, 2]$

$$\|\langle x \rangle^{-s} r_0(\lambda) \langle x \rangle^{s} f\| \le C(\|f\| + \|H_0 f\|),$$
 (2.80)

Since $1 \otimes \psi = \langle x \rangle^s f_s$ with $f_s = \langle x \rangle^{-s} \otimes \psi \in D(H_0)$ for any $s > \frac{3}{2}$, it follows that

$$\lambda r_0(\lambda)(1 \otimes \psi) = O(|\lambda|), \quad \lambda \to 0, \tag{2.81}$$

in $\mathcal{L}^{2,-s}$ for any $s > \frac{3}{2}$, which together with (2.75) implies that

$$\lambda R_0(\lambda)u - c_0\lambda b_0^w(v, D_x, D_v)(-\Delta_x - \lambda)^{-1}(1 \otimes \psi) = o(1)$$
(2.82)

in $\mathcal{L}^{2,-s}$, $s < \frac{3}{2}$, as $\lambda \to 0_-$. This estimate is valid in all dimensions.

Finally we calculate $\lambda b_0^w(v, D_x, D_v)(-\Delta_x - \lambda)^{-1}(1 \otimes \psi)$ which is independent of $\lambda < 0$ in dimension n = 3. In fact, according to (2.51) one has for $\lambda < 0$ and n = 3

$$\begin{split} \lambda b_{0}^{w}(v, D_{x}, D_{v})(-\Delta_{x} - \lambda)^{-1}(1 \otimes \psi) & (2.83) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{9}} \frac{\lambda e^{-\sqrt{|\lambda|}|y - (x - x')|}}{4\pi |y - (x - x')|} \Phi(v, v', y)\psi(v') \, dy dx' dv' \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{\lambda e^{-\sqrt{|\lambda|}|x'|}}{4\pi |x'|} dx' \int_{\mathbb{R}^{6}} \Phi(v, v', y)\psi(v') \, dy dv' \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}_{v'}} (\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \Phi(v, v', y) \, dy)\psi(v') \, dv' \\ &= -\chi(0)\langle\psi_{0}, \psi\rangle_{L^{2}_{v}}\psi_{0}(v) = -\langle\psi_{0}, \psi\rangle_{L^{2}_{v}}\psi_{0}(v). \end{split}$$

This finishes the proof of Proposition 2.13.

XUE PING WANG

3. Threshold spectral properties of the Kramers-Fokker-Planck operator

Consider the Kramers-Fokker-Planck operator $P = v \cdot \nabla_x - \nabla_x V(x) \cdot \nabla_v - \Delta_v + \frac{1}{4} |v|^2 - \frac{n}{2}$ with a C^1 potential V(x) satisfying

$$|\nabla_x V(x)| \le C \langle x \rangle^{-\rho-1}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{3.1}$$

for some $\rho \geq -1$. In fact, it is sufficient to suppose that V(x) is a Lipschitz function satisfying (3.1) about everywhere and one can even include some mild local singularities in $\nabla V(x)$, by using hypoellipiticity of the operator. But we will not care about such generalization. P defined on $D(P) = D(P_0)$ is maximally dissipative. By Proposition 2.1, if $\rho > -1$, $\nabla_x V(x) \cdot \nabla_v$ is relatively compact with respect to P_0 . Consequently, the spectrum of P is discrete outside \mathbb{R}_+ and the complex eigenvalues of P may only accumulate towards points in \mathbb{R}_+ . The thresholds of P are the eigenvalues of $-\Delta_v + \frac{1}{4}|v|^2 - \frac{n}{2}$ which are equal to \mathbb{N} . To be simple, we study only the threshold zero in dimension n = 3 under the condition $\rho > 1$.

Denote $W = -\nabla_x V(x) \cdot \nabla_v$. One has $W^* = -W$ and

$$(P-z)R_0(z) = 1 + R_0(z)W = 1 + G_0W + O(|z|^{\epsilon}), \quad \epsilon > 0,$$

in $\mathcal{B}(0, -s; 0, -s)$ for $1 < s < (1 + \rho)/2$ and z near 0 and $z \notin \mathbb{R}_+$.

Lemma 3.1. Assume n = 3 and let (3.1) be satisfied with $\rho > 1$ (i.e., the potential is of short-range). Then, G_0W is a compact operator in $\mathcal{L}^{2,-s}$ for $1 < s < (1+\rho)/2$ and

$$\ker_{\mathcal{L}^{2,-s}}(1+G_0W) = \{0\}.$$
(3.2)

Proof. For $1 < s < (1 + \rho)/2$, take 1 < s' < s. Proposition 2.9 (and the arguments used in the proof of Corollary 2.8) shows that $G_0W \in \mathcal{B}(0, -s; 1, -s')$. The injection $\mathcal{H}^{1,-s'}$ into $\mathcal{L}^{2,-s}$ is compact when s' < s. Therefore G_0W is a compact operator in $\mathcal{L}^{2,-s}$.

Let $u \in \mathcal{L}^{2,-s}$ with $u + G_0 W u = 0$. Then by the hypoellipticity of P_0 , one can check that $u \in \mathcal{H}^{2,-s}$ for any s > 1 and Pu = 0. According to (2.47), u can be decomposed as

$$u = -F_0 W u - F_1 W u.$$

Since $Wu \in \mathcal{H}^{-1,\rho+1-s}$ and $F_0 \in \mathcal{B}(-1,s;1,-s')$ for any $s,s' > \frac{1}{2}$ and s+s' > 2, it follows that u is in fact in $\mathcal{H}^{2,-s}$ for any $s > \frac{1}{2}$. Using the condition $\rho > 1$ and repeating the above argument, we deduce that $F_1Wu \in L^2$. By (2.48), one can calculate the asymptotic behavior of F_0Wu for |x| large and obtains that

$$u(x,v) = w(x,v) + r(x,v),$$
(3.3)

where $\langle v \rangle^2 r \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^6_{x,v})$ and $w(x,v) = C(u) \frac{e^{-\frac{v^2}{4}}}{|x|}$ with

$$C(u) = \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{e^{-\frac{v^2}{4}}}{2(2\pi)^{\frac{5}{2}}} \nabla_x V(x) \cdot \nabla_v u(x,v) \, dx dx.$$
(3.4)

