Plastinated nasal model: a new concept of anatomically realistic cast.

Marc Durand, Jérémie Pourchez, Bruno Louis, Jean-François Pouget, Daniel Isabey, André Coste, Jean-Michel Prades, Philippe Rusch, Michèle Cottier

HAL Id: hal-00583950

https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00583950

Submitted on 7 Apr 2011

Plastinated nasal model: a new concept of anatomically realistic cast

Marc DURAND [1,2,3,4]*, Jérémie POURCHEZ* [3,5]*, *Bruno LOUIS* [6]*, Jean-François POUGET* [7]*, Daniel ISABEY* [6]*, André COSTE* [6]*, Jean-Michel PRADES* [2,3,4,8]*, Philippe RUSCH* [2,3,4,8]*, Michèle COTTIER* [2,3,4,8]

[1] Centre Hospitalier Emile Roux, ENT center, Le Puy en Velay, France

[2] Université Jean Monnet, Faculté de Médecine, IFR Inserm 143, F-42023, Saint-Etienne, France

[3] LINA, F-42023, Saint-Etienne, France

[4] Université de Lyon, F-42023, Saint-Etienne, France

[5] Ecole Nationale Supérieure des Mines de Saint-Étienne, Centre Ingénierie et Santé, IFR Inserm 143, Saint-Etienne, France

[6] INSERM U955, Institut Mondor de Recherche Biomédicale, Biomécanique Cellulaire et Respiratoire, PRES Paris-Est, Université Paris 12, Faculté de Médecine, Créteil, France

[7] Clinique Mutualiste, Saint-Etienne, France

[8] CHU de Saint-Etienne, F-42055, Saint-Etienne, France,

∗ <u>Corresponding author:</u> Tel: (+33) 4 77 42 01 80; Fax: (+33) 4 77 49 96 94; E-mail address: pourchez@emse.fr

SUMMARY.

Background: For many years, several researchers have been interested in investigating airflow and aerosol deposition in the nasal cavities. Nasal airways appear to be a complex geometrical system. Thus, *in vitro* experimental studies are frequently conducted with more or less biomimetic nasal replica.

Aim: This study is devoted to the development of anatomically realistic nose model with bilateral nasal cavities, *i.e.* nasal anatomy, airway geometry and aerodynamic properties as close as possible to *in vivo* behaviour.

Methods: A specific plastination technique of cephalic extremities was developed by the Anatomy Laboratory at the Saint-Etienne University since the last 10 years. The plastinated models obtained were anatomically, geometrically and aerodynamically validated using several techniques (endoscopy, CT scans, acoustic rhinometry and rhinomanometry).

Main results: Our plastination model exhibited a high level of anatomic quality, *e.g.* a very good mucosa preservation. Aerodynamical and geometrical investigations highlighted a global behaviour of plastinated models perfectly in accordance with a nasal decongested healthy subject.

Conclusions: The present plastination model provides a realistic cast of nasal airways, and may be a useful tool for nasal flow, drug delivery and aerosol deposition studies.

Keywords: anatomic model, nasal airway cast, plastination, maxillary sinuses.

Introduction

Nowadays, nebulization is the preferred route for drug delivery in asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. As therapeutic agents can be delivered directly to the respiratory tract, the inhaled route offers smaller doses to be used and a more rapid onset of action compared to systemic therapy. In this context, the practice of nasal drug delivery by nebulization is also widely used in otorhinolaryngology, even if there is a lack of reliable data concerning the evaluation of its efficacy [1]. To more accurately define the relevance of nasal drug delivery, a better understanding of the deposition of nebulized drugs in the human nasal cavity is required. Aerosol deposition may be evaluated using different nasal replica.

In broad outline, three main families of human nasal casts can be distinguished: "pipe models" [2-4], plastic replicas [5-6] and models obtained from cadavers [7]. Unfortunately, these usual experimental casts show specific restrictions: "pipe models" may not adequately mimic the anatomy of the human cavity, plastic replicas can suffer from a lack of thin anatomical details (such as the sinus ostium morphology), and models from cadavers induce issues of time stability and biosecurity.

