



**Calhoun: The NPS Institutional Archive**  
**DSpace Repository**

---

Faculty and Researchers

Faculty and Researchers' Publications

---

2019-12

# In-stride Optimal Motion Planning/re-planning for MCM Missions using Optimization

Kaminer, Isaac I.; Kragelund, Sean

Monterey, California: Naval Postgraduate School

---

<http://hdl.handle.net/10945/69977>

---

This publication is a work of the U.S. Government as defined in Title 17, United States Code, Section 101. Copyright protection is not available for this work in the United States.

*Downloaded from NPS Archive: Calhoun*



Calhoun is the Naval Postgraduate School's public access digital repository for research materials and institutional publications created by the NPS community. Calhoun is named for Professor of Mathematics Guy K. Calhoun, NPS's first appointed -- and published -- scholarly author.

**Dudley Knox Library / Naval Postgraduate School**  
**411 Dyer Road / 1 University Circle**  
**Monterey, California USA 93943**

<http://www.nps.edu/library>

## NPS NRP Executive Summary

Title: In-stride Optimal Motion Planning/Re-planning for MCM Missions

Report Date: 10/14/2019 Project Number (IREF ID): NPS-19-N257-A

Naval Postgraduate School / School: Graduate School of Engineering and Applied Sciences



NAVAL RESEARCH PROGRAM  
NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

MONTEREY, CALIFORNIA

# IN-STRIDE OPTIMAL MOTION PLANNING/RE-PLANNING FOR MCM MISSIONS

Executive Summary Type: Final Report

Period of Performance: 10/15/2018–10/14/2019

### Researchers:

Principal Investigator (PI): Dr. Isaac Kaminer, GSEAS, Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering (MAE)

Additional Researcher(s): Dr. Sean Kragelund, GSEAS, MAE  
Dr. Claire Walton, GSEAS, MAE

Student Participation: LT Joshua Sale USN  
LT Christofer Price USN  
LT Richard McClane USN  
LT Justin Laddusaw USN

### Prepared for:

Topic Sponsor Lead Organization: N8 - Integration of Capabilities & Resources

Topic Sponsor Organization: Naval Surface and Mine Warfighting Development Center Mine Warfare Division (SMWDC-MIW)

Topic Sponsor Name: N8/9 Deputy, Mr. Rich Kimmel

Topic Sponsor Contact Information: [richard.kimmel@navy.mil](mailto:richard.kimmel@navy.mil) (619) 524-4488

Distribution A: Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

## NPS NRP Executive Summary

Title: In-stride Optimal Motion Planning/Re-planning for MCM Missions

Report Date: 10/14/2019 Project Number (IREF ID): NPS-19-N257-A

Naval Postgraduate School / School: Graduate School of Engineering and Applied Sciences

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## Project Summary

Unmanned vehicles have become increasingly important for naval mine countermeasures (MCM), but they have not yet realized their full potential to reduce mine clearance timelines while providing sufficient risk level guarantees. Mine hunting operations typically employ multiple search, identification/classification, and neutralization sorties. These tasks are often conducted as sequential phases, each employing different vehicles and sonar sensors. This approach requires laborious post-mission analysis and planning cycles between each phase. Our research seeks to overcome the limitations of this sequential search paradigm by enabling simultaneous deployment of dissimilar vehicles with complementary capabilities.

Specifically, we investigated new algorithms and computational tools for generating optimal search trajectories for heterogeneous, multi-vehicle teams in a real-time, event-based framework. Simulations verified that these new techniques are orders of magnitude faster than previous algorithms, yet still achieve equivalent search performance. Significantly, these techniques do not require specialized computing equipment. Therefore, these algorithms are suitable for implementation on unmanned vehicle autopilots, a key capability for in-stride optimal mission planning and re-planning by collaborative vehicle teams. The ability to conduct simultaneous mine hunting sorties with multiple autonomous vehicles promises to improve the speed and effectiveness of MCM missions.

