Improving Learning **Rapid review of effective practice** principles in the design and delivery of digital resources for teachers Appendices Part of the Life Education Australia Being Healthy, Being Active project Syeda Kashfee Ahmed, Pru Mitchell and Jenny Trevitt 11 June 2021 ACER

# **Rapid** review of effective practice principles in the design and delivery of digital resources for teachers. Appendices

The Australian Council for Educational Research Ltd 186B Pulteney Street, Adelaide SA 5000 Phone: (08) 8206 8600 ABN 19 004 398 145 www.acer.org

ISBN 978-1-74286-671-0

© 2021 Life Education Australia



With the exception of any material protected by a trademark, and where otherwise noted, all material presented in this document is provided under a Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial 4.0 International licence, https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0

#### **Recommended copyright attribution**

Life Education Australia must be attributed as the copyright holder of this publication and Syeda Kashfee Ahmed, Pru Mitchell and Jenny Trevitt as the authors.

#### Citation (APA 7<sup>th</sup> edition)

Ahmed, S. K., Mitchell, P., & Trevitt, J. (2021). Rapid review of effective practice principles in the design and delivery of digital resources for teachers. Australian Council for Educational Research. <u>https://doi.org/10.37517/978-1-74286-671-0</u>

#### Acknowledgement

The authors acknowledge the support and direction provided by project director, Dr Shani Sniedze-Gregory, Dr Petra Lietz, Dr Sarah Buckley, and Elizabeth O'Grady, and editorial support from Juliet Young-Thornton. The team acknowledges feedback and support provided by Jane Lowe, Project Manager, Life Education and colleagues who provided valuable advice.

# Contents

| Appendix 1: Search strategy  | . 1 |
|--|-----|
| Appendix 2: Review framework                                       | . 3 |
| Appendix 3: Details of included interventions                      | . 4 |
| Appendix 4: Effective practice principles of professional learning | 14  |

### Appendix 1: Search strategy

ERIC Search - conducted 2 March 2021

Publication date range 2010-2021

S1 - 345,889 results

SU Teacher\*

AND

#### S2 - 60,782 results

SU ("professional development" OR "professional education" OR "Professional training" OR "professional continuing education" OR "inservice teacher education")

OR

#### S3 - 24,659 results

SU ("educational resources" OR mentors OR coaching OR "teacher collaboration" OR "communities of practice") OR "pedagogy support"

AND

SU (elementary OR primary OR secondary OR school\* OR grade OR "preschool education" OR "early childhood education")

#### S4 - 82,069 results

S2 OR S3 = S4

AND

#### S5 - 45,092 results

SU ("online courses" OR "distance education" OR "electronic learning" OR "asynchronous communication" OR "synchronous communication" OR "web based instruction" OR "virtual classrooms" OR "social media") OR "remote learning" OR "learning management system\*" OR "digital resource\*"

#### AND

#### S6 - 833,983 results

SU "best practices" OR SU evidence OR SU success OR SU change OR improve\* OR SU "educational quality" OR SU "outcome measures" OR effective\* OR SU "teaching skills" OR SU "pedagogical content knowledge" OR SU "self esteem" OR SU "self efficacy" OR SU beliefs OR SU "program evaluation" OR SU "literature reviews" OR SU "meta analysis" OR SU "randomized controlled trials" OR SU "pretests posttests" OR positive OR impact\* OR SU "outcomes of education" OR increase\* OR SU "teacher competencies" OR DE "teacher attitudes"

#### S7 - 1,371 results

S1 AND S4 AND S5 AND S6=S7

**S8** 

**S7** 

- Limited to 2010 to 2021 publication date range **731 results**
- limited to English papers only 727 results
- remove publication typles: dissertations and conference/speech/meeting papers 662 results

=**S8** – final result list - **662** 

#### A+ Education Search (Australian studies) 3 March 2021

Publication date range 2010-2021

#### FINAL RESULTS 105

S1 -

47,897

SU Teacher\*

#### AND

S2 – 12.246

SU ("professional development" OR "professional education" OR "Professional training" OR "professional continuing education" OR "inservice teacher education") OR

**S**3

2,270

SU ("educational resources" OR mentors OR coaching OR "teacher collaboration" OR "learning communities") OR "pedagogy support" OR "communities of practice"

AND

SU (primary OR secondary OR school\* OR grade OR "preschool education" OR "early childhood education")

#### **S4**

13,941

S2 OR S3 = S4

#### AND

**S5** 

#### 10,945

SU ("online education" OR "distance education" OR "e learning" OR "online learning" OR "online learners" OR "asynchronous communication" OR "synchronous communication" OR "online teaching" OR "virtual classrooms" OR "social media" OR "learning management systems") OR "remote learning" OR "digital resource\*"

#### AND

**S6** 

93,814

SU "best practice" OR SU evidence OR SU success OR SU change OR improve\* OR SU "educational quality" OR "outcome measures" OR effective\* OR SU "teaching skills" OR SU "pedagogical content knowledge" OR SU "self esteem" OR SU "self efficacy" OR SU beliefs OR SU "program evaluation" OR SU "literature reviews" OR "systematic reviews" OR SU "meta analysis" OR SU "randomised controlled trials" OR SU "pretests posttests" OR positive OR impact\* OR SU "outcomes of education" OR increase\* OR SU "teacher competencies" OR DE "teacher attitudes"

#### **S7** –

334

S1 AND S4 AND S5 AND S6=S7

**S8** 

105

**S**7

- Limited to 2010 to 2021 publication date range -results 148
- limited to English papers only –**results 148**
- remove publication types: dissertations and conference papers -results 105

#### =S8 - Final result list -105

# Appendix 2: Review framework

| Types of digital education resources for teachers De  | Delivery<br>node   | Delivery platform  | Design elements and features  | Outcomes (for teachers)  | Outcomes (for students)                 |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| <ul> <li>Multimedia courseware</li> <li>Multimedia material (text, pictures, animation, video, audio, etc.)</li> <li>Electronic lesson plans</li> <li>Teaching cases and example videos of good teacher practice</li> <li>Question bank/test papers</li> <li>Micro-lecture/micro-video</li> <li>Subject software and tools (Geometry, virtual lab, etc.)</li> <li>Online professional development courses / training modules/ workshops/ seminars / webinars</li> <li>Thematic pages/websites</li> <li>E-books/periodicals</li> <li>Online coaching, mentoring and expert support groups e-learning systems</li> <li>Informal online teacher collaboration</li> </ul> | Synchronous<br>live)<br>Asynchronous<br>facilitated)<br>Asynchronous<br>self-directed) | Professional Learning<br>Networks (PLN)<br>Social platforms -<br>Twitter, YouTube,<br>LinkedIn, and Facebook<br>Learning management<br>system<br>Resource hosted in<br>websites – such as<br>resource banks/<br>repositories | Accommodation of individual differences<br>in access to learning, prior knowledge<br>and learning needs<br>Participant engagement and interactive<br>content<br>Provision of learner supports<br>Acquisition or further development of<br>Pedagogical Content Knowledge (PCK)<br>Practical learning activities and<br>usefulness<br>Application of acquired knowledge and<br>skills in practice<br>Flexibility of study mode<br>Relevance goal orientation, individual<br>differences in learners (curriculum)<br>Reflection and collaboration<br>Program length<br>Use of video<br>Open access or restricted | Content knowledge<br>Instructional practices<br>Self-efficacy and confidence<br>Pedagogical content knowledge<br>Collaboration and engagement<br>Attitudes and beliefs<br>Inquiry<br>Motivation and job satisfaction | Learning<br>Engagement<br>Connectedness |

