CRITICAL SEASON FOR SOIL EROSION IN THE MOLDAVIAN PLATEAU

C. AILINCĂI^{1*}, G. JITĂREANU¹, D. BUCUR¹, Despina AILINCĂI²

*E-mail: ailincai@uaiasi.ro

Received January 7, 2013

ABSTRACT. Experiments conducted at Agricultural Research and Development Station of Podu-Iloaiei, Iași County, Romania, during 2002 - 2011, followed study runoff and soil erosion in different cultures, and establish critical season of soil erosion in this area. Establish critical season of soil erosion is necessary to satisfy the critical level of ground cover, which is required to maintain a low risk of soil erosion. Average annual soil loss by erosion, recorded in maize and sunflower were (mean on 10 years) of 6.753 and 7.385 t/ha/year, respectively. In sunflower and corn of the total soil loss recorded in the Moldavian Plateau, 19.7- 20.4% occurred in spring, 68.7 to 69.2% in summer and 6.1-6.6% in the autumn. Differences of 4.5 to 4.9% of the total annual soil losses by erosion were recorded in winter, with snow melt. Season critic of soil erosion in the Moldavian Plateau, when recording the most aggressive rain event occurs in June and July. Mean soil loss due to erosion. recorded in June and July were 0.424 t/ha for winter rape, winter wheat 0.291 and 0.093 t/ha the perennial grasses in the second year of vegetation.

Key words: Slope land; Cropping systems; Water erosion; Critical season of soil erosion.

REZUMAT. Sezonul critic pentru eroziunea solului în Podișul Moldovei. Experiențele realizate la Stațiunea de Cercetare-Dezvoltare Agricolă Podu-Iloaiei, județul Iași, în perioada 2002-2011, au urmărit studiul scurgerilor de apă și de sol prin eroziune la diferite culturi si stabilirea sezonului critic de eroziune a solului în aceasta zonă. Stabilirea sezonului critic de eroziune a solului este necesară pentru a satisface nivelul critic de acoperire a solului, în vederea mentinerii unui risc redus de eroziune a acestuia. Pierderile medii anuale de sol prin eroziune, înregistrate la porumb și floarea-soarelui, au fost (media pe 10 de ani) de 6.753 și, respectiv, 7.385 t/ha/an. La floarea-soarelui și porumb, din totalul pierderilor de sol înregistrat în Podișul Moldovei, 19,7-20.4% au avut loc în primăvară, 68.7- 69.2% în lunile de vară și 6.1-6.6% în lunile de toamnă. Diferentele de 4.5 până la 4.9%, din totalul pierderilor anuale de sol prin eroziune, au fost înregistrate în timpul iernii, odată cu topirea zăpezii. Sezonul critic de eroziune a solului în Podişul Moldovei, când se înregistrează

¹ University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Iaşi, Romania

² Agricultural Research and Development Station, Podu-Iloaiei, Iaşi County, Romania

cele mai agresive evenimente pluviale, are loc în lunile iunie și iulie. Valoarea medie a pierderilor de sol prin eroziune, înregistrate în lunile iunie și iulie, au fost de 0.424 t/ha la rapița de toamnă, 0.291 t/ha la grâul de toamnă și 0.093 t/ha la ierburile perene, în anul doi de vegetație.

Cuvinte cheie: teren în pantă; sisteme de cultură; eroziunea produsă de apă; sezonul critic de eroziune.

INTRODUCTION

The European Union (EU) by way Thematic Strategy for soil protection pursues application adequate measures to combat soil erosion and other soil degradation Soil Protection processes. The Framework Directive of EU (COM (2006) 232 includes the necessary legislative proposals, taken into account by all the Member States concerning the three main threats on the decline in organic matter, soil erosion and contamination and some additional aspects regarding diminution compaction. of biodiversity, salinisation, floods and landslides. In the EU, more than 150 million hectares of soil are affected by erosion and 45% of the European soils have a low content of organic matter (Montanarella, 2008). In all the countries, the quality of environment factors is affected by economic activities, climatic changes and water and soil pollution.