Let $\chi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ be a cut-off with $\chi(\tau) = 1$ for $|\tau| \leq 1$ and $\chi(\tau) = 0$ for $|\tau| \geq 2$ and $0 \leq \chi(\tau) \leq 1$. Set $\chi_R(x) = \chi(\frac{|x|}{R}), R > 1$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $u_R(x) = \chi_R(x)u(x, v)$. Then

one has

$$Pu_R = \frac{v \cdot \hat{x}}{R} \chi'(\frac{|x|}{R})u.$$

Taking the real part of the equality $\langle Pu_R, u_R \rangle = \langle \frac{v \cdot \hat{x}}{R} \chi'(\frac{|x|}{R}) u, u_R \rangle$, one obtains

$$\int \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} |(\partial_v + \frac{v}{2})u(x,v)|^2 \chi(\frac{|x|}{R})^2 \, dx dv = \langle \frac{v \cdot \hat{x}}{R} \chi'(\frac{|x|}{R})u, u_R \rangle \tag{3.5}$$

Since w is even in v (see (3.3)), one has

$$\langle \frac{v \cdot \hat{x}}{R} \chi'(\frac{|x|}{R}) w, \chi_R w \rangle = 0$$

and the right hand side of the equality (3.5) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \frac{v \cdot \hat{x}}{R} \chi'(\frac{|x|}{R}) u, u_R \rangle| \\ &= |2 \Re \langle \frac{v \cdot \hat{x}}{R} \chi'(\frac{|x|}{R}) w, \chi_R r \rangle + \langle \frac{v \cdot \hat{x}}{R} \chi'(\frac{|x|}{R}) r, \chi_R r \rangle| \\ &\leq C R^{-(1-s)} (\|w\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,-s}} + \|r\|_{L^2}) \|\langle v \rangle r\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$
(3.6)

for some $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$. Taking the limit $R \to +\infty$ in (3.5), one obtains that $(\partial_v + \frac{v}{2})u(x,v) \in L^2$ and

$$\int \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} |(\partial_v + \frac{v}{2})u(x, v)|^2 \, dx dv = 0.$$
(3.7)

This shows that $(\partial_v + \frac{v}{2})u(x,v) = 0$, a.e. in x, v. Since $u \in \mathcal{L}^{2,-s}$ for any $s > \frac{1}{2}$ and Pu = 0, one sees that u is of the form $u(x,v) = C(x)e^{-\frac{v^2}{4}}$ for some $C \in L^{2,-s}(\mathbb{R}^3_x)$ verifying the equation

$$v \cdot \nabla_x C(x) + \frac{1}{2} v \cdot \nabla V(x) C(x) = 0$$
(3.8)

a.e. in x for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$. This proves that $C(x) = c_0 e^{-\frac{V(x)}{2}}$ a.e. for some constant c_0 and

 $u(x,v) = c_0 \mathfrak{m}.$

Since $u \in \mathcal{L}^{2,-s}$ for any $s > \frac{1}{2}$ and $\mathfrak{m} \notin \mathcal{L}^{2,-s}$ if $\frac{1}{2} < s < \frac{3}{2}$ when V(x) is bounded, one concludes that $c_0 = 0$, therefore u = 0. This proves that $\ker_{\mathcal{L}^{2,-s}}(1 + G_0W) = \{0\}$. \Box

A solution, u, of the stationary equation Pu = 0 is called a resonant state if $u \in \mathcal{L}^{2,-s}$ for any $s > \frac{1}{2}$, but $u \notin L^2$ and zero is then called a resonance of P. Lemma 3.1 shows that zero is neither an eigenvalue nor a resonance (*i.e.*, a regular point) of the KFP operator P if the potential is of short-range. This is in sharp contrast to Schrödinger operators for which zero resonance may exist even for smooth and compactly supported potentials. Lemma 3.1 makes easier the threshold spectral analysis for the KFP operator.

Theorem 3.2. Assume n = 3 and $\rho > 1$. Then zero is not an accumulation point of the eigenvalues of P and one has for any $s, s' > \frac{1}{2}$ with s + s' > 2, $\exists \epsilon > 0$ such that

$$R(z) = A_0 + O(|z|^{\epsilon}), \quad z \to 0, z \notin \mathbb{R}_+,$$
(3.9)

in $\mathcal{B}(-1,s; 1,-s')$, where

$$A_0 = (1 + G_0 W)^{-1} G_0. aga{3.10}$$

There exists $\delta > 0$ such that boundary values of the resolvent

$$R(\lambda \pm i0) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0_+} R(\lambda \pm i\epsilon), \quad \lambda \in]0, \delta]$$

exist in $\mathcal{B}(-1,s; 1,-s)$ for any $s > \frac{1}{2}$ and are continuous in λ .

Proof. Remark that $G_0 \in \mathcal{B}(-1, s; 1, -s')$ for any $s, s' > \frac{1}{2}$ and s + s' > 2. Lemma 3.1 implies that $\ker_{\mathcal{H}^{1,-s}}(1+G_0W) = \{0\}$ and G_0W is compact on $\mathcal{H}^{1,-s}$ for $1 < s < (1+\rho)/2$. Therefore $1+G_0W$ is invertible on $\mathcal{H}^{1,-s}$ with bounded inverse. Since

$$1 + R_0(z)W = 1 + G_0W + O(|z|^{\epsilon}), \quad \text{in } \mathcal{B}(1, -s; 1, -s), \quad \epsilon > 0,$$

for |z| small and $z \notin \mathbb{R}_+$, it follows that $1 + R_0(z)W$ is invertible on $\mathcal{H}^{1,-s}$ for |z| small and $z \notin \mathbb{R}_+$ and that

$$(1 + R_0(z)W)^{-1} = (1 + G_0W)^{-1} + O(|z|^{\epsilon}), \text{ as } |z| \to 0, z \notin \mathbb{R}_+.$$
 (3.11)

In particular, $1 + R_0(z)W$ is injective in $\mathcal{L}^{2,-s}$ for z near 0 and $z \notin \mathbb{R}_+$. Since $R_0(z)(P-z) = 1 + R_0(z)W$, this shows that P has no eigenvalues for $|z| < \delta$ for some $\delta > 0$ and that

$$R(z) = (1 + R_0(z)W)^{-1}R_0(z) = A_0 + O(|z|^{\epsilon}), z \notin \mathbb{R}_+,$$
(3.12)

with $A_0 = (1+G_0W)^{-1}G_0$. The existence of the boundary values $R(\lambda \pm i0)$ for $0 < \lambda < \delta$ follows from the first equality in (3.12).

