

TITLE:

Solidification depth and crystallization age of the Shiaidani Granodiorite: constraints to the average denudation rate of the Hida Range, central Japan

AUTHOR(S):

Kawakami, Tetsuo; Sueoka, Shigeru; Yokoyama, Tatsunori; Kagami, Saya; King, Georgina E.; Herman, Frédéric; Tsukamoto, Sumiko; Tagami, Takahiro

CITATION:

Kawakami, Tetsuo ...[et al]. Solidification depth and crystallization age of the Shiaidani Granodiorite: constraints to the average denudation rate of the Hida Range, central Japan. Island Arc 2021, 30(1): e12414.

ISSUE DATE: 2021

URL: http://hdl.handle.net/2433/268306

RIGHT:

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:[Kawakami, T., Sueoka, S., Yokoyama, T., Kagami, S., King, G. E., Herman, F., Tsukamoto, S., & Tagami, T. (2021). Solidification depth and crystallization age of the Shiaidani Granodiorite: Constraints to the average denudation rate of the Hida Range, central Japan. Island Arc, 30(1), e12414.], which has been published in final form at https://doi.org/10.1111/iar.12414. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions. This article may not be enhanced, enriched or otherwise transformed into a derivative work, without express permission from Wiley or by statutory rights under applicable legislation. Copyright notices must not be removed, obscured or modified. The article must be linked to Wiley's version of record on Wiley Online Library and a ...





1	Solidification depth and crystallization age of the Shiaidani
2	Granodiorite: constraints to the average denudation rate of the Hida
3	Range, central Japan
4	
5	Tetsuo KAWAKAMI ^{1, *} , Shigeru SUEOKA ² , Tatsunori YOKOYAMA ² , Saya
6	KAGAMI ² , Georgina E. King ³ , Frédéric Herman ³ , Sumiko Tsukamoto ⁴ and Takahiro
7	TAGAMI ¹
8	
9	1: Department of Geology and Mineralogy, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University,
10	Kitashirakawa-Oiwake-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan
11	2: Tono Geoscience Center, Japan Atomic Energy Agency, 959-31, Jorinji, Izumi-cho, Toki, Gifu, 509-
12	5102, Japan.
13	3: Institute of Earth Surface Dynamics, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland
14	4: Leibniz Institute for Applied Geophysics, 30655 Hannover, Germany
15	
16	* Corresponding author, t-kawakami@kueps.kyoto-u.ac.jp, ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-
17	0002-5921-5562
18	



19 Abstract

20	Solidification pressure and crystallization age of the ca. 5 Ma Shiaidani Granodiorite (Hida Mountain
21	Range, central Japan) are determined based on Al-in-hornblende geobarometry and U-Pb zircon dating.
22	Al-poor patchy replacements developed in amphiboles are common in this granite and petrographic
23	study revealed that the replacements include chloritized biotite and albitic plagioclase. These are
24	probably the hydrothermally recrystallized domains, and should not be used for solidification pressure
25	estimates. Magmatic rim of amphibole is characterized by Si <7.3 a.p.f.u. (Al_{\rm IV}>0.7 a.p.f.u.), and
26	utilized in solidification pressure estimate that yielded 0.17-0.29 GPa. The solidification age of the
27	granite is estimated as ca. 5.6-5.2 Ma using U-Pb zircon dating. From these data, the lower limit of an
28	average denudation rate after ca. 5.6-5.2 Ma for the area where Shiaidani Granodiorite is exposed is
29	estimated as 0.93-2.5 mm/yr.
30	
31	Keywords: granite, exhumation, hornblende, patchy zoning
32	
33	1 Introduction
34	Emplacement depth of granitoids in the upper continental crust in combination with their solidification

- 35 ages are useful in reconstructing the complex exhumation and tectonic processes of the region where
- 36 the granitoids are currently exposed. The Kurobe area, Hida Mountain Range, central Japan is



37	characterized by the exposure of the youngest granites in the world (Ito et al., 2013, 2017), and
38	exhumation history of the young granites have been of great interest (Yamada, 1997; Yamada &
39	Harayama, 1999; Spencer et al., 2019). However, results of low-temperature geochronological
40	methods are often thermally affected by the late-stage granite intrusions (Yamada & Harayama, 1999)
41	and a method to reliably constrain the depth and age information was needed.
42	The Al-in-hornblende barometer (Anderson & Smith, 1995; Hammarstrom & Zen, 1986;
43	Mutch et al., 2016; Schmidt, 1992) has long been used to constrain the solidification pressure of
44	granitoid plutons. In applying the Al-in-hornblende barometer, choosing a right composition of
45	hornblende is very important, because the barometer uses the Al content of amphibole that crystallized
46	at the granite solidus. Mutch et al. (2016) recommended to use amphibole analyses taken from the
47	rims of grains, in contact with plagioclase and in apparent textural equilibrium with the rest of the
48	mineral assemblage at temperatures close to the haplogranite solidus, as determined from amphibole-
49	plagioclase thermometry. However, using the rim composition of amphibole is not a successful
50	criterion in the case of hornblende with patchy Al zoning (e.g., Yamaguchi et al., 2003; Hartung et al.,
51	2017), which is probably a result of post-magmatic hydrothermal alteration. As a criterion of
52	amphibole crystallized under magmatic or subsolidus conditions, Chivas (1981) proposed that
53	amphiboles with Si > 7.3 a.p.f.u. for O = 23 (Al _{IV} < 0.7 a.p.f.u.) are not truly magmatic and crystallized
54	under subsolidus conditions in the presence of a fluid phase. On the other hand, in the study of the



55	Takidani Pluton (Hida Range), Hartung et al. (2017) considered low-Al and high-Al amphiboles
56	observed as patchy zones could have formed under near-isobaric conditions and difference in Al may
57	be related to the temperature and chemical variability of the melt from which they crystallized
58	(Hartung et al., 2017). Therefore, careful microstructural observation on the coexisting minerals of
59	each amphibole domain is required to understand the development of patchy zones in amphibole, and
60	to finally constrain the solidification pressure of the pluton.
61	This study examines mode of occurrence and chemical composition of amphibole in the
62	Shiaidani Granodiorite in detail to constrain the formation timing of the patchy domains. We
63	petrographically constrain suitable amphibole domains/compositions that coexisted with the necessary
64	phases to apply the Al-in-hornblende barometry (e.g., Mutch et al., 2016) and estimate the
65	solidification pressure of the Shiaidani Granodiorite. In combination with the U-Pb zircon dating of
66	the granodiorite, average denudation rate after ca. 5.6-5.2 Ma is finally constrained for the area where
67	Shiaidani Granodiorite is exposed. In this study, we follow the definition of "exhumation" and
68	"denudation" by Ring et al. (1999), Reiners and Brandon (2006) and Sueoka and Tagami (2019).
69	"Exhumation" is the vertical distance traveled by rocks relative to Earth's surface and "denudation"
70	takes into account the lateral movement of the exhumed rocks.
71	