**Keywords:** *optimal search, mine countermeasures, MCM, mine hunting, sonar, mission planning, autonomous vehicles, unmanned vehicles, unmanned surface vessel, USV, unmanned underwater vehicle, UUV*

## Background

Mine countermeasures (MCM) is an extremely challenging and complex Navy mission set. A number of different capabilities and techniques are required to confront the wide variety of potential threats and operational environments encountered (U.S. Navy, 2004). Unmanned vehicles and advanced sensor systems now play an integral role in these operations, so it is imperative that MCM commanders and vehicle operators have the ability to maximize the efficiency and utility of these resources.

The objective of this research is to develop in-stride MCM planning algorithms that allow a team of heterogeneous vehicles to conduct mine detection and identification/classification missions in tandem instead of sequentially. Recent theoretical work by Phelps, Gong, Royset, Walton, and Kaminer (2014) produced a mathematical and computational framework called Generalized Optimal Control (GenOC). Leveraging a numerical toolbox developed by Walton (2015), GenOC has been used to solve optimal search problems with realistic mine hunting vehicle and sonar models (Kragelund, 2017; Kragelund, Walton, Kaminer, & Dobrokhodov, 2018). Although GenOC trajectories outperformed conventional area coverage patterns under the same time or resource constraints, initial trajectory optimization routines were so computationally expensive that solutions had to be computed off-line, which precluded in-stride planning.

To achieve a true in-stride planning capability for MCM, motion planning algorithms must 1) update target distributions, 2) address false targets, and 3) re-compute new search trajectories in near real-time. The first two issues have been analyzed by Walton, Kragelund, and Kaminer (2017), and additional

## NPS NRP Executive Summary

Title: In-stride Optimal Motion Planning/Re-planning for MCM Missions

Report Date: 10/14/2019 Project Number (IREF ID): NPS-19-N257-A

Naval Postgraduate School / School: Graduate School of Engineering and Applied Sciences

methods for dealing with false targets were reviewed during this study. The majority of our research effort, therefore, investigated computationally efficient planning algorithms based on Bezier curves to achieve near real-time optimal motion planning (Cichella, Kaminer, Walton, & Hovakimyan, 2018).

### Findings and Conclusions

The Naval Surface and Mine Warfighting Development Center supports research and development needed to better understand and optimize the sensor and vehicle performance of next-generation MCM systems. The results of this study support the topic sponsor's ultimate goal of providing a faster and more effective search, identification/classification, and neutralization timeline for the MCM commander. The ability to conduct these missions simultaneously with multiple autonomous vehicles has great potential for future MCM operations.

In general, the presence of false targets in the search area requires a two-stage search strategy: 1) broad search to detect contacts, and 2) contact investigation to distinguish actual targets from false targets. Therefore, our study examined different ways for cooperating vehicles to plan contact investigation trajectories on demand. The literature describes several methods for dealing with false targets during a search operation. Many utilize a Poisson probability distribution to model the number, location, and detection of false targets (Stone, 1989; Kalbaugh, 1992; Decker, Jacques, & Pachter, 2007). We conclude, therefore, that the GenOC model-based solution framework can accommodate false-target searches with slight modifications to the objective function being minimized. Other potential objective functions include the risk due to undetected mines after MCM (Washburn, 2006; Monach & Baker, 2006), or the risk of incorrectly estimating the number of targets present in an area (McMahon, Yetkin, Wolek, Waters, & Stilwell, 2017).

Our research also examined the computational barriers to in-stride planning posed by initial GenOC implementations. Significant complexity stems from the methods used to approximate vehicle trajectories and discretize the search problem for numerical solution (Phelps et al., 2014). Since vehicle dynamics place constraints on vehicle state and control trajectories that must be satisfied at discrete times, solutions are also sensitive to the number of time nodes chosen (Kragelund, 2017). This study analyzed GenOC performance improvements achieved by modifying the problem formulation in three ways. Specifically, we adopted the methods described by Cichella et al. (2018) to 1) exploit the differential flatness of search vehicle dynamics and 2) approximate vehicle trajectories with Bernstein polynomials. These two improvements reduced the number of constraints on the optimization, and produced smooth numerically-stable Bézier curves as output trajectories, respectively.

