Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society

Invariants of the dihedral group D_{2p} in characteristic two

BY MARTIN KOHLS

*Technische Universität München, Zentrum Mathematik-M*11,
Boltzmannstrasse 3, 85748 *Garching, Germany.*
e-mail: kohls@ma.tum.de

AND MÜFİT SEZER

Department of Mathematics, Bilkent University, Ankara 06800 *Turkey.*
e-mail: sezer@fen.bilkent.edu.tr

(*Received* 26 *October* 2010; *revised* 30 *August* 2011)

Abstract

We consider finite dimensional representations of the dihedral group D_{2p} over an algebraically closed field of characteristic two where p is an odd prime and study the degrees of generating and separating polynomials in the corresponding ring of invariants. We give an upper bound for the degrees of the polynomials in a minimal generating set that does not depend on p when the dimension of the representation is sufficiently large. We also show that $p + 1$ is the minimal number such that the invariants up to that degree always form a separating set. We also give an explicit description of a separating set.

---

1. *Introduction*

Let V be a finite dimensional representation of a group G over an algebraically closed field F. There is an induced action of G on the algebra of polynomial functions $F[V]$ on V that is given by $g(f) = f \circ g^{-1}$ for $g \in G$ and $f \in F[V]$. Let $F[V]^G$ denote the ring of invariant polynomials in $F[V]$. One of the main goals in invariant theory is to determine $F[V]^G$ by computing the generators and the relations. One may also study subsets in $F[V]^G$ that separate the orbits just as well as the full invariant ring. A set $A \subseteq F[V]^G$ is said to be separating for V if for any pair of vectors $u, w \in V$, we have: if $f(u) = f(w)$ for

all $f \in A$, then $f(u) = f(w)$ for all $f \in F[V]^G$. There has been a particular rise of
interest in separating invariants following the publication the book [**1**]. Over the last decade
there has been an accumulation of evidence that demonstrates that separating sets are better
behaved and enjoy many properties that make them easier to obtain. For instance, explicit
separating sets are given for all modular representations of cyclic groups of prime order
in [**8**]. Meanwhile generating sets are known only for very limited cases for the invariants
of these representations. In addition to attracting attention in their own right, separating
invariants can be also used as a stepping stone to build up generating invariants, see [**2**]. For
more background and motivation on separating invariants we direct the reader to [**1**] and [**4**].

    In this paper we study the invariants of the dihedral group D_{2p} over a field of charac-
teristic two where p is an odd prime. The invariants of dihedral groups in characteristic
zero have been worked out by Schmid in [**7**] where she sharpened Noether's bound for non-
cyclic groups. Specifically, among other things, she proved that the invariant ring $\mathbb{C}[V]^{D_{2p}}$
is generated by polynomials of degree at most $p + 1$. Obtaining explicit generators or even
sharp degree bounds is much more difficult when the order of the group is divisible by the
characteristic of the field. The main difficulty is that the degrees of the generators grow
unboundedly as the dimension of the representation increases. Recently, Symonds [**9**] es-
tablished that $F[V]^G$ is generated by invariants of degree at most $(\dim V)(|G| - 1)$ for any
representation V of any group G. In Section 3 we improve Symonds' bound considerably
for D_{2p} in characteristic two. The bound we obtain is about half of $\dim(V)$ and it does not
depend on p if the dimension of the part of V where D_{2p} does not act like its factor group
$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is large enough. In Section 4 we turn our attention to separating invariants for these
representations. The maximal degree of an element in the generating set for the regular rep-
resentation provides an upper bound for the degrees of separating invariants. We build on
this fact and our results in Section 3 to compute the supremum of the degrees of polynomials
in (degreewise minimal) separating sets over all representations. This resolves a conjecture
in [**5**] positively. Then we describe an explicit separating set for all representations of D_{2p}.
Our description is recursive and inductively yields a set that is "nice" in terms of constructive
complexity. The set consists of invariants that are in the image of the relative transfer with
respect to the subgroup of order p of D_{2p} together with the products of the variables over
certain summands. Moreover, these polynomials depend on variables from at most three
summands.