## Appendix 3: Details of included interventions

Comparisons of the various types of interventions that provide digital resources for in-service online teachers' professional development (OTPD)

| No.   | Communications<br>mode:<br>Asynchronous /<br>Synchronous /<br>Blended | Delivery<br>mode:<br>Self-<br>directed /<br>facilitated<br>/ Blended | Intervention<br>name; type   | Study details<br>(first author,<br>year; Country)  | Focus<br>(content/<br>subject area) | Length       | Platforms  | Purpose; Outcomes   | Key features  | Pros  | Cons  |
|-------|---|--|--|--|-------------------------------------|--------------|--|---|---|---|---|
| 1     | Blended   | Blended  | Vital- continuous<br>professional<br>development<br>(CPD); Electronic<br>learning<br>management<br>systems   | Bradshaw, 2012;<br>England   | General                             | 19<br>months | Vital Web site;<br>Moodle (for<br>hosting courses),<br>forums and wikis,<br>Drupal (for<br>content<br>management of<br>static resources),<br>and Elluminate<br>Livel to provide<br>open access<br>videoconferencing;<br>TeachShares (for<br>synchronous<br>events) | Help participants<br>(teachers) use<br>information<br>communication<br>technology (ICT) to add<br>value to lessons and find<br>new ways to engage their<br>students.<br>Teacher level:<br>Pedagogical practices;<br>Collaboration and<br>engagement<br>Student level:<br>Student engagement | <ul> <li>Online registration function for tracking participants' engagement</li> <li>Tools for designing, facilitating, and hosting participants' own events</li> <li>Local, face-to-face technology support</li> <li>Courses offered in multiple formats</li> <li>"Fifteen-minute CPD" - online structured and facilitated staff development opportunities in "bite-size" chunks</li> <li>Recording of the live sessions which could be downloaded by participants who could not attend</li> <li>Special interest groups established for further engagement and collaboration</li> </ul> | The approach and ethos of recognizing, and building on, the expertise of practitioners and developing an infrastructure to support bottom-up sharing of that expertise.<br>The collaboration and engagement promoted through the program helped teachers move from being "users" to active "members".   |   |
| <br>2 | Asynchronous  | Facilitated  | International<br>Education and<br>Resource<br>Network Science<br>Technology and<br>Math (IEARN-<br>STM) online<br>professional<br>development<br>course;<br><i>Multimedia</i><br><i>courseware</i> | Chitanana, 2012;<br>Cameroon, China,<br>Egypt, Indonesia,<br>Iran, Jordan,<br>Lebanon, Nigeria,<br>Oman, Pakistan,<br>Palestine,<br>Romania, USA<br>and Zimbabwe | General                             | 8 weeks      | Moodle learning<br>management<br>system  | Upskill teachers and<br>provide them a highly<br>interactive platform to<br>learn collaboratively and<br>share their students' work<br>Teacher level:<br>Pedagogical practices;<br>Collaboration and<br>engagement  | <ul> <li>Use of multimedia content, including videos to capture real life contexts</li> <li>Online conversations through discussion forums for collaborative/peer-learning and reflective thinking</li> <li>Hyper-textuality of the online medium</li> </ul>  | Encourage high levels of<br>learning through<br>collaboration and<br>reflection from the<br>participants.   | Feedback could be<br>limited due to late<br>responses by<br>participants and some<br>may become<br>disengaged and<br>demotivated because<br>they have higher<br>expectations of social<br>interactions through<br>the forums. |
| 3     | Blended   | Blended  | Virtual Learning<br>Environments<br>(VLEs); Electronic<br>learning<br>management<br>systems  | Hilli, 2020;<br>Finland  | General                             | 1.5 years    | LMS Fronter and<br>the integrated<br>video conferencing<br>system-<br>Blackboard<br>Collaborate  | Create interactive,<br>communicative,<br>collaborative, and digital<br>environments<br>Teacher level: Pedagogical<br>practices; Attitudes and<br>beliefs; Collaboration and<br>engagement   | <ul> <li>Combined social media and Learning<br/>Management Systems (LMS)</li> <li>Provided assessment practices and tools</li> </ul>  | Supported professional<br>development and reduced<br>the teachers' professional<br>isolation, especially in<br>rural areas.<br>Improved the efficacy of<br>assessment through<br>collaborative writing (e.g.,<br>evaluation forms),<br>systematised evaluation<br>(e.g., written<br>measurements) and they<br>brought parents into the<br>assessment process. | VLEs can constrain<br>teaching practices by<br>offering only certain<br>tools for assessment.   |

| No. | Communications<br>mode:<br>Asynchronous /<br>Synchronous /<br>Blended | Delivery<br>mode:<br>Self-<br>directed /<br>facilitated<br>/ Blended | Intervention<br>name; type  | Study details<br>(first author,<br>year; Country) | Focus<br>(content/<br>subject area) | Length  | Platforms  | Purpose; Outcomes   | Key features  | Pros  | Cons   |
|-----|---|--|---|---|-------------------------------------|---------|--|---|---|---|--|
| 4   | Asynchronous  | Self-<br>directed  | Social Emotional<br>Learning for<br>Teachers (SELF-T)<br>course and its<br>components;<br><i>Multimedia</i><br><i>courseware</i>  | Lang, 2020; USA                                   | General                             | 3 hours | Department<br>website                                      | Train early childhood<br>teachers on stress-<br>reduction and resiliency<br>strategies.<br>Teacher level: Attitudes<br>and beliefs;   | <ul> <li>Learn, Explore, Apply and Demonstrate<br/>(LEAD) format was used</li> <li>Learners reflected on how stress works<br/>in their bodies, how they typically<br/>respond to stress</li> <li>Introduced participants to new stress-<br/>reduction strategies such as belief<br/>disputation, emotional reappraisal,<br/>controlled breathing, and visualization</li> </ul>  | Easy to understand, useful,<br>and positively affected<br>early childhood educators'<br>work with children.<br>Participants move at their<br>own pace, and complete a<br>number of small exercises<br>throughout the course to<br>explore and apply<br>concepts.<br>Provides ECE professionals<br>important information in<br>an efficient manner that is<br>easily scalable across cities,<br>regions or States. | It may have been<br>more efficient to<br>provide online<br>activities and record<br>keeping instead of<br>asking participants to<br>complete the course<br>activities in their<br>printed activity sheets<br>provided in the<br>course-packet. |
| 5   | Asynchronous  | Facilitated  | My Teaching<br>Partner-<br>Secondary (MTP-<br>S); Digital<br>coaching and<br>mentoring  | Allen, 2015,<br>2011; USA                         | General                             | 2 years | Private, password-<br>protected program<br>Web site, phone | Improve teacher-student<br>interactions and student<br>achievement.<br>Teacher level: Pedagogical<br>practices; Collaboration<br>and engagement<br>Student level:<br>Student engagement | <ul> <li>Participating teachers send their coaches video recordings of themselves in which they are delivering a lesson.</li> <li>Coaches review these recordings and provided feedback on a private, password-protected web site.</li> <li>Teachers review feedback and respond to the coaches' prompts</li> <li>A 20- to 30-minute phone conference follows where the coaches and teachers plan ways to enhance interaction</li> <li>Student academic achievement was assessed using the Commonwealth of Virginia Standards of Learning (SOL) testing system</li> </ul>   | Improved the quality of<br>secondary school teaching<br>and lead to meaningful<br>gains in student<br>achievement.<br>Highly cost-effective even<br>when conducted across a<br>two-year period.<br>Only requires about 20<br>hours of teacher in-service<br>training, (over the two<br>years).  |  |
| 6   | Blended   | Blended  | The Quality<br>Teachers for<br>Quality Students<br>(QTQS) project:<br>Electronic<br>mentoring system<br>for beginning<br>teachers; Digital<br>coaching and<br>mentoring | Suk Hwang, 2012;<br>USA                           | General                             | _       | Blackboard and<br>Skype                                    | Support the development<br>of an electronic<br>professional learning.<br>Teacher level: Pedagogical<br>practices; Collaboration<br>and engagement                                       | <ul> <li>Provided initial training and orientation,<br/>ongoing support, frequent updates and<br/>current issues in student achievement,<br/>best instruction practices, and individual<br/>mentoring support from online mentors<br/>to address immediate concerns</li> <li>Electronic mentoring activities through<br/>Blackboard system setup and electronic<br/>tasks</li> <li>Video-Based Self-Reflection of<br/>Instruction (VSRI) activity—VSRI<br/>checklist, procedures of VSRI, and<br/>mentoring; and</li> <li>Evaluation of electronic mentoring<br/>system - survey instrument, data<br/>analysis, and summary of findinges</li> </ul> | Reduced the time<br>constraints of face-to-face<br>mentoring, allowing<br>teachers to communicate<br>and receive constructive<br>feedback at times that best<br>suited them.  | It took very long to<br>convert mentee video<br>recordings into a<br>format compatible<br>with the Blackboard<br>Digital Drop Box.   |