Theorem 3.3. Assume n = 3 and $\rho > 2$. Then for any $s > \frac{3}{2}$, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$R(z) = A_0 + z^{\frac{1}{2}} A_1 + O(|z|^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}), \qquad (3.13)$$

in $\mathcal{B}(-1, s; 1, -s)$ for |z| small and $z \notin \mathbb{R}_+$, where A_1 is an operator of rank one given by

$$A_1 = (1 + G_0 W)^{-1} G_1 (1 - W A_0).$$
(3.14)

Proof. For $s > \frac{3}{2}$, one has

$$R_0(z) = G_0 + z^{\frac{1}{2}}G_1 + O(|z|^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon})$$

in $\mathcal{B}(-1, s; 1, -s)$. If $\rho > 2$, one has $W \in \mathcal{B}(0, -r; 1, \rho + 1 - r)$. Therefore for $\frac{3}{2} < s < (\rho + 1)/2$, it

$$R_0(z)W - (G_0 + z^{\frac{1}{2}}G_1)W = O(|z|^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon})$$

in $\mathcal{B}(0, -s; 0, -s)$. Since $B_0 \triangleq (1 + G_0 W)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(0, -s; 0, -s)$, it follows that

$$(1 + R_0(z)W)^{-1} = B_0 - z^{\frac{1}{2}}B_0G_1WB_0 + O(|z|^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}).$$

From the resolvent equation $R(z) = (1 + R_0(z)W)^{-1}R_0(z)$, we obtain that

$$R(z) = B_0 G_0 + z^{\frac{1}{2}} B_0 G_1 (1 - W B_0 G_0) + O(|z|^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon})$$

in $\mathcal{B}(0, s; 0, -s)$. An argument of hypoellicity shows that the same asymptics holds in $\mathcal{B}(-1, s; 1, -s)$. Remark that $A_1 = B_0 G_1 (1 - W B_0 G_0)$ is a rank one operator, because G_1 is of rank one.

4. Large-time behaviors of solutions

The large-time behaviors of solutions to the KFP equation with a potential will be deduced from resolvent asumptotics and a representation formula of the semigroup $S(t) = e^{-tP}$ in terms of the resolvent. To this purpose, we need the following high energy pseudospectral estimate.

Theorem 4.1. Let $n \ge 1$ and assume (3.1) with $\rho \ge -1$. Then there exists C > 0 such that $\sigma(P) \cap \{z; |\Im z| > C, \Re z \le \frac{1}{C} |\Im z|^{\frac{1}{3}} \} = \emptyset$ and

$$||R(z)|| \le \frac{C}{|z|^{\frac{1}{3}}},\tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\|(1 - \Delta_v + v^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} R(z)\| \le \frac{C}{|z|^{\frac{1}{6}}},\tag{4.2}$$

for $|\Im z| > C$ and $\Re z \leq \frac{1}{C} |\Im z|^{\frac{1}{3}}$.

Proof. Let $W = -\nabla_x V(x) \cdot \nabla_v$. (2.59) shows that $||WR_0(z)|| + ||R_0(z)W|| = O(|z|^{-\frac{1}{6}})$ for z in the region $\{z; |\Im z| > M, \Re z \leq \frac{1}{M} |\Im z|^{\frac{1}{3}}\}$. Therefore $(1 + R_0(z)W)^{-1}$ exists and is uniformly bounded if $\{z; |\Im z| > M, \Re z \leq \frac{1}{M} |\Im z|^{\frac{1}{3}}\}$ with M sufficiently large. Theorem 4.1 follows from Proposition 2.10 and the resolvent equation $R(z) = (1 + R_0(z)W)^{-1}R_0(z)$ for $|\Im z| > C$ and $\Re z \leq \frac{1}{C} |\Im z|^{\frac{1}{3}}$ with $C \geq M$ sufficiently large. \Box

Lemma 4.2. Let $n \ge 1$ and assume (3.1) with $\rho \ge -1$. Then

$$S(t)f = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} e^{-tz} R(z) f dz$$
(4.3)

for $f \in L^2$ and t > 0, where the contour γ is chosen such that

$$\gamma = \gamma_- \cup \gamma_0 \cup \gamma_+$$

with $\gamma_{\pm} = \{z; z = \pm iC + \lambda \pm iC\lambda^3, \lambda \ge 0\}$ and γ_0 is a curve in the left-half complexe plane joining -iC and iC for some C > 0 sufficiently large, γ being oriented from $-i\infty$ to $+i\infty$.

Proof. The spectrum of P is void in the left side of γ . By Theorem 4.1, for C > 0 sufficiently large, γ is contained in the resolvent set of P and

$$||R(z)|| \le \frac{C}{|z|^{\frac{1}{3}}}, \quad z \in \gamma.$$

Therefore, the integral $\tilde{S}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} e^{-tz} R(z) dz$ is norm convergent. In addition, one can check as in the standard case (see, for example, [13]) that $\tilde{S}'(t)f = -P\tilde{S}(t)f$ for $f \in D(P_0)$ and that $\lim_{t\to 0+} \tilde{S}(t) = I$ strongly. The uniqueness of solution to the evolution equation u'(t) + Pu(t) = 0 for t > 0 and $u(0) = u_0$ implies that $\tilde{S}(t) = S(t)$, t > 0.

Corollary 4.3. Assume that n = 3 and $\rho > 1$. One has

$$\langle S(t)f,g\rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} e^{-tz} \langle R(z)f,g\rangle dz, \quad t > 0,$$
(4.4)

for any $f, g \in \mathcal{L}^{2,s}$, s > 1. Here

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_{-} \cup \Gamma_{0} \cup \Gamma_{+}$$

with $\Gamma_{\pm} = \{z; z = \delta + \lambda \pm i\delta^{-1}\lambda^3, \lambda \geq 0\}$ for $\delta > 0$ small enough and $\Gamma_0 = \{z = \lambda \pm i0; \lambda \in [0, \delta]\}$. Γ is oriented from $-i\infty$ to $+i\infty$.

Proof. P has no eigenvalues with real part equal to zero (see the arguments used in the proof of Lemma 3.1) and their only possible accumulation points are in \mathbb{R}_+ . If n = 3 and $\rho > 1$, Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 4.1 imply that there exists some $\delta_0 > 0$ such that

$$\sigma_p(P) \cap \{z; \Re z \le \delta_0\} = \emptyset. \tag{4.5}$$

Consequently if $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ is small enough, there is no spectrum of P in the interior of the region between γ and Γ and the resolvent is holomorphic there. In addition, the limiting absorption principles at low energies ensure that the integral

$$\int_{\Gamma} e^{-tz} \langle R(z)f,g\rangle dz, \quad t>0,$$

is convergent for any $f, g \in \mathcal{L}^{2,s}$ with s > 1. By analytic deformation, we conclude from (4.1) that

$$\langle S(t)f,g\rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} e^{-tz} \langle R(z)f,g\rangle dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} e^{-tz} \langle R(z)f,g\rangle dz, \quad t > 0,$$

for any $f, g \in \mathcal{L}^{2,s}$ with s > 1.

The formula (4.4) is useful for studying the time-decay of solutions to the KFP equation with a short-range potential (satisfying (1.7) with $\rho > 1$).

Theorem 4.4. Assume n = 3.

