



Gestational diabetes is associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy: A case-control study

Anda-Petronela Radan , Mihaela-Madalina Fluri ,
Konstantinos Nirgianakis , Beatrice Mosimann , Bettina Schlatter ,
Luigi Raio , Daniel Surbek

PII: S1262-3636(22)00034-9
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabet.2022.101351>
Reference: DIABET 101351

To appear in: *Diabetes & Metabolism*

Received date: 31 January 2022
Revised date: 28 March 2022
Accepted date: 4 April 2022

Please cite this article as: Anda-Petronela Radan , Mihaela-Madalina Fluri ,
Konstantinos Nirgianakis , Beatrice Mosimann , Bettina Schlatter , Luigi Raio , Daniel Surbek ,
Gestational diabetes is associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy: A case-control study,
Diabetes & Metabolism (2022), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabet.2022.101351>

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2022 Published by Elsevier Masson SAS.

1 Gestational diabetes is associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy: A case-control study

2 Anda-Petronela Radan, MD, Mihaela-Madalina Fluri, MD, Konstantinos Nirgianakis, MD, Beatrice

3 Mosimann, MD, Bettina Schlatter, MD, Luigi Raio, MD, Daniel Surbek, MD

4 Department of Obstetrics and feto-maternal Medicine, University Hospital of Bern, University of

5 Bern, Switzerland

6 Address: Friedbühlstrasse 19, CH-3010 Bern, Switzerland

7

8 Corresponding Author: Anda-Petronela Radan, MD

9 University of Bern, Hospital of Bern, Inselspital

10 Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology

11 Friedbühlstrasse 19, CH-3010 Bern, Switzerland

12 Tel +41316321010/ Fax: +41316321646

13 Email: anda-petronela.radan@insel.ch

14

15 Short title: Gestational diabetes and SARS-CoV-2

16

17

18

19

20

21 Abstract22 Aim

23 Individuals with SARS-CoV-2 infection and (pre-existing) diabetes, including pregnant women,
24 present with more severe morbidity, as compared to non-diabetic subjects. To date, evidence is
25 limited concerning the role of gestational diabetes (GDM) in severity of SARS-CoV-2 infection during
26 pregnancy, or vice versa. The aim of our study was to investigate the prevalence of GDM in a SARS-
27 CoV-2 infected pregnant population and evaluate risk factors for and from severe infection in these
28 patients.

29 Methods

30 A case-control study with prospective data collection for the case group and 1:2 matching with
31 historical controls based on parity, BMI and ethnicity was conducted (n=224). GDM screening was
32 performed at 26 weeks' gestation. Multivariate binary logistic regression analysis was performed to
33 assess risk factors for GDM and inpatient COVID-19 management.

34 Results

35 34.6% of the patients in the case group suffered from GDM, vs. 16.1% in the control group ($p=0.002$).
36 35.7% patients were diagnosed with GDM after, vs. 33.3% before SARS-CoV-2 infection (OR (95%CI)
37 1.11(0.40-3.08), $p=0.84$), with no correlation between time point of infection and GDM diagnosis.
38 SARS-CoV-2 (OR (95%CI) 2.79 (1.42, 5.47), $p=0.003$) and BMI (OR (95%CI) 1.12 (1.05, 1.19), $p=0.001$)
39 were significant independent risk factors for GDM.

40 Conclusion

41 Data suggests that GDM increases the risk of infection in SARS-CoV-2 infected pregnant women.
42 Meanwhile, SARS-CoV-2 during pregnancy might increase the risk of developing GDM.

43 Vaccination and caution in using protective measures should be recommended to pregnant women,
44 particularly when suffering from GDM.

45 Keywords: SARS-CoV-2, gestational diabetes, COVID-19

46 Funding information: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the
47 public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61 Introduction

62 Diabetes mellitus (DM) is one of the most frequent comorbidities in individuals with SARS-CoV-2
63 infection [1-2]. Evidence shows that individuals suffering from diabetes present higher morbidity and
64 mortality as compared to non-diabetic subjects [1].