72 2 Geological setting



73	The Shiaidani Granodiorite crops out in the Kurobe area, Hida Mountain Range, central Japan (Figure
74	1). It is exposed as elongate-shaped pluton with a NS length of \sim 12 km and maximum EW width of
75	~3 km (Harayama, 2015). The world's youngest granite, the Kurobegawa Granite (ca. 0.8 Ma), is
76	exposed along the Kurobegawa River (Ito et al., 2013; 2017; Spencer et al., 2019). It is accompanied
77	by young volcanics such as 1.7-1.6 Ma Jiigatake Volcanics (mainly rhyolitic welded tuff and andesite
78	and rhyolite lavas) and 1.6 Ma Shirakawa-tengu Volcanics (mainly rhyolitic welded tuff) to the east
79	(Figure 1; Harayama et al., 2015). The Kurobegawa Granite intrudes the Jiigatake Volcanics
80	(Harayama et al., 2010). The Shiaidani Granodiorite is exposed roughly to the west of Kurobegawa
81	River. It was previously considered as a part of the Kurobe-bessan Granite (Harayama et al., 2010),
82	which was divided into the Shiaidani Granodiorite (ca. 5.5-5.4 Ma) and the Kuranosuke Granite (ca.
83	9.5-9.1 Ma) based on the difference in U-Pb zircon ages (Harayama, 2015; Ito et al., 2013). The
84	Kuranosuke Granite is distributed to the west of the Shiaidani Granodiorite (Figure 1). The Shiaidani
85	Granodiorite is also dated to be ca. 7.1-5.1 Ma and ca. 5.1-4.3 Ma by K-Ar dating of hornblende and
86	biotite, respectively (Ogata et al., 1983; Yamada & Harayama, 1999; Harayama, 2015; Harayama et
87	al., 2010). The zircon fission track age is dated at ca. 1.5 Ma (Harayama et al., 2010). The Kuranosuke
88	Granite, on the other hand, is dated at ca. 5.1 Ma by K-Ar dating of biotite (Harayama et al., 2010).
89	Based on the existence of a decussate structure of biotite, Harayama et al. (2010) considered that
90	recrystallization due to thermal metamorphism was evident in the Kurobe-bessan Granite.



91

92 **3 Analytical methods**

93	Quantitative analyses of rock-forming minerals and X-ray elemental mapping of thin section samples
94	were performed by a JEOL JXA-8105 superprobe at Kyoto University. Analytical conditions for
95	quantitative analyses were 15.0 kV acceleration voltage, 10 nA beam current, and 3 μ m beam diameter.
96	The counting time for the peak and backgrounds were 30 s and 15 s for Cl, 60 s and 30 s for F, and 10
97	s and 5 s for other elements. Natural and synthetic minerals were used as standards and the ZAF
98	correction was applied. Representative mineral analyses are given in Table 1. Recalculation of ferric
99	iron in amphibole and calculation of amphibole formula are based on Holland and Blundy (1994).
100	Two granite samples were crushed in a rod mill and stainless steel mortar. Zircon grains
101	were separated by panning, magnetic separation and using heavy liquid at Kyoto Fission-Track Co.
102	Ltd. After handpicking under a stereomicroscope, zircon grains were mounted in epoxy resin (Struers
103	SpeciFix-20). Cathodoluminescence (CL) images of zircon grains were obtained by using a field
104	emission electron microprobe JEOL JXA-8530F at Japan Atomic Energy Agency, Tono Geoscience
105	Center (JAEA, TGC). Analysis points were selected to avoid cracks and inclusions using CL images.
106	U-Pb isotopic analysis by laser ablation-inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry
107	(LA-ICP-MS) was performed in JAEA, TGC using Thermo Fisher Scientific Neptune-Plus coupled
108	with Photon-Machines Analyte G2 Excimer laser on separate zircon grains. For U-Pb isotope analysis



109	to estimate the zircon crystallization ages, the 91500 zircon (Wiedenbeck et al., 1995, 2004) was used
110	as the primary reference and standard material to correct the mass bias effect on $^{206}\mbox{Pb}/^{238}\mbox{U}$ and
111	207 Pb/ 206 Pb, respectively. Duplicate measurements of the secondary reference materials of OD-3 (33.0
112	± 0.1 Ma: Iwano et al., 2013) were carried out to assess the age data obtained from the unknown
113	samples. Details of the analytical conditions are given in Table S1. Isoplot 4.15 (Ludwig, 2012) was
114	used to create concordia diagrams and calculation of a weighted mean age.
115	In order to obtain accurate crystallization ages for young (<2 Ma) zircon, it is necessary to
116	consider the contributions of common Pb and initial disequilibrium caused by Th/U and Pa/U
117	fractionation in the zircon-melt system (Sakata et al., 2017; Sakata, 2018). We performed the
118	correction for common Pb by a single correction based on a modified Tera-Wasserburg concordia
119	diagram (modified ²⁰⁷ Pb method; Sakata, 2018). In order to confirm the initial disequilibrium on the
120	zircon data obtained in this study, we also made correction of the initial disequilibrium effect and
121	compared it with the equilibrium results. For the initial disequilibrium correction, we used the average
122	Th/U ratio of analyzed zircon (0.67) for the Th/U ratio of zircon grains at the time of zircon
123	crystallization [(Th/U) _{Zircon}]. Th/U ratio of the melt [(Th/U) _{Melt}] was assumed to be 4.8, which was an
124	average derived from river sand samples collected in the vicinity of the Kurobegawa Granite (Imai et
125	al., 2004; Ito et al., 2013). Then, we used a Th/U fractionation factor $[f_{Th/U} = (Th/U)_{Zircon}/(Th/U)_{Melt}]$
126	of 0.123 with 30% of estimation error for the initial Th/U fractionation correction. In this study, we



127	assumingly used general value of Pa/U fractionation factor between melt and zircon [$f_{Pa/U}$ =
128	$(Pa/U)_{Zircon}/(Pa/U)_{Melt}$] of 3.36 with 30% of estimation error (compilation value based on Rioux et al.,
129	2015; Sakata et al., 2017; Schmitt, 2011), because we did not determine a Pa/U partitioning factor.)
130	
131	4 Sample description
132	Two unweathered samples of the Shiaidani Granodiorite (Harayama, 2015) were collected from the
133	outcrop exposures (Figure 1). These samples are likely affected by post-magmatic hydrothermal
134	activity as indicated by complex patchy chemical zoning of amphibole, chloritization of biotite and
135	partial sericitization of plagioclase. In order to check coexistence of mineral phases required for the
136	application of the Al-in-hornblende geobarometry, a detailed mineral description is made for these
137	samples.
138	Sample KRG16-07 is a hornblende-biotite granite (Figure 2), which was collected at the
139	same outcrop as KRG-07 showing U-Pb zircon age of 5.6 ± 0.1 Ma (Ito et al., 2013). Matrix mineral
140	assemblage of this sample is amphibole + biotite (mostly chloritized) + plagioclase + quartz + K-
141	feldspar + magnetite + titanite + zircon + apatite + allanite. Amphibole shows gradual core/rim
142	chemical zoning accompanied by discontinuous patchy replacements; the core and patchy
143	replacements are dark under the back scattered electron (BSE) images whereas the rim is slightly
1 4 4	

144 bright under the BSE images (Figure 2c). The core is weakly enriched in Mg (Figure 2f) and Na