Thus, we propose to create a new concept of functional human plastinated nasal cast. Plastination permits the preservation of anatomical specimens in a physical state approaching that of the living condition. This technique was introduced by Dr. Gunther von Hagens in the end of 1970s [8]. This process consists in replacing water and lipids in biological tissue by curable polymers. Then, polymers are hardened resulting in dry, odorless and durable anatomic specimen. Nevertheless, plastination is usually restricted to descriptive and topographic studies of anatomy. That is why, the development of a specific plastination protocol is needed in order to create for the first time a plastinated human model devoted to functional studies (e.g. airflow and aerosol deposition experiments). To assess the relevance of our new concept of plastinated nasal models, some preliminary *in vitro* studies were performed. A first study highlights scintigraphic images of plastinated casts using a

47 technetium (99mTc)-labelled solution to investigate the penetration of aerosols inside

48 maxillary sinuses [9]. A second one allows to validate the ability of a Computational Fluid

49 Dynamic (CFD) software describing pressure drop and flow [10].

50 The technical specifications of the plastinated nasal model to develop are: anatomical features

51 as close as possible to *in vivo* human airways, time-stability to perform experimental

52 campaign during several years, water-washable to clean the specimen between tests,

53 accessibility of the maxillary sinuses to assess the aerosol deposition, easy handling daily, dry

54 odourless, biologically safe and transportable without restricted constraints. This study

55 presents an original plastination protocol as well as clinical, geometric and aerodynamic

56 characterisation of plastinated nasal airways models using several techniques (endoscopy, CT

57 scans, acoustic rhinometry and rhinomanometry).

Materials and methods

Nasal specimens

Three nasal specimens were successively plastinated. We used adult heads, one female (specimen 1) and two males (specimens 2 and 3). On specimens 2 and 3, we carefully cut away the lateral wall of the maxillary sinuses leading to access inside. Different steps successively occurred (Table 1) during the plastination process: anatomical sampling, section, fixation, dissection, dehydration and degreasing, polymer forced impregnation in a vacuum, and then curing and polymerization.

Fixation and anatomical dissection

The first step of plastination consisted in anatomical sampling from a cadaver donated to the Anatomy Laboratory of Saint-Etienne University. The cadavers were obtained from deceased men or women whose last will and testament documented his wish to leave his body to the Anatomy Laboratory. The cadavers were clinically checked by a qualified ENT specialist prior to begin the anatomical sampling. After freezing the specimen at -80°C, a lateral-paramedian section of the cephalic extremity may be carried out. This section allows to access of the maxillary sinuses which nevertheless keep a normal volume and aerodynamic behaviour. Besides, working only with this section offers also a significant time gain during the plastination procedure because of a fast penetration of solvents into the anatomical specimen. During the fixation step, the specimen was embalmed by immersion in a 10 % vol. formaldehyde solution so as to halt decomposition. Long fixation duration, around 3 months, was generally necessary to avoid tissue retraction phenomena during the polymer forced impregnation stage. The temperature was maintained at 5°C during this fixation process.

Dehydration and delipidation

85 Removal of fat and water from tissues of fixed specimen was the stage in which the

86 specimens were immersed, under freezing conditions at -25°C, in several successive baths of

87 pure acetone. The acetone was used as a degreasing and dehydration agent because this

88 solvent was able to draw out all fats and water and replace them inside the cells. The

89 specimens were passed through several baths of acetone until water and lipids content of the

90 last acetone solution was less than 1%. Therefore, at least 4 baths of pure acetone were

91 required to fully delipidate a cephalic extremity beforehand sectioned. Duration of each

92 acetone bath varied between one and four weeks depending to the volume and tissue content

93 of the specimen to be plastinated as well as the bath number. Between one and two months

94 were generally necessary to satisfactorily dehydrate and degrease a specimen.

