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## 1. Introduction

Killing vector fields have well-known geometrical and physical interpretations and have been studied on Riemannian and pseudo-Riemannian manifolds for a long time. The number of independent Killing vector fields measures the degree of symmetry of a Riemannian manifold. Thus, the problems of existence and characterization of Killing vector fields are important and are widely discussed by both mathematicians and physicists $[4,5,7,8,16,17,20,26,31,32]$.

Generalization of Killing vector fields has a long history in mathematics for different scales and purposes [10-12, 21]. In [28], the concept of 2-Killing vector

[^0]fields, as a new generalization of Killing vector fields, was first introduced and studied on Riemannian manifolds. The relations between 2-Killing vector fields, curvature and monotone vector fields are obtained. Finally, a characterization of 2 -Killing vector field on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is derived.

At this point, we want to emphasize that the concept of monotone vector fields introduced by Németh (see [19, 22-24]) and since then they have been studied as a research topic in the area of (nonlinear) analysis on Riemannian manifolds (see also [6] as an additional reference to the above list). As noted above, the connections between monotone vector fields and 2-Killing vector fields have been established. In addition to that, by using space-like hypersurfaces of a Lorentzian manifold (see [25]), these topics have been received attention in Lorentzian geometry as well. Thus the notion of 2-Killing vector fields is important in different branches of mathematics from (nonlinear) analysis on Riemannian manifolds to Lorentzian geometry.

As far as we know, the concept of 2-Killing vector fields has been studied neither on warped products nor on space-time models up to this paper in which we intent to fill this gap in the literature by providing a complete study of 2-Killing vector fields on such spaces. In this way, all the results related to 2-Killing vector fields and thus monotone vector fields can be easily extended to a special class of manifolds, namely, warped product manifolds.

We organize the paper as follows. In Sec. 2, we state well-known connection related formulas of warped product manifolds and Killing vector fields. Thus some of the proofs are omitted. In Sec. 3, as the core of the paper, the relation between 2-Killing vector fields on a warped product manifold and 2-Killing vector fields on the fiber and base manifolds is discussed. Here, we now state our main results; the following theorem represents an important and helpful identity.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\zeta=\left(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right) \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}\right)$ be a vector field on a warped product manifold of the form $M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathcal{L}_{\zeta} \mathcal{L}_{\zeta} g\right)(X, Y)= & \left(\mathcal{L}_{\zeta_{1}}^{1} \mathcal{L}_{\zeta_{1}}^{1} g_{1}\right)\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)+f^{2}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\zeta_{2}}^{2} \mathcal{L}_{\zeta_{2}}^{2} g_{2}\right)\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right) \\
& +4 f \zeta_{1}(f)\left(\mathcal{L}_{\zeta_{2}}^{2} g_{2}\right)\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right)+2 f \zeta_{1}\left(\zeta_{1}(f)\right) g_{2}\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right) \\
& +2 \zeta_{1}(f) \zeta_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for any vector fields $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}\right)$.
The proof of this result contains long computations that have been done using previous results on warped product manifolds (see Appendix A). As an immediate consequence, the relation between 2-Killing vector fields on a warped product manifold and those on product factors is given.

Some conditions for a 2-Killing vector field to be parallel vector field are considered in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\zeta \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}\right)$ be a vector field on a warped product manifold of the form $M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}$. Then
(1) $\zeta=\zeta_{1}+\zeta_{2}$ is parallel if $\zeta_{i}$ is a 2-Killing vector field, $\operatorname{Ric}^{i}\left(\zeta_{i}, \zeta_{i}\right) \leq 0, i=1,2$ and $f$ is constant.
(2) $\zeta=\zeta_{1}$ is parallel if $\zeta_{1}$ is a 2 -Killing vector field, $\operatorname{Ric}^{1}\left(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{1}\right) \leq 0$, and $\zeta_{1}(f)=0$.
(3) $\zeta=\zeta_{2}$ is parallel if $\zeta_{2}$ is a 2-Killing vector field, $\operatorname{Ric}^{2}\left(\zeta_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right) \leq 0$, and $f$ is constant.

The preceding theorem also provides some results on the curvature of a warped product manifold in terms of 2-Killing vector fields.

Theorem 3.3. Suppose that $\zeta \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}\right)$ is a nontrivial 2 -Killing vector field. If $D_{\zeta} \zeta$ is parallel along a curve $\gamma$, then

$$
K(\zeta, \dot{\gamma}) \geq 0
$$

Finally, in Sec. 4, we apply these results on standard static space-times and generalized Robertson-Walker space-times. For instance, the following result is obtained.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\bar{M}=I_{f} \times M$ be a standard static space-time with the metric $\bar{g}=-f^{2} \mathrm{~d} t^{2} \oplus g$. Suppose that $u: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth and $\zeta$ is a vector field on $F$. Then $\bar{\zeta}=u \partial_{t}+\zeta$ is a 2-Killing vector field on $\bar{M}$ if one of the following conditions is satisfied:
(1) $\zeta$ is 2-Killing on $M, u=a$ and $f \zeta(f)=b$ where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.
(2) $\zeta$ is 2-Killing on $M, u=(r t+s)^{\frac{1}{3}}$ and $\zeta(f)=0$ where $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$.

Furthermore, the converse of this result and many others on generalized Robertson-Walker space-times are discussed.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we will provide basic definitions and curvature formulas about warped product manifolds and Killing vector fields.

Suppose that $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}, D_{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{2}, g_{2}, D_{2}\right)$ are two $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ pseudo-Riemannian manifolds equipped with Riemannian metrics $g_{i}$ where $D_{i}$ is the Levi-Civita connection of the metric $g_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. Further suppose that $\pi_{1}: M_{1} \times M_{2} \rightarrow M_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}: M_{1} \times M_{2} \rightarrow M_{2}$ are the natural projection maps of the Cartesian product $M_{1} \times M_{2}$ onto $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, respectively. If $f: M_{1} \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is a positive real-valued smooth function, then the warped product manifold $M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}$ is the product manifold $M_{1} \times M_{2}$ equipped with the metric tensor $g=g_{1} \oplus g_{2}$ defined by

$$
g=\pi_{1}^{*}\left(g_{1}\right) \oplus\left(f \circ \pi_{1}\right)^{2} \pi_{2}^{*}\left(g_{2}\right)
$$

where * denotes the pull-back operator on tensors [9, 27]. The function $f$ is called the warping function of the warped product manifold $M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}$. In particular, if
$f=1$, then $M_{1} \times_{1} M_{2}=M_{1} \times M_{2}$ is the usual Cartesian product manifold. It is clear that the submanifold $M_{1} \times\{q\}$ is isometric to $M_{1}$ for every $q \in M_{2}$. Moreover, $\{p\} \times M_{2}$ is homothetic to $M_{2}$. Throughout this paper we use the same notation for a vector field and for its lift to the product manifold.

Let $D$ be the Levi-Civita connection of the metric tensor $g$. The following proposition is well known [9].

