



Multiple exposures to indoor contaminants: Derivation of benchmark doses and relative potency factors based on male reprotoxic effects

Kevin Fournier, Cleo Tebby, Florence Zeman, Philippe Glorennec, Denis Zmirou-Navier, Nathalie Bonvallot

► To cite this version:

Kevin Fournier, Cleo Tebby, Florence Zeman, Philippe Glorennec, Denis Zmirou-Navier, et al.. Multiple exposures to indoor contaminants: Derivation of benchmark doses and relative potency factors based on male reprotoxic effects. *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*, Elsevier, 2016, 74, pp.23-30. <10.1016/j.yrtph.2015.11.017>. <hal-01237085>

HAL Id: hal-01237085

<https://hal-univ-rennes1.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01237085>

Submitted on 5 Jan 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **Multiple exposures to indoor contaminants: derivation of Benchmark Doses**
2 **and Relative Potency Factors based on male reprotoxic effects**

3 **FOURNIER K,^{*} †** **TEBBY C, ‡** **ZEMAN F, ‡** **GLORENNEC P, ^{*} †** **ZMIROU-NAVIER D,**
4 **,^{*} †** **§** **BONVALLOT N ^{*} †**

5
6 * EHESP School of Public Health, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Avenue du Professeur Léon Bernard, 35043 Rennes Cedex,
7 France

8 † INSERM UMR1085 IRSET (Research Institute in Environmental and Occupational Health), Rennes, France

9 ‡ INERIS, Unité Modèles pour l'Ecotoxicologie et la Toxicologie (METO), Verneuil-en-Halatte, France

10 § Lorraine University Medical School, Nancy

11

12 Kevin Fournier: kevin.fournier@ehesp.fr , tel : +33 (0) 2 99 02 26 65 ; fax : +33 (0) 2 99 02 26 75

13 Cléo Tebby: cleo.tebby@ineris.fr

14 Florence Zeman: florence.zeman@ineris.fr

15 Philippe Glorennec: Philippe.glorennec@ehesp.fr

16 Denis Zmirou-Navier: denis.zmirou@ehesp.fr

17 Nathalie Bonvallot: nathalie.bonvallot@ehesp.fr

18

19 Working title: Toxicity Indicators for Reprotoxic contaminants

20

21 Abstract

22 Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (SVOCs) are commonly present in dwellings and several are
23 suspected of having effects on male reproductive function mediated by an endocrine disruption
24 mode of action. To improve knowledge of the health impact of these compounds, cumulative
25 toxicity indicators are needed. This work derives Benchmark Doses (BMD) and Relative Potency
26 Factors (RPF) for SVOCs acting on the male reproductive system through the same mode of
27 action.

28 We included SVOCs fulfilling the following conditions: detection frequency (>10%) in French
29 dwellings, availability of data on the mechanism / mode of action for male reproductive toxicity,
30 and availability of comparable dose-response relationships.

31 Of 58 SVOCs selected, 18 induce a decrease in serum testosterone levels. Six have sufficient and
32 comparable data to derive BMDs based on 10 or 50% of the response. The SVOCs inducing the
33 largest decrease in serum testosterone concentration are: for 10%, bisphenol A ($BMD_{10} = 7.72E-$
34 07 mg/kg bw/d ; $RPF_{10} = 7033679$); for 50%, benzo[a]pyrene ($BMD_{50} = 0.030 \text{ mg/kg bw/d}$;
35 $RPF_{50} = 1630$), and the one inducing the smallest one is benzyl butyl phthalate (RPF_{10} and $RPF_{50} =$
36 0.095).

37 This approach encompasses contaminants from diverse chemical families acting through similar
38 modes of action, and makes possible a cumulative risk assessment in indoor environments. The
39 main limitation remains the lack of comparable toxicological data.

40
41 Keywords: semi-volatile organic compounds; benchmark doses; cumulative risk assessment;
42 reproduction; mixture; toxicity

43 1. Introduction

44 Semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs) include numerous molecules belonging to different
45 chemical families such as phthalates, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), musks,
46 polybromodiphenyl ethers (PBDEs), polychlorobiphenyls (PCBs) or pyrethroid insecticides
47 (Weschler and Nazaroff, 2008). They can be defined as “organic compounds with vapour
48 pressures between 10^{-14} and 10^{-4} atm (10^{-9} to 10Pa)” and whose boiling point is between (240 to
49 260°C) and (380 to 400°C) (NF ISO 16000-6, 2006) (Weschler and Nazaroff, 2008). They are
50 used for their technical properties in a large variety of building materials and consumer products
51 (plastics, paints, cleaning agents, biocides, furniture, etc.), and are also emitted by combustion
52 processes. Due to their widespread usage and chemical properties, their presence is ubiquitous in
53 indoor environments, in both settled dust and air (gaseous phase and particulate matter) (Mercier
54 et al., 2011, Blanchard et al., 2014). Many SVOCs are suspected of having endocrine disruption
55 mechanisms, leading to potential effects on male reproduction. Among them, phthalates are
56 considered anti-androgenic compounds, responsible for an inhibition of steroidogenesis
57 (Svechnikov et al., 2008), leading to a decrease in anogenital distance and nipple retention, as
58 well as an increase in the incidence of hypospadias and cryptorchidism in rats exposed during the
59 prenatal period (Gray et al., 2000). A significant decrease in serum testosterone levels was
60 observed in rodents following oral exposure to BDE-99 (Alonso et al., 2010), benzo[a]pyrene
61 (B(a)P) (Liang et al., 2012) or cypermethrin (Jin et al., 2011). In addition, in rats, cypermethrin
62 decreases expression of the androgen receptor after 2 weeks of oral exposure (Liu et al., 2010).
63 The effects of bisphenol A (BPA) on testosterone synthesis may be controversial, yet further
64 studies have shown BPA to have negative effects on this hormone. For example, Salian et al.
65 observed a significant decrease in testosterone concentration in rats pre and postnatally exposed

66 (Salian et al., 2009). Della Seta et al. observed the same phenomenon following exposure from
67 postnatal day 23 to postnatal day 30 (Della Seta et al., 2006).

68 In humans, authors have demonstrated *in vitro* endocrine disruption properties for a mixture
69 including phthalates and BPA (Christen et al., 2012) and also for DEHP and MEHP alone
70 (Desdoits-Lethimonier et al., 2012).

