PTPRD is Homozygously Deleted and Epigenetically Downregulated in Human Hepatocellular Carcinomas

Tolga Acun,^{(1),2,*} Kubilay Demir,^{2,(3),*} Emin Oztas,⁴ Diego Arango,⁵ and M. Cengiz Yakicier⁶

Abstract

PTPRD (protein tyrosine phosphatase, receptor type, D) is a tumor suppressor gene, frequently inactivated through deletions or epigenetic mechanisms in several cancers with importance for global health. In this study, we provide new and functionally integrated evidence on genetic and epigenetic alterations of *PTPRD* gene in hepatocellular carcinomas (HCCs). Importantly, HCC is the sixth most common malignancy and the third most common cause of cancer-related mortality worldwide. We used a high throughput single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) microarray assay (Affymetrix, 10K2.0 Assay) covering the whole genome to screen an extensive panel of HCC cell lines (N = 14 in total) to detect DNA copy number changes. *PTPRD* expression was determined in human HCCs by Q-RT-PCR and immunohistochemistry. Promoter hypermethylation was assessed by combined bisulfite restriction analysis (COBRA). DNA methyl transferase inhibitor 5-azacytidine (5-AzaC) and/or histone deacetylase inhibitor Trichostain A (TSA) were used to restore the expression. We identified homozygous deletions in Mahlavu and SNU475 cells, in the 5'UTR and coding regions, respectively. PTPRD mRNA expression was downregulated in 78.5% of cell lines and 82.6% of primary HCCs. PTPRD protein expression was also found to be lost or reduced in HCC tumor tissues. We found promoter hypermethylation in 22.2% of the paired HCC samples and restored PTPRD expression by 5-AzaC and/or TSA treatments. In conclusion, *PTPRD* is homozygously deleted and epigenetically downregulated in HCCs. We hypothesize PTPRD as a tumor suppressor candidate and potential cancer biomarker in human HCCs. This hypothesis is consistent with compelling evidences in other organ systems, as discussed in this article. Further functional assays in larger samples may ascertain the contribution of PTPRD to hepatocarcinogenesis in greater detail, not to forget its broader importance for diagnostic medicine and the emerging field of personalized medicine in oncology.

Introduction

HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA (HCC) is the sixth most common malignancy and the third most common cause of cancer-related mortality worldwide (Ferlay et al., 2010). HCC is also the most common type of liver cancer and accounts for 85%–90% of primary liver cancers (El-Serag et al., 2007). Various genetic/epigenetic aberrations and several chromosomal regions with recurrent gains and losses have been reported in HCC (Farazi et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2014; Midorikawa et al., 2006) but the molecular mechanisms underlying the hepatocarcinogenic process are not completely understood.

Chromosomal deletions and amplifications are large structural changes that may harbor genes regulating cell proliferation, apoptosis, genome stability, angiogenesis, invasion, and metastasis (Albertson et al., 2003). Such aberrations can be monitored with large-scale genomic and molecular genetic technologies, including single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) microarrays (Heinrichs et al., 2007). SNP arrays are high-resolution cytogenetic tools that provide copy number alterations, including loss-of-heterozygosity events (Pfeifer et al., 2007).

¹Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Bülent Ecevit University, Zonguldak, Turkey.

²Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Bilkent University, Ankara, Turkey.

³Stem Cell Biology and Regenerative Medicine, School of Medicine, Stanford University, Stanford, California.

⁴Department of Medical Histology and Embryology, Gülhane Military Medical Academy, Ankara, Turkey.

⁵Group of Molecular Oncology, CIBBIM-Nanomedicine, Vall d'Hebron University Hospital Research Institute (VHIR), Universitat Autonoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain.

⁶Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Acıbadem University, Istanbul, Turkey.

^{*}These authors contributed equally to this work.

⁽⁾ Parentheses indicate author's present address.

PTPRD IN HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMAS

In order to find novel chromosomal abnormalities at a higher resolution, we performed a microarray screen in a panel of 14 HCC lines. Our SNP array analysis revealed many chromosomal aberrations, including previously identified regions (Biden et al., 1997). One of the most remarkable aberrations was a homozygous deletion at chromosome 9p23 harboring *PTPRD* gene. *PTPRD* is one of the 21 human receptor-type protein tyrosine phosphatases (PTPs) and maps to 9p23–24.1. PTPs constitute a large family having a total of 107 members with crucial regulatory roles in the cellular metabolism, and their deregulation has been linked to tumorigenesis (Julien et al., 2011).