95

96 *Silicon forced impregnation*

97 Polymer forced impregnation under vacuum conditions was the key principle of plastination.

98 The temperature was always maintained at -25°C. We immersed the specimen in a silicon

99 solution bath (S10 Biodur®) placed in a vacuum chamber. We gradually reduced the pressure

100 until acetone boils. At this moment, acetone is vaporized and suctioned out of the tissue, and

101 continuously extracted from the specimen. The resulting negative pressure causes the silicon

102 solution to gradually permeate the tissue. A precise control of the depression applied versus

103 time was therefore absolutely essential and necessitated specific know-how. The duration of

104 the polymer forced impregnation was between 10 and 20 days.

105

106 *Silicon Curing*

107 After this impregnation, a gas curing takes place to polymerize silicon and thus to keep the

108 polymer inside the specimen tissue. The gas curing was carried out at room temperature in a

109 closed chamber. The hardening product (S6 Biodur®) was a liquid containing silicate with a

high saturated vapor pressure. It evaporates and builds up a gaseous atmosphere inside the chamber. This active vapor reacts with the silicon at the specimen surface, and then the polymer begins side to side-linkage. Rapidly, the outer surface of the specimen was cured because of silicon polymerization. Over a period of time, the curing gas diffuses into the specimen and polymerization proceeds deeper. After a one month period in contact with the curing gas in a close environment, a final curing step begins. This final curing aimed at totally hardening the center of the specimen.

Anatomical and aerodynamic characterisation of plastinated nasal models

The overall objective of the anatomic and aerodynamic characterisation consisted in:

➲ Checking by nasofiberoscopy the preservation of nasal airway anatomy during the plastination protocol.

➲ Performing CT-scans observations of the final plastinated models to evaluate and improve the quality of the plastination procedure.

➲ Evaluating the reliability of nasal cavity geometry (determined by acoustic rhinometry) and airflow resistance (measured by rhinomanometry) of the final plastinated models compared to *in vivo* data known from the literature.

The clinical anatomy study by nasofiberoscopic examinations (flexible Machida fiberscope, Japan) were performed in the three specimens during all the plastination process: from the anatomical sampling stage on the cadavers to the final curing step. This monitoring allows to early detect any problem on the specimen during the 6 months duration of the plastination procedure. Plastinated nasal models were also characterized using tomodensitometry (General Electric Prospeed Advantage Scanner with Sun Sparc Solaris console) in the three specimens. These techniques were performed on plastinated models in order to evaluate the preservation of mucosa in the cast as well as to precisely define the geometrical characteristics of ostia and maxillary sinus cavities.

The geometry of nasal cavities was also characterized using acoustic rhinometry in specimens 2 and 3 [10,11]. Briefly, the device consisted of two microphones (piezoresistive pressure transducers 8510-B; Endevco France, Le Pré Saint-Gervais, France) and a horn driver mounted on a wave tube (inner diameter: 1.2 cm and overall length: 22 cm) connected at one end to a nostril of the model with a nosepiece, allowing tight closure of the nasal entrance. The horn driver generated an acoustic wave, and the two microphones recorded the resulting pressure. These digitized data were analyzed to obtain the cross-sectional areas of the nasal airway as a function of the distance along the longitudinal axis, with a spatial step increment of $\Delta L \approx 0.41$ cm. Each nasal fossa of plastinated specimens was separately examined leading to the longitudinal area profiles from the tip of the nostril to the middle meatus region.

Finally, rhinomanometry was used to provide an objective quantification of nasal airway resistance in specimens 2 and 3. Steady flow was measured with a Fleisch pneumotachograph (Lausanne, Switzerland) coupled to a differential pressure transducer (Validyne DP45, Northridge, CA) by short tubes allowing to estimate the pressure drop. Inspiratory flows for the three different gases were generated by a negative pressure generator made of a turbine rotating at a constant adjustable speed which was connected to the nasopharyngeal extremity of the plastinated airway model. Each nasal cavity was investigated individually. The pressure difference and transnasal airflow were simultaneously measured. The aerodynamic resistance was defined as the ratio of pressure drop across the nose over the volume rate of nasal airflow, when the transnasal pressure reached 1 cmH_2O. To measure the airflow resistance of the right nasal cavity, maxillary sinus cavity were in "closed" position and the left nostril was occluded.