| No. | Communications<br>mode:<br>Asynchronous /<br>Synchronous /<br>Blended | Delivery<br>mode:<br>Self-<br>directed /<br>facilitated<br>/ Blended | Intervention<br>name; type   | Study details<br>(first author,<br>year; Country) | Focus<br>(content/<br>subject area) | Length  | Platforms   | Purpose; Outcomes   | Key features  | Pros   | Cons   |
|-----|---|--|--|---|-------------------------------------|---------|---|---|---|--|--|
| 7   | Blended   | Blended  | iCoaching; Digital<br>coaching and<br>mentoring  | Randolph, 2019;<br>USA                            | General                             | -       | FaceTime  | Improve coaching<br>efficiency.<br>Teacher level: Pedagogical<br>practices; Collaboration<br>and engagement   | <ul> <li>Used a bug-in-ear (BIE) coaching method<br/>using iPods, Bluetooth earpieces, and the<br/>FaceTime application</li> <li>Coaches send prompts to remind the<br/>teachers to deliver the desired behaviour<br/>in classroom</li> <li>The teacher and coach work as a team<br/>throughout the process</li> <li>The teacher and coach either attend a<br/>PD session based on the evidence-based<br/>practices (EBP), or complete an online<br/>training or module focused on the EBP</li> </ul>   | The program involves<br>teachers from the<br>beginning to empower<br>them and engage them in<br>every step of the process.<br>Technology enables<br>teachers to receive real-<br>time coaching comments.   |  |
| 8   | Asynchronous  | Blended  | Teacher<br>professional<br>development<br>MOOC; Massive<br>Open Online<br>Courses  | Koukis, 2018;<br>Greece                           | Language<br>(Greek)                 | _       | Open eClass<br>learning<br>management<br>system; Google<br>Docs | Enhance Greek teachers'<br>knowledge, skills and<br>attitudes to integrate<br>collaborative writing in<br>their instruction.<br>Teacher level: Pedagogical<br>practices; Collaboration<br>and engagement;<br>Pedagogical content<br>knowledge | <ul> <li>Short tutorials in the form of video-<br/>lessons were produced and made<br/>available through the on-line platform</li> <li>Active engagement in the learning tasks,<br/>peer support and discussions, and<br/>reflections on teachers' achievements</li> <li>One tutor and one assistant acted as the<br/>moderators-facilitators for teachers' e-<br/>tivities</li> </ul>   | Supported teachers' ability<br>to complete this course<br>and enhanced their<br>achievements through<br>individual engagement,<br>peer interaction and<br>mutual support, and<br>collaborative creation of<br>writing artefacts using<br>Google Docs.<br>Discussion forums<br>appeared to be a very<br>effective tool in this MOOC<br>and the majority of<br>teachers were very active<br>contributors to the forum. | The participating<br>teachers chose to<br>interact mainly with<br>peers in their own<br>group rather than with<br>other colleagues in the<br>MOOC. |
| 9   | Blended   | Blended  | Exceptional<br>Coaching for Early<br>Language and<br>Literacy—<br>enhanced<br>(ExCELL-e); Digital<br>coaching and<br>mentoring | Hindman, 2015;<br>USA                             | Language<br>(English)               | 1 year  | Online – web-<br>based platform;<br>Skype or phone              | Develop preschool,<br>kindergarten, and first-<br>grade teachers in high-<br>poverty settings.<br>Teacher level: Pedagogical<br>practices; Collaboration<br>and engagement;<br>Pedagogical content<br>knowledge                               | <ul> <li>All modules addressed instruction of<br/>native speakers of English, as well as<br/>Dual-language learners (DLLs)</li> <li>Teachers completed an embedded check<br/>your understanding assessment,<br/>including multiple-choice or true–false<br/>items, on which they aim to score 100%<br/>(with missed items resulting in a check-in<br/>from a coach)</li> <li>At the end of each module teachers<br/>videotaped themselves using target<br/>strategies in their classrooms, and<br/>received personalized feedback from an<br/>expert coach with whom they work<br/>throughout the year</li> </ul> | Having an advisory board<br>of teachers was invaluable<br>in constructing content and<br>presenting it in appealing<br>and comprehensible ways.  | It involved a<br>considerable time<br>commitment from the<br>teachers.   |
| 10  | Blended   | Facilitated  | Mathematical<br>Quality of<br>Instruction (MQI)<br>- Digital coaching<br>and mentoring   | Kraft, 2019; USA                                  | Mathematics                         | >1 year | Video: Adobe<br>connect web                                     | Coach teachers on existing<br>practices and long-term<br>plans for the year through<br>one-on-one conversations<br>Teacher level: Pedagogical<br>practices; Attitudes and   | <ul> <li>Teachers used self-captured video to<br/>analyse their own instruction, and reflect<br/>on how to improve their instruction on<br/>specific MQI items</li> </ul>   | Participating teachers<br>engaged in critical analysis<br>of their own instruction<br>and had shared<br>responsibility for making a<br>plan of action.   | There were no<br>detectable effects on<br>student achievement<br>from the changes in<br>teachers' instructional<br>practices.                      |