Many investigations conducted in different countries paid a special attention to the technological elements and methods, which determined the recovery of soil physical, chemical and biological characteristics in a shorter time and with lower expenses (Adem *et al.*, 1984; Dârja, 2000; Van der Knijff *et al.*, 2000; Jităreanu *et al.*, 2007; Ailincăi *et al.*, 2011; Bucur *et al.*, 2007, 2011; Gobin *et al.*, 2006; Grimm *et al.*, 2002; Černý *et al.*, 2012; Gobin *et al.*, 2006; Grimm *et al.*, 2002; Kirkby *et al.*, 2008).

Vegetation cover is considered as the most important parameter for soil erosion control. Strip cropping and alternate strips of grasses can be used to control erosion and better water storage or utilization by plants. Soil erosion for the bare fallow was 0.8 t/ha for 1 per cent slope, 4-3 t/ha for 5 per cent and 10 per cent slopes and 29-8 t/ha for 15 per cent slope. Soil erosion from maize was 0-2 t/ha for 1 per cent slope, 0-3 t/ha for 5 per cent slope, 2-6 t/ha for 10 per cent slope (Lal, 2001). Lal estimated yield losses risks due to erosion, on a global scale, 10 per cent in cereals, 5 per cent in soybeans and 12 per cent in root and tubers

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The climatic conditions in the Moldavian Plain were characterized by a multiannual mean temperature of 9.6°C and a mean rainfall amount, on 80 years, of 542 mm, of which 161.2 mm during September-December and 380.8 mm during January-August. In the last 28 years, the mean annual recorded quantity of rainfall was of 560.7 mm, of which 357.9 mm determined water runoff and soil losses by erosion.

The determination of water runoff, soil and nutrient losses by erosion was done by means of plots for runoff control

with the area of 100 m^2 and on the entire area of the watershed, where experiments were set up by means of a hydrological station. When raining, samples are taken for the determination of the partial turbidity and of the content in humus and

mineral elements lost by erosion. Investigations conducted on a cambic chernozem at the Moldavian Plateau, followed the influence of different crops on water runoff and nutrient losses, due to soil erosion (*Table 1*).

Soil type	Area, ha (%)	Clay (%)	pH (H₂O)	Organic carbon (%)	P₂O₅ (mg/kg)
Cambic chernozem	108488 (28.81%)	41.2	6.7	2.01	28
Typical chernozem	60736 (16.13%)	34.1	7.1	2.24	31
Gray soil	50752 (13.48%)	26.3	6.4	1.98	17
Clayey - iluvial soil and brown luvic	35752 (9.31%)	18.1	5.6	1.16	9

Table 1 - The	e main properties	of soils in laşi distric	t, depth of 20 cm
---------------	-------------------	--------------------------	-------------------

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In Romania, the land degradation as a whole affects more than 2/3 of the total country area (23,839 sq. km). The *Table 2* summarizes the main entropic factors contributing to land degradation in Romania (Munteanu *et al.*, 2012). From the data of the National Soil Quality Monitoring System and according to SOVEUR methodology, 29.3 percent of the country area is subject to water erosion and landslides, 15.2 percent to soil compaction and crusting, 2.6 percent to salinity, 3.8 percent to severe or moderate pollution and 14.1 percent to reduction in humus and nutrients.

Table 2 - The main restrictive factors for the productive capacity of agricultural soils,103 ha

Kind of restriction area	In Romania	laşi district
Water deficit	7 100	313.6
Water erosion	6 300	107.9
Landslides	702	63.6
Salinity	614	55.1
Human induced compaction	6 500	200.0
Strong and moderate acidity	3 437	234.2
Low and very low content of available P	6 258	246.3
Low content of available K	781	38.9
Low content of N	5 088	186.3