(a). If $\rho > 1$, one has for any $s > \frac{3}{2}$

$$||S(t)||_{\mathcal{B}(0,s;0,-s)} \le C_s t^{-\frac{3}{2}}, \quad t > 0.$$
(4.6)

(b). If $\rho > 2$, then for any $s > \frac{3}{2}$, there exists some $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$S(t) = t^{-\frac{3}{2}} B_1 + O(t^{-\frac{3}{2}-\epsilon})$$
(4.7)

in $\mathcal{B}(0,s;0,-s)$ as $t \to +\infty$, where

$$B_1 = \frac{1}{2i\sqrt{\pi}}A_1 \tag{4.8}$$

is an operator of rank one.

Proof. Assume that n = 3 and $\rho > 1$. By Corollary 4.3, one has for $f, g \in \mathcal{L}^{2,s}$ with s > 1 and for t > 0

$$\begin{split} \langle S(t)f,g\rangle &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{\delta} e^{-t\lambda} \langle (R(\lambda+i0)-R(\lambda-i0))f,g\rangle d\lambda \\ &+ \frac{e^{-t\delta}}{2\pi i} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t(\lambda+i\delta^{-1}\lambda^3)} \langle R(\delta+\lambda+i\delta^{-1}\lambda^3)f,g\rangle (1+3i\delta^{-1}\lambda^2) d\lambda \ (4.9) \\ &- \frac{e^{-t\delta}}{2\pi i} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t(\lambda-i\delta^{-1}\lambda^3)} \langle R(\delta+\lambda-i\delta^{-1}\lambda^3)f,g\rangle (1-3i\delta^{-1}\lambda^2) d\lambda \\ &\triangleq I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{split}$$

For I_2 and I_3 , one can apply Theorem 4.1 to estimate

$$|\langle R(\delta + \lambda \pm i\delta^{-1}\lambda^3)f,g\rangle| \le C_M ||f||_{\mathcal{H}^{-1,s}} ||g||_{\mathcal{H}^{-1,s}}$$

for $s > \frac{1}{2}$ and $\lambda \in]0, M]$ for each fixed M > 0 and

$$|\langle R(\delta + \lambda \pm i\delta^{-1}\lambda^3)f,g\rangle| \le C_M \lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}} ||f||_{L^2} ||g||_{L^2}$$

for $\lambda > M$ with M > 1 sufficiently large. Therefore, if $\rho > 1$

$$|I_k| \le C e^{-t\delta} ||f||_{\mathcal{H}^{0,s}} ||g||_{\mathcal{H}^{0,s}}$$
(4.10)

for k = 2, 3 and for any $s > \frac{1}{2}$.

To show (4.6), it remains to prove that if $\rho > 1$ and n = 3, one has

$$\left|\int_{\Gamma_{0}} e^{-tz} \langle R(z)f, g \rangle dz\right| \le Ct^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,s}} \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,s}}$$
(4.11)

for any $f, g \in \mathcal{L}^{2,s}$, $s > \frac{3}{2}$. For $1 < s < (\rho + 1)/2$, one has for some $\epsilon_0 > 0$

$$W(R_0(z) - G_0) = O(|z|^{\epsilon_0}), \quad \text{in } \mathcal{B}(0, s; 0, s)$$

for z near 0 and $z \notin \mathbb{R}_+$. By Lemma 3.1, $1 + WG_0$ is invertible in $\mathcal{B}(0, s; 0, s)$ for any $1 < s < (\rho + 1)/2$. One obtains

$$R(z) = R_0(z)(1 + WR_0(z))^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^N R_0(z)T(z)^j(1 + WG_0)^{-1} + O(|z|^{(N+1)\epsilon_0}) \quad (4.12)$$

in $\mathcal{B}(0,s;0,s)$ with s > 1, where N is taken such that $(N+1)\epsilon_0 > \frac{1}{2}$ and

$$T(z) = (1 + WG_0)^{-1} W(R_0(z) - G_0).$$
(4.13)

Consequently

$$\left|\int_{\Gamma_{0}} e^{-tz} \langle (R(z) - R_{0}(z) \sum_{j=0}^{N} T(z)^{j} (1 + WG_{0})^{-1}) f, g \rangle dz \right| \leq Ct^{-\frac{3}{2} - \epsilon} \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,s}} \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,s}}, \quad \epsilon > 0,$$

$$(4.14)$$

if s > 1. (4.11) follows from the following lemma which achieves the proof of (4.6). \Box

Lemma 4.5. For each $j \ge 0$ and $\frac{3}{2} < s < \rho + \frac{1}{2}$, there exists some C > 0 such that

$$\left|\int_{\Gamma_{0}} e^{-tz} \langle R_{0}(z)T(z)^{j}(1+WG_{0})^{-1}f,g\rangle dz\right| \leq Ct^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,s}} \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,s}}$$
(4.15)

for any $f, g \in \mathcal{L}^{2,s}$ and t > 0.

Proof. We want to show that

$$\langle (R_0(z)T(z)^j - R_0(\overline{z})T(\overline{z})^j)(1 + WG_0)^{-1}f, g \rangle | \le C\sqrt{\lambda} ||f||_{\mathcal{L}^{2,s}} ||g||_{\mathcal{L}^{2,s}}$$
(4.16)

for $z = \lambda + i0$ with $\lambda \in [0, \delta]$ and for $f, g \in \mathcal{L}^{2,s}$ with $\frac{3}{2} < s < \rho + \frac{1}{2}$. Recall that $R_0(z) = b_0^w(v, D_x, D_v)(-\Delta_x - z)^{-1} + r_0(z)$ with $r_0(z)$ a bounded operator-valued function holomorphic in z when $\Re z < a$ for some 0 < a < 1 (see (2.50)). Without loss, we can choose $\delta > 0$ small such that $0 < \delta < a$ which gives

$$R_0(z) - R_0(\bar{z}) = b_0^w(v, D_x, D_v)((-\Delta_x - z)^{-1} - (-\Delta_x - \bar{z})^{-1})$$
(4.17)

for $z = \lambda + i0$ with $\lambda \in [0, \delta]$, $0 < \delta < 1$. Making use of the explicit formula for the integral kernel of $(-\Delta_x - z)^{-1}$, one obtains

$$R_0(z) - R_0(\bar{z}) = O(\sqrt{\lambda}), \quad \lambda \in [0, \delta]$$
(4.18)

in $\mathcal{B}(-1,s; 1,-s)$ for any $s > \frac{3}{2}$. By Proposition 2.9, $R_0(z)$ is uniformly bounded in $\mathcal{B}(-1,s;1,-s')$ for $s,s' > \frac{1}{2}$ and s+s' > 2. We deduce that for any $\frac{1}{2} < s < \rho + \frac{1}{2}$, WG_0 and $(1+WG_0)^{-1}$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}(0,s; 0,s)$ and

$$T(z) = O(|z|^{\epsilon_0}) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{B}(0,s;0,s).$$

$$(4.19)$$

Seeing (4.18), one obtains that for any $\frac{3}{2} < s < \rho + \frac{1}{2}$ and $s' = 1 + \rho - s > \frac{1}{2}$,

$$T(z) - T(\bar{z}) = (1 + WG_0)^{-1} W(R_0(z) - R_0(\bar{z})) = O(\sqrt{\lambda})$$
(4.20)

in $\mathcal{B}(0, s; 0, s')$ for $z = \lambda + i0$. Since for $j \ge 1$,

$$R_{0}(z)T(z)^{j} - R_{0}(\overline{z})T(\overline{z})^{j}$$

$$= (R_{0}(z) - R_{0}(\overline{z}))T(z)^{j} + R_{0}(\overline{z})\sum_{k=0}^{j-1}T(z)^{k}(T(z) - T(\overline{z}))T(\overline{z})^{j-k-1}$$

$$(4.21)$$

one can estimate $|\langle (R_0(z)T(z)^j - R_0(\overline{z})T(\overline{z})^j)(1 + WG_0)^{-1}f,g\rangle|$ by

$$\langle (R_{0}(z)T(z)^{j} - R_{0}(\overline{z})T(\overline{z})^{j})(1 + WG_{0})^{-1}f, g \rangle |$$

$$\leq C \{ \| (R_{0}(z) - R_{0}(\overline{z})) \|_{\mathcal{B}(0,s; 0, -s)} \| T(z) \|_{\mathcal{B}(0,s; 0, s)}^{j}$$

$$+ \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \| R_{0}(\overline{z}) \|_{\mathcal{B}(0,s'; 0, -s)} \| (T(z) - T(\overline{z})) \|_{\mathcal{B}(0,s; 0,s')} \| T(z) \|_{\mathcal{B}(0,s'; 0,s')}^{k} \| T(\overline{z}) \|_{\mathcal{B}(0,s; 0,s)}^{j-k-1} \}$$

$$\times \| f \|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,s}} \| g \|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,s}}$$

$$\leq C' \sqrt{\lambda} \| f \|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,s}} \| g \|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,s}}$$

$$(4.22)$$

for $\frac{3}{2} < s < \rho + \frac{1}{2}$ and $s' = 1 + \rho - s > \frac{1}{2}$. The above estimate is clearly also true when j = 0. This proves (4.16) which implies (4.15) and consequently (4.6).

Assume now $\rho > 2$. Theorem 3.3 gives that if $\rho > 2$,

$$R(z) = A_0 + \sqrt{z}A_1 + O(|z|^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}), \quad z \to 0, z \notin \mathbb{R}_+,$$
(4.23)

in $\mathcal{B}(-1,s; 1,-s), s > \frac{3}{2}$. According to (4.23), I_1 can be evaluated by

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_0^\delta e^{-t\lambda} \langle (\sqrt{\lambda}A_1 + O(\lambda^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon}))f, g \rangle d\lambda$$

and

$$|I_1 - \frac{1}{t^{\frac{3}{2}}} \langle B_1 f, g \rangle| \le C t^{-(\frac{3}{2} + \epsilon)} ||f||_{\mathcal{H}^{-1,s}} ||g||_{\mathcal{H}^{-1,s}}$$
(4.24)

with

$$B_1 = \frac{1}{\pi i} A_1 \int_0^\infty e^{-s} \sqrt{s} \, ds = \frac{1}{2i\sqrt{\pi}} A_1. \tag{4.25}$$

This proves (4.7).

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Theorem 1.1 (a) is just Theorem 4.1, Theorem 1.1 (b) is contained in Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 4.4 (a). Seeing Theorem 4.4 (b), to prove Theorem 1.1 (c), it remains to calculate the operator B_1 given in Theorem 4.4.

For $u \in \mathcal{L}^{2,s}$ with $s > \frac{3}{2}$, one can write

$$B_{1}u = \frac{1}{8\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}} \langle \mathfrak{m}_{0}, (1 - WA_{0})u \rangle (1 + G_{0}W)^{-1}\mathfrak{m}_{0} \qquad (4.26)$$
$$= \frac{1}{8\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}} \langle (1 - WA_{0})^{*}\mathfrak{m}_{0}, u \rangle (1 + G_{0}W)^{-1}\mathfrak{m}_{0}$$
$$= \frac{1}{8\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}} \langle \nu_{0}, u \rangle \mu_{0}$$

where

$$\mu_0 = (1 + G_0 W)^{-1} \mathfrak{m}_0, \quad \nu_0 = (1 - W A_0)^* \mathfrak{m}_0.$$
(4.27)

Since $\mathfrak{m}_0 \in \mathcal{L}^{2,-s}$ for any $s > \frac{3}{2}$ and $(1+G_0W)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(0,-s;0,-s)$, G_0W and $(WA_0)^* \in \mathcal{B}(0,-s,;0,-s')$ for any $s' > \frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{3}{2} < s < \frac{\rho+1}{2}$ (if $\rho > 2$), ν_0,μ_0 belong to $\mathcal{L}^{2,-s}$ for any $s > \frac{3}{2}$. In addition, μ_0 satisfies the equation

$$\lim_{z \to 0, z \notin \mathbb{R}_+} (1 + R_0(z)W)\mu_0 = (1 + G_0W)\mu_0 = \mathfrak{m}_0$$

in $\mathcal{L}^{2,-s}$. It follows that

$$P\mu_0 = P_0 \mathfrak{m}_0 = 0. \tag{4.28}$$

Similarly, since $A_0 = \lim_{z \to 0, z \notin \mathbb{R}_+} R(z)$ in $\mathcal{B}(-1, s; 1, -s')$ for any $s, s' > \frac{1}{2}$ with s+s' > 2and $(1 - WA_0)^* = 1 + A_0^* W$ (W being skew-adjoint), one can check that

$$P^*\nu_0 = (P_0 + W)^* + W)\mathfrak{m}_0$$

$$= P_0^*\mathfrak{m}_0 = 0.$$
(4.29)

To prove that $\mu_0 = \mathfrak{m}$, we remark that the solution of the equation $(1 + G_0 W)\mu = \mathfrak{m}_0$ is unique in $\mathcal{L}^{2,-s}$ for any $s > \frac{3}{2}$. Therefore it suffices to check that \mathfrak{m} also verifies the

equation $(1 + G_0 W)\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_0$. To show this, we notice that since $(P_0 + W)\mathfrak{m} = 0$, one has for $\lambda < 0$

$$(1 + R_0(\lambda)W)\mathfrak{m} = -\lambda R_0(\lambda)\mathfrak{m}$$
(4.30)

For $\rho > 2$, one has $\mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{m}_0 \in \mathcal{L}^{2,s}$ for any $0 < s < \rho - \frac{3}{2}$. Proposition 2.13 with $\psi = \psi_0$ shows that

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0_{-}} \lambda R_0(\lambda) \mathfrak{m} = -\mathfrak{m}_0.$$
(4.31)

Taking the limit $\lambda \to 0_-$ in (4.30), one obtains $(1 + G_0 W)\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_0 = (1 + G_0 W)\mu_0$. According to Lemma 3.1 with $\rho > 2$, the operator $1 + G_0 W$ is invertible in $\mathcal{L}^{2,-s}$ for any $\frac{3}{2} < s < \frac{1+\rho}{2}$, which gives $\mu_0 = \mathfrak{m} = (1 + G_0 W)^{-1}\mathfrak{m}_0$.