Tumor suppressor activity of *PTPRD* was first suggested by Urushibara et al. (1998) who showed selective downregulation of *PTPRD* mRNAs in rat primary hepatomas and HepG2 hepatoblastoma cell line. Tumor suppressive properties of *PTPRD* and its prognostic biomarker potential were also reported in glioblastoma multiforme (GBM), melanoma, and gastric adenocarcinoma (Solomon et al., 2008; Veeriah et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2014). PTPRD dephosphorylates and inactivates STAT3 oncoprotein that regulates cell cycle progression, apoptosis, and survival mechanisms (Bowman et al., 2000; Veeriah et al., 2009). Recently, it was reported by an *in vivo* study that allelic loss of *PTPRD* leads to Stat3 hyperactivation in GBM (Ortiz et al., 2014). PTPRD was also shown to dephosphorylate and destabilize Aurora kinase A (AURKA) oncoprotein in neuroblastomas (Meehan et al., 2012).

Homozygous deletions of *PTPRD* were reported in lung cancer (1%–11%) (Kohno et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2005), cutaneous squamous cell carcinomas (SCC) (17%) (Purdie et al., 2007), neuroblastoma (12%–50%) (Nair et al., 2008; Stallings et al., 2006), melanoma (9%) (Stark et al., 2007), GBM (33%) (Solomon et al., 2008), laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma (LSCC) (20%) (Giefing et al., 2011), and recently in human HCC samples (1%–17%) (Guichard et al., 2012; Nalesnik et al., 2012).

Somatic mutations of *PTPRD* were also shown in various cancers with the rate of 4%–21.5% (Sjoblom et al., 2006; Solomon et al., 2008; Veeriah et al., 2009; Walia et al., 2014; Weir et al., 2007). In the case of HCC, mutation rates are very low (1.4%–4%) (Bamford et al., 2004; Guichard et al., 2012). Furthermore, *PTPRD* is also silenced frequently by promoter hypermethylation in colon cancer (50%), GBM (37%), squamous cell of head and neck (25%), and breast cancer (20%) (Veeriah et al., 2009).

Although it is widely accepted that *PTPRD* functions as a tumor suppressor gene, its involvement in hepatocarcinogenesis has not been investigated. In this study, we examined the role of *PTPRD* in HCC pathogenesis by evaluating its expression, as well as genetic and epigenetic alterations. Here, we demonstrate that *PTPRD* is homozygously deleted and significantly downregulated in human HCCs. According to our analysis, this downregulation occurs through the promoter hypermethylation and/or histone deacetylation. Our results indicate that genetic and epigenetic alterations of *PTPRD* may play a role in HCC development.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines and patient samples

HCC-derived cell lines; SNU449, Focus, SNU182, SNU475, Mahlavu (MV), SNU398, SNU423, SK-Hep1, SNU387, Hep40, Huh7, PLC/PRF5 (PLC), Hep3B and hepatoblastoma-derived cell line, HepG2, were maintained as described (Acun et al., 2011). 32 pairs of HCC and tumor-adjacent normal archival DNA samples had previously been described (Ozturk et al., 1991). TissueScan Liver Cancer Tissue qPCR Panel I (Cat. No: LVRT501) was purchased from Origene Technologies (Rockville, MD). QPCR panel contains pre-normalized cDNAs obtained from 48 liver samples consisting of 8 tumor-adjacent normal, 23 HCC (grades I, II, IIIA, IV), 3 cholangiocarcinoma, 1 adenoma, and 13 nontumor lesions of the liver. (Supplementary Table S1; supplementary material is available online at www.liebertpub.com/omi). Liver Tumor Tissue Array (Cat. No: Z7020060) was purchased from BioChain (BioChain Institute, Inc., Hayward, CA) that had 96 cores covering 36 cases of all common types of liver cancers and 12 cases of normal cases and other nonmalignant liver tissues with duplicates (Supplementary Table S2). Normal liver DNA sample was purchased from BioServe (Beltsville, MD, USA).

SNP microarray assay

We used 10 K 2.0 SNP microarrays (Affymetrix, 10K2.0 Assay) that contains ~ 10 K SNP markers covering the whole genome with a mean physical inter-marker distance of 0.2 MB. Probe preparation for SNP microarray hybridization experiments were done according to manufacturer's manual. Detailed information of this method was supplied in supplementary data (Supplementary Method S1).