2. *Notation and conventions*

    In this section we fix the notation for the rest of the paper. Let $p \geqslant 3$ be an odd number
and $G := D_{2p}$ be the dihedral group of order $2p$. We fix elements ρ and σ of order p and 2
respectively. Let H denote the subgroup of order p in G. Let F be an algebraically closed
field of characteristic two, and $\lambda \in F$ a primitive pth root of unity.

    LEMMA 1. *For $0 \leqslant i \leqslant (p-1)/2$ let W_i denote the two dimensional module spanned by
the vectors v_1 and v_2 such that $\rho(v_1) = \lambda^{-i}v_1$, $\rho(v_2) = \lambda^i v_2$, $\sigma(v_1) = v_2$ and $\sigma(v_2) = v_1$.
Then the W_i together with the trivial module represent a complete list of indecomposable
D_{2p}-modules.*

    Proof. Let V be any D_{2p}-module. As p is odd, the action of ρ is diagonalizable. For any
$k \in \mathbb{Z}$, σ induces an isomorphism of the eigenspaces of ρ, $\sigma : \mathrm{Eig}(\rho, \lambda^k) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Eig}(\rho, \lambda^{-k})$.
Therefore as D_{2p}-module, V decomposes into a direct sum of $\mathrm{Eig}(\rho, 1)$ and some W_i's with
$1 \leqslant i \leqslant (p-1)/2$. The action of σ on $\mathrm{Eig}(\rho, 1)$ decomposes into a direct sum of trivial
summands and summands isomorphic to W_0.

Note that W_i is faithful if and only if i and p are coprime. Let V be a reduced G-module, i.e., it does not contain the trivial module as a summand. Then

$$V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} W_{m_i} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} W_0,$$

where r, s, m_i are integers such that $r, s \geqslant 0$ and $0 < m_i \leqslant (p-1)/2$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$. By a suitable choice of basis we identify $V = F^{2r+2s}$ with a space of $2(r+s)$-tuples $\{(a_1, \ldots, a_r, b_1, \ldots, b_r, c_1, \ldots, c_s, d_1, \ldots, d_s) \mid a_i, b_i, c_j, d_j \in F, \ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant s\}$ such that the projection $(a_1, \ldots, a_r, b_1, \ldots, b_r, c_1, \ldots, c_s, d_1, \ldots, d_s) \rightarrow (a_i, b_i) \in F^2$ is a D_{2p}-equivariant surjection from V to W_{m_i} for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$ and the projection $(a_1, \ldots, a_r, b_1, \ldots, b_r, c_1, \ldots, c_s, d_1, \ldots, d_s) \rightarrow (c_j, d_j) \in F^2$ is a D_{2p}-equivariant surjection from V to W_0 for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant s$. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_r, y_1, \ldots, y_r, z_1, \ldots, z_s, w_1, \ldots, w_s$ denote the corresponding basis elements in V^*, so we have

$$F[V] = F[x_1, \ldots, x_r, y_1, \ldots, y_r, z_1, \ldots, z_s, w_1, \ldots, w_s],$$

with σ interchanging x_i with y_i for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$ and z_j with w_j for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant s$. The action of ρ is trivial on z_j and w_j for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant s$. Meanwhile $\rho(x_i) = \lambda^{m_i} x_i$ and $\rho(y_i) = \lambda^{-m_i} y_i$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$.

3. *Generating invariants*

In this section we give an upper bound for the degree of generators for $F[V]^G$. So far $p \geqslant 3$ can be an odd number, but later p is an odd prime. We continue with the introduced notation. In particular, V is still reduced. For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$ and $1 \leqslant j \leqslant s$, let a_i, b_i, c_j, d_j denote non-negative integers. Let $m = x_1^{a_1} \ldots x_r^{a_r} y_1^{b_1} \ldots y_r^{b_r} z_1^{c_1} \ldots z_s^{c_s} w_1^{d_1} \ldots w_s^{d_s}$ be a monomial in $F[V]$. Since ρ acts on a monomial by multiplication with a scalar, all monomials that appear in a polynomial in $F[V]^G$ are invariant under the action of ρ. For a monomial m that is invariant under the action of ρ, we let $o(m)$ denote its orbit sum, i.e. $o(m) = m$ if $m \in F[V]^G$ and $o(m) = m + \sigma(m)$ if $m \in F[V]^{\rho} \setminus F[V]^G$. As σ permutes the monomials, we have the following:

LEMMA 2. *Let M denote the set of monomials of $F[V]$. $F[V]^G$ is spanned as a vector space by orbit sums of ρ-invariant monomials, i.e. by the set*

$$\{o(m) : m \in M^{\rho}\} = \{m + \sigma(m) : \ m \in M^{\rho}\} \ \cup \ \{m : \ m \in M^G\}.$$

Let $f \in F[V]_+^G$. We call f expressible if f is in the algebra generated by the invariants whose degrees are strictly smaller than the degree of f.

LEMMA 3. *Let $m = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_r^{a_r} y_1^{b_1} \cdots y_r^{b_r} z_1^{c_1} \cdots z_s^{c_s} w_1^{d_1} \cdots w_s^{d_s} \in M^{\rho}$ such that $o(m)$ is not expressible. Then $\sum_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant s} (c_j + d_j) \leqslant s$.*

Proof. Assume by contradiction that $\sum_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant s} (c_j + d_j) > s$. Pick an integer $1 \leqslant j \leqslant s$ such that $c_j + d_j \geqslant 2$. If both c_j and d_j are non-zero, then m is divisible by the invariant $z_j w_j$. It follows that $o(m)$ is divisible by $z_j w_j$, hence $o(m)$ is expressible. Now assume $c_j \geqslant 2$ and $d_j = 0$. Note that $m/z_j \in M^{\rho}$. We consider the product

$$o(z_j) o(m/z_j) = (z_j + w_j)(m/z_j + \sigma(m)/w_j) = o(m) + (m w_j/z_j + \sigma(m) z_j/w_j).$$

As $m w_j/z_j$ is divisible by $z_j w_j$ (because m is divisible by z_j^2), the invariant $f := m w_j/z_j + \sigma(m) z_j/w_j$ is divisible by $z_j w_j$. Hence $o(m) = o(z_j) o(m/z_j) + f$ is expressible. The case $c_j = 0$ and $d_j \geqslant 2$ is handled similarly.

THEOREM 4. *For p an odd prime, $F[V]^G$ is generated by invariants of degree at most $s + \max\{r, p\}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2 it suffices to show that $o(m)$ is expressible for any monomial $m = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_r^{a_r} y_1^{b_1} \cdots y_r^{b_r} z_1^{c_1} \cdots z_s^{c_s} w_1^{d_1} \cdots w_s^{d_s} \in M^\rho$ of degree bigger than or equal to $s + \max\{r, p\} + 1$. Also by the previous lemma we may assume that $\sum_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant s}(c_j + d_j) \leqslant s$. But then $t := \sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant r}(a_i + b_i) \geqslant \max\{r, p\} + 1 \geqslant r + 1$, so we may take $a_1 + b_1 \geqslant 2$. As before, not both of a_1 and b_1 are non-zero because otherwise $o(m)$ is divisible by the invariant polynomial $x_1 y_1$ and so is expressible. So without loss of generality we assume that $a_1 \geqslant 2$, $b_1 = 0$. Let κ_F denote the character group of H, whose elements are group homomorphisms from H to F^*. Note that $\kappa_F \cong H$. For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$, let $\kappa_i \in \kappa_F$ denote the character corresponding to the action of H on x_i. By construction the character corresponding to the action on y_i is $-\kappa_i$. Since $\rho(m) = m$ we have $\sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant r}(a_i \kappa_i - b_i \kappa_i) = 0$. This is an equation in a cyclic group of order p, and the sum contains at least $t \geqslant p + 1$ (not distinct) nonzero summands. Therefore Proposition 6 applies to the sequence $\kappa_1, \kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_1$ (a_1 times), $\ldots$, $-\kappa_r, \ldots, -\kappa_r$ (b_r times). As $a_1 \geqslant 2$, we get non-negative integers $a_i' \leqslant a_i$ and $b_i' \leqslant b_i$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$ with $0 < a_1' < a_1$ satisfying $\sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant r}(a_i' \kappa_i - b_i' \kappa_i) = 0$. Hence $m_1 := x_1^{a_1'} \cdots x_r^{a_r'} y_1^{b_1'} \cdots y_r^{b_r'} z_1^{c_1} \cdots z_s^{c_s} w_1^{d_1} \cdots w_s^{d_s}$ is ρ-invariant. Thus $m_2 := m/m_1$ is also ρ-invariant. Since $0 < a_1' < a_1$, both m_1 and m_2 are divisible by x_1. Now consider