145	(Figure 2h), while the rim is weakly enriched in Fe (Figure 2d) and K (Figure 2k). The patchy
146	replacements are enriched in Mg (Figure 2f), Mn and Si, and depleted in Fe (Figure 2d), Al (Figure
147	2e), Cl (Figure 2g), Na (Figure 2h) and K (Figure 2k) compared to the core. The amphibole is mostly
148	magnesiohornblende except for the final-stage rim and patches corresponding to actinolite (Figure 3).
149	The amphibole core and rim enclose biotite, K-feldspar, plagioclase, ilmenite, magnetite, zircon and
150	apatite. On the other hand, the patchy replacements include biotite (partly chloritized), plagioclase,
151	titanite, K-feldspar, magnetite, zircon and apatite. Oscillatory-zoned plagioclase shows a decrease in
152	anorthite content at the rim, and the plagioclase rim in contact with hornblende rim shows composition
153	of An14-22. An albitic outermost rim (<an14) an14-22="" and<="" developed="" is="" locally="" on="" plagioclase,="" td="" the=""></an14)>
154	such plagioclase is commonly in contact with patchy replacements. The composition of albitic
155	plagioclase enclosed in the patchy replacements is similar to the composition of the outermost albitic
156	rim of matrix plagioclase (Figure 2e, i).
157	Sample KRG16-101 is a hornblende-biotite granite (Figure 4). Matrix mineral assemblage
158	$is \ amphibole + biotite + plagioclase + quartz + K-feldspar + magnetite + titanite + zircon + apatite + distribute + di$
159	allanite. Chloritization of biotite is weaker compared to sample KRG 16-07, and is only limited to the
160	rims and along the cleavages of biotite. Amphibole shows core/rim chemical zoning with patchy
161	zoning under BSE images. The gradual core/rim zoning is recognized as darker core with brighter rim
162	under the BSE images (Figure 4c). The discontinuous, commonly BSE-dark patchy replacements cut



163	the gradual zoning pattern. The rim is enriched in Fe (Figure 4e), Na (Figure 4i), K (Figure 4l) and
164	Mn, and depleted in Mg (Figure 4g) compared to the core. The BSE-dark patchy replacements are
165	more depleted in Mg (Figure 4g), Al (Figure 4f), Cl (Figure 4h) and Na (Figure 4i), and enriched in Si
166	(Figure 4d) and Mn as observed by X-ray chemical mappings. The amphibole is mostly
167	magnesiohornblende except for the final-stage patchy replacements that correspond to actinolite
168	(Figure 5). The amphibole core and rim include plagioclase (rim with An18), K-feldspar, quartz,
169	magnetite, ilmenite, apatite, zircon whereas the patchy replacements include albitic plagioclase, K-
170	feldspar, quartz, chloritized biotite, magnetite, titanite, apatite, zircon. Composite pseudo-inclusion of
171	K-feldspar and albitic plagioclase, which is connected with matrix via cracks, is commonly developed
172	even in the core of amphibole. Oscillatory-zoned plagioclase in the matrix shows decrease in anorthite
173	content at the rim, and the composition of the rim in contact with amphibole rim is An14-26. Locally,
174	albitic film (<an14) amphibole="" at="" developed="" enclosed="" hand,="" in<="" is="" on="" other="" plagioclase="" rim.="" td="" the=""></an14)>
175	plagioclase showing various compositions of An39-52, An39-41 (core) and An16-17 (rim).
176	

177 5 U-Pb zircon dating of KRG16-101

Zircons in this sample is commonly euhedral, and present in the matrix and also enclosed in biotite,
hornblende, quartz and K-feldspar. It is oscillatory- and sector-zoned under CL images (Figure 6, inset).
Mineral inclusions such as apatite are common in zircon. Th/U ratio of oscillatory-zoned rim ranges



181	from 0.42 to 1.08 (0.65 in average). Dates of oscillatory-zoned rims are determined for sample
182	KRG16-101, and the results are summarized in Table S2. Concordia plots with 1σ error ellipses show
183	that the analytical results not corrected for the contribution of the common Pb and initial disequilibria
184	are discordant (Figure 6). Common Pb-corrected weighted average of the 24 analysis spots yielded
185	238 U- 206 Pb age of 5.20 ±0.17 Ma (95% confidence level, MSWD = 0.27, probability = 0.999). The
186	initial disequilibrium correction resulted in $\sim 2\%$ difference in the weighted average age, <i>i.e.</i> , 5.31
187	± 0.17 Ma (95% confidence level, MSWD = 0.27, probability = 1.000). For simplicity, we prefer the
188	former age assuming initial equilibrium in this study.
189	
189 190	6 Amphibole composition and application of Al-in-hornblende geobarometry
189 190 191	6 Amphibole composition and application of Al-in-hornblende geobarometry The chemical composition of amphiboles in sample KRG 16-07 is plotted in Figure 3 and that in
189 190 191 192	 6 Amphibole composition and application of Al-in-hornblende geobarometry The chemical composition of amphiboles in sample KRG 16-07 is plotted in Figure 3 and that in sample KRG16-101 is plotted in Figure 5. As described above, amphibole represents gradual chemical
189 190 191 192 193	6 Amphibole composition and application of Al-in-hornblende geobarometry The chemical composition of amphiboles in sample KRG 16-07 is plotted in Figure 3 and that in sample KRG16-101 is plotted in Figure 5. As described above, amphibole represents gradual chemical zoning from the core to the rim, and discontinuous patchy replacements cut this texture (Figures 3 and
189 190 191 192 193 194	 6 Amphibole composition and application of Al-in-hornblende geobarometry The chemical composition of amphiboles in sample KRG 16-07 is plotted in Figure 3 and that in sample KRG16-101 is plotted in Figure 5. As described above, amphibole represents gradual chemical zoning from the core to the rim, and discontinuous patchy replacements cut this texture (Figures 3 and 5). In creating Figures 3 and 5, the cores, rims and replacements are classified based mainly on BSE
189 190 191 192 193 194 195	 6 Amphibole composition and application of Al-in-hornblende geobarometry The chemical composition of amphiboles in sample KRG 16-07 is plotted in Figure 3 and that in sample KRG16-101 is plotted in Figure 5. As described above, amphibole represents gradual chemical zoning from the core to the rim, and discontinuous patchy replacements cut this texture (Figures 3 and 5). In creating Figures 3 and 5, the cores, rims and replacements are classified based mainly on BSE images and partly on X-ray mappings. Therefore, discrimination between gradual core and rim was

- $197 \qquad \text{compositional areas (Si} > ~7.1 \text{ a.p.f.u. and } Al_{IV} < ~0.9 \text{ a.p.f.u. areas of Figures 3 and 5}). Nevertheless,$
- 198 it is important that original core/rim zoning and discontinuous patchy replacements are chemically



199	discriminated at around Si = 7.3 a.p.f.u. and $Al_{IV} = 0.7$ a.p.f.u. (O = 23) for both samples (Figures 3
200	and 5).
201	Because patchy replacements include secondary minerals such as albitic plagioclase,
202	chloritized biotite, and composite pseudo-inclusion of K-feldspar and albitic plagioclase that is
203	connected with matrix via cracks (Figures 2 and 4; note inclusion minerals in Al-poor patches), it is
204	considered that the patchy replacements are the recrystallized domains during hydrothermal alteration.
205	The most extensive amphibole composition that patchy replacements show is actinolitic composition
206	(Figures 3 and 5), and coexistence of such domains with albitic plagioclase and chloritized biotite also
207	supports the alteration under subsolidus hydrothermal condition.
208	On the other hand, amphibole domains that show original core/rim zoning preserve
209	amphibole composition of igneous stage as supported by presence of more calcic plagioclase
210	inclusions (~An18) as well as higher Al contents of the amphibole domains compared to the
211	replacements. The mineral inclusions in the igneous amphibole domains (plagioclase, K-feldspar,
212	quartz, magnetite, ilmenite, apatite) and the matrix minerals in contact with amphibole rim (biotite,
213	plagioclase rim) satisfy the mineral assemblage required for the application of Al-in-hornblende
214	geobarometer (Mutch et al., 2016). Therefore, Al-in-hornblende geobarometer is applied to the
215	amphibole rim to constrain the solidification pressure of the granite. Hornblende-plagioclase



217	in contact with the amphibole rim. Composition of amphibole rim enclosed in plagioclase rim is also
218	used for the $P-T$ estimate (Figure 7). Although $P-T$ estimates from patchy replacement is not
219	considered to represent the solidification condition, they are also plotted in Figure 7 for comparison.
220	The Mutch et al. (2016) calibration is preferred in this study, because it is experimentally calibrated
221	for pressure down to 0.8 kbar and applicable to shallower plutons without extrapolation compared to
222	previous calibrations (e.g., 2.5-13 kbar for Schmidt, 1992). Additionally, calibration dataset of Mutch
223	et al. (2016) involves very wide range of plagioclase composition (An15-76), and applicable without
224	extrapolation to the mineral assemblage with low-An plagioclase (\geq An15) as in the case of the studied
225	samples.
226	Application of the Al-in-hornblende geobarometer (Mutch et al., 2016) and hornblende-
226 227	Application of the Al-in-hornblende geobarometer (Mutch et al., 2016) and hornblende- plagioclase geothermometer (Holland & Blundy, 1994) to the amphibole rim and plagioclase rim pair
226 227 228	Application of the Al-in-hornblende geobarometer (Mutch et al., 2016) and hornblende- plagioclase geothermometer (Holland & Blundy, 1994) to the amphibole rim and plagioclase rim pair resulted in 616-691°C and 0.17-0.27 GPa (±0.04 GPa) for KRG 16-07, and 620-702°C and 0.17-0.29
226 227 228 229	Application of the Al-in-hornblende geobarometer (Mutch et al., 2016) and hornblende- plagioclase geothermometer (Holland & Blundy, 1994) to the amphibole rim and plagioclase rim pair resulted in 616-691°C and 0.17-0.27 GPa (\pm 0.04 GPa) for KRG 16-07, and 620-702°C and 0.17-0.29 GPa (\pm 0.05 GPa) for KRG16-101 (Figure 7). These <i>P-T</i> estimates are plotted on the haplogranite
226 227 228 229 230	Application of the Al-in-hornblende geobarometer (Mutch et al., 2016) and hornblende- plagioclase geothermometer (Holland & Blundy, 1994) to the amphibole rim and plagioclase rim pair resulted in 616-691°C and 0.17-0.27 GPa (\pm 0.04 GPa) for KRG 16-07, and 620-702°C and 0.17-0.29 GPa (\pm 0.05 GPa) for KRG16-101 (Figure 7). These <i>P-T</i> estimates are plotted on the haplogranite solidus within error (Figure 7). Assuming rock density of 2700 kg/m ³ and lithostatic pressure gives
226 227 228 229 230 231	Application of the Al-in-hornblende geobarometer (Mutch et al., 2016) and hornblende- plagioclase geothermometer (Holland & Blundy, 1994) to the amphibole rim and plagioclase rim pair resulted in 616-691°C and 0.17-0.27 GPa (\pm 0.04 GPa) for KRG 16-07, and 620-702°C and 0.17-0.29 GPa (\pm 0.05 GPa) for KRG16-101 (Figure 7). These <i>P-T</i> estimates are plotted on the haplogranite solidus within error (Figure 7). Assuming rock density of 2700 kg/m ³ and lithostatic pressure gives solidification depths of 6.3 ±1.0 km to 10.3 ±1.7 km for KRG 16-07, and 6.4 ±1.0 km to 11.0 ±1.8 km

234 **7 Discussion**



235 7.1 Discriminating magmatic and hydrothermally recrystallized amphibole

- 236 The Kurobe area exposes younger granite of ca. 0.8 Ma (Ito et al., 2013; 2017; Spencer et al., 2019), 237and estimation of denudation rate by low-temperature geochronology can be thermally affected by 238 younger intrusions. On the other hand, estimation of exhumation rate based on Al-in-hornblende 239 geobarometry is not strongly affected by later intrusions if the post crystallization, hydrothermal 240 recrystallization of amphibole can be properly evaluated. The exhumation rate can be considered as 241 the lowest estimate of an average denudation rate of the area, because denudation takes into account 242 the lateral movement of the exhumed rocks (Batt & Braun, 1999; Ring et al., 1999; Reiners & Brandon, 243 2006; Sueoka & Tagami, 2019). 244Chivas (1981) proposed that amphiboles with $S_i > 7.3$ a.p.f.u. (Al_{IV} < 0.7 a.p.f.u.) are not 245truly magmatic and crystallized under subsolidus conditions in the presence of a fluid phase. In this 246 study, chemical boundary between rim and discontinuous patchy replacements of amphibole, 247 determined based on microtextural observation, is recognized at Si = 7.3 a.p.f.u. and $Al_{IV} = 0.7$ a.p.f.u. 248 (Figures 3 and 5). The patchy replacements commonly enclose and coexist with albitic plagioclase 249
- 250 recrystallized domain under subsolidus conditions in the presence of a fluid phase. Some of the P-T 251conditions estimated using the patchy replacements and the plagioclase composition in contact with 252 them are plotted away from the haplogranite solidus (Figure 7), supporting the subsolidus origin of

and chloritized biotite, which is consistent with the interpretation of Chivas (1981) that it is the



253	patchy replacements. Therefore, criterion of Chivas (1981) is applicable to the Shiaidani Granodiorite
254	as well, and patchy replacements should not be used in estimating the solidification pressure of the
255	granite, although some of the patches yield pressure similar to that obtained from the magmatic
256	amphibole rim (Figure 7).
257	
258	7.2 Estimating the lower limit of the average denudation rate of the Shiaidani Granodiorite area
259	after ca. 5.6-5.2 Ma
260	The presence of magmatic oscillatory zoning and absence of secondary replacement microtextures in
261	the dated zircon grains (Figure 6a inset) suggests that the U-Pb zircon ages of ca. 5.6-5.2 Ma can be
262	interpreted as the crystallization ages of these samples. By dividing the estimated solidification depths
263	by the U-Pb zircon age, average exhumation rate of the Shiaidani Granodiorite is estimated as 0.93-
264	2.2 mm/yr from KRG 16-07 and 1.0-2.5 mm/yr from KRG 16-101. This is considered to be the lowest
265	estimate of the average denudation rate since ca. 5.6-5.2 Ma for the area where the Shiaidani
266	Granodiorite is exposed. Nonetheless, uplift and denudation in the northern Hida Range, including the
267	Kurobe area, could be accelerated since ca. 1.5-1.0 Ma, considering depositional ages of granitic
268	gravels sourced from the Hida Range to the Matsumoto basin to the east (Oikawa & Wada, 2004). The
269	estimation in this study may provide the lower limit of the denudation rates since ca. 1.5-1.0 Ma,
270	considering the higher denudation rates (several to 10 mm/yr at a maximum) in shorter timescales



271	estimated based on the sedimentary yields in catchments (Ohmori, 1978; Fujiwara et al., 1999) and
272	terrestrial <i>in-situ</i> cosmogenic nuclide techniques (Matsushi et al., 2014).
273	
274	8 Conclusion
275	We estimated the solidification depth of the Shiaidani Granodiorite utilizing Al-in-hornblende
276	geobarometry and solidification age using U-Pb zircon dating. The Al-poor patchy replacements
277	developed in amphiboles are probably hydrothermally recrystallized domains and should not be used
278	for solidification pressure estimates. The lower limit of an average denudation rate after ca. 5.6-5.2
279	Ma for the area where Shiaidani Granodiorite is exposed is estimated as 0.93-2.5 mm/yr.
280	
280 281	Acknowledgement
280 281 282	Acknowledgement We would like to thank Dr. Hisatoshi Ito and an anonymous reviewer for constructive comments, and
280 281 282 283	Acknowledgement We would like to thank Dr. Hisatoshi Ito and an anonymous reviewer for constructive comments, and Prof. Tomokazu Hokada and Prof. Tatsuki Tsujimori for editorial efforts. This study was funded by
280 281 282 283 284	Acknowledgement We would like to thank Dr. Hisatoshi Ito and an anonymous reviewer for constructive comments, and Prof. Tomokazu Hokada and Prof. Tatsuki Tsujimori for editorial efforts. This study was funded by the Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (METI), Japan as part of its R&D supporting program
280 281 282 283 284 285	Acknowledgement We would like to thank Dr. Hisatoshi Ito and an anonymous reviewer for constructive comments, and Prof. Tomokazu Hokada and Prof. Tatsuki Tsujimori for editorial efforts. This study was funded by the Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (METI), Japan as part of its R&D supporting program titled "Establishment of Advanced Technology for Evaluating the Long-term Geosphere Stability on
280 281 282 283 284 285 286	Acknowledgement We would like to thank Dr. Hisatoshi Ito and an anonymous reviewer for constructive comments, and Prof. Tomokazu Hokada and Prof. Tatsuki Tsujimori for editorial efforts. This study was funded by the Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (METI), Japan as part of its R&D supporting program titled "Establishment of Advanced Technology for Evaluating the Long-term Geosphere Stability on Geological Disposal Project of Radioactive Waste (Fiscal Years 2018 and 2020)". This work was also
280 281 282 283 284 285 286 286 287	Acknowledgement We would like to thank Dr. Hisatoshi Ito and an anonymous reviewer for constructive comments, and Prof. Tomokazu Hokada and Prof. Tatsuki Tsujimori for editorial efforts. This study was funded by the Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (METI), Japan as part of its R&D supporting program titled "Establishment of Advanced Technology for Evaluating the Long-term Geosphere Stability on Geological Disposal Project of Radioactive Waste (Fiscal Years 2018 and 2020)". This work was also supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas (KAKENHI No.



289	mineral separation was performed by Dr. Tohru Danhara and Dr. Hideki Iwano (Kyoto Fission-Track
290	Co., Ltd.). The field survey was supported by Dr. Tetsuya Komatsu, Shuji Terusawa (JAEA), Shoma
291	Fukuda, Takayuki Arai (Kyoto University), Yasuhisa Hino (KANSO Co., Ltd.), and staffs of the
292	Azohara Onsengoya, Senninike Hutte, and Kansai Electric Power Co., Inc. TK thanks Kota Suzuki
293	and Ayu Yamazaki (Kyoto University) for discussion. GEK acknowledges financial support for
294	sample collection from a Mobility Grant from the University of Cologne and Swiss National
295	Science Foundation (SNSF) grant number PZ00P2_167960.
296	
297	ORCID IDs
298	Tetsuo Kawakami, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5921-5562
299	Shigeru Sueoka, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5264-2713
300	Tatsunori Yokoyama, https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0667-6207
301	Saya Kagami, https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5704-079X
302	Georgina E. King, https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1059-8192
303	Frédéric Herman, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7237-4656
304	Sumiko Tsukamoto, https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4626-4784
305	Takahiro Tagami, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4209-5541
306	



307 References

- 308 Anderson. J.L., & Smith, D.R. (1995). The effects of temperature and fO₂ on the Al-in-hornblende
- 309 barometer. American Mineralogist, 80, 549-559.
- 310 Batt, G.E., & Braun, J. (1999). The tectonic evolution of the Southern Alps, New Zealand: Insights
- from fully thermally coupled dynamical modelling. Geophysical Journal International, 136, 403-
- 312 420.
- 313 Chivas, A.R. (1981). Geochemical evidence for magmatic fluids in porphyry copper mineralization.
- 314 Part I. Mafic silicates from the Koloula Igneous Complex. Contribution to Mineralogy and
- 315 Petrology, 78, 389-403.
- 316 Fujiwara, O., Sanga, T., & Ohmori, H. (1999). Regional distribution of erosion rates over the Japanese
- 317 Islands. Japan Nuclear Cycle Technical Review, 5, 85–93 (in Japanese with English abstract).
- 318 Hammarstrom, J.M., & Zen, E-an. (1986). Aluminum in hornblende: An empirical igneous
- 319 geobarometer. American Mineralogist, 71, 1297-1313.
- 320 Hartung, E., Caricchi, L., Floess, D., Wallis, S., Harayama, S., Kouzmanov, K., & Chiaradia, M. (2017).
- Evidence for Residual Melt Extraction in the Takidani Pluton, Central Japan. Journal of Petrology,
 58, 763–788.
- 323 Harayama, S. (2015). Vertically turned Quaternary collapsed caldera and Kurobegawa Granite
- 324 complex, exposed around the Mt. Kashimayari and Mt. Jii, Northern Japan Alps: The Journal of



325 1	the Geological Society of Japa	n, 121, 293–308 (in Japanese).
-------	--------------------------------	--------------------------------

- 326 Harayama, S., Takahashi, M., Shukukawa, R., Itaya, T., & Yagi, K. (2010). High-temperature hot
- 327 springs and Quaternary Kurobegawa Granite along the Kurobegawa River. Journal of Geological
- 328 Society of Japan, 116, Supplement, 63–81 (in Japanese).
- 329 Holland, T., & Blundy, J. (1994). Non-ideal interactions in calcic amphiboles and their bearing on
- amphibole-plagioclase thermometry. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 116, 433-447.
- 331 Imai, N., Terashima, S., Ohta, A., Mikoshiba, M., Okai, T., Tachibana, Y., Togashi, S., Matsuhisa, Y.,
- 332 Kanai, Y., Kamioka, H., & Taniguchi, M. (2004). Geochemical map of Japan. Geological Survey
- 333 of Japan, AIST, 209p.
- Ito, H., Yamada, R., Tamura, A., Arai, S., Horie, K., & Hokada, T. (2013). Earth's youngest exposed
- granite and its tectonic implications: the 10–0.8 Ma Kurobegawa Granite. Scientific Reports, 3,
- 336 1306, https://doi.org/10.1038/srep01306
- 337 Ito, H., Spencer, C.J., Danišík, M., & Hoiland, C.W. (2017). Magmatic tempo of Earth's youngest
- exposed plutons as revealed by detrital zircon U-Pb geochronology. Scientific Reports, 7, 12457,
- 339 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-12790-w
- 340 Iwano H., Orihashi Y., Hirata T., Ogasawara, M., Danhara, T., Horie, K., Hasebe, N., Sueoka, S.,
- 341 Tamura, A., Hayasaka, Y., Katsube, A., Ito, H., Tani, K., Kimura, J.-I., Chang, Q., Kouchi, Y.,
- 342 Haruta, Y., & Yamamoto, K. (2013). An interlaboratory evaluation of OD-3 zircon for use as a



- 343 secondary U–Pb dating standard. Island Arc 22, 382–394.
- 344 Johannes, W., & Holtz, W. (1996). Petrogenesis and Experimental Petrology of Granitic Rocks.
- 345 Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg.
- 346 Ludwig, K., (2012). User's manual for Isoplot version 3.75-4.15: a geochronological toolkit for
- 347 Microsoft Excel. Berkley Geochronological Center Special Publication 5.
- 348 Matsushi, Y., Matsuzaki, H., & Chigira, M. (2014). Determining long-term sediment yield from
- 349 mountainous watersheds by terrestrial cosmogenic nuclides. Journal of the Japan Society of
- 350 Engineering Geology, 54, 272-280. (in Japanese with English abstract)
- 351 Mutch, E.J.F., Blundy, J.D., Tattitch, B.C., Cooper, F.J., & Brooker, R.A. (2016). An experimental
- 352 study of amphibole stability in low-pressure granitic magmas and a revised Al-in-hornblende
- 353 geobarometer. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 171, 85.
- 354 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00410-016-1298-9
- 355 Ogata, S., Miyakoshi, K., Shidahara, T., & Tanaka, K. (1983). Geology of the Kurobe geothermal area.
- 356 Central Research Institute of Electric Power Industry Report, 382032, 27p. (in Japanese with
- 357 English abstract)
- 358 Ohmori, H. (1978). Relief structure of the Japanese mountains and their stages geomorphic
- development. Bulletin of the Department of Geography, University of Tokyo, 10, 31-83.
- Oikawa, T., & Wada, H. (2004). Rapid uplifting in the northern part of Hida Mountain Range at 1 Ma,