Proposition 2.1. Let $\left(M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}, g\right)$ be a Riemannian warped product manifold with warping function $f>0$ on $M_{1}$. Then
(1) $D_{X_{1}} Y=D_{X_{1}}^{1} Y_{1} \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M_{1}\right)$,
(2) $D_{X_{1}} Y_{2}=D_{Y_{2}} X_{1}=\frac{X_{1}(f)}{f} Y_{2}$,
(3) $D_{X_{2}} Y_{2}=-f g_{2}\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right) \nabla^{1} f+D_{X_{2}}^{2} Y_{2}$
for all $X_{i}, Y_{i} \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M_{i}\right)$, with $i=1,2$ where $\nabla^{1} f$ is the gradient of $f$.
A vector field $\zeta \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ on a pseudo-Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ with metric $g$ is called a Killing vector field if

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\zeta} g=0
$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\zeta}$ is the Lie derivative on $M$ with respect to $\zeta$. One can redefine Killing vector fields using the following identity. Let $\zeta$ be a vector field, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathcal{L}_{\zeta} g\right)(X, Y)=g\left(D_{X} \zeta, Y\right)+g\left(X, D_{Y} \zeta\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any vector fields $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. A simple yet useful characterization of Killing vector fields is given in the following proposition. The proof is straightforward by using the symmetry in the above identity.

Lemma 2.1. If $(M, g, D)$ is a pseudo-Riemannian manifold with Riemannian connection $D$. A vector field $\zeta \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is a Killing vector field if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(D_{X} \zeta, X\right)=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.
Now we consider Killing vector fields on Riemannian warped product manifolds. The following simple result will help us to present a characterization of Killing vector fields on warped product manifolds.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\zeta \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}\right)$ be a vector field on the pseudo-Riemannian warped product manifold $M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}$ with warping function $f$. Then for any vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(D_{X} \zeta, X\right)=g_{1}\left(D_{X_{1}}^{1} \zeta_{1}, X_{1}\right)+f^{2} g_{2}\left(D_{X_{2}}^{2} \zeta_{2}, X_{2}\right)+f \zeta_{1}(f)\left\|X_{2}\right\|_{2}^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Using Proposition 2.1, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(D_{X} \zeta, X\right)= & g_{1}\left(D_{X_{1}}^{1} \zeta_{1}-f g_{2}\left(X_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right) \nabla f, X_{1}\right)+f^{2} g_{2}\left(D_{X_{2}}^{2} \zeta_{2}+\zeta_{1}(\ln f) X_{2}\right. \\
& \left.+X_{1}(\ln f) \zeta_{2}, X_{2}\right) \\
= & g_{1}\left(D_{X_{1}}^{1} \zeta_{1}, X_{1}\right)-f g_{2}\left(X_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right) X_{1}(f)+f^{2} g_{2}\left(D_{X_{2}}^{2} \zeta_{2}, X_{2}\right) \\
& +f \zeta_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(X_{2}, X_{2}\right)+f X_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(\zeta_{2}, X_{2}\right) \\
= & g_{1}\left(D_{X_{1}}^{1} \zeta_{1}, X_{1}\right)+f^{2} g_{2}\left(D_{X_{2}}^{2} \zeta_{2}, X_{2}\right)+f \zeta_{1}(f)\left\|X_{2}\right\|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The preceding two theorems give us a characterization of Killing vector fields on warped product manifolds. They are immediate consequence of the previous result.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\zeta=\left(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right) \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}\right)$ be a vector field on the pseudoRiemannian warped product manifold $M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}$ with warping function $f$. Then $\zeta$ is a Killing vector field if one of the following conditions holds:
(1) $\zeta=\left(\zeta_{1}, 0\right)$ and $\zeta_{1}$ is a killing vector field on $M_{1}$.
(2) $\zeta=\left(0, \zeta_{2}\right)$ and $\zeta_{2}$ is a killing vector field on $M_{2}$.
(3) $\zeta_{i}$ is a Killing vector field on $M_{i}$, for $i=1,2$ and $\zeta_{1}(f)=0$.

The converse of the above result is considered in the following result.
Theorem 2.2. Let $\zeta=\left(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right) \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}\right)$ be a killing vector field on the warped product manifold $M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}$ with warping function $f$. Then
(1) $\zeta_{1}$ is a Killing vector field on $M_{1}$.
(2) $\zeta_{2}$ is a Killing vector field on $M_{2}$ if $\zeta_{1}(f)=0$.

In [16], the authors proved similar results on standard static space-times using the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2. Let $\zeta=\left(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right) \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}\right)$ be a vector field on the warped product manifold $M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}$ with warping function $f$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathcal{L}_{\zeta} g\right)(X, Y)=\left(\mathcal{L}_{\zeta_{1}}^{1} g_{1}\right)\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)+f^{2}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\zeta_{2}}^{2} g_{2}\right)\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right)+2 f \zeta_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\zeta_{i}}^{i}$ is the Lie derivative on $M_{i}$ with respect to $\zeta_{i}$, for $i=1,2$.

## 3. 2-Killing Vector Fields

In this section after we define and state fundamental results about 2-Killing vector fields, we obtain the main results of the paper.

A vector field $\zeta \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is called a 2 -Killing vector field on a pseudo-Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\zeta} \mathcal{L}_{\zeta} g=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\zeta}$ is the Lie derivative in the direction of $\zeta$ on $M$ [28].

The following two results [28] are needed to exploit the above definition.
Proposition 3.1. Let $\zeta \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ be a vector field on a pseudo-Riemannian manifold $M$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\mathcal{L}_{\zeta} \mathcal{L}_{\zeta} g\right)(X, Y)= & g\left(D_{\zeta} D_{X} \zeta-D_{[\zeta, X]} \zeta, Y\right) \\
& +g\left(X, D_{\zeta} D_{Y} \zeta-D_{[\zeta, Y]} \zeta\right)+2 g\left(D_{X} \zeta, D_{Y} \zeta\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

for any vector fields $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.
The following result is quite direct and helpful.
Corollary 3.1. A vector field $\zeta$ is 2 -Killing if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(\zeta, X, \zeta, X)=g\left(D_{X} \zeta, D_{X} \zeta\right)+g\left(D_{X} D_{\zeta} \zeta, X\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.
The symmetry of Eq. (3.2) shows that $\zeta$ is 2-Killing if and only if

$$
g\left(D_{\zeta} D_{X} \zeta-D_{[\zeta, X]} \zeta, X\right)+g\left(D_{X} \zeta, D_{X} \zeta\right)=0
$$

Example 3.1. Let $M$ be the two-dimensional Euclidean space, i.e. $\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathrm{~d} s^{2}\right)$ where $\mathrm{d} s^{2}=\mathrm{d} x^{2}+\mathrm{d} y^{2}$. A vector field $\zeta=u \partial_{x}+v \partial_{y} \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is 2-Killing if

$$
\left(\mathcal{L}_{\zeta}^{I} \mathcal{L}_{\zeta}^{I} g_{I}\right)(X, Y)=0
$$

for any vector fields $X, Y$, where $\mathcal{L}_{\zeta}$ is the Lie derivative on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with respect to $\zeta$. Now it is easy to show that $\zeta$ is 2 -Killing vector field on $M$ if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
u u_{x x}+2 u_{x}^{2} & =0 \\
v v_{y y}+2 v_{y}^{2} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

