# EFFECTS OF SEWAGE SLUDGE ON THE YIELD OF PLANTS IN THE ROTATION SYSTEM OF WHEAT-WHITE HEAD CABBAGE-TOMATO

#### Mehmet Arif ÖZYAZICI<sup>1</sup>

e-mail: arifozyazici@hotmail.com

#### **Abstract**

This research was carried to determine the effects of sewage sludge applications on the yield and yield components of plants under crop rotation system. The field experiments were conducted in the Bafra Plain, located in the north region of Turkey. In this research, the "wheat-white head cabbage-tomato" crop rotation system have been examined and the same crop rotation has been repeated in two separate years and field trials have been established. Seven treatments were compared: a control without application of sludge nor nitrogen fertilization, a treatment without sludge, but nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization, applied at before sowing of wheat and five treatments where, respectively 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 tons sludge ha<sup>-1</sup>. The experimental design was a randomized complete block with three replications. The results showed that all the yield components of wheat and yield of white head cabbage and tomato increased significantly with increasing rates of sewage sludge as compared to control. As a results, 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of sewage sludge application could be recommended the suitable dose for the rotation of wheat-white head cabbage-tomato in soil and climatic conditions of Bafra Plain.

Key words: sewage sludge, tomato, wheat, white head cabbage

The generation of sewage is increasing due to rapid urbanization. The municipalities all over the world are concerned with safe and feasible methods of its disposal. The current methods for disposal include land filling, incineration, dumping in sea and field application for agricultural use. Incineration and land filling are not popular because of the high cost and environmental hazards involved. Therefore, the only viable option left for sludge management is its utilization in agriculture as a source of organic matter and plant nutrients, which is perhaps the most convenient and feasible practice of its disposal.

The motivation for recycling of sewage sludge to agricultural soil is the low cost of this disposal method, the soil organic matter preservation effect and the fertilization effect. Sewage sludge (biosolids), which is enriched in nitrogen, phosphorus, organic matter and other trace elements, represents a good source of nutrients for plant growth and a good soil conditioner to improve soil physical properties.

Due to its high organic matter content, sewage sludge can improve physical, chemical, and biological properties of soil (Stamatiadis et al. 1999; Aggelides and Londra 2000; Benitez et al. 2001; Selivanovskaya et al. 2001; McBride 2003; Sanchéz-Monedero et al. 2004; Gonzáles-Pérez

Martha et al. 2006; Zhang et al. 2007; Alcantara et al. 2009; Angin and Yağanoğlu 2009). Thus, sludge application helps to reduce soil erosion and improves the soil quality as a plant growth medium. The fertilizer effect enables a reduction in cost for nitrogen and phosphorus mineral fertilizers and may improve crops yield on sludge treated fields (Wild and Jones 1991; Petersen et al. 2003).

Considerable research has been accomplished worldwide on the use of sewage sludge on soil and crop. In many investigations with different climatic and soils conditions have reported a substantial increase in plant growth, crop yield and biomass production upon sewage sludge application (Azam and Lodhi 2001; Chatha et al. 2002; Bozkurt and Yarılgaç 2003; Mohammad and Athamneh 2004; Dursan et al. 2005; Casado-Vela et al. 2006 and 2007; Jamil et al. 2006; Samaras et al. 2008; Togay et al. 2008; Angın and Yaganoğlu 2011).

However, the main problems of an excessive application of sewage sludge are plant toxicity due to accumulation of heavy metals in soils (Jarausch-Wehrheim et al. 1999; McGrath et al. 2000) but also the increase in its salt content (Hao and Chang 2003). Sewage sludge can substitute for commercial fertilizers and organic matter if applied in the right amounts to soil. By using sludge, it is a

.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Black Sea Agricultural Research Institute-SAMSUN-TURKEY

possibility for farmers to supply their lands with organic fertilizer at low costs. Therefore, recycling of sludge for agricultural purpose seems to be an appealing solution for sustainable management of sludge.

This study was conducted in order to determine the effects of different doses of municipal sewage sludge on yield and some yield components in the rotation of wheat-white head cabbage-tomato.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### Location of the research areas

The investigation was carried out in the Bafra Plain, located in the north region of Turkey (longitude 35° 30'-36° 11' E, latitude 41° 26'-41° 45'N, and altitude 7 m). Investigations conducted during 2002-2005 at the Samsun Black Sea Agricultural Research Institute have followed the influence of different sludge rates on yield agricultural characteristics. In this research, the "wheat-white head cabbage-tomato" crop rotation system was examined and the same crop rotation was repeated in two separate years and field trials were established.

The experimental region has a semiarid climate with long-term mean annual minimum and maximum temperatures of 10.3°C and 18.3°C, relative humidity of 74.8%, and annual precipitation of 737.4 mm.

#### Soil and sewage sludge properties

Some characteristics of sewage sludge used in the experiment and soils are given in Table 1. In general, the experimental soils were clayed in texture, slightly alkaline in reaction (pH), moderate level calcareous and organic matter. The soils showed no signs of salinity problem, available phosphorus (P) content was at poor level, available potassium (K) content was high (Ülgen and Yurtsever, 1995) (Table 1).

Sewage sludge material used in the research was provided from Bafra Municipality Wastewater Treatment Facility (Samsun-Turkey). Treatment facility was designed according to the long aerobic active sludge system process. When Table 2 is examined, it can be seen that the sewage sludge material contains, 4.50-5.25% N, 1.95-2.23% P and 0.36-0.64% K. pH was 6.49-7.55, EC content was 7.11-8.00 dS m<sup>-1</sup> and total Fe was 0.91-1.42%.

According to the Regulation on Control of Soil Pollution which was promulgated in Turkey tolerated maximum heavy metal rates that can be included in the sewage sludge that will be used in the soil are determined as follows: 750 ppm for lead, 10 ppm for cadmium, 1000 ppm for chrome, 1000 ppm for copper, 300 ppm for nickel and 2500 ppm for zinc (in oven in dry soil) (Anonymous, 2010). According to that; when the heavy metal contents in the sewage sludge to be used in soil are examined, it has been determined that it contained less heavy metal content values included in "Regulation on Control of Soil Pollution". In addition, Fecal Coli content that limits the usage has not been determined in the results of microbiological analysis of sewage sludge.