71 SVOC endocrine properties have also been suggested through epidemiological studies. Hauser et
72 al. observed a dose-dependent negative association between monobutyl phthalate (MBP) and
73 sperm concentration and motility in a population of 463 male partners of subfertile couples
74 (Hauser et al., 2006). Others groups found similar results between MBP and MEP exposure, and
75 sperm mobility / concentration (Duty et al., 2003); metabolites of long-chained phthalates
76 (MEHP and MiNP) and testosterone production (Meeker et al., 2009); MBP and MEHP and
77 serum-free testosterone (Pan et al., 2006); flame retardant (PBDEs) and serum testosterone
78 concentration (Johnson et al., 2013), and sperm quality (Abdelouahab et al., 2011); BPA and
79 male sexual function (Li et al., 2010) or semen quality (Meeker et al., 2010). Alteration of protein
80 expression can represent important key events in a modes of action (MOAs), or molecular
81 initiating event in an adverse outcome pathways (AOPs), leading to an adverse outcome
82 (Chepelev et al., 2014). Sonich-Mullin defined MOA as a “biologically plausible series of key
83 events leading to an effect” (Sonich-Mullin et al., 2001). An AOP is conceptually similar to a
84 MOA but includes an initial point of interaction of a chemical with biological systems (Meek et
85 al., 2014). It is difficult to know and understand the entire MOA/AOP leading to reprotoxic
86 effects but with a view of the preview information, we can consider the alteration of protein
87 synthesis (e.g. testosterone) as a potential early key event leading to reprotoxic effects.

88 As underline by Chepelev, protein expression analysis could contributed in health risk assessment
89 (Chepelev et al., 2014). Thus, Cumulative Risk Assessment (CRA) could be used to address this

90 concern, with a view to assessing whether environmental exposure levels to SVOCs pose a risk,
91 and to help set maximum exposure levels not to be exceeded in indoor environments. A variety of
92 CRA methodologies have already been suggested and recently reviewed (Fournier et al., 2014a).
93 Some of them, including toxic equivalency factors (TEF) and relative potency factors (RPF)
94 approaches have been used to identify relative toxic potencies on various chemical mixtures. This
95 is the case of dioxins and PCBs (Ahlborg et al., 1994); polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins
96 (PCDD) (OME, 1985); pesticides (Jensen et al., 2013) and PAHs (Nisbet and LaGoy, 1992).
97 These approaches are based on the dose additivity assumption (Loewe and Muischnek, 1926) as
98 suggested by the US EPA (US EPA, 2002) and consider a common mode of action (US EPA,
99 2000). This assumption does not take into account the possibility of toxicological interactions
100 that are not, or are rarely expected with exposure to low doses of contaminants(ATSDR, 2004).
101 These approaches were extended to other SVOCs including phthalates, fungicides or pyrethroids
102 (Christiansen et al., 2012; Hannas et al., 2011; Kortenkamp and Faust, 2010; Wolansky et al.,
103 2005), but none of them is based on real exposure, where a variety of chemical families are
104 encountered.

105 The aim of this work is to estimate RPFs based on comparable benchmark doses (BMDs) for
106 multiple reprotoxic contaminants present in indoor environments. The choice of the BMD rather
107 than the NOAEL/LOAEL as an indicator of the toxicity was decided to reduce uncertainty due to
108 data heterogeneity (EFSA, 2006).

109

110 **2. Material and Method**

111 **2.1. Selection and grouping of indoor SVOCs**

112 The selection of chemicals was made on the basis of different measurement campaigns in French
113 dwellings (Mandin et al., 2014a, 2014b ; Blanchard et al., 2014), where 66 compounds selected
114 from a previous ranking (Bonvallot et al., 2010) were simultaneously analysed in a range of
115 environmental media (air, gas phase or particle matter, settled dust) using a multi-residue method
116 (Mercier et al., 2012, 2014). SVOCs were selected where they were detected in more than 10% of
117 the investigated houses (from 30 to 285 according to the campaign).

118 SVOC grouping was based on our previously described approach (Fournier et al., 2014b).
119 Briefly, hazard identification, for each chemical, was performed by means of a literature review
120 on its reprotoxic effects. To be eligible, the toxicological studies (in vivo or in vitro) should
121 describe the target organs or cells, or the mechanisms of action on the male reproductive system
122 or organs. SVOCs were then grouped by common effect, mode and/or mechanism of action.
123 According to the data, and in order to estimate RPFs, we chose to select the group including the
124 largest number of SVOCs, and for which a biological pathway is known.

125 **2.2. Benchmark doses derivation**

126 The BMD is defined as a dose (or concentration) producing a predetermined change in the
127 response rate of an effect (known as the benchmark response or BMR) compared with the
128 background response rate of this effect (US EPA, glossary:
129 http://www.epa.gov/risk_assessment/glossary.htm). It provides a quantitative indicator of the
130 toxicity of a compound based on the modelling of the entire dose-response relationship. BMDs
131 were derived by means of the following steps: i) selection of suitable dose-response datasets, ii)
132 choice of BMR, iii) fitting models using experimental data, and iv) selection of the best fitting
133 model.

134 For each compound, we based our BMD calculation on one selected study, describing the most
135 robust dose-response dataset. This kind of approach was also applied recently by Chepelev in

136 order to derive BMDs for the protein expression involved in testicular toxicity (Chepelev et al.,
137 2014).

138

139 **2.3. Selecting dose-response datasets**

140 For each SVOC, available dose-response datasets were collected and selected on the basis of a
141 compromise between the amount of data available and comparability of the data between
142 compounds. The following criteria were used: i) availability of data for at least 3 dose levels and
143 1 control group; ii) same species (though not necessarily the same strain); iii) same window of
144 susceptibility (but not necessarily the same exposure duration); iv) same exposure route; v)
145 availability of raw data or means and standard errors of the means (SEM) or standard deviations
146 (SD) for each selected response. Where SDs were not provided in the publication and could not
147 be collected from the authors, a graphical estimation was made as described by the US EPA (US
148 EPA, 2005). If only SEMs were given, these were converted to SDs using the following equation:
149 $SD = SEM \times \sqrt{n}$, where n is the sample size.