By making use of the above proposition one can get sufficient and necessary conditions for a vector field $\zeta=\left(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right) \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}\right)$ to be a 2-Killing on the pseudo-Riemannian warped product manifold $M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}$. The following theorem represents a similar such.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\zeta=\left(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right) \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}\right)$ be a vector field on the warped product manifold $M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathcal{L}_{\zeta} \mathcal{L}_{\zeta} g\right)(X, Y)= & \left(\mathcal{L}_{\zeta_{1}}^{1} \mathcal{L}_{\zeta_{1}}^{1} g_{1}\right)\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)+f^{2}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\zeta_{2}}^{2} \mathcal{L}_{\zeta_{2}}^{2} g_{2}\right)\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right) \\
& +4 f \zeta_{1}(f)\left(\mathcal{L}_{\zeta_{2}}^{2} g_{2}\right)\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right)+2 f \zeta_{1}\left(\zeta_{1}(f)\right) g_{2}\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right) \\
& +2 \zeta_{1}(f) \zeta_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for any vector fields $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}\right)$.
Proof. See Appendix A.

The following results are direct consequences of the above theorem.
Corollary 3.2. Let $\zeta=\zeta_{1}+\zeta_{2} \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}\right)$ be a vector field on the warped product manifold of the form $M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}$. If $\zeta_{1}+\zeta_{2}$ is a 2 -Killing vector field on $M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}$, then $\zeta_{1}$ is a 2 -Killing vector field on $M_{1}$.

Corollary 3.3. Let $\zeta \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}\right)$ be a vector field on the warped product manifold of the form $M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}$. Suppose that $\zeta_{1}$ and $\zeta_{2}$ are 2-Killing vector fields on $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, respectively. Then $\zeta_{1}+\zeta_{2}$ is a 2 -Killing vector field on $M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}$ if and only if
(1) $\zeta_{1}(f)=0$, or
(2) $\zeta_{2}$ is a homothetic vector field on $M_{2}$ with homothetic factor $c\left(i . e . \mathfrak{L}_{\zeta_{2}}^{2} g_{2}=c g_{2}\right)$ such that

$$
f \zeta_{1}\left(\zeta_{1}(f)\right)+\zeta_{1}(f) \zeta_{1}(f)=-2 c f \zeta_{1}(f)
$$

Corollary 3.4. Let $\zeta=\zeta_{1}+\zeta_{2} \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}\right)$ be a vector field on the warped product manifold $M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}$. Then $\zeta$ is a 2 -Killing vector field on $M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}$ if one of the following conditions holds:
(1) The vector field $\zeta_{i}$ is a 2-Killing vector field on $M_{i}, i=1,2$, and $\zeta_{1}(f)=0$.
(2) $\zeta=\zeta_{2}$ and $\zeta_{2}$ is a 2 -Killing vector field on $M_{2}$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\zeta \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}\right)$ be a vector field on the warped product manifold $M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}$. Then
(1) $\zeta=\zeta_{1}+\zeta_{2}$ is parallel if $\zeta_{i}$ is a 2 -Killing vector field, and $\operatorname{Ric}^{i}\left(\zeta_{i}, \zeta_{i}\right) \leq 0, i=1,2$ and also $f$ is constant.
(2) $\zeta=\zeta_{1}$ is parallel if $\zeta_{1}$ is a 2 -Killing vector field, and $\operatorname{Ric}^{1}\left(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{1}\right) \leq 0$, and also $\zeta_{1}(f)=0$.
(3) $\zeta=\zeta_{2}$ is parallel if $\zeta_{2}$ is a 2 -Killing vector field, and $\operatorname{Ric}^{2}\left(\zeta_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right) \leq 0$, and also $f$ is constant.

Proof. Suppose that

$$
\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{m}\right\}
$$

is an orthonormal frame in $T_{p} M_{1}$ and

$$
\left\{e_{m+1}, e_{m+2}, \ldots, e_{m+n}\right\}
$$

is an orthonormal frame in $T_{q} M_{2}$ for some point $(p, q) \in M_{1} \times M_{2}$. Then

$$
\left\{\bar{e}_{1}, \bar{e}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{e}_{m+n}\right\}
$$

is an orthonormal frame in $T_{(p . q)}\left(M_{1} \times M_{2}\right)$ where

$$
\bar{e}_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{rc}
e_{i}, & 1 \leq i \leq m \\
\frac{1}{f} e_{i}, & m+1 \leq i \leq m+n
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus for any vector field $\zeta \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Tr}(g(D \zeta, D \zeta)) & =\sum_{i=1}^{m+n} g\left(D_{\bar{e}_{i}} \zeta, D_{\bar{e}_{i}} \zeta\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{m} g\left(D_{e_{i}} \zeta, D_{e_{i}} \zeta\right)+\frac{1}{f^{2}} \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+n} g\left(D_{e_{i}} \zeta, D_{e_{i}} \zeta\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Proposition 2.1, the first term is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=1}^{m} g\left(D_{e_{i}} \zeta, D_{e_{i}} \zeta\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{m} g\left(D_{e_{i}}^{1} \zeta_{1}+e_{i}(\ln f) \zeta_{2}, D_{e_{i}}^{1} \zeta_{1}+e_{i}(\ln f) \zeta_{2}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{m} g\left(D_{e_{i}}^{1} \zeta_{1}, D_{e_{i}}^{1} \zeta_{1}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} g\left(e_{i}(\ln f) \zeta_{2}, e_{i}(\ln f) \zeta_{2}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(g_{1}\left(D^{1} \zeta_{1}, D^{1} \zeta_{1}\right)\right)+\left\|\zeta_{2}\right\|_{2}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(e_{i}(\ln f)\right)^{2} \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(g_{1}\left(D^{1} \zeta_{1}, D^{1} \zeta_{1}\right)\right)+\left\|\zeta_{2}\right\|_{2}^{2}\|\nabla f\|_{1}^{2} \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

and the second term is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{f^{2}} \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+n} g\left(D_{e_{i}} \zeta, D_{e_{i}} \zeta\right)= & \frac{1}{f^{2}} \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+n} g\left(\zeta_{1}(\ln f) e_{i}+D_{e_{i}}^{2} \zeta_{2}\right. \\
& \left.-f g_{2}\left(e_{i}, \zeta_{2}\right) \nabla f, \zeta_{1}(\ln f) e_{i}+D_{e_{i}}^{2} \zeta_{2}-f g_{2}\left(e_{i}, \zeta_{2}\right) \nabla f\right) \\
= & n\left(\zeta_{1}(\ln f)\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=m+1}^{m+n} g_{2}\left(D_{e_{i}}^{2} \zeta_{2}, D_{e_{i}}^{2} \zeta_{2}\right) \\
& +\|\nabla f\|_{1}^{2} \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+n}\left(g_{2}\left(e_{i}, \zeta_{2}\right)\right)^{2}  \tag{3.6}\\
\frac{1}{f^{2}} \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+n} g\left(D_{e_{i}} \zeta, D_{e_{i}} \zeta\right)= & \frac{n}{f^{2}}\left(\zeta_{1}(f)\right)^{2}+\operatorname{Tr}\left(g_{2}\left(D^{2} \zeta_{2}, D^{2} \zeta_{2}\right)\right)+\|\nabla f\|_{1}^{2}\left\|\zeta_{2}\right\|_{2}^{2} . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

