

SIMULATION OF STEADY-STATE RESPONSE OF TIP-SAMPLE INTERACTION FOR A TORSIONAL CANTILEVER IN TAPPING MODE ATOMIC FORCE MICROSCOPY FOR MATERIAL CHARACTERIZATION IN NANOSCALE

A THESIS

SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING AND THE INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND SCIENCE OF BILKENT UNIVERSITY

IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS

FOR THE DEGREE OF

MASTER OF SCIENCE

By

Şeref Burak Selvi August 31, 2010 I certify that I have read this thesis and that in my opinion it is fully adequate, in scope and in quality, as a thesis for the degree of Master of Science.

Prof. Dr. Abdullah Atalar (Supervisor)

I certify that I have read this thesis and that in my opinion it is fully adequate, in scope and in quality, as a thesis for the degree of Master of Science.

Prof. Dr. Hayrettin Koymen

I certify that I have read this thesis and that in my opinion it is fully adequate, in scope and in quality, as a thesis for the degree of Master of Science.

Asst. Prof. Dr. Coskun Kocabas

Approved for the Institute of Engineering and Science:

Prof. Dr. Levent Onural Director of the Institute Engineering and Science

in loving memory of my family

ABSTRACT

SIMULATION OF STEADY-STATE RESPONSE OF TIP-SAMPLE INTERACTION FOR A TORSIONAL CANTILEVER IN TAPPING MODE ATOMIC FORCE MICROSCOPY FOR MATERIAL CHARACTERIZATION IN NANOSCALE

Şeref Burak Selvi M.S. in Electrical and Electronics Engineering Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Abdullah Atalar August 31, 2010

Dynamic atomic force microscopy (AFM) techniques involving multifrequency excitation or detection schemes offer improved compositional sensitivity and quantitative material property imaging. A correct interpretation of cantilever vibrations in multifrequency excitation and detection schemes demands an improved understanding of the effects of enhanced high frequency vibrations on the steady-state dynamics of the cantilever and in particular, on the tip-sample interaction force.

In this thesis, a simulation background is developed with proper modelling of tip-sample ensemble for accurate simulation of tip-sample interaction when multifrequency excitation and detection schemes are utilized. The simulation results are analyzed and used for material characterization. The tip-sample ensemble is modelled as a multiple degree of freedom system that includes torsional mode and higher order flexural modes of the cantilever. The nonlinear behavior of sample surface is also included in the model. This mechanical model is transformed into an electrical circuit and an electrical circuit simulator is used to find steady-state of the circuit. Thereby, a simulation of steady-state dynamics of multifrequency imaging schemes is achieved.

Using the developed simulation tool, the effect of torsional vibrations and higher order flexural vibrations on steady-state of tip-sample interaction is investigated. The tip trajectory and tip-sample interaction force are calculated for torsional harmonic cantilevers. The potential of torsional harmonic cantilevers in reconstruction of tip-sample interaction force for the quantitative estimation of material properties is verified. Change in amplitude of torsional harmonics with respect to elastic modulus (sensitivity) is investigated. It is shown that sensitivity of a particular torsional harmonic changes with sample stiffness and higher harmonics are more sensitive to change in stiffness. Additionally, a noise analysis of torsional harmonic cantilevers is made and included in the simulations. The tip-sample interaction force is recovered from the simulated torsional vibration signal and the effective elastic modulus of the sample is estimated. It is observed that accuracy of the estimation is affected by number of torsional harmonics used in the recovery of interaction force.

Keywords: Atomic force microscope, torsional harmonic cantilever, harmonic imaging, multifrequency imaging, tip-sample interaction, material characterization.

ÖZET

NANO ÖLÇEKTE MATERYAL KARAKTERİZASYONU İÇİN VURMA MODU ATOMİK KUVVET MİKROSKOBİSİNDE TORSİYONEL KALDIRAÇ KULLANILDIĞINDA UÇ-YÜZEY ETKİLEŞİMİNİN DENGE DURUMU DAVRANIŞININ SİMÜLASYONU

Şeref Burak Selvi Elektrik ve Elektronik Mühendisliği, Yüksek Lisans Tez Yöneticisi: Prof. Dr. Abdullah Atalar 31 Ağustos 2010

Çoklu frekansta eksitasyon ve deteksiyon yöntemlerini kullanan dinamik atomik kuvvet mikroskopi teknikleri normalden daha iyi madde kompozisyonu duyarlılığı sağlamakta ve materyal özelliklerinin kuantitatif olarak görüntülenmesini olanaklı kılmaktadır. Çoklu frekans eksitasyon ve deteksiyon yöntemleri kullanıldığında elde edilen kaldıraç titreşimlerinin doğru anlamlandırılabilmesi için güçlendirilmiş yüksek frekanslı titreşimlerin kaldıraç ve uç-yüzey kuvvetine etkisinin iyice anlaşılması gerekmektedir.

Bu tezde, çoklu eksitasyon ve deteksiyon yöntemleri kullanıldığı zaman uçyüzey sisteminin davranışının aslına uygun hesaplanabilmesi için bir simülasyon altyapısı oluşturuldu. Simülasyon sonuçları yüzeydeki maddelerin karakterizasyonu için kullanıldı. Uç-yüzey birlikteliği, kaldıracın torsiyonel modu ile yüksek fleksiyonel modlarının da hesaba katıldığı bir çoklu serbestlik derecesi sistemi olarak modellendi. Yüzeyin doğrusal olmayan (nonlineer) davranışına da modelde yer verildi. Mekaniksel bu model bir elektrik devresine transform edildi ve devrenin kararlı durumu devre simülatörü kullanılarak çözüldü. Bu sayede çoklu frekans görüntülemenin kararlı durumunun simülasyonu yapılmış oldu.

Geliştirilen simülasyon aracı kullanılarak torsiyonel titreşimlerin ve yüksek seviye fleksiyonel titreşimlerin uç-yüzey etkileşimine olan etkisi araştırıldı. Uç yörüngesi ve uç-yüzey etkileşim kuvveti torsiyonel kaldıraçlar için hesaplandı. Kuantitatif materyal karakterizasyonuna torsiyonel kaldıraçların muktedir olduğu sonuçlarla desteklendi. Yüzey sertliğine göre torsiyonel harmoniklerin büyüklüklerindeki değişim araştırıldı. Torsiyonel harmonikler yüzey sertliğine duyarlı olduğu ve bu duyarlılığın yüksek torsiyonel harmoniklere gidildikçe daha da arttığı görüldü. Bunlara ek olarak torsiyonel kaldıraçlar için gürültü analizi de yapıldı ve modele eklendi. Simülasyon sonucunda çıkan torsiyonel titreşim sinyali kullanılarak uç-yüzey etkileşim kuvveti hesaplandı ve bu hesaplanan sinyalden yüzey sertliği parametresi kestirildi. Kestirimin doğruluğunun etkileşim kuvvetini geri hesaplamada kullanılan torsiyonel harmonik sayısı ile doğru orantılı olduğu görüldü.