Results

Overall observations

In this paper we focused on 3 specimens allowing to exhibit the improvement of the plastination protocol with time (Fig. 1). Moreover, we obviously examined an improvement of subcutaneous and mucosa preservation between specimens 1 and 3. As a matter of fact, the specimen 1 perfectly showed a high tissue retraction because of bad polymer forced impregnation during first tests. On the contrary, the specimen 3 highlighted a very low tissue retraction and an astonishing preservation, certainly the closest possible to living tissue. Thus, these observations appeared as a sign of a significant improvement of the plastination procedure with time.

Nasofiberoscopy

The clinical anatomy study showed that the plastinated nasal specimens 2 and 3 were very similar to living anatomical conditions daily observed by ENT physicians. As a matter of fact, the coloration was clearly checked and all anatomical details were well-preserved. As an example, we clearly put in evidence in the specimen 2 a *concha bullosa* referring to the pneumatization of the middle turbinates of the right nasal cavity (Fig 2). Endoscopic observations also lead to confirm that the final plastination protocol, corresponding to the elaboration of specimen 3, guarantees an excellent preservation of nasal airways anatomy.

Tomodensitometry

CT scans confirmed the high preservation of nasal airway anatomy of specimen 3. On the one hand, 3D reconstruction and virtual endoscopy from imaging data exhibited high quality of anatomy closed to living conditions (Fig 3). On the other hand, we emphasized a significant increase of the mucosa thickness on the turbinates of the specimen 3 (Fig 3 and 4). Thus, the mucosa preservation of the turbinates was higher in the case of specimen 3 thanks to the

184 plastination procedure improvement. Finally, specimen 3 also exhibited very dissimilar

185 maxillary sinus ostium morphologies (Fig 4). Indeed, while the right maxillary sinus ostium

186 appeared as anatomically usual, the left maxillary sinus ostium was doubtless abnormally

187 short and broad. In particular, the diameter of the left maxillary sinus ostium was three times

188 higher compared to the right maxillary sinus ostium.

189

190 *Acoustic rhinometry*

191 The acoustic rhinometry was found to reasonably resolve the airways geometry of the

192 plastinated casts. Concerning the specimen 2, characterized by pneumatised middle turbinate

193 (*i.e.* a concha bullosa) in the right nasal cavity, the comparison of areas obtained by acoustic

194 reflexion and by 3D reconstruction and then image analysis were previously described in [12].

195 Both methods provided a relatively good agreement mainly in the anterior part of the nose

196 because of the acoustic method lead to overestimate the area lying beyond the ostium. The

197 specimen 3 was also investigated thanks to this technique (Fig 5). Whatever the plastinated

198 specimen examined, we always found a minimal cross-sectional area around 0.5 cm^2 and a

199 cross-sectional area higher than 1.5 cm^2 from the middle meatus region.

200

201 *Rhinomanometry*

202 First of all, we measured the resistance of each nasal cavity separately while the opposite

203 nostril was occluded. From the pressure vs flow curves, the unilateral airflow resistances

204 found on specimen 3, for left and right nasal cavities, were perfectly similar at 0.18 $Pa.s.cm^{-3}$

205 (*i.e.* 1.8 $cmH_2O.s.L^{-1}$). The bilateral airflow resistance was logically measured at a lower

206 value compared to unilateral resistances, around 0.13 $Pa.s.cm^{-3}$. A similar investigation of

207 airflow resistance was also performed on specimen 2 (Table 2). We found a rise of airflow

208 resistance for the right nostril (0.21 versus 0.16 $Pa.s.cm^{-3}$ for the left nasal cavity).