The degree elevation property of Bézier curves (Cichella et al., 2018) enabled our third modification, a continuation algorithm based on homotopy methods similar to one described by Dobrokhodov, Walton, Kaminer, & Jones (2020). This approach iteratively solves a sequence of simple problems to arrive at an optimal solution in fewer iterations; beginning with a trivial problem, each solution supplies the initial guess for the next problem. Our continuation algorithm first computes the best low-order Bézier curve trajectory, then uses degree elevation to successively find higher-order Bézier curve trajectories from lower-order initial guesses. These modifications drastically improved solution times. A desktop computer running standard optimization software can now solve MCM search problems about 50 times faster than prior GenOC implementations using specialized software on the NPS Hamming supercomputing cluster (Kragelund, 2017). We conclude, therefore, that these algorithms are suitable for implementation on unmanned vehicle autopilots, a key capability for in-stride optimal mission planning by collaborative vehicle teams.

## NPS NRP Executive Summary

Title: In-stride Optimal Motion Planning/Re-planning for MCM Missions

Report Date: 10/14/2019 Project Number (IREF ID): NPS-19-N257-A

Naval Postgraduate School / School: Graduate School of Engineering and Applied Sciences

### Recommendations for Further Research

McCray describes a promising approach for planning optimal, semi-adaptive searches that uses interim search results to update probability densities for both real and false targets, based upon prior intelligence about their relative spatial distribution (2017). Future research should investigate whether this technique can be applied to learn the parameters of a minefield's laydown pattern to inform future search plans. In addition, since GenOC offers a centralized method for planning MCM missions, we recommend that future research investigate distributed, event-driven planning and information sharing methods.

### References

- Cichella, V., Kaminer, I., Walton, C., & Hovakimyan, N. (2018). Optimal motion planning for differentially flat systems using Bernstein approximation. *IEEE Control Systems Letters*, 2(1), 181–186. <https://doi.org/10.1109/LCSYS.2017.2778313>
- Decker, D., Jacques, D. R., & Pachter, M. (2007). A theory of wide area search and engagement. *Military Operations Research*, 12(3), 37–57. Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/43941080>
- Department of the Navy. (2004). *Mine Warfare* (NWP 3-15). Washington, DC: Author.
- Dobrokhodov, V., Walton, C., Kaminer, I., & Jones, K. (2020). Energy-optimal trajectory planning of hybrid ultra-long endurance UAV in time-varying energy fields. *Proceedings of AIAA SciTech Forum and Exposition*.
- Kalbaugh, D. (1992). Optimal search among false contacts. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, 52(6), 1722–1750. <https://doi.org/10.1137/0152099>
- Kragelund, S. (2017). *Optimal sensor-based motion planning for autonomous vehicle teams* (Doctoral dissertation). Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA.
- Kragelund, S., Walton, C., Kaminer, I., & Dobrokhodov. (2018). *Generalized optimal control for autonomous mine countermeasures missions*. Manuscript submitted for publication.
- McCray, J. (2017). *Optimal semi-adaptive search with false targets* (Master's thesis). Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA.
- McMahon, J., Yetkin, H., Wolek, A., Waters, Z., & Stilwell, D. (2017). Towards real-time search planning in subsea environments. *2017 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, 87–94. <https://doi.org/10.1109/IROS.2017.8202142>
- Monach, W., & Baker, J. (2006). Estimating risk to transiting ships due to multiple threat mine types. *MOR Journal*, 11(3), 35–47.
- Phelps, C., Gong, Q., Royset, J. O., Walton, C., & Kaminer, I. (2014). Consistent approximation of a nonlinear optimal control problem with uncertain parameters. *Automatica*, 50(12), 2987–2997.
- Stone, L. (1989). *Theory of optimal search* (2nd ed.). Arlington, VA: Military Applications Section, Operations Research Society of America.
- Walton, C. (2015). *The design and implementation of motion planning problems given parameter uncertainty* (Doctoral dissertation). University of California, Santa Cruz, CA.
- Walton, C., Kragelund, S., & Kaminer, I. (2017). Issues in multi-agent search: False positives and Bayesian map updates. *OCEANS 2017 - Aberdeen*, 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1109/OCEANSE.2017.8084816>
- Washburn, A. (2006). Katz distributions and minefield clearance. *MOR Journal*, 11(3), 63–74.

### Acronyms

Mine Countermeasures	MCM
Generalized Optimal Control	GenOC