Anahtar sözcükler: Atomik kuvvet mikroskobu, torsiyonel harmonik kaldıraç, harmonik görüntüleme, çok frekanslı görüntüleme, uç-yüzey etkileşimi, materyal karakterizasyonu.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Dr.Abdullah Atalar for his supervision, guidance and encouragement through the development of this thesis. Besides the academic and ethic stance, I have grasped much about success, patience, humility, diligence, leadership, versatility and many more from him in the last three years. I believe that these tenets will brighten my way in the rest of my life.

I would like to thank to the members of my thesis jury for reading the manuscript and commenting on the thesis.

Endless thanks to officemates and group members Vahdettin Tas, Mehmet Deniz Aksoy, Kaan Oguz, Selim Olcum, Mehmet Niyazi Senlik and Elif Aydogdu for useful discussions. Many thanks to Kemal Gurel, Halil Ibrahim Sahin, Mursel Yildiz, Mehmet Can Kerse, Ahmet Emrah Sezgin, Fazli Kaybal, and Mert Vural for filling nonresearch times with full of fun.

I especially thank to my family for their support and love. Without the support of my parents, this work would never be possible. My mother Sengun and my father Yusuf have strived for making life easier for me so that I could focus on my education. I also thank my sister Ilknur and her husband Firat for their support and friendship.

Finally, special thanks to Ozgur Sahin who incite me to research on this interesting topic by accepting me as a summer trainee for the research program at Harvard when I was just a junior student at Bilkent. That experience is a milestone in my life.

I acknowledge the financial aid of Turkish Republic, the 2228 and 2224 scholarships of TUBITAK.

Contents

1	INT	ROD	UCTION	1
2	SIM	IULAT	TION BACKGROUND	4
	2.1	Overv	iew of the Overall System	4
	2.2	Torsio	nal Harmonic Cantilever	6
	2.3	The N	fodel	7
	2.4	Tip-Sa	ample Interaction	10
	2.5	Noise	Analysis	13
	2.6	Imple	mentation of the Overall System as an Electrical Circuit	16
		2.6.1	Drive Circuit	18
		2.6.2	Equivalent Circuit of the First Three Flexural Modes of the Cantilever	19
		2.6.3	Equivalent Circuit of the First Torsional Mode of the Can- tilever	20
		2.6.4	Equivalent Circuit of the Sample Surface	21
		2.6.5	Feedback Circuit	22

CONTENTS

		2.6.6 Photodetector Circuit	23	
3	SIM	IULATION RESULTS	24	
	3.1	Effect of the Enhanced Higher Order Vibrations on Steady-State Dynamics	24	
	3.2	Material Characterization with the Torsional Harmonic Can- tilevers in the Presence of Noise	33	
4	CO	NCLUSIONS	38	

List of Figures

2.1	Typical tapping mode atomic force microscopy. The elements of the system are given in the figure	5
2.2	Vibration modes of a torsional harmonic cantilever. (a) No exci- tation, (b) first flexural mode is excited, (c) first torsional mode is excited, (d) first flexural and first torsional modes are both excited.	7
2.3	Coordinate system for the overall tip deflection of the torsional har- monic cantilever. The total deflection of the cantilever (z) on the tip side is assumed as the summation of the flexural (z_f) and tor- sional (z_t) deflections of the cantilever. The surface displacement (z_s) is included in the model. Note that $z_f = \sum_i z_i$ and $z_t = \sum_j \tilde{z}_j$. Positive direction for the vectors of force and deflection is depicted in the figure	8
2.4	Electrical equivalent circuit of the multiple degree of freedom model of the tip-sample ensemble. The variables with tilde over them represents the torsional variables. Each of the vibrational modes is represented by a series RLC circuit where the sample surface is represented by a RC circuit.	8
2.5	F_{ts} vs. $(z - z_s)$. After the contact is established in the oscillation cycle, as tip indents the surface, $(z - z_s)$ stars to shrink from a_o to zero and F_{ts} quickly reaches the value that needed to push the surface inwards and keeps $z - z_s \approx a_o$.	12

2.6	Equivalent noise circuit of the system consists of the photodetector, the cantilever and the transimpedance amplifier. i_s , i_{sh} , i_m , e_n , i_n , e_R represent the sources corresponding to the output signal of the photodetector, shot noise of the photodetector, thermomechanical noise of the cantilever, input noise voltage of the transimpedance amplifier, input noise current of the transimpedance amplifier and	
0.7	Johnson noise voltage of the transimpedance amplifier, respectively.	14
2.7	Block diagram of the overall circuit	17
2.8	Circuit that is used to drive the cantilever in its first flexural res- onant mode	18
2.9	Equivalent circuit of the first three flexural modes of the cantilever.	19
2.10	Equivalent circuit of the first torsional mode of the cantilever. $\ .$.	20
2.11	Equivalent circuit of the sample surface	21
2.12	Feedback circuit part of the overall circuit.	22
2.13	Photodetector circuit	23
3.1	Tip displacement and surface motion in the case of (a) compliant and (b) stiff sample after three Q1 cycles. Note that $z-z_s$ is always greater than zero. There is a hysteresis in the surface elongation (depicted by star on the figure) because of energy dissipation	27
3.2	Torsional vibrations on the torsional harmonic cantilever in the case of (a) compliant and (b) stiff sample after three Q1 cycles.	28
3.3	Harmonics of the torsional vibrations up to the resonance of the torsional mode in the case of (a) compliant and (b) stiff sample after three Q1 cycles. Axis limit of y-coordinate is not preserved because of illustration purposes. Star is used to depict the first zero crossing of the magnitude of the harmonics.	29
	0 0	

LIST OF FIGURES

3.4	Actual tip-sample interaction force and recovered one from first	
	16 torsional harmonics in the case of (a) compliant and (b) stiff	
	sample after three Q1 cycles	30
3.5	Change in magnitude of various harmonics of the torsional vibra-	
	tions with respect to the effective elastic modulus $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	32
3.6	Simulation outcomes for a sample with $E^*=100$ MPa, $H=5e-20$ J a) noisy photodetector signal (N=50) b) recovered TSIF (M=15), estimated E^* is 98.495 MPa c)spectrum of b)	36
3.7	Estimations over a wide range of materials in the presence of noise. Estimations with M=15 are depicted by circles. Estimations that the harmonics up to the first zero crossing of the spectrum of TSIF	
	is used are depicted by dots	37

Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION

Atomic force microscope [1] (AFM) is one of the most commonly used imaging tools in nanotechnology. Among the imaging modes of AFM, tapping mode (TM) [2] is widely used especially on soft samples, because the gentle interaction forces can be achieved (peak forces as low as 600pN) [3], it enables a high spatial resolution and results in less lateral interaction compared to the other imaging modes [4]. In this mode, AFM cantilever is excited at or near its fundamental resonance frequency and the tip experiences a nonlinear interaction force periodically. This periodic nonlinear force gives rise to the vibrations on the cantilever that are at the integer multiples (higher harmonics) of the excitation frequency [5].