#### Plant and inorganic fertilizer materials

In the research Panda has been used as the variety of wheat. Local variety is used for white headed cabbage seed and it is very widespread in the region. Tomato variety 5656 F1 was used as a plant material. The form of inorganic fertilizer was used as ammonium sulfate (21% N) and triple super phosphate (43-44%  $P_2O_5$ ).

#### **Experimental design**

The experiment was conducted using a complete randomized block design with three replications in 21 plots, each measuring 56.40 m<sup>2</sup> (5.64 m x 10 m), with a separation strip of 1 m between them. Each plot was randomly assigned to receive one of seven treatments as follows:

Control: (a control without application of sludge nor nitrogen fertilization)

S1: 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> sewage sludge S2: 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> sewage sludge S3: 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup> sewage sludge S4: 40 t ha<sup>-1</sup> sewage sludge S5: 50 t ha<sup>-1</sup> sewage sludge

Fertilizer (NP): (a treatment without sludge, nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization: for wheat 200 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and 90 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup>, for white headed cabbage 130 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and 100 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup>, for tomato 130 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. Since the soils were rich in potassium (Table 1), K was not applied).

Mineral nitrogen application rates were based on the agronomic nitrogen requirement of wheat. white headed cabbage and tomato (Deniz and Özdemir 1980; Özdemir and Güner 1983a, 1983b).

In NP treatment, since beneficial phosphorus enough for tomato has been found in the sample soil examined after cabbage cropping period phosphorus fertilizer was not applied to the tomato.

### Field applications

The dates of important cultural practices applied in the experiment are given in Table 2. Sewage sludge were applied to mixed in the depth of soil 20 cm by hand using a shovel before sowing of wheat which is the first plant of crop rotation.

Wheat sowing was made with aerobic combined grain seeder 180 kg of seed were sowed per hectare. Plot size was 5.64m x 10m=56.40 m<sup>2</sup>. The seedlings of white head cabbage and tomato were spaced 5.00m x 9.75m and 5.60m x 9.60 m in the field, respectively. Row spacing was 100 cm (white head cabbage) and 140 cm (tomato).

In NP treatment, half of the nitrogenous fertilizer was applied before wheat sowing and before planting of white headed cabbage and tomato the other was applied when at middle tillering stage of wheat, at 2nd hoe of white head cabbage and at the fruits are seen of tomato. All phosphorus fertilizer was used during sowing/planting time.

All recommended cultural practices such as irrigation, eradication of weeds and plant protection were adopted uniformly according to standard crop requirements.

The wheat harvesting was done by cutting with a sickle. Crop of each plot was harvested separately. Threshing was done by a small plot thresher and cleaned using experimental winnowing machine. After threshing the grains were dried and weight to record the grain yield. The weights of grain and straw per plot were converted to hectare basis. The cabbages were harvested on the 80th day of cultivation (seedling plantation), and they were weighed for total commercial biomass. Outer dirty or broken leaves were removed to obtain the commercial biomass, and the head circle of the commercial products was

measured. The tomato harvesting was done by hand. Total marketable yield in kg and harvested number of fruits per plot was recorded. Based on the surface of the plot and the yield in kg, yield in kg per hectare was calculated.

Characteristics of soil and sewage sludge used in the study

Table 1

| Soil   |  |  | Sewage sludge                    |  |  |
|--|--|--|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Parameters   | 1 <sup>st</sup> period<br>trial material | 2 <sup>nd</sup> period<br>trial material | Parameters                       | 1 <sup>st</sup> period<br>trial material | 2 <sup>nd</sup> period<br>trial material |
| Clay, %  | 56.3                                     | 57.1                                     | Solid Substance,%                | 40                                       | 87                                       |
| Silt, %  | 36.2                                     | 35.6                                     | Combustion Loss, %               | 61                                       | 73                                       |
| Sand, %  | 7.6                                      | 8.2                                      | рН                               | 7.55                                     | 6.49                                     |
| Textural Class   | clayed                                   | clayed                                   | EC 25 °C, dSm <sup>-1</sup>      | 7.11                                     | 8.00                                     |
| рН   | 7.80                                     | 7.93                                     | Total N, %                       | 4.50                                     | 5.25                                     |
| Electrical Conductivity (EC),<br>dSm <sup>-1</sup>             | 2.638                                    | 1.953                                    | Total P, %                       | 2.23                                     | 1.95                                     |
| Calcareous (CaCO <sub>3</sub> ), %                             | 5.5                                      | 5.8                                      | Total K, %                       | 0.64                                     | 0.36                                     |
| Organic Matter, %  | 2.77                                     | 2.76                                     | C/N                              | 8  | 8  |
| Available P, kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> | 30                                       | 30                                       | Total Fe, %                      | 1.42                                     | 0.91                                     |
| Available K, kg K₂O ha <sup>-1</sup>                           | 990                                      | 900                                      | Total metal concentrations (ppm) |  | om)                                      |
| Total metal conc   | entrations (ppm)                         | )  | Mn 812                           |  | 986                                      |
| Cu   | 55.14                                    | 35.60                                    | Cu                               | 136                                      | 120                                      |
| Zn   | 83.38                                    | 58.81                                    | Zn                               | 718                                      | 926                                      |
| Cd   | 0.12                                     | 1.37                                     | Cd                               | 1.5                                      | 1.1                                      |
| Cr   | 54.40                                    | 54.92                                    | Cr                               | 45                                       | 39                                       |
| Ni   | 175.00                                   | 150.00                                   | Ni                               | 57                                       | 42                                       |
| Pb   | 1.47                                     | 16.72                                    | Pb                               | 35±9                                     | 37                                       |