By using Eqs. (3.5) and (3.7), Eq. (3.4) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Tr}(g(D \zeta, D \zeta))= & \operatorname{Tr}\left(g_{1}\left(D^{1} \zeta_{1}, D^{1} \zeta_{1}\right)\right)+\operatorname{Tr}\left(g_{2}\left(D^{2} \zeta_{2}, D^{2} \zeta_{2}\right)\right) \\
& +2\left\|\zeta_{2}\right\|_{2}^{2}\|\nabla f\|_{1}^{2}+\frac{n}{f^{2}}\left(\zeta_{1}(f)\right)^{2} \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Now suppose that $\zeta_{i}$ is a 2 -Killing vector field and $\operatorname{Ric}^{i}\left(\zeta_{i}, \zeta_{i}\right) \leq 0$, then $\zeta_{i}$ is a parallel vector field with respect to the metric $g_{i}$ and hence

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(g_{1}\left(D^{1} \zeta_{1}, D^{1} \zeta_{1}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(g_{2}\left(D^{2} \zeta_{2}, D^{2} \zeta_{2}\right)\right)=0
$$

Then for a constant function $f$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Tr}(g(D \zeta, D \zeta))=0
$$

Thus $\zeta$ is a parallel vector field with respect to the metric $g$. One can easily prove the last two assertions using Eq. (3.8).

Corollary 3.5. Let $\zeta \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}\right)$ be a vector field on a warped product manifold of the form $M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Tr}(g(D \zeta, D \zeta))= & \operatorname{Tr}\left(g_{1}\left(D^{1} \zeta_{1}, D^{1} \zeta_{1}\right)\right)+\operatorname{Tr}\left(g_{2}\left(D^{2} \zeta_{2}, D^{2} \zeta_{2}\right)\right) \\
& +2\left\|\zeta_{2}\right\|_{2}^{2}\|\nabla f\|_{1}^{2}+\frac{n}{f^{2}} \zeta_{1}(f) \zeta_{1}(f)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.3. Assume that $\zeta \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}\right)$ is a nontrivial 2 -Killing vector field on the warped product manifold $M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}$. If $D_{\zeta} \zeta$ is parallel along a curve $\gamma$, then

$$
K(\zeta, \dot{\gamma}) \geq 0
$$

Proof. Let $\zeta \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}\right)$ be a nontrivial 2-Killing vector field, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & g\left(D_{\zeta} D_{X} \zeta, Y\right)-g\left(D_{[\zeta, X]} \zeta, Y\right)+2 g\left(D_{X} \zeta, D_{Y} \zeta\right) \\
& +g\left(X, D_{\zeta} D_{Y} \zeta\right)-g\left(X, D_{[\zeta, Y]} \zeta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for any vector fields $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}\right)$. Take $X=Y=T=\dot{\gamma}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(D_{\zeta} D_{T} \zeta, T\right)-g\left(D_{[\zeta, T]} \zeta, T\right)+g\left(D_{T} \zeta, D_{T} \zeta\right) & =0 \\
g\left(D_{\zeta} D_{T} \zeta-D_{[\zeta, T]} \zeta, T\right) & =-g\left(D_{T} \zeta, D_{T} \zeta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $D_{\zeta} \zeta$ is parallel along a curve $\gamma, D_{T} D_{\zeta} \zeta=0$ and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(R(\zeta, T) \zeta, T) & =-g\left(D_{T} \zeta, D_{T} \zeta\right) \\
R(\zeta, T, T, \zeta) & =-g\left(D_{T} \zeta, D_{T} \zeta\right) \\
K(\zeta, \dot{\gamma}) & =\left\|D_{T} \zeta\right\|^{2} * A(\zeta, \dot{\gamma}) \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A(\zeta, \dot{\gamma})$ is area of the parallelogram generated by $\zeta$ and $\dot{\gamma}$.
The above result can be proved by using Corollary 3.1 as follows. Let $\zeta \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}\right)$ be a nontrivial 2-Killing vector field, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(\zeta, T, \zeta, T) & =g\left(D_{T} \zeta, D_{T} \zeta\right)+g\left(D_{T} D_{\zeta} \zeta, T\right) \\
& =\left\|D_{T} \zeta\right\|^{2}+0 \\
& =\left\|D_{T} \zeta\right\|^{2} \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, if $D_{\zeta} \zeta=0$, then $K(\zeta, X) \geq 0$ for any vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}\right)$.
Now, we will state yet another condition for a vector field on warped product manifolds to be 2-Killing.

Let $(M, g)$ be an $n$-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian manifold. Suppose that $X$ and $Y$ are vector fields on $M$. Then denote:

$$
\mathfrak{F}(X, Y)=g\left(\nabla_{X} \nabla_{Y} X, Y\right)+g\left(\nabla_{Y} X, \nabla_{Y} X\right)-g\left(\nabla_{[X, Y]} X, Y\right) .
$$

Note that $X$ is a 2-Killing vector field if $\mathfrak{F}(X, Y)=0$ for any vector field $Y$ on $M$. We can prove many of the above results using the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. Let $\zeta \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M_{1} \times_{f} M_{2}\right)$ be a vector field on the warped product manifold of the form $M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{F}\left(\zeta_{1}+\zeta_{2}, X_{1}+X_{2}\right)= & \mathfrak{F}_{1}\left(\zeta_{1}, X_{1}\right)+f^{2} \mathfrak{F}_{2}\left(\zeta_{2}, X_{2}\right) \\
& +\left(f \zeta_{1}(f)+\zeta_{1}(f) \zeta_{1}(f)\right) g_{2}\left(X_{2}, X_{2}\right) \\
& +2 f \zeta_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(\nabla_{X_{2}} \zeta_{2}, X_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. 2-Killing Vector Fields of Warped Product Space-Times

We will apply our main results to some well-known warped product space-time models to characterize their 2-Killing vector fields.

### 4.1. 2-Killing vector fields of standard static space-times

We begin by defining standard static space-times.
Let $(M, g)$ be an $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold and $f: M \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ be a smooth function. Then $(n+1)$-dimensional product manifold $I \times M$ furnished with the metric tensor

$$
\bar{g}=-f^{2} \mathrm{~d} t^{2} \oplus g
$$

is called a standard static space-time and is denoted by $\bar{M}=I_{f} \times M$ where $I$ is an open, connected subinterval of $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathrm{d} t^{2}$ is the Euclidean metric tensor on $I$.