The topography of the sample surface and the phase of the first flexural harmonic are measured in a typical TM-AFM imaging. The topography and the phase are not sufficient alone to extract the material properties of a given sample, because the measured parameters (the amplitude and the phase of the first harmonic of the cantilever motion) provide only a time averaged value of the tip-sample interaction force (F_{ts}) [5, 6]. However, it is the higher harmonics of the vibrations on the cantilever that contain the information about the material properties [7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. Therefore, the higher harmonics imaging is crucial for the extraction of the material properties such as elasticity and surface energy. Difficulty related to the higher harmonics is that they are small in magnitude compared to the first harmonic [11], because of the filtering effect of the transfer function of the high-Q cantilever on the off-resonance harmonics. Therefore, the harmonics that are far from the resonance frequency of the closest vibrational mode is attenuated and can not be accessed without a lock-in amplifier. To enhance the higher harmonics, multifrequency imaging techniques have been proposed. These techniques can be listed as designing cantilevers with special geometries [12, 13, 14, 15], using wide bandwidth ultrasonic force sensing probes instead of cantilever [16, 17], excitation and detection of multiple modes of the cantilever simultaneously [18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23] and driving cantilever with two frequencies that are close to first resonance frequency to generate intermodulation products [24].

In order to interpret the effect of the enhanced higher harmonics on the steadystate of the tip-sample interaction accurately, the modelling of the tip-sample ensemble evolves from the single degree of freedom (SDOF) system to the multiple degree of freedom (MDOF) system [7]. Prior studies modelled the tip-sample ensemble as a single harmonic oscillator with a nonlinear load where system is described by only one resonant mode (first flexural mode) and solved in one harmonic (the first harmonic of the flexural vibrations). Hence, SDOF models discard the higher harmonics. In MDOF modelling [7], the tip-sample ensemble is described by one or more coupled harmonic oscillators and solved in more than one harmonic. MDOF modelling of the tip-sample ensemble is inevitable to be able to simulate the steady-state of TM-AFM when multifrequency excitation or detection schemes are used.

In this work, we model the tip-sample ensemble as a MDOF system and use a transient time domain analysis to solve the steady-state dynamics of the model including flexural and torsional harmonics and modes in the calculations. We focus on the steady-state responses of the *torsional harmonic cantilevers* [13]. We modify the equivalent electrical circuit model of the tip-sample ensemble purposed in [10, 25] in order to (i) take the torsional vibrations into account, (ii) model the sample with the nonlinear components instead of the linear ones and (iii) take the noise into account. Hence, the steady-state response of a torsional harmonic cantilever can be simulated in accordance with the experiments.

Organization of the thesis is as follows: Chapter 2 gives the detailed information about the simulation setup, the noise analysis and the implementation of the whole system as an electrical circuit. Chapter 3 presents the simulation results about the steady-state behavior of the torsional harmonic cantilever and the sample surface. Chapter 4 gives the conclusions.

Chapter 2

SIMULATION BACKGROUND

The chapter starts with the overview of the overall system, continues with the introduction of the mechanical modelling of a typical torsional harmonic cantilever (THC) and its electrical equivalent, then continues with the modelling of the interaction between the cantilever tip and the sample surface. The chapter ends with the noise analysis and the implementation of the whole system as an electrical circuit.

2.1 Overview of the Overall System

In order to simulate a tapping mode atomic force microscopy (TM-AFM) experiment accurately, each element of the overall system (Fig. (2.1)) should be well modelled. These elements are the (i) cantilever with a sharp tip on the free end, (ii) sample surface, (iii) interaction force between the tip and the sample surface, (iv) components of the optical lever detection scheme which is composed of a laser and a photo detector, (v) feedback control electronics.

A typical cantilever is a rectangular beam whose one end is clamped and glued to a piezoelectric actuator that drives the clamped end with a sinusoidal excitation. This sinusoidal excitation makes the free end of the cantilever where the



Figure 2.1: Typical tapping mode atomic force microscopy. The elements of the system are given in the figure.

sharp tip is located oscillate in the driving frequency with a certain amplitude. In the each cycle of the oscillation, the tip approaches to and retracts from the sample surface periodically. Due to the several interaction mechanisms (Chapter 2.3), there is a **nonlinear** interaction force between the tip and the sample surface. This interaction force can be attractive or repulsive according to the relative position of the tip with respect to the sample surface. The tip experiences attractive forces when it is far from the sample surface, and it experiences a net repulsive force when it indents the sample surface. If the net force is attractive, the sample surface gets pulled up.

In a TM-AFM experiment, the oscillation amplitude of the cantilever is fixed to a set point amplitude during scanning. In order to achieve this, an optical lever detection scheme is used. A laser spot is reflected from the top surface of the cantilever into a photodetector matrix in the scheme. The deflection signal on the photodetector is utilized by the feedback controller electronics and the position of the sample surface relative to the cantilever base is arranged by actuating the piezo under the sample accordingly.

2.2 Torsional Harmonic Cantilever

Torsional harmonic cantilever was designed in 2007 by Sahin et. al. [13] (Fig.2.2). This cantilever is T-shaped and has a tip that is placed offset to the longitudional axis of the cantilever that creates torque on the cantilever in each tap. This torque excites the torsional modes of the cantilever. Advantage of the torsional harmonic cantilever is the ability to detect the harmonics of the tip-sample interaction force thanks to the higher resonance frequency of the first torsional mode compared to the first flexural modes. Flexural and torsional vibrations of a torsional harmonic cantilever is given in Fig.2.2 for illustration purposes.



Figure 2.2: Vibration modes of a torsional harmonic cantilever. (a) No excitation, (b) first flexural mode is excited, (c) first torsional mode is excited, (d) first flexural and first torsional modes are both excited.

2.3 The Model

The tip-sample ensemble can be represented by a linear system with a sinusoidal excitation and a nonlinear feedback [26]. The linear system consists of multiple coupled harmonic oscillators each representing one vibrational mode (either flexural or torsional) of the cantilever. The nonlinear feedback is the tip-sample interaction force.

Equations of motion of each harmonic oscillator including damping are given in Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2) for i^{th} flexural and j^{th} torsional mode, respectively. The variables with tilde over them represent the torsional quantities. The overall deflection of the tip (z) is defined with respect to the rest position of the surface (Fig. (2.3)). z can be calculated by the summation of the individual deflection of the each mode and the cantilever-sample distance (X_o) as given in Eq. (2.3) by assuming that the angle of the torsional deflection (bending) is small. m_i ,



Figure 2.3: Coordinate system for the overall tip deflection of the torsional harmonic cantilever. The total deflection of the cantilever (z) on the tip side is assumed as the summation of the flexural (z_f) and torsional (z_t) deflections of the cantilever. The surface displacement (z_s) is included in the model. Note that $z_f = \sum_i z_i$ and $z_t = \sum_j \tilde{z}_j$. Positive direction for the vectors of force and deflection is depicted in the figure.