Microarray analysis

Primary analysis (e.g., quality control metrics, SNP calling, etc.) were performed with Affymetrix GCOS and GDAS software bundle. Secondary analysis (e.g., intensity normalization, background correction, etc.) were performed to reveal chromosomal abnormalities by using dCHIP-Analyzer (Li et al., 2001). Model-based expression was selected with perfect match-mismatch background correction. Normalization was performed according to the median chip intensity using invariant set and smoothed with running median method. Hidden Markov Model and median smoothing were used in inferred copy number analysis.

Multiplex semi-quantitative RT-PCR

Total RNA isolation from the HCC cell lines and following cDNA synthesis were performed by NucleoSpin RNA II (Macherey-Nagel, Germany) and Revert Aid (Fermentas, Lithuania) kits, respectively, according to the manufacturer's instructions. Multiplex semi-quantitative RT-PCR was performed with *PTPRD*-specific PTPRD-MSQ-F/PTPRD-MSQ-R and *GAPDH* (glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase)-specific GAPDH-MSQ-F/ GAPDH-MSQ-R primer pairs (Supplementary Table S3).

Quantitative real-time RT-PCR (Q-RT-PCR)

Relative quantification of *PTPRD* transcripts was performed with SYBR Green qPCR kit (Finnzymes DyNAmoTM HS; Finnzymes, Finland) on Stratagene MX3005PTM realtime RT-PCR system (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) as previously described (Acun et al., 2011). PTPRD-QRT-F/PTPRD-QRT-R primer pair was used with cDNAs from HCC cell lines and liver cancer qPCR panel (Supplementary Table S1). *GAPDH* and *TBP* (TATA box binding protein) genes were used as internal controls (Fu et al., 2009; Gur-Dedeoglu et al., 2009) (Supplementary Table S3). Modified $\Delta\Delta$ Ct formula was used to measure the relative levels of *PTPRD* transcripts (Acun et al., 2011; Pfaffl et al., 2001). Statistical analysis were performed by Student's *t-test*.

Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was performed on human liver tumor tissue array (Supplementary Table S2) by using DAKO Universal Dako LSAB[®]+Kit (Code; K0690) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The intensity of PTPRD staining for each sample was scored as previously described (Chen et al., 2006; Oztas et al., 2010). Statistical analysis were performed by Student's *t-test*. Detailed information of this method was supplied in supplementary data (Supplementary Method S2).

Mutation screening

Genomic PCR was applied with two sets of overlapping primers in order to amplify 20th exon (Supplementary Table S3). PCR₉₆ Cleanup Kit (Millipore, Billerica, MA) was used to purify PCR products that were then directly sequenced by a sequencing service company; Iontek (Istanbul, Turkey). Mutation analysis was performed with Mutation Surveyor software package (v 3.10, SoftGenetics, LLC, State College, PA).

5-Azacytidine (5-AzaC) and Trichostatin A (TSA) treatments

5-AzaC (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and TSA (Sigma-Aldrich) treatments were performed as described previously (Acun et al., 2011). In brief, 3×10^5 cells/well were seeded in 6-well plates. After 24 h, 5-AzaC (2.5 μ M) treatment was done for 96 h. TSA treatment (1 μ M) was performed in the last 24 h either alone or in combination with 5-AzaC. Medium and 5-AzaC were replenished every 24 h. At the end of treatment, cells were harvested for DNA and RNA isolations.

Sodium bisulfite treatment and combined bisulfite restriction analysis (COBRA)

Qiagen DNeasy Tissue kit (Hilden, Germany) was used to extract genomic DNA from cell lines. Genomic DNAs were bisulfite treated by Epigentek MethylampTM DNA Modification Kit (Brooklyn, NY) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Nested primer pairs were designed in order to amplify the part of *PTPRD* promoter region containing CpG island (Supplementary Table S3 and Supplementary Fig. S1). Amplicons of ptprdBi2F/ptprdBi1R primer pair were restriction digested with *Bst*UI (New England BioLabs, Ipswich, MA) to analyze methylation status, as previously described (Xiong et al., 1997).

Results

SNP Microarray and genomic PCR analysis of 14 HCC cell lines identified homozygous deletions in the PTPRD locus

Our SNP array screening identified a homozygously deleted region in the Mahlavu cell line. This deleted region (~ 1 Megabase) was spanned by 6 SNPs and mapped to the 5'UTR of *PTPRD* (Fig. 1). We then asked whether other focal deletions existed that were not covered by the SNPs of the microarray. Genomic PCR analysis that uses intronic primers flanking each coding exon revealed another deletion region in



FIG. 1. Homozygous deletion in Mahlavu cell line revealed by the SNP array is located in 5'UTR region of *PTPRD* gene, between exon 4–exon 9. CN, copy number; LOH, loss of heterozygosity. *Vertical lines* represent exons.