| N  | <ul> <li>Communications<br/>mode:<br/>Asynchronous /<br/>Synchronous /<br/>Blended</li> </ul> | Delivery<br>mode:<br>Self-<br>directed /<br>facilitated<br>/ Blended | Intervention<br>name; type   | Study details<br>(first author,<br>year; Country) | Focus<br>(content/<br>subject area) | Length  | Platforms  | Purpose; Outcomes   | Key features   | Pros   | Cons  |
|----|---|--|--|---|-------------------------------------|---------|--|---|--|--|---|
|    |   |  |  |   |                                     |         |  | beliefs; Collaboration and<br>engagement; Pedagogical<br>content knowledge  | <ul> <li>Regular web-based meetings with<br/>coaches fostered a degree of informal<br/>accountability and helped teachers to<br/>stay engaged</li> </ul>   | Web-based programs like<br>MQI Coaching (per-cycle<br>basis) are likely to be more<br>cost effective than site-<br>based programs.   |   |
| 1  | . Asynchronous  | Facilitated  | Matematikk<br>Mooc 1 ; <i>Massive</i><br><i>Open Online</i><br><i>Courses</i>  | Krzyszkowska,<br>2020; Norway                     | Mathematics                         | 3 weeks | MOOC - LMS   | Refine teachers' practice<br>by aligning an existing<br>learning design with the<br>Collaborative learning<br>(Col) model.<br>Teacher level: Pedagogical<br>practices; Collaboration<br>and engagement;<br>Pedagogical content<br>knowledge                                     | <ul> <li>A discussion forum was used as the main<br/>platform for interaction</li> <li>Facilitator's main role was publishing and<br/>explaining problem-based tasks in the<br/>discussion forum</li> </ul>  | A meaningful learning<br>experience was created<br>through the interplay of<br>the three key elements:<br>social, teaching and<br>cognitive presence.<br>The design and<br>organisation (procedures,<br>course content and the<br>scheduled events<br>predefined in the LMS)<br>was considered to be<br>more important than<br>facilitation and direct<br>instruction. | The design overlooked<br>the significance of a<br>sense of belonging and<br>the connectedness<br>among the course<br>participants and did<br>not include explicit<br>steps for building a<br>community. |
| 1. | Asynchronous  | Blended  | GeoGebra: an<br>open-source<br>Dynamic and<br>Interactive<br>Mathematics<br>Learning<br>Environment<br>(DIMLE);<br>Electronic<br>learning<br>management<br>systems | Bu, 2013; USA                                     | Mathematics                         | 1 year  | Course<br>Management<br>System (CMS) and<br>open-source<br>DIMLE<br>technologies;<br>interactive web-<br>units designed<br>using Quandary® | Supports mathematics<br>teaching and learning<br>Teacher level: Pedagogical<br>practices; Collaboration<br>and engagement;<br>Pedagogical content<br>knowledge<br>Teacher level: Pedagogical<br>practices; Collaboration<br>and engagement;<br>Pedagogical content<br>knowledge | <ul> <li>Applied dynamic demonstration,<br/>computation, graphing, exploration,<br/>alternative solutions, and online<br/>mathematical communication</li> <li>Instructional videos illustrating the<br/>functions of GeoGebra, the processes of<br/>problem solving, and their relevance for<br/>mathematics teaching was shared with<br/>the participants</li> <li>Interactive web-units provided<br/>instructional support</li> <li>Weekly forums were a very useful social<br/>channel of communication and problem<br/>solving</li> </ul>      | Participants found<br>Quandary-based support<br>very helpful; another<br>resource, team scaffolding<br>(from DIMLE tools) was<br>judged to be more flexible<br>and appropriate than that<br>traditional instruction.   | Some participants<br>were overwhelmed by<br>the combination of<br>the unfamiliar<br>mathematical<br>problems, new ways<br>of thinking, and the<br>use of new DIMLE<br>technology tools.                 |
| 1: | Asynchronous  | Blended  | Online<br>mathematics<br>lessons, offered<br>through a distant<br>education course;<br><i>Electronic</i><br><i>learning</i><br><i>management</i><br><i>systems</i> | Brodahl, 2016;<br>Norway                          | Mathematics                         | _       | On-Line - text and<br>video podcasts;<br>through the LMS-<br>Fronter   | Understand how in-service<br>teachers perceived<br>podcast quality based on<br>design dimensions.<br>Teacher level: Pedagogical<br>practices; Pedagogical<br>content knowledge  | <ul> <li>Video podcasts were recorded using the screen casting software Camtasia (v.7) and power point slides</li> <li>Each podcast was developed with standalone instructions created for and implemented in a particular online lesson, along with a PDF-copy of the power point presentation</li> <li>For one topic, two series of podcasts were offered each with a clickable, two level, table of contents</li> <li>Content and activities were arranged across nine online lessons provided as a multimedia text consisting of an</li> </ul> | Teachers found the quality<br>and design of the video<br>podcasts including voice<br>and graphic delivery, and<br>length and chunking of<br>information to be quite<br>useful.   | Teachers identified<br>some obstacles such<br>as, video lengths,<br>narrator<br>mispronunciations,<br>and the verbatim<br>reading of materials.   |