Dates of cultural practices in field experiments

Table 2

| Dates of cultural practices in field experiments                              |                               |  |  |            |                                  |  |
|---|-------------------------------|--|--|------------|----------------------------------|--|
| A   | 1st period field experimental |  | 2nd period field experimental  |            |                                  |  |
| Agricultural operations   | Wheat                         | White head cabbage                     | Tomato   | Wheat      | White head cabbage               | Tomato   |
| Sewage<br>sludge<br>application   | 23.10.2002                    |  |  | 13.10.2003 |                                  |  |
| Sowing/<br>planting   | 18.11.2002                    | 30.07.2003                             | 15.05.2004   | 10.11.2003 | 19.08.2004                       | 12.05.2005   |
| The second<br>half of the<br>application<br>time of<br>nitrogen<br>fertilizer | 13.03.2003                    | 09.09.2003                             | 23.06.2004   | 15.03.2004 | 01.10.2004                       | 15.06.2005   |
| Hoe   |                               | 1st:12.08.2003<br>2nd:09.09.2003       | 1st:31.05.2004<br>2nd:22.06.2004<br>3rd:09.07.2004   |            | 1st:15.09.2004<br>2nd:01.10.2004 | 1st:30.05.2005<br>2nd:15.06.2005<br>3rd:12.07.2005   |
| Harvest   | 03.07.2003                    | 22.10.2003<br>06.11.2003<br>20.11.2003 | 26.07.2004<br>02.08.2004<br>06.08.2004<br>11.08.2004<br>16.08.2004<br>20.08.2004<br>24.08.2004<br>31.08.2004 | 08.07.2004 | 07.12.2004<br>31.12.2004         | 21.07.2005<br>26.07.2005<br>01.08.2005<br>09.08.2005<br>16.08.2005<br>23.08.2005<br>01.09.2005 |

#### Plant measurements and analysis

Data of wheat were recorded for grain yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and straw yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), 1000-grain weight (1000 GW) (g), grain protein percentage (%). For 1000-grain weight, two samples of thousand grains were counted from threshed clean lot of each treatment, their weight was taken and average calculated (Kün, 1983). Total nitrogen content of wheat grains was determined by micro-Kjeldahl method. The protein content was determined from per

cent total nitrogen multiplied by 5.85 (Kacar and İnal, 2008).

Data of cabbage and tomato were recorded for total head and fruit yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), respectively.

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted using the MSTAT-C statistical package. The F test was then applied to examine the statistical significance of differences among treatments. Statistical analysis of the two years data was done at 1% or 5% level of

probability using Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) to test the difference between the individual means (Yurtsever, 1984).

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

## Effects of sewage sludge on the yield and yield components of wheat

The data recorded on grain yield, straw yield, grain protein percentage and 1000-grain weight are presented in Table 3. It revealed that all the different doses of sewage sludge increased the grain yield over control significantly (p<0.01). In the both periods, significantly (p<0.01) highest grain yield (5895 and 4962 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2003 and

2004, respectively) was observed in the S2 treatments followed by application of chemical fertilizer (5382 and 4107 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively of years). The grain yield increases obtained in the first period in wheat, by applying rates of 20 t/ha sewage sludge, were of 2445 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (70%), compared to untreated control. However, nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers resulted in yield increases of 1932 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (56%). Similar results was obtained in the second period field experiment. In research, minimum grain yield was recorded in the control (3450 and 1828 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2003 and 2004, respectively) (Table 3).

Table 3

Effects of different doses of sewage sludge and chemical fertilizer on grain yield, straw yield,
grain protein percentage and 1000-grain weight of wheat

|  | Grain                  | n yield     | Straw                  | yield      | Grain       | protein     | 1000-gra   | ain weight            |
|--|------------------------|-------------|------------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-----------------------|
|  | (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |             | (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) |            | percentage  |             | (g)        |                       |
|  |                        |             |                        |            | (%          | <b>%</b> )  |            |                       |
| Treatments   | Crop rotat             | ion periods | Crop rotati            | on periods | Crop rotati | on periods  | Crop rotat | ion periods           |
|  | 2002-                  | 2003-       | 2002-                  | 2003-      | 2002-       | 2003-       | 2002-      | 2003-                 |
|  | 2004                   | 2005        | 2004                   | 2005       | 2004        | 2005        | 2004       | 2005                  |
|  | periods                | periods     | periods                | periods    | periods     | periods     | periods    | periods               |
| Control  | 3450 f                 | 1828 d      | 5596 c                 | 1971 d     | 11.64 c     | 11.46 c     | 39.67 c    | 33.67 d               |
| S1   | 5074 c                 | 0707 5 -    | 7740 b                 | C000 ha    | 40.75 h     | 11.91       | 41.40      | 36.80                 |
| 31   | 5074 C                 | 3727 bc     | 7740 b                 | 6292 bc    | 13.75 b     | bc          | abc        | bcd                   |
| S2   | 5895 a                 | 5 a 4962 a  | 8388<br>ab             | 6671 bc    | 14.23       | 13.87<br>ab | 43.13 a    | 43.90 a               |
| 32   | 3093 a                 |             |                        |            | ab          |             |            |                       |
| S3   | 4471 d                 | 4060 bc     | 8421                   | 7882       | 15.31       | 12.30       | 41.13 bc   | 37.80 bc              |
| 33   | 447 T U                | 4000 DC     | ab                     | ab         | ab          | bc          | 41.13 00   | 37.80 bc              |
| S4   | 4471 d                 | 3751 bc     | 8903 a                 | 9117 a     | 15.55 a     | 14.60 a     | 41.30 bc   | 36.03                 |
| 0-1  | 447 T G                | 3731 00     | 0303 a                 | 3117 a     | 10.00 a     | 14.00 a     | +1.50 bc   | bcd                   |
| S5   | 3887 e                 | 3538 c      | 8018                   | 8523 a     | 15.86 a     | 14.61 a     | 41.70      | 34.87 cd              |
| 00   | 3007 6                 | 3330 C      | ab                     | 0323 a     | 15.00 a     | 14.01 a     | ab         | 3 <del>4</del> .07 ca |
| Fertilizer   | 5382 b                 | 4107 b      | 7574 b                 | 5461 c     | 14.43       | 13.90       | 42.63      | 40.03 ab              |
| (NP)   | 3302 b                 | 4107.0      |                        |            | ab          | ab          | ab         | +0.05 ab              |
| F Values   |                        |             |                        |            |             |             |            |                       |
|  | 3.79**                 | 64.15**     | 21.34**                | 45.13**    | 17.44**     | 7.92**      | 4.60*      | 16.68**               |
| Means followed by the same letter in a column are not statistically different    |                        |             |                        |            |             |             |            |                       |
| (Duncan tetst, P≤0.05), *: Significant with P≤0.05; **: Significant with P≤ 0.01 |                        |             |                        |            |             |             |            |                       |