150

151 **2.4. Choice of BMR for a common effect**

152 The response chosen should be linked to a reproductive effect and related to a maximum number
153 of SVOCs in order to consider a CRA. The Benchmark Response (BMR) is associated with the
154 BMD as a change in response relative to background (Crump, 1984). According to the data, this
155 response could be continuous (e.g., relative change from the control) or dichotomous (e.g.,
156 presence or absence of an effect) (US EPA, 2012). In case of RPF derivation, the response should
157 either be linked to a specific mechanism of action or a consequence of a common mode of action
158 (a biological pathway leading to an adverse effect on the reproductive system). Because this

159 response occurs at organism or cellular scale, it is a continuous response. Response rates in each
160 dataset were expressed as a proportion of the background response rate (i.e. background=1).
161 Where the adverse effect threshold is unknown, the BMRs used in this study will be default
162 values recommended by the US EPA (e.g., a decrease of 1 control SD for continuous datasets and
163 10% for dichotomous dataset) or a response level that would be qualified as adverse.

164

165 **2.5. Selection of the best fitting model**

166 BMDs were computed using the PROAST software (www.rivm.nl/proast v32.2). The data was
167 continuous summary data (raw data was rarely available) and the Hill family of models was
168 selected for modelling the dose-response relationships, as follow in equations 1 to 4 (2 to 4
169 parameters):

$$170 \quad y = a \left(1 - \frac{x}{b+x} \right) \quad (1)$$

$$171 \quad y = a \left(1 - \frac{x^d}{b^d + x^d} \right) \quad (2)$$

$$172 \quad y = a \left(1 + \frac{(c-1)x}{b+x} \right) \quad (3)$$

$$173 \quad y = a \left(1 + \frac{(c-1)x^d}{b^d + x^d} \right) \quad (4)$$

174 Where x is toxic compound concentration, a is the background response, b is the concentration of
175 the compound inducing 50% of the effect, d is the slope, and $a*c$ is the response to an infinite
176 dose. The best fitting model from the Hill family was selected according to the procedure
177 described by Slob (Slob, 2002) with the likelihood ratio test. This tests whether increasing the
178 number of parameters in a model results in a statistically significant improvement to fit.

179

180 2.6. RPF estimation

181 RPFs were estimated using the previously-derived BMDs, according to the equation below:

$$RPF_i = \frac{BMD_{ref}}{BMD_i}$$

182 Where RPF_i is the RPF of the compound “i”; BMD_i is the BMD of the compound “i”; BMD_{ref} is
183 the BMD of the reference compound.

184 RPFs express the potency of a compound “i” according to the reference compound. This
185 reference compound can be the most toxic compound within a group of compounds, or the most
186 documented for the selected effect.

187

188 3. Results

189 Fifty-eight SVOCs were selected based on the criteria described in the previous section, and are
190 presented in table 1. Of these, 23 were documented as having male reprotoxic properties
191 regardless of the mode or mechanism of action. A more precise description lead to the
192 identification of 3 groups having different modes of action and potentially acting via different
193 biological pathways. These pathways are summarized in figure 1 (adapted from Fournier et al.
194 2014a).

195 The group including the largest number of SVOCs - and for which the biological pathway is
196 known - is the group whose effect is described as decreasing the concentration of steroidogenesis
197 enzymes, resulting in a lower testosterone level; 18 SVOCs were concerned.

198

199 3.1. Benchmark Doses Derivation

200 3.1.1. Selection of Dose-Response Datasets

201 Dose-response datasets meeting our selection criteria were available for just 6 of the 18
202 compounds acting on steroidogenesis enzymes. The response measured in the toxicological
203 studies was the serum concentration of testosterone after oral-route exposure of adult male
204 rodents.

205 Lindane, dieldrin, alpha-endosulfan, chlorpyrifos-ethyl, deltamethrin, triclosan, 4-*tert*-
206 octylphenol and BDE-99 were excluded because there was no available dose-response
207 relationship for this effect (only one- and two- tested doses, respectively). Four other SVOCs
208 were excluded (permethrin, DBP, DiBP, DiNP) due to lack of comparable data (exposure of
209 rodents during different windows of susceptibility, i.e. pre- or post- natal).

210 The central estimation (BMD) was used as the basis for calculation of RPFs rather than the
211 BMDL (Benchmark Dose Lower Bound = lower limit of a one-sided confidence interval on the
212 BMD) which integrates uncertainty to experimental data, as suggested by the US EPA in 2010
213 (US EPA, 2010).

214 Table 2 briefly summarizes the selected study protocols and the decrease in serum testosterone
215 relative to control results. In each case, dose-response data was obtained in adult rats orally
216 exposed through sub-chronic to chronic exposure (15 to 150 days). There are 9 orders of
217 magnitude between the highest dose tested (for DEHP) and the lowest one (for BPA).

218 **3.1.2. Choice of Response and BMR**

219 Serum testosterone concentration was measured in all studies. A decrease in this response was
220 observed according to dose. It is reasonable to consider that this decrease may be linked to
221 adverse effects such as cryptorchidism, hypospadias or male fertility impairment, depending on
222 the window of susceptibility, as suggested by a group of experts of the United Nations
223 Environment Programme (UNEP) and WHO (WHO/UNEP, 2013). Two different BMRs were
224 chosen: a 10% and a 50% decrease in response compared to control serum testosterone. We

225 consider a decrease of 50% of the serum testosterone to be significant (equivalent to the highest
226 documented standard deviation in our selected SVOCs). The use of a BMR equivalent to 1
227 control standard deviation from the control mean is recommended by the US EPA (US EPA,
228 2012). The use of 10% as a BMR is intended to compare contaminants' toxicity at lower doses,
229 though authors consider this effect size not meaningful. Eisenberg and colleagues observed a
230 10% difference in testosterone concentration between fertile and infertile men (Eisenberg et al.,
231 2011). Furthermore, a 10% decrease in serum testosterone corresponds to the lowest documented
232 standard deviation in our selected SVOCs.