Discussion

Plastinated nasal casts versus living noses

To validate this new concept of anatomical realistic cast, the reliability of plastinated nasal model should be harshly examined by comparison with usual living anatomy as well as *in vivo* geometric and aerodynamic data of healthy subject. A plastinated model devoted to functional studies needs a specific plastination protocol. In fact, a main challenge consists in a plastination technique enables to ensure a very low degree of tissue retraction. Therefore, high nasal mucosa preservation remains a key point in order to provide conserved specimen with an appearance remaining close to live anatomy. Endoscopic and CT scans observations lead to show a significant improvement of the plastination procedure between the specimen 1 and 3. We also proved that our final plastination protocol, *i.e.* the elaboration of specimen 3, leads to an excellent conservation of nasal airways anatomy with a high mucosa preservation. We support the conclusion that the improvement of our plastination protocol with time allows to obtain plastinated specimen not too far from live anatomy.

Acoustic rhinometry is frequently used to determine *in vivo* the nasal cross-sectional areas through acoustic reflexion [11]. It is a reliable and non invasive mean in order to assess the first six centimetres of the nasal fossa anatomy [13]. Although this method has been used in clinical practice, some authors underline its potential limitations in the case of sudden large area changes in the space [14], or about the cross-sectional areas posterior to a significant constriction [12]. The acoustic rhinometry, performed on specimen 3, emphasized a perfect symmetry of right and left nasal cavities from the nostril to the ostium of maxillary sinus (Fig 5). Moreover, if we compare the acoustic rhinometry results on plastinated models with data performed *in vivo*, the closest correlations have been noted between the plastinated nasal casts and the geometrical information obtained in healthy subjects after the application of a nasal decongestant. In particular, a good correlation was observed between the cross-sectional areas

234 generated with the plastinated specimens 2 and 3 (Fig. 5) and postdecongested acoustic

235 rhinometry data in healthy subjects described in [15].

236 Rhinomanometry is a well-established and reliable technique that measures nasal patency in

237 terms of nasal airflow and resistance to airflow [16,17]. The unilateral airflow resistances

238 measured on specimen 3, for left and right nasal cavities, were similar. This tendency was

239 consistent with the geometry of nasal cavity investigated by acoustic rhinometry showing

240 identical longitudinal area profile for both left and right nasal cavities (Fig 5). We also

241 emphasized a significant rise of airflow resistance for the right nostril of specimen 2. This

242 asymmetry can easily be explained by the presence of a *concha bullosa* in the right nasal

243 cavity of specimen 2. Besides, the measured pressure–flow relationship reflects the functional

244 status of the nasal airway. Thus, this technique was usually carried out to measure the nasal

245 resistance before and after surgery for nasal resistance. From the literature a consensus seems

246 to be reached since an unilateral resistance greater than 0.35 $Pa.s.cm^{-3}$ suggests clinically

247 significant nasal obstruction. As a general rule, the nasal resistance can be categorized into

248 four grades [18]. From the clinical standpoint, the nasal resistance below 0.19 $Pa.s.cm^{-3}$

249 corresponding to grade 1, indicates a subject free from nasal obstruction. Besides, above 4

250 $Pa.s.cm^{-3}$ corresponding to grade 4 the airflow resistance indicates a subject suffering from

251 the very severe or complete nasal obstruction. Regarding to this nasal resistance scale, as the

252 unilateral airflow resistances obtained in the specimen 3 was around 0.18 $Pa.s.cm^{-3}$, the

253 plastinated replica presents an airflow resistance similar to that of a decongested healthy

254 subject.

255 *Plastinated nasal casts versus other nasal replicas*

256 According to the specific advantages and drawbacks of experimental nasal models found in

257 the literature, we must examine if a human plastinated nasal model leads to a significant

258 scientific breakthrough. To simulate nasal airways, experimental casts with increasing

259 complexity can be used. The "pipe model" [2-4] is usually a two compartment model where

260 pipes represent nasal and sinus cavities. Following this overall strategy, Moller *et al*.