361	based on lithofacies and petrography in the Iyari Formation. Journal of the Geological Society of											
362	Japan, 110, 528-535. (in Japanese with English abstract)											
363	Reiners, P.W., & Brandon, M.T. (2006). Using thermochronology to understand orogenic erosion.											
364	Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Science, 34, 419-466.											
365	https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.earth.34.031405.125202											
366	Ring, U., Brandon, M.T., Willett, S.D., & Lister, G.S. (1999) Exhumation processes. Geological											
367	Society, London, Special Publications, 154, 1-27.											
368	Rioux, M., Bowring, S., Cheadle, M., & John, B. (2015). Evidence for initial excess ²³¹ Pa in mid-											
369	ocean ridge zircons. Chemical Geology, 397, 143–156.											

- 370 Sakata, S., Hirakawa, S., Iwano, H., Danhara, T., Guillong, M., & Hirata, T. (2017). A new approach
- 371 for constraining the magnitude of initial disequilibrium in Quaternary zircons by coupled uranium
- and thorium decay series dating, Quaternary Geochronology, 38, 1-12.
- 373 Sakata, S. (2018). A practical method for calculating the U-Pb age of Quaternary zircon: Correction
- for common Pb and initial disequilibria. Geochemical Journal, 52, 281–286.
- 375 Schmitt, A.K. (2011). Uranium series accessory crystal dating of magmatic processes. Annual Review
- of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 39, 321–349.
- 377 Schmidt, M.W. (1992). Amphibole composition in tonalite as a function of pressure: an experimental
- 378 calibration of the Al-in-hornblende barometer. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 110,



- 379 304-310.
- 380 Spencer, C.J., Danišík, M., Ito, H., Hoiland, C., Tapster, S., Jeon, H., McDonald, B., & Evans, N.J.
- 381 (2019). Rapid Exhumation of Earth's Youngest Exposed Granites Driven by Subduction of an
- 382 Oceanic Arc. Geophysical Research Letters, 46, 1259-1267.
- 383 Sueoka, S., & Tagami, T. (2019). Low-temperature thermochronology and its application to tectonics
- in the shallow crust. Journal of Geography (Chigaku Zasshi), 128, 707-730.
- 385 Wiedenbeck, M., Alle, P., Corfu, F., Griffin, W.L., Meier, M., Oberli, F., Vob Quadt, A., Roddick, J.C.,
- 386 & Spiegel, W. (1995). Three natural zircon standards for U–Th–Pb, Lu–Hf, trace element and
- 387 REE analyses. Geostandards Newsletter, 19, 1–23.
- 388 Wiedenbeck, M., Hanchar, J.M., Peck, W.H., Sylvester, P., Valley, J., et al. (2004). Further
- 389 characterisation of the 91500 zircon crystal. Geostandards and Geoanalytical Research, 28, 9–39.
- 390 Yamada, R. (1997). Fission Track Thermochronology: Thermal Characteristics of Fission Tracks in
- 391 Zircon, and Cooling History Analysis of the Granitic Bodies around the Northern Alps, Central
- Japan. 128p. Kyoto University doctoral dissertation. https://doi.org/10.11501/3123276
- 393 Yamada, R., & Harayama, S. (1999). Fission track and K-Ar dating on some granitic rocks of the Hida
- 394 mountain range, Central Japan. Geochemical Journal, 33, 59-66.
- 395 Yamaguchi, Y., Wada, H., Ohta, Y., & Harayama, S. (2003). Amphibole zoning, a record of progressive
- 396 oxidation during crystallization of mafic microgranular enclaves in the Kurobegawa granitic



397	pluton. Journal of Mineralogical and Petrological Sciences, 98, 151-155.
398	
399	Figure captions
400	Figure 1 Simplified geological map of the Kurobe area modified after Harayama et al. (2010) and
401	Harayama (2015). Sample localities are also shown. The approximate emplacement ages (Ma) are
402	indicated by the subscript numbers attached to F, G and V following Harayama (2015). MTL: Median
403	Tectonic Line, ISTL: Itoigawa-Shizuoka Tectonic Line.
404	
405	Figure 2 Photomicrographs, back scattered electron (BSE) image and X-ray elemental maps of an
406	amphibole in sample KRG 16-07. (a) Photomicrograph of an amphibole-bearing domain. Plane
407	polarized light (PPL). (b) Crossed polarized light (CPL) of (a). (c) BSE image of the same area as (a)
408	and (b). White square represents the area for the X-ray elemental mapping shown in (d)-(k). (d)-(k)
409	X-ray elemental maps for (d) Fe, (e) Al, (f) Mg, (g) Cl, (h) Na, (i) Ca, (j) Ti and (k) K. Note that albitic
410	plagioclase and chloritized biotite are enclosed in Al-poor patchy replacements. Amp: amphibole, An:
411	anorthite, Ap: apatite, Bt: biotite, Chl: chlorite, Ilm: ilmenite, Kfs: K-feldspar, Mag: magnetite, Pl:
412	plagioclase, Qtz: quartz, Ttn: titanite.
413	

414 Figure 3 Chemical composition of amphibole (O = 23) in sample KRG 16-07. (a) (Na+K) in A-site vs



415	Si, (b) Cl vs Al _{IV} , (c) Mg/(Mg+Fe ²⁺) vs Si, (d) Mg/(Mg+Fe ²⁺) vs Al _{IV} . Solid black lines represent
416	compositional boundaries of amphiboles. Blue broken lines represent Si = 7.3 a.p.f.u. and $Al_{IV} = 0.7$
417	a.p.f.u.
418	
419	Figure 4 Photomicrographs, BSE image and X-ray elemental maps of an amphibole in sample KRG
420	16-101. (a) Photomicrograph of an amphibole-bearing domain. PPL. (b) CPL photo of (a). (c) BSE
421	image of the boxed area in (b). (d)-(k) X-ray elemental maps for the boxed area in terms of (d) Si, (e)
422	Fe, (f) Al, (g) Mg, (h) Cl, (i) Na, (j) Ca, (k) Ti and (l) K. Note that albitic plagioclase and chloritized
423	biotite are enclosed in Al-poor patchy replacements.
424	
425	Figure 5 Chemical composition of amphibole ($O = 23$) in sample KRG 16-101. (a) (Na+K) in A-site
426	vs Si, (b) Cl vs Al _{IV} , (c) Mg/(Mg+Fe ²⁺) vs Si, (d) Mg/(Mg+Fe ²⁺) vs Al _{IV} . Solid black lines represent
427	compositional boundaries of amphiboles. Blue broken lines represent Si = 7.3 a.p.f.u. and $Al_{IV} = 0.7$
428	a.p.f.u.
429	
430	Figure 6 (a) Conventional (Wetherill) and (b) Tera–Wasserburg concordia diagrams for U-Pb zircon
431	dating of KRG 16-101. Inset in (a) is an example of CL image of a dated zircon grain (spot KRG 16-
432	101-09).



