

Calhoun: The NPS Institutional Archive DSpace Repository

# Multiple-valued Combinational Circuits with Feedback 

Butler, Jon T.; Sasao, Tsutomu

Naval Postgraduate School
http://hdl.handle.net/10945/69368

This publication is a work of the U.S. Government as defined in Title 17, United States Code, Section 101. Copyright protection is not available for this work in the United States.

Downloaded from NPS Archive: Calhoun

Calhoun is the Naval Postgraduate School's public access digital repository for


# Multiple-Valued Combinational Circuits with Feedback* 

Jon T. Butler $\dagger$ and Tsutomu Sasao $\ddagger$<br>$\dagger$ Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering<br>Naval Postgraduate School<br>Monterey, CA 93943-5121<br>$\ddagger$ Dept. of Electronics and Computer Science<br>Kyushu Institute of Technology<br>lizuka 820, JAPAN


#### Abstract

We consider the use of feedback loops in the realization of multiple-valued combinational circuits. We show that the number of purely combinational configurations in an $r$-valued system is $1 / r$ of the total number. Thus, as the radix increases, the fraction of combinational configurations decreases. We also show that, for every radix value $r$, there is a circuit with feedback realizing a combinational logic function that has fewer gates than any feedback-free circuit.


## 1: Introduction

Traditionally, researchers consider only combinational circuits without feedback loops. Indeed, acyclic combinational circuits have been so thoroughly studied compared to cyclic combinational circuits, that the term "acyclic" is, in many people's minds, a synonym of "combinational". We know of only four papers [4, 5, 6, and 8] that consider feedback in combinational logic circuits. To our knowledge, there have been no studies of multiple-valued combinational circuits with feedback.

Huffman [4] shows that any binary combinational logic function can be realized with just one inverter if feedback is allowed. He shows further that oscillation is needed; that is, it is necessary that some logic line toggle back and forth between 0 and 1. This is shown to be necessary only for the one inverter case. If one allows two inverters, then oscillation is not necessary.

Aoki [Fig. 7, 1] shows a set-valued implementation of a 4-bit ripple-carry adder that has feedback. Here, four binary full adders are multiplexed into one 16 valued adder. A feedback loop results because the carry output of a lower order full adder drives the carry input of the next higher order full adder.

## 2: Examples of Feedback in Binary Combinational Circuits

The significance of feedback in combinational logic circuits can be seen by the 1's complement adder circuit shown in Fig. 1. In the 1 's complement system, negating a number $A=a_{n-1} a_{n-2} \cdots a_{0}$ consists of replacing each $a_{i}$ by the "complement", $\overline{a_{i}}$. The carry-out/


Figure 1. 1's complement adder circuit
carry-in path throughout the circuit represents the feedback path of this circuit. If the feedback path is broken at X , then the output A can depend on input $a$ in one of four ways; $A=a, A=\bar{a}, A=0$, or $A=1$. It can be seen that all ways are possible except $A=\vec{a} . \quad A=a$ occurs only when all pairs ( $a_{i}, b_{i}$ ) have the property $a_{i}=\overline{b_{i}}$. For this case, all full adders have the property that the carry out is identical to the carry in. In this case, the carries are all 0 or all 1 , and simultaneously, the sum bits are all 0 or all 1, respectively. Although the sum takes on two values for this special case only, both values represent the same result, a 0 sum. Thus, although the circuit is sequential, our interpretation of $00 \cdots 0$ and $11 \cdots 1$ as 0 , makes it reasonable to think of it as "combinational".

Indeed, if one wants a true combinational circuit,
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then it is possible to add logic that has as inputs the sum $S$ shown in Fig. 1, and produces, at its output $S^{\prime}$ the sum of the circuit of Fig. 1 except when $S$ is all 1 's, in which case, $S^{\prime}$ will be all 0 's. The resulting circuit has feedback, but it is combinational. The additional circuit simply masks the sequential behavior of the underlying circuit. It is worth noting, however, that the additional circuit is unnecessary; our interpretation of all 0 's as being equivalent to all 1 's makes it so.

Our second example illustrates a circuit with feedback that does not produce sequential behavior for any input combination. Shown in Fig. 2a below is a sequence detector for a circular queue of a single binary string. That is, the input is viewed as a circular

(a)


| Input |  | Output |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $i$ | $d_{0}$ | $d_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{0}$ |  |
|  | $D_{1}$ | Q |  |  |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0

(b)

Figure 2. Circular sequence detector
sequence of bits and the output is viewed in a similar way. A 1 on the output signifies the existence of some four bit sequence. Fig. 2 a shows a circuit that recognizes the sequence 0001 ; that is, a 1 exists on an output if and only if the sequence 0001 precedes it.

Fig. 2b shows the function of the individual units. The pair of lines $d_{1} d_{0}$ interconnecting the units denotes how many of the preceding bits are correct, with 00 indicating no bits correct, 01 one correct bit ( 0 ), 10 two correct bits ( 00 ), and 11 three correct bits ( 000 ).

The analysis of this circuit is straightforward. To determine the outputs, simply start at a unit that has a 1 as input. For this unit, the output $d_{1} d_{0}=00$, and this determines the output for all of the following units. If all inputs are 0 , then assume any value for $d_{1} d_{0}$ of some arbitrary unit, and proceed in the same way. All
$d_{1} d_{0}$ evaluate to 10.
In this case, the feedback path is such that if it is broken at any point, the output will not depend on the input. It will be 0 or 1 depending on the inputs applied.

## 3: The Feedback Path for Multiple-Valued Circuits

Before analyzing the multiple-valued case, we consider a binary example. Fig. 3 below shows a binary circuit with a single feedback loop.


Figure 3. Example of a binary circuit with feedback
Depending on $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$, the feedback loop will have one of four configurations, as shown in Fig. 4. Specifically, if $x_{1} x_{2}=00$, the feedback loop circulates the same logic value and the present value of $q$ is its former value. If $x_{1} x_{2}=01, q$ oscillates between 0 and 1. If $x_{1} x_{2}=10$ or $11, q$ is a constant 0 or 1 , respectively, regardless of its previous value. For the first two assignments, the circuit is sequential and, for the last two, it is combinational. We say a configuration is combinational if its output is eventually a constant logic value, regardless of its initial logic value. Otherwise, it is sequential. Note that this definition applies to circuits with more than two logic values.

The directed graphs in Fig. 4 show a compact representation of circuit behavior. Here, each logic value is represented by a node from which a single directed arc emanates. The arc represents the next logic value (head), given the present logic value (tail). For example, the feedback loop configuration corresponding to a constant 1 has each arc head directed to the logic 1. Thus, if $q^{\prime}$ should ever (momentarily) be 0 , it will be 1 shortly thereafter, and if it is 1 , it shall remain 1 .


Figure 4. The four possible configurations for a feedback loop in a binary circuit

The case for multiple-valued circuits is more complicated. The four feedback loop configurations in Fig. 4 correspond to four functions on one or zero variables. Consider the circuit in Fig 5(a) having a single


Figure 5. A feedback loop in a multiple-valued circuit
feedback loop. For each assignment of the inputs, $x_{1}$, $x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$, the circuit is reduced to Fig. 5(b), where $h$ denotes a single input $r$-valued function. This is a multiple-valued extension of Fig. 4, in which the number of configuration grows with $r^{r}$. For $r=3$ and $r=4$, the number of feedback configuration is 27 and 256, respectively. Fig. 6 shows the directed graph representations of all configurations for $r=3$. Any graph that contains a cycle with two or more nodes corresponds to oscillation. Since every node has an edge that exits it, there is at least one cycle in any directed graph corresponding to a cycle configuration. We have the following.