Sewage sludge addition significantly (P<0.01) increased straw yield in comparison to control and chemical fertilizer treated wheat in both periods. In the first period, maximum straw yield (8903 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained from the treatment receiving 40 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of sewage sludge followed by 8421 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> from the treatment receiving 30 t ha<sup>-1</sup> sewage sludge, which were statistically at par with the treatment receiving 20 and 50 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of sewage sludge. The highest straw yield (9117 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in the S4 treatment, and there was no significant difference between the straw yields for the S5 and the S3 treatments during 2004. The minimum straw vield (5596 and 1971 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2003 and 2004, respectively) was obtained from the control treatment (Table 3).

Sewage sludge and nitrogen applications had a favorable effect on the crop N uptake. Sewage

sludge, due to its high nitrogen content, statistically significantly (P<0.01) increased in the grain protein percentages with the increasing rates of it. The highest grain protein percentage (15.86 and 14.61% in 2003 and 2004, respectively) was obtained in the S5 treatments. However, the difference between this application and that based on S2, S3, S4, S5 and NP were found to be statistically insignificant during both years. The lowest grain protein percentages (11.64 and 11.46% in 2003 and 2004, respectively) was recorded in the control plots (Table 3).

The data pertaining to the 1000-grain weight as affected by different levels of sewage sludge revealed significant effect in comparison with the control treatment. The highest 1000-grain weight (43.13 and 43.90 g in 2003 and 2004, respectively) was recorded in the S2 treatments, and in 2003 it was statistically similar to the S5, S1 and NP

treatments. There was no significant difference between the 1000-grain weights for the S2 and the NP treatments during 2004. In the both periods, the lowest (39.67 and 33.67 g, respectively) 1000-grain weight were obtained from the application of control treatments (Table 3).

## Effects of sewage sludge on the yield of white head cabbage

The different levels of sewage sludge application had a significant (p<0.01) effect on the head yield of white head cabbage. In first period, the highest head yield (67879 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained in the S4 treatment, and there was no significant difference between the head yields for the S3 and the S2 treatments. In second periods, the highest head yield (56700 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was determined in the S4 treatments, and it was statistically similar to the S2, S3, S5 and NP treatments. The minimum head yield was found

from the control plots during both periods (Table 4).

### Effects of sewage sludge on the fruit yield of tomato

There were significant (p<0.01) difference in fruit yield of tomato with the application of the different rates of sewage sludge in the both periods. The fruit yield of tomato increased with increasing rates of sewage sludge. The highest fruit yield was recorded in the S3 (61990 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) treatment, and there was no significant difference between the fruit yields for the S2, S4, S5 and NP treatments in first period. In second periods, the highest fruit yield was obtained in the S5 (76828 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) treatments, and it was statistically similar to the S2, S3 and S4 treatments. The treatment of control resulted the lowest fruit yield (34269 and 27650 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) during 2002–04 and 2003–05, respectively (Table 5).

Effects of different doses of sewage sludge and chemical fertilizer

Table 4

| on head yield of white head cabbage (kg ha )                                     |                       |                   |  |  |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Treatments   | Crop rotation periods |                   |  |  |
|  | 2002-2004 periods     | 2003-2005 periods |  |  |
| Control  | 40687 c               | 28822 c           |  |  |
| S1   | 58061 b               | 46465 b           |  |  |
| S2   | 60727 ab              | 55219 a           |  |  |
| S3   | 60727 ab              | 56027 a           |  |  |
| S4   | 67879 a               | 56700 a           |  |  |
| S5   | 55758 b               | 51448 a           |  |  |
| Fertilizer (NP)  | 52647 b               | 47811 a           |  |  |
| F Values   |                       |                   |  |  |
|  | 23.06**               | 23.61**           |  |  |
| Means followed by the same letter in a column are not statistically different    |                       |                   |  |  |
| (Duncan tetst, P≤0.05), *: Significant with P≤0.05; **: Significant with P≤ 0.01 |                       |                   |  |  |

Table 5 Effects of different doses of sewage sludge and chemical fertilizer on fruit yield of tomato (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

| Treatments      | Crop rotation periods |                   |  |  |  |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
|                 | 2002-2004 periods     | 2003-2005 periods |  |  |  |
| Control         | 34269 c               | 27650 c           |  |  |  |
| S1              | 47066 b               | 53146 b           |  |  |  |
| S2              | 61352 a               | 68863 a           |  |  |  |
| S3              | 61990 a               | 69643 a           |  |  |  |
| S4              | 57866 ab              | 72378 a           |  |  |  |
| S5              | 52551 ab              | 76828 a           |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer (NP) | 55230 ab              | 48427 bc          |  |  |  |
| F Values        |                       |                   |  |  |  |
|                 | 11.04**               | 82.07**           |  |  |  |

Means followed by the same letter in a column are not statistically different (Duncan tetst,  $P \le 0.05$ ), \*: Significant with  $P \le 0.05$ ; \*\*: Significant with  $P \le 0.01$ 

Grain yield, straw yield, grain protein percentage and 1000-grain weight of wheat significantly increased with sludge addition in this study. Grain yield increases, obtained during 2002-2005, by applying the rate of 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> sewage sludge, were of 2445-3134 (71-171%) (respectively of periods) in wheat. The increases noted in grain yield and in the yield associated

variables are due to the high concentrations of nitrogen, phosphorus and micronutrients of the sewage sludge applied (Naggar and El-Ghamry, 2001). Arlıncăı et al. (2010) reported that the mean wheat yield increase after applying 20 t/ha sewage sludge was of 1448 kg/ha (72 %), compared to the untreated control. Our results were in agreement with the findings of these researchers. Azam and

Lodhi (2001) found that in their study with wheat the above ground plant components responded positively to the application of both fertilizer N and Sewage biosolid. In this experiment, similar results were obtained with Naggar and El-Ghamry (2001) and Bilgin et al. (2004).