233

234 3.1.3. BMD Estimations

235 The corresponding BMD_{10} and BMD_{50} are shown in table 3 (associated graphs are shown in
236 supplementary data). Equation 2, with 3 estimated parameters and a null response at infinite
237 doses, was selected for BPA. Equation 3, with 3 estimated parameters, slope equal to 1 and a
238 non-null response at infinite doses, was selected for DEHP. Equation 1, with 2 free parameters,
239 slope equal to 1 and a null response at infinite doses, was selected for the other four SVOCs.
240 Since the response at infinite doses is equal to 0, BMD_{50} is the dose resulting in a 50% decrease
241 relative to the background response. Resulting RPFs for a 10% (RPF_{10}) and 50% (RPF_{50})
242 decrease are shown in table 4. The control values were included in the BMD calculations.

243

244 4. Discussion and Conclusion

245 In this study, we derived BMDs for 6 SVOCs pertaining to different chemical families to which
246 people are exposed in indoor environments, leading to RPF for BBP, BPA, B[a]P, DEP, DEHP

247 and cypermethrin. We have adapted the traditional risk assessment approach (NRC, 1983) by
248 adding a grouping step, depending on SVOCs' effects, as suggested in our previous work
249 (Fournier et al, 2014b). This was done on the basis of their mode of action. In a second step, we
250 calculated BMDs for the 6 SVOCs for which we were able to obtain sufficient information,
251 which in turn allowed RPFs to be derived.

252 The RPFs calculation is based on the dose additivity assumption because it seems to best describe
253 the reality of mixture toxicity. In fact, the toxic equivalency and dose additivity models have
254 already proved their potency in the mixture effects prediction. For example, Rider et al., in 2008,
255 tested different hypotheses for predicting mixture reproductive effects in male offspring rats
256 exposed during the period of sexual differentiation (Rider et al., 2008). They compared the
257 observed responses from a mixture of 4 pesticides and 3 phthalates with predicted responses
258 generated using a toxic equivalency approach and dose addition, response addition or integrated
259 addition models. They validated the dose additivity assumption and showed that toxic
260 equivalency and dose addition models provide the best fit to their observed responses even for
261 chemicals from different families which do not necessarily act via the same cellular mechanism
262 of action. There were several reasons for basing our RPF calculation on a 10% and 50% decrease
263 in testosterone synthesis (BMD_{10} and BMD_{50}), defined respectively as RPF_{10} and RPF_{50} . First of
264 all, as mentioned above, we do not know which level of inhibition of testosterone is harmful to
265 human health. In this case, the US EPA recommends the use of an endpoint that is equivalent to a
266 decrease of 1 control SD (US EPA, 2012). In our case, we have chosen to apply respectively the
267 smallest and the largest of the documented control SDs (near 50% for BBP and 10% for BPA) for
268 the choice of BMR.

269 We chose cypermethrin as the index compound because its range of tested doses is intermediate
270 among those tested for the other SVOCs. The difference in ranges of tested doses and toxicity
271 between contaminants yields a large range of RPF values (a factor of 10^9 between BPA and BBP
272 for RPF₁₀, a factor of 10^6 between B[a]P and BBP). The choice of the reference compound,
273 however, modifies neither the toxicity of each contaminant nor the order of their potency for the
274 effect of interest.

275 According to the RPF₁₀, BPA shows the most toxic among the considered SVOCs (7,033,679
276 times more potent than cypermethrin for this effect) and BBP the least toxic (10.5 times less
277 potent than cypermethrin). According to the RPF₅₀, B(a)P seems to be the most toxic (1,630
278 times more potent than cypermethrin for this effect) and BBP the least one (10.5 times less potent
279 than cypermethrin).

280 For a 50% reduction in testosterone levels, we can observe that B(a)P is more toxic than BPA,
281 while this latter is most potent at 10% of the effect. According to our data, BPA is the most
282 potent of of the study SVOCs at low doses. This is due to the difference between BPA and the
283 other SVOCs in terms of the slope of the curves (inclusion of the “d” parameter, see equation 2).

284 Our data does not allow to derive a BMD₅₀ (and RPF₅₀) for DEHP because, according to the Hill
285 equation used by PROAST, when the DEHP concentration is infinite, the response (decrease in
286 serum testosterone concentration) only approaches 0.43 (=BMD₄₃). The derivation of a BMD₅₀
287 for the DEHP could be performed with other data which investigated the same response, but
288 specifically in pubertal rats (Noriega et al., 2009). According to this data, the BMD₁₀ would be
289 102.45 mg/kg bw/day and the BMD₅₀ 922.07 mg/kg bw/day.

290 We see here that the BMD_{10} based on adult data from Tonk et al. is 20 times lower than the
291 BMD_{10} based on pubertal rat from Noriega et al. This comparison shows that the toxic potency of
292 DEHP compared to other compounds could be modified regarding to the window of exposure,
293 leading difficult the extrapolation of the “pubertal” BMD_{50} to an “adult” BMD_{50} .

294 In our case, three SVOCs (B[a]P, DEP and BBP) had parallel dose-response curves compared to
295 the reference compound’s curve (cypermethrin) with a slope equal to 1 and a response at infinite
296 concentrations equal to 0. On the other hand, for DEHP, at infinite concentrations, the response
297 only approaches 0.43 rather than 0 and for BPA, the slope was different from 1. In these cases,
298 the dose-response curves were not parallel compared to the reference. Thus, from a statistical
299 point of view, the RPFs for B[a]P, DEP and BBP are more reliable than those for DEHP and
300 BPA.

301 The main limitation of this work in a perspective of CRA for chemicals humans are exposed to, is
302 the lack of available and comparable data in the scientific literature. Among the 58 SVOCs that
303 were considered, others than the one we could include might be reprotoxic, but no study has been
304 published to date. Only 23 have been documented as having reprotoxic properties. Of these, 18
305 are able to decrease testosterone synthesis. At the final step, the literature provided sufficient
306 comparable information for BMD calculation only for 6.

307 This limitation of the available information was recently underlined by Wignall et al. in their
308 standardization of BMD calculations (Wignall et al., 2014). Another impediment is the lack of
309 comparability of the data in terms of the range of tested doses, windows of exposure, animal
310 strain, time of exposure, etc. The most striking example is the tested doses range, from 0.000005
311 mg/kg bw/day for BPA, to 1000 mg/kg bw/day for DEHP, suggesting that BPA is the most

312 potent toxic SVOC of our group for the study effect (abatement of serum testosterone
313 concentration); however, its action remains a subject of controversy in the literature. Our
314 requirements in term of data comparability (same species, same window of exposure, etc.) and
315 obviously the lack of data availability limit the datasets for our RPF computations. The more
316 precise and comparable we require our data to be, the less such data is available.