1	2	\mathbf{n}
4	_≺	-≺
т	\mathbf{J}	J

- 434 Figure 7 *P*-*T* diagrams showing the estimated solidification conditions for (a) KRG 16-07 and (b)
- 435 KRG 16-101. A water-saturated haplogranite solidus line is from Johannes and Holtz (1996).

- 437 Table S1 Instrumentation and operational settings for LA-ICP-MS analysis.
- 438
- 439 Table S2 (a) Results of LA-ICP-MS U-Pb zircon dating with common Pb correction assuming
- 440 initial equilibrium. (b) Results of LA-ICP-MS U-Pb zircon dating with common Pb correction
- 441 assuming initial disequilibrium.















Fig. 3















Fig. 6



Fig. 7





Laboratory	JAEA- Toki Geochronology Research Laboratory
Analyst	S. Kagami, T. Yokoyama
Laser ablation system	
Model	Photon-Machines Analyte G2
Laser type	Excimer 193 nm
Energy density	$2.0 \ \mathrm{J} \ \mathrm{cm}^{-2}$
Crater size	20 µm circle
Repetition rate	10 Hz (200 shots)
Carrier gas	Не
He gas flow rate	1.0 L/min
ICP-MS	
Model	Thermo Fisher Scientific Neptune-Plus
Forward power	1200 W
Carrier gas	Ar
Ar gas flow rate	1.1 L/min
Scanning mode	Multi-collector Static
Data acquisition	
protocol	Time resolved analysis
Integration time	$0.066\mathrm{s}\! imes\!700\ \mathrm{ratios}$
Monitor isotopes	²⁰² Hg (CDD), ²⁰⁴ Pb (CDD), ²⁰⁶ Pb (SEM), ²⁰⁷ Pb (SEM),
	²⁰⁸ Pb (SEM), ²³² Th (FC), ²³⁸ U (FC)
	*CDD: Compact Discrete Dynode, SEM: Secondary Electron
	Multiplier, FC: Faraday Cup

Primary standard	91500
Secondary standard	OD-3



Table S2a. Results of LA - ICP - MS U - Pb zircon dating with common Pb correction assuming initial equilibrium.

analysis no.	microtexture	²⁰⁷ Pb/ ²³⁵ U	error (1σ)	²⁰⁶ Pb/ ²³⁸ U	error (1σ)	Error correlation	²⁰⁷ Pb/ ²⁰⁶ Pb	error (1σ)	common ²⁰⁷ Pb/ ²⁰⁶ Pb	error	$f_{ m Th/U}$	error (30%)	$f_{\mathrm{Pa/U}}$	error (30%)	Common Pb corrected age (Ma)	error (1σ)	Th (ppm)	U (ppm)	Th/U	* excluded from weighted average
KRG16-101-1	unzoned mantle	8.40462	0.76642	0.07334	0.00608	0.90954	0.83109	0.03150	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	456.3	36.6	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	*
KRG16-101-2	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00639	0.00106	0.00078	0.00005	0.41295	0.05964	0.00901	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	4.9	0.3	629	739	0.85	
KRG16-101-3	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00531	0.00085	0.00079	0.00007	0.53741	0.04874	0.00655	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	5.1	0.4	600	893	0.67	
KRG16-101-4	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00568	0.00096	0.00081	0.00006	0.44623	0.05104	0.00774	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	5.2	0.4	391	674	0.58	
KRG16-101-5	unzoned mantle	0.00610	0.00153	0.00086	0.00006	0.29956	0.05130	0.01231	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	5.5	0.4	269	379	0.71	
KRG16-101-6	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.02174	0.00997	0.00326	0.00146	0.97558	0.04835	0.00487	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	20.9	6.5	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	*
KRG16-101-7	unzoned mantle	0.00597	0.00089	0.00080	0.00006	0.48540	0.05444	0.00707	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	5.1	0.3	1453	1132	1.28	
KRG16-101-8	unzoned mantle	25.41318	6.34566	0.21903	0.05350	0.97819	0.84149	0.04364	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	1276.8	289.3	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	*
KRG16-101-9	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00515	0.00070	0.00078	0.00008	0.75091	0.04759	0.00427	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	5.0	0.5	1064	1846	0.58	
KRG16-101-10	unzoned	9.41347	1.10182	0.08136	0.00890	0.93451	0.83913	0.03496	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	504.2	53.3	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	*
KRG16-101-11	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00973	0.00353	0.00089	0.00010	0.32086	0.07917	0.02724	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	5.5	0.6	404	949	0.43	
KRG16-101-12	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00601	0.00177	0.00084	0.00008	0.32705	0.05166	0.01438	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	5.4	0.5	169	327	0.52	
KRG16-101-13	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00702	0.00222	0.00087	0.00011	0.38820	0.05825	0.01693	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	5.5	0.6	221	343	0.64	
KRG16-101-14	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00575	0.00129	0.00082	0.00006	0.34910	0.05082	0.01064	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	5.3	0.4	317	541	0.59	
KRG16-101-15	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00523	0.00230	0.00079	0.00007	0.20054	0.04816	0.02073	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	5.1	0.4	203	221	0.92	
KRG16-101-16	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00659	0.00165	0.00085	0.00007	0.31936	0.05597	0.01331	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	5.4	0.4	296	426	0.69	
KRG16-101-17	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00682	0.00164	0.00082	0.00007	0.35975	0.05997	0.01344	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	5.2	0.4	321	420	0.76	
KRG16-101-18	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00811	0.00257	0.00086	0.00008	0.28748	0.06846	0.02080	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	5.4	0.5	204	256	0.80	
KRG16-101-19	oscillatory-zoned rim, crack	0.00849	0.00317	0.00083	0.00008	0.24292	0.07388	0.02676	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	5.2	0.5	206	190	1.08	*
KRG16-101-20	oscillatory-zoned rim, crack	0.00654	0.00146	0.00083	0.00006	0.32817	0.05749	0.01211	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	5.2	0.4	341	461	0.74	*
KRG16-101-21	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00668	0.00259	0.00083	0.00007	0.21654	0.05806	0.02196	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	5.3	0.4	116	224	0.52	
KRG16-101-22	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00558	0.00066	0.00078	0.00004	0.45816	0.05208	0.00550	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	5.0	0.3	879	1840	0.48	
KRG16-101-23	unzoned rim	0.01410	0.00574	0.00092	0.00007	0.17825	0.11140	0.04459	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	5.4	0.5	124	254	0.49	
KRG16-101-24	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00573	0.00151	0.00078	0.00007	0.33107	0.05362	0.01333	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	4.9	0.4	275	477	0.58	
KRG16-101-25	unzoned	4.92068	0.77426	0.04267	0.00642	0.95639	0.83631	0.03844	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	269.4	39.8	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	*
KRG16-101-26	unzoned	8.65497	1.09942	0.07489	0.00879	0.92402	0.83815	0.04071	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	465.6	52.9	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	*
KRG16-101-27	unzoned	7.94481	1.06792	0.06892	0.00861	0.92955	0.83603	0.04143	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	429.7	52.2	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	*
KRG16-101-28	unzoned	8.76559	1.69260	0.07486	0.01396	0.96585	0.84928	0.04249	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	465.3	84.3	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	*
KRG16-101-29	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00812	0.00132	0.00087	0.00010	0.73813	0.06786	0.00746	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	5.4	0.6	596	1076	0.55	
KRG16-101-30	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00669	0.00276	0.00092	0.00009	0.22815	0.05299	0.02129	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	5.9	0.5	114	195	0.58	
KRG16-101-31	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.03772	0.01384	0.00105	0.00013	0.33810	0.26046	0.08995	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	4.9	0.9	555	565	0.98	
KRG16-101-32	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00620	0.00139	0.00081	0.00005	0.28156	0.05527	0.01186	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	5.2	0.3	340	566	0.60	
KRG16-101-33	unzoned	6.85524	0.64235	0.05887	0.00498	0.90367	0.84459	0.03389	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	368.7	30.4	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	*
KRG16-101-34	unzoned, crack	9.95498	1.52518	0.08506	0.01234	0.94689	0.84878	0.04181	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	526.3	73.7	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	*
KRG16-101-35	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00581	0.00106	0.00082	0.00008	0.53712	0.05157	0.00797	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	5.2	0.5	569	896	0.63	
KRG16-101-36	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00613	0.00383	0.00081	0.00008	0.14815	0.05458	0.03377	0.83594	0	1	0	1	0	5.2	0.5	82	147	0.56	