261 elaborated a polyoxymethylene replica where sinuses were modelled by cylindrical glass vials

262 [2]. Cakmak *et al*. developed a model consisting of a brass pipe with a short neck that

263 branched off and opened into an enclosed cavity [3]. Maniscalco *et al*. built a model

264 composed of a syringe (representing the sinus) connected horizontally to a plastic cylinder

265 (representing the nasal cavity) [4]. Although "pipe models" [2-4] are very useful to collect

266 data in a first approach, it remains unclear how relevant the data are to study *in vivo* drug

267 delivery. Obviously, "pipe models" may not adequately mimic the complex anatomy of the

268 human nasal cavities *in vivo*. Especially, these casts may underestimate the difficulty of

269 getting nasally administered drug beyond the nasal valve and front surfaces of the turbinate

270 because of a lack of anatomical features. As a result, the plastinated nasal cast seems to be

271 very useful to study aerosol depositions and is without doubt preferable to any "pipe model".

272 To improve biomimetic geometry of the experimental replicas, plastic/silicon models [5,6]

273 can also be reconstructed from medical imaging data. As example, Schreck *et al*. used

274 magnetic resonance images (4 mm apart) to make a threefold-enlarged plastic cast of a left

275 nasal cavity [5]. Computed tomography scans coupled with rapid prototyping technique allow

276 to elaborate models such as the silicon right nasal cavity developed by Kelly *et al.* [6].

277 Moreover, the plastic/silicon models [5,6] reconstructed from imaging data significantly

278 improve the biomimetic geometry of replicas. Some replica was created from a living person

279 (*i.e.* from CT scans of the nasal cavities of an adult volunteer) and presents both nasal cavities

280 for numerical simulations [19]. Nevertheless these plastic/silicon models point out specific

281 restrictions. Besides, the spatial resolution of imaging techniques (around some millimeters)

282 as well as the smoothing procedure imposed by the reconstruction process, could considerably

283 compromise the reliability of the very local anatomical details. Indeed, a three-dimensional

284 reconstruction made from CT scans images too spaced may prevent the capturing of thin

285 anatomical features, such as the maxillary sinus ostium whose internal diameter does not

286 exceed 2-5 mm. Thus, this lack of very thin anatomical details observed in plastic models can

287 strongly affect the replica behaviour especially in term of sinus ventilation or aerosol

288 deposition in sinus cavities.

289 Finally, it certainly makes more sense to compare plastinated nasal casts with other models

290 which are obtained from cadavers. As a matter of fact, these latter emphasize a high level of

291 anatomical features. Nevertheless, they also induce specific issues of time stability (*i.e.* a

292 relative short-term use to avoid tissue degradation) and biosecurity (*i.e.* with reference to

293 handling, transportation, formaldehyde vapours ...) [7]. All things considered, to carry out

294 dozens of experimental tests on a relatively long period (*e.g.* many months or years to develop

295 new prototypes of nebulizers and to assess their aerosol deposition in specific nasal regions of

296 a same human nose), the plastinated nasal cast is a very helpful tool. In other words the

297 plastinated cast appears as an interesting compromise between on the one hand the anatomical

298 quality of models from cadavers, and on the other hand biosecurity, stability and easy-to-use

299 of plastic nasal replicas.

300 But the plastinated nasal replica exhibits some constraints. It is obvious that the vasoactive

301 role of the mucosa present in living tissue can never be reproduced by plastination technique.

302 Besides, by contrast with plastic replica, nothing can be temporarily removed from such a

303 plastinated cast (*e.g.* the inferior turbinate or the uncinate process) to study the effects on flow

304 and cross-sectional. Only addition can be made, for instance to enhance the thickness of the

305 mucosa. However, to assess the impact of some anatomical parameters, "pathologic" nasal

306 specimens (with cartilaginous deviation, functional surgery designed to enlarge the nasal

307 fossa) can be plastinated and thus results are compared to data obtained on "normal"

308 plastinated nasal casts. We must underline that the main drawbacks of plastinated replicas are

309 the high-duration to elaborate one cast (around six months) as well as the specific plastination

310 know-how needing many years of operational experience. Considering this limitation, even if

311 approximately 150 laboratories in the world frequently use the plastination technique, the

plastinated nasal cast is not a tool which can be applied easily and quickly without previous

experiences on plastination procedures. Nevertheless, the authors build relationships with a

wide range of stakeholders and research groups to encourage and promote know-how transfer

and experience sharing. We hope that this knowledge dissemination activities dealing with

this specific plastination technique will allow the plastinated nasal casts to become more and

more accessible. However, even if plastination appears as a tedious and complex technique,

we support the conclusion that plastinated human nose casts allow to a significant scientific

breakthrough compared to existing experimental nasal replicas.

