

International Negotiable Instruments

BENJAMIN GEVA

Osgoode Hall Law School, York University

SAGI PEARI

UWA Law School, University of Western Australia

OXFORD
UNIVERSITY PRESS

OXFORD
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Great Clarendon Street, Oxford, OX2 6DP,
United Kingdom

Oxford University Press is a department of the University of Oxford.
It furthers the University's objective of excellence in research, scholarship,
and education by publishing worldwide. Oxford is a registered trade mark of
Oxford University Press in the UK and in certain other countries

© Benjamin Geva and Sagi Peari 2020

The moral rights of the authors have been asserted

First Edition published in 2020

Impression: 1

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in
a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the
prior permission in writing of Oxford University Press, or as expressly permitted
by law, by licence or under terms agreed with the appropriate reprographics
rights organization. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside the scope of the
above should be sent to the Rights Department, Oxford University Press, at the
address above

You must not circulate this work in any other form
and you must impose this same condition on any acquirer

Crown copyright material is reproduced under Class Licence
Number C01P0000148 with the permission of OPSI
and the Queen's Printer for Scotland

Published in the United States of America by Oxford University Press
198 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10016, United States of America

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

Data available

Library of Congress Control Number: 2020934590

ISBN 978-0-19-882868-6

Printed and bound by
CPI Group (UK) Ltd, Croydon, CR0 4YY

Links to third party websites are provided by Oxford in good faith and
for information only. Oxford disclaims any responsibility for the materials
contained in any third party website referenced in this work.

Table of Contents

<i>Table of Cases</i>	xiii
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	xxi
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xxxix
Introduction	1
I. Negotiability, Negotiable Instruments, and the Law of Bills, Notes, and Cheques	
A. The Nature of the Negotiable Instrument	1.01
B. Brief History of Codification: Domestic Statutes and International Conventions	1.17
C. Bills, Notes, and Cheques and Their Respective Uses: Negotiable Instruments Covered by Legislation	1.28
D. Laws of Bills and Notes: Major Substantive Differences	1.35
E. Laws of Cheques: Major Substantive Differences	1.41
F. Conclusion	1.47
II. General Law and Negotiable Instruments: A Brief Historical Perspective	
A. Introductory Note	2.01
B. Nascent Cheque Law Without Cheques Under the Talmud	2.05
C. Bill Forerunner: Islamic <i>Hawale</i> in the Early Middle Ages	2.15
D. Continental Medieval Cheques and Bills of Exchange and Roman Law	2.29
1. The Payment Order and its Execution Under Roman Law	2.29
2. The Medieval Cheque	2.36
3. The Medieval Bill of Exchange	2.42
E. Forerunners of the Promissory Note	2.50
1. Introductory Note	2.50
2. Tablets, Chirographs, and Syngraphs Outside Roman Law	2.51
3. The Documentary Debt Under the Talmud	2.54
4. The English Bond: Debt on an ‘Obligation’	2.56
F. Bills, Notes, and Cheques in Post-Medieval England: The Origins of the Modern Law of Negotiable Instruments	2.60
1. The ‘Reception’ of the Bill of Exchange in England—The Myth of the ‘Law Merchant’	2.60
2. The Emergence of Notes and Cheques in England	2.71
3. Bills, Notes, and Cheques Acquire Negotiability	2.75
(a) <i>Introduction</i>	2.75
(b) <i>Negotiation of Bills Payable to Order</i>	2.76
(c) <i>Negotiation of Notes Payable to Order</i>	2.79
(d) <i>Negotiation of Instruments Payable to the Bearer</i>	2.82

X TABLE OF CONTENTS

(e) <i>Negotiation of Cheques</i>	2.83
(f) <i>Material Negotiability</i>	2.86
G. Final Observations	2.94
III. Choice-of-Law Question, Three Major Developments, and the Need for Harmonization	
A. What Choice-of-Law is: 'Foreign Element', Rejection of <i>Lex-Fori</i> , and Connecting Factors Approach	3.04
B. Three Trends: Classification of Choice-of-Law, Party Autonomy and Most Significant Relationship Principles	3.14
1. Classification of Choice-of-Law Cases According to the Presence of a Foreign Element	3.14
2. Party Autonomy: Beale's Challenge and Party Autonomy Triumph	3.22
(a) <i>Party Autonomy and Beale's Challenge</i>	3.22
(b) <i>Beale's Choice-of-Law Approach and His Objection to Party Autonomy</i>	3.26
(c) <i>The Criticism and the Triumph of Party Autonomy</i>	3.29
3. The Advances of the MSR Principle	3.36
(a) <i>The Nature of the MSR Principle and Its Centrality Within Contemporary Choice-of-Law Frameworks</i>	3.36
(b) <i>The Over-Flexibility Charge</i>	3.49
C. The Need for Choice-of-Law Harmonization in Rules relating to Negotiable Instruments	3.59
IV. Negotiable Instruments Choice-of-Law Rules in the Various Systems	
A. Introduction	4.01
B. Single or Several Laws?	4.06
C. Law Governing Intrinsic Validity or Effects of Obligations	4.21
D. The Scope of Intrinsic Validity: Specific Issues	4.63
E. Law Governing Extrinsic Validity (Form)	4.83
F. Laws Governing Specific Cheque Topics	4.102
G. Transfer, Negotiation, and Assignment	4.107
H. Formalities and Other Performance Duties	4.116
I. Conclusion	4.144
V. The Foreign Element and Party Autonomy in Negotiable Instruments Law	
A. The 'Foreign Element' in Negotiable Instruments	5.02
1. The Scope of Choice-of-Law Analysis Set in s 72 of the UK BEA	5.06
(a) <i>Four Prescribed Territorial Factors</i>	5.07
(b) <i>The Language of s 72 Excludes an Entirely Foreign Situation</i>	5.10
2. Foreign Negotiable Instruments versus Domestic Negotiable Instruments	5.18
(a) <i>Implication I: The Application of Lex-Fori on Bills Indorsed Abroad Under s 72(2)</i>	5.25
(b) <i>Implication II: The Protest Requirement on Foreign Bills Under s 51</i>	5.32
(c) <i>The Origins of the Distinction</i>	5.42

B. Party Autonomy Principle as a Governing Principle of Choice-of-Law Cases of Negotiable Instruments	5.50
1. Preliminary Remarks: Four Justifications	5.50
(a) <i>Market Stability and Predictability Promotion</i>	5.51
(b) <i>The Consistency with the General Spirit of Party Autonomy Within Negotiable Instruments Law</i>	5.56
(c) <i>Party Autonomy in the Context of Parties' Rights and Duties</i>	5.61
(d) <i>The Consistency with the Historical and Intellectual Development of Negotiable Instruments Law</i>	5.65
2. Party Autonomy in Negotiable Instruments Literature	5.71
(a) <i>The Possibility of Party Autonomy in Chalmers</i>	5.72
(b) <i>The Possibility of Party Autonomy in Dicey & Morris</i>	5.77
3. The Scope of Party Autonomy: A Proposal	5.81
VI. Choice-of-Law Rules in the Absence of Party Autonomy: The Most Significant Relationship Principle	
A. The Extension of the MSR Principle to Negotiable Instruments Law	6.04
1. Assessing Objection 1: Inconsistency with Existing Law and Case Law	6.07
(a) <i>Inconsistency with Contemporary Choice-of-Law Frameworks</i>	6.07
(b) <i>Inconsistency with Case Law</i>	6.10
2. Assessing Objection 2: Challenging the 'Predictability and Certainty' Thesis	6.34
(a) <i>The 'Clear-Cut Rules Thesis' and the Return of Beale's Rhetoric in the Provisions (and Commentaries) of the Second Restatement</i>	6.35
(b) <i>Challenging the Clear-Cut Rules Thesis</i>	6.42
(i) <i>The Values of Certainty and Predictability as the Only Two Underlying Values of Negotiable Instruments Law</i>	6.44
(ii) <i>The Complete Correlation Between the Underlying Goals of a Given Legal Category and the Choice-of-Law Process</i>	6.46
(iii) <i>The Application of 'Clear-Cut' Rules to the Context of Negotiable Instruments Law Enhances the Values of Certainty and Predictability</i>	6.50
(iv) <i>Placing Negotiable Instruments Law in the Same Camp as Immovable Property Law</i>	6.55
B. The Operational Mechanics of the MSR Principle	6.62
1. Pre-Built Presumptions	6.62
(a) <i>The Presumption for the Category of Contract Law: Place of Contractual Performance</i>	6.63
(b) <i>The Presumptions in the Movable Property Category: The Place of Property</i>	6.69
2. Built-In Presumptions for Negotiable Instruments Law	6.78
(a) <i>Presumption for Obligations of the Primary Parties</i>	6.78
(b) <i>Presumption for Obligations of the Secondary Parties</i>	6.91
(i) <i>The Delineation from the Primary Parties</i>	6.91
(ii) <i>Potential Reference to Laws of Suretyship and Assignments</i>	6.98
(c) <i>The Suggested Point of Departure: Holder's Location</i>	6.110

xii TABLE OF CONTENTS

VII. The Boundaries of the Proposed Argument	
A. Challenging the Validation Principle	7.02
1. Form, Capacity, and Illegality as ‘Particular Issues’	7.02
2. General Contract Law: Special Rules for the ‘Particular Issues’	7.09
3. The Underlying Basis for the ‘Particular Issues’—the Validation Principle	7.15
4. Reconsidering the Validation Principle	7.19
B. The Various Aspects of Negotiable Instruments Law: The Broad Scope of the Argument	7.30
1. Holder’s Duties	7.31
2. Remedies and Limitation Periods	7.34
3. Proprietary Aspects	7.35
C. Securities and the Documents of Title	7.42
1. Documents of Title	7.42
(a) <i>Bills of Lading and the Liability Limitation Trend</i>	7.42
(b) <i>Bills of Lading and the Choice-of-Law Question</i>	7.51
2. Securities	7.65
(a) <i>The Choice-of-Law Rules in Relation to Securities</i>	7.67
(b) <i>The Traditional Division between Internal and External Aspects of Corporations and the Transfer of Shares</i>	7.71
(c) <i>The Indirect Holding Model and the Legislative Reforms</i>	7.79
D. The Ethical Moment	7.89
VIII. International Negotiable Instruments in the Electronic Age	
A. Introduction: Writing, Signature, Delivery, and Presentment Requirements	8.01
B. Is ‘Electronification’ Feasible or Desirable?	8.14
C. ‘Electronification’: Current Steps	8.18
D. ‘Electronification’: An Overall Framework	8.30
E. Choice-of-Law Rules for Electronic Negotiable Instruments	8.46
1. Digitalization and Existing Frameworks of the Choice-of-Law Rules	8.48
2. Digitalization and a Suggested Framework of the Choice-of-Law Rules	8.57
IX. Conclusion	
<i>Appendix A: ‘Radical Overhaul’: The Suggested Framework of the Choice-of-Law Rules Relating to Negotiable Instruments (Chapters V–VIII)</i>	253
<i>Index</i>	255