317 There is no data to compare with in the literature regarding RPFs derived from a variety of
318 chemical families. Among phthalates, RPFs were derived for DEHP and BBP acting on
319 testosterone synthesis (Benson, 2009; Hannas et al., 2011). In his CRA, Benson derived RPF for
320 6 phthalates including DEHP and BBP. For DEHP, the endpoint was smallness - or absence of -
321 male reproductive organs. For BBP (and the 4 other phthalates), the endpoint was decrease in
322 foetal testosterone production. The resulting RPFs were 1 and 0.21, based on the IC95 lower
323 bound of the BMD₁₀ or the IC95 lower bound of the BMD corresponding to one standard
324 deviation for DEHP and BBP respectively. According to this method, DEHP appears to be 5
325 times more potent than BBP, which is in line with our findings (10 times more potent according
326 to BMD₁₀). This difference in potency might be explained by the use of different toxicity
327 indicators (BMDL versus BMD), the use of two different endpoints (small or absent male
328 reproductive organs for DEHP and a decrease in testosterone production for BBP), and a different
329 window of exposure (prenatal period for Benson, adult age for our work).

330 More recently, Hannas et al. have calculated RPFs for 5 phthalates. In contrast to Benson, they
331 undertook the *in vivo* tests on the same endpoint (decrease of foetal testosterone production) in
332 their own laboratory, making their data more comparable. They measured foetal testosterone
333 production and the expression of steroidogenesis enzymes after 5 days of *in utero* exposure for
334 each phthalate. The potency estimations were based on the derived effective doses 50 (ED₅₀),

335 corresponding to the dose responsible for 50% decrease of the foetal testosterone, equivalent to a
336 BMD₅₀. In this case, DEHP appears to be one of the least potent phthalates for this effect, in
337 contrast to our data. This could be explained by the level of response associated with the chosen
338 indicators (10% versus 50%) or by the difference of window of exposure. In this case, only
339 DEHP is similar to the compounds included in our study so no potency comparison can be made.

340 While Benson concludes that DEHP is one of the most toxic phthalates within its chemical group
341 (2.5 and 4 times more potent than DiNP and DiBP respectively) using different endpoints,
342 Hannas finds that DEHP is approximately equipotent with DiBP and DiNP (RPFs of 0.06, 0.11
343 and 0.15 for DiNP, DEHP and DiBP respectively) for the same endpoint.

344 For RPF calculations, it is very important to use comparable data and the same endpoint in order
345 to conduct a robust CRA. Also, future experiments should strive to standardise protocols (animals
346 tested, time of exposure, etc.) as well as the ranges and number of doses tested, which should be
347 as close as possible to human real exposures, allowing us to establish a dose-response curve.

348 Our approach takes into account actual population exposures, compounds from different
349 chemical families, and common effects and modes of action of SVOCs. For these reasons, we
350 think it is realistic and useful for future CRA studies. Research must continue in this direction to
351 improve the consideration of actual exposures in CRA.

352

353 **Funding information**

354 This work was supported by the French Ministry of Ecology: Primequal [Environnement
355 Intérieur et Approches Innovantes], programme 190, THUR-BSAF action 13, sub-action 08

356

357 **Acknowledgements**

358 The authors acknowledge Laurent Bodin, Christophe Rousselle (ANSES, France) for their
359 helpful advice, Elisa C. M. Tonk and Aldert H. Piersma for providing the raw data from
360 experiments on DEHP and The French Ministry of Environment for the funding.

361

362 **References**

- 363 Abdelouahab, N., Ainmelk, Y., Takser, L., 2011. Polybrominated diphenyl ethers and sperm
364 quality. *Reproductive toxicology* (Elmsford, N.Y.) 31, 546–50.
- 365 Ahlborg, U., Becking, G., Birnbaum, L., Brouwer, A., Derks, H., Feeley, M., Golor, G., Hanberg,
366 A., Larsen, J., Liem, A., Safe, S., Schlatter, C., Waern, F., Younes, M., Yrjänheikki, E.,
367 1994. Toxic equivalency factors for dioxin-like PCBs. *Chemosphere* 28, 1049–1067.
- 368 Alonso, V., Linares, V., Bellés, M., Albina, M.L., Pujol, A., Domingo, J.L., Sánchez, D.J., 2010.
369 Effects of BDE-99 on hormone homeostasis and biochemical parameters in adult male rats.
370 *Food and chemical toxicology : an international journal published for the British Industrial*
371 *Biological Research Association* 48, 2206–11.
- 372 ATSDR, 2004. *Guidance manual for the assessment of joint toxic action of chemical mixtures.*
373 Atlanta.
- 374 Benson, R., 2009. Hazard to the developing male reproductive system from cumulative exposure
375 to phthalate esters--dibutyl phthalate, diisobutyl phthalate, butylbenzyl phthalate,
376 diethylhexyl phthalate, dipentyl phthalate, and diisononyl phthalate. *Regulatory toxicology*
377 *and pharmacology : RTP* 53, 90–101.
- 378 Bergman, A., Heindel, J.J., Jobling, S., Kidd, K.A., Zoeller, R.T., 2013. *State of the Science of*
379 *Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals - 2012.*
- 380 Bonvallet, N, Mandin, C., Mercier, F, Le Bot, B, Glorennec, P, 2010. Health ranking of ingested
381 semi-volatile organic compounds in house dust: an application to France. *Indoor air* 20,
382 458–72.
- 383 Chepelev, N.L., Meek, M.E.B., Yauk, C.L., 2014. Application of benchmark dose modeling to
384 protein expression data in the development and analysis of mode of action/adverse outcome
385 pathways for testicular toxicity. *Journal of applied toxicology : JAT* 34, 1115–21.
- 386 Christen, V., Crettaz, P., Oberli-Schrämmli, A., Fent, K., 2012. Antiandrogenic activity of
387 phthalate mixtures: validity of concentration addition. *Toxicology and applied*
388 *pharmacology* 259, 169–76.
- 389 Christiansen, S, Kortenkamp, A., Axelstad, M, Boberg, J, Scholze, M., Jacobsen, P.R., Faust, M.,
390 Lichtensteiger, W., Schlumpf, M., Burdorf, A., Hass, U, 2012. Mixtures of endocrine
391 disrupting contaminants modelled on human high end exposures: an exploratory study in
392 rats. *International journal of andrology* 35, 303–16.
- 393 Crump, K.S., 1984. A New Method for Determining Allowable Daily Intakes. *Toxicological*
394 *Sciences* 4, 854–871.