Note that standard static space-times can be considered as a generalization of the Einstein static universe $[1-3,8,13-16]$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\bar{M}=I_{f} \times M$ be a standard static space-time with the metric $\bar{g}=-f^{2} \mathrm{dt}^{2} \oplus g$. Suppose that $u: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth on $I$. Then $\bar{\zeta}=u \partial_{t}+\zeta$ with $\zeta \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is a 2-Killing vector field on $\bar{M}$ if one of the following conditions is satisfied:
(1) $\zeta$ is 2 -Killing on $M, u=a$ and $f \zeta(f)=b$ where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.
(2) $\zeta$ is 2-Killing on $M, u=(r t+s)^{\frac{1}{3}}$ and $\zeta(f)=0$ where $r, s \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Let $\bar{X}=x \partial_{t}+X \in \mathfrak{X}(\bar{M})$ and $\bar{Y}=y \partial_{t}+Y \in \mathfrak{X}(\bar{M})$ be any vector fields on $\bar{M}$ where $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and $x, y$ are smooth real-valued functions on $I$. Using

Theorem 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{\zeta}} \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{\zeta}} \bar{g}\right)(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) \\
& = \\
& =\left(\mathcal{L}_{\zeta} \mathcal{L}_{\zeta} g\right)(X, Y)+f^{2}\left(\mathcal{L}_{u \partial_{t}}^{I} \mathcal{L}_{u \partial_{t}}^{I} g_{I}\right)\left(x \partial_{t}, y \partial_{t}\right)+4 f \zeta(f)\left(\mathcal{L}_{\zeta_{2}}^{2} g_{2}\right)\left(x \partial_{t}, y \partial_{t}\right) \\
& \quad+2 f \zeta(\zeta(f)) g_{I}\left(x \partial_{t}, y \partial_{t}\right)+2 \zeta(f) \zeta(f) g_{I}\left(x \partial_{t}, y \partial_{t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that for a vector $u \partial_{t}$ field on $I$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\zeta} g_{I}\left(x \partial_{t}, y \partial_{t}\right) & =2 \dot{u} g_{I}\left(x \partial_{t}, y \partial_{t}\right) \\
\mathcal{L}_{\zeta} \mathcal{L}_{\zeta} g_{I}\left(x \partial_{t}, y \partial_{t}\right) & =\left(2 u \ddot{u}+4 \dot{u}^{2}\right) g_{I}\left(x \partial_{t}, y \partial_{t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{\zeta}} \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{\zeta}} \bar{g}\right) & (\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) \\
= & \left(\mathcal{L}_{\zeta} \mathcal{L}_{\zeta} g\right)(X, Y)+f^{2}\left(2 u \ddot{u}+4 \dot{u}^{2}\right) g_{I}\left(x \partial_{t}, y \partial_{t}\right)+8 \dot{u} f \zeta(f) g_{I}\left(x \partial_{t}, y \partial_{t}\right) \\
\quad & +2 \zeta(f \zeta(f)) g_{I}\left(x \partial_{t}, y \partial_{t}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

The vector field $\zeta$ is 2 -Killing on $M$ and the function $u$ in both conditions (1) and (2) is a solution of

$$
\left(2 u \ddot{u}+4 \dot{u}^{2}\right)=0 .
$$

Thus Eq. (4.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{\zeta}} \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{\zeta}} \bar{g}\right)(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})=2[4 f \zeta(f) \dot{u}+\zeta(f \zeta(f))] g_{I}\left(x \partial_{t}, y \partial_{t}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, condition (1) implies that $\dot{u}=\zeta(f \zeta(f))=0$ and condition (2) implies that $\zeta(f)=0$. Consequently, condition (1) or condition (2) implies that

$$
\left(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{\zeta}} \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{\zeta} \bar{g})(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})=0}\right.
$$

and so $\bar{\zeta}$ is 2-Killing on $\bar{M}$.
The converse of the above theorem is considered in the following corollary. The proof is straightforward.

Corollary 4.1. Assume that $\bar{M}$ is a standard static space-time of the form $I_{f} \times M$ and $\bar{\zeta}=u \partial_{t}+\zeta$ is a 2 -Killing vector field on $\bar{M}$. Then $\zeta$ is a 2 -Killing vector field on $M$. Moreover, the vector field $u \partial_{t}$ is a 2 -Killing vector field on $I$ if $\zeta(f)=0$.

Example 4.1. Let $\zeta=u(t) \partial_{t}+v(x) \partial_{x}$ be a vector field on the warped product manifold $\bar{M}=I_{f} \times \mathbb{R}$ with warping function $f$ and the metric tensor $\mathrm{d} s^{2}=$ $-f^{2} \mathrm{~d} t^{2}+\mathrm{d} x^{2}$. To prove that $\zeta$ is a 2 -Killing vector field, we can use Eq. (4.1). If $\bar{X}=x \partial_{t}+X$ and $\bar{Y}=y \partial_{t}+Y$ are two vector fields on $\bar{M}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{\zeta}} \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{\zeta}} \bar{g}\right)(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})= & \left(\mathcal{L}_{\zeta} \mathcal{L}_{\zeta} g\right)(X, Y)+f^{2}\left(2 u \ddot{u}+4 \dot{u}^{2}\right) g_{I}\left(x \partial_{t}, y \partial_{t}\right) \\
& +8 \dot{u} f \zeta(f) g_{I}\left(x \partial_{t}, y \partial_{t}\right)+2 \zeta(f \zeta(f)) g_{I}\left(x \partial_{t}, y \partial_{t}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\zeta=v(x) \partial_{x}$ and $g=\mathrm{d} x^{2}$. It is now easy to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta(f) & =v f^{\prime}, \quad \zeta(f \zeta(f))=v^{2} f f^{\prime \prime}+v^{2} f^{\prime 2}+v v^{\prime} f f^{\prime} \\
\left(\mathcal{L}_{\zeta} \mathcal{L}_{\zeta} g\right)\left(\partial_{x}, \partial_{x}\right) & =2 v v^{\prime \prime}+4 v^{\prime 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, an orthogonal basis of $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ is $\left\{\partial_{t}, \partial_{x}\right\}$. Thus Eq. (4.3) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{\zeta}} \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{\zeta}} \bar{g}\right)\left(\partial_{x}, \partial_{x}\right)=2 v v^{\prime \prime}+4 v^{\prime 2}, \\
& \left(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{\zeta}} \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{\zeta}} \bar{g}\right)\left(\partial_{x}, \partial_{t}\right)=0 \\
& \left(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{\zeta}} \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{\zeta}} \bar{g}\right)\left(\partial_{t}, \partial_{x}\right)=0 \\
& \left(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{\zeta}} \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{\zeta}} \bar{g}\right)\left(\partial_{t}, \partial_{t}\right)=-f^{2}\left(2 u \ddot{u}+4 \dot{u}^{2}\right)-8 \dot{u} v f f^{\prime}-2 v^{2} f f^{\prime \prime}-2 v^{2} f^{\prime 2}-2 v v^{\prime} f f^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now if $u \partial_{t}$ and $v \partial_{t}$ are 2-Killing vector fields on $I$ and $\mathbb{R}$, respectively, then

$$
2 u \ddot{u}+4 \dot{u}^{2}=2 v v^{\prime \prime}+4 v^{\prime 2}=0 .
$$

Consequently, $\zeta$ is 2 -Killing if $f^{\prime}=0$. One can obtain the same result by using the definition of 2-Killing vector fields (see Appendix B).

### 4.2. 2-Killing vector fields of generalized Robertson-Walker space-times

We first define generalized Robertson-Walker space-times.
Let $(M, g)$ be an $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold and $f: I \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ be a smooth function. Then $(n+1)$-dimensional product manifold $I \times M$ furnished with the metric tensor

$$
\bar{g}=-\mathrm{d} t^{2} \oplus f^{2} g
$$

is called a generalized Robertson-Walker space-time and is denoted by $\bar{M}=I \times{ }_{f} M$ where $I$ is an open, connected subinterval of $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathrm{d} t^{2}$ is the Euclidean metric tensor on $I$.

This structure was introduced to the literature to extend Robertson-Walker space-times [18, 30, 29]

Due to Corollary 3.2, we need to determine 2-Killing vector fields on $I$. Suppose that $\zeta_{1}=h \partial_{t}$ is a vector field on $I$ where $h$ is a smooth function on $I$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathfrak{L}_{h \partial_{t}}^{I} \mathfrak{L}_{h \partial_{t}}^{I} g_{I}\right)\left(\partial_{t}, \partial_{t}\right) & =-2 h h^{\prime \prime}-4\left(h^{\prime}\right)^{2} \\
& =-2\left(h h^{\prime \prime}+2\left(h^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\zeta_{1}=h \partial_{t}$ is a 2-Killing vector field on $I$ if and only if $h h^{\prime \prime}=-2\left(h^{\prime}\right)^{2}$.
In this case, one can solve the last differential equation and obtain that $h(t)=$ $(a t-b)^{\frac{1}{3}}$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ where $t \in I$ and $t \neq \frac{b}{a}$.

Thus to characterize 2-Killing vector fields on the generalized Robertson-Walker space-time of the form $\bar{M}=I \times_{f} M$, one can focus on vector fields of the form $(a t-b)^{\frac{1}{3}} \partial_{t}+V$.

An easy application of Corollary 3.3 leads us to the following result.

Proposition 4.1. Let $\bar{M}=I \times_{f} M$ be a generalized Robertson-Walker space-time with the metric tensor $\bar{g}=-\mathrm{dt}^{2} \oplus f^{2} g$. Suppose that $V$ is a 2 -Killing vector field on $(M, g)$. Then a vector field $(a t-b)^{\frac{1}{3}} \partial_{t}+V$ is a 2 -Killing vector field on $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ if $V$ is a homothetic vector field on $(M, g)$ with $c$ satisfying

$$
\frac{a}{3} f \dot{f}+\left(f \ddot{f}+\dot{f}^{2}\right)(a t-b)=-2 c f \dot{f}(a t-b)^{\frac{2}{3}}
$$

Remark 4.1. At this point, we want to emphasize that we prefer not to apply Corollary 3.4 since condition (1) implies that the warping function $f$ of a generalized Robertson-Walker space-time of the form $\bar{M}=I \times_{f} M$ is constant and hence the underlying warped product turns out to be just a trivial product.