FIG. 2. Homozygous deletion in SNU475 cell line (between exon 24–exon 31) shown by genomic PCR. [(-) control]: DNA-free PCR mixture. *Vertical lines* represent exons.

SNU475 cell line between exons 24–31 (~40 Kilobase). All coding exons were successfully amplified in the remaining 13 HCC cell lines and normal liver sample (Fig. 2, Supplementary Table S3). ENST00000381196 (EnsEMBL release 59, GRCh37) is the Ensembl Transcript ID used for annotating the deletions (Flicek et al., 2012).

Mutation analysis of PTPRD in HCCs identified a SNP

According to the Sanger Institute COSMIC (Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer) database, exon 20 is the most mutated exon (14%) (Bamford et al., 2004). Moreover, three different mutations were recently described in this exon (Solomon et al., 2008). Therefore, we genotyped exon 20 in HCC cell lines and archival HCC samples. We identified a SNP (rs10977171, Q447E) in SNU182 HCC cell line and in one HCC sample (C12) (Supplementary Fig. S2).

PTPRD expression is downregulated in HCC cell lines

We measured *PTPRD* mRNA expression in 14 HCC cell lines by Q-RT-PCR (Fig. 3). *PTPRD* transcript was found to be low in Mahlavu, PLC, SNU398, SNU475, and almost undetectable in Focus, HepG2, Hep3B, Huh7, SNU182, SNU387, and SNU423 cells. Hep40, SK-Hep1, and SNU449 cells showed robust *PTPRD* mRNA expression. These results suggested that *PTPRD* expression is negatively regulated in some HCC cells by as yet unidentified mechanisms.

PTPRD expression is reduced in human HCC samples

We expanded our analysis to clinical samples and investigated *PTPRD* mRNA levels in a panel of HCC samples by Q-RT-PCR. We found strong reduction of *PTPRD* expression in 19 of 23 (82.6%) primary HCCs (GI, GII, GIIIA, GIV)



FIG. 3. Q-RT-PCR analysis of *PTPRD* in HCC cell lines. *GAPDH* was used as an internal control. Experiments were conducted twice, and standard deviations are indicated.

2 1 0 Relative mRNA Levels -1 -2 -3 -4 -5 -6 -7 C9 C10 C11 C12 D1 D4 D5 D6 D7 D8 D9 D10 D11 D12 E1 E2 E3 E4 E5 E6 E7 E8 E9 GIV GI GII GIIIA

FIG. 4. *PTPRD* expression in HCC tumors relative to normal tissues was analyzed by Q-RT-PCR. Relative mRNA levels normalized to TBP are represented. Patients are grouped depending on tumor stage (GI-GIV). Clinicopathological characteristics of patients (C9-E9) are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

compared to eight normal liver tissues from the same panel (P value = 0.013; Fig. 4).

PTPRD protein is missed or downregulated in HCCs

We performed immunohistochemistry in the human liver tumor tissue arrays to investigate if the PTPRD protein levels were also lower in tumor samples (Fig. 5, Supplementary Table S2). PTPRD expression was localized to the cytoplasm in all cases and differential expression was observed between tumoral and non-tumoral liver tissues. Most of the grade III tumor cases (85.7%) failed to express the PTPRD protein. On the other hand, all non-tumoral liver tissues stained either moderately (33.3%) or strongly (66.7%) (Fig. 5 and Table 1).

PTPRD promoter is methylated in primary HCC samples

We sought CpG islands in the promoter region of *PTPRD* (Veeriah et al., 2009) by using MethPrimer software (Li et al., 2002) and described a CpG island near transcription initiation site (Fig. 6). Then, we analyzed this CpG island in archival HCC samples by COBRA method to determine its methylation level (Supplementary Fig. S1). In 6 out of 27 (22.2%) normal-tumor pairs, tumors had high level of methylation (Fig. 7, Supplementary Fig. S3).

PTPRD expression is restored by 5-AzaC and TSA

The Hep3B cell line has no described PTPRD locus deletions or hot-spot mutations, but it has lower PTPRD expression at the mRNA level. A low expression pattern could be due to epigenetic mechanisms in the form of promoter hypermethylation and/or histone deacetylation (Cameron et al., 1999). To test this hypothesis, we treated cells with DNA methyl transferase inhibitor (5-AzaC) and/or histone deacetylase inhibitor (TSA) (Fig. 8) and successfully restored the PTPRD expression in the treated cells. Besides, we observed similar results in PLC cells (data not shown).