65 Analogue to the general population, pregnant women suffering from preexisting diabetes seem to
66 present with a higher severity degree of SARS-CoV-2 infection [3, 4]. An international case control
67 analysis comparing data stratified by the severity of maternal disease identified pulmonary
68 comorbidities, hypertensive disease and DM as risk factors associated with a severe form of SARS-
69 CoV-2 infection in pregnancy [5]. Furthermore, it has been previously suggested that hyperglycemia
70 generally increases viral replication and decreases anti-viral response, making a causal relationship
71 between diabetes and SARS-CoV-2 biologically plausible [1,2]. However, there is limited data so far
72 whether these elaborations also apply to gestational diabetes (GDM).

73 GDM is a major public health issue, with an abrupt increase in prevalence in the last decade, and
74 international committees report a so-called `metabolic pandemic` [6]. According to The
75 Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcome Study, the level of glycaemia during pregnancy is
76 directly linked to the presence of adverse obstetrical outcomes [7-8].

77 Prevalence of GDM lies worldwide between 9,3% and 25,5% [8]. A British study described a 33.8%
78 increase in GDM since the onset of the pandemic, attributing this mainly to reduced exercise levels
79 and psychical stress [9].

80 SARS-CoV mediated pancreatic islet cell damage is not a newly described phenomenon, as earlier
81 experiences with MERS and SARS teach us [10]. DM is a multifactorial disease, and its development is
82 linked to genetic and environmental influences. Indeed, a causal relationship between viral infections
83 and acute glycemic decompensation with onset of Type I diabetes has been previously described
84 [11].

85 In this context, increasing evidence shows that SARS-CoV-2 can trigger severe diabetic ketoacidosis in
86 persons with new-onset Type I diabetes, most probably due to high angiotensin converting enzyme 2

87 (ACE2) expression in the endocrine part of the pancreas. The mechanism seems to involve cell
88 apoptosis with decreased pancreatic insulin secretion [11].

89 The aim of our study was to investigate the prevalence of GDM in a SARS-CoV-2 infected pregnant
90 population and evaluate risk factors for and from severe infection in these patients.

91 Methods

92 We included 224 pregnant women in our case-control study. The case group consisted of 75 women
93 with SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy, irrespective of the severity of the symptoms. We
94 included all SARS-CoV-2 positive women who were managed at our tertiary hospital between May
95 2020 and July 2021. Data from these individuals were collected prospectively within the international
96 COVI-Preg register. Cases were matched 1:2 with a historical cohort of women who delivered before
97 the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic between 01.01.2016 and 31.10.2019, based on parity, body mass index
98 (BMI) and ethnicity. In one woman, only one matching control was found, so that the control group
99 consisted of 149 individuals. Screening for GDM by 75mg oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) was
100 performed at 26 weeks' gestation in all 224 women. Normal blood sugar values were defined as
101 follows: fasting < 5,1mmol/l, one hour after glucose ingestion < 10mmol/l, two hours after glucose
102 ingestion < 8,5mmol/l. All women where OGTT was not available were previously excluded.

103 First trimester was defined as conception to 13 + 6 weeks, second trimester from 14 + 0 to 26 + 6
104 weeks and third trimester as more than 27 + 0 weeks of gestation.

105 Diagnosis of COVID-19 infection in the case group was made by identification of SARS-CoV-2-PCR in a
106 nasopharyngeal swab.

107 Written informed consent was obtained, institutional review board approval was provided by the
108 Ethical Committee of Berne (2020-00832). The study was performed in accordance with the
109 principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. No external funding was received.

110 *Statistical Analysis*

111 Mean values and SD were calculated for continuous variables and percentages for the qualitative
112 variables. A student t-test and Fisher's exact test was used to compare continuous parametric
113 variables and binary variables between the two groups, respectively. Possible risk factors for
114 gestational diabetes and inpatient COVID-19 management were determined with multivariate binary
115 logistic regression analysis. A logistic regression analysis was also performed to identify if the time of
116 COVID-19 infection during pregnancy was associated with GDM. Missing data were excluded from
117 the analysis. Significance was set at $p < 0.05$. Statistical analysis was carried out with SPSS 25.0
118 software (SPSS, USA).

119 Results

120 Baseline characteristics of the study population and delivery outcomes are depicted in Table 1.
121 Altogether, 26/75 (34.66%) of the patients in the case group suffered from gestational diabetes vs.
122 24/149 (16.1%) in the control group ($p = 0.002$). The rate of preterm delivery was 17.3% in the case
123 group vs. 7.6% in the control group ($p = 0.04$).