To show that $\nu_0 = \mathfrak{m}$, we notice that $1 + WG_0 \in \mathcal{B}(0, s; 0, s)$ for any $\frac{3}{2} < s < \frac{\rho+1}{2}$ and is invertible and its inverse is given by:

$$(1 + WG_0)^{-1} = 1 - W(1 + G_0 W)^{-1} G_0 = 1 - WA_0.$$
(4.32)

Therefore $\nu_0 = (1 - WA_0)^* \mathfrak{m}_0 = (1 - G_0^* W)^{-1} \mathfrak{m}_0$. Since \mathfrak{m} verifies also the equation $P^*\mathfrak{m} = (P_0^* - W)\mathfrak{m} = 0$, the similar arguments as those used above allow to conclude that $(1 - G_0^* W)\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_0$ which shows $\nu_0 = (1 - G_0^* W)^{-1}\mathfrak{m}_0 = \mathfrak{m}$. This shows

$$B_1 u = \frac{1}{8\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}} \langle \mathfrak{m}, u \rangle \mathfrak{m} \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{L}^{2,s} \text{ with } s > \frac{3}{2}, \tag{4.33}$$

which proves (1.12) of Theorem 1.1.

In the proof of Theorem 1.1 (c), we showed that solutions to the equation Pu = 0with $u \in \mathcal{L}^{2,-s}$ for any $s > \frac{3}{2}$, are given by $u = c\mathfrak{m}$ for some constant c. If V is smooth and coercive $(|\nabla V(x)| \to \infty$ and V(x) > 0 outside some compact), the hypoelliptic estimate for P allows to conclude that if Pu = 0 and $u \in S'$, then $u \in S$ and $u = c\mathfrak{m}$ for some constant c. See [8, 11]. This kind of uniqueness result seems to be unknown for potentials whose gradient tends to zero. Our proof not only shows that $\mu_0 = c\mathfrak{m}$, but also compute the constant c which allows to give the universal constant in the leading term of (1.12.

Remarks. (a). Several interesting questions remain open. In particular, we do not know if results like (1.11) and (1.12) hold for $S(t) = e^{-tP}$ as operators from \mathcal{L}^1 to \mathcal{L}^{∞} . See Theorem 2.4 for the free KFP operator.

(b). The assumptions on dimension and on the decay rate of the potential are only used in low-energy resolvent asymptotics. While we believe that the condition n = 3 is only technical, the condition on the decay rate ρ is more essential to our approach which consists in regarding the free KFP operator P_0 as model operator for the full KFP operator with a potential. To study the low-energy resolvent asymptotics for potentials with more slowly decreasing gradients, one may try to use other models such as the Witten Laplacian

$$-\Delta_V = (-\nabla_x + \nabla V(x)) \cdot (\nabla_x + \nabla V(x))$$

See [7, 8, 10] for relations between the KFP operator and the Witten Laplacian in eigenvalue problems, when $|\nabla V(x)| \to +\infty$ and the spectrum is discrete near 0. When $|\nabla V(x)|$ is slowly decreasing, under some reasonable additional conditions $-\Delta_V$ is a

Schrödinger operator $-\Delta_x + U(x)$ with a potential U(x) positive outside some compact and slowly decreasing at the infinity. The threshold spectral properties for this class of selfadjoint elliptic operators can be fairly well analyzed, making use of known results on Schrödinger operators with globally positive and slowly decreasing potentials ([15, 22]). The open question here is to see to which extent the approximation of the KFP operator by the Witten Laplacian is valid in a scattering framework. We hope to return to this problem in a forthcoming work.

Appendix A. A family of complex harmonic oscillators

In this appendix, we study some basic spectral properties of a family of non-selfadjoint harmonic oscillators

$$\hat{P}_0(\xi) = -\Delta_v + \frac{v^2}{4} - \frac{n}{2} + iv \cdot \xi,$$
(A.1)

where $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are regarded as parameters. By Fourier transform in *x*-variables, the free KFP P_0 is a direct integral of the family $\{\hat{P}_0(\xi); \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$. We give here some quantitative results with explicit bounds in ξ . Note that non-selfadjoint harmonic operators with complex frequency $-\Delta_x + \omega x^2$, $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$, are studied by several authors. See for example [2, 3] and references quoted therein.

The operator $\hat{P}_0(\xi)$ can be written as

$$\hat{P}_0(\xi) = -\Delta_v + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^n (v_j + 2i\xi_j)^2 - \frac{n}{2} + |\xi|^2.$$

 $\{\hat{P}_0(\xi), \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ is a holomorphic family of type (A) in sense of Kato with constant domain $D = D(-\Delta_v + \frac{v^2}{4})$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n_v)$. Let $F_j(s) = (-1)^j e^{\frac{s^2}{2}} \frac{d^j}{ds^j} e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}}, j \in \mathbb{N}$, be the Hermite polynomials and

$$\varphi_j(s) = (j!\sqrt{2\pi})^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-\frac{s^2}{4}}F_j(s)$$

the normalized Hermite functions. For $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, define

$$\psi_{\alpha}(v) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \varphi_{\alpha_j}(v_j) \text{ and } \psi_{\alpha}^{\xi}(v) = \psi_{\alpha}(v+2i\xi).$$
(A.2)

For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $\xi \to \langle \psi_{\alpha}^{\xi}, \psi_{\beta}^{-\xi} \rangle$ extends to an entire function for $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ and is constant on $i\mathbb{R}$. Therefore $\langle \psi_{\alpha}^{\xi}, \psi_{\beta}^{-\xi} \rangle$ is constant for $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ and one has

$$\langle \psi_{\alpha}^{\xi}, \psi_{\beta}^{-\xi} \rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{cases} 1, & \alpha = \beta, \\ 0, & \alpha \neq \beta. \end{cases}, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{n}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}.$$
(A.3)