 Q_i, k_i, f_i, F_d and f_d stand for the equivalent point-mass, quality factor, spring constant, resonance frequency of i^{th} mode of the cantilever, drive amplitude and drive frequency, respectively. Eq. (2.4) governs the displacement of the sample surface where γ_s and k_s represent the internal damping coefficient and spring constant of the sample, respectively.

$$m_i \frac{d^2 z_i}{dt^2} + \frac{2\pi m_i f_i}{Q_i} \frac{dz_i}{dt} + k_i z_i = F_{ts}(z - z_s) + F_d \cos(2\pi f_d t) \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots$$
(2.1)

$$\tilde{m}_{j}\frac{d^{2}\tilde{z}_{j}}{dt^{2}} + \frac{2\pi\tilde{m}_{j}\tilde{f}_{j}}{\tilde{Q}_{j}}\frac{d\tilde{z}_{j}}{dt} + \tilde{k}_{j}\tilde{z}_{j} = F_{ts}(z-z_{s}) \quad j = 1, 2, \cdots$$
(2.2)

$$z = X_o + \sum_i z_i + \sum_j \tilde{z}_j \tag{2.3}$$

$$\gamma_s \frac{dz_s}{dt} + k_s z_s = -F_{ts}(z - z_s) \tag{2.4}$$

The excitation term, $F_d \cos(2\pi f_d t)$, is dropped in Eq. (2.2), because the excitation of the torsional modes due to the drive force is negligible. $F_{ts}(z - z_s)$ is the single valued, displacement dependent tip-sample interaction force where z_s is the displacement of the sample surface with respect to the rest position (Fig. (2.3)). In the model, we ignore the excitation of the torsional mode due to the asymmetric mass distribution caused by the offset positioned tip.

The MDOF mechanical model of the tip-sample ensemble given above can be represented as an electrical circuit [10] as given in Fig. (2.4). By replacing the mass with an inductor, the spring with a capacitor, the damper with a resistor, displacement becomes charge and force becomes voltage. Eqs. (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) turn into Eqs. (2.5), (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8). Eqs. (2.5) and (2.6) correspond to governing equation of an RLC circuits and Eq. (2.8) corresponds to governing equation of an RC circuit. Q_o is the corresponding charge for X_o .

$$L_{i}\frac{d^{2}q_{i}}{dt^{2}} + R_{i}\frac{dq_{i}}{dt} + \frac{q_{i}}{C_{i}} = F_{ts}(q - q_{s}) + A\cos(2\pi f_{d}t)$$
(2.5)

$$\tilde{L}_j \frac{d^2 \tilde{q}_j}{dt^2} + \tilde{R}_j \frac{d\tilde{q}_j}{dt} + \frac{\tilde{q}_j}{\tilde{C}_j} = F_{ts}(q - q_s)$$
(2.6)

$$q = Q_o + \sum_i q_i + \sum_j \tilde{q}_j \tag{2.7}$$

$$R_s \frac{dq_s}{dt} + \frac{q_s}{C_s} = -F_{ts}(q - q_s) \tag{2.8}$$

In the electrical equivalent circuit, $F_{ts}(q-q_s)$ is a nonlinear charge controlled voltage source representing the nonlinear contact mechanics. R_s and C_s are the



Figure 2.4: Electrical equivalent circuit of the multiple degree of freedom model of the tip-sample ensemble. The variables with tilde over them represents the torsional variables. Each of the vibrational modes is represented by a series RLC circuit where the sample surface is represented by a RC circuit.

nonlinear components that represent the loss and the stiffness of the sample, respectively. We solve Eqs. (2.5), (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8) numerically using transient time domain analysis toolbox of a commercial circuit simulator¹ to find the steady-state response of the tip-sample interaction.

2.4 Tip-Sample Interaction

There are various mechanisms that constitute the tip-sample interaction such as long range interfacial forces, short range repulsive forces, adhesion, viscosity and capillary forces [27]. However, it is not a trivial task to include all the mechanisms in one simulation because of the increased numerical complexity due to lack of

¹ADS by Agilent Technologies

analytic expressions that relate the amount of the indentation with the force in the each mechanism of the interaction.

For the non-contact part of the oscillation cycle, we implement the long range interfacial forces which has $1/(z - z_s)^2$ dependence. At the onset of the contact (when $z - z_s = a_o$ where a_o is the interatomic distance [28]), we equate the long range interfacial force to adhesion force predicted by DMT contact model [29] in order to maintain the continuity of the force [17].

For the contact part of the oscillation cycle, we implement a single valued, $(z - z_s)$ dependent divergent force (F_{div}) given in Eq. (2.10) that is similar to one used in [25] to assure that $z - z_s \approx a_o$ during the indentation (Fig. 2.5). Although the force function is single valued, because of the dissipation mechanisms included in the model, there is a hysteresis in force.

The resulting tip-sample interaction force is given in Eq. (2.9). γ , R, c stand for the surface adhesion energy, the tip radius and the unit conversion constant, respectively.

$$F_{ts}(z, z_s) = \begin{cases} -4\pi\gamma Ra_o^2/(z - z_s)^2 & z - z_s > a_o \\ -4\pi\gamma R + F_{div} & z - z_s <= a_o \end{cases}$$
(2.9)

$$F_{div} = c \frac{4}{3} E^* \sqrt{R} (a_o - z)^{1.15}$$
(2.10)

The sample is modelled by a series RC circuit with a nonlinear resistance (R_s) and a nonlinear capacitance (C_s) as given in Eq. (2.8). We use DMT contact model to formalize the spring constant (k_s) of the sample which equals to the inverse of the capacitance (C_s) given in Eq. (2.11). E^* stands for the effective elastic modulus of the tip-sample ensemble. By writing Eq. (2.11), we assume that the sample has the same stiffness both in loading and unloading periods [25]. Interatomic distance (a_o) is used again to maintain a finite value for C_s when surface is at its rest position $(z_s = 0)$.



Figure 2.5: F_{ts} vs. $(z - z_s)$. After the contact is established in the oscillation cycle, as tip indents the surface, $(z - z_s)$ stars to shrink from a_o to zero and F_{ts} quickly reaches the value that needed to push the surface inwards and keeps $z - z_s \approx a_o$.

$$C_s = \frac{1}{k_s} = \frac{1}{\frac{4}{3}E^*\sqrt{R(|z_s| + a_o)}}$$
(2.11)

The main sources of the energy dissipation (loss) in the tip-sample interaction are capillary forces, hysteresis in interfacial interactions, hysteresis in surface adhesion energy and viscoelasticity [27]. The viscous force is dependent to the deformation and the time course of deformation (Eq. (2.12)) when Hertzian contact mechanics are considered. η is the viscosity of the surface. Other loss mechanisms are modelled by a constant offset resistance (R_o) as in [25]. Thereby, corresponding loss component defined in Eq. (2.4) and (2.8) takes the form given in Eq. (2.13) in our model.

$$F_{vis} = \eta \sqrt{R|z_s|} \frac{dz_s}{dt} \tag{2.12}$$

$$\gamma_s = R_s = R_o + \eta \sqrt{R|z_s|} \tag{2.13}$$

2.5 Noise Analysis

There are several noise sources in the optical lever detection scheme. These are the shot noise of the photodetector, thermal mechanical noise of the cantilever, laser noise, electronic noise of the detection circuitry and mechanical vibrations of the whole system [30]. Since optical lever detection scheme is used in imaging with THC as well, all the noise sources stated above are valid for THC.