- 395 Della Seta, D., Minder, I., Belloni, V., Aloisi, A.M., Dessì-Fulgheri, F., Farabollini, F., 2006.
396 Pubertal exposure to estrogenic chemicals affects behavior in juvenile and adult male rats.
397 *Hormones and behavior* 50, 301–7.
- 398 Desdoits-Lethimonier, C., Albert, O., Le Bizec, B., Perdu, E., Zalko, D., Courant, F., Lesné, L.,
399 Guillé, F., Dejuq-Rainsford, N., Jégou, B., 2012. Human testis steroidogenesis is inhibited
400 by phthalates. *Human reproduction (Oxford, England)* 27, 1451–9.
- 401 Duty, S.M., Silva, M.J., Barr, D.B., Brock, J.W., Ryan, L., Chen, Z., Herrick, R.F., Christiani,
402 D.C., Hauser, R., 2003. Phthalate exposure and human semen parameters. *Epidemiology*
403 (Cambridge, Mass.) 14, 269–77.
- 404 EFSA, 2006. Cumulative risk assessment of pesticides to human health: the way forward.
- 405 Eisenberg, M.L., Hsieh, M.H., Walters, R.C., Krasnow, R., Lipshultz, L.I., 2011. The relationship
406 between anogenital distance, fatherhood, and fertility in adult men. *PLoS one* 6, e18973.
- 407 Fournier, K., Glorennec, Philippe, Bonvallet, Nathalie, 2014a. Derivation of toxicological
408 reference values for taking mixtures into account in health risk assessment: Existing
409 methods and recent applications. *Environnement, Risques & Santé* 13, 203–221.
- 410 Fournier, K., Glorennec, Philippe, Bonvallet, Nathalie, 2014b. An exposure-based framework for
411 grouping pollutants for a cumulative risk assessment approach: Case study of indoor semi-
412 volatile organic compounds. *Environmental research* 130, 20–8.
- 413 Gray, L E, Ostby, J., Furr, J, Price, M., Veeramachaneni, D.N., Parks, L., 2000. Perinatal
414 exposure to the phthalates DEHP, BBP, and DINP, but not DEP, DMP, or DOTP, alters
415 sexual differentiation of the male rat. *Toxicological sciences : an official journal of the*
416 *Society of Toxicology* 58, 350–65.
- 417 Hannas, B.R., Lambricht, C.S., Furr, Johnathan, Howdeshell, K.L., Wilson, V.S., Gray, Leon E,
418 2011. Dose-response assessment of fetal testosterone production and gene expression levels
419 in rat testes following in utero exposure to diethylhexyl phthalate, diisobutyl phthalate,
420 diisooheptyl phthalate, and diisononyl phthalate. *Toxicological sciences : an official journal*
421 *of the Society of Toxicology* 123, 206–16.
- 422 Hauser, R., Meeker, J.D., Duty, S., Silva, M.J., Calafat, A.M., 2006. Altered semen quality in
423 relation to urinary concentrations of phthalate monoester and oxidative metabolites.
424 *Epidemiology (Cambridge, Mass.)* 17, 682–91.
- 425 Jensen, B.H., Petersen, A., Christiansen, Sofie, Boberg, Julie, Axelstad, Marta, Herrmann, S.S.,
426 Poulsen, M.E., Hass, Ulla, 2013. Probabilistic assessment of the cumulative dietary
427 exposure of the population of Denmark to endocrine disrupting pesticides. *Food and*
428 *chemical toxicology : an international journal published for the British Industrial Biological*
429 *Research Association* 55, 113–20.

- 430 Jin, Y., Wang, L., Ruan, M., Liu, J., Yang, Y., Zhou, C., Xu, B., Fu, Z., 2011. Cypermethrin
431 exposure during puberty induces oxidative stress and endocrine disruption in male mice.
432 *Chemosphere* 84, 124–30.
- 433 Johnson, P.I., Stapleton, H.M., Mukherjee, B., Hauser, R., Meeker, J.D., 2013. Associations
434 between brominated flame retardants in house dust and hormone levels in men. *The Science*
435 *of the total environment* 445-446, 177–84.
- 436 Kortenkamp, A., Faust, M., 2010. Combined exposures to anti-androgenic chemicals: steps
437 towards cumulative risk assessment. *International journal of andrology* 33, 463–74.
- 438 Li, D., Zhou, Z, Qing, D., He, Y., Wu, T., Miao, M., Wang, J., Weng, X., Ferber, J.R., Herrinton,
439 L.J., Zhu, Q., Gao, E., Checkoway, H., Yuan, W., 2010. Occupational exposure to
440 bisphenol-A (BPA) and the risk of self-reported male sexual dysfunction. *Human*
441 *reproduction (Oxford, England)* 25, 519–27.
- 442 Liang, J., Zhu, H., Li, C., Ding, Y., Zhou, Zhijun, Wu, Q., 2012. Neonatal exposure to
443 benzo[a]pyrene decreases the levels of serum testosterone and histone H3K14 acetylation of
444 the StAR promoter in the testes of SD rats. *Toxicology* 302, 285–29.
- 445 Liu, L., Hu, J.-X., Wang, H., Chen, B.-J., He, Z., Xu, L.-C., 2010. Effects of beta-cypermethrin
446 on male rat reproductive system. *Environmental toxicology and pharmacology* 30, 251–6.
- 447 Loewe, S., Muischnek, H., 1926. Effect of combinations: mathematical basis of problem. *Archiv*
448 *für Experimentelle Pathologie und Pharmakologie* 114, 313–326.
- 449 Mandin C, Mercier F, Lucas J-P, Ramalho O, Gilles E, Blanchard O, Bonvallot N, Glorennec P,
450 Le Bot B, 2014a. ECOS-PM: A Nationwide Survey of Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds in
451 Indoor Air. Indoor Air conference, Hong-Kong,
- 452 Mandin C., Mercier F., Lucas J-P., Ramalho O., Blanchard O., Bonvallot N., Raffy G., Gilles E.,
453 Glorennec P., Le Bot B, 2014b. ECOS-POUSS: A Nationwide Survey of Semi-Volatile
454 Organic Compounds in Home Settled Dust. Indoor Air conference, Hong-Kong.
- 455 Meek, M.E., Boobis, A., Cote, I., Dellarco, V., Fotakis, G., Munn, S., Seed, J., Vickers, C., 2014.
456 New developments in the evolution and application of the WHO/IPCS framework on mode
457 of action/species concordance analysis. *Journal of applied toxicology : JAT* 34, 1–18.
- 458 Meeker, J.D., Calafat, A.M., Hauser, R., 2009. Urinary metabolites of di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate
459 are associated with decreased steroid hormone levels in adult men. *Journal of andrology* 30,
460 287–97.
- 461 Meeker, J.D., Ehrlich, S., Toth, T.L., Wright, D.L., Calafat, A.M., Trisini, A.T., Ye, X., Hauser,
462 R., 2010. Semen quality and sperm DNA damage in relation to urinary bisphenol A among
463 men from an infertility clinic. *Reproductive toxicology (Elmsford, N.Y.)* 30, 532–9.