## Appendix A. Proof of Theorem 3.1

Using Propositions 2.1 and 3.1, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathcal{L}_{\zeta} \mathcal{L}_{\zeta} g\right)(X, Y)= & g\left(D_{\zeta} D_{X} \zeta, Y\right)+g\left(X, D_{\zeta} D_{Y} \zeta\right)-g\left(D_{[\zeta, X]} \zeta, Y\right)-g\left(X, D_{[\zeta, Y]} \zeta\right) \\
& +2 g\left(D_{X} \zeta, D_{Y} \zeta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The first term $T_{1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{1}= & g\left(D_{\zeta} D_{X} \zeta, Y\right) \\
= & g\left(D_{\zeta}\left(D_{X_{1}}^{1} \zeta_{1}+\frac{1}{f} \zeta_{1}(f) X_{2}+\frac{1}{f} X_{1}(f) \zeta_{2}+D_{X_{2}}^{2} \zeta_{2}-f g_{2}\left(X_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right) \nabla f\right), Y\right) \\
= & g\left(D_{\zeta_{1}}^{1} D_{X_{1}}^{1} \zeta_{1}+\frac{1}{f} \zeta_{1}\left(\zeta_{1}(f)\right) X_{2}+\frac{1}{f} \zeta_{1}\left(X_{1}(f)\right) \zeta_{2}+\frac{1}{f} \zeta_{1}(f) D_{X_{2}}^{2} \zeta_{2}\right. \\
& -\zeta_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(X_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right) \nabla f-f g_{2}\left(X_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right) D_{\zeta_{1}}^{1} \nabla f+\frac{1}{f}\left(D_{X_{1}}^{1} \zeta_{1}\right)(f) \zeta_{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{f} \zeta_{1}(f) D_{\zeta_{2}}^{2} X_{2}-\zeta_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(X_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right) \nabla f+\frac{1}{f} X_{1}(f) D_{\zeta_{2}}^{2} \zeta_{2} \\
& -X_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(\zeta_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right) \nabla f+D_{\zeta_{2}}^{2} D_{X_{2}}^{2} \zeta_{2}-f g_{2}\left(D_{X_{2}}^{2} \zeta_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right) \nabla f \\
& \left.-f g_{2}\left(D_{\zeta_{2}}^{2} X_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right) \nabla f-f g_{2}\left(X_{2}, D_{\zeta_{2}}^{2} \zeta_{2}\right) \nabla f-g_{2}\left(X_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right)(\nabla f)(f) \zeta_{2}, Y\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{1}= & g_{1}\left(D_{\zeta_{1}}^{1} D_{X_{1}}^{1} \zeta_{1}, Y_{1}\right)+f \zeta_{1}\left(\zeta_{1}(f)\right) g_{2}\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right)+f \zeta_{1}\left(X_{1}(f)\right) g_{2}\left(\zeta_{2}, Y_{2}\right) \\
& +f \zeta_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(D_{X_{2}}^{2} \zeta_{2}, Y_{2}\right)-\zeta_{1}(f) Y_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(X_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right)-f g_{2}\left(X_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right) g_{1}\left(D_{\zeta_{1}}^{1} \nabla f, Y_{1}\right) \\
& +f\left(D_{X_{1}}^{1} \zeta_{1}\right)(f) g_{2}\left(\zeta_{2}, Y_{2}\right)+f \zeta_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(D_{\zeta_{2}}^{2} X_{2}, Y_{2}\right)-\zeta_{1}(f) Y_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(X_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right) \\
& +f X_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(D_{\zeta_{2}}^{2} \zeta_{2}, Y_{2}\right)-X_{1}(f) Y_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(\zeta_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right)+f^{2} g_{2}\left(D_{\zeta_{2}}^{2} D_{X_{2}}^{2} \zeta_{2}, Y_{2}\right) \\
& -f Y_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(D_{X_{2}}^{2} \zeta_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right)-f Y_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(D_{\zeta_{2}}^{2} X_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right)-f Y_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(X_{2}, D_{\zeta_{2}}^{2} \zeta_{2}\right) \\
& -f^{2} g_{2}\left(X_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right)(\nabla f)(f) g_{2}\left(\zeta_{2}, Y_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & g_{1}\left(D_{\zeta_{1}}^{1} D_{X_{1}}^{1} \zeta_{1}, Y_{1}\right)+f^{2} g_{2}\left(D_{\zeta_{2}}^{2} D_{X_{2}}^{2} \zeta_{2}, Y_{2}\right) \\
& +f \zeta_{1}\left(\zeta_{1}(f)\right) g_{2}\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right)+f \zeta_{1}\left(X_{1}(f)\right) g_{2}\left(\zeta_{2}, Y_{2}\right)+f \zeta_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(D_{X_{2}}^{2} \zeta_{2}, Y_{2}\right) \\
& -f \zeta_{1}\left(Y_{1}(f)\right) g_{2}\left(X_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right)+f g_{2}\left(X_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right)\left(D_{\zeta_{1}}^{1} Y_{1}\right)(f) \\
& +f g_{2}\left(\zeta_{2}, Y_{2}\right)\left(D_{X_{1}}^{1} \zeta_{1}\right)(f)+f \zeta_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(D_{\zeta_{2}}^{2} X_{2}, Y_{2}\right)-2 \zeta_{1}(f) Y_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(X_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right) \\
& +f X_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(D_{\zeta_{2}}^{2} \zeta_{2}, Y_{2}\right)-X_{1}(f) Y_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(\zeta_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right) \\
& -f Y_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(D_{X_{2}}^{2} \zeta_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right)-f Y_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(D_{\zeta_{2}}^{2} X_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right)-f Y_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(X_{2}, D_{\zeta_{2}}^{2} \zeta_{2}\right) \\
& -f^{2} g_{2}\left(X_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right) g_{2}\left(\zeta_{2}, Y_{2}\right)(\nabla f)(f) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Exchanging $X$ and $Y$ we get the second term $T_{2}$ and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{1}+T_{2}= & g\left(D_{\zeta} D_{X} \zeta, Y\right)+g\left(D_{\zeta} D_{Y} \zeta, X\right) \\
= & g_{1}\left(D_{\zeta_{1}}^{1} D_{X_{1}}^{1} \zeta_{1}, Y_{1}\right)+f^{2} g_{2}\left(D_{\zeta_{2}}^{2} D_{X_{2}}^{2} \zeta_{2}, Y_{2}\right)+g_{1}\left(D_{\zeta_{1}}^{1} D_{Y_{1}}^{1} \zeta_{1}, X_{1}\right) \\
& +f^{2} g_{2}\left(D_{\zeta_{2}}^{2} D_{Y_{2}}^{2} \zeta_{2}, X_{2}\right)+2 f \zeta_{1}\left(\zeta_{1}(f)\right) g_{2}\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right) \\
& -2 X_{1}(f) Y_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(\zeta_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right)-2 f^{2} g_{2}\left(X_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right) g_{2}\left(\zeta_{2}, Y_{2}\right)(\nabla f)(f) \\
& +f \zeta_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(D_{X_{2}}^{2} \zeta_{2}, Y_{2}\right)+f g_{2}\left(X_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right)\left(D_{\zeta_{1}}^{1} Y_{1}\right)(f) \\
& +f g_{2}\left(\zeta_{2}, X_{2}\right)\left(D_{Y_{1}}^{1} \zeta_{1}\right)(f)+f \zeta_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(D_{Y_{2}}^{2} \zeta_{2}, X_{2}\right) \\
& +f g_{2}\left(Y_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right)\left(D_{\zeta_{1}}^{1} X_{1}\right)(f)+f g_{2}\left(\zeta_{2}, Y_{2}\right)\left(D_{X_{1}}^{1} \zeta_{1}\right)(f) \\
& +f \zeta_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(D_{\zeta_{2}}^{2} X_{2}, Y_{2}\right)-2 \zeta_{1}(f) Y_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(X_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right)-f Y_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(D_{X_{2}}^{2} \zeta_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right) \\
& +f \zeta_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(D_{\zeta_{2}}^{2} Y_{2}, X_{2}\right)-2 \zeta_{1}(f) X_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(Y_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right)-f X_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(D_{Y_{2}}^{2} \zeta_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right) \\
& -f Y_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(D_{\zeta_{2}}^{2} X_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right)-f X_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(D_{\zeta_{2}}^{2} Y_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The third term is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{3}= & g\left(D_{[\zeta, X]} \zeta, Y\right) \\
= & g\left(D_{\left[\zeta_{1}, X_{1}\right]} \zeta_{1}+D_{\left[\zeta_{2}, X_{2}\right]} \zeta_{1}+D_{\left[\zeta_{1}, X_{1}\right]} \zeta_{2}+D_{\left[\zeta_{2}, X_{2}\right]} \zeta_{2}, Y\right) \\
= & g\left(D_{\left[\zeta_{1}, X_{1}\right]}^{1} \zeta_{1}+\frac{1}{f} \zeta_{1}(f)\left[\zeta_{2}, X_{2}\right]+\frac{1}{f}\left[\zeta_{1}, X_{1}\right](f) \zeta_{2}+D_{\left[\zeta_{2}, X_{2}\right]}^{2} \zeta_{2}\right. \\
& \left.-f g_{2}\left(\left[\zeta_{2}, X_{2}\right], \zeta_{2}\right) \nabla f, Y\right) \\
= & g_{1}\left(D_{\left[\zeta_{1}, X_{1}\right]}^{1} \zeta_{1}, Y_{1}\right)+f \zeta_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(\left[\zeta_{2}, X_{2}\right], Y_{2}\right)+f\left[\zeta_{1}, X_{1}\right](f) g_{2}\left(\zeta_{2}, Y_{2}\right) \\
& +f^{2} g_{2}\left(D_{\left[\zeta_{2}, X_{2}\right]}^{2} \zeta_{2}, Y_{2}\right)-f g_{2}\left(\left[\zeta_{2}, X_{2}\right], \zeta_{2}\right) Y_{1}(f) \\
= & g_{1}\left(D_{\left[\zeta_{1}, X_{1}\right]}^{1} \zeta_{1}, Y_{1}\right)+f^{2} g_{2}\left(D_{\left[\zeta_{2}, X_{2}\right]}^{2} \zeta_{2}, Y_{2}\right)+f \zeta_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(\left[\zeta_{2}, X_{2}\right], Y_{2}\right) \\
& +f g_{2}\left(\zeta_{2}, Y_{2}\right)\left[\zeta_{1}, X_{1}\right](f)-f g_{2}\left(\left[\zeta_{2}, X_{2}\right], \zeta_{2}\right) Y_{1}(f) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Exchanging $X$ and $Y$ we get the fourth term $T_{4}$ and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{3}+T_{4}= & g_{1}\left(D_{\left[\zeta_{1}, X_{1}\right]}^{1} \zeta_{1}, Y_{1}\right)+g_{1}\left(D_{\left[\zeta_{1}, Y_{1}\right]}^{1} \zeta_{1}, X_{1}\right)+f^{2} g_{2}\left(D_{\left[\zeta_{2}, X_{2}\right]}^{2} \zeta_{2}, Y_{2}\right) \\
& +f^{2} g_{2}\left(D_{\left[\zeta_{2}, Y_{2}\right]}^{2} \zeta_{2}, X_{2}\right)+f \zeta_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(\left[\zeta_{2}, X_{2}\right], Y_{2}\right)+f g_{2}\left(\zeta_{2}, Y_{2}\right)\left[\zeta_{1}, X_{1}\right](f) \\
& -f Y_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(\left[\zeta_{2}, X_{2}\right], \zeta_{2}\right)+f \zeta_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(\left[\zeta_{2}, Y_{2}\right], X_{2}\right)+f g_{2}\left(\zeta_{2}, X_{2}\right)\left[\zeta_{1}, Y_{1}\right](f) \\
& -f X_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(\left[\zeta_{2}, Y_{2}\right], \zeta_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The last term $T_{5}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1 / 2) T_{5}= & g\left(D_{X} \zeta, D_{Y} \zeta\right) \\
= & g\left(D_{X_{1}}^{1} \zeta_{1}+\frac{1}{f} \zeta_{1}(f) X_{2}+\frac{1}{f} X_{1}(f) \zeta_{2}+D_{X_{2}}^{2} \zeta_{2}-f g_{2}\left(X_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right) \nabla f,\right. \\
& \left.\times D_{Y_{1}}^{1} \zeta_{1}+\frac{1}{f} \zeta_{1}(f) Y_{2}+\frac{1}{f} Y_{1}(f) \zeta_{2}+D_{Y_{2}}^{2} \zeta_{2}-f g_{2}\left(Y_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right) \nabla f\right) \\
= & g_{1}\left(D_{X_{1}}^{1} \zeta_{1}, D_{Y_{1}}^{1} \zeta_{1}\right)-f g_{2}\left(Y_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right)\left(D_{X_{1}}^{1} \zeta_{1}\right)(f)+\zeta_{1}(f) \zeta_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right) \\
& +\zeta_{1}(f) Y_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(X_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right)+f \zeta_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(X_{2}, D_{Y_{2}}^{2} \zeta_{2}\right) \\
& +\zeta_{1}(f) X_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(\zeta_{2}, Y_{2}\right)+X_{1}(f) Y_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(\zeta_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right)+f X_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(\zeta_{2}, D_{Y_{2}}^{2} \zeta_{2}\right) \\
& +f \zeta_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(D_{X_{2}}^{2} \zeta_{2}, Y_{2}\right)+f Y_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(D_{X_{2}}^{2} \zeta_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right)+f^{2} g_{2}\left(D_{X_{2}}^{2} \zeta_{2}, D_{Y_{2}}^{2} \zeta_{2}\right) \\
& -f g_{2}\left(X_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right)\left(D_{Y_{1}}^{1} \zeta_{1}\right)(f)+f^{2} g_{2}\left(X_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right) g_{2}\left(Y_{2}, \zeta_{2}\right) g_{1}(\nabla f, \nabla f) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathcal{L}_{\zeta} \mathcal{L}_{\zeta} g\right)(X, Y)= & \left(\mathcal{L}_{\zeta_{1}}^{1} \mathcal{L}_{\zeta_{1}}^{1} g_{1}\right)\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)+f^{2}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\zeta_{2}}^{2} \mathcal{L}_{\zeta_{2}}^{2} g_{2}\right)\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right) \\
& +4 f \zeta_{1}(f)\left(\mathcal{L}_{\zeta_{2}}^{2} g_{2}\right)\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right)+2 f \zeta_{1}\left(\zeta_{1}(f)\right) g_{2}\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right) \\
& +2 \zeta_{1}(f) \zeta_{1}(f) g_{2}\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Appendix B. Space-Time Example