The laser noise is composed of the intensity noise, phase noise, 1/f noise and pointing noise. First three components of the laser noise are all zero in the optical lever detection [30]. The pointing noise of the laser will be neglected in the simulations. Therefore, there will be no laser dependent noise source in the model. The noise originated from the overall mechanical vibrations of the system is also neglected since the resonance frequency of the system is low compared to the cantilever.

Electronic noise is composed of the noise of the transimpedance amplifier and the Johnson noise of the feedback resistor. Resulting electrical equivalent noise circuit and the amplitude of noise sources are given in Fig. 2.6 and Table 3.3, respectively.

 i_s is the output signal of the photodetector which is the difference of split photodetector currents and given by

$$i_s = \frac{3\pi a I_l}{2\lambda l} \xi, \quad I_l = R_1 R_2 P \tag{2.14}$$



Figure 2.6: Equivalent noise circuit of the system consists of the photodetector, the cantilever and the transimpedance amplifier. i_s , i_{sh} , i_m , e_n , i_n , e_R represent the sources corresponding to the output signal of the photodetector, shot noise of the photodetector, thermomechanical noise of the cantilever, input noise voltage of the transimpedance amplifier, input noise current of the transimpedance amplifier and Johnson noise voltage of the transimpedance amplifier, respectively.

where ξ stands for the deflection of the tip. The total mean square shot noise current of the photodetector is given by

$$\langle i_{sh}^2 \rangle = 2qBI_l \tag{2.15}$$

where q, B are the elementary electronic charge and the detection bandwidth, respectively. Mean square deflection of the thermally excited cantilever and the corresponding mean square current on photodetector for each mode is given in Eq. (2.16).

$$<\xi_n^2>=\frac{4KTB}{Q_ik_iw_i}, \quad =\frac{3\pi aI_l}{2\lambda l}<\xi_n^2>$$
 (2.16)

The mean square input noise voltage and current of the transimpedance amplifier are $\langle e_n^2 \rangle$, $\langle i_n^2 \rangle$ and these depend on the amplifier characteristics. The mean square voltage of Johnson noise is given by

$$\langle e_R^2 \rangle = 4KTBR \tag{2.17}$$

where R is the resistance of the resistor. In Table 3.3, the parameters of the first three flexural modes and the first torsional mode of the cantilever are given. The dimensions of the cantilever are also given in Table 3.3 (subscript 't' stands for torsional).

The torsional vibrations are below the noise level of the photodetector with the given noise sources in Table 3.3. Therefore, the detection bandwidth should be decreased somehow to measure the torsional harmonics. Lock-in amplifiers can be used, however one can receive only one harmonic with one lock-in amplifier which is impractical. In order to decrease the detection bandwidth, timeaveraging is preferred in experimental studies assuming the vibration signal is quasi-periodic [13]. We model the effect of the time-averaging by downscaling the rms values of the noise sources by \sqrt{N} , where N is the number of the oscillation cycles used in averaging.

2.6 Implementation of the Overall System as an Electrical Circuit

The overall system is modelled as an electrical circuit that is composed of the drive circuit, equivalent circuit of the first three flexural modes, equivalent circuit of the first torsional mode, equivalent circuit of the sample surface, feedback circuit and the photodetection circuit. The input/output relation of these circuits are given in Fig. 2.7.



Figure 2.7: Block diagram of the overall circuit.

2.6.1 Drive Circuit

The drive circuit is used to generate a simple sinusoidal excitation in the resonance frequency of the first flexural mode of the cantilever. The related parameters are the amplitude of the excitation (F_d) , drive frequency (f_1) and a scaling factor (s_f) . The typical order of the force and deflections are in nN and nm, respectively, which are quite small orders for a simulation engine to deal with. Therefore, a scaling factor (s_f) is used to relax the simulation engine. The values of the parameters of the cantilever are also scaled by s_F accordingly in order not to alter the results. Ability to use a scaling factor like s_f is a result of the linear transfer function of the cantilever in each mode.



Figure 2.8: Circuit that is used to drive the cantilever in its first flexural resonant mode.

2.6.2 Equivalent Circuit of the First Three Flexural Modes of the Cantilever

The total force is the summation of the tip-sample interaction force and the drive force in time. Each mode is driven by the total force. The deflection of the each mode is the charge on the corresponding capacitor. The overall flexural deflection is the summation of the deflection of the each mode in time.



Figure 2.9: Equivalent circuit of the first three flexural modes of the cantilever.

2.6.3 Equivalent Circuit of the First Torsional Mode of the Cantilever

The torsional mode is driven by the tip-sample interaction force only. The torsional deflection is read from the charge on the capacitor.



Figure 2.10: Equivalent circuit of the first torsional mode of the cantilever.

2.6.4 Equivalent Circuit of the Sample Surface

The sample surface is subjected to the minus of the tip-sample interaction force. The surface is modelled by a resistor with nonlinear resistance (R_s) and a capacitor with nonlinear capacitance (C_s) in series. The capacitor is implemented in the fifth port of the SDD6P1 box. In order to relax the simulation engine some interval variables are used.



Figure 2.11: Equivalent circuit of the sample surface.

2.6.5 Feedback Circuit

In order to achieve a given set point amplitude (A_s) , we used a proportionalintegral (PI) controller. Thereby, the value of X_o (Fig. 2.3) that is required to achieve the desired A_s is found from an arbitrary initial value of X_o . Magnitude of the first flexural harmonic is compared with the set point amplitude A_s . The error is integrated, multiplied by a gain factor and the difference signal is added to the initial value of X_o .



Figure 2.12: Feedback circuit part of the overall circuit.

2.6.6 Photodetector Circuit

The noisy deflection signal is first amplified by the internal conversion gain of the photodetector, then amplified by the transimpedance amplifier. The equivalent noise sources are also added to the circuit.



Figure 2.13: Photodetector circuit.

Chapter 3

SIMULATION RESULTS

This chapter begins with the presentation of the effect of the enhanced higher order vibrations on the steady-state dynamics of the tapping mode atomic force microscopy (TM-AFM) where noise is not included. After that, the chapter continues with the presentation of the material characterization with the torsional harmonic cantilevers in the presence of noise.