- 464 Mercier, Fabien, Gilles, E., Saramito, G., 2014. A multi-residue method for the simultaneous
465 analysis in indoor dust of several classes of semi-volatile organic compounds by pressurized
466 liquid extraction and gas chromatography / tandem mass spectrometry. *Journal of*
467 *chromatography. A* 1336, 101–111.
- 468 Mercier, Fabien, Glorennec, Philippe, Blanchard, O., Le Bot, Barbara, 2012. Analysis of semi-
469 volatile organic compounds in indoor suspended particulate matter by thermal desorption
470 coupled with gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. *Journal of chromatography. A* 1254,
471 107–14.
- 472 Nisbet, I.C., LaGoy, P.K., 1992. Toxic equivalency factors (TEFs) for polycyclic aromatic
473 hydrocarbons (PAHs). *Regulatory toxicology and pharmacology : RTP* 16, 290–300.
- 474 Noriega, N.C., Howdeshell, K.L., Furr, Jonathan, Lambright, C.R., Wilson, V.S., Gray, L Earl,
475 2009. Pubertal administration of DEHP delays puberty, suppresses testosterone production,
476 and inhibits reproductive tract development in male Sprague-Dawley and Long-Evans rats.
477 *Toxicological sciences : an official journal of the Society of Toxicology* 111, 163–78.
- 478 NRC, 1983. *Risk Assessment in the Federal Government: Managing the Process*.
- 479 OME, 1985. Scientific criteria document for standard development, No. 4-84. Polychlorinated
480 Dibenzo-p-Dioxins (PCDDs) and Polychlorinated DibenzoFurans (PCDFs). Toronto.
- 481 Pan, G., Hanaoka, T., Yoshimura, M., Zhang, S., Wang, P., Tsukino, H., Inoue, K., Nakazawa,
482 H., Tsugane, S., Takahashi, K., 2006. Decreased Serum Free Testosterone in Workers
483 Exposed to High Levels of Di-n-butyl Phthalate (DBP) and Di-2-ethylhexyl Phthalate
484 (DEHP), A Cross-Sectional Study in China. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 114, 1643–
485 1648.
- 486 Rider, C. V, Furr, Johnathan, Wilson, V.S., Gray, L Earl, 2008. A mixture of seven antiandrogens
487 induces reproductive malformations in rats. *International journal of andrology* 31, 249–62.
- 488 Salian, S., Doshi, T., Vanage, G., 2009. Perinatal exposure of rats to Bisphenol A affects the
489 fertility of male offspring. *Life sciences* 85, 742–52.
- 490 Slob, W., 2002. Dose-response modeling of continuous endpoints. *Toxicological sciences : an*
491 *official journal of the Society of Toxicology* 66, 298–312.
- 492 Sonich-Mullin, C., Fielder, R., Wiltse, J., Baetcke, K., Dempsey, J., Fenner-Crisp, P., Grant, D.,
493 Hartley, M., Knaap, A., Kroese, D., Mangelsdorf, I., Meek, E., Rice, J.M., Younes, M.,
494 2001. IPCS conceptual framework for evaluating a mode of action for chemical
495 carcinogenesis. *Regulatory toxicology and pharmacology : RTP* 34, 146–52.
- 496 Svechnikov, K., Svechnikova, I., Söder, O., 2008. Inhibitory effects of mono-ethylhexyl
497 phthalate on steroidogenesis in immature and adult rat Leydig cells in vitro. *Reproductive*
498 *toxicology (Elmsford, N.Y.)* 25, 485–90.

- 499 US EPA, 2000. Supplementary Guidance for Conducting Health Risk Assessment of Chemical
500 Mixtures.
- 501 US EPA, 2002. Guidance on Cumulative Risk Assessment of Pesticide Chemicals That Have a
502 Common Mechanism of Toxicity. Washington, D.C. 20460.
- 503 US EPA, 2005. Toxicological review of n-hexane. In support of summary information on the
504 integrated risk information (IRIS). Washington, DC.
- 505 US EPA, 2012. Benchmark Dose Technical Guidance.
- 506 Weschler, C.J., Nazaroff, W.W., 2008. Semivolatile organic compounds in indoor environments.
507 Atmospheric Environment 42, 9018–9040.
- 508 Wignall, J. a, Shapiro, A.J., Wright, F. a, Woodruff, T.J., Chiu, W. a, Guyton, K.Z., Rusyn, I.,
509 2014. Standardizing benchmark dose calculations to improve science-based decisions in
510 human health assessments. Environmental health perspectives 122, 499–505.
- 511 Wolansky, M.J., Gennings, C., Crofton, K.M., 2005. Relative potencies for acute effects of
512 pyrethroids on motor function in rats. Toxicological sciences : an official journal of the
513 Society of Toxicology 89, 271–7.
- 514
- 515

516 **Figure 1: Adverse outcome pathway of 23 indoor semi volatile organic compounds (SVOCs)**
517 **on the reproductive, adapted from (Fournier et al., 2014b).**