Using the definition of Hermite functions, one can check that for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$

$$\|\psi_{\alpha}^{\xi}\|^{2} = e^{2\xi^{2}} \prod_{m=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\alpha_{m}} \frac{C_{\alpha_{m}}^{j}}{j!} (2\xi_{m})^{2j}\right).$$
(A.4)

In fact, when n = 1, $\xi = \xi_1$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, one has

$$\begin{split} \|\psi_{k}^{\xi}\|^{2} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_{k}(y+2i\xi)\varphi_{k}(y-2i\xi)dy \\ &= (k!\sqrt{2\pi})^{-1}e^{2\xi^{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}F_{k}(y+2i\xi)F_{k}(y-2i\xi)e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{2}}dy \\ &= (k!\sqrt{2\pi})^{-1}e^{2\xi^{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}(\sum_{j=0}^{k}C_{k}^{j}(2i\xi)^{k-j}F_{j}(y))\sum_{l=0}^{k}C_{k}^{l}(-2i\xi)^{k-l}F_{l}(y))e^{-\frac{y^{2}}{2}}dy \\ &= e^{2\xi^{2}}\sum_{j,l=0}^{k}(k!\sqrt{2\pi})^{-1}C_{k}^{j}C_{k}^{l}(j!\sqrt{2\pi})^{\frac{1}{2}}(l!\sqrt{2\pi})^{\frac{1}{2}}(2i\xi)^{k-j}(-2i\xi)^{k-l}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\psi_{j}(y)\psi_{l}(y)dy \\ &= e^{2\xi^{2}}\sum_{j=0}^{k}\frac{j!(C_{k}^{j})^{2}}{k!}(4\xi^{2})^{k-j} \\ &= e^{2\xi^{2}}\sum_{j=0}^{k}\frac{C_{k}^{j}}{j!}(4\xi^{2})^{j}. \end{split}$$

The general case $n \ge 1$ follows from the product formula:

$$\|\psi_{\alpha}^{\xi}\|^{2} = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \|\varphi_{\alpha_{j}}(\cdot + 2i\xi_{j})\|^{2}.$$

(A.4) shows that if $\xi \neq 0$, $\|\psi_{\alpha}^{\xi}\|$ grows exponentially as $|\alpha| \to +\infty$.

Lemma A.1. The spectrum of $\hat{P}_0(\xi)$ is purely discrete:

$$\sigma(\hat{P}_0(\xi)) = \{ E_l \triangleq l + \xi^2; l \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$
(A.5)

Each eigenvalue E_l is semi-simple (i.e., its algebraic multiplicity and geometric multiplicity are equal) with multiplicity $m_l = \#\{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n; |\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_n = l\}$. The Riesz projection associated with the eigenvalue $l + \xi^2$ is given by

$$\Pi_l^{\xi} \phi = \sum_{\alpha, |\alpha|=l} \langle \psi_{\alpha}^{-\xi}, \phi \rangle \psi_{\alpha}^{\xi}, \quad \phi \in L^2.$$
(A.6)

Proof. It is clear that the spectrum of $\hat{P}_0(\xi)$ is purely discrete and $\psi_{\alpha}^{\xi}(v)$ is an eigenfunction associated with the eigenvalue E_l . This means that $\sigma(\hat{P}_0(\xi)) \supset \{l + \xi^2; l \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Since $\hat{P}_0(\xi)^* = \hat{P}_0(-\xi)$ and that the linear span of $\{\psi_{\alpha}^{-\xi}(v); \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$ is dense in L^2 , $\hat{P}_0(-\xi)$ can not have other eigenvalues than E_l , $l = 0, 1, \cdots$. This proves that $\sigma(\hat{P}_0(\xi)) = \{E_l = l + \xi^2; l \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

To show that E_l is semisimple, assume by contradiction that $\exists \varphi \in D$ such that $(\hat{P}_0(\xi) - E_l)\varphi = c\psi_{\alpha}^{\xi}, \ |\alpha| = l$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Then ψ_{α}^{ξ} is in the range of $\hat{P}_0(\xi) - E_l$ and hence is orthogonal to the kernel of $(\hat{P}_0(\xi) - E_l)^* = \hat{P}_0(-\xi) - E_l$. In particular, one has $\langle \psi_{\alpha}^{\xi}, \psi_{\alpha}^{-\xi} \rangle = 0.$

This is impossible due to (A.3). This contradiction shows that the eigenvalue $E_l = l + \xi^2$ is semisimple. Since the pole of the resolvent $(\hat{P}_0(\xi) - z)^{-1}$ is simple at $z = E_l$, the range of the associated Riesz projection defined by

$$\Pi_l^{\xi} \phi = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{|z-E_l|=\frac{1}{2}} (\hat{P}_0(\xi) - z)^{-1} \phi dz$$

is equal to $\ker(\hat{P}_0(\xi)) - E_l$ and the kernel of Π_l^{ξ} is equal to the range of $\hat{P}_0(\xi) - E_l$. The latter is the orthogonal complement of $\ker(\hat{P}_0(-\xi)) - E_l$ which is spanned by $\{\psi_{\alpha}^{-\xi}; |\alpha| = l\}$. The representation formula (A.6) then follows from (A.3).

Lemma A.2. Let n = 1. Then one has for t > 0

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-t(k+\xi^2)} \|\Pi_k^{\xi}\| = \frac{e^{-\xi^2(t-2)}}{1-e^{-t}} e^{\frac{4\xi^2}{e^{t-1}}}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (A.7)

Proof. In the case n = 1, one has for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\|\Pi_k^{\xi}\| = \|\psi_k^{\xi}\| \|\psi_k^{-\xi}\| = \|\psi_k^{\xi}\|^2 = e^{2\xi^2} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{C_k^j}{j!} (4\xi^2)^j.$$
(A.8)

The left-hand side of (A.7) is norm convergent when t > 0. In fact, one can calculate the sum of the series as follows

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-t(k+\xi^2)} \| \Pi_k^{\xi} \| \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-t(k+\xi^2)+2\xi^2} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{C_k^j}{j!} (4\xi^2)^j \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-t(k+\xi^2)+2\xi^2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-t(k+\xi^2)+2\xi^2} \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{C_k^j}{j!} (4\xi^2)^j \\ &= \frac{e^{-\xi^2(t-2)}}{1-e^{-t}} + e^{-\xi^2(t-2)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(4\xi^2)^j}{(j!)^2} \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} C_k^j e^{-tk} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\xi^2(t-2)}}{1-e^{-t}} + e^{-\xi^2(t-2)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(4\xi^2e^{-t})^j}{(j!)^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k(k-1) \cdots (k-j+1)e^{-t(k-j)} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\xi^2(t-2)}}{1-e^{-t}} + e^{-\xi^2(t-2)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(4\xi^2e^{-t})^j}{(j!)^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+j)(k+j-1) \cdots (k+1)e^{-tk} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\xi^2(t-2)}}{1-e^{-t}} + e^{-\xi^2(t-2)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(4\xi^2e^{-t})^j}{(j!)^2} \left\{ \frac{d^j}{dx^j} \frac{1}{1-x} \right\} |_{x=e^{-t}} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\xi^2(t-2)}}{1-e^{-t}} \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} (\frac{4\xi^2}{e^t-1})^j \right) \\ &= \frac{e^{-\xi^2(t-2)}}{1-e^{-t}} e^{\frac{4\xi^2}{e^t-1}}. \end{split}$$

Proposition A.3. Let $n \ge 1$. For any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and t > 0, one has the following formula of spectral decomposition:

$$e^{-t\hat{P}_0(\xi)} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} e^{-t(l+\xi^2)} \Pi_l^{\xi},$$
(A.9)

where Π_l^{ξ} is the Riesz projection associated with the eigenvalue l of $\hat{P}_0(\xi)$ and the series is norm convergent as operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n_v)$.

Proof. For $n \ge 1$, one has

$$\|\Pi_l^{\xi}\| \le \sum_{\alpha = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n; |\alpha| = l} \prod_{j=1}^n \|\varphi_{\alpha_j}(\cdot + 2i\xi_j)\|^2.$$

By Lemma A.2, the right-hand side of (A.9) is norm convergent for every t > 0 and can be evaluated by

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} e^{-t(l+\xi^2)} \|\Pi_l^{\xi}\| \leq \prod_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{\alpha_j=0}^{\infty} e^{-t(\alpha_j+\xi_j^2)} \|\Pi_{\alpha_j}^{\xi_j}\| \right)$$

$$= \frac{e^{-\xi^2(t-2-\frac{4}{e^t-1})}}{(1-e^{-t})^n}.$$
(A.10)

Since the both sides of (A.9) are equal on the dense subspace spanned by $\{\psi_{\alpha}^{\xi}; \alpha \in \mathbb{N}\}$, an argument of density shows that they are equal on the whole space L^2 .

As a consequence of the proof of Proposition A.3, we obtain the following estimate on the semigroup

Corollary A.4. The following estimate holds for t > 0 and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\|e^{-t\hat{P}_{0}(\xi)}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}_{v}))} \leq \frac{e^{-\xi^{2}(t-2-\frac{4}{e^{t}-1})}}{(1-e^{-t})^{n}}$$
(A.11)

References

- A. Arnold, I. M. Gamba, M. P. Gualdani, S. Mischler, C. Mouhot, C. Sparber, The Wigner-Fokker-Planck equation: Stationary states and large-time behavior, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. 22 (2012), no. 11, 1250034, 31 pp.
- [2] L. S. Boulton, Non-self-adjoint harmonic oscillator, compact semigroups and pseudospectra. J. Operator Theory, 47(2002), no. 2, 413-429
- [3] E. B. Davies and A. B. J. Kuijlaars, Spectral Asymptotics of the Non-self-adjoint Harmonic Oscillator, J. London Math. Soc. (2)70(2004), 420-426.
- [4] E. B. Davies, Linear Operators and Their Spectra, Web Supplement. Preprint, http://www.mth.kcl.ac.uk/staff/eb_davies/LOTSwebsupp32W.pdf.
- [5] N. Dencker, J. Sjöstrand, M. Zworski, Pseudospectra of semiclassical (pseudo-)differential operators, Commun. on Pure and Appl. Math., LVII(2004), 384-415.
- [6] L. Desvillettes, C. Villani, On the trend to global equilibrium in spatially inhomogeneous entropydissipating systems: The linear Fokker-Planck equation, Commun. Pure Appl. Math., LIV(2001), 1-42.
- [7] B. Helffer, M. Klein, F. Nier, Quantitative analysis of metastability in reversible diffusion processes via a Witten complex approach. Mat. Contemp. 26 (2004), 41-85.

- [8] B. Helffer, F. Nier, Hypoelliptic estimates and spectral theory for Fokker-Planck operators and Witten Laplacians. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1862. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005. x+209 pp. ISBN: 3-540-24200-7
- [9] F. Hérau, F. Nier, Isotropic hypoellipticity and trend to equilibrium for the Fokker-Planck equation with a high-degree potential. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 171 (2004), no. 2, 151-218.
- [10] F. Hérau, M. Hitrik, J. Sjöstrand, Tunnel effect for Kramers-Fokker-Planck type operators: return to equilibrium and applications. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2008, no. 15, Art. ID rnn057, 48 pp.
- [11] F. Hérau, J. Sjöstrand, C. Stolk, Semiclassical analysis for the Kramers-Fokker-Planck equation. Comm. Partial Differential Equations 30 (2005), no. 4-6, 689-760.
- [12] L. Hörmander, The analysis of linear partial differential operators. III. Pseudo-differential operators. Classics in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [13] T. Kato, Perturbation Theory of Linear Operators, Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [14] A. Laptev, O. Safronov, Eigenvalues estimates for Schrödinger operators with complex potentials, Comm. Math. Phys. 292 (2009), no. 1, 29-54.
- [15] S. Nakamura, Low energy asymptotics for Schrödinger operators with slowly decreasing potentials. Comm. Math. Phys. 161 (1994), no. 1, 63-76.
- [16] F. Nier, Hypoellipticity for Fokker-Planck operators and Witten Laplacians. Lectures on the analysis of nonlinear partial differential equations. pp. 31-84 in *Morningside Lect. in Math.*, Vol. 1, ed. F. H. Lin, X. P. Wang and P. Zhang, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2012, iv+317 pp. ISBN: 978-1-57146-235-0
- [17] H. Risken, The Fokker-Planck equation, Methods of solutions and applications. Springer, Berlin, 1989.
- [18] C. Villani, Hypocoercivity. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 202 (2009), no. 950, iv+141 pp. ISBN: 978-0-8218-4498-4
- [19] X.P. Wang, Asymptotic expansion in time of the Schrödinger group on conical manifolds, Ann. Inst. Fourier 56(6) (2006), 1903-1945.
- [20] X. P. Wang, Number of eigenvalues for dissipative Schrödinger operators under perturbation, J. Math. Pures Appl. 96 (2011), 409-422
- [21] X. P. Wang, Time-decay of semigroups generated by dissipative Schrödinger operators. J. Differential Equations 253 (2012), no. 12, 3523-3542.
- [22] D. Yafaev, The low energy scattering for slowly decreasing potentials, Commun. Math Phys. 85 (1982), 117-196.

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES JEAN LERAY, UMR CNRS 6629, UNIVERSITÉ DE NANTES, 44322 NANTES CEDEX 3 FRANCE, E-MAIL: XUE-PING.WANG@UNIV-NANTES.FR