## Accepted Manuscript

Title: Involvement of the brain Renin-Angiotensin System (RAS) in the neuroadaptive responses induced by amphetamine in a two-injection protocol

Author: Maria Constanza Paz Natalia Andrea Marchese Maria Mercedes Stroppa Nelia Marta Gerez de Burgos Hans Imboden Gustavo Baiardi Liliana Marina Cancela Claudia Bregonzio





Received date: 7-2-2014 Revised date: 8-7-2014 Accepted date: 10-7-2014

Please cite this article as: Paz MC, Marchese NA, Stroppa MM, Burgos NMG, Imboden H, Baiardi G, Cancela LM, Bregonzio C, Involvement of the brain Renin-Angiotensin System (RAS) in the neuroadaptive responses induced by amphetamine in a two-injection protocol, *Behavioural Brain Research* (2014), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2014.07.021>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

### **Involvement of the brain Renin-Angiotensin System (RAS) in the neuroadaptive responses induced by amphetamine in a two-injection protocol**

<sup>1</sup>Maria Constanza Paz<sup>\*</sup>; <sup>1</sup>Natalia Andrea Marchese<sup>\*</sup>, <sup>2</sup>Maria Mercedes Stroppa, <sup>2</sup>Nelia Marta Gerez de Burgos, <sup>3</sup>Hans Imboden, <sup>4</sup>Gustavo Baiardi, <sup>1</sup>Liliana Marina Cancela, <sup>1</sup>Claudia Bregonzio.

<sup>1</sup>Instituto de Farmacología Experimental Córdoba (IFEC-CONICET) Departamento de Farmacología. Facultad de Ciencias Químicas Universidad Nacional de Córdoba (UNC). Argentina.

<sup>2</sup> Cátedra de Bioquímica y Biología Molecular, Facultad de Ciencias Médicas, UNC. Argentina.

<sup>3</sup>Institute of Cell Biology, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland.

4 Laboratorio de Neurofarmacología, Facultad de Ciencias Químicas,

Universidad Católica de Córdoba, IIBYT-CONICET, Córdoba, Argentina

Corresponding author: Claudia Bregonzio e-mail address bregonzio@fcq.unc.edu.ar Phone 54-351-4334437 Fax number 54-351-4334420

armacología Experimental Córdoba (IFEC-CONICET) Departamento de Farmacología<br>iencias Químicas Universidad Nacional de Córdoba (UNC). Argentína.<br>ioquímica y Blología Molecular, Facultad de Cíncias Medicas, UNC. Argentína.<br>I Instituto de Farmacología Experimental Córdoba (IFEC-CONICET) Departamento de Farmacología. Facultad de Ciencias Químicas Universidad Nacional de Córdoba. Haya de la Torre S/N, esquina Medina Allende. Edificio Nuevo de Ciencias I Ciudad Universitaria Córdoba, Argentina.

\*Equal contribution

Running title: Brain RAS and amphetamine induced-neuroadaptation

### **ABSTRACT**

produce alterations in brain RAS components that are involved in the expression<br>sitization to the psychostimulant drug. Wistar male rats, pretreated with amph<br>expression of the psychostimulant drug. Wistar male rats, pretr A single or repeated exposure to psychostimulants induces long-lasting neuroadaptative changes. Different neurotransmitter systems are involved in these responses including the neuropeptide angiotensin II. Our study tested the hypothesis that the neuroadaptative changes induced by amphetamine produce alterations in brain RAS components that are involved in the expression of the locomotor sensitization to the psychostimulant drug. Wistar male rats, pretreated with amphetamine were used 7 or 21 days later to study  $AT_1$  receptors by immunohistochemistry and western blot and also angiotensinogen mRNA and protein in caudate putamen and nucleus accumbens. A second group of animals was used to explore the possible role of Ang II  $AT_1$  receptors in the expression of behavioral sensitization. In these animals treated in the same way, bearing intra-cerebral cannula, the locomotor activity was tested 21 days later, after an amphetamine challenge injection and the animals received an  $AT_1$  blocker, losartan, or saline 5 min before the amphetamine challenge. An increase of  $AT_1$  receptor density induced by amphetamine was found in both studied areas and a decrease in angiotensinogen mRNA and protein only in CPu at 21 days after treatment; meanwhile, no changes were established in NAcc. Finally, the increased locomotor activity induced by amphetamine challenge was blunted by losartan administration in CPu. No differences were detected in the behavioral sensitization when the  $AT<sub>1</sub>$  blocker was injected in NAcc. Our results support the hypothesis of a key role of brain RAS in the neuroadaptative changes induced by amphetamine.

Key words: angiotensin II, behavioral sensitization, amphetamine,  $AT_1$  receptors, angiotensinogen

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

The role of brain Angiotensin II (Ang II) is complex and is related with control of the autonomic, hormonal system, sensorial and cognitive processes including regulation of cerebral blood flow [1]. Ang II exerts its principal known actions acting through the  $AT_1$  receptor. In this sense, the  $AT_1$  modulatory action on noradrenergic [2], serotoninergic [3], glutamatergic and gabaergic neurotransmission [4, 5] has been described. In relation to glutamatergic neurotransmission, it has been described that Ang II modulates the neuronal response to glutamate via both  $AT_1$  and  $AT_2$  receptors possibly at postsynaptic level in the superior colliculus, locus coeruleus and dorsal lateral nucleus among other areas [6-8]. In respect to gabaergic neurotransmission a broad range of data supports the view that neuronal angiotensin peptides can modulate the inhibitory responses to GABA and vice versa. Thus, GABA system exerts an inhibitory control on specific neuronal pathways integrated in various central effects of Ang II such as blood pressure responses, drinking and release of vasopressin [9].

denotingly and actions acting through the AT<sub>1</sub> receptor. In this sense, the AT<sub>1</sub> modent energic [2], serotoninergic [3], glutamatergic and gabacrgic neurotransmission [4d. In relation to glutamatergic neurotransmission, Brain Ang II was found to regulate responses induced by drugs of abuse such as cocaine and amphetamine (Amph), among others [10-13]. There is a large body of evidence to support the concept of a relationship between brain Ang II and catecholamine systems [14, 15]. This interaction may participate in some central actions of Ang II such as cardiovascular control, dipsogenesis, and complex behaviors. This observation suggests that drugs which modulate central Ang II may be useful in regulating central dopamine (DA) activity. The presence of Ang II  $AT<sub>1</sub>$  receptors has been described in pre- and postsynaptic caudate putamen (CPu) dopaminergic neurons [16], which are involved in the motor and behavioral responses induced by psychostimulants. There is functional evidence that the RAS is involved in modulating DA neurotransmission by increasing DA release in the CPu, an effect mediated by  $AT_1$  receptors [16]. These functional interactions correlate well with anatomical findings that demonstrate high  $AT_1$  receptor density in DA-rich regions, in CPu, hypothalamus, nucleus accumbens (NAcc), ventral pallidum [17, 18]. It was also published that Ang II enhanced the stereotypy induced by apomorphine (DA receptor agonist), and this response was blocked by Ang II  $AT<sub>1</sub>$  receptor antagonists [19].