Discussion

In this study, we examined the putative DNA copy number changes in the genomic DNAs of 14 HCC cell lines using SNP microarrays in order to identify genetic loci that are involved in hepatocarcinogenesis. We observed several copy number gains (on chromosomes 8, 9, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 22, and X) and three homozygous deletions (on chromosomes 9, 13, and X). We excluded LOH profiles based on genotype calls due to the cell line's nature. The cell lines used in this study were hyperploid; therefore using genotyping calls as a qualitative marker would be erroneous.

There were two distinct interstitial regions of deletions on the short arm of chromosome 9 localized at 9p22.1–p21.2 and 9p23. The 9p22.1–p21.2 region harbors important tumor suppressor locus of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2 (CDKN2) that has been shown to be frequently inactivated in HCC (Biden et al., 1997). Concerning 9p23, PTPRD localizes at this region that is frequently deleted in various tumors (Giefing et al., 2011; Guichard et al., 2012; Kohno et al., 2010; Nair et al., 2008; Nalesnik et al., 2012; Purdie et al., 2007; Solomon et al., 2008; Stallings et al., 2006; Stark et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2005). Interestingly, a recent *in vivo* study has shown that heterozygous loss of Ptprd is sufficient to promote tumorigenesis, and co-deletion of Ptprd and Cdkn2a accelerate tumorigenesis in mice (Ortiz et al., 2014).

Our results provide a comprehensive genome-wide copynumber profile of the most commonly used 14 HCC cell lines and showed deletions in 2 out of 14 HCC cell lines, Mahlavu and SNU475, in 5'UTR and coding region, respectively (Fig. 1, Fig. 2). The Sanger CONAN (Copy Number Analysis) database showed the same exonic deletion in SNU475 cell line, but among the 14 HCC cell lines that we analyzed, seven cell lines (Mahlavu, SNU398, Focus, SNU182, Hep40, HepG2, and Hep3B) are absent in this database (Bamford et al., 2004). Recently, two deletions were described in an intronic region (between exons 24-25) and 5'UTR region (between exons 7-9), in 17% and 0.08% of HCC samples, respectively (Guichard et al., 2012; Nalesnik et al., 2012).

Since many tumor suppressor genes are silenced during carcinogenesis, we investigated PTPRD mRNA levels in HCC cell lines and found low or undetectable expression in 11 out of 14 cell lines (Fig. 3). We than extended this study to clinical samples and observed that 82.6% primary HCCs were displaying low PTPRD mRNA expression. Our results





FIG. 5. PTPRD protein expression in human liver tissues. Representative photographs from PTPRD immunohistochemistry study performed in non-tumoral and tumoral human liver tissues. Normal liver tissue shows strong, uniform cytoplasmic staining (*brown*) throughout the tissue. Expression was lost in tumoral liver tissues (grade II and III) (Scale Bars: $100 \ \mu$ m).

TABLE 1. IMMUNOSTAINING OF HUMAN LIVER TISSUES WITH PTPRD ANTIBOD)Y
---	----

Pathological diagnosis	PTPRD expression (staining intensity)			
	(–) Negative	+ Weak	++ Moderate	+++ Strong
Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) $(n=25)$ HCC grade I $(n=6)$ HCC grade II $(n=12)$ HCC grade III $(n=7)$	2 (16.7%) 6 (85.7%)	2 (16.7%)	8 (66.7%) 1 (14.3%)	6 (100%)
Clear cell carcinoma $(n=3)$ Bile duct carcinoma $(n=4)$ Metastatic adenocarcinoma $(n=3)$ Undifferentiated sarcoma $(n=1)$	1 (33.3%) 4 (100%) 3 (100%) 1 (100%)	1 (33.3%)		1 (33.3%)
Non-tumoral liver tissues $(n=12)$ Normal liver $(n=3)$ Chronic viral hepatitis $(n=1)$ Cellular swelling $(n=2)$ Viral subfulminant hepatitis $(n=2)$ Viral hepatitis related cirrhosis $(n=3)$ Hemangioma $(n=1)$			2 (100%) 1 (50%) 1 (33.3%)	3 (100%) 1 (100%) 1 (50%) 2 (66.7%) 1 (100%)

Staining intensities expressed as (-): negative, +: weak, ++: moderate, +++: strong. Numbers represent counts of stained cases in each group.