Lemma 1: Let $G$ be the directed graph of a circuit associated with some assignment of values to variables. If $G$ has at most one cycle and it contains one node, the configuration is combinational for that assignment.

The fact that the cycle has only one node guarantees there will be no cycling of logic values. Because there


Figure 6. Directed graph representations of the cycle configuration for $r=3$
is no more than one such cycle, there can be no storage of values. In Fig. 6, the combinational configurations are shown with asterisks.

Note that the directed graphs described in Lemma 1 have a unique node $\eta$, the one involved in the one-node cycle, while all other nodes are connected to $\eta$ by a simple path. On the contrary, if there is no path from some node $\beta$ to $\eta$, then a path from $\beta$ is in a cycle or ends on a cycle not containing $\eta$, implying the existence of at least two cycles. This latter observation allows us to count the number of directed graphs that correspond to combinational configurations.

A graph that satisfies Lemma 1 has a single node at the root, (i.e., $n_{1}=1$ ), $n_{2}$ nodes with arcs to the root node, $n_{3}$ nodes with arcs to nodes with arcs to the root node, etc.. There are $n!/\left(n_{1}!n_{2}!\cdots n_{m}!\right)$ ways to distribute labels to the groups of nodes. Note that, between the $i$ th and $i+1$ th level, there are $n_{i}$ arcs that can be chosen from $n_{i}^{n_{i+1}}$ possible arcs. Therefore, for each distribution of labels, there are $n_{1}^{n_{2}} n_{2}^{n_{3}} n_{3}^{n_{4}} \cdots n_{m-1}^{n_{m}}$ ways for arcs to occur between all levels. Thus, the total number $N(r)$ of combinational configurations is

$$
N(r)=\sum_{n_{1}+n_{2}+\cdots+n_{m}=r} \frac{r!}{n_{1}!n_{2}!\cdots n_{m}!} n_{1}^{n_{2}} n_{2}^{n_{3}} \cdots n_{m-1}^{n_{m}},(1)
$$

where the sum ranges over all ordered partitions
( $n_{1}, n_{2}, \cdots n_{m}$ ) of $r$, such that $n_{1}=1$. The number of ordered partitions can be counted as follows. Align the nodes associated with logic values in a row. Between the nodes are $r-1$ spaces, of which one is already determined (that associated with $n_{1}=1$ ). Of the $r-2$ remaining spaces, place $m-2$ dividing lines, which are in addition to the one associated with $n_{1}=1$. There are $\left[\begin{array}{c}r-2 \\ m-2\end{array}\right]$ ways to do this. Thus, for some specified $m$, there are $\left[\begin{array}{l}r-2 \\ m-2\end{array}\right]$ ways to form $n_{1}+n_{2}+\cdots+n_{m}=r$ subject to $n_{1}=1$. The value of $m$ ranges from 2 to $r$.

While this interpretation is readily understood, a more complex one leads to a simpler form for (1). We illustrate by an example. Consider the configuration shown in Fig. 7. We seek a representation for this that is a list of arcs. There will be $r$ arcs, one for each of the $r$ nodes from which one arc emanates. Order all leaf nodes in ascending order and write (in the same order) the nodes to which the arc from each leaf node goes. For the example in Fig. 6, we get 1808, which corresponds to the arcs leaving leaf nodes 3467. Remove the leaf nodes from the tree and repeat the process. In this case, we get 05 , corresponding to arcs leaving 18. Repeat the process again. In this case, we get 2 corresponding to the arc leaving 0 . Repeat again. In this case, we get 5 corresponding to the arc leaving 2. Listing this all out gives 552051808 , where the initial 5 is in the cycle of the root node. Note that this representation is unique; a different tree will yield a different ordered tuple.