The enhanced response to psychostimulants, a phenomenon termed behavioral sensitization, relies on time-dependent neuroplastic changes in the brain circuitry involved in motivational behavior [20, 21]. These changes are associated with long-lasting hyperactivity of the mesolimbic dopaminergic pathway [22, 23]. The evidence indicates that exposure to a drug of abuse is not needed to be repeated to induce locomotor sensitization; thus, studies in mice and rats showed that a single exposure to psychostimulants

(Amph or Cocaine) induces behavioral sensitization [24, 25]. The sensitization process encompasses two temporally distinct phases: induction and expression [22, 26]. Neuroadaptive changes in mesotelencephalic dopaminergic projections play a key role in the induction and expression of Amph sensitization. Sensitization can be induced by microinjection of Amph into the ventral tegmental area; meanwhile, its expression is associated with time-dependent adaptations in forebrain DA-innervated areas such as the NAcc and CPu.

Sensitization can be induced by merromjection of Amph mto ine ventral tegrnen<br>sensitization is associated with time-dependent adaptations in forebrain DA-int<br>the NAcc and CPu.<br>Here Manuscriptic and the second administrati In the two-injection protocol, the changes in responsiveness induced by the first psychostimulant administration are revealed by the second administration. Many of the responses induced by repeated exposure to psychostimulants can be achieved by a single exposure [27]; like the increase in extracellular DA [11, 25, 28], activation of NMDA and  $D_1$  receptors, regulation of cAMP-dependent and ERK pathways, among others [29, 30]. For this reason this protocol is useful in order to study the long lasting effects of drugs of abuse allowing to distinguish more precisely the two events in the sensitization phenomenon: induction and expression. However, there is no deep study as regards the two-injection protocol since there is no enough evidence that make it comparable to the repeated administration paradigms.

Our previous findings showed the involvement of the Ang II  $AT_1$  receptors in the development of behavioral and neurochemical sensitization induced by a single exposure to Amph [11, 13]. Based on these results, the following question arose: if this psychostimulant is able to induce long-term changes in brain RAS components and the role of the  $AT_1$  receptors in the expression of behavioral sensitization.

In this study, the determinations were done 7 and 21 days after Amph administration considering our previous results and other authors´ results. The behavioral test was performed after 21 days of psychostimulant injection when the expression of behavioral sensitization was higher [11, 13, 25].

### *2.* **EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

#### *2.1 Animals*

Adult male Wistar rats (250–330 g) from our own colony (*Facultad de Ciencias Químicas Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Argentina*) were used. The rats were maintained at 20–24°C under a 12 h light– dark cycle (lights on at 07 a.m.) with free access to food and water. The animals were randomly housed in groups of four per cage 7 days before treatment.

# COEPTED

All procedures were handled in accordance with the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals as approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of the *Facultad de Ciencias Químicas Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Argentina.*

### *2.2 Drugs*

D-amphetamine sulphate (Amph, Sigma Chemical Co.) and the  $AT_1$  receptor antagonist Losartan (Los, Sigma-Aldrich) each dissolved in 0.9% saline. The doses were calculated on the basis of the weight of the salt of each drug and each dose was chosen considering previous work [11, 25, 31].

### *2.3 Experimental Procedures*

### **2.3.1 Experiment 1**

the sulphate (Amph, Sigma Chemical Co.) and the AT<sub>1</sub> receptor antagonist Losart<br>h) cach dissolved in 0.9% saline. The doses were calculated on the basis of the w<br>h drug and each dose was chosen considering previous work The experiment was carried out to study the long-lasting effects of Amph exposure on brain RAS components in a two-injection protocol. For this purpose, a total of 63 animals were randomly divided into two groups and administered once with Amph (5 mg/kg, i.p.) or saline and immediately returned to their home cage. They were left undisturbed until the day of the experiment. Seven or 21 days after pretreatment, the Amph-induced changes were evaluated in both groups by immunohistochemistry, western blotting and PCR analysis (Fig. 1A).

### **2.3.2 Experiment 2**

The experiment was carried out to study the functional role for Ang II  $AT_1$  receptors in the expression of Amph-induced locomotor sensitization in a two-injection protocol. For this purpose a total of 79 animals were randomly divided into two groups and administered once with Amph (5 mg/kg, i.p.) or saline. They were left undisturbed in their home cages and 1 week before the experiments, the animals were implanted with an intracerebral cannulae. Twenty-one days after pretreatment, the locomotor activity was evaluated following a challenge injection of Amph (0.5 mg/kg, i.p.), Fig. 1B. Five minutes before the challenge injection, the animals received a bilateral cerebral microinjection in CPu or NAcc of Los (two doses were evaluated: 4 and 8  $\mu$ g/side, volume of infusion 0.5  $\mu$ l) [31].

#### *2.4 Immunohistochemistry for AT1 receptors*

of salme and heparme (200 µL) followed by 400 mL of 4% paraformaldehyde in<br>
ffer (pH 7.4). The brains were removed and post fixed in the same fixative overnit<br>
the propared in 30% sucrose in PBS until the brain settled. A The animals were anesthetized with chloral hydrate 16% (400 mg/kg, i.p.) and perfused transcardially with 250 mL of saline and heparine (200  $\mu$ L) followed by 400 mL of 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). The brains were removed and post fixed in the same fixative overnight at 4 ºC. They were then placed in 30% sucrose in PBS until the brain settled. After this, the brains were sectioned in a cryostat (Leica 1510S) into 20-µm-thick coronal slices and were then used for immunohistochemistry for  $AT_1$  receptors. Section samples were taken from CPu (bregma 2.16 mm) and NAcc (bregma 2.16 mm) according Paxinos & Watson Atlas [32]. In order to inhibit endogenous peroxidase activity, they were placed in a mixture of  $10\% \text{ H}_2\text{O}_2$  and  $10\%$  methanol until oxygen bubbles ceased appearing. Blocking of nonspecific binding sites was performed with 10% normal horse serum (NHS) (GIBCO, Auckland, NZ) in 0.1 M Buffer Phosphate (PB). Sections were then incubated overnight, at room temperature, in a mouse monoclonal anti- $AT_1$  receptor antibody [33], diluted 1:10000 in PB containing 2% NHS and 0.3% Triton X-100 (Flucka Analytical). Followed by incubation with biotin-SP-conjugated Donkey anti-mouse secondary antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, INC), diluted 1:5000 in 2% NHS-PB and the avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex (ABC- Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA), diluted 1:200 in 2% NHS-PB, 2 h at room temperature each solution. The peroxidase label was detected with diaminobenzidine hydrochloride (Sigma Chemical Co.); the solution was intensified with 1% cobalt chloride and 1% nickel ammonium sulfate. This method produces a blue-black reaction product. Finally, the free-floating sections were mounted on gelatinized slides, air dried overnight, dehydrated, cleared in xylene and placed under a coverslip with DPX mountant for histology (Flucka Analytical).

The tissue was visualized using a Leica Microscope (DM 4000B). Representative images of at least 5 animals per group were captured with a Leica DFC digital camera. Two slices containing CPu and NAcc were analyzed in both hemispheres for positive immunostaining for  $AT_1$  receptors in a double blind determination. The positive  $AT_1$  immunostaining shows a characteristic diffuse pattern on the tissue.