Conclusion

A novel anatomically realistic nasal cast with bilateral nasal cavities, based on plastination technique, was found suitable. We demonstrate that the nasal plastinated model allow to avoid tissue retraction as well as to well preserve anatomical details. The comparison of the geometric and aerodynamic characteristics of the specimen 3 with *in vivo* data clearly indicates that the plastinated cast acceptably match a decongestant healthy subject. All things considered, we succeed to develop a cast with similar advantages of models from cadavers (*e.g.* high anatomical quality, biomimetic airways geometry and airflow resistance) but without theirs specific drawbacks (e.g. low time-stability, biosecurity issues).

By way of conclusion, the development of anatomically realistic plastinated nose models with bilateral nasal cavities is certainly a valuable tool to bring a sound-knowledge of the accurate role of anatomical parameters (ostium morphology, functional endoscopic sinus surgery) to differential deposition of aerosols in the maxillary sinus by particle size, or to validate CFD software which will be used to predict the functional effect of a treatment (*e.g.* functional surgery designed to enlarge the nasal fossa …).

335 **References**

336 1. Bonfils P. L'aérosolthérapie par nebulisation en oto rhino laryngologie. *Ann. Otolaryngol.*

337 *Chir Cervicofac* 1997 ; 114:147-156.

338

339 2. Möller W, Schuschnig U, Meyer G, Mentzel H, Keller M. Ventilation and drug delivery to

340 the paranasal sinuses: studies in a nasal cast using pulsating airflow. *Rhinology* 2008; 46:213-

341 220.

342

343 3. Cakmak O, Celik H, Cankurtaran M, Buyuklu F, Ozgirgin N, Ozluoglu LN. Effects of

344 paranasal sinus ostia and volume on acoustic rhinometry measurements: a model study. *J*

345 *Appl Physiol* 2003; 94:1527-1535.

346

347 4. Maniscalco M, Sofia M, Weitzberg E, Lundberg JO. Sounding airflow enhances aerosol

348 delivery into paranasal sinuses. *Eur J Clin Invest* 2006; 36:509-513.

349

350 5. Schreck S, Sullivan KJ, Ho CM, Chang HK. Correlation between flow resistance and

351 geometry in a model of the human nose. *J Appl Physiol* 1993; 75:1767-1775.

352

353 6. Kelly JT, Prasad AK, Wexler AS. Detailed flow patterns in the nasal cavity. *J. Appl.*

354 *Physiol.* 2000; 51:5-19.

355

356 7. Hilton C, Wiedmann T, St Martin M, Humphrey B, Schleiffarth R, Rimel F. Differential

357 deposition of aerosols in the maxillary sinus of human cadavers by particle size. *Am J Rhinol*

358 2008; 22:395-398.

359

360   8. von Hagens G. Impregnation of soft biological specimens with thermosetting resins and

361   elastomer. *Anat Rec* 1979; 194 :247-255.

362

363   9. Durand M, Rusch P, Granjon D, Chantrel G, Prades JM, Dubois F, Esteves D, Pouget JF,

364   Martin C. Preliminary study of the deposition of aerosol in the maxillary sinuses using a

365   plastinated model. *J Aerosol Med* 2001; 14:83-93.

366

367

368

369   10. Croce C, Fodil R, Durand M, Sbirlea-apiou G, Caillibotte G, Papon JF, Blondeau JR,

370   Coste A, Isabey D, Louis B. In vitro experiments and numerical simulations of airflow in

371   realistic nasal airway geometry. *Ann Biomed Eng* 2006; 34:997-1007.