In this section we deal with a standard static space-time of the form $I_{f} \times \mathbb{R}$. Using Proposition 2.1, one can establish the following
(1) $\nabla_{\partial_{x}} \partial_{x}=0$,
(2) $\nabla_{\partial_{t}} \partial_{x}=\nabla_{\partial_{x}} \partial_{t}=\partial_{x}(\ln f) \partial_{t}=\frac{f^{\prime}}{f} \partial_{t}$ and
(3) $\nabla_{\partial_{t}} \partial_{t}=f f^{\prime} \partial_{x}$
on the warped product manifold $I_{f} \times \mathbb{R}$. It is clear that

$$
\left[\bar{\zeta}, \partial_{t}\right]=-\dot{u} \partial_{t}, \quad\left[\bar{\zeta}, \partial_{x}\right]=-v^{\prime} \partial_{x}
$$

Also, we have

$$
\nabla_{\partial_{t}} \bar{\zeta}=u f f^{\prime} \partial_{x}+\frac{1}{f}\left(\dot{u} f+v f^{\prime}\right) \partial_{t}, \quad \nabla_{\partial_{x}} \bar{\zeta}=v^{\prime} \partial_{x}+\frac{1}{f}\left(u f^{\prime}\right) \partial_{t}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\bar{\zeta}} \nabla_{\partial_{t}} \bar{\zeta}= & {\left[u v f f^{\prime \prime}+2 u v f^{\prime 2}+2 u \dot{u} f f^{\prime}\right] \partial_{x} } \\
& +\frac{1}{f}\left[v^{2} f^{\prime \prime}+v v^{\prime} f^{\prime}+v \dot{u} f^{\prime}-u^{2} f f^{\prime 2}+u \ddot{u} f\right] \partial_{t}, \\
\nabla_{\bar{\zeta}} \nabla_{\partial_{x}} \bar{\zeta}= & \left(v v^{\prime \prime}+u^{2} f^{\prime 2}\right) \partial_{x}+\frac{1}{f}\left(u \dot{u} f^{\prime}+u v^{\prime} f^{\prime}+u v f^{\prime \prime}\right) \partial_{t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla_{\left[\bar{\zeta}, \partial_{t}\right]} \bar{\zeta}=-u \dot{u} f f^{\prime} \partial_{x}-\frac{1}{f}\left(\dot{u} v f^{\prime}+\dot{u}^{2} f\right) \partial_{t}, \\
& \nabla_{\left[\bar{\zeta}, \partial_{x}\right]} \bar{\zeta}=-v^{\prime 2} \partial_{x}-\frac{1}{f}\left(u v^{\prime} f^{\prime}\right) \partial_{t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we can evaluate 2-Killing forms on $I_{f} \times \mathbb{R}$ as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{\zeta}} \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar{\zeta}} g\right)\left(\partial_{x}, \partial_{x}\right)=2\left[v v^{\prime \prime}+2 v^{\prime 2}\right] \\
& \left(\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\zeta}} \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\zeta}} g\right)\left(\partial_{t}, \partial_{x}\right)=0, \\
& \left(\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\zeta}} \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\zeta}} g\right)\left(\partial_{x}, \partial_{t}\right)=0, \\
& \left(\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\zeta}} \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\zeta}} g\right)\left(\partial_{t}, \partial_{t}\right)=-2 f^{2}\left[u \ddot{u}+2 \dot{u}^{2}\right]-2\left[v^{2} f f^{\prime \prime}+v v^{\prime} f f^{\prime}\right]-8 \dot{u} v f f^{\prime}-2 v^{2} f^{\prime 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

which is what we have done before.
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