### *2.5 Tissue Preparation for WB and PCR.*

Animals were decapitated and their brains were quickly removed and placed in an acrylic brain matrix (Stoelting CO., USA) on ice. Coronal brain slices of 2 mm containing CPu and NAcc (bregma: 2.16 mm) were isolated according to Paxinos & Watson Atlas [32] and the areas of interest were bilaterally

dissected. Each sample consisted of two pooled rat brains. Each structure was weighted and homogenized in 500 µl of TRizol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) following the manufacturer's protocol. Aqueous and organic phases were separated by addition of chloroform (0.2 mL/ mL of inicial TRizol) followed by centrifugation (12000 xg for 15 min). RNA for PCR was first isolated from the aqueous phase and the organic one was stored at -70 ºC for later protein fraction isolation.

### 2.6 Western blotting analysis for AT<sub>1</sub> receptors and Angiotensinogen (AOGEN).

**2.6.1 Isolation of protein fraction.** First DNA was precipitated by ethanol 100% (0.3 mL/ mL TRizol). Proteins were obtained from the supernatant portion by later addition of isopropyl alcohol (1.5 mL/ mL TRizol) and centrifugation at 12000 xg for 10 min. The protein containing pellet was rinsed three times with guanidine hydrochloride (0.3 M in ethanol 95% - 2 mL/ mL TRizol), each one for 5 min centrifugation at 7500 xg. After 30 min incubation with ethanol 100 % (2 mL/pellet) the samples were centrifuged at 7500 xg for 5 min and the proteins precipitated were dried with a vacuum bell. Finally, the proteins were taken to solution with SDS 1% at 50 ºC in a thermostatic bath. After protein concentration determination by Bradford procedure (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA) the samples were stored at -20 ºC.

was or entringiation (12000 xg for 13 mm). KNN for PCK was first solated r<br>and the organic one was stored at -70 °C for later protein fraction isolation.<br> **Acception** and yists for AT, receptors and Angiotensinogen (AOGEN **2.6.2 Protein quantification.** Proteins samples (40µg/line) were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred to a PVDF membrane (Amersham Hybond- P, GE Healthcare). After blocking with 5% BSA diluted in TTBS buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, and 0.05% Tween) for 1 hour, at room temperature, the membranes were incubated with an appropriate amount of the primary antibody mouse monoclonal anti-AT<sub>1</sub> (1:500; Frei et al.) and rabbit monoclonal anti-AOGEN (1:1500, EPR2931, Abcam) in TTBS, at 4 ºC overnight. After washing the membranes with TTBS for 1 hour, they were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (1:2000, Jackson) or goat antirabbit IgG (1:2500, Millipore) in the same buffer solution for 1 hour at room temperature. After washing for 1 hour, proteins were visualized with X-ray films (Kodak) using a chemiluminescence system (ECL, Amersham Pharmacia Biotech). The resulting film samples were scanned and analyzed with an image analysis program (GelPro32 Analyzer). The amount of each protein was calculated normalizing values of O.D. with values of tubulin control.

#### *2.7 PCR for angiotensinogen*

**2.7.1 Isolation of total RNA.** For each experimental group, the RNA isolation was performed in triplicate. Following the separation of the aqueous phase, the RNA was precipitated using isopropyl alcohol and then centrifuged at 12000 xg for 10 min. Extracts were diluted 1:100 with nuclease-free water (0.1% diethylpyrocarbonate) and RNA concentration determined by absorption at 260 nm. The total RNAs were treated with TURBO DNA-free kit to remove contaminating DNA.

netnypyrocaroonate) and KNA concentration accemuned by absorption at 200 n<br>cert retacted with TURBO DNA-free kit to remove contaminating DNA.<br>
Sion of mRNA level. Isolation of total RNA from tissue pools and first-strane<br> **2.7.2 Expression of mRNA level.** Isolation of total RNA from tissue pools and first-strand cDNA synthesis was performed and subjected to RT-PCR analysis. First-strand cDNA synthesis was performed with 1 μL of Oligo-dT20 (50 μM) (Invitrogen), 3 μg of total RNA and 300 U of SuperScript III-RT (Invitrogen) in a 20 μL reaction volume that was incubated at 55 °C for 1 h. Subsequent PCRs used 1 μL of first-strand cDNA as template, 0.2 µM of each specific primer and GADPH primers as positive controls,  $0.5$  U of Taq Platinum DNA polymerase (Invitrogen),  $0.2$  mM dNTPs,  $1.5$  mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, and  $2.5$ µL of 10X PCR Buffer minus M (Invitrogen) in a 25 µL reaction. The PCR was performed by using a thermocycler Mycycler (Bio-Rad) with an initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles at 94 °C for 30 seconds, 58 °C for 1.0 minute, 72 °C for 40 seconds and a final incubation at 72 °C for 10 min. Specific primers were sense: 5´-CATGAGTTCTGGGTGGACAA-3´and antisense: 5´- AAGTTGTTCTGGGCGTCACT-3´. The GADPH–specific universal primer pair was used. The RT-PCR products (10 µL) were separated by electrophoresis on a 10-cm 1.5% agarose gel (Tris-acetate EDTA buffer, pH 8) containing 0.5 µg/mL of ethidium bromide. Digital images were obtained under ultraviolet illumination with a Chemi Doc System (Bio-Rad). Semi-quantification of PCR bands was performed with the IMAGE J Launcher through a graphical method that measures peak areas.

### *2.8 Surgery for cannulae implantation*

Animals were anesthetized i.p. with ketamine (55 mg/kg, Holliday)/ xylazine (11 mg/kg, Köing). In aseptic conditions, rats' skull were exposed and using a stereotaxic device (Stoelting) were implanted with bilateral stainless-steel cannulae (22 gauge) fixed with dental cement (Subiton, Argentina). Also one stainless-steel screw was anchored to the skull. Cannulae were placed 2 mm above the final place of injection. According to Paxinos & Watson Atlas [32] coordinates in respect to bregma were: **CPu:** AP = +2.16 mm; L =  $\pm$ 1.6 mm; DV = -2.5 mm. **NAcc:** AP = +2.16 mm; L =  $\pm$ 1.5 mm; DV = -4.5 mm. Immediately after surgery and on the next day, the animals received Norciciline (5000000 U.I., i.p. Laboratorios NORT) and were maintained undisturbed during a week for recovery.

# CCEPTED

### *2.9 Intracerebral infusion of Losartan*

The day of the experiment, animals were injected with Los or saline inserting a stainless steel injection cannula (30 gauges) into the guide cannula. This cannula was attached through a polyethylene catheter (P10) to a 10 μl microsyringe (Hamilton). Volumes of 0.5 μl of saline or Los solution were gradually injected over 1 minute periods into left and right sides using an infusion bomb (HARVARD, model 22). The injection cannula was left in place for an additional 30 sec. to allow complete liquid diffusion.

### *2.10 Locomotor activity*

μt microsyringe (Hamilton). Volumes of 0.5 μl of saline or Los solution were g<br>1 minute periods into left and right sides using an infusion bomb (HARVARD, m<br>cannula was left in place for an additional 30 sec. to allow co The testing apparatus consisted of rectangular cages  $(30.5 \times 19.5 \times 46.5 \text{ cm}^3)$  equipped with two parallel infrared photocell beams located 3 cm above the floor. Interruption of either beam resulted in a photocell count. The testing apparatus was placed in a different room from the one where the animals were housed. All the rats were tested once between 09 a.m. and 06 p.m. under white light in a quiet room. They were placed individually in the testing apparatus for a 2-hour habituation period before being injected with saline and tested for 1 hour. Finally, they were injected with Amph (0.5 mg/kg i.p), 5 minutes after intracerebral infusion of Los or saline. Motor activity counts were monitored at 10-minutes intervals for 2 hours following the injection.

### *2.11 Histology*

After locomotor activity was recorded, animals were euthanatized by an overdose of chloral hydrate 16%; their brains were removed and immersion-fixed in a 4% formol solution for one week. Coronal sections of 60 µm were obtained using a cryostat (Leica CM1510S) and analyzed under magnifying glass in order to confirm the guide cannula location. Only animals with correct cannula position were considered for statistical analysis.

### *2.12 Statistical analysis*

Experimental data were reported as means  $\pm$  SEM. Molecular experiments were analyzed by one-way ANOVA, followed by post hoc analysis Newman-Keuls multiple comparison test. For the locomotor activity 10-min block analysis, the study design used three-way ANOVA considering the following factors: Drug (saline/ Amph), treatment (saline/ Los) and time (analyzed as a repeated measure). If an interaction and/or main effect were observed, pair-wise comparisons following ANOVA were made

using Bonferroni post test. The total photocell counts during the 2 hours after Amph challenge were analyzed by two-way ANOVA using the Bonferroni post test with Amph and saline as treatment factor and Los and saline as drug factor. A value of  $p \le 0.05$  was considered significant.

#### **3. RESULTS**

#### **3.1 Localization and quantification of AT<sub>1</sub> receptors**

Representative microphotographs exhibited a great increase of  $AT_1$  receptors immunostaining induced by Amph pretreatment in both studied areas, CPu (Fig. 2A) and NAcc (Fig. 2C), 7 and 21 days after the pretreatment.

The increase of  $AT_1$  receptors was corroborated using the western blot technique (Fig. 2B and 2D). The one-way ANOVA of CPu data showed a significant effect of the treatment  $F_{(2, 15)} = 8.60$ , p < 0.05. Bonferroni *post hoc* comparisons indicated significant differences between animals treated with Amph, 7  $(p < 0.05)$  or 21  $(p < 0.01)$  days before and the control animals (Fig. 2B).

The one-way ANOVA of NAcc data revealed a significant effect of the treatment  $F_{(2, 14)} = 12.75$ , p<0.001. Bonferroni *post hoc* comparisons noted significant differences between animals treated with Amph,  $7 (p < 0.05)$  or  $21 (p < 0.01)$  days before and the control animals (Fig. 2D).

### **3.2 Expression and quantification of angiotensinogen.**

**LTS**<br> **COMPTE 18**<br> **COMPT** The expression of the mRNA and the protein for the precursor of Ang II, AOGEN, were studied. The results showed a decrease in the expression of the mRNA of AOGEN in CPu 21 days after Amph pretreatment (Fig. 3A). The one-way ANOVA indicated a significant effect of treatment in CPu,  $F_{(2, 24)}$  = 6.88, p < 0.05. Bonferroni *post hoc* indicated significant differences between Amph pretreated animals 21 days before and the control animals ( $p < 0.01$ ). No significant differences were observed in CPu of animals pretreated with Amph 7 days before vs. saline group (Fig. 3A), neither in NAcc of animals pretreated with Amph at none of the studied times respect to control group (Fig. 3C).

The results of the western blot analysis also showed a decrease in the protein density of AOGEN in CPu, induced by Amph 21 days before (Fig. 3B). The one-way ANOVA revealed a significant effect of treatment in CPu,  $F_{(2, 15)} = 4.04$ ,  $p < 0.05$ . Bonferroni *post hoc* indicated significant differences between Amph pretreated animals 21 days before and the control animals ( $p < 0.05$ ). No significant differences

were observed in CPu of animals pretreated with Amph 7 days before vs. saline group (Fig. 3B), or in NAcc of animals pretreated with Amph at any of the times with respect to control group (Fig. 3D).

#### **3.3 Expression of amphetamine-induced locomotor sensitization**

Fig. 4 and 5 shows the register of locomotor activity after a challenge injection of Amph (0.5 mg/kg, i.p.) of animals pretreated with Amph 21 days before, which received  $AT_1$  receptor blocker, Los (8  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L), in CPu or NAcc, 5 minutes before the challenge injection of Amph. In another group of animals, a lower dose of Los (4 µg/µL) was tested and no significant differences were found between the groups (data not shown).

The results show that the increase of Amph-induced locomotor activity was blunted by  $AT_1$  receptors blockade in CPu. No effects in the expression of Amph-induced sensitization were observed by blocking  $AT<sub>1</sub>$  receptors in NAcc.

The sal-sal, sal-Los, Amph-sal, Amph-Los groups were statistically analyzed together, but are shown in two graphs for better visualization. See below the statistical data analysis of each studied areas.

and amplies and the metallical of the term is the symbol of Semi-<br>terated With Amph 21 days before, which received AT<sub>1</sub> receptor blocker, Los (8  $\mu$ <br>streated with Amph 21 days before, which received AT<sub>1</sub> receptor block **3.3.1 CPu**: Three-way ANOVA analysis after an Amph challenge revealed a significant effect of drug (sal/Amph)  $F_{(1, 26)} = 10.49 \text{ p} < 0.01$ , treatment (sal/Los)  $F_{(1, 26)} = 7.64 \text{ p} < 0.05$ , time  $F_{(11, 286)} = 12.46 \text{ p} < 0.0001$ (analyzed as a repeated measure), interaction drug\*treatment  $F_{(1, 26)} = 8.67 \text{ p} \leq 0.01$  and interaction between the three factors (drug\*treatment\*time)  $F_{(11, 286)} = 2.36 \text{ p} < 0.01$ . No significant effect was observed for interaction time\*drug  $F_{(11, 286)} = 1.29$  p= 0.22 and interaction time\*treatment  $F_{(11, 286)} = 1.65$ p= 0.085. Bonferroni *post hoc* comparisons on the triple interaction indicated that photocell counts at 90, 100 and 110 min in Amph-sal group were significantly different from sal-sal and sal-Los groups (p< 0.05). In addition, Bonferroni *post hoc* comparisons indicated that photocell counts at 90, 100 and 110 min in Amph-sal group were significantly higher than Amph-Los group ( $p$ < 0.05) (Fig. 4B-C). No significant differences were found between sal-sal and sal-Los groups (Fig. 4B). Three-way ANOVA analysis of saline challenge indicated no significant effect for drug  $F_{(1, 26)} = 0.01$  p= 0.91 and treatment  $F_{(1, 16)}$  $_{26}$ = 0.20 p= 0.66, a significant effect was found for time  $F_{(5, 130)}$ = 5.09 p< 0.001.

When analyzed the total locomotor activity after Amph challenge, it was found that Los was able to blunt the expression of Amph-induced locomotor activity. The results obtained from the two-way ANOVA analysis showed a significant effect of treatment  $F_{(1,27)}= 10.26 \text{ p} \leq 0.001$  and interaction  $F_{(1,27)}=4.65$ p<0.05. Bonferroni *post hoc* indicated that Amph-sal was significantly higher than the control group, salsal ( $p \le 0.01$ ). The Amph-induced sensitization was significantly decreased in the Amph-Los group

(Amph-sal vs. Amph-Los,  $p \le 0.05$ ). Amph-Los did was not statistically different from sal-Los ( $p \ge 0.05$ ). No differences were found between control groups (sal-sal vs. sal-los, Fig. 4D).

**3.3.1 NAcc**: Three-way ANOVA analysis after an Amph challenge revealed a significant effect of drug (sal/Amph)  $F_{(1, 31)} = 21.99 \text{ p} < 0.0001$  and time  $F_{(11, 341)} = 2.90 \text{ p} < 0.005$  (analyzed as a repeated measure). No significant effect was observed for treatment (sal/Los)  $F_{(1, 31)} = 0.002$  p= 0.96, interaction drug\*treatment F<sub>(1, 31)</sub>= 0.89 p= 0.35, time\*drug F<sub>(11, 341)</sub>= 1.25 p= 0.25, time\*treatment F<sub>(11, 341)</sub>= 0.75 p= 0.69 and drug\*treatment\*time  $F_{(11, 341)} = 1.67$  p= 0.08. Three-way ANOVA analysis of saline challenge indicated no significant effect for drug  $F_{(1, 31)} = 3.15$  p= 0.08 and treatment  $F_{(1, 31)} = 3.84$  p= 0.06, a significant effect was found for time  $F_{(5, 155)} = 2.39$  p < 0.05 (Fig. 5B-C).

When analyzed the total locomotor activity after Amph challenge, it was found that Los was able to blunt the expression of Amph-induced locomotor activity. The results obtained from the two-way ANOVA analysis showed a significant effect of drug  $F_{(1, 31)} = 21.99$  p< 0.0001. No significant effect was found for treatment  $F_{(1, 31)} = 0.002$  p= 0.96 and interaction  $F_{(1, 31)} = 0.89$  p= 0.35 (Fig. 5D).

#### **4. DISCUSSION**

The main findings of this work are the long-lasting changes in brain RAS components induced by Amph within CPu and NAcc. Moreover, a functional role for the  $AT_1$  receptors in CPu in the expression of behavioral sensitization to Amph was found.

(1, si)= 2(1,99 Ps-0,000) and time  $P_{(11, 34)} = 2.90$  ps-0,000 (analyzed as a repeated to effect was observed or treatment (sal/1.68) F<sub>1, si)</sub>= 0,002 p= 0,08, in F<sub>1, 31</sub>,= 0,002 p= 0,6, in F<sub>1</sub>, si<sub>1</sub>,= 0,89 p= 0,35, ti It has been shown that repeated and single Amph administration increases the reactivity of DA release in CPu and NAcc [11, 25]. Evidence suggests that DA and Ang II systems directly counter regulate each other in the striatum and substantia nigra of rodents [34]. In this sense, the depletion of DA with reserpine induced a significant increase in the expression of  $AT_1$  and  $AT_2$  receptors which decreased as the DA function was restored. The same phenomenon on Ang II receptors was observed after the dopaminergic denervation with 6-hydroxydopamine and the administration of L-Dopa decreased the augmented  $AT_1$  and  $AT_2$  receptor density [34]. An up-regulation of Ang II  $AT_1$  receptors in NAcc in mouse induced by chronic haloperidol treatment has been described using in vitro autoradiography [35]. To this respect, Labandeira-Garcia group suggests that the  $AT_1$  receptor expression is closely related to DA levels through direct (DA and  $AT_1$  receptors) and indirect (changes in Ang II levels) mechanisms [36]. Moreover, chronic blockade of  $AT_1$  receptors induced an increase of  $D_1$  together with a decrease in  $D_2$  receptors in CPu [37]. Furthermore, there is evidence that supports the existence of an

intracellular/intracrine RAS in DA neurons in particular which has also been suggested for several cell types [38, 39].

The increase in  $AT_1$  receptors in CPu and NAcc shown in this study indicate that exposure to Amph is able to induce persistent alterations in a RAS component in brain regions which are target for the actions of drugs of abuse. Based on the evidence describing interactions between DA system and  $AT_1$  receptors, it is possible to suggest that the long-lasting changes induced by Amph exposure could be due to dopaminergic system influences.

There is also evidence showing that changes in Ang II levels may also affect Ang II receptors density. In this sense, transgenic rats with very low levels of brain Ang II showed increased  $AT_1$  receptors expression [34]. In contrast, repeated Ang II i.c.v. administration increased the expression of the  $AT<sub>1</sub>$ receptors in different brain areas associated with a decreased response to Ang II [40]. Given the techniques applied in the present study, it is not possible to assure that the augmented  $AT_1$  receptors are functional. Moreover, the staining obtained in the present work has been previously described to be located intracellularly and in the neuron membrane [41].

persistion attentions in a KAS component in brain regions which are target for those<br>use. Based on the evidence describing interactions between DA system and AT<sub>1</sub> re<br>sito suggest that the long-lasting changes induced by It is well known that the astroglia is the main site of AOGEN synthesis [42, 43] although it is probably produced at low levels in neurons [44, 45]. In the present study, both studied regions CPu and NAcc presented long-lasting changes in  $AT_1$  receptors density after Amph exposure, but only in CPu a significant decrease in the Ang II precursor, AOGEN, was found. There is evidence supporting a relation between the  $AT_1$  receptors and the Ang II precursor in the brain. To this respect, using two different  $AT_1$ receptor antagonists (losartan and irbesartan), there was a widespread up-regulation of AOGEN mRNA with low doses and down-regulation with higher doses [46]. Other authors found that systemic administration of candesartan, an  $AT_1$  receptor antagonist, decreased Ang II, AOGEN mRNA and angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) in basal ganglia [47]. Despite the effects of the different receptor antagonists it is clear that manipulations of the  $AT_1$  receptors resulted in changes of AOGEN levels. One possible explanation for our results is that the decrease of AOGEN in CPu is related to an overstimulation of  $AT_1$  receptors, showing that these receptors are functional, in contrast to those in NAcc.

There is evidence showing changes in RAS components in response to ethanol, another drug of abuse. In this sense, ethanol dependent rats showed a decrease on  $AT_1$  receptor density and an increase of AOGEN mRNA expression in medial prefrontal cortex after 7 weeks of alcohol abstinence [48]. Moreover, Maul et al. [49], found an altered DA concentration in ventral tegmental area in transgenic rats expressing a specific AOGEN antisense RNA in the brain (TGR(ASrAOGEN)680). Also, these rats exhibit reduced

AOGEN levels in the brain, resulting in a reduction in central Ang II formation and increased  $AT<sub>1</sub>$ expression, associated with a lower ethanol consumption [48, 49]. Additional studies, using gene microarrays reported an increased AOGEN expression in high ethanol preference rats [50]. These studies further support the relation between brain RAS components and neuroadaptive responses to a drug of abuse as well as a counter regulation between  $AT_1$  receptors and Ang II synthesis.

There is indirect evidence of RAS involvement in neuroadaptative changes induced by psychostimulants. In this sense, sodium depletion which activates RAS and Ang II synthesis was found to develop cross-sensitization effects leading to enhanced locomotor activity responses to Amph [51]. Recently, it was demonstrated in rats that repeated administration of the mineralocorticoid agonist, deoxycorticosterone acetate (DOCA), induced progressive increase in daily hypertonic saline consumption (i.e., sensitization of sodium appetite) and a greater locomotor response to cocaine [52]. These experiments indicate that treatments implying RAS activation show reciprocal behavioral crosssensitization with psychostimulants.

The relation between oral RAS components and electrodaptive responses to a<br>s a counter regulation between AT<sub>1</sub> receptors and Ang II synthesis.<br>direct evidence of RAS involvement in neurodaptative changes induction<br>this. Direct relationship between RAS and behavioral sensitization was found in our laboratory. It was shown that Ang II  $AT_1$  receptors are involved in the development of behavioral and neurochemical sensitization induced by a single exposure to Amph [11, 13]. Based on these previous results, the following question arose: Do the  $AT_1$  receptors have a functional role in the expression of behavioral sensitization? In this sense, the expression of behavioral sensitization was attenuated by  $AT_1$  receptor blockade only in CPu. The two brain areas evaluated are rich in dopaminergic terminals and are strongly related to psychostimulants sensitized responses. However, there is evidence showing differential DA release in response to electrical stimulation between CPu and NAcc. Moreover, the DA release in the CPu is not regulated by dopamine autoreceptor activation in contrast to NAcc [53]. To this respect, Grace has suggested two mechanisms regulating DA release in the projections of the dopaminergic systems. A phasic release regulated by depolarization of dopaminergic nerve cell bodies and a tonic regulation of DA release independent of electrical activity of these neurons [54]. In this context, it has been suggested that tonic influences may be more significant in the CPu than in the NAcc [53]. This last is in agreement with the evidences showing the tonic influence of Ang II over DA synthesis and release in CPu through  $AT<sub>1</sub>$  receptors [16, 55].

With respect to psychostimulant effects, these two brain areas are believed to have different roles in the process of drug abuse. The NAcc has been repeatedly shown to be important for reward and motivation of drug-seeking behavior [56, 57]. This area is hypothesized to be involved in the initial stages of the

# COEPTED

addiction process. The CPu, on the other hand, is seen to be important for habituation and involved in the long-term reinforcement of drug abuse [56]. Bjorklund et al, showed different activities and possibly molecular or regulatory changes in the neuronal DA transporter in CPu versus NAcc accompanying methamphetamine sensitization [58]. However, the localization of  $AT_1$  receptors in DA neurons in CPu and NAcc, and the DA release in both brain areas through  $AT_1$  receptor stimulation have been described. These similarities were observed in basal conditions, but the same studies were not performed after psychostimulants drug exposure or after stress or salt deprivation. In addition, Ang II not only interacts with dopaminergic but also interacts with glutamatergic or GABAergic neurotransmission [59], giving a more complex scenario.

mne sensurzation [38]. However, the localization of  $AT_1$  receptors in D/A neurons<br>the DA release in both brain areas through  $AT_1$  receptor simulation have been de<br>tites were observed in basal conditions, but the same st In summary, this is the first study -to our knowledge- showing that the long-lasting changes in brain RAS should be considered among all psychostimulant-induced neuroadaptations previously described. It is important to highlight that pharmacological treatment or environmental conditions altering RAS components could lead to dysfunctional responses to endogenous Ang II. Moreover, these results suggest a functional role for the  $AT_1$  receptors in a brain area strongly related to drug abuse disorders. More studies characterizing the cell type location of  $AT_1$  receptors could help to better understand the brain RAS role in this phenomenon.

#### **AUTHORS DISCLOSURES**

This study was supported by grants from CONICET 11220090100852-KB1, SECyT, FONCyT and MINCyT. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors are grateful to Estela Salde and Lorena Mercado for their laboratory technical assistance.

#### **REFERENCES**

[1] Saavedra JM, Ando H, Armando I, Baiardi G, Bregonzio C, Juorio A, et al. Anti-stress and antianxiety effects of centrally acting angiotensin II AT1 receptor antagonists. Regul Pept. 2005;128:237- 38.

[2] Gelband CH, Sumners C, Lu D, Raizada MK. Angiotensin receptors and norepinephrine neuromodulation: implications of functional coupling. Regul Pept. 1998;73:141-7.

[3] Nahmod VE, Finkielman S, Benarroch EE, Pirola CJ. Angiotensin regulates release and synthesis of serotonin in brain. Science. 1978;202:1091-3.

[4] Barnes KL, DeWeese DM, Andresen MC. Angiotensin potentiates excitatory sensory synaptic transmission to medial solitary tract nucleus neurons. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol. 2003;284:R1340-53.

Ing KH, O'Donovan M J, Renaud LP. Presynaptic angiotensin II AT1 receptors<br>
exitatory syngtic neurotransmission to motoneurons and other ventral hom ne<br>
exitatory syngtic Renact Thanger Manuscript Component and Tom and Tra [5] Oz M, Yang KH, O'Donovan M J, Renaud LP. Presynaptic angiotensin II AT1 receptors enhance inhibitory and excitatory synaptic neurotransmission to motoneurons and other ventral horn neurons in neonatal rat spinal cord. J Neurophysiol. 2005;94:1405-12.

[6] Albrecht D, Broser M, Kruger H, Bader M. Effects of angiotensin II and IV on geniculate activity in nontransgenic and transgenic rats. European journal of pharmacology. 1997;332:53-63.

[7] Mooney RD, Zhang Y, Rhoades RW. Effects of angiotensin II on visual neurons in the superficial laminae of the hamster's superior colliculus. Vis Neurosci. 1994;11:1163-73.

[8] Xiong HG, Marshall KC. Angiotensin II modulation of glutamate excitation of locus coeruleus neurons. Neuroscience letters. 1990;118:261-4.

[9] Unger T, Bles F, Ganten D, Lang RE, Rettig R, Schwab NA. Gabaergic stimulation inhibits central actions of angiotensin II: pressor responses, drinking and release of vasopressin. European journal of pharmacology. 1983;90:1-9.

[10] Watanabe MA, Kucenas S, Bowman TA, Ruhlman M, Knuepfer MM. Angiotensin II and CRF receptors in the central nucleus of the amygdala mediate hemodynamic response variability to cocaine in conscious rats. Brain Res. 2010;1309:53-65.

[11] Paz MC, Assis MA, Cabrera RJ, Cancela LM, Bregonzio C. The AT angiotensin II receptor blockade attenuates the development of amphetamine-induced behavioral sensitization in a two-injection protocol. Synapse. 2011;65:505-12.

[12] Hosseini M, Sharifi MR, Alaei H, Shafei MN, Karimooy HA. Effects of angiotensin II and captopril on rewarding properties of morphine. Indian J Exp Biol. 2007;45:770-7.

[13] Paz MC, Marchese NA, Cancela LM, Bregonzio C. Angiotensin II AT(1) receptors are involved in neuronal activation induced by amphetamine in a two-injection protocol. Biomed Res Int. 2013;2013:534817.

[14] Georgiev V, Gyorgy L, Getova D, Markovska V. Some central effects of angiotensin II. Interactions with dopaminergic transmission. Acta Physiol Pharmacol Bulg. 1985;11:19-26.

[15] Huang BS, Malvin RL. Dopaminergic modulation of some central actions of angiotensin II in vivo. Proc Soc Exp Biol Med. 1988;188:405-9.

[16] Brown DC, Steward LJ, Ge J, Barnes NM. Ability of angiotensin II to modulate striatal dopamine release via the AT1 receptor in vitro and in vivo. British journal of pharmacology. 1996;118:414-20.

[17] Daubert DL, Meadows GG, Wang JH, Sanchez PJ, Speth RC. Changes in angiotensin II receptors in dopamine-rich regions of the mouse brain with age and ethanol consumption. Brain Res. 1999;816:8- 16.

[18] Zhuo J, Moeller I, Jenkins T, Chai SY, Allen AM, Ohishi M, et al. Mapping tissue angiotensinconverting enzyme and angiotensin AT1, AT2 and AT4 receptors. J Hypertens. 1998;16:2027-37.

[19] Banks RJ, Mozley L, Dourish CT. The angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors captopril and enalapril inhibit apomorphine-induced oral stereotypy in the rat. Neuroscience. 1994;58:799-805.

[20] Kalivas PW. Cocaine and amphetamine-like psychostimulants: neurocircuitry and glutamate neuroplasticity. Dialogues Clin Neurosci. 2007;9:389-97.

[21] Stewart J, Badiani A. Tolerance and sensitization to the behavioral effects of drugs. Behav Pharmacol. 1993;4:289-312.

[22] Pierce RC, Kalivas PW. A circuitry model of the expression of behavioral sensitization to amphetamine-like psychostimulants. Brain Res Brain Res Rev. 1997;25:192-216.

[23] Vanderschuren LJ, Kalivas PW. Alterations in dopaminergic and glutamatergic transmission in the induction and expression of behavioral sensitization: a critical review of preclinical studies. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2000;151:99-120.

[24] Valjent E, Bertran-Gonzalez J, Aubier B, Greengard P, Herve D, Girault JA. Mechanisms of locomotor sensitization to drugs of abuse in a two-injection protocol. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2010;35:401-15.

[25] Vanderschuren LJ, Schmidt ED, De Vries TJ, Van Moorsel CA, Tilders FJ, Schoffelmeer AN. A single exposure to amphetamine is sufficient to induce long-term behavioral, neuroendocrine, and neurochemical sensitization in rats. J Neurosci. 1999;19:9579-86.

[26] Kalivas PW, Stewart J. Dopamine transmission in the initiation and expression of drug- and stressinduced sensitization of motor activity. Brain Res Brain Res Rev. 1991;16:223-44.

[27] Jing L, Zhang M, Li JX, Huang P, Liu Q, Li YL, et al. Comparison of single versus repeated methamphetamine injection induced behavioral sensitization in mice. Neuroscience letters. 2014;560:103-6.

[28] Robinson TE, Becker JB, Presty SK. Long-term facilitation of amphetamine-induced rotational behavior and striatal dopamine release produced by a single exposure to amphetamine: sex differences. Brain Res. 1982;253:231-41.

[29] Valjent E, Bertran-Gonzalez J, Aubier B, Greengard P, Herve D, Girault JA. Mechanisms of locomotor sensitization to drugs of abuse in a two-injection protocol. Neuropsychopharmacology.35:401-15.

[30] Girault JA, Valjent E, Caboche J, Herve D. ERK2: a logical AND gate critical for drug-induced plasticity? Current opinion in pharmacology. 2007;7:77-85.

[31] Llano Lopez LH, Caif F, Garcia S, Fraile M, Landa AI, Baiardi G, et al. Anxiolytic-like effect of losartan injected into amygdala of the acutely stressed rats. Pharmacol Rep. 2012;64:54-63.

[32] Paxinos G, Watson C. The Rat Brain in stereotaxic coordinates. Oxford: Elsevier; 2009.

[33] Frei N, Weissenberger J, Beck-Sickinger AG, Hofliger M, Weis J, Imboden H. Immunocytochemical localization of angiotensin II receptor subtypes and angiotensin II with monoclonal antibodies in the rat adrenal gland. Regul Pept. 2001;101:149-55.

E, Bertran-Gonzalez J, Aubier B, Greengard P, Herve D, Girault JA, Mechansiitzation to drugs of abuse in a two-injection protocol. Neuropsychopharm<br>15.<br>15.<br>16.<br>
hehren LJ, Schmidt ED, De Vries TJ, Van Moorsel CA, Tidess FJ [34] Villar-Cheda B, Rodriguez-Pallares J, Valenzuela R, Munoz A, Guerra MJ, Baltatu OC, et al. Nigral and striatal regulation of angiotensin receptor expression by dopamine and angiotensin in rodents: implications for progression of Parkinson's disease. Eur J Neurosci. 2010;32:1695-706.

[35] Jenkins TA, Chai SY, Mendelsohn FA. Upregulation of angiotensin II AT1 receptors in the mouse nucleus accumbens by chronic haloperidol treatment. Brain Res. 1997;748:137-42.

[36] Labandeira-Garcia JL, Rodriguez-Pallares J, Villar-Cheda B, Rodriguez-Perez AI, Garrido-Gil P, Guerra MJ. Aging, Angiotensin system and dopaminergic degeneration in the substantia nigra. Aging Dis. 2011;2:257-74.

[37] Labandeira-Garcia JL, Rodriguez-Pallares J, Dominguez-Meijide A, Valenzuela R, Villar-Cheda B, Rodriguez-Perez AI. Dopamine-angiotensin interactions in the basal ganglia and their relevance for Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord. 2013;28:1337-42.

[38] Lavoie JL, Cassell MD, Gross KW, Sigmund CD. Localization of renin expressing cells in the brain, by use of a REN-eGFP transgenic model. Physiol Genomics. 2004;16:240-6.

[39] Re RN. Intracellular renin and the nature of intracrine enzymes. Hypertension. 2003;42:117-22.

[40] Moellenhoff E, Blume A, Culman J, Chatterjee B, Herdegen T, Lebrun CJ, et al. Effect of repetitive icv injections of ANG II on c-Fos and AT(1)-receptor expression in the rat brain. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol. 2001;280:R1095-104.

[41] Pfister J, Spengler C, Grouzmann E, Raizada MK, Felix D, Imboden H. Intracellular staining of angiotensin receptors in the PVN and SON of the rat. Brain Res. 1997;754:307-10.

[42] Milsted A, Barna BP, Ransohoff RM, Brosnihan KB, Ferrario CM. Astrocyte cultures derived from human brain tissue express angiotensinogen mRNA. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1990;87:5720-3.

[43] Stornetta RL, Hawelu-Johnson CL, Guyenet PG, Lynch KR. Astrocytes synthesize angiotensinogen in brain. Science. 1988;242:1444-6.

[44] Kumar A, Rassoli A, Raizada MK. Angiotensinogen gene expression in neuronal and glial cells in primary cultures of rat brain. J Neurosci Res. 1988;19:287-90.

[45] Thomas WG, Greenland KJ, Shinkel TA, Sernia C. Angiotensinogen is secreted by pure rat neuronal cell cultures. Brain Res. 1992;588:191-200.

[46] Pediconi D, Martarelli D, Fontanazza A, Pompei P. Effects of losartan and irbesartan administration on brain angiotensinogen mRNA levels. European journal of pharmacology. 2005;528:79-87.

[47] Pelisch N, Hosomi N, Ueno M, Masugata H, Murao K, Hitomi H, et al. Systemic candesartan reduces brain angiotensin II via downregulation of brain renin-angiotensin system. Hypertens Res. 2010;33:161-4.

[48] Sommer WH, Rimondini R, Marquitz M, Lidstrom J, Siems WE, Bader M, et al. Plasticity and impact of the central renin-angiotensin system during development of ethanol dependence. J Mol Med (Berl). 2007;85:1089-97.

[49] Maul B, Krause W, Pankow K, Becker M, Gembardt F, Alenina N, et al. Central angiotensin II controls alcohol consumption via its AT1 receptor. FASEB J. 2005;19:1474-81.

[50] Sommer WH, Saavedra JM. Targeting brain angiotensin and corticotrophin-releasing hormone systems interaction for the treatment of mood and alcohol use disorders. J Mol Med (Berl). 2008;86:723-8.

[51] Clark JJ, Bernstein IL. Reciprocal cross-sensitization between amphetamine and salt appetite. Pharmacol Biochem Behav. 2004;78:691-8.

[52] Acerbo MJ, Johnson AK. Behavioral cross-sensitization between DOCA-induced sodium appetite and cocaine-induced locomotor behavior. Pharmacol Biochem Behav. 2011;98:440-8.

N, Barna BP, Ransohoff RM, Brosnihan KB, Ferrario CM. Astrocyte cultures derivates insue express angiotensinogen mRNA. Proc Nal Aead Sei U S A. 1990;87:5720-3.<br>
RL, Hawelu-Johnson CL, Guyenet PG, Lynch KR. Astrocytes synth [53] Trout SJ, Kruk ZL. Differences in evoked dopamine efflux in rat caudate putamen, nucleus accumbens and tuberculum olfactorium in the absence of uptake inhibition: influence of autoreceptors. British journal of pharmacology. 1992;106:452-8.

[54] Grace AA. Phasic versus tonic dopamine release and the modulation of dopamine system responsivity: a hypothesis for the etiology of schizophrenia. Neuroscience. 1991;41:1-24.

[55] Mertens B, Vanderheyden P, Michotte Y, Sarre S. Direct angiotensin II type 2 receptor stimulation decreases dopamine synthesis in the rat striatum. Neuropharmacology. 2010;58:1038-44.

[56] Vanderschuren LJ, Everitt BJ. Behavioral and neural mechanisms of compulsive drug seeking. European journal of pharmacology. 2005;526:77-88.

[57] Wise RA. Drug-activation of brain reward pathways. Drug Alcohol Depend. 1998;51:13-22.

[58] Bjorklund NL, Sorg BA, Schenk JO. Neuronal dopamine transporter activity, density and methamphetamine inhibition are differentially altered in the nucleus accumbens and striatum with no changes in glycosylation in rats behaviorally sensitized to methamphetamine. Synapse. 2008;62:736-45.

[59] Qi J, Zhang DM, Suo YP, Song XA, Yu XJ, Elks C, et al. Renin-angiotensin system modulates neurotransmitters in the paraventricular nucleus and contributes to angiotensin II-induced hypertensive response. Cardiovasc Toxicol. 2013;13:48-54.

# COEPTED

### **LEGEND TO THE FIGURES**

#### **Figure 1. Experimental protocols**

**A)** Experimental design for molecular analyses. **B)** Experimental design for locomotor activity measurements.

### **Figure 2. Localization and quantification of**  $AT_1$  **receptors**

ntal design for molecular analyses. **B**) Experimental design for locomotor is.<br> **alization and quantification of AT**<sub>1</sub> receptors<br> **raphs:** representative microphotographs showing AT<sub>1</sub> receptors immunostaining<br>  $\cdot$  (C) **Microphotographs:** representative microphotographs showing AT<sub>1</sub> receptors immunostaining in CPu **(A)** and NAcc **(C)** in basal condition, 7 and 21 days after a single dose of Amph (5 mg/kg i.p.). Upper panel 200x magnification, lower panel 400x magnification, the circles indicate representative positive immunostaining for  $AT_1$  receptors (n= 9-14). **Graphs:** optic density (O.D.) of  $AT_1$ /tubulin ratio of CPu **(B)** and NAcc **(D)** samples in basal condition, 7 and 21 days after a single dose of Amph (5 mg/kg i.p.), \*p< 0.05 different from saline group,  $(n= 4-8)$ . **Immunoblots**: representative immunoblots of  $AT_1$ receptors (41 kDa) and tubulin (55 kDa).

### **Figure 3. Expression and quantification of angiotensinogen**

**Left panel graphs:** optic density (O.D.) of AOGEN/GADPH ratio of CPu **(A)** and NAcc **(C)** samples in basal condition, 7 and 21 days after a single dose of Amph (5 mg/kg i.p.), \*p< 0.01 different from saline group, (n= 8-10). **Gels:** Representative gels of amplification products of AOGEN (1389 pb) and the constitutive expression of GADPH (307 pb). **Right panel graphs:** optic density (O.D.) of AOGEN/tubulin ratio of CPu **(B)** and NAcc **(D)** samples in basal condition, 7 and 21 days after a single dose of Amph (5 mg/kg i.p.), \*p< 0.05 different from saline group, (n= 4-8). **Immunoblots**: representative immunoblots of AOGEN (55 kDa) and tubulin (55 kDa).

### **Figure 4. Expression of amphetamine-induced locomotor sensitization. Losartan intra-CPu microinjection.**

Locomotor activity recorded in response to an Amph challenge 21 days after Amph administration in sal/Los intra-CPu microinjected animals. Brain coronal section schemes showing representative disposition of cannulae implanted in CPu for microinjection of Los in animals tested for locomotor activity 21 days after the single dose of Amph (closed circle) or saline (open circle) (**A).** Counts per 10 min bin of sal-sal and sal-Los groups (n= 8) (**B).** Counts per 10 min bin of Amph-sal and Amph-Los

# GGEPTED M

groups, \*p< 0.05 (n= 6-8) (**C).** Total counts (2 h) after an Amph challenge, \*p< 0.05 different from all groups **(D)**.

### **Figure 5. Expression of amphetamine-induced locomotor sensitization. Losartan intra-NAcc microinjection.**

Locomotor activity recorded in response to an Amph challenge 21 days after Amph administration in sal/Los intra-NAcc microinjected animals. Brain coronal section schemes showing representative disposition of cannulae implanted in NAcc for microinjection of Los in animals tested for locomotor activity 21 days after the single dose of Amph (closed circle) or saline (open circle) (**A).** Counts per 10 min bin of sal-sal and sal-Los groups (n= 6-7) (**B).** Counts per 10 min bin of Amph-sal and Amph-Los groups, \*p< 0.05 (n= 9-12) (**C).** Total counts (2 h) after an Amph challenge, \*p< 0.05 different from all groups **(D)**.

Accepted Manuscript

#### D D

Accepted Manuscript

#### **Highlights**

- 1. A single dose of amphetamine increases  $AT_1$  receptors in CPu and NAcc, 7 or 21 days later.
- 2. A single dose of amphetamine decreases Angiotensinogen in CPu 21 days later.
- 3. Expression of behavioral sensitization to amphetamine is prevented by  $AT_1$  receptor blockade.

Page 21 of 26



#### **CCEPTED** USCRI רין  $\pm$



#### CCE  $\mathsf{CR}$ Ρī D  $\pm$



### **Figure 3**

#### PT R CC D a  $\pm$



### **Figure 4**

## Caudate Putamen

#### CCE חולפי D E B  $\pm$ ۰



### Nucleus Accumbens **Figure 5**

#### O  $\blacksquare$



