

Comparison of RBE-weighted doses for different ion types in various tissue type combinations

R. Grün^{1,2,3}, T. Friedrich¹, M. Krämer¹, K. Zink^{2,3}, M. Durante^{1,4}, R. Engenhart-Cabillic³, and M. Scholz¹

¹GSI, Darmstadt, Germany; ²Institute of Medical Physics and Radiation Protection, TH-Mittelhessen, Gießen, Germany; ³Medical Faculty of Philipps-University Marburg, Germany; ⁴TU Darmstadt, Germany

Introduction: The advantage of particle therapy is the inverse depth profile of the ions compared to conventional X-ray irradiation with a higher dose to the tumor region as compared to the surrounding normal tissue. For particle therapy the application of different ion types offer different physical and biological advantages. The purpose of this study is to assess the advantages of ions interesting for particle therapy, i.e. carbon (¹²C), helium (⁴He) and protons (¹H) for the particular treatment cases of radioresistant tumors and radiosensitive normal tissue, commonly parametrized by the ratio of the parameters α and β from the linear-quadratic (LQ) model with $\alpha/\beta = 2$ Gy in the tumor region (T) and 10 Gy in the normal tissue (N), and vice versa.

Methods: A treatment planning analysis based on idealized target geometries was performed using the treatment planning software TRiP98 [1]. For the prediction of the relative biological effectiveness (RBE) that is required for biological optimization in treatment planning the Local Effect Model (LEMIV) was used [2]. To compare the three ion types the peak-to-entrance ratio (PER) was determined for the physical dose (PER_{PHYS}), the RBE (PER_{RBE}) and the RBE-weighted dose (PER_{BIO}) resulting for different dose-levels, field configurations and tissue types. The peak position value, i.e. dose or RBE, is determined in the center of a 50 mm spread out Bragg peak (SOBP) and the entrance channel (EC) position value at 20 mm proximal from the SOBP.

Results: Figure 1 shows that the advantages of the ions, expressed by a high PER_{BIO} , depend on the physical and biological properties and the interplay of both [3]. In the case of protons the consideration of a variable RBE instead of the clinically applied generic RBE of 1.1 indicates an increased PER_{BIO} due to an increased PER_{RBE} for the analyzed configuration. The blue line at a PER_{RBE} of 1.0 in fig.1 refers to a generic RBE of 1.1 for protons and marks the typical range of the PER_{PHYS} due to the depth modulation method [4]. Carbon ions show the largest variation of the PER_{RBE} with tissue type and dose and a benefit for radioresistant tumor types due to their higher LET. Helium ions show an intermediate PER_{PHYS} and PER_{RBE} for the α/β -ratio combination of $2_T, 10_N$. In contrast, for the α/β -ratio combination of $10_T, 2_N$ the three ion types show similar PER_{BIO} , thus indicating no ion type and dose dependence for this tissue type combination.




Figure 1: PER_{RBE} versus PER_{PHYS} in the case of a 1-field irradiation for protons (blue), helium (green) and carbon (red) at two different optimized RBE-weighted doses of 2 Gy (RBE) (closed symbols) and 5 Gy (RBE) (open symbols), respectively. Left: α/β -ratio combination of $2_T, 10_N$. Right: α/β -ratio combination of $10_T, 2_N$. Lines of a constant PER_{BIO} are added to guide the eye.

Conclusion: The study demonstrated that there is no unique choice concerning the optimal ion for radiotherapy. The expected therapeutic advantage strongly depends on biological as well as physical factors in combination with the treatment field configuration. Protons show a favourable physical depth dose profile compared to helium and carbon ions and are superior especially for radiosensitive tumors. Carbon ions are characterized by a high PER_{RBE} which is particularly pronounced at lower doses and for radioresistant tumors and are most beneficial in a single field treatment. Helium ions gain from both, the PER_{PHYS} and PER_{RBE} and favour of an intermediate PER_{BIO} . Further, for the tissue type combinations with an α/β -ratio of $10_T, 2_N$ the ion type and dose dependence for the case of a 1-field irradiation is negligible since the PER_{RBE} of helium and carbon ions is dumped and eventually similar to that of protons which seems rather independent on the tissue type combination.

References:

- [1] M. Krämer and M. Scholz, Phys Med Biol, (2000) **45**:3319.
- [2] T. Elsässer et al., Int J Radiat Onc Biol Phys (2010) **78**:1177.
- [3] R. Grün et al., Med Phys, (2015) **42**:1037.
- [4] B. Arjomandy et al., Phys Med Biol (2009) **54**:295.