3.1 Effect of the Enhanced Higher Order Vibrations on Steady-State Dynamics

The imaging conditions and the parameters of the torsional harmonic cantilever used in the simulations are the same with those used in [3] and given in Table 3.1. The sample parameters and the steady state values of some simulation outputs for two different samples are given in Table 3.2. The sample parameters are chosen similar to those used in [6, 27, 31]. We assume that the surface adhesion energy (γ) and the remaining loss mechanisms other than viscosity are same for both samples which concludes that the offset loss component (R_o) is same for both samples (Eq. 2.13). The calculated tip trajectory, surface displacement,

Parameter	Symbol	Unit	Value
resonance frequency	f_1	kHz	55
	f_2		344
	f_3		965
	f_t		905
spring constant	k_1	N/m	2.6
	k_2		102
	k_3		800
	k_t		500
quality factor	Q_1		100
	Q_2		250
	Q_3		450
	Q_t		800
tip radius	R	nm	7
drive frequency	f_d	kHz	55
free-air amplitude	A_o	nm	38
set-point amplitude	A_s		31

Table 3.1: Cantilever parameters and imaging conditions

Table 3.2: Sample parameters and values of some parameters at steady state

			Compliant Sample	Stiff Sample
Parameter	Symbol	Unit	Value	Value
effective elastic modulus	E^*	GPa	0.1	1
surface adhesion energy	γ	mJ/m^2	30	30
viscosity	η	$Pa \cdot s$	30	800
offset loss coefficient	R_o	$n\Omega$	50	50
maximum deformation	δ	nm	2.8	0.66
cantilever-sample distance	X_o	nm	28.3	30.4
maximum torsional deflection	\tilde{A}	pm	11.7	22.9
peak repulsive force	$F_{ts,peak}$	nN	3.3	6.9
energy dissipation per cycle	E_{dis}	eV	28.8	2.3

torsional vibrations, torsional harmonics up to torsional resonance and the tipsample interaction during indentation process are given in Figs. 3.1, 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4, respectively, for two different samples. One sample is compliant (100 MPa) where the other is stiff (1 GPa).

Well known characteristics of the TM-AFM are also observed and verified in the simulations. The tip trajectory is almost sinusoidal since the vibration amplitude of the higher order flexural modes and the fundamental torsional mode is three orders of magnitude smaller than that of the first flexural mode which means torsional vibrations do not disturb the tapping mode operation [32]. The hysteresis in the surface elongation between loading and unloading periods (depicted by star in Fig. 3.1) is observed since there is a certain amount of energy coupled to the surface in each cycle. This is more pronounced in the compliant sample case. It is observed that the contact time and the maximum deformation decrease with the sample stiffness where the maximum repulsive interaction force increases. Although these observations are common facts of TM-AFM, the agreement of theory with our model is important for the validity of the new implications of the simulation results.

The torsional vibrations, which is the main scope of this work, are a little bit complicated in shape and depends on the tip-sample interaction force which depends on the sample parameters indeed (Fig. 3.2). There is a slowly decaying torsional oscillation remaining from the preceding tap before contact due to the high quality factor of the torsional mode. With the impact of the tip to the sample, oscillations get complex in shape due to the excitation of the torsional mode by the nonlinear tip-sample interaction force. There is a certain DC torsional deflection on the cantilever during indentation period because the tip acts like a pivot point for the cantilever to bend. There is a hysteresis in the amplitude of torsional vibrations between loading and unloading time instants because of the hysteresis in the tip-sample interaction force. This effect is more pronounced in the compliant sample case. After the contact, the cantilever oscillates in the torsional resonance with a slow decay until the next tap.



Figure 3.1: Tip displacement and surface motion in the case of (a) compliant and (b) stiff sample after three Q1 cycles. Note that $z - z_s$ is always greater than zero. There is a hysteresis in the surface elongation (depicted by star on the figure) because of energy dissipation.



Figure 3.2: Torsional vibrations on the torsional harmonic cantilever in the case of (a) compliant and (b) stiff sample after three Q1 cycles.



Figure 3.3: Harmonics of the torsional vibrations up to the resonance of the torsional mode in the case of (a) compliant and (b) stiff sample after three Q1 cycles. Axis limit of y-coordinate is not preserved because of illustration purposes. Star is used to depict the first zero crossing of the magnitude of the harmonics.



Figure 3.4: Actual tip-sample interaction force and recovered one from first 16 torsional harmonics in the case of (a) compliant and (b) stiff sample after three Q1 cycles.

The peak amplitude of the torsional deflection and the amplitude of noncontact oscillation is higher for the stiff sample. It is observed that the contact time can be deduced from the torsional vibrations and it exhibits a stiffness contrast between materials just as in the case of tip-sample interaction force. The difference between amplitude of before and after contact oscillations is small in stiff sample case where it is large in compliant sample case. The amplitude difference is just the result of decay of the high-Q torsional mode in stiff sample case. However, in the compliant sample case, besides simple mode decay, the tipsample interaction enhances the oscillation close to the torsional resonance at one tap and then reduces it in the next tap as a result of the phase difference between the oscillation on the cantilever and the harmonic of tip-sample interaction force close to the torsional resonance.

The frequency spectrum of the torsional vibrations changes with sample stiffness (Figure 3.3)). In the case of the stiff sample, the spectrum of the torsional vibrations is more flat up to the torsional resonance. This can be explained by remembering two facts (i) the spectrum of the torsional vibrations is simply the product of the spectrum of the tip-sample interaction force and the transfer function of the torsional mode, (ii) the spectrum of the tip-sample interaction force flattens (the first zero crossing of the magnitude of the harmonics (depicted by the star in Fig. 3.3)) moves to the higher frequencies) as sample gets stiffer under the same imaging conditions [12]. The peak around 900 kHz in the magnitude spectrum is due to the peak amplification of the torsional mode, that is, due to torsional resonance.

There are 16 torsional harmonics up to the torsional resonance. These harmonics are the scaled version of the harmonics of the tip-sample interaction by $1/k_t$ where k_t is the spring constant of the torsional mode. The harmonics of the tip-sample interaction force placed after the torsional resonance are subjected to the high attenuation of the torsional mode. The magnitude of these torsional harmonics are near the noise level. Using first 16 torsional harmonics, one can recover the tip-sample interaction force in time [13, 33] and estimate the material properties [3].



Figure 3.5: Change in magnitude of various harmonics of the torsional vibrations with respect to the effective elastic modulus

The recovered tip-sample interaction force curve using first 16 torsional harmonics overlaps with the actual tip-sample interaction force in the compliant sample case where it slightly deviates in the stiff sample case (Fig. 3.4). We used the algorithm proposed in [13] in order to estimate the effective elastic modulus using the recovered tip-sample interaction force curve. The estimated effective elastic modulus (E^*) values are 107 MPa and 1.4 GPa for the compliant and the stiff sample, respectively. Although noise is not included in the model, there is an error in the estimation. This error is a result of the (i) reconstruction of the tip-sample interaction force with a finite number of harmonics and (ii) ignoring the interactions in contact other that the ones proposed by DMT model in the estimation algorithm. We plotted the magnitude of the torsional harmonics while scanning the sample stiffness values between the compliant and the stiff one (Figure 3.5) where the surface energy and the imaging parameters are kept constant ($\gamma = 30 \text{mJ/m}^2$). The monotonically increasing part of the curve is the range where a particular harmonic is sensitive to the change in elastic modulus. For example, one can use 8^{th} torsional harmonic to get material contrast between the samples with elastic modulus starting from 0.1 GPa. Moreover, 24^{th} harmonic is more sensitive compared to the lower harmonics for the samples with elastic modulus greater than 300 MPa. In short, the higher harmonics are more sensitive to the elasticity than the lower harmonics and the range where a harmonic is sensitive corresponds a stiffer range when the harmonic number increases. Thereby, it is convenient to use the lower harmonics for compliant samples and the higher harmonics for stiff samples.

These results confirm that the torsional harmonic cantilever is capable of receiving sufficient number of higher harmonics of the tip-sample interaction with a tolerable attenuation to estimate material properties in a certain range of materials without disturbing the tapping mode operation.

3.2 Material Characterization with the Torsional Harmonic Cantilevers in the Presence of Noise

For the imaging conditions (f_d, A_o, A_s) given in Table 3.3, the simulated torsional signal is given in Fig 3.6a. The torsional signal is Fourier transformed, multiplied by the inverse of the transfer function of the torsional mode of the cantilever and the harmonics other than the first M are filtered. Resulting spectrum is inverse Fourier transformed. Recovered tip-sample interaction force (TSIF) with M=15 and actual TSIF is given in Fig 3.6b. E^* is estimated as 98.5 MPa by curve fitting to repulsive part of TSIF between peak force and %20 of it, where actual E^* is 100 MPa.

Cantilever			
resonance frequency	f_1	kHz	55
	f_2		344.3
	f_3		965.3
	f_t		905
spring constant	k_1	N/m	2.6
	k_2		101.8
	k_3		800.8
	k_t		500
quality factor	Q_1	<u>_</u>	100
	Q_2		250
	Q_3		450
	Q_t		800
length	l	$\mu { m m}$	300
width of base	_		30
width of free end	—		55
thickness	_		4.5
tip offset	—		25
tip radius	\Re	nm	7
-			
Noise Sources			
shot noise current	$\langle i_{sh} \rangle$	pA/\sqrt{Hz}	12.65
input noise current	$\langle i_n \rangle$	1 / 1	2
input noise voltage	$\langle e_n \rangle$	nV/\sqrt{Hz}	20
Johnson noise voltage $(R=1M)$	$\langle e_R \rangle$, ·	17.89
	111		
PD Current			
Laser spot size	a	μm	30
Laser wavelength	λ	nm	670
Responsivity of photodetector	R_1		0.5
Reflectivity of cantilever	R_2		1
Power of laser	P	mW	1
Imaging Conditions			
Drive frequency	f_d	kHz	55
Free-air amplitude	A_o	nm	38
Set point amplitude	A_s		31

Table 3.3: Simulation Parameters

The same analysis is done for a wide range of materials from 10 MPa to 10 GPa and the estimated values of E^* are given in Fig. 3.7. The stiff samples are underestimated whereas the compliant samples are overestimated for M=15 (circles in Fig. 3.7). This result is in perfect agreement with experimental results [32].

The harmonics after the first zero crossing of the spectrum of TSIF (Fig. 3.6c) do not include much information about the slope of the repulsive part of TSIF and increase the effect of the noise if they are used in recovery. Therefore, we propose to use the harmonics up to the first zero crossing in the recovery instead of the first M. Thereby the accuracy of estimation is improved (stars in Fig. 3.7).

The portion of the repulsive part of TSIF that is used in the curve fitting affects the estimation. Since a finite number of torsional harmonics can be detectable and used in the recovery of TSIF, the slope of the repulsive part of TSIF can have different values for different portions. Since an accurate estimation over a wide range of materials is desired, there is not an optimum portion of TSIF that curve fitting will be done.



Figure 3.6: Simulation outcomes for a sample with $E^*=100$ MPa a) noisy photodetector signal (N=50) b) recovered TSIF (M=15), estimated E^* is 98.495MPa c)spectrum of b)



Figure 3.7: Estimations over a wide range of materials in the presence of noise. Estimations with M=15 are depicted by circles. Estimations that the harmonics up to the first zero crossing of the spectrum of TSIF is used are depicted by dots.

Chapter 4

CONCLUSIONS

The multiple degree of freedom model of the tip-sample ensemble including the fundamental torsional mode and the first three flexural modes is realized. Thereby, the steady-state response of the torsional harmonic cantilever is simulated. General characteristics and material dependency of the torsional vibrations on a torsional harmonic cantilever is investigated. It is observed that the torsional vibrations on a torsional harmonic cantilever are three orders of magnitude smaller than the overall flexural vibrations which means they do not alter tapping mode operation.

Since the torsional mode is excited by the tip-sample interaction force only, the spectrum of the torsional vibrations is just the scaled version of the spectrum of the tip-sample interaction with the transfer function of the torsional mode. Therefore, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the tip-sample interaction force and the torsional vibrations. It is demonstrated that the torsional harmonics up to the torsional resonance are sufficient to estimate the elasticity of a sample with tolerable error for a certain range of elasticity. Thereby, it is verified that the torsional harmonic cantilever is capable of material property mapping.

The variation of the torsional harmonics on a torsional harmonic cantilever with sample stiffness is simulated. It is shown that the sensitivity of a particular torsional harmonic changes with sample stiffness. As the sample gets stiffer, the sensitivity of a particular torsional harmonic decreases. Moreover, for a given range of stiffness values, the higher harmonics of the torsional vibrations are more sensitive to change in stiffness rather than the lower harmonics.

A nonlinear model of the sample surface is realized. The stiffness of a sample is modelled with a nonlinear spring and damping of the surface is modelled with a nonlinear damper. A nonlinear modelling of the surface is required to obtain more realistic results for the tip-sample interaction and the energy dissipation. We believe that this work will motivate others who want to utilize more realistic surface models.

A noise analysis of the overall system is performed and the noise sources are added to the model. The noisy torsional vibration signal on photodetector is simulated. The tip-sample interaction force is recovered from the harmonics of the torsional signal and the estimation of effective elastic modulus (E^*) of the sample is achieved. The same analysis is done for a wide range of materials.

It is demonstrated that the torsional harmonic cantilevers are capable of estimating material properties over a wide range of materials. The number of the torsional harmonics used in the recovery of the tip-sample interaction force and the portion of the repulsive part of the tip-sample interaction force that is used in the curve fitting affect the estimation accuracy. It is observed that with a fixed number of harmonics used in the recovery of TSIF and with the curve fitting algorithm, stiff side of the material spectrum is underestimated where compliant side is overestimated. It is also observed that the harmonics higher than the first zero crossing frequency of the spectrum of TSIF does not affect the slope of the tip-sample interaction force much. Therefore, it is beneficial to use the first harmonics up to the first zero crossing in the estimation of E^* in order to get rid of the additional noise coming from higher harmonics.

Bibliography

- G. Binnig, C. F. Quate, and C. Gerber, "Atomic force microscope," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 56, pp. 930–933, 1986.
- [2] Q. Zhong, D. Inniss, K. Kjoller, and V. B. Elings, "Fractured polymer/silica fiber surface studied by tapping mode atomic force microscopy," *Surf. Sci.*, vol. 290, pp. L688–L692, 1993.
- [3] O. Sahin and N. Erina, "High-resolution and large dynamic range nanomechanical mapping in tapping-mode atomic force microscopy," *Nanotechnol*ogy, vol. 19, pp. 445717–445725, 2008.
- [4] D. Klinov and S. Magonov, "True molecular resolution in tapping-mode atomic force microscopy with high-resolution probes," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 84, pp. 2697–2699, 2004.
- [5] J. P. Cleveland, B. Anczykowski, A. E. Schmid, and V. B. Elings, "Energy dissipation in tapping-mode atomic force microscopy," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 72, pp. 2613–2615, 1998.
- [6] A. SanPaulo and R. Garcia, "Unifying theory of tapping-mode atomic-force microscopy," *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 66, pp. 41406–41409, 2002.
- [7] R. W. Stark and W. M. Heckl, "Fourier transformed atomic force microscopy: tapping mode atomic force microscopy beyond the hookian approximation," *Surf. Sci.*, vol. 457, pp. 219–228, 2000.

- [8] M. Stark, R. W. Stark, W. M. Heckl, and R. Guckenberger, "Spectroscopy of the anharmonic cantilever oscillations in tapping-mode atomic-force microscopy," *Appl. Phys.Lett.*, vol. 77, pp. 3293–3295, 2000.
- [9] R. Hillenbrand, M. Stark, and R. Guckenberger, "Higher-harmonics generation in tapping-mode atomic-force microscopy:insights into the tipsample interaction," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 76, pp. 3478–3480, 2000.
- [10] O. Sahin and A. Atalar, "Simulation of higher harmonics generation in tapping-mode atomic force microscopy," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 79, pp. 4455– 4457, 2001.
- [11] T. R. Rodriguez and R. Garcia, "Tip motion in amplitude modulation tapping-mode atomic-force microscopy: Comparison between continuous and point-mass models," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 80, pp. 1646–1648, 2002.
- [12] O. Sahin, G. Yaralioglu, R. Grow, S. F. Zappe, A. Atalar, C. Quate, and O. Solgaard, "High-resolution imaging of elastic properties using harmonic cantilevers," *Sensors and Actuators A*, vol. 114, pp. 183–190, 2004.
- [13] O. Sahin, S. Magonov, C. Su, C. F. Quate, and O. Solgaard, "An atomic force microscope tip designed to measure time-varying nanomechanical forces," *Nature Nanotechnology*, vol. 2, pp. 507–514, 2007.
- [14] H. Li, Y. Chen, and L. Dai, "Concentrated-mass cantilever enhances multiple harmonics in tapping-mode atomic force microscopy," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 92, pp. 151 903–151 905, 2008.
- [15] A. F. Sarioglu and O. Solgaard, "Cantilevers with integrated sensor for timeresolved force measurement in tapping-mode atomic force microscopy," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 93, pp. 23114–23116, 2008.
- [16] A. G. Onaran, M. Balantekin, W. Lee, W. L. Hughes, B. A. Buchine, R. O. Guldiken, Z. Parlak, C. F. Quate, and F. L. Degertekin, "A new atomic force microscope probe with force sensing integrated readout and active tip," *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, vol. 77, pp. 23501–23507, 2006.

- [17] M. Balantekin, A. G. Onaran, and F. L. Degertekin, "Quantitative mechanical characterization of materials at the nanoscale through direct measurement of time-resolved tipsample interaction forces," *Nanotechnology*, vol. 19, pp. 85704–85709, 2008.
- [18] T. R. Rodriguez and R. Garcia, "Compositional mapping of surfaces in atomic force microscopy by excitation of the second normal mode of the microcantilever," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 84, pp. 449–451, 2004.
- [19] N. F. Martinez, S. Patil, J. R. Lozano, and R. Garcia, "Enhanced compositional sensitivity in atomic force microscopy by the excitation of the first two flexural modes," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 89, pp. 153115–153117, 2006.
- [20] R. Proksch, "Multifrequency, repulsive-mode amplitude-modulated atomic force microscopy," Appl. Phys. Lett., vol. 89, pp. 113121–113123, 2006.
- [21] R. W. Stark, N. Naujoks, and A. Stemmer, "Multifrequency electrostatic force microscopy in the repulsive regime," *Nanotechnology*, vol. 18, pp. 65 502–65 508, 2007.
- [22] U. Bostanci, M. K. Abak, O. Aktas, and A. Dana, "Nanoscale charging hysteresis measurement by multifrequency electrostatic force spectroscopy," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 92, pp. 93108–93110, 2008.
- [23] M. K. Abak, O. Aktas, R. Mammadov, I. Gurel, and A. Dana, "Parametrically coupled multiharmonic force imaging," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 92, pp. 223 113–223 115, 2008.
- [24] D. Platz, E. A. Tholen, D. Pesen, and D. B. Haviland, "Intermodulation atomic force microscopy," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 92, pp. 153106–153108, 2008.
- [25] O. Sahin and A. Atalar, "Analysis of tip-sample interaction in tapping-mode atomic force microscope using an electrical circuit simulator," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 78, pp. 2973–2975, 2001.

- [26] M. Stark, R. W. Stark, W. M. Heckl, and R. Guckenberger, "Inverting dynamic force microscopy: From signals to time-resolved interaction forces," *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, vol. 99, pp. 8473–8478, 2002.
- [27] R. Garcia, C. J. Gomez, N. F. Martinez, S. Patil, C. Dietz, and R. Magerle, "Identification of nanoscale dissipation processes by dynamic atomic force microscopy," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 97, p. 016103, 2006.
- [28] R. Garcia and A. SanPaulo, "Dynamics of a vibrating tip near or in intermittent contact with a surface," *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 61, pp. R13381–R13384, 2000.
- [29] J. N. Israelachvili, Intermolecular and Surface Forces. London: Academic, 1992.
- [30] G. G. Yaralioglu, A. Atalar, S. Manalis, and C. F. Quate, "Analysis and design of an interdigital cantilever as a displacement sensor," J. Appl. Phys., vol. 83, pp. 7405–7415, 1998.
- [31] J. Tamayo and R. Garcia, "Effects of elastic and inelastic interactions on phase contrast images in tapping-mode scanning force microscopy," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 71, pp. 2394–2396, 1997.
- [32] O. Sahin and N. Erina, "High-resolution and large dynamic range nanomechanical mapping in tapping-mode atomic force microscopy," *Nanotechnol*ogy, vol. 19, pp. 445717–445725, 2008.
- [33] O. Sahin, "Harnessing bifurcations in tapping-mode atomic force microscopy to calibrate time-varying tip-sample force measurements," *Review of Scientific Instruments*, vol. 78, p. 103707, 2007.