372

373   11. Louis B, Glass G, Kresen B, Fredberg. Airway area by acoustic reflexion: the two-

374   microphone method. *J Biomech Eng* 1993; 115:278-285.

375

376   12. Cankurtaran M, Celik H, Cakmak O, Ozluoglu LN. Effects of the nasal valve on acoustic

377   rhinometry measurements: a model study. *J Appl Physiol* 2003; 94:2166-2172.

378

379   13. Cole P. Acoustic rhinometry and rhinomanometry. *Rhinology* 2000; 16: 29-34

380

381   14. Tomkinson A, Eccles R, acoustic rhinometry: an explanation of some common artefacts

382   associated with nasal decongestion. *Clin Otolaryngol Allied Sci* 1998; 23:20-26.

383

384   15. Corey JP, Gungor A, Nelson R, Fredberg J, Lai V, A comparison of the nasal cross-

385   sectional areas and volumes obtained with acoustic rhinometry and magnetic resonance

386   imaging, *Otolaryngol.* Head Neck *Surg* 1997; 117:349-354.

387

388   16. Cole P. Rhinomanometry 1988 – practice and trends. *Laryngoscope*, 1989; 99:311-315.

389

390   17. Coste A, Lofaso F, d'Ortho MP, Louis B, Dahan E, Peynegre R, Harf A. Protruding the

391   tongue improves posterior rhinomanometry in obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome. *Eur Respir*

392   *J* 1999; 14:1278-1282.

393

394   18. Soga T, Nakata S, Yasuma F, Noda A, Sugiura T, Yatsuya H, Koike Y, Ozaki N,

395   Nakashima T. Upper airways morphology in patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome:

396   effects of lateral positioning, *Auris Nasus Larynx,* 2009; 36:305-309.

397

398   19. Pless et al. Numerical simulation is a method displaying a real environment within a

399   computional model. *Am J Rhino* 2004; 18: 357

400   Table 1

| Main stages of the plastination process	Keypoints of each stage	Global duration of each stage
Anatomical sampling	Post-mortem deadline within 24 hours	~ 3 hours
Lateral section of specimens	Freezing at -80°C	~ 3 days
Fixation and dissection	Embalming by immersion at 5°C in a 10 % formaldehyde solution	~ 3 months
Dehydration and degreasing	At least 4 successive baths of pure acetone at -25°C	~ 1-2 months
Polymer vacuum-forced impregnation	Immersion of the specimen in a silicon bath at -25°C, well-controlled depression applied	~ 10-20 days
Polymer hardening	Two step curing process and frequently manicured of the specimen when polymer oozing	~ 2-3 months

401

402   Table 1: Overall description of the plastination procedure developed.

403

404

Figure 1

405

406   Figure 1: Example of three plastinated nasal models elaborated thanks to our specific

407   plastination procedure.

408

Figure 2

411   Figure 2: Nasofiberoscopy examination of the plastinated specimen 2. Observation of a

412   *concha bullosa* of the middle turbinates on the right nasal cavity

Figure 3

415   Figure 3: Virtual endoscopy from CT scans of the plastinated specimen 2: Posterior view of

416   choanae, visualization of nasal wall as well as the middle and inferior turbinates.

Figure 4

417

418

419   Figure 4: CT scans performed on the specimen 3. Observation of the high preservation of the

420   mucosa and of the different morphology of the maxillary ostia on both side.

421 Figure 5

422

423   Figure 5: Comparison of acoustic rhinometry results obtained on specimens 2 and 3.

424

Table 2

	Specimen 2	Specimen 3
Bilateral	0.115 Pa.s.cm^{-3}	0.13 Pa.s.cm^{-3}
Right	0.21 Pa.s.cm^{-3}	0.18 Pa.s.cm^{-3}
left	0.16 Pa.s.cm^{-3}	0.18 Pa.s.cm^{-3}

425

426   Table 2: Comparison of airflow resistance investigated by rhinomanometry obtained on

427   specimens 2 and 3.

428
429