| N | o. Communications<br>mode:<br>Asynchronous /<br>Synchronous /<br>Blended | Delivery<br>mode:<br>Self-<br>directed /<br>facilitated<br>/ Blended | Intervention<br>name; type   | Study details<br>(first author,<br>year; Country) | Focus<br>(content/<br>subject area) | Length                        | Platforms   | Purpose; Outcomes   | Key features   | Pros  | Cons   |
|---|--|--|--|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|
|   |  |  |  |   |                                     |                               |   |   | <ul> <li>introduction, table of contents, learning goals, and followed by chapters of different topics in the subject area</li> <li>A digital text-video format was chosen with clickable video thumbnails integrated in the body text in a tabloid fashion</li> <li>Chapters comprised of tasks and exercises as well as quizzes and surveys created in the LMS text tool with links to external resources</li> </ul>   |   |  |
| 1 | Blended  | Blended  | "Robotics and<br>Hands-on<br>Activities in the<br>Classroom" online<br>teacher<br>professional<br>development<br>(oTPD) courselet;<br>Multimedia<br>courseware | Ostashewski,<br>2011; Canada                      | Science<br>(robotics)               | _                             | Customised social<br>networking<br>website (Web 2.0<br>tools)               | Delivery of instructional<br>packages and the creation<br>of learning artefacts (i.e.<br>online lesson plan) to<br>demonstrate learning,<br>(delivered within a social<br>networking site)<br>Teacher level: Pedagogical<br>practices; Collaboration<br>and engagement;<br>Pedagogical content<br>knowledge | <ul> <li>An online educator community was<br/>established via social networking<br/>software that was customized to provide<br/>members with tools commonly found in<br/>social media sites like Facebook and Ning<br/>or learner management systems like<br/>Moodle</li> </ul>  | Effectively supported TPD<br>learning about the LEGO<br>robotics content.<br>Allowed teachers to<br>control their access and<br>participation in relevant<br>activities and promoted<br>the development of a<br>network of relationships. | While some<br>participants were<br>familiar with the Web<br>2.0 tools it was new to<br>other teachers and<br>they required<br>additional time to<br>learn how to navigate<br>and use the platform.<br>Required about 10–15<br>hours of teacher<br>interaction through<br>online forums, blogs,<br>videos, and other<br>social media. |
| 1 | 5 Asynchronous   | Self-<br>directed  | Exploring Florida<br>Science<br>environment;<br>Electronic<br>learning<br>management<br>systems  | Cavanaugh, 2010;<br>USA                           | Science                             | _                             | LMS   | Increase the content<br>knowledge and skills of all<br>secondary science<br>teachers, and provide a<br>rich and innovative<br>classroom resource for<br>science students.<br>Teacher level: Pedagogical<br>practices; Collaboration<br>and engagement;<br>Pedagogical content<br>knowledge                  | <ul> <li>The module development was guided by<br/>E-Learning for Educators standards and<br/>evaluated for content, pedagogy<br/>and usability based on established<br/>guidelines using rubrics on science<br/>content and technology for delivery</li> <li>Materials designed to connect teachers<br/>with practitioners in the field used<br/>personal stories to increase closeness</li> <li>Content was examined for accuracy,<br/>currency, completeness for grade level,<br/>thoroughness for benchmarks, and<br/>appropriateness of science skills</li> <li>An accessibility review for the module<br/>design was undertaken by an<br/>instructional designer</li> </ul> | The intervention used<br>compelling media to<br>increase participants'<br>(teachers and students)<br>engagement with the<br>platform.   |  |
| 1 | 5 Synchronous  | Facilitated  | Live, Short-<br>Courses for NASA<br>Explorer Schools;<br>Multimedia<br>courseware  | Marrero, 2010;<br>USA                             | Science                             | 4- 6 one-<br>hour<br>sessions | NASA's DLN<br>(digital learning<br>network); a<br>videoconferencing<br>tool | Provide participants an<br>opportunity to actively<br>learn content and<br>applications for the<br>classroom.<br>Teacher level: Pedagogical<br>practices; Collaboration<br>and engagement;  | <ul> <li>Seven short- live/ online courses (4-6 one-hour sessions), with independent assignments as follow-up activities between the sessions</li> <li>Teachers participated simultaneously logging into an online classroom or using a telephone to dial into a conference call.</li> </ul>   | Provided participants<br>expert training and<br>resources, which they may<br>not have had access to<br>through their local district.<br>The live format was<br>valuable for professional<br>development in science                        | Some participants had<br>issues with the<br>technology during the<br>session and not much<br>technological support<br>was provided as part<br>of the intervention.   |

| No. | Communications<br>mode:<br>Asynchronous /<br>Synchronous /<br>Blended | Delivery<br>mode:<br>Self-<br>directed /<br>facilitated<br>/ Blended | Intervention<br>name; type  | Study details<br>(first author,<br>year; Country) | Focus<br>(content/<br>subject area) | Length  | Platforms   | Purpose; Outcomes  | Key features   | Pros   | Cons   |
|-----|---|--|---|---|-------------------------------------|---------|---|--|--|--|--|
|     |   |  |   |   |                                     |         |   | Pedagogical content<br>knowledge   | <ul> <li>The course instructors used embedded technological resources, such as online quizzes/polls, as a formative assessment and as a way for participants to share ideas quickly.</li> <li>Real-time Q&amp;A during the live sessions.</li> <li>Other distance learning opportunities were also additionally offered for e.g., one-session webinars and events through NASA's DLN, a videoconferencing tool.</li> </ul>   | education as the content<br>can often be challenging.                      |  |
| 17  | Asynchronous  | Self-<br>directed  | GO! Network<br>'learning paths';<br>Electronic<br>learning<br>management<br>systems | De Smet, 2016;<br>Belgium                         | Science<br>(Biology)                | _       | Information<br>systems running<br>on a server (web-<br>based) | Support biology teachers<br>to set learning paths for<br>their grade 8 students on a<br>topic and develop a road<br>map for individual<br>learners.<br>Teacher level: Pedagogical<br>practices; Collaboration<br>and engagement;<br>Pedagogical content<br>knowledge<br>Student level:<br>Learning outcomes;<br>Engagement | <ul> <li>Interactive web-based tools supported<br/>the learning of specific concepts by<br/>enhancing, amplifying, and/or guiding<br/>the cognitive processes of learners</li> <li>Learning activities were used for learners<br/>and instructors to share knowledge and<br/>experiences</li> <li>Offered additional tools like document<br/>publishing, assessment modules, and<br/>wiki</li> </ul>   | Useful features related to<br>the quality and multimedia<br>functionality. | Problems with<br>computer access,<br>training and support<br>to help teachers<br>becoming more<br>effective or efficient.<br>Teachers took some<br>time to adjust to the<br>new learning methods<br>while their students<br>adapted quickly. |
| 18  | Asynchronous  | Self-<br>directed  | iPlan tool;<br>Electronic<br>learning<br>management<br>systems                      | Tekkumru-Kisa;<br>2019, USA                       | Science<br>(Biology-<br>Genetics)   | 4 weeks | Web –based -<br>Online learning<br>communities                | Support both the<br>communication and the<br>practice Science teachers.<br>Teacher level: Pedagogical<br>practices; Collaboration<br>and engagement;<br>Pedagogical content<br>knowledge   | <ul> <li>Tasks designed with macro and micro<br/>views - the macro view included a verbal<br/>task description, and helped to situate<br/>the task within the larger curriculum; the<br/>micro view included many practical<br/>details, such as amount of time, class<br/>grouping (i.e. whole class, small group or<br/>individual work) and even particular<br/>questions that could be asked of<br/>students</li> <li>Other useful functional features<br/>included, the 'target icon' to help<br/>teachers understand how much<br/>conceptual progress on a big idea<br/>students may require, and the<br/>'important icon' for supplemental<br/>information</li> </ul> | Fostered a learning-<br>oriented community of<br>practice.                 | Several specific macro<br>view features were<br>not considered helpful<br>by some teachers.<br>Not having a facilitator<br>slightly limited<br>participants'<br>engagement.  |

| No. | Communications<br>mode:<br>Asynchronous /<br>Synchronous /<br>Blended | Delivery<br>mode:<br>Self-<br>directed /<br>facilitated<br>/ Blended | Intervention<br>name; type | Study details<br>(first author,<br>year; Country) | Focus<br>(content/<br>subject area) | Length   | Platforms   | Purpose; Outcomes  | Key features  | Pros   | Cons  |
|-----|---|--|----------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|----------|---|--|---|--|---|
| 19  | Asynchronous  | Facilitated  | CSER MOOC<br>series        | Vivian, 2014;<br>Australia                        | Digital<br>Technologies             | 21 hours | Google Course<br>Builder;<br>WordPress for<br>posting tasks | Equip teachers to teach<br>the Australian Curriculum<br>Digital Technologies<br>courses<br>Teacher level: Pedagogical<br>practices; Collaboration<br>and engagement;     | <ul> <li>All course materials were available<br/>online at<br/>https://csermoocs.adelaide.edu.au</li> <li>5-minute concept videos and worked<br/>examples that were linked to curriculum<br/>learning objectives were quite useful</li> </ul>   | All materials are openly<br>licensed.<br>The MOOC is mapped to<br>national teacher standards.<br>There are specific learning<br>goals.   | Technical<br>development (in the<br>form of coding) was<br>required to construct<br>the course using the<br>web guides, as well as<br>support and<br>maintenance.<br>The MOOC was<br>expensive to develop<br>and deliver.<br>It is funded by the<br>Australian government<br>and Google.  |
| 20  | Blended   | Facilitated  | Online role-play           | Zhang, 2016;<br>China                             | General                             | 3 weeks  | Chat room   | Help teachers simulate<br>online role-play as a tool<br>to teach collaborative<br>reasoning.<br>Teacher level: Pedagogical<br>practices; Collaboration<br>and engagement | <ul> <li>The course instructor participated in the activity by assuming a role or stepped out of the role to send online messages, monitoring and guiding the process</li> <li>The asynchronous Professional Learning activity was followed by a 1-hour synchronous debriefing, reflection session</li> </ul> | Participants developed<br>their ideas through<br>collaboration.<br>The asynchronous forum<br>helped collaborative<br>argument, improved<br>interaction & encouraged<br>thoughtful communication.<br>It enabled less vocal<br>learners to share opinions.<br>Participants recognised the<br>value of conducting<br>research on the topic and<br>collecting evidence before<br>posting.<br>Some participants felt<br>more comfortable by the<br>anonymity of the platform. | Success hinges on<br>topics being intriguing<br>and challenging – this<br>is time consuming,<br>specialist skill.<br>There was difficulty in<br>keeping track of the<br>asynchronous<br>conversation,<br>involving lots of<br>scrolling back, and<br>participants missed<br>exchanges when they<br>were typing.<br>It was difficult for<br>some participants to<br>maintain the assigned<br>role.<br>Accessibility was an<br>issue with a screen<br>reader not working in<br>the chat room. |

| No. | Communications<br>mode:<br>Asynchronous /<br>Synchronous /<br>Blended | Delivery<br>mode:<br>Self-<br>directed /<br>facilitated<br>/ Blended | Intervention<br>name; type  | Study details<br>(first author,<br>year; Country) | Focus<br>(content/<br>subject area) | Length        | Platforms   | Purpose; Outcomes   | Key features   | Pros   | Cons  |
|-----|---|--|---|---|-------------------------------------|---------------|---|---|--|--|---|
| 21  | Blended   | Blended  | Agile professional<br>development;<br>Electronic<br>learning<br>management<br>systems | Flavell, 2019;<br>Australia                       | General                             | 1<br>semester | LMS   | Support participants'<br>teaching strategies<br>Teacher level: Pedagogical<br>practices; Collaboration<br>and engagement                | <ul> <li>The emphasis was on regular feedback,<br/>flexibility and student-centred approach</li> <li>After a 2-day initial workshop, the<br/>participants taught in pairs for 1<br/>semester</li> <li>Participants explored a variety of<br/>teaching strategies, e.g., Fishbowl, You-<br/>Tube technology, goal setting, and the<br/>flipped classroom) as well as new<br/>technologies</li> </ul>  | Post-surveys showed<br>improved ease of use in<br>intervention group.<br>Intervention group more<br>aware of the resources.<br>Participants provided<br>useful applications and<br>tips, and practical<br>strategies when<br>technologies did not go to<br>plan.<br>Increase in curiosity and<br>confidence.<br>Participants reported<br>learning varied teaching<br>strategies.<br>Participants increased their<br>understanding of the<br>drivers for educational<br>technology use. | Resourcing and<br>timetabling were<br>challenging.<br>Casual tutors had little<br>opportunity to embed<br>innovations into their<br>teaching.   |
| 22  | Asynchronous  | Facilitated  | Connectivist open<br>online class<br>(OOC); MOOC                                      | Graham, 2015;<br>Alaska                           | General                             | 6 weeks       | Multiple online<br>tools<br>PLN<br>Social<br>bookmarking<br>Communication<br>forums | Develop connectivist<br>pedagogy in practising<br>teachers.<br>Teacher level: Pedagogical<br>practices; Collaboration<br>and engagement | <ul> <li>A learning design incorporated within an<br/>In-service Masters of Educational<br/>Technology</li> <li>The class focussed on knowledge<br/>generation and networked learning</li> <li>The class had 1-week of orientation at<br/>the beginning of each phase of the<br/>course, then 4 weeks of guided<br/>interaction, with a final week for those<br/>taking the course for credit to present<br/>their final products</li> </ul> | Provided an opportunity<br>for authentic professional<br>development and<br>collaboration, particularly<br>for teachers in remote<br>areas.<br>Course was for credit, and<br>98% completed<br>successfully.<br>Resources curated by<br>participants were available<br>to all participants.   | The unfamiliar<br>pedagogical<br>framework was met<br>with confusion: people<br>thought they were<br>signing up for an<br>online course.<br>High frustration<br>amongst some 20% of<br>participants who<br>remained resistant to<br>connectivist pedagogy<br>throughout the<br>experience.<br>Required too many<br>accounts and logins. |

| No. | Communications<br>mode:<br>Asynchronous /<br>Synchronous /<br>Blended | Delivery<br>mode:<br>Self-<br>directed /<br>facilitated<br>/ Blended | Intervention<br>name; type   | Study details<br>(first author,<br>year; Country) | Focus<br>(content/<br>subject area) | Length            | Platforms  | Purpose; Outcomes  | Key features  | Pros  | Cons  |
|-----|---|--|--|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|---|---|---|
| 23  | Blended   | Facilitated  | Carpe Diem;<br>MOOC  | Salmon, 2017; UK                                  | General                             |                   | Multiple online<br>tools; social<br>learning<br>techniques | Help staff develop an<br>online module and<br>understand online tools<br>available.<br>Teacher level: Pedagogical<br>practices; Collaboration<br>and engagement  | <ul> <li>Staff learned to design the module, collected online examples of good practice, illustrated by audio clips of staff</li> <li>The module included online tutorials, and linked to a separate website of learning designs</li> <li>Participants were taught to concentrate first on the jobs that teachers need to be able to do, rather than starting with tool functionality</li> <li>Participants reflected on their objectives in a learning diary</li> <li>The platform automatically generated certificates of completion</li> <li>The course was staffed by trained facilitators</li> </ul> | Helped inform staff of<br>innovations in learning and<br>teaching practice.<br>Occurred within teachers'<br>normal working<br>environment.<br>Catered for staff who<br>preferred to study in their<br>own time as well as those<br>who preferred<br>synchronous.<br>Cohort learning proved<br>extremely popular with<br>participants; and peer<br>learning greatly<br>contributed to the<br>experience. |   |
| 24  | Blended   | Facilitated  | iLearn about ESL<br>2.0 Professional<br>Learning<br>Community;<br>Electronic<br>learning<br>management<br>systems                | Haratsis, 2011;<br>Australia (NSW)                | General                             | 2 years           | Moodle<br>Ning   | Develop teachers'<br>knowledge, skills and<br>understanding in effective<br>English as Second<br>Language (ESL), literacy<br>and numeracy teaching.<br>Teacher level: Pedagogical<br>practices; Collaboration<br>and engagement;<br>Pedagogical content<br>knowledge | <ul> <li>The ESL executives led a range of face-to-face and online professional learning for whole school teams</li> <li>A closed online professional learning community was established, first on Moodle, then migrated to Ning</li> <li>Developed e-tips for building an architecture of participation</li> </ul>   | The professional learning<br>community enhanced<br>networking, resourcing and<br>communicating.<br>Led to adoption by other<br>professional networks.<br>Accommodated mobile<br>technologies.<br>Archive on DVD made<br>resources available beyond<br>the life of the project.  | This was a high-cost<br>program.<br>A lot of time was<br>spent on socialising<br>activities to build<br>community.<br>The online platform<br>affected participation.<br>Changing tools<br>midstream was quite<br>disruptive, and<br>inefficient.<br>Some tools become<br>outdated/lost support. |
| 25  | Asynchronous  | Self-<br>directed  | Digital science<br>resources and<br>videos on<br>website:<br>Contemporary<br>Science Practice<br>in Schools<br>Digi Explanations | Blom, 2019;<br>Australian (VIC)                   | Science                             | Self-<br>directed | Website  | To provide professional<br>learning resources relating<br>to contemporary issues in<br>science   | <ul> <li>Collection of resources purposefully<br/>designed and organised for Australian<br/>science context</li> <li>suite of engaging and varied resources<br/>and activities</li> <li>updated with new resources to reflect<br/>current research in science</li> </ul>  | Produced by universities in<br>collaboration with<br>scientists, pre-service<br>teachers, teachers, and<br>education academics.<br>Aligned with the Australian<br>and/or Victorian<br>Curriculum for secondary<br>schools.<br>Accessible to any teacher,<br>user-friendly website with<br>simple navigation.  | Lack of coherence due<br>to multiple<br>contributors<br>Series of separate sites  |

| No. | Communications<br>mode:<br>Asynchronous /<br>Synchronous /<br>Blended | Delivery<br>mode:<br>Self-<br>directed /<br>facilitated<br>/ Blended | Intervention<br>name; type  | Study details<br>(first author,<br>year; Country) | Focus<br>(content/<br>subject area) | Length            | Platforms                            | Purpose; Outcomes  | Key features  | Pros   | Cons   |
|-----|---|--|---|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| 26  | Synchronous   | Facilitated  | Video conference<br>Sydney Opera<br>House's Digital<br>Education<br>Program | Dezuanni, 2015;<br>Australia (NSW)                | Music                               | 2 hours           | Connected<br>Classrooms (NSW<br>DoE) | observational and<br>videotape data collected<br>during this live<br>professional development  | <ul> <li>Synchronous one-off live event that<br/>aimed to replicate a typical 'live'<br/>professional development event</li> <li>While there are significant<br/>differences in the type of learning<br/>that occurs in a remote music<br/>interaction, the online space<br/>provides a legitimate and potentially<br/>transforming experience for primary<br/>school teachers.</li> </ul>  | Collapses space and time<br>to bring people together in<br>a way that would<br>otherwise be impossible | Communication was<br>difficult between the<br>presenter and all the<br>remote sites,<br>particularly audio back<br>from remote<br>No live online chat  |
| 27  | Asynchronous  | Self-<br>directed  | Professional<br>learning<br>smartphone app                                  | Dwyer, 2019;<br>Australia (NSW &<br>NT)           | Early<br>childhood                  | Self-<br>directed | Mobile phone                         | How do educators use<br>existing digital technology<br>(particularly<br>smartphones), in and out<br>of the workplace, to<br>support their professional<br>role and to construct a<br>Professional knowledge<br>base?   | <ul> <li>use of commercially available apps<br/>and software designated for<br/>professional use</li> <li>a) formal resources (i.e. websites<br/>etc.), which we classified as those<br/>existing in the gov.au domain,<br/>reserved for Australian government<br/>entities, the org.au domain, occupied<br/>by non-profit organisations, or<br/>the.edu.au domain</li> <li>b) informal resources, classified as<br/>any other digital resources, including<br/>commercial websites, social<br/>networking websites, discussion<br/>forums and other multimedia-sharing<br/>platforms.</li> </ul> | 25.7% of educators (19/74)<br>reported using existing<br>childcare apps for lesson<br>planning         | Most educators<br>(79.5%; 58/73) did not<br>list any formal<br>resources. Of the<br>20.5% (15/73) who<br>did, eight listed one,<br>and seven listed two.<br>Educators listed<br>between zero and six<br>informal resources<br>Educators working in<br>centres in higher SES<br>suburbs listed more<br>informal resources<br>than those working in<br>lower SES suburbs<br>13.9% were interested<br>in 'professional<br>development' apps |
| 28  |   |  |   | Herbert, 2016;<br>Australia                       |                                     |                   |                                      | What are rural teachers'<br>perceptions of online<br>professional learning, with<br>particular respect to its<br>value in enhancing their<br>understandings?<br>What features of online<br>professional learning<br>would meet the needs of<br>rural teachers? |   |  |  |

## Appendix 4: Effective practice principles of professional learning

A mapping of six examples of principles of professional learning from Australian and international organisations that were used to inform the analysis of the literature in the rapid review.

| Principle               | Sub principle   | <u>EEF 2020b</u> | <u>Cavanaugh &amp;</u><br><u>Dawson 2010</u> | <u>QM 2015</u>  | ACER 2013   | <u>Global Online Academy</u>   | <u>NESA 2021</u>   |
|-------------------------|---|------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| 1. Relevance            | Accreditation   |                  |  |   | Contribute to ongoing career<br>development and in many cases<br>can be credited towards<br>qualifications                                      |  | Coherence between teacher<br>professional learning and<br>external factors such as<br>government, school/service and<br>sector policy, as well as<br>teaching standards and<br>assessment are linked to clear<br>and relevant goals that are<br>related to student/child<br>outcomes |
|                         | Needs-based   |                  |  |   | Based on evidence of<br>participants' current<br>performance context and<br>available resources;<br>participants' knowledge and<br>capabilities |  | Content-focused: relevant,<br>focus on specific subject<br>knowledge together with<br>pedagogical content knowledge<br>teaching strategies associated<br>with specific curriculum<br>content   |
| 2. Educational<br>value | Assessment &<br>evaluation                              |                  |  | Assessment strategies<br>are integral to the<br>learning process and are<br>designed to evaluate<br>learner progress in<br>achieving the stated<br>learning objectives or<br>mastering the<br>competencies. | Continually evaluate<br>professional learning activities<br>to improve quality.   | Assessments are aligned to<br>learners and learning goals:<br>allow for multiple attempts,<br>support students working at<br>their own pace                | Recognise the experience and<br>prior knowledge of learners  |
|                         | Engagement in<br>learning,<br>Intensity and<br>duration |                  |  |   | High expectations: set<br>achievable high-level goals for<br>all participants   | Challenge participants:<br>cognitively complex,<br>personalised work that asks<br>them to apply knowledge in<br>order to demonstrate learning<br>outcomes. | Of a sustained duration that<br>allows teachers to: plan and<br>consider how their new<br>learning might best support<br>their students/children evaluate<br>the impact of the learning<br>refine future approaches  |