Measuring the components of the water flow and soil losses is not an easy task for any type of soil and cropping systems. Soil erosion experimentation in the world uses runoff plots with runoff and soil loss measured at the exit of the plot by collection in a tank. The use models in erosion evaluation are attractive but they offer estimations of potential erosion under specified climatic conditions. Measuring erosion is difficult and expensive and the models use, can reduce very much the investment of measuring erosion. Various methods are available for measuring runoff and soil loss.

comparison between А experimental results and data calculated using the USLE was made by Basso et al. (1983) on a vertic soil, in southern Italy, where a 47 min rainfall with an intensity of 71 mm/ hour, simulated by the rain simulator produced a soil loss of 7.9 t/ha, whereas the quantity of eroded soil calculated using the USLE was 10.5 t /ha Different models and relationships have been proposed to describe and estimate water erosion and sediment production (Table 3). The universal soil loss equation is the widely applied model most to estimate soil loss. The Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE), the Modified Universal Soil Loss Equation (MUSLE) (Williams, 1984), or the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE) (Renard et al., 1991) are frequently used for the estimation of surface erosion and sediment yield from catchments areas. Soil structure and its stability are important characteristics that influence on water infiltration and runoff volume. The major causes of structural stability are type of clay minerals, organic matter content and type of cations on the complex, and management practice. Crop cover and crop residues reduces raindrop impact. thus reducing disintegration of soil aggregates. Runoff volume and rate depend on rainfall amount and intensity. topography, and soil surface structure.

No.	Acronym	Model and reference	*Meth

Table 3 - Models employing empirical equations to evaluate soil erosion

No.	Acronym	Model and reference	*Method				
1	EUROSEM	European Soil Eroson Model (Morgan et al., 1998)	RUSLE				
2	EPIC	Erosion/Productivity Impact Calculator (Williams <i>et al.,</i> 1983, 1984)	RUSLE, MUSLE				
3	SHE	Systeme Hidrologique European (Abbott <i>et al.,</i> 1986)	RUSLE				
4	SWAT	Soil and Water Assessment Tool (Arnold <i>et al.,</i> 1993)	USLE				
*USI	*USLE: Universal Soil Loss Equation: RUSLE: Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation:						

*USLE: Universal Soil Loss Equation; RUSLE: Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation; MUSLE: Modified Universal Soil Loss Equation

Assessment of soil erosion risk is necessary for establish land use and for crop structure planning in critical season of soil erosion. The type of crop or cropping system has a pronounced effect on soil erosion. Critical season of soil erosion in the Moldavia Plateau, when recording the most aggressive rain event is in June and July. In the last 10 years, the mean annual recorded quantity of rainfall was of 579.1 mm, of which 338.5 mm determined water runoff and soil losses by erosion (*Table 4*).

Month	Mean annual rainfall (mm)	Rainfall causing runoff (mm)	Mean annual rainfall (%)	Rainfall causing runoff (%)
January	37.4	3.0	7.0	0.9
February	23.2	3.7	4.4	1.1
March	35.0	29.1	6.6	8.6
April	49.2	36.3	9.3	10.7
Mai	45.9	32.6	8.6	9.6
June	75.2	56.6	14.1	16.7
July	107.5	81.5	20.2	24.1
August	67.6	53.4	12.7	15.8
September	42.9	21.9	8.1	6.5
October	47.6	20.4	9.0	6.0
Total	531.5	338.5	100.0	100.0

Table 4 – Mean rainfall and rainfall causing runoff, recorded in Moldavian Plateau

Mean annual rainfall, recorded during 2002-2011 (January - December) = 579.1 mm

By means of repeated field measurements it mav determine accurate erosion losses. Several techniques exist to measure sediment concentration, with advantage is that it would link to the Water Framework Directive but, and disadvantage that not known sediment sources and actual losses of soil on slopes. When sediment transport capacity is reduce and flow velocity declines, as a result of decreasing slope, the sediments are deposition (Fig. 1).

Weeding crops (sunflower and maize) of the total soil loss recorded in the Moldavian Plateau (*Fig.* 2), 19.7 -20.4% occurred in the spring, 68.7 - 69.2% in the summer months and 6.1- 6.6% in the autumn months (*Table 5*). Differences of 2.1 to 3% of total annual soil losses by erosion were recorded in winter with snow melting.

