



A note on towers of function fields over finite fields

Ferruh Özbudak & Michael Thomas

To cite this article: Ferruh Özbudak & Michael Thomas (1998) A note on towers of function fields over finite fields, *Communications in Algebra*, 26:11, 3737-3741, DOI: [10.1080/00927879808826370](https://doi.org/10.1080/00927879808826370)

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00927879808826370>



Published online: 23 Dec 2010.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 27



View related articles [↗](#)

A NOTE ON TOWERS OF FUNCTION FIELDS OVER FINITE FIELDS

FERRUH ÖZBUDAK AND MICHAEL THOMAS

BILKENT UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 06533 ANKARA, TURKEY

E-mail address: ozbudak@fen.bilkent.edu.tr

UNIVERSITÄT GH ESSEN, FB 6 MATHEMATIK UND INFORMATIK, D-45117 ESSEN, GERMANY

E-mail address: michael.thomas@uni-essen.de

ABSTRACT. For a tower $F_1 \subseteq F_2 \subseteq \dots$ of algebraic function fields F_i/\mathbb{F}_q , define $\lambda := \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} N(F_i)/g(F_i)$, where $N(F_i)$ is the number of rational places and $g(F_i)$ is the genus of F_i/\mathbb{F}_q . The purpose of this note is to calculate λ for a class of towers which was studied in [1], [2] and [3].

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field with q elements and F/\mathbb{F}_q an algebraic function field, i.e. an algebraic extension of the rational function field $\mathbb{F}_q(x)$ of finite degree such that \mathbb{F}_q is algebraically closed in F . We denote by $N(F)$ the number of rational places of F/\mathbb{F}_q and by $g(F)$ the genus of the function field. Weil's theorem states that

$$|N(F) - (q + 1)| \leq 2g(F)q^{1/2}.$$

Fixing q , for large genera g this bound could be improved. Namely let $N_q(g) = \max\{N(F) \mid F \text{ is a function field over } \mathbb{F}_q \text{ of genus } g\}$ and $A(q) =$

This paper was written while the first author was visiting the University of Essen under a grant of TÜBİTAK (Turkish Scientific and Technological Research Council).

Correspondence to the first author.

$\limsup_{g \rightarrow \infty} N_q(g)/g$, then by Drinfeld-Vladut bound

$$A(q) \leq \sqrt{q} - 1.$$

If q is a square, Ihara and Tsfasman-Vladut-Zink proved that

$$A(q) = \sqrt{q} - 1.$$

If q is not square, the exact value of $A(q)$ is unknown. Serre showed

$$A(q) \geq c \log q > 0 \text{ for all } q$$

with some small constant $c > 0$.

A tower of function fields over \mathbb{F}_q is a sequence $\mathcal{F} = (F_1, F_2, \dots)$ of function fields F_i/\mathbb{F}_q having the following properties: (i) $F_1 \subseteq F_2 \subseteq F_3 \subseteq \dots$, (ii) for every $n \geq 1$, the extension F_{n+1}/F_n is separable of degree > 1 , and (iii) $g(F_j) > 1$ for some $j \geq 1$. Let $\lambda(\mathcal{F}) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(F_n)/g(F_n)$. \mathcal{F} is called *asymptotically good* if $\lambda(\mathcal{F}) > 0$.

It is clear that $\lambda(\mathcal{F}) \leq A(q)$. Garcia-Stichtenoth-Thomas [2] have recently given examples for any $q = p^e$, $e \geq 2$ such that $\lambda(\mathcal{F}) \geq \frac{2}{q-2}$. Namely they constructed a tower of function fields over \mathbb{F}_q , $q = p^e$, where $F_n = \mathbb{F}_q(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and

$$x_{i+1}^m + (x_i + 1)^m = 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1, \quad m = \frac{p^e - 1}{p - 1}.$$

It would be interesting if the actual value of $\lambda(\mathcal{F})$ was large.

Thomas [3] showed $\lambda(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{2}{q-2}$ for a few fixed values of q .

In this note we prove the equality for a class of towers for any value of q when q is a square.

Theorem 1.1. *Let \mathbb{F}_{q^2} be a finite field with q^2 elements. Let $F_n = \mathbb{F}_{q^2}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be the algebraic function field where*

$$x_{i+1}^{q+1} + (x_i + 1)^{q+1} = 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

Let \mathcal{F} be the tower of function fields over \mathbb{F}_{q^2} given by $\mathcal{F} = (F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n, \dots)$. Then

$$\lambda(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{2}{q^2 - 2}.$$

2. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Let \mathbb{P}_{F_n} denote the set of places of F_n , $n \geq 1$, P_∞ be the place of F_1 where $v_{P_\infty}(x_1) = -1$. Let

$$S(\mathcal{F}) = \{P \in \mathbb{P}_{F_1} \mid P \text{ is ramified in } F_n/F_1 \text{ for some } n \geq 2\}.$$

It is known that ([2], Example 2.3)

$$(2.2) \quad S(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \{P \in \mathbb{P}_{F_1} \mid P \text{ is a rational place and } P \neq P_\infty\}.$$

Let

$$A_n = \sum_{\substack{P \in \mathbb{P}_{F_1} \\ P \neq P_\infty}} \sum_{\substack{P' \in \mathbb{P}_{F_n} \\ P' \mid P}} P'.$$

Claim. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\deg A_n}{(q+1)^n} = 0.$

The claim shows the equality of two sets in 2.2, since otherwise there would be a finite place which is unramified in all extensions and hence the limit would be positive.