FIG. 6. CpG island at promoter region of the *PTPRD* gene is shown as *light blue area* in the graph. Primers used for the analysis of methylation status are indicated as *arrows. Red vertical lines* denote CpG sites, *numbered boxes* denote exons, and "TIS" denotes the transcriptional start site.



FIG. 7. Methylation analysis of *PTPRD* promoter region by COBRA. Photograph is representative of COBRA method results. Promoter region was amplified and Normal–Tumor paired amplicons were cut with *Bst*UI restriction enzyme. M, marker; N, normal; T, tumor.



FIG. 8. Treatment with 5-AzaC and/or TSA restored *PTPRD* expression in Hep3B cell line. Multiplex semi-quantitative RT-PCR results showing restoration of *PTPRD* mRNA expression in Hep3B cell line after 5'-AzaC (2.5μ M) and/or TSA (1μ M) treatment. SK-Hep1 cell line was included as a positive control of *PTPRD* expression. *GAPDH* was used as an internal control. M, marker (bp); [(-)], DNA-free PCR mixture.

show that downregulation of *PTPRD* was not restricted to the cell lines but also occurred *in vivo* (Fig. 4).

Immunohistochemical analysis confirmed the reduction of PTPRD in HCCs at the protein level. There is a significant difference between normal (non-tumoral) and HCC samples according to their PTPRD expression profile (Pvalue = 0.0002; Table 1). Moreover, there is a tendency towards a lower expression of PTPRD in cancer samples with higher grades compare to lower grades, which may indicate PTPRD's prognostic value in HCCs.

Although tumor suppressor genes are commonly inactivated by loss-of-function mutations in cancer, somatic *PTPRD* mutations in HCCs have been reported with very low rates (1.4%-4%) (Bamford et al., 2004; Guichard et al., 2012), which is consistent with our observation. Our targeted mutation analysis failed to detect somatic mutation in HCC cell lines and HCC samples.

Downregulation of tumor suppressor genes by epigenetic mechanisms is a common phenomena in cancer (Cameron et al., 1999). As somatic mutations were not frequent in HCCs, we reasoned the downregulation of *PTPRD* due to epigenetic silencing. First, we checked the methylation status of *PTPRD* promoter in HCC samples. Six out of 27 tumors (22.2%) displayed higher CpG methylation, suggesting that promoter methylation of *PTPRD* is a frequent event in HCCs (Fig. S3). Some non-tumoral counterparts of HCC samples also displayed methylation, which can be explained by the presence of precancerous lesions in these tissues. Moreover, *PTPRD* expression was successfully restored in Hep3B cell line after 5-AzaC and/or TSA treatments, providing an additional layer of evidence for epigenetic silencing (Fig. 8).

Thus, our data suggest that *PTPRD* promoter hypermethylation and histone deacetylation are associated with downregulation of the *PTPRD*.

Conclusions

PTPRD is homozygously deleted and epigenetically downregulated in human HCCs. Consequently, it seems reasonable to hypothesize that *PTPRD* expression may have potential clinical value, presumably as a prognostic marker. We anticipate that such questions will be a subject of future research with studies in larger samples. Compelling evidence that is present in other organ systems for a role of *PTPRD* inactivation strengthen the hypothesis suggesting *PTPRD* as a strong candidate for a tumor suppressor gene in HCC.

Acknowledgments

We thank Prof. Dr. Mehmet Ozturk for kindly providing patient samples used in methylation analysis. We also grateful to Assoc. Prof. Dr. A. Elif Erson Bensan for providing tissue microarrays that were used in immunohistochemistry studies. We also thank Prof. Dr. Ergun Pinarbasi for critically reading the manuscript. This work was supported by Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TÜBİTAK) Grants 107S174 (to MC Yakicier) and Turkish Council of Higher Education (YÖK) visiting scientist fellowship (to Tolga Acun).

Author contributions: TA designed and processed all the experiments except the SNP microarray study, participated in the design of the study, drafting the manuscript and data interpretation. KD designed and performed the SNP microarray

study, analyzed and interpreted the array data, confirmed hit regions, participated in drafting the manuscript. EO and DA designed and participated in the IHC study, interpreted the IHC results, and participated in drafting the manuscript. MCY initiated and coordinated the whole study, participated in the design of the study, drafting the manuscript and data interpretation. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Author Disclosure Statement

The authors declare that they have no competing financial interests.