Hernandez et al. (1991), Jamil et al. (2004), Jamil et al. (2006) and Tamrabet et al. (2009) reported that sewage sludge increased the grain yield and straw production of wheat. They mentioned that the maximum yields in both grain and straw were obtained at 40 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of sewage sludge application. Al- Mustafa et al. (1995), Singh and Singh (1999), Al Zoubi et al. (2008) and Aılıncăı et al. (2010) also reported highest increase in the grain and straw yield of wheat treated with sewage sludge.

The results of this study corroborated results from others investigations. Sabey and Hart (1975) reported that addition of sewage sludges at the rate of 0, 25, 50, 100 and 125 t ha-1 to loamy sand affected the germination of sorghum, Sudan grass and pearl millet but sowing of wheat after three months later resulted in increased yield of wheat. Tsadilas et al. (1995) studied the influence of sewage sludge application on soil properties and growth of wheat and maize under pot house conditions. Wheat and maize responded well to sludge application. Bouzerzour et al.(2002) reported that the application of sewage sludge increased leaves dimensions, leaf area index, accumulated above ground dry matter, tillering capacity and plant height of barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) and oat (Avena sativa L.) genotypes, evaluated in pots experiment. Antolin et al. (2005) reported that application of sewage sludge increased barley grain yield because the soil amended had improved microbiological properties, which promoted the recycling of nutrients for the crop. Akdeniz et al. (2006) reported that sewage sludge application positively affected grain yield, leaf nitrogen, harvest index, and total N uptake of sorghum more than chemical fertilizer, except for dry matter yield. Khan et al. (2007) reported that with the increased application of sewage sludge increased wheat grain and total dry matter yield. They mentioned that the maximum yields were obtained at 80 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup> of sewage sludge application. This application rate was not recommendable because of very high rate and to avoid the possible risk of metals uptake and accumulation in the soil. The recommendable rate is 40 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

As a results, nitrogen content and uptake of wheat were increased by applications of inorganic nitrogen fertilizer and sewage sludge. Sewage sludge applications affected nitrogen content and uptake of plant more than inorganic nitrogen fertilizer. This results indicated that some of nitrogen requirement of plant can be provided by using sewage sludge. The higher grain protein of the sludge treatments appears to be the result of the greater available soil N levels at the latter part of the growing season (Lerch et al., 1990). These results agree with Lerch et al. (1990), who reported increased grain protein content for sewage sludge application. Mamo et al. (1999) reported that plant N uptake increased with sewage sludge application and N fertilization. Naggar and El-Ghamry (2001) reported that a significant increase in plant N content of wheat was found in biosolid treated soils as compared with the Control. Yağmur et al. (2005) reported that sewage sludge and mineral fertilizers treated plants had higher seed proteins than did the Control seeds.

It has been indicated in various researches that the municipal sewage sludge applied in increasing amounts increase the plant growth and nitrogen content of various plants which have been subjected to testing (Menelik et al. 1991; El-Dawwey 1993; Mohammad and Battikhi 1997; Arcak et al. 2000; Şensoy et al. 2000; Bozkurt et al. 2001).

This increase in 1000-grain weight may also be the result of improvement in the soil fertility due to sewage sludge application. Barbarick et al. (1998), Elsokkary and Salam (1998), Jamil et al. (2004), Khan et al. (2007) and Tamrabet et al. (2009) have also reported similar findings.

Nutrients contained in sludge increase plant biomass and yield (Snyman et al. 1998; Brofas et al. 2000; Cogger et al. 2001). In our results, fruit yield of tomato plant and head yield of white head cabbage were increased by the increasing applications of sewage sludge rates for each years. Önal et al. (2003) reported that plant dry matter and fruit yield, mineral contents including N, P, K, Ca and Mg in fruits of tomato plant were increased by the increasing applications of sewage sludge rates. Many investigators have reported a substantial increase in plant growth and fruit yield of tomato upon sewage sludge application (Kalembasa 1996; Navarro-Pedreno et al. 1996; Pedreno et al. 1996; Perez-Espinosa et al. 1999; Topcuoğlu et al. 2001). Wei and Liu (2005) reported that the yields of Chinese cabbage generated positive response to the sewage sludge compost application. El-Dewiny et al. (2006) showed that dry weight of radish and spinach plants increased with application of sewage sludge.

The experimental results showed that yield and yield components of wheat, yield of white head cabbage and tomato increased significantly with increasing rates of sewage sludge over control, which shows that sewage sludge have a beneficial effect for the rotation of crop. The studies further elucidated that 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> sewage sludge was the suitable dose for the rotation of wheat-white head cabbage-tomato in soil and climatic conditions of Bafra Plain.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Sewage sludge application to agricultural land has been a widely accepted practice during recent years. Its use in agricultural land is promoted because it is considered that it will solve not only the problem of disposal but also will increase productivity in agriculture. However, negative effects of sewage sludge such as elevated heavy metal levels resulting from the usage of sewage sludge must also be taken into consideration (Smith, 1996). Sewage sludge containing pathogenic organisms should be handled and applied in a proper manner to reduce the risks to human and animal health.

At levels above the agronomic recommended rate, however, the potential for negative externalities may be quite substantial. Monitoring the soil periodically for nutrient levels would be prudent to avoid any excess levels on N or other plant nutrient. More continuous long-term experiments are needed to improve understanding of the effects of sewage sludge on soil fertility and crop yield to contribute to the development of sustainable agricultural practices.

### **REFERENCES**

- Aggelides, S.M., P.A. Londra, 2000. Effects of compost produced from town wastes and sewage sludge on the physical properties of a loamy and a clay soil, Bioresour. Technol. 71, 253-259.
- Ailincăi, C., G. Jităreanu, D. Ailincăi, A. Balan, 2010.

  Influence of some organic residues on wheat and
  maize yield and eroded soil fertility. Cercetări
  Agronomice in Moldova Vol. XLIII, No. 1 (141).
- Akdeniz, H., I. Yılmaz, M.A. Bozkurt, B. Keskin, 2006.

  The effects of sewage sludge and nitrogen applications on grain sorghum grown (Sorghum vulgare L.) in Van-Turkey. Polish Journal of Environmental Studies Vol. 15, No. 1, 19-26.
- Al Zoubi, M.M., A. Arslan, G. Abdelgawad, N. Pejon, M. Tabbaa, O. Jouzdan, 2008. The effect of sewage sludge on productivity of a crop rotation of wheat, maize and vetch) and heavy metals accumulation in soil and plant in Aleppo governorate. American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci., 3 (4): 618-625.
- Alcantara, S., D.V. Pérez, R.A. Almeida, G.M. Silva, J.C Polidoro, W. Bettiol, 2009. Chemical changes and heavy metal partitioning in an oxisol cultivated with maize (Zea mays L.) after 5 years disposal of a domestic and an Industrial sewage sludge. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution, 203, 3-16.

- Al-Mustafa, W.A., A.A. El-Shall, A.E Abdallah, A.S. Modaihsh, 1995. Response of wheat to sewage sludge applied under two different moisture regimes. Exp. Agric., 31: 355-9.
- Angın, İ., A.V. Yağanoğlu, 2009. Application of sewage sludge as a soil physical and chemical amendment. Ekoloji 19, 73, 39-47.
- Angın, İ., A.V. Yağanoğlu, 2011. Effects of sewage sludge application on some physical and chemical properties of a soil affected by wind erosion. J. Agr. Sci. Tech., Vol. 13:757-768.
- Anonymous, 2010. Evsel ve kentsel arıtma çamurlarının toprakta kullanılmasına dair yönetmelik. Resmi Gazete, 03 Ağustos 2010, Sayı: 27661.
- Antolin, M.C., I. Pascual, C. Garcia, A. Polo, M. Sanchez-Diaz, 2005. Growth, yield and solute content of barley in soils treated with sewage sludge under semiarid Mediterranean conditions. Field Crops Res., 94: 224-237.
- Arcak, S., C. Türkmen, A. Karaca, E. Erdoğan, 2000.

  A Study on potential agricultural use of sewage sludge of Ankara wastewater treatment plant. Proceeding of International Symposium on Desertification, 13-17 June 2000, Konya.
- Azam, F., A. Lodhi, 2001. Response of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) to application of nitrogenous fertilizer and sewage sludge. Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences 4 (9): Page 1083-1086.
- Barbarick, K.A., J.A. Ippolito, D.G. Westfall, 1998. Extractable trace elements in the soil profile after years of biosolids application. J.Environ. Quality, 27: 801-5.
- Benitez, E., M. Romero, M. Gomez, F. Gallardolaro, R. Nogales, 2001. Biosolid and biosolid ash as sources of heavy metals in plant-soil system. Water, Air and Soil Pollution. 132: 75-87.
- Bilgin, N., H. Eyüpoğlu, H. Üstün, 2004. İkinci kademe arıtım yapan kentsel nitelikli atıksu arıtma çamurlarının tesislerinden çıkan arıtma (biyokatıların) tarım alanlarında kullanılma olanakları. T.C. Tarım ve Köyişleri Bakanlığı Köy Hizmetleri Genel Müdürlüğü APK Dairesi Başkanlığı Toprak ve Su Kaynakları Araştırma Şube Müdürlüğü, Toprak ve Su Kaynakları Araştırma Sonuç Raporları 2003, Yayın No: 124, s.202-220, Ankara.
- Bouzerzour, H., L. Tamrabet, M. Kribaa, 2002.
  Response of barley and oat to the wastewater irrigation and to the sludge amendment. In: the Proc. Int. Seminar: Biol. and Environ., p: 71. University Mentouri, Constantine, Algeria.
- Bozkurt, M.A., T. Yarilgaç, 2003. The effects of sewage sludge applications on the yield, growth, nutrition and heavy metal accumulation in apple trees growing in dry conditions. Turk J Agric For 27:285-292.
- Bozkurt, M.A., I. Yılmaz, K.M. Çimrin, 2001. Kentsel arıtma çamurunun kışlık arpada azot kaynağı olarak kullanılması. Ankara Üniver. Zir. Fak. Tarım Bilimleri Dergisi, 7(1)105-110.
- Brofas, G., P. Michopoulas, D. Alifragis, 2000. Sewage sludge as an amendment for calcareous bauxite mine spoils reclamation. J. Environ. Qual. 29: 811-816.
- Casado-Vela, J., S. Sellés, C. Diaz-Crespo, J. Navarro-Pedreno, J. Mataix-Beneyto, I. Gomez, 2007. Effect of composted sewage sludge application to soil on sweet pepper crop (Capsicum annuum var. annuum) grown under