Average annual soil loss by erosion, recorded in maize and sunflower were (mean 10 years) of 6.753 and 7.385 t / ha / year (Table 6). The obtained results on the potential erosion. conditioned by geomorphological, climate soil and factors, have shown that on the fields uncovered by vegetation from the Moldavian Plateau, the mean soil losses due to erosion were of 14.326 t/ha/vear.

C. AILINCĂI, G. JITĂREANU, D. BUCUR, Despina AILINCĂI



Figure 1 - Deposition from changing flow conditions from an actively eroding hill slope



Figure 2 - Soil erosion from maize, sowing hill-valley, May 19, 2012, 32 mm

Month	Fallow land	Sunflower	Maize	Bean
	Soil loss	by erosion (t/ha/y	vear)	
January	0.123	0.108	0.109	0.108
February	0.234	0.226	0.223	0.231
March	0.137	0.292	0.186	0.173
April	0.574	0.479	0.519	0.382
Mai	1.230	0.685	0.669	0.699
June	5.072	2.234	2.312	1.696
July	4.726	2.304	1.903	1.756
August	0.919	0.573	0.426	0.206
September	0.735	0.221	0.232	0.149
October	0.576	0.263	0.174	0.096
Total	14.326	7.385	6.753	5.496
	Soil le	oss by erosion (%)	
January	0.86	1.46	1.61	1.97
February	1.63	3.06	3.30	4.20
March	0.96	3.95	2.75	3.15
April	4.01	6.49	7.69	6.95
Mai	8.59	9.28	9.91	12.72
June	35.40	30.25	34.24	30.86
July	32.99	31.20	28.18	31.95
August	6.41	7.76	6.31	3.75
September	5.13	2.99	3.44	2.71
October	4.02	3.56	2.58	1.75
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 5 - Mean annual soil losses due to erosion, recorded in different row crops

Table 6 - Mean annual soil losses due to erosion, recorded in different crops

Month	l st year perennial	Peas	Winter	Winter	ll nd year perennial
	grasses		rape	wneat	grasses
	Soil I	oss by ei	rosion (t/h	a/year)	
January	0.051	0.091	0.032	0.002	0.001
February	0.214	0.224	0.012	0.011	0.008
March	0.247	0.112	0.157	0.138	0.109
April	0.216	0.151	0.112	0.013	0.006
Mai	0.434	0.233	0.061	0.027	0.011
June	0.497	0.412	0.145	0.096	0.036
July	0.415	0.459	0.279	0.195	0.057
August	0.134	0.189	0.189	0.107	0.028
September	0.026	0.081	0.056	0.041	0.005
October	0.073	0.094	0.099	0.052	0.016
Total	2.307	2.046	1.142	0.682	0.277
Soil loss by erosion (%)					
January	2.21	4.45	2.80	0.29	0.36
February	9.28	10.95	1.05	1.61	2.89

Month	l st year perennial grasses	Peas	Winter rape	Winter wheat	ll nd year perennial grasses
March	10.71	5.47	13.75	20.23	39.35
April	9.36	7.38	9.81	1.91	2.17
Mai	18.81	11.39	5.34	3.96	3.97
June	21.54	20.14	12.70	14.08	13.00
July	17.99	22.43	24.43	28.59	20.58
August	5.81	9.24	16.55	15.69	10.11
September	1.13	3.96	4.90	6.01	1.81
October	3.16	4.59	8.67	7.62	5.78
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

C. AILINCĂI, G. JITĂREANU, D. BUCUR, Despina AILINCĂI

Table 7 - Mean annual runoff, due to erosion, registered in different rare row crops