By Riemann-Hurwitz genus formula

$$2g(F_n) - 2 = [F_n : F_1](2g(F_1) - 2) + \deg \text{Diff}(F_n/F_1).$$

From the claim above, more precisely from the equality of the two sets in 2.2 we have

$$\deg \text{Diff}(F_n/F_1) = [F_n : F_1]q^2 - \deg A_n$$

and therefore

$$g(F_n) = [F_n : F_1](g(F_1) - 1) + \frac{q^2[F_n : F_1]}{2} - \frac{\deg A_n}{2} + 1.$$

Moreover since P_∞ splits completely in all extensions F_n/F_1 we have $[F_n : F_1] \leq N(F_n) \leq [F_n : F_1] + \deg A_n$. Consequently our claim also proves the theorem since $[F_n : F_1] = (q+1)^{n-1}$.

Now we prove the claim. For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$ let $f(\alpha, \beta) = \#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \mid x^{q+1} = \alpha, x^{q+1} + x^q + x = -\beta\}$. Then

$$\#\{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \times \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \mid x_2^{q+1} = 1 - (x_1 + 1)^{q+1}\} = \sum_{\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q} \sum_{\beta_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q} \sum_{\beta_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q} f(\alpha_1, \beta_1) f(\beta_1, \beta_2)$$

since $x_2^{q+1} = -(x_1^{q+1} + x_1^q + x_1)$. Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \#\{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \times \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \times \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \mid x_2^{q+1} = 1 - (x_1 + 1)^{q+1} \text{ and } x_3^{q+1} = 1 - (x_2 + 1)^{q+1}\} \\ = \sum_{\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q} \sum_{\beta_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q} \sum_{\beta_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q} \sum_{\beta_3 \in \mathbb{F}_q} f(\alpha_1, \beta_1) f(\beta_1, \beta_2) f(\beta_2, \beta_3) \end{aligned}$$

By induction

$$\deg A_n = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q} f^n(\alpha, \beta)$$

where $f^{i+1}(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{h \in \mathbb{F}_q} f^i(\alpha, h) f(h, \beta)$ $i \geq 1$.

Let $h : \{1, 2, \dots, q\} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ be a bijection such that $h(1) = 1$ and $h(q) = 0$. Define $G := [G_{i,j}]_{1 \leq i \leq q, 1 \leq j \leq q}$ where $G_{i,j} = f(h(i), h(j))$. Considering $G : \mathbb{C}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^q$ and using L_1 norm we have $\|G\| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq q} \sum_{i=1}^q |G_{i,j}|$ (see for example [4] page 165).

We show $||G^3|| < (q + 1)^3$ which finishes the proof since $\deg A_n = \sum_{i=1}^q \sum_{j=1}^q G_{i,j}^n$.
 Firstly observe that $0 \leq G_{i,j} \leq 2$. The right hand side follows from the fact that if $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $f(x) = \gcd(x^{q+1} + a, x^{q+1} + x^q + x + b)$, then $\deg f \leq 2$. Moreover

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^q G_{i,j} = \begin{cases} q + 1 & \text{if } j \neq 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } j = 1, \end{cases}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^q G_{i,j} &= \#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \mid x^{q+1} + x^q + x = -h(j)\} \\ &= \#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \mid (x + 1)^{q+1} = 1 - h(j)\} \\ &= \#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \mid x^{q+1} = 1 - h(j)\}. \end{aligned}$$

In fact $G_{i,1} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq 1. \end{cases}$ Similarly

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{j=1}^q G_{i,j} = \begin{cases} q + 1 & \text{if } i \neq q, \\ 1 & \text{if } i = q, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad G_{q,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = q, \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq q. \end{cases}$$

Using 2.3 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^q G_{i,j}^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^q \sum_{l=1}^q G_{i,l} G_{l,j} = \sum_{l=1}^q G_{l,j} \sum_{i=1}^q G_{i,l} \\ &= (q + 1) \sum_{l=1}^q G_{l,j} - q G_{1,j} \\ &= \begin{cases} (q + 1)^2 - q G_{1,j} & \text{if } j \neq 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } j = 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover we also get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^q G_{i,j}^3 &= \sum_{i=1}^q \sum_{l=1}^q G_{i,l} G_{l,j}^2 = \sum_{l=1}^q G_{l,j}^2 \sum_{i=1}^q G_{i,l} \\ &= (q + 1) \sum_{l=1}^q G_{l,j}^2 - q G_{1,j}^2 \\ &= \begin{cases} (q + 1)^3 - q(q + 1) G_{1,j} - q G_{1,j}^2 & \text{if } j \neq 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } j = 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

However there exists no $2 \leq j \leq q$ such that $G_{1,j}^2 = 0$. Indeed if $G_{1,j}^2 = 0$, then

$$G_{1,l} G_{l,j} = 0 \text{ for } l = 1, \dots, q$$

since the entries are nonnegative. Moreover the entries are bounded from above by 2 and using the properties 2.3 and 2.4, we get $G_{1,l} = 0$ for at most $\frac{q-1}{2}$ many values of l and $G_{l,j} = 0$ for at most $\frac{q-1}{2}$ many values of l . This gives a contradiction to $G_{1,j}^2 = 0$ and completes the proof.

3. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Arnolda Garcia, Henning Stichtenoth, and Fernando Torres for the stimulating conversations. Moreover the first author thanks to Fachbereich 6 Universität Essen for their hospitality.

REFERENCES

[1] Garcia A. and Stichtenoth H., "Asymptotically good towers of function fields over finite fields", C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 322, Ser. I, pp. 1067-1070, 1996.

- [2] Garcia A., Stichtenoth H. and Thomas M., "On towers and composita of towers of function fields over finite fields", *Finite Fields and Their Applications*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 257-274, 1997.
- [3] Thomas M., "Türme und Pyramiden algebraischer Funktionenkörper", Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Essen, 1997.
- [4] Elaydi S. N., "An introduction to difference equations", Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.

Received: June 1997

Revised: February 1998