

CC DY

Comparison of empirical noise models for GRACE Follow-On derived with the Celestial Mechanics Approach

Martin Lasser, Ulrich Meyer, Daniel Arnold, Adrian Jäggi

Astronomical Institute, University of Bern, Switzerland

EGU General Assembly 2021 Apr. 19-30, 2021 Online



Correctly modelling the measurement noise is crucial for recovering high quality gravity fields:

- AIUB_op («op»): piecewise-constant accelerations (PCA)
- AIUB_emp («emp»): empirical noise model based on post-fit residuals
- AIUB_theor («theor»): theoretical noise models for GRACE [Kim, 2000]

Correctly modelling the measurement noise is crucial for recovering high quality gravity fields:

- AIUB_op («op»): piecewise-constant accelerations (PCA)
- AIUB_emp («emp»): empirical noise model based on post-fit residuals
- AIUB_theor («theor»): theoretical noise models for GRACE [Kim, 2000]

Celestia Meeniales Approach (CMA) with	
Gravity field	Internal AIUB static GRACE field
Astromomic bodies	JPL DE421 (all planets + Pluto)
Mean pole	Linear
Solid Earth tides, Solid Earth pole tides, Relativistic effects	IERS2010
Ocean tides	FES2014b (+ admittances from ITSG)
Ocean pole tides	Desai
Atmospheric tides, Atmospheric & oeanic dealiasing	AOD RL06
Non-conservative forces	Accelerometer L1b (from ITSG)

Celestial Mechnaics Approach (CMA) with

Noise models – piecewise-constant accelerations



Noise models – piecewise-constant accelerations



PCA – performance



PCA – conclusions

2x(6)

basic parametrisation:

- initial conditions
- accelerometer bias 2x(3)
- accelermeter scaling 2x(3)

parameters per arc 24

noise model:

- KBRR white noise 0.3 μm/s
- 15 min PCA per satellite in
 - → radial 2x(96)
 - → along track 2x(96)
 - → Cross-track 2x(96)

parameters per arc 576

in daily arcs (30 days):

- 18000 parameter,
- 17280 for the noise model
- + gravity field

- «classical» Celestial Mechanics Approach
- no iterations required
- published at ICGEM



- extension of parameter space demands higher memory
- and CPU capacity (inversion)
- constraints need to be determined (manually, VCE)

Astronomical Institute, University of Bern **AIUB**

«op»

Noise models – from post-fit residuals



Empirical covariances



Empirical covariances



• + gravity field

Slide 11

Empirical covariance function



Empirical covariances - performance



Empirical covariances - performance



Empirical covariances - conclusions

2x(6)

basic parametrisation:

- initial conditions
- accelerometer bias 2x(3)
- accelermeter scaling 2x(3)

parameters per arc 24

noise model:

 empirical covariances based on post-fit residuals

no additional parameters

- → requires iterative procedure
- → assumption of stationarity

in daily arcs (30 days):

- 720 parameters
- + gravity field

- possible on any (stationary) residuals time series
- additional parameters can be reduced as stationary behaviour can be absorbed
- formal errors become much more realistic and show resonance orders (if correlation length > 3 h)
- no constraints needed
- no/few a priori knowledge needed

- iterations required (might be time consuming)
- memory consumption and inversion time dependent on length of auto/cross-correlation

Astronomical Institute, University of Bern AIUB

«emp»

Noise models – theoretical (pre-launch)



Noise models – theoretical (pre-launch)



Theoretical (pre-launch) - performance



Slide 18

models for GRACE Follow-On 2021, 30 April, 2021

Theoretical (pre-launch) - conclusions

basic parametrisation:

- initial conditions
- accelerometer bias 2x(3)
- accelermeter scaling 2x(3)

parameters per arc 24

2x(6)

noise model [Kim, 2000]:

- ACC high sensitivity axis $(1 + 0.005/f) \times 10^{-20} \text{ m/s}^2$
- KBR white noise
 1 μm range
 - (differentiation to KBRR)

- based on priori knowledge
- additional parameters can be reduced
- provides a good solution in case observations act as a priori models state
- no constraints needed
- no iterations needed
- requirements on memory and CPU low

- might not reflect actual noise (e.g., without ACT from ITSG)
- formal errors too optimistic
- not all error sources included (e.g., backgorund models)

in daily arcs (30 days):

- 720 parameters
- + gravity field

Beutler, G., Jäggi, A., Mervart, L. and Meyer, U. [2010]: The celestial mechanics approach: theoretical foundations. Journal of Geodesy, vol. 84(10), pp. 605-624. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00190-010-0401-7

Kim, J. [2000]: Simulation Study of A Low-Low Satellite-to-Satellite Tracking Mission, PhD-Thesis, Center for Space and Research, Texas, USA. http://granite.phys.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp/ando/GRACE/Kim_dissertation.pdf

Lasser, M., Meyer, U., Arnold, D. and Jäggi, A. [2020]: AIUB-GRACE-FO-operational - Operational GRACE Follow-On monthly gravity field solutions. https://doi.org/10.5880/ICGEM.2020.001

Mayer-Gürr, T., Behzadpur, S., Ellmer, M., Kvas, A., Klinger, B., Strasser, S. and Zehentner, N. [2018]: ITSG-Grace2018 - Monthly, Daily and Static Gravity Field Solutions from GRACE. https://doi.org/10.5880/ICGEM.2018.003

NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) [2019]: GRACE-FO Monthly Geopotential Spherical Harmonics CSR Release 6.0. https://doi.org/10.5067/GFL20-MC060