Figure 7. An example configuration
The converse operation, that of producing the tree from ordered tuple, is straightforward. Consider the example above, 552051808 . The leaf nodes are uniquely those nodes with no incoming arcs; i.e. those nodes not in the above list. These nodes are 3467. With the nodes listed in ascending order, we can conclude that an arc goes from 3 to 1 , from 4 to 8 , from 6 to 0 , and from 7 to 8 . With these last four nodes removed from the tree, we can repeat the process to obtain the resulting leaf nodes, etc. and the nodes for
which they provide arcs. This is unique; a different ordered tuple will yield a different tree.

It follows then that the number of configurations is simply the number of tuples. That is, with $r$-valued systems, there are $r^{r-1}$ ways to choose $r$-tuples, since each element in the $r$-tuple can be chosen without restriction, except the first, which must be chosen the same as the second. Thus,
$N(r)=\sum_{n_{1}+n_{2}+\cdots+n_{m}=r} \frac{r!}{n_{1}!n_{2}!\cdots n_{m}!} n_{1}^{n_{2}} n_{2}^{n_{3}} \cdots n_{m-1}^{n_{m}}=r^{r-1}$.
The significance of this result is that, as the radix $r$ increases, the fraction of configurations that are combinational decreases. Specifically,

Lemma 2: The fraction of configurations in $r$-valued logic circuits that are combinational is

$$
\frac{1}{r}
$$

while the remaining are sequential.
If there are $n r$-valued inputs, there are $r^{n}$ assignments of values. If we assume all configurations are equally likely, with only $1 / r$ th of the configurations combinational, the chance that one of the $r^{n}$ assignments produces a noncombinational configuration is close to 1 for all practical values of $r$ and $n$. Indeed, this probability is

$$
1-\left[\frac{1}{r}\right]^{r^{n}}
$$

## 4: The Necessity of Feedback in Minimal Multiple-Valued Circuits

The main result of this section is an extension of a result by Rivest [8] for binary circuits. Specifically, it shows that feedback paths are necessary for the realization of minimal combinational multiple-valued circuits, where a minimal circuit is one requiring the fewest gates.

Theorem 1: For any radix $r \geq 2$, there exists a combinational logic circuit with feedback that requires fewer gates than any circuit realizing the same function without feedback.

Proof: It is sufficient to consider one set of sufficient operators. In particular, consider an $r$-valued Allen-Givone algebra [2] consisting of three operators, max of two $r$-valued variables, min of two
$r$-valued variables, and the window literal of one $r$-valued variable. Consider the circuit $C_{c y c l i c}$ shown in Fig. 8, which is made up of the first two operators, where + represents max and $\bullet$ (or nothing) represents min. The circuit has three inputs,

minimal realization. This is further discussed in Muroga's [7] text. As far as is known, this question has not been settled. Our next result shows, however, that for a certain single output function, there exists a realization with feedback that has the same number of gates as a minimal realization without feedback.

Consider the use of two-input NAND gates in the realization of binary functions. It is known that all binary functions can be realized exclusively with twoinput NAND gates. Shown in Fig. 9a is the minimal realization of the two-input exclusive NOR function constructed from five two-input NAND gates. From

(a)

(b)

Figure 9. Minimal NAND gate realizations of the exclusive-NOR function

Hellerman [7], we can conclude that this is minimal. Shown in Fig. 9b is a circuit with feedback realizing the same function also using five two-input NAND gates.

## 5: Concluding Remarks

The use of feedback in multiple-valued circuits has some interesting differences over binary circuits. First, we showed that, while two of the four configurations for feedback in binary are combinational, the fraction of combinational configurations is $1 / r$, and, so, as the radix, $r$, increases, we find that a smaller fraction are combinational.

Our second result shows that, for any radix, there are circuits with feedback, whose minimal realization requires fewer gates than any realization without feedback.

Finally, we showed that for single output circuits, there exists a circuit with feedback that has the same number of gates as any feedback-free realization of that circuit. This represents a partial answer to Rivest's [8] question as to whether feedback is necessary in minimal single output functions.
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