References

- Acun T, Oztas E, Yagci T, and Yakicier MC. (2011). *SIP1* is downregulated in hepatocellular carcinoma by promoter hypermethylation. BMC Cancer 11, 223.
- Albertson DG, Collins C, McCormick F, and Gray JW. (2003). Chromosome aberrations in solid tumors. Nat Genet 34, 369–376.
- Bamford S, Dawson E, Forbes S, et al. (2004). The COSMIC (Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer) database and website. Br J Cancer 91, 355–358.
- Biden K, Young J, Buttenshaw R, et al. (1997). Frequency of mutation and deletion of the tumor suppressor gene CDKN2A (MTS1/p16) in hepatocellular carcinoma from an Australian population. Hepatology 25, 593–597.
- Bowman T, Garcia R, Turkson J, and Jov R. (2000). STATs in oncogenesis. Oncogene 19, 2474–2488.
- Cameron EE, Bachman KE, Myohanen S, Herman JG, and Baylin SB. (1999). Synergy of demethylation and histone deacetylase inhibition in the re-expression of genes silenced in cancer. Nat Genet 21, 103–107.
- Chen CL, Hsieh FC, and Lin J. (2006). Systemic evaluation of total Stat3 and Stat3 tyrosine phosphorylation in normal human tissues. Exp Mol Pathol 80, 295–305.
- El-Serag HB, and Rudolph KL. (2007). Hepatocellular carcinoma: Epidemiology and molecular carcinogenesis. Gastroenterology 132, 2557–2576.
- Farazi PA, and DePinho RA. (2006). The genetic and environmental basis of hepatocellular carcinoma. Discov Med 6, 182–186.
- Ferlay J, Shin HR, Bray F, Forman D, Mathers C, and Parkin DM. (2010). Estimates of worldwide burden of cancer in 2008: GLOBOCAN 2008. Int J Cancer 127, 2893–2917.
- Flicek P, Amode MR, Barrell D, et al. (2012). Ensembl 2012. Nucleic Acids Res 40, 84–90.
- Fu LY, Jia HL, Dong QZ, et al. (2009). Suitable reference genes for real-time PCR in human HBV-related hepatocellular carcinoma with different clinical prognoses. BMC Cancer 9, 49.
- Giefing M, Zemke N, Brauze D, et al. (2011). High resolution ArrayCGH and expression profiling identifies *PTPRD* and *PCDH17/PCH68* as tumor suppressor gene candidates in laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 50, 154–166.
- Guichard C, Amaddeo G, Imbeaud S, et al. (2012). Integrated analysis of somatic mutations and focal copy-number changes identifies key genes and pathways in hepatocellular carcinoma. Nature Genetics 44, 694–698.
- Gur-Dedeoglu B, Konu O, Bozkurt B, Ergul G, Seckin S, and Yulug IG. (2009). Identification of endogenous reference

genes for qRT-PCR analysis in normal matched breast tumor tissues. Oncol Res 17, 353–365.