| Principle | Sub principle                                  | EEF 2020b | Cavanaugh &<br>Dawson 2010 | <u>QM 2015</u>  | <u>ACER 2013</u>  | Global Online Academy  | <u>NESA 2021</u>  |
|-----------|--|-----------|----------------------------|---|---|--|---|
|           | Feedback                                       |           |                            |   | Encourage and incorporate<br>formal and informal feedback<br>in multiple formats.   | Diversifying feedback:<br>Teacher-to-Student, Teacher-<br>to-Student(s) (class or<br>groups), Student-to-Student,<br>and Student-to -Teacher.  | Include opportunities for feedback and reflection   |
|           | Instruction and<br>learning<br>activities      |           |                            |   | Active & reflective: focused on<br>addressing participants'<br>concerns using and modelling<br>teaching and learning methods<br>such as collaboration, action<br>research, use of tools and<br>frameworks, data analysis,<br>presentations and reflection in a<br>wider context | Instructions cover essential<br>information students need in<br>order to drive their own<br>learning: Who, What, Where,<br>When, Why, How?   | Model effective practice: have<br>a vision of practice on which to<br>anchor their own learning and<br>growth |
|           | Learning<br>outcomes<br>clearly stated         |           |                            | Learning objectives or<br>competencies describe<br>what learners will be<br>able to do upon<br>completion of the course |   | Intended outcomes for a<br>learning experience are<br>clearly articulated. Time and<br>support to develop<br>understanding of the meaning<br>and relevance of those<br>outcomes                              |   |
|           | Reflection and<br>application of<br>learning   |           |                            |   |   | Reflection: compose and<br>share reflections and self-<br>assessments that capture<br>what and how they have<br>learned.   | Job-embedded and/or provide<br>opportunities for transference<br>of learning                                  |
|           | Structure of<br>learning and<br>self-direction |           |                            | The overall design of the course is made clear to the learner at the beginning of the course.                           |   | Pacing Guide: visual or<br>graphic guide to how to<br>organize time and tasks for<br>the learning experience is<br>posted<br>Motivation, skills, and habits<br>associated with more self-<br>driven learning |   |

| Principle   | Sub principle            | <u>EEF 2020b</u>   | Cavanaugh &<br>Dawson 2010   | <u>QM 2015</u>   | <u>ACER 2013</u> | Global Online Academy   | <u>NESA 2021</u> |
|---|--------------------------|--|--|--|------------------|---|------------------|
| 3. Managed &<br>flexible<br>learning<br>environment | Accessibility,<br>Equity |  | Accessibility: Free<br>from bias, accessible<br>for all or has<br>appropriate<br>accommodations  | The course design<br>reflects a commitment to<br>accessibility and<br>usability for all learners.  |                  | Ensure online tools and<br>experiences are accessible to<br>all learners<br>Privacy and parameters you<br>must work within to ensure<br>your and students' online<br>safety and privacy<br>Equity: aware of learners'<br>technological capabilities as<br>well as the support available<br>to them<br>Online safety. Acceptable<br>Use Policy |                  |
|   | Delivery mode            | Professional<br>development can<br>be supported<br>effectively<br>remotely<br>Remote coaching,<br>mentoring and<br>expert support can<br>be effective alone<br>or as part of<br>broader PD<br>programmes |  |  |                  | Use of time: Balance. When<br>should we learn<br>synchronously /<br>asynchronously and what is<br>the best use of that time?  |                  |
|   | Navigation,<br>Usability |  | Efficiency of<br>navigation: well-<br>organised, visually and<br>functionally consistent<br>and easy for teachers<br>to navigate<br>Usability of the media<br>by the intended<br>audience: works with<br>school technology and<br>typical teacher skills | Course technologies<br>support learners'<br>achievement of course<br>objectives or<br>competencies |                  | Balance: The experience uses<br>video, images, hyperlinks,<br>audio, and other multimedia<br>elements to support students<br>in contextualizing,<br>navigating, and focusing on<br>learning goals.  |                  |

| Principle             | Sub principle  | EEF 2020b   | Cavanaugh &<br>Dawson 2010 | <u>QM 2015</u>   | <u>ACER 2013</u>   | Global Online Academy   | <u>NESA 2021</u>             |
|-----------------------|--|---|----------------------------|--|--|---|------------------------------|
| 4. Social<br>presence | Learning with<br>others  |   |                            | Course activities<br>facilitate and support<br>learner interaction and<br>engagement | Practice-oriented: conducted in<br>a social context, tailored to<br>individual and local needs and<br>designed to encourage<br>immediate practice and sharing<br>in participants' workplaces | Diversify interactions:<br>teacher to class, teacher to<br>individual, learner to class,<br>learner to learner, small<br>group  | Involve active collaboration |
|                       | Support for all<br>aspects,<br>including from<br>school leaders:<br>protected time,<br>training,<br>platform ease<br>of access | Remote<br>professional<br>development<br>requires<br>supportive school<br>conditions<br>(support from<br>leaders, protected<br>time, tech-specific<br>training, platform<br>ease of access) |                            |  |  | Routine: Establish and<br>publish a clear, predictable<br>routine for publishing and<br>organizing online learning<br>material and for<br>communicating with<br>students.   |                              |
|                       | Teacher<br>Presence  |   |                            |  |  | Presence: Make presence<br>known through frequent<br>asynchronous and<br>synchronous communication<br>that includes your face and<br>voice, through rapid and<br>helpful responses to<br>questions, through timely and<br>effective feedback, and<br>through active participation<br>in class activities.<br>Build trust. Teacher<br>demonstrates care, is clear<br>and responsive in<br>communications and<br>expectations. Relationships:<br>Made time before, during,<br>and after an online<br>experience to personally<br>know, check in on, and get<br>feedback from students |                              |

| Principle             | Sub principle                            | EEF 2020b  | Cavanaugh &<br>Dawson 2010  | <u>QM 2015</u>   | <u>ACER 2013</u>   | Global Online Academy   | <u>NESA 2021</u> |
|-----------------------|--|--|---|--|--|---|------------------|
|                       | Technical<br>support                     |  | Adequacy of support<br>for users: technical<br>support to enable<br>independent use   | The course facilitates<br>learner access to support<br>services essential to<br>learner success.           |  | Support: Create and publish a<br>clear process for how<br>students can get support, how<br>you will intervene in the<br>event that they need support          |                  |
| 5. Quality<br>content | Instructional<br>materials,<br>Resources | Interactive content<br>and opportunities<br>for collaboration<br>hold promise for<br>remote<br>professional<br>development<br>The use of video<br>can enhance<br>remote PD | Professionalism of<br>media: attractive,<br>functional and<br>appropriate for<br>teachers<br>Clarity of visual<br>design: aesthetic<br>design presents and<br>communicates<br>information clearly<br>throughout | Instructional materials<br>enable learners to<br>achieve stated learning<br>objectives or<br>competencies. | Presenters and facilitators have<br>deep content knowledge and<br>teaching skills.<br>Informed by evidence from:<br>large scale data collections and<br>international research, and<br>research into practices that have<br>worked in similar settings | Playlists, Not Packets: Give<br>choice in content. Content<br>selection is diverse media<br>and draws from a variety of<br>sources, academic and<br>otherwise |                  |