- two exploitation regimes. Waste Manag., 27:1509-1518.
- Casado-Vela, J., S. Sellés, J. Navarro-Pedreno, M.A. Bustamante, J. Mataix-Beneyto, I. Gomez, 2006. Evaluation of composted sewage sludge as nutritional source for horticultural soils. Waste Manag., 26: 946-952.
- Chatha, T.H., R. Haya, I. Latif, 2002. Influence of sewage sludge and organic manures application on wheat yield and heavy metal availability. Asian J. Plant Sci., 1: 79-81.
- Cogger, C.G., A.I. Bary, S.C. Fransen, D.M. Sullivan, 2001. Seven years of biosolids versus inorganic nitrogen applications to tall fescue. J. Environ. Qual. 30: 2188-2194.
- Deniz, Y., O. Özdemir, 1980. Bafra ovası koşullarında beyaz baş lahananın ticaret gübreleri gereksinimi. Samsun Bölge TOPRAKSU Araş. Ens. Müd. Yay., Genel Yayın No: 8, Rapor Yayın No: 7, Samsun.
- Dursan, A., O. Turkmen, M. Turan, S. Şensoy, M. Cirka, 2005. Effect of sewage sludge on seed emergence, development and mineral contents of pepper (Capsicum annuum) seedling. Asian J. Plant Sci., 4: 299-304.
- El Dawwey, G.M., 1993. Effectiveness of sewage sludges and basic slag wheat plants grown in sandy calcareous and loamy soils. Assuit Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 24: 171-184.
- El-Dewiny, C.Y., Kh.S. Moursy, H.I. El-Aila, 2006.

  Effect of organic matter on the release and availability of phosphorus and their effects on spinach and radish plants .Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences 2(3): 103-108
- Elsokkary, I.H., A. Salam, 1998. Bioavailability and DTPA-extractability of soil heavy metals from successive sewage sludge treated calcareous soil. Alexandria J. Agric. Res., 43:349-65.
- González-Pérez, M., L. Martin-Neto, L.A. Colnago, M.B.P. Débora, O.A. Camargo, R. Berton, B. Wagner, 2006. Characterization of humic acids extracted from sewage sludge-amended oxisols by electron paramagnetic resonance, Soil & Tillage Research 91 (2006) 95-100.
- Hao, X., C. Chang, 2003. Does long-term heavy cattle manure applications increase salinity of a clay loam soil in semi-arid southern Alberta? Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 94, 89-103.
- Hernandez, T., J.I. Moreno, F. Costa, 1991. Influence of sewage sludge application on crop yields and heavy metal availability. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., 37:201-210.
- Jamil, M., M. Qacim, M. Umar, 2006. Utilization of sewage sludge as organic fertilizer in sustainable agriculture. J. Appl. Sci., 6: 531-535.
- Jamil, M., M. Qasim, M. Umar, K. Rehman, 2004. Impact of organic wastes (sewage sludge) on the yield of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) in a calcareous soil. International Journal Of Agriculture & Biology, Vol. 6, No. 3, 465-467.
- Jarausch-Wehrheim, B., B. Mocquot, M. Mench, 1999. Absorption and translocation of sludge-borne zinc in field-grown maize (Zea mays L.). Eur. J. Agron. 11, 23–33.
- **Kacar, B., A. İnal, 2008.** *Bitki Analizleri,* Nobel Yayın Dağıtım, ISBN 978-605-395-036-3, Ankara.
- Kalembasa, D., 1996. The effects of vermicompost on the yield and chemical composition of tomato.

- Zeszyty-Problemowe-Postepow-Nauk-Rolniczych, 437:249-252.
- Khan, M.A., T.G. Kazi, R. Ansari, S.M. Mujtaba, B. Khanzada, M.A. Khan, M.U. Shirazi, S. Mumtaz, 2007. Effects of un-treated sewage sludge on wheat yield, metal uptake by grain and accumulation in the soil. Pak. J. Bot., 39(7): 2511-2517.
- Kün, E., 1983. Serin İklim Tahılları. Ankara Üniv. Zir. Fak. Yay., No: 875, Ankara.
- Lerch, R.N., K.A. Barbarick, D.G. Westfall, R.H. Follett, T.M. McBride, W.F. Owen, 1990. Sustainable rates of sewage sludge for dryland winter wheat production, II. production and income. Reprinted from the Journal of Production Agriculture, Volume 3, no. 1, January-March 1990 677 South Segoe Rd., Madison, WI 53711 USA.
- Mamo, M., C.J. Rosen, T.R. Halbach, 1999. Nitrogen availability and leaching from soil amended with municipal solid waste compost. J. Environ. Qual. 28, 1074.
- McBride, M.B., 2003. Toxic Metals in sewage sludge amended soils: has promotion of beneficial use discounted the risk, Advances in Environmental Research, 8, 5-19.
- McGrath, S.P., F.J. Zhao, S.J. Dunham, A.R. Crosland, K. Coleman, 2000. Long-term changes in extractability and bioavailability of zinc and cadmium after sludge application. J. Environ. Qual. 29, 875–883.
- Menelik, G., R.B. Reneau, Jr.D.C. Martens, T.W. Simpson, 1991. Yield and elemental composition of wheat grain as influenced by source and rate of nitrogen. Journal Of Plant Nutrition, 14:2, 205-217
- Mohammad, A.M., A.M. Battikhi, 1997. Effect of sewage sludge on some soil properties and barley plant in Muwagar area. Agricultural Sciences, 24(2): 204-216.
- Mohammad, M.J., B.M. Athamneh, 2004. Changes in soil fertility and plant uptake of nutrients and heavy metals in response to sewage sludge application to calcareous soils. J. Agron. 3:229-236.
- Naggar, E.M., A.M. El-Ghamry, 2001. Comparison of sewage sludge and town refuse as soil conditioners for sandy soil reclamation. Pakistan J. Biol. Sci., 4: 775-778.
- Navaro-Pedreno, J., I. Gomez, R. Moral, J. Mataix, O. Van-Cleemput, G. Hofman, A. Vermoesen, 1996. Nitrogen nutrition of tomato derived from the use of sewage sludge and almonds residue as fertilizers. Progress in nitrogen cycling studies: Proceedings of the 8.th Nitrogen Workshop held at the University of Ghent, 5-8 September 1994, 243-246.
- Önal, M.K., B. Topcuoğlu, N. Arı, 2003. Toprağa uygulanan kentsel arıtma çamurunun domates bitkisine etkisi. II. Gelişme ve Meyve Özellikleri İle Meyvede Mineral İçerikleri. Akdeniz Üniversitesi Ziraat Fakültesi Dergisi, 16(1), 97-106.
- Özdemir, O., S. Güner, 1983a. Samsun yöresinde buğdayın azotlu ve fosforlu gübre isteği ile olsen fosfor analiz metodunun kalibrasyonu. T.C. Tarım Orman ve Köy İşleri Bakanlığı Köy Hiz. Gen. Müd. Samsun Bölge TOPRAKSU Araş. Ens. Müd. Yay., Genel Yayın No: 30, Rapor Yayın No: 25, Samsun.
- Özdemir, O., S. Güner, 1983b. Bafra ve Çarşamba ovalarında domates ve biberin azotlu ve fosforlu

- gübre isteği. Samsun Bölge TOPRAKSU Araş. Ens. Müd. Yay., Genel Yayın No: 23, Rapor Yayın No: 19, Samsun.
- Pedreno, J.N., I. Gomez, R. Moral, J. Mataix, 1996.

  Improving the agricultural value of a semi-arid soil by addition of sewage sludge and almond residue, utilisation of waste organic matter.

  Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment, 58 (2-3):115-119.
- Perez-Espinosa, A., J. Moreno-Caselles, R. Moral, M.D. Perez-Murcia, I. Gomez, 1999. Effect of sewage sludge and cobalt treatments on tomato fruit yield of certain vegetables. Journal of plant nutrition, 22(2):379-385.
- Petersen, S.O., K. Henriksen, G.K. Mortensen, P.H. Krogh, K.K. Brandt, J. Sorensen, T. Madsen, J. Petersen, C. Grøn, 2003. Recycling of sewage sludge and household compost to arable land: fate and effects of organic contaminants, and impact on soil fertility. Soil Tillage Res 72, 139-152.
- Sa´nchez-Monedero, M.A., C. Mondini, M. De Nobili, L. Leita, A. Roig, 2004. Land application of biosolids. Soil response to different stabilization degree of the treated organic matter. Waste Management 24, 325-332.
- Sabey, B.R., W.E. Hart, 1975. Land application of sewage sludge: 1. Eff ect of growth and chemical composition of plants. J. Environ. Qual. 4:252-256.
- Samaras, V., C.D. Tsadilas, S. Stamatiadis, 2008.

  Effects of repeated application of municipal sewage sludge on soil fertility, Cotton Yield, and Nitrate Leaching. Agronomy Journal, Volume 100. 3:477-483.
- Selivanovskaya, S.Y., V.Z. Latypova, S.N. Kiyamova, F.K. Alimova, 2001. Use of microbial parameters to access treatment methods of municipal sewage sludge applied to grey forest soils of Tataristan. Agriculture, Ecosystem and Environment, 86: 145-153.
- Singh, C.P.J., S.S. Singh, 1999. Effect of urea and sludge based compost application on the yield of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Madras Agric. J., 86: 511-3.
- Smith, S.R., 1996. Agricultural recycling of sewage sludge and the environment. p. 155–206. In Nutrients. CAB Int., Wallingford, UK.
- Snyman, H.G., J.M. De Jong, A.S. Aveling, 1998. The stabilization of sewage sludge applied to agricultural land and the effects on maize seedlings. Wat. Sci. Tech. 38: 87-95.
- Stamatiadis, S., J.W. Doran, T. Kettler, 1999. Field and laboratory evaluation of soil quality changes

- resulting from injection of liquid sewage sludge. Appl Soil Ecol 14, 263-272.
- Şensoy, S., Ö. Türkmen, M. Çırka, 2000. Kentsel arıtma çamurunun biberde çıkış ve fide gelişimi üzerine etkileri. 2000 GAP Çevre Kongresi, 16-18 Ekim 2000, Şanlıurfa, s.209-214.
- Tamrabet, L., H. Bouzerzour, M. Kribaa, M. Makhlouf, 2009. The effect of sewage sludge application on durum wheat (Triticum durum). Int. J. Agric. Biol., 11: 741–745.
- Togay, N., Y. Togay, Y. Doğan, 2008. Effects of municipal sewage sludge doses on the yield, some yield components and heavy metal concentration of dry bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.). African Journal of Biotechnology Vol. 7 (17), pp. 3026-3030.
- Topcuoğlu, B., M.K. Önal, N. Arı, 2001. Toprağa kentsel katı atık kompostu ve kentsel atıksu arıtma çamuru uygulamalarının sera domatesinde kuru madde miktarı ve bazı bitki besin içerikleri üzerine etkisi. GAP II. Tarım Kongresi, 24-26 Ekim, Şanlıurfa.
- Tsadilas, C.D., T. Matsi, N. Barbayiannis, D. Dimoyiannis, 1995. The influence of sewage sludge application on soil properties and on the distribution and availability of heavy metal fractions. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 26(15-16):2603-2619.
- Ülgen, N., N. Yurtsever, 1995. Türkiye gübre ve gübreleme rehberi. Toprak ve Gübre Araştırma Enstitüsü Yayınları, Genel yayın No: 209, Teknik Yayınlar No: T.66, Ankara.
- Wei, Y., Y. Liu, 2005. Effects of sewage sludge compost application on crops and cropland in a 3-year field study. Chemosphere, 59:1257-1265.
- Wild, S.R., K.C. Jones, 1991. Organic contaminants in wastewaters and sewage sludges: Transfer to the environment following disposal. In: Jones KC (ed), Organic Contaminants in the Environment Environmental pathways & Effects. Elsevier, London, pp 133-158.
- Yağmur, M., D. Kaydan, Ö. Arvas, 2005. Effects of sewage biosolid application on seed protein ratios, seed NP contents, some morphological and yield characters in lentil (Lens culinaris Medic.). Research Journal of Agricluture and Biological Sciences 1(4): 308-314.
- Yurtsever, N., 1984. Deneysel İstatistik Metotları. Köy Hiz. Genel. Müd. Yay., Genel Yay. No: 121, Ankara, 623 s.
- Zhang, H., L. Sun, T. Sun, G. Ma, 2007. Principal physicochemical properties of artificial soil composed of fly ash, sewage sludge and mine tailing, Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 79, 562-565.