$$(m_1 + \sigma(m_1))(m_2 + \sigma(m_2)) = o(m) + (m_1 \sigma(m_2) + \sigma(m_1) m_2).$$

As $m_1 \sigma(m_2)$ is divisible by $x_1 y_1$, so is $f := (m_1 \sigma(m_2) + \sigma(m_1) m_2)$. It follows that $o(m) = (m_1 + \sigma(m_1))(m_2 + \sigma(m_2)) + f$ is expressible. ∎

Remark 5. Let $p \geqslant 3$ be an odd number and assume that $V = W_i$ for some $1 \leqslant i \leqslant (p-1)/2$ such that i and p are coprime and set $x = x_1$ and $y = y_1$. Then $F[V]^G$ is generated by orbit sums $o(m)$ of monomials $m \in M^\rho$. If $m \in M^G \setminus \{1\}$, then m is divisible by $xy \in M^G$. Otherwise, $o(m) = x^{kp} + y^{kp}$ for some k. Using the displayed formula above with $m_1 = x^p$, $m_2 = x^{(k-1)p}$, one sees $o(m)$ is expressible if $k \geqslant 2$. It follows that $F[V]^G = F[x^p + y^p, xy]$.

In the proof, we have used the following result of Barbara Schmid, which we state here for convenience of the reader:

PROPOSITION 6 (see [7, proof of proposition 7·7]). *Let $x_1, \ldots, x_t \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{\bar{0}\}$ (p an odd prime) be a sequence of $t \geqslant p + 1$ nonzero elements. Let $k_1, k_2 \in \{1, \ldots, t\}$, $k_1 \neq k_2$ be a pair of different indices such that $x_{k_1} = x_{k_2}$ (such a pair obviously exists). Then there exists a subset of indices $\{i_1, \ldots, i_r\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, t\} \setminus \{k_1, k_2\}$ such that*

$$x_{k_1} + x_{i_1} + \cdots + x_{i_r} = \bar{0}.$$

Note that in this proposition we have to assume p prime in order to make an arbitrary choice of indices k_1, k_2 with $x_{k_1} = x_{k_2}$. For p a natural number, a weaker version holds, see the paper of Schmid.

4. *Separating invariants*

For a finite group G and a fixed (algebraically closed) field F, let $\beta_{\text{sep}}(G)$ denote the smallest number d such that for any representation V of G there exists a separating set of invariants of degree $\leqslant d$.

PROPOSITION 7 (see [**3**, proof of corollary 3·11] or also [**5**, proposition 3]). *The number $\beta_{\mathrm{sep}}(G)$ is the smallest number d such that for the regular representation $V_{\mathrm{reg}} := FG$, invariants up to degree d form a separating set for $F[V_{\mathrm{reg}}]^G$.*

Now we get:

THEOREM 8. *For an algebraically closed field F of characteristic 2 and p an odd prime, we have $\beta_{\mathrm{sep}}(D_{2p}) = p + 1$.*

Note that in [**5**, proposition 10 and example 2], bounds for $\beta_{\mathrm{sep}}(D_{2p})$ are given only in characteristics $\neq 2$, and the theorem above was conjectured. For example by [**5**], when p is an odd prime and equals the characteristic of F, then $\beta_{\mathrm{sep}}(D_{2p^r}) = 2p^r$ for any $r \geqslant 1$.