124 Multivariate logistic regression analysis revealed that SARS-CoV-2 (OR (95%CI) 2.79 (1.42, 5.47),
125 $p = 0.003$) and BMI (OR (95%CI) 1.12 (1.05, 1.19), $p = 0.001$) were significant independent risk factors
126 for GDM.

127 In 11/75 (14.66%) patients, SARS-CoV-2 infection occurred in the first trimester of gestation, in 19/75
128 (25.33%) in the second and in 37/75 (49.33%) in the third trimester. In eight patients, time-point of
129 infection was unknown (10.66%). Of these, three suffered from GDM.

130 Out of 28 patients infected with COVID-19 \leq 26 week of pregnancy, 10 (35.7%) had a positive OGTT
131 (GDM diagnosis) afterwards. This is similar to the 13/39 (33.3%) of patients with positive OGTT
132 before infected with COVID (OR (95%CI) 1.11 (0.40, 3.08, Chi-Square = 0.84).

133 89.33% of the patients (67/75) in the case group suffered from asymptomatic, mild or moderate
134 SARS-CoV-2 infection, according to the National Institutes of Health (NIH) criteria for severity of the
135 disease [12]. 12% (9/75) of the patients had severe or critical illness with inpatient management. Of

136 these, 5.33% (4/75) required intensive care unit (ICU) admission and ventilation. These four patients
137 underwent an emergency delivery because of SARS-CoV-2 infection. No patient deaths were
138 recorded.

139 Of the nine patients with inpatient management, four (44.44%) suffered from GDM. Of the four
140 patients who required admission at the ICU, two suffered from GDM (50%). Of the 66 patients with
141 outpatient management, 22 suffered from GDM (33.33%). This difference was not significant in chi-
142 square test ($p=0.51$). Regression analysis of factors associated with inpatient COVID-19 management
143 (inpatient vs. outpatient) showed no significance for GDM (OR (95%CI) 1.14 (0.22, 5.80, $p= 0.88$),
144 time-point of infection (OR (95%CI) 1.08 (0.98, 1.20), $p=0.12$) or BMI (OR (95%CI) 1.07 (0.91, 1.25),
145 0.41). .

146

147 Discussion

148 The main finding of our study is a significantly higher incidence of GDM in a SARS-CoV-2 infected
149 pregnant population, as compared to historical controls. All though no statistical correlation was
150 found between the time point of infection in regards to OGTT, previous data concerning DM and
151 COVID-19 during pregnancy would support in a first line that those patients with GDM are more
152 prone to SARS-CoV-2 infection. Meanwhile, multivariate regression analysis revealed that BMI and
153 COVID-19 were independent risk factors for GDM in our cohort, thus supporting the theory of the
154 virus-triggered diabetes onset.

155 A recently published multicentric study also reported an association between insulin dependent
156 GDM and COVID-19 diagnosis in pregnancy, yet over 80% of the participants were SARS-CoV-2
157 positive at the time-point of delivery, thus chronologically after diagnosis of GDM [13]. Our report is
158 to our knowledge the first case-control study providing evidence, even if limited, for a possible causal
159 relationship between COVID-19 and onset of GDM.

160 As stated before, the hyperglycemic level directly correlates with adverse obstetrical outcome [7, 8].
161 Incidence of GDM was higher in the SARS-CoV-2 hospitalized patients (44.44% vs. 33.33% in those
162 with outpatient management), yet this difference was marginally not statistically significant ($p=0.51$).
163 Meanwhile, 50% of the women requiring ICU admission in our cohort suffered from GDM, which is
164 alarming. On a deeper analysis, BMI, GDM and time point of infection none correlated with inpatient
165 management of SARS-CoV-2 infection, thus with the degree of severity. Since previous large reports
166 could clearly show a correlation between high BMI and severity of infection, we believe that our
167 results are a consequence of the small number of women with inpatient management and ICU
168 admission, thus lack of statistical power to demonstrate a possible association [5].

169 With an European rate of GDM of 16.3% and worldwide of up to 25.5%, these results are of concern
170 and call for consequences in the management of pregnant patients suffering from GDM or at risk for
171 GDM in the context of the pandemic [7-8].

172 Higher exposition to hospital visits in women suffering from GDM could be cofounding factor for
173 SARS-CoV-2 infection in pregnancy. We mention that patient management was adapted in our center
174 during the major SARS-CoV-2 pandemic surges, mostly by conversion to teleconsultations. Diabetes
175 testing protocols remained unaltered.

176 In both our study groups, GDM rate was higher than in the general pregnant population in
177 Switzerland, which could be explained by the higher proportion of high-risk pregnancies as well as by
178 the high number of women of South Asian ethnicity being followed at our institution [8].

179 The rate of hospital admission in SARS-CoV-2 infection in our population was in line with previous
180 reports [5]. We noted a significantly higher incidence of premature delivery in the case group,
181 whereas in the control group, incidence was similar to that of the general pregnant population in our
182 country [14]. The 17.33% rate of preterm delivery in the SARS-CoV-2 infected women in our cohort is
183 in line with results from a large previous meta-analysis [15].

184 One major strength of our report is the prospective data assessment in the case group and the case-
185 control approach. Homogeneity of testing is another major strength, since standard OGTT was
186 carried out in each patient in both groups, which distinguishes us from previous publications. The
187 ability to classify the COVID-19 infection in respect to the symptoms is a further strength of our
188 study. The major limitation is the cohort size as well as not having matched for further comorbidities
189 or lower socioeconomic status, which is a known risk factor for both GDM as well as SARS-CoV-2
190 infection, because of incomplete records.

191 Conclusion

192 The significantly higher rate of GDM among women with SARS-CoV-2 infection during pregnancy, as
193 compared to corresponding controls, suggests that GDM increases the risk of infection. Meanwhile,
194 SARS-CoV-2 during pregnancy might increase the risk of developing GDM. Vaccination and caution in
195 using protective measures should be recommended to pregnant women, particularly those with co-
196 morbidities.

197 Disclosure statement: The author(s) report(s) no conflict of interest.

198 Author's contribution:

199 APR: conception and design of the study, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data,
200 drafting the article

201 MF: acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data

202 KN: analysis and interpretation of data, statistics, revising the article

203 BM: acquisition of data, revising the article critically for important intellectual content

204 BS: acquisition of data

205 LR: analysis and interpretation of data, revising the article critically for important intellectual content

206 DS: conception and design of the study, revising the article critically for important intellectual
207 content

208

209 References

- 210 1. Hartmann-Boyce J, Rees K, Perring JC, et al. Risks of and From SARS-CoV-2 Infection and
211 COVID-19 in People With Diabetes: A Systematic Review of Reviews. *Diabetes Care*. 2021
212 Dec;44(12):2790-2811. doi: 10.2337/dc21-0930. Epub 2021 Oct 28. Erratum in: *Diabetes*
213 *Care*. 2022 Mar 09;; PMID: 34711637; PMCID: PMC8669527.
- 214 2. JS Stevens, M.M. Bogun, D.J. McMahon et al. Diabetic ketoacidosis and mortality in COVID-19
215 infection. *Diabetes & Metabolism*. Volume 47, Issue 6, 2021, 101267, ISSN 1262-3636,
216 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabet.2021.101267>
- 217 3. De Almeida-Pititto B., Dualib P.M., Zajdenverg L., Rodrigues Dantas J., Dias de Souza F.,
218 Rodacki M. Severity and mortality of COVID-19 in patients with diabetes, hypertension and
219 cardiovascular disease: A meta-analysis. *Diabetol Metab Syndr*. 2020;12:75.
- 220 4. Radan AP, Baud D, Favre G, Papadia A, Surbek D, Baumann M, Raio L. Low placental weight
221 and altered metabolic scaling after severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus type 2
222 infection during pregnancy: a prospective multicentric study. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2022 Feb
223 10:S1198-743X(22)00076-3. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2022.02.003. Epub ahead of print. PMID:
224 35150886; PMCID: PMC8828389.
- 225 5. Vouga, M., Favre, G., Martinez-Perez, O. *et al*. Maternal outcomes and risk factors for COVID-
226 19 severity among pregnant women. *Sci Rep* **11**, 13898 (2021).
227 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-92357-y>
- 228 6. Michael E. Singer, Kevin A. et al. The type 2 diabetes ‘modern preventable pandemic’ and
229 replicable lessons from the COVID-19 crisis, *Preventive Medicine Reports*, Volume 25, 2022,
230 101636, ISSN 2211-3355, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmedr.2021.101636>.

- 231 7. International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy Study Groups Consensus Panel, Metzger
232 BE, Gabbe SG, et al. International association of diabetes and pregnancy study groups
233 recommendations on the diagnosis and classification of hyperglycemia in
234 pregnancy. *Diabetes Care*. 2010;33(3):676-682. doi:10.2337/dc09-1848
- 235 8. Metzger BE, Lowe LP, Dyer AR, Trimble ER, Chaovarindr U, Coustan DR, et al. Hyperglycemia
236 and adverse pregnancy outcomes. *N Engl J Med* 2008;358(19):1991-2002.
- 237 9. M Caldwell, Y van-de-L'Isle, I Watt Coote, PJ Steer. Seasonal and SARS-CoV-2 pandemic
238 changes in the incidence of gestational diabetes. *BJOG* 2021. [https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-](https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.16779)
239 [0528.16779](https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.16779)
- 240 10. Navand AH, Soltani S, Moghadami M, Hosseini P, Nasimzadeh S, Zandi M. Diabetes and
241 coronavirus infections (SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2). *J Acute Dis* 2020;9:244-7
- 242 11. C-T Wu, PV. Lidsky, Y Xiao et al. SARS-CoV-2 infects human pancreatic β cells and elicits β cell
243 impairment. *Cell Metabolism*. Volume 33, Issue 8, 2021,
244 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2021.05.013>.
- 245 12. [Clinical Spectrum | COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines \(nih.gov\)](#)
- 246 13. B Eskenazi, S Rauch, E Iurlaro et al, Diabetes mellitus,maternal adiposity, and insulin-
247 dependent gestational diabetes are associated with Covid-19 in pregnancy: The INTERCOVID
248 Study, *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* (2022), doi:
249 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2021.12.032>.
- 250 14. Radan AP, Aleksandra Polowy J, Heverhagen A et al. Cervico-vaginal placental α -
251 macroglobulin-1 combined with cervical length for the prediction of preterm birth in women
252 with threatened preterm labor. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. 2020 Mar;99(3):357-363. doi:
253 [10.1111/aogs.13744](https://doi.org/10.1111/aogs.13744). Epub 2019 Oct 28. PMID: 31587255.
- 254 15. Allotey J, Stallings E, Bonet M et al; for PregCOV-19 Living Systematic Review Consortium.
255 Clinical manifestations, risk factors, and maternal and perinatal outcomes of coronavirus

256 disease 2019 in pregnancy: living systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ. 2020 Sep
257 1;370:m3320. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m3320. PMID: 32873575; PMCID: PMC7459193.

Characteristics	Cases n= 75	Controls n= 149	P value
-----------------	----------------	--------------------	---------

Journal Pre-proof

258

259 Tables and Figures

Age		30.76 ± 4.63	30.62 ± 4.48	ns
BMI (kg/m ²)		26.27 ± 5.08	25.91 ± 5.03	ns
Parity		1 (0-7)	1 (0-5)	ns
Ethnicity	Caucasian	60 (80)	120 (80)	ns
	African	11 (14.7)	21 (14.1)	
	South Asia	2 (2.7)	4 (2.7)	
	East Asia	1 (1.3)	2 (1.3)	
	Mixed	1 (1.3)	2 (1.3)	
Twins		1 (1.3)	4 (2.7)	ns
GDM		26/75 (34.7)	24/149 (16.1)	0.002
SGA/IUGR		9/70 (12.9)	13/139 (9.4)	ns
Preterm delivery		13/75 (17.3)	11/144 (7.6)	0.04
Mode of delivery	Spontaneous vaginal delivery	31/66 (47)	69/140 (49.3)	ns
	Operative vaginal delivery	6/66 (9.1)	15/140 (10.7)	
	Primary cesarean section	19/66 (28.8)	29/140 (20.7)	
	Secondary cesarean section	10/66 (15.2)	27/140 (19.3)	
pHa		7.25 ± 0.078	7.18 ± 0.683	ns
5Min. Apgar score		8.91 ± 1.01	8.82 ± 1.40	ns
Fetal transfer to the ICU		7/66 (10.6)	8/136 (5.9)	ns

260 **Table 1.** Comparison of baseline characteristics and pregnancy outcomes between the two groups

261 * missing values were excluded from the analysis

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

Journal Pre-proof