Month	Fallow land	Sunflower	Maize	Bean
	Mean	annual runoff (mr	n)	
January	3.1	1.8	1.9	1.6
February	3.4	1.9	1.8	1.9
March	9.2	2.3	2.4	3.2
April	5.7	3.2	3.2	3.0
Mai	12.3	4.5	4.2	4.1
June	22.4	9.9	9.6	7.4
July	25.6	11.3	10.2	8.4
August	8.6	2.4	2.1	2.3
September	5.2	2.1	1.9	2.1
October	5.4	2.1	1.9	1.6
Total	100.9	41.5	39.2	35.6
	Mean	n annual runoff (%	b)	
January	3.07	4.34	4.72	4.49
February	3.37	4.58	4.59	5.34
March	9.12	5.54	6.12	8.99
April	5.65	7.71	8.24	8.43
Mai	12.19	10.84	10.62	11.52
June	22.20	23.86	24.49	20.79
July	25.37	27.23	26.02	23.60
August	8.52	5.78	5.36	6.46
September	5.15	5.06	4.93	5.90
October	5.35	5.06	4.91	4.49
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Table 8 - Mean annual runoff, due to erosion, registered in different crops

Month	l st year perennial grasses	Peas	Winter rape	Winter wheat	ll nd year perennial grasses		
Mean annual runoff (mm)							
January	1.1	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.1		
February	1.8	1.8	1.6	0.9	0.5		
March	3.2	3.1	2.8	0.6	0.4		

Month	l st year perennial grasses	Peas	Winter rape	Winter wheat	ll nd year perennial grasses
April	2.7	2.5	2.1	0.7	0.5
Mai	3.2	2.7	2.9	1.1	0.6
June	5.2	4.6	2.8	2.5	1.2
July	5.8	5.4	2.4	2.6	1.4
August	1.7	1.6	0.9	1.2	0.6
September	1.2	1.5	0.5	0.9	0.3
October	0.8	1.6	0.4	0.9	0.4
Total	26.7	25.3	16.7	11.6	6.0
	М	ean ann	ual runoff	f (%)	
January	4.1	2.0	1.8	1.7	1.7
February	6.7	7.1	9.6	7.8	8.3
March	12.0	12.3	16.8	5.2	6.7
April	10.1	9.9	12.6	6.0	8.3
Mai	12.0	10.7	17.4	9.5	10.0
June	19.5	18.2	16.8	21.6	20.0
July	21.7	21.4	14.4	22.4	23.3
August	6.4	6.3	5.4	10.3	10.0
September	4.5	6.0	3.0	7.8	5.0
October	3.0	6.2	2.4	7.8	6.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

The results on water runoff and soil losses in different crops from the Moldavian Plateau, determined by control plots, have shown that, during 2002 - 2011, of the total amount of 579.1 mm rainfall, 338.5 mm (58.5%) produced water runoff, which was between 6.0 mm in perennial grasses, in the second year of vegetation, and 39.2 - 41.5 mm, in maize and sunflower crops (Tables 7 and 8). In June and July at weeding crops, the amount of water drained by erosion accounts for 44 -51% of the annual average flow (Table 7). Average annual water runoffs by erosion (mean on 10 years) were 35.6 mm in beans, 41.5 mm in sunflower and 100.9 mm in uncultivated plot.

Wheat and canola crops volumes of water drained by erosion, recorded in June and July were 2.4 to 2.8 mm (*Table 8*). These elements were necessary for establishing the crop structure and dimensioning the antierosion works, which determined the diminution of soil erosion and water runoff, soil and nutrient losses below the limit corresponding to the natural capacity of annual soil recovery, of 2-3 t/ha/year of eroded soil.

CONCLUSIONS

Establish critical season of soil erosion is necessary to satisfy the critical level of ground cover, which is required to maintain a low risk of soil erosion.

Average annual soil loss by erosion, recorded in maize and sunflower were (mean on 10 years) of 6.753 and 7.385 t / ha / year.

In sunflower and maize of the total soil loss recorded in the Moldavian Plateau, 19.7-20.4% occurred in spring, 68.7 to 69.2% in summer and 6.1-6.6% in the autumn. Differences of 4.5 to 4.9% of the total annual soil losses by erosion were recorded in winter, with snow melt.