Proof. We look at the regular representation $V_{\mathrm{reg}} = FG$, which decomposes into $V_{\mathrm{reg}} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} W_i \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} W_i \oplus W_0$. This can be seen by considering the action of G on the basis of FG consisting of the elements $v_k := \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \lambda^{kj} \rho^j$ and $w_k := \sigma(v_k)$ for $k = 0, \ldots, p-1$, where λ is a primitive pth root of unity. Then $\rho(v_k) = \lambda^{-k} v_k$, $\rho(w_k) = \sigma \rho^{-1} v_k = \lambda^k w_k$, and σ interchanges v_k and w_k. It follows that $\langle v_k, w_k \rangle \cong W_k$ if $0 \leqslant k \leqslant \frac{p-1}{2}$ and $\langle v_k, w_k \rangle \cong W_{p-k}$ if $\frac{p+1}{2} \leqslant k \leqslant p-1$.

By Theorem 4, $F[V_{\mathrm{reg}}]^G$ is generated by invariants of degree $\leqslant 1 + \max\{p, 2\frac{p-1}{2}\} = 1 + p$. Hence $\beta_{\mathrm{sep}}(G) \leqslant p + 1$ by Proposition 7. Note that this also follows constructively from Theorem 9. To prove the reverse inequality, consider $V := W_1 \oplus W_0$. We use the notation of section 2, so $F[V] = F[x, y, z, w]$ (omitting indices since $r = s = 1$) and look at the points $v_1 := (0, 1, 1, 0)$ and $v_2 := (0, 1, 0, 1)$ of V. They can be separated by the invariant $zx^p + wy^p$. Assume they can be separated by an invariant of degree less or equal than p. By Lemma 2, $F[V]^G$ is generated by invariant monomials $m \in F[V]^G$ and orbit sums $m + \sigma(m)$ of ρ-invariant monomials $m \in F[V]^\rho$. If such an element separates v_1 and v_2, we have $m(v_1) \neq m(v_2)$ or $(m + \sigma m)(v_1) \neq (m + \sigma m)(v_2)$ respectively. The latter implies $m(v_1) \neq m(v_2)$ or $\sigma(m)(v_1) \neq \sigma(m)(v_2)$. Replacing m by $\sigma(m)$ if necessary, we thus have a ρ-invariant monomial m separating v_1, v_2 of degree $\leqslant p$. Therefore, x does not appear in m, so $m = y^a z^b w^c$. First assume $a = 0$. If $b = c$, then m is G-invariant, and does not separate v_1, v_2. If $b \neq c$, then m is not G-invariant, and $m + \sigma(m) = z^b w^c + z^c w^b$ does not separate v_1, v_2. So $a > 0$. As m is ρ-invariant, we have $a \geqslant p$. Since $\deg m \leqslant p$, we have $a = p$ and $b = c = 0$. Then $m + \sigma(m) = y^p + x^p$ does not separate v_1, v_2. We have a contradiction.

Theorem 8 gives an upper bound for the degrees of polynomials in a separating set. In the following, we construct a separating set explicitly. We use again the notation of section 2. We assume that V is a faithful G-module. In particular we have $r \geqslant 1$. Let $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r - 1$ be arbitrary. Since the action of ρ is non-trivial on each of the variables $x_r, y_1, \ldots, y_{r-1}$ there exists a positive integer $n_i \leqslant p - 1$ such that $x_r y_i^{n_i}$ and $x_r x_i^{p-n_i}$ are invariant under the action of ρ. We thus get invariants

$$f_i := x_r y_i^{n_i} + y_r x_i^{n_i}, \quad g_i := x_r x_i^{p-n_i} + y_r y_i^{p-n_i} \in F[V]^G \quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, r - 1.$$

For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r - 1$ and $1 \leqslant j \leqslant s$ we also define

$$f_{i,j} := x_r y_i^{n_i} z_j + y_r x_i^{n_i} w_j, \quad h_j := x_r^p z_j + y_r^p w_j \in F[V]^G.$$

Set $V' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r-1} W_{m_i} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} W_0$.

Theorem 9. *Let p be an odd prime. Let S be a separating set for V'. Then S together with the set*

$$T = \{x_r y_r, x_r^p + y_r^p, f_i, g_i, f_{i,j}, h_j \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r-1, \ 1 \leqslant j \leqslant s\}$$

of invariant polynomials is a separating set for V.

Note that a separating set for $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} W_0$ is given in [**8**].

Proof. We have a surjection $V \to V' : (a_1, \ldots, a_r, b_1, \ldots, b_r, c_1, \ldots, c_s, d_1, \ldots, d_s) \to (a_1, \ldots, a_{r-1}, b_1, \ldots, b_{r-1}, c_1, \ldots, c_s, d_1, \ldots, d_s)$ which is G-equivariant. Therefore by [**6**, theorem 1] it suffices to show that the polynomials in T separate any pair of vectors v_1 and v_2 in different G-orbits that agree everywhere except rth and $2r$th coordinates. So we take

$$v_1 = (a_1, \ldots, a_r, b_1, \ldots, b_r, c_1, \ldots, c_s, d_1, \ldots, d_s)$$

and

$$v_2 = (a_1, \ldots, a_{r-1}, a_r', b_1, \ldots, b_{r-1}, b_r', c_1, \ldots, c_s, d_1, \ldots, d_s).$$

Assume by way of contradiction that no polynomial in T separates v_1 and v_2. Since $\{x_r y_r, x_r^p + y_r^p\} \subseteq T$ is a separating set for W_{m_r} by Remark 5, we may further take that (a_r, b_r) and (a_r', b_r') are in the same G-orbit. Consequently, there are two cases.

First we assume that there exists an integer t such that $(a_r', b_r') = \rho^t(a_r, b_r)$. Hence $a_r' = \lambda^{-tm_r} a_r$ and $b_r' = \lambda^{tm_r} b_r$. Set $c := \lambda^{-tm_r}$. Notice that a_r and b_r can not be zero simultaneously because otherwise $v_1 = v_2$. Without loss of generality we take $a_r \neq 0$. Also if $a_i = b_i = 0$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r-1$ then we have $\rho^t(v_1) = v_2$, hence $r > 1$ and there is an index $1 \leqslant q \leqslant r-1$ such that at least one of a_q or b_q is non-zero. We show in fact both a_q and b_q are non-zero together with b_r. First assume that $a_q \neq 0$. If one of b_q or b_r is zero, then $g_q(v_1) = a_r a_q^{p-n_q}$ and $g_q(v_2) = c a_r a_q^{p-n_q}$. This yields a contradiction because $g_q(v_1) = g_q(v_2)$. Next assume that $b_q \neq 0$. If one of a_q or b_r is zero then $f_q(v_1) = a_r b_q^{n_q}$ and $f_q(v_2) = c a_r b_q^{n_q}$, yielding a contradiction again. In fact, applying the same argument using the invariant g_i (or f_i) shows that for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r-1$ we have: $a_i \neq 0$ if and only if $b_i \neq 0$. We claim that $a_i^p = b_i^p$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r-1$. Clearly we may assume $a_i \neq 0$. From $f_i(v_1) = f_i(v_2)$ we get $(1+c)a_r b_i^{n_i} = (1+c^{-1})b_r a_i^{n_i}$. Similarly from $g_i(v_1) = g_i(v_2)$ we have $(1+c)a_r a_i^{p-n_i} = (1+c^{-1})b_r b_i^{p-n_i}$. It follows that

$$c^{-1} = \frac{a_r b_i^{n_i}}{b_r a_i^{n_i}} = \frac{a_r a_i^{p-n_i}}{b_r b_i^{p-n_i}}.$$

This establishes the claim. For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r-1$, let e_i denote the smallest non-negative integer such that $b_i = \lambda^{e_i} a_i$. We also have $b_r = c\lambda^{e_i n_i} a_r$ provided $a_i \neq 0$. We now show that $c_j = d_j$ for all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant s$. From $f_{q,j}(v_1) = f_{q,j}(v_2)$ we have $c_j a_r b_q^{n_q} + d_j b_r a_q^{n_q} = cc_j a_r b_q^{n_q} + c^{-1} d_j b_r a_q^{n_q}$. Putting $b_q = \lambda^{e_q} a_q$ and $b_r = c\lambda^{e_q n_q} a_r$ we get $c_j a_r \lambda^{e_q n_q} a_q^{n_q} + d_j c\lambda^{e_q n_q} a_r a_q^{n_q} = cc_j a_r \lambda^{e_q n_q} a_q^{n_q} + c^{-1} d_j ca_r \lambda^{e_q n_q} a_q^{n_q}$ which gives $c_j + cd_j = cc_j + d_j$. This implies $c_j = d_j$ as desired because $1 + c \neq 0$. We now have

$$v_1 = (a_1, \ldots, a_r, \lambda^{e_1} a_1, \ldots, \lambda^{e_{r-1}} a_{r-1}, c\lambda^{e_q n_q} a_r, c_1, \ldots, c_s, c_1, \ldots, c_s)$$

and

$$v_2 = (a_1, \ldots, a_{r-1}, ca_r, \lambda^{e_1} a_1, \ldots, \lambda^{e_{r-1}} a_{r-1}, \lambda^{e_q n_q} a_r, c_1, \ldots, c_s, c_1, \ldots, c_s).$$

Since $0 < m_r < p$, there exists an integer $0 \leqslant h \leqslant p-1$ such that $-hm_r + e_q n_q \equiv 0$ mod p. We obtain a contradiction by showing that $\rho^h(v_1) = v_2$. Since the action of ρ on

the last $2s$ coordinates is trivial it suffices to show that $\lambda^{-hm_i}b_i = a_i$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r-1$ and $\lambda^{-hm_r}b_r = ca_r$. Hence we need to show $-hm_i + e_i \equiv 0 \mod p$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r-1$ when $a_i \neq 0$, and $-hm_r + e_q n_q \equiv 0 \mod p$. The second equality follows by the choice of h. So assume that $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r-1$ and $a_i \neq 0$. We have $m_r - n_i m_i \equiv 0 \mod p$ because $x_r y_i^{n_i}$ is invariant under the action of ρ. It follows that $e_q n_q - h n_i m_i \equiv 0 \mod p$. But since $e_i n_i \equiv e_q n_q$ (as $b_r = c\lambda^{e_i n_i} a_r = c\lambda^{e_q n_q} a_r$) we have $n_i(e_i - hm_i) \equiv 0 \mod p$. Since n_i is non-zero modulo p we have $e_i - hm_i \equiv 0 \mod p$ as desired.

Next we consider the case $(a_r', b_r') = \rho^t \sigma(a_r, b_r)$ for some integer t. Hence $a_r' = \lambda^{-tm_r} b_r$ and $b_r' = \lambda^{tm_r} a_r$. Set $c := \lambda^{-tm_r}$. As in the first case one of a_r or b_r is non-zero, so without loss of generality we take $a_r \neq 0$. As $h_j(v_1) = h_j(v_2)$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant s$, we get $(a_r^p + a_r'^p)c_j = (b_r^p + b_r'^p)d_j$, which implies $(a_r^p + b_r^p)c_j = (a_r^p + b_r^p)d_j$. If $a_r^p = b_r^p$, we have $b_r = \lambda^l a_r$ for some l. Then we have $(a_r', b_r') = (\lambda^{-tm_r+l}a_r, \lambda^{tm_r-l}b_r) \in \langle \rho \rangle \cdot (a_r, b_r)$, so we are again in the first case. Therefore we can assume $a_r^p \neq b_r^p$, and we get $c_j = d_j$ for all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant s$. Now, if $a_i = b_i = 0$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r-1$, then $v_2 = \rho^t \sigma(v_1)$. Hence $r > 1$ and there is an index $1 \leqslant q \leqslant r-1$ such that at least one of a_q or b_q is non-zero. Let $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r-1$. From $f_i(v_1) = f_i(v_2)$ we get $a_r b_i^{n_i} + b_r a_i^{n_i} = cb_r b_i^{n_i} + c^{-1} a_r a_i^{n_i}$ and so $a_i^{n_i}(c^{-1}a_r + b_r) = b_i^{n_i}(a_r + cb_r)$. Note that $c^{-1}a_r + b_r \neq 0$ because otherwise $v_1 = v_2$. So we have $a_i^{n_i} = cb_i^{n_i}$. Along the same lines, from $g_i(v_1) = g_i(v_2)$ we obtain $b_i^{p-n_i} = ca_i^{p-n_i}$. It follows that $a_i^p = b_i^p$. As before, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r-1$ let e_i denote the smallest non-negative integer such that $b_i = \lambda^{e_i} a_i$. We also have $c = \lambda^{-n_i e_i}$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r-1$ with $a_i \neq 0$. We have $v_1 = (a_1, \ldots, a_r, \lambda^{e_1} a_1, \ldots, \lambda^{e_{r-1}} a_{r-1}, b_r, c_1, \ldots, c_s, c_1, \ldots, c_s)$ and $v_2 = (a_1, \ldots a_{r-1}, cb_r, \lambda^{e_1} a_1, \ldots, \lambda^{e_{r-1}} a_{r-1}, c^{-1} a_r, c_1, \ldots, c_s, c_1, \ldots, c_s)$. We finish the proof by demonstrating that v_1 and v_2 are in the same orbit. Since $0 < m_r < p$, there exists an integer $0 \leqslant h \leqslant p-1$ such that $\lambda^{-hm_r} = c$. Equivalently, $-hm_r + e_q n_q \equiv 0 \mod p$. We claim that $\rho^h \sigma(v_1) = v_2$. Since $c_j = d_j$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant s$ and the action of ρ on the last $2s$ coordinates is trivial we just need to show that $\lambda^{-hm_i}b_i = a_i$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r-1$ and $\lambda^{-hm_r}b_r = cb_r$. Since the last equation is taken care of by construction we just need to show $-hm_i + e_i \equiv 0 \mod p$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r-1$ when $a_i \neq 0$. We get $e_i n_i \equiv e_q n_q$ from $c = \lambda^{-e_i n_i} = \lambda^{-e_q n_q}$. Now the proof can be finished by exactly the same argument as in the first case.

REFERENCES

[1] H. DERKSEN and G. KEMPER. Computational invariant theory. Invariant Theory and Algebraic Transformation Groups, I. (Springer-Verlag, 2002). Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 130.

[2] H. DERKSEN and G. KEMPER. Computing invariants of algebraic groups in arbitrary characteristic. *Adv. Math.* **217(5)** (2008), 2089–2129.

[3] J. DRAISMA, G. KEMPER and D. WEHLAU. Polarization of separating invariants. *Canad. J. Math.* **60(3)** (2008), 556–571.

[4] G. KEMPER. Separating invariants. *J. Symbolic Comput.* **44** (2009), 1212–1222.

[5] M. KOHLS and H. KRAFT. Degree bounds for separating invariants. *Math. Res. Lett.* **17(6)** (2010), 1171–1182.

[6] M. KOHLS and M. SEZER. Separating invariants for the klein four group and the cyclic groups. arXiv:1007.5197, 2010.

[7] B. J. SCHMID. Finite groups and invariant theory. In *Topics in invariant theory (Paris*, 1989/1990), volume 1478 of *Lecture Notes in Math.* pages 35–66 (Springer, 1991).

[8] M. SEZER. Constructing modular separating invariants. *J. Algebra.* **322(11)** (2009), 4099–4104.

[9] P. SYMONDS. On the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of rings of polynomial invariants. Annals of Math., to appear. Preprint available from http://www.maths.manchester.ac.uk/ pas/preprints/, 2009.