n.d.: not determined



Table S2b. Results of LA - ICP - MS U - Pb zircon dating with common Pb correction assuming initial disequilibrium.

analysis no.	microtexture	²⁰⁷ Pb/ ²³⁵ U	error (1σ)	²⁰⁶ Pb/ ²³⁸ U	error (1σ)	Error correlation	²⁰⁷ Pb/ ²⁰⁶ Pb	error (1σ)	common ²⁰⁷ Pb/ ²⁰⁶ Pb	error	$f_{\rm Th/U}$	error (30%)	$f_{\rm Pa/U}$	error (30%)	Disequilibrium & Common Pb corrected age (Ma)	error (1σ)	Th (ppm)	U (ppm)	Th/U	* excluded from weighted average
KRG16-101-1	unzoned mantle	8.40462	0.76642	0.07334	0.00608	0.90954	0.83109	0.03150	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	456.4	36.6	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	*
KRG16-101-2	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00639	0.00106	0.00078	0.00005	0.41295	0.05964	0.00901	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	5.0	0.3	629	739	0.85	
KRG16-101-3	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00531	0.00085	0.00079	0.00007	0.53741	0.04874	0.00655	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	5.2	0.4	600	893	0.67	
KRG16-101-4	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00568	0.00096	0.00081	0.00006	0.44623	0.05104	0.00774	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	5.3	0.4	391	674	0.58	
KRG16-101-5	unzoned mantle	0.00610	0.00153	0.00086	0.00006	0.29956	0.05130	0.01231	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	5.6	0.4	269	379	0.71	
KRG16-101-6	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.02174	0.00997	0.00326	0.00146	0.97558	0.04835	0.00487	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	21.0	6.5	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	*
KRG16-101-7	unzoned mantle	0.00597	0.00089	0.00080	0.00006	0.48540	0.05444	0.00707	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	5.2	0.3	1453	1132	1.28	
KRG16-101-8	unzoned mantle	25.41318	6.34566	0.21903	0.05350	0.97819	0.84149	0.04364	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	1276.9	289.3	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	*
KRG16-101-9	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00515	0.00070	0.00078	0.00008	0.75091	0.04759	0.00427	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	5.2	0.5	1064	1846	0.58	
KRG16-101-10	unzoned	9.41347	1.10182	0.08136	0.00890	0.93451	0.83913	0.03496	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	504.3	53.3	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	*
KRG16-101-11	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00973	0.00353	0.00089	0.00010	0.32086	0.07917	0.02724	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	5.6	0.6	404	949	0.43	
KRG16-101-12	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00601	0.00177	0.00084	0.00008	0.32705	0.05166	0.01438	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	5.5	0.5	169	327	0.52	
KRG16-101-13	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00702	0.00222	0.00087	0.00011	0.38820	0.05825	0.01693	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	5.7	0.6	221	343	0.64	
KRG16-101-14	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00575	0.00129	0.00082	0.00006	0.34910	0.05082	0.01064	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	5.4	0.4	317	541	0.59	
KRG16-101-15	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00523	0.00230	0.00079	0.00007	0.20054	0.04816	0.02073	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	5.2	0.4	203	221	0.92	
KRG16-101-16	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00659	0.00165	0.00085	0.00007	0.31936	0.05597	0.01331	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	5.5	0.4	296	426	0.69	
KRG16-101-17	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00682	0.00164	0.00082	0.00007	0.35975	0.05997	0.01344	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	5.3	0.4	321	420	0.76	
KRG16-101-18	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00811	0.00257	0.00086	0.00008	0.28748	0.06846	0.02080	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	5.5	0.5	204	256	0.80	
KRG16-101-19	oscillatory-zoned rim, crack	0.00849	0.00317	0.00083	0.00008	0.24292	0.07388	0.02676	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	5.3	0.5	206	190	1.08	*
KRG16-101-20	oscillatory-zoned rim, crack	0.00654	0.00146	0.00083	0.00006	0.32817	0.05749	0.01211	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	5.3	0.4	341	461	0.74	*
KRG16-101-21	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00668	0.00259	0.00083	0.00007	0.21654	0.05806	0.02196	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	5.4	0.4	116	224	0.52	
KRG16-101-22	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00558	0.00066	0.00078	0.00004	0.45816	0.05208	0.00550	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	5.1	0.3	879	1840	0.48	
KRG16-101-23	unzoned rim	0.01410	0.00574	0.00092	0.00007	0.17825	0.11140	0.04459	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	5.5	0.5	124	254	0.49	
KRG16-101-24	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00573	0.00151	0.00078	0.00007	0.33107	0.05362	0.01333	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	5.1	0.4	275	477	0.58	
KRG16-101-25	unzoned	4.92068	0.77426	0.04267	0.00642	0.95639	0.83631	0.03844	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	269.5	39.8	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	*
KRG16-101-26	unzoned	8.65497	1.09942	0.07489	0.00879	0.92402	0.83815	0.04071	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	465.7	52.9	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	*
KRG16-101-27	unzoned	7.94481	1.06792	0.06892	0.00861	0.92955	0.83603	0.04143	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	429.8	52.2	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	*
KRG16-101-28	unzoned	8.76559	1.69260	0.07486	0.01396	0.96585	0.84928	0.04249	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	465.4	84.3	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	*
KRG16-101-29	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00812	0.00132	0.00087	0.00010	0.73813	0.06786	0.00746	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	5.5	0.6	596	1076	0.55	
KRG16-101-30	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00669	0.00276	0.00092	0.00009	0.22815	0.05299	0.02129	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	6.0	0.5	114	195	0.58	
KRG16-101-31	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.03772	0.01384	0.00105	0.00013	0.33810	0.26046	0.08995	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	5.0	0.9	555	565	0.98	
KRG16-101-32	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00620	0.00139	0.00081	0.00005	0.28156	0.05527	0.01186	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	5.3	0.3	340	566	0.60	
KRG16-101-33	unzoned	6.85524	0.64235	0.05887	0.00498	0.90367	0.84459	0.03389	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	368.8	30.4	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	*
KRG16-101-34	unzoned, crack	9.95498	1.52518	0.08506	0.01234	0.94689	0.84878	0.04181	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	526.4	73.7	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	*
KRG16-101-35	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00581	0.00106	0.00082	0.00008	0.53712	0.05157	0.00797	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	5.3	0.5	569	896	0.63	
KRG16-101-36	oscillatory-zoned rim	0.00613	0.00383	0.00081	0.00008	0.14815	0.05458	0.03377	0.83594	0	0.122271	0.036681	3.36	1.008	5.3	0.5	82	147	0.56	

n.d.: not determined