- Heinrichs S, and Look AT. (2007). Identification of structural aberrations in cancer by SNP array analysis. Genome Biol 8, 219.
- Julien SG, Dubé N, Hardy S, and Tremblay ML. (2011). Inside the human cancer tyrosine phosphatome. Nature Rev Cancer 11, 35–49.
- Kohno T, Otsuka A, Girard L, et al. (2010). A catalog of genes homozygously deleted in human lung cancer and the candidacy of *PTPRD* as a tumor suppressor gene. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 49, 342–352.
- Li C, and Wong WH. (2001). Model-based analysis of oligonucleotide arrays: Expression index computation and outlier detection. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 98, 31–36.
- Li LC, and Dahiya R. (2002). MethPrimer: Designing primers for methylation PCRs. Bioinformatics 18, 1427–1431.
- Liu M, Jiang L, and Guan XY. (2014). The genetic and epigenetic alterations in human hepatocellular carcinoma: A recent update. Protein Cell 5, 673–691.
- Meehan M, Parthasarathi L, Moran N, et al. (2012). Protein tyrosine phosphatase receptor delta acts as a neuroblastoma tumor suppressor by destabilizing the aurora kinase a oncogene. Mol Cancer 11, 6.
- Midorikawa Y, Yamamoto S, Ishikawa S, et al. (2006). Molecular karyotyping of human hepatocellular carcinoma using single-nucleotide polymorphism arrays. Oncogene 25, 5581– 5590.
- Nair P, De Preter K, Vandesompele J, Speleman F, and Stallings RL. (2008). Aberrant splicing of the *PTPRD* gene mimics microdeletions identified at this locus in neuroblastomas. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 47, 197–202.
- Nalesnik MA, Tseng G, Ding Y, et al. (2012). Gene deletions and amplifications in human hepatocellular carcinomas. Correlation with hepatocyte growth regulation. Am J Pathol 180, 1495–1508.
- Ortiz B, Fabius AWM, Wu WH, et al. (2014). Loss of the tyrosine phosphatase PTPRD leads to aberrant STAT3 activation and promotes gliomagenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 111, 8149–8154.
- Ortiz B, White JR, Wu WH, and Chan TA. (2014). Deletion of *Ptprd* and *Cdkn2a* cooperate to accelerate tumorigenesis. Oncotarget 5, 16.
- Oztas E, Avci ME, Ozcan A, Sayan AE, Tulchinsky E, and Yagci T. (2010). Novel monoclonal antibodies detect Smad-interacting protein 1 (SIP1) in the cytoplasm of human cells from multiple tumor tissue arrays. Exp Mol Pathol 89, 182–189.
- Ozturk M. (1991). p53 mutation in hepatocellular carcinoma after aflatoxin exposure. Lancet 338, 1356–1359.
- Pfaffl MW. (2001). A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR. Nucleic Acids Res 29, e45.
- Pfeifer D, Pantic M, Skatulla I, et al. (2007). Genome-wide analysis of DNA copy number changes and LOH in CLL using high-density SNP arrays. Blood 109, 1202–1210.
- Purdie KJ, Lambert SR, Teh MT, et al. (2007). Allelic imbalances and microdeletions affecting the *PTPRD* gene in cutaneous squamous cell carcinomas detected using single nucleotide polymorphism microarray analysis. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 46, 661–669.
- Sjoblom T, Jones S, Wood LD, et al. (2006). The consensus coding sequences of human breast and colorectal cancers. Science 314, 268–274.

PTPRD IN HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMAS

- Solomon DA, Kim JS, Cronin JC, et al. (2008). Mutational inactivation of PTPRD in glioblastoma multiforme and malignant melanoma. Cancer Res 68, 10300–10306.
- Stallings RL, Nair P, Maris JM, et al. (2006). High-resolution analysis of chromosomal breakpoints and genomic instability identifies *PTPRD* as a candidate tumor suppressor gene in neuroblastoma. Cancer Res 1:66, 3673–3680.
- Stark M, and Hayward N. (2007). Genome-wide loss of heterozygosity and copy number analysis in melanoma using high-density single-nucleotide polymorphism arrays. Cancer Res 67, 2632–2642.
- Urushibara N, Karasaki H, Nakamura K, Mizuno Y, Ogawa K, and Kikuchi K. (1998). The selective reduction in PTPdelta expression in hepatomas. Int J Oncol 12, 603–607.
- Veeriah S, Brennan C, Meng S, et al. (2009). The tyrosine phosphatase PTPRD is a tumor suppressor that is frequently inactivated and mutated in glioblastoma and other human cancers. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106, 9435–9440.
- Walia V, Prickett TD, Kim JS, et al. (2014). Mutational and functional analysis of the tumor-suppressor PTPRD in human melanoma. Hum Mutat 35, 1301–1310.
- Wang D, Wang L, Zhou J, et al. (2014). Reduced expression of *PTPRD* correlates with poor prognosis in gastric adenocarcinoma. Plos One 9, 11.
- Weir BA, Woo MS, Getz G, et al. (2007). Characterizing the cancer genome in lung adenocarcinoma. Nature 450, 893–898.
- Woodings JA, Sharp SJ, and Machesky LM. (2003). MIM-B, a putative metastasis suppressor protein, binds to actin and to protein tyrosine phosphatase δ . Biochem J 371, 463–471.

Xiong Z, and Laird PW. (1997). COBRA: A sensitive and quantitative DNA methylation assay. Nucleic Acids Res 25, 2532–2534.

Zhao X, Weir BA, LaFramboise T, et al. (2005). Homozygous deletions and chromosome amplifications in human lung carcinomas revealed by single nucleotide polymorphism array analysis. Cancer Res 65, 5561–5570.

Address correspondence to: Tolga Acun, PhD Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics Faculty of Arts and Sciences Bülent Ecevit University Zonguldak 67100 Turkey

E-mail: tolga.acun@beun.edu.tr

or

Kubilay Demir, PhD Stem Cell Biology and Regenerative Medicine School of Medicine Stanford University 265 Campus Drive, SIM1 Room G3115 Stanford, CA 94305

E-mail: kubilay@stanford.edu