518 ¹(Gray et al., 2000); ²(Pan et al., 2006); ³(Borch et al., 2006); ⁴(Pereira et al., 2008);
519 ⁵(Abdelouhab et al., 2011); ⁶(Alonso et al., 2010); ⁷(D’Cruz et al., 2012); ⁸(Chung et al., 2011);
520 ⁹(Kuriyama and Chahoud, 2004); ¹⁰(Saradha et al., 2009); ¹¹(Ronco et al., 2001); ¹²(Zhang et al.,
521 2007); ¹³(Hu et al., 2011); ¹⁴(Singh and Pandey, 1990); ¹⁵(Schein and Thomas, 1975); ¹⁶(Fiorini
522 et al., 2004); ¹⁷(Farag et al., 2010); ¹⁸(Ismail and Mohamed, 2012); ¹⁹(Kumar et al., 2009);
523 ²⁰(Sainath et al., 2011); ²¹(Wilson et al., 2004); ²²(Hannas et al., 2012); ²³(Mograbi et al., 2003);
524 ²⁴(Posnack et al., 2015); ²⁵(Liu et al., 2005); ²⁶(Tonk et al., 2012); ²⁷(Nagao et al., 2000);
525 ²⁸(Pereira et al., 2008); ²⁹(Jiang et al., 2007); ³⁰(Borch et al., 2004); ³¹(Shi et al., 2010);
526 ³²(Jayachandra and D’Souza, 2014)

Table 1: Selected Semi Volatile Organic Compounds as candidates for grouping (detected in more than 10% of the French dwellings investigated)

Chemical families	Name
Phthalates	DEHP, DEP, DBP, BBP, DiBP, DiNP, DMP
Polybromodiphenyl ethers (PBDEs)	BDE-28, BDE-47, BDE-85, BDE-99, BDE-100, BDE-153, BDE-154, BDE-209
Polychlorobiphenyls (PCBs)	PCB-28, PCB-31, PCB-52, PCB-77, PCB-101, PCB-105, PCB-118, PCB-138, PCB-153, PCB-180
Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (HAPs)	acenaphthene, anthracene, benzo(a)pyrene, fluorene, phenanthrene, fluoranthene, pyrene, benzo(a)anthracene, chrysene, benzo(k)fluoranthene, benzo(b)fluoranthene, indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene, benzo(g,h,i)perylene, dibenzo(a,h)anthracene
Pesticides	4',4-DDE, 4',4-DDT, dieldrine, alpha-endosulfan, lindane, chlorpyrifos-ethyl, diazinon, cyfluthrine, cypermethrine, deltamethrine, permethrine, oxadiazon, tributylphosphate
Others	4-tert-butylphenol, 4-tert-octylphenol, bisphenol A, galaxolide, tonalide, triclosan

Based on (Mandin et al., 2014a) ; (Mandin et al., 2014b); (Blanchard et al., 2014)

Table 2: Available information in the literature on reproductive effects for the 6 Semi Volatile Organic Compounds retained for BenchMark Dose calculation

SVOC	Tested animals	Number of animals	Time of exposure	Route of exposure	Tested doses (mg/kg bw/day)	Response (standard deviation) (relative to control)	Reference
BBP	Adult SD rats	25	105 days	Oral	0	1 (0.46)	Nagao et al., 2000
					20	1.03 (0.54)	
					100	0.77 (0.37)	
					500	0.54 (0.31)	
BPA	Adult Wistar rats	6	45 days	Oral	0	1 (0.1)	D'Cruz et al., 2012
					0.000005	0.84 (0.05)	
					0.00005	0.74 (0.05)	
					0.05	0.62 (0.04)	
B[a]P	Adult SD rats	9	90 days	Oral	0.5	0.44 (0.06)	Chung et al., 2011
					0	1 (0.31)	
					0.001	1 (0.29)	
					0.01	0.64 (0.17)	
DEP	Adult Wistar rats	6	150 days	Oral	0.1	0.25 (0.12)	Pereira et al., 2008
					0	1 (0.13)	
					0.57	0.69 (0.11)	
					1.425	0.63 (0.056)	
DEHP	Adult Wistar rats	5	40 days	Oral	2.85	0.41 (0.025)	Tonk et al., 2012
					0	1 (0.42)	
					1	0.79 (0.17)	
					3	0.70 (0.27)	
					10	1.15 (0.50)	
					30	0.52 (0.30)	
					100	0.62 (0.32)	
					300	0.47 (0.16)	
1000	0.48 (0.18)						
Cypermethrin	Adult SD rats	12	15 days	Oral	0	1 (0.35)	Hu et al., 2011
					6.25	0.84 (0.35)	
					12.5	0.79 (0.19)	
					25	0.65 (0.33)	
					50	0.49 (0.16)	

SVOCs: Semi Volatile Organic Compounds; SD: Sprague Dawley

Table 3: Benchmark dose 10 and benchmark dose 50 for the 6 selected SVOCs


SVOC	Number of Hill parameters	BMD10 (mg/kg bw/day)	BMD50 (mg/kg bw/day)
B[a]P	2	0.0034	0.030
BPA	3	7.72E-07	0.27
DEP	2	0.24	2.18
DEHP	3	5.04	/
Cypermethrin	2	5.43	48.90
BBP	2	57.34	520

SVOCs: Semi Volatile Organic Compounds; BMD₁₀: Benchmark Doses responsible of 10% of the selected effect

1 **Table 4: Relative Potency Factor 10 and Relative Potency Factor 50 calculations from**
2 **BenchMark Doses for 6 Semi Volatile Organic Compounds detected in more than 10% of**
3 **French dwellings and acting on testosterone synthesis in adult male rats**

SVOC	RPF ₁₀	RPF ₅₀
B[a]P	1597	1630
BPA	7033679	181
DEP	22.63	22
DEHP	1.08	/
Cypermethrin	1	1
BBP	0.095	0.095

4 *SVOCs: Semi Volatile Organic Compounds; RPF₁₀: Relative Potency Factors for 10% of the selected effect*
5



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Highlights

- Semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs) detected in more than 10% of French dwelling were selected
- SVOCs were grouped according to their reprotoxic mode of action
- Benchmark Doses and Relative Potency Factors based on a decrease in testosterone level in male rodent were derived
- The main limitation is the lack of comparable toxicological data.