Season critic of soil erosion in the Moldavian Plateau, when recording the most aggressive rain event occurs in June and July. Mean soil loss due to erosion, recorded in June and July were 0.424 t / ha for winter rape, winter wheat 0.291 and 0.093 t/ha the perennial grasses in the second year of vegetation.

In winter wheat and winter rape volumes of water drained by erosion, recorded in June and July were 2.4 to 2.8 mm.

REFERENCES

- Abbott M.B., Bathurst J.C., Cunge J.A., O'Connell P.E., Rasmussen J., 1986b - An introduction to the European Hydrological System, Système Hidrologique Européen, "SHE", 2: Structure of a physicallybased distributed modelling system. Journal of Hydrology, 87: 61–77.
- Adem H.H., Tisdall J.M., Willoughby P., 1984 - Tillage management changes size-distribution of aggregates and macrostructure of soils used for irrigated row-crops. Soil and Tillage Research, 4:561-576.
- Ailincăi C., Jităreanu G., Bucur D., Mercuş Ad., 2011 - Protecting the soil from erosion by cropping systems and fertilization in Moldavian Plateau. International Journal of Food, Agriculture & Environment, JFAE, Vol. 9 (1).
- Arnold J.G., Allen P.M., Bernhardt G., 1993 - A comprehensive surface

groundwater flow model. Journal of Hydrology, 142: 47–469.

- Basso F., Giordano A., Linsalata D., 1983 - Effetti della pioggia simulata sull'erosione di un terreno declivo della Basilicata sottoposto а differenti modalità di lavorazione. no.129 "Problemi Quaderno agronomici per la difesa dei fenomeni erosivi". CNR, Rome.
- Bucur D, Jităreanu G., Ailincăi C., 2011 - Soil erosion sontrol on arable lands from North-East Romania. Chapter 13, pp. 295-315, Soil erosion issue in Agriculture, edited by Danilo Godone and Silvia Stanchi, Published by In Tech, Rijeka, Croatia.
- Bucur D., Jităreanu G., Ailincăi C., Tsadilas C., Ailincăi Despina, Mercuş A., 2007 - Influence of soil erosion on water, soil, humus and nutrient losses in different crop systems in the Moldavian Plateau, Romania. Journal of Food, Agriculture & Environment, Vol. 5 (2), 84-87.
- Černý J., Balík J., Kulhánek M., Vašák F., Peklová L., Sedlář O., 2012 -The effect of mineral N fertiliser and sewage sludge on yield and nitrogen efficiency of silage maize. Plant Soil Environ., 58, (2): 76-83.
- Dârja M., 2000 Combaterea eroziunii solului. Editura Risoprint, Cluj-Napoca, 391 p.
- Gobin A., Govers G. Kirkby M., 2006 -Pan-European soil erosion assessment and maps. In: J. Boardman, & J. Poesen (Eds.), Soil erosion in Europe (pp. 661-674). Chichester, England: John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- Grimm M., Jones R., Montanarella L., 2002 - Soil erosion risk in Europe. Italy: European Commission & Joint Research Centre.
- Jităreanu G., Ailincăi C., Bucur D., 2007 - Soil fertility management in North-East Romania. Journal of Food, Agriculture & Environment, Vol. 5 (3&4): 349 - 353.

- Kirkby M.J., Irvine B., Jones R.J.A., Govers G., Boer M., Cerdan O. Daroussin J., Gobin A., Grimm M., Le Bissonnais Y., Kosmas C., Mantel S., Puigdefabregas J., Van Lynden G.J., 2008 - The PESERA coarse scale erosion model for Europe. I. Model rationale. European Journal of Soil Science, 59 (6), 1293-1306.
- Lal R., 2001 Soil degradation by erosion. Land Degradation & Development, 12: 519-539.
- Montanarella L., 2008 Moving ahead from assessments to actions: Could we win the struggle with soil degradation in Europe? 5th International Conference on Land Degradation, Valenzaro, Bari, Italy, