Mississippi State University Scholars Junction

ME 4233/6233 Fundamentals of FEA

College of Engineering, James Worth Bagley

May 2022

FEA analysis on a beam of Hexacopter Drone Frame

aicha chahbi el alaoui Mississippi State University, ac3849@msstate.edu

taymae ben messaoud Mississippi State University, tb2573@msstate.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarsjunction.msstate.edu/fea

Part of the Mechanical Engineering Commons

Recommended Citation

chahbi el alaoui, aicha and ben messaoud, taymae, "FEA analysis on a beam of Hexacopter Drone Frame" (2022). *ME 4233/6233 Fundamentals of FEA*. 36. https://scholarsjunction.msstate.edu/fea/36

This Infographic is brought to you for free and open access by the College of Engineering, James Worth Bagley at Scholars Junction. It has been accepted for inclusion in ME 4233/6233 Fundamentals of FEA by an authorized administrator of Scholars Junction. For more information, please contact scholcomm@msstate.libanswers.com.





FEA Analysis on a Beam of a Hexacopter Drone Frame

MISSISSIPPI STATE UNIVERSITY JAMES WORTH BAGLEY COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING



Presentation Outline



- Scope
- Drone physics
- Material Selection
- Design Reference

- Assumptions
- Calculations
- Simulation
- Results

SCOPE

Perform an analysis on the behavior of the beam constituting the hexacopter drone

- STANDARD DRONE
- LOAD ANALYSIS
- FAILURE SIMULATION
- RESULTS

THE MEASUREMENTS OF A

DRONE PHYSICS



MECHANISM

- A machine that flies with the help of a remote
 - control system
- The aerodynamic shape of the drone is selected

PROBLEMATIC

• To identify the regions of stress concentration and why is it increased in that area in order to reduce it



MATERIAL SELECTION

Failure Stress	276 MPA
Failure Strain	207 MPA
Factor of Safety	2
Density	2,7 g/cm ³
Modulus of Elasticity	68,9 GPA
Poisson's Ratio	0,33



Design Reference



810g
16mm
695mm
195x195x2 mm
2.8kg
1.8-2kg
13in
800-1000g
PCB
1.850g/cm ³

Assumptions

- symmetry;
- neglected.
- the stability.

• The twist is neglected, as it is small in a drone • The angle formed between every two consecutive arms is 60°, for the sake of

• The material used is isotropic (homogeneous) $(E = constant \rightarrow \varepsilon xy = \varepsilon yx)$; Friction with air

• We assume that the deflection is small, because the smaller the deflection, the better

BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

we consider the arm to be a clamped beam.



Pressure load



the beam is clamped, the deflection is zero

BEAM ANALYSIS

On the y-axis we have:

$$+\uparrow \sum F_y = 0 \rightarrow F - R_{ay} = 0$$

 $F = R_{ay} = 15N$

For the moments we have:

$$\sum M_z = 0 \rightarrow F \ge L - M_{az} = 0$$
$$M_{az} = F \ge L = 15 \ge 0.25$$
$$M_{az} = 3.75 \text{N.m}$$

To find the shear force (V) and the moment (M) we need to cut the beam. On the y-axis we have:

For the moment we have:

At the support:

$$+\uparrow \sum F_y = 0 \rightarrow V - R_{ay} = 0$$

 $V = R_{ay} = 15N$

$$\sum M_z = 0 \rightarrow M_{az} - M_x - V \ge x = 0$$
$$M_x = M_{az} - V \ge x$$
$$M_x = 3.75 - 15x$$

 $x = 0 \rightarrow M = 3.75$ N.m

For the slope we know that:

$$\theta = \int \frac{M}{EI} dx$$

Because EI is constant we get:

$$\theta = \frac{1}{EI} \int M dx$$
$$\theta = \frac{1}{EI} \int (3.75 - 15x) dx$$
$$\theta = \frac{1}{EI} (3.75x - \frac{15}{2}x^2 + c_1)$$

At the support: $\rightarrow \theta = 0$

$$\theta = \frac{1}{EI} \left(3.75x - \frac{15}{2}x^2 + c_1 \right) = 0$$

We replace x=0

The final equation of the slope is:

For the deflection we know that:

$$\theta = \frac{1}{EI} \left(3.75 \ge 0 - \frac{15}{2} \ge 0^2 + c_1 \right) = 0$$

$$c_1 = 0$$

$$\theta = \frac{1}{EI} \left(3.75x - \frac{15}{2}x^2 \right)$$

$$\vartheta = \iint \frac{M}{EI} dx$$

Because El is constant we get:

$$\vartheta = \frac{1}{EI} \iint M \, dx$$
$$\vartheta = \frac{1}{EI} \iint (3.75 - 15x) \, dx$$
$$\vartheta = \frac{1}{EI} \int \left(3.75x - \frac{15}{2}x^2 + c_1\right) dx$$

 $\vartheta = \frac{1}{EI} \left(\frac{3.75}{2} x^2 - \frac{15}{6} x^3 + c_1 x + c_2 \right)$

We replace x=0:

The final equation of the deflection is:

We deduced previously that $c_1 = 0$ so:

$$\vartheta = \frac{1}{EI} \left(\frac{3.75}{2} x^2 - \frac{15}{6} x^3 + c_2 \right)$$

At the support $\vartheta = 0$:

$$\vartheta = \frac{1}{EI} \left(\frac{3.75}{2} x^2 - \frac{15}{6} x^3 + c_2 \right) = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{EI} \left(\frac{3.75}{2} \ge 0^2 - \frac{15}{6} \ge 0^3 + c_2 \right) = 0$$
$$c_2 = 0$$

$$\vartheta = \frac{1}{EI} \left(\frac{3.75}{2} x^2 - \frac{15}{6} x^3 \right)$$





SIMULATIONS



S, Mises
+2.903e+05 +2.661e+05 +2.419e+05 +2.177e+05 +1.936e+05
+1.694e+05 +1.452e+05 +1.210e+05 +9.678e+04
+4.840e+04 +2.420e+04 +9.799e+00



S, Mises
(Avg: 75%)
+2.903e+05 +2.661e+05 +2.419e+05 +2.177e+05 +1.936e+05 +1.694e+05 +1.452e+05 +1.210e+05 +9.678e+04 +7.259e+04 +4.840e+04
+2.420e+04 +9.799e+00









RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

- the stress concentration is higher when getting close to the fixed part.
 the stress concentration is cancelled in the
- the stress con neutral axis
- the clamped part should be stronger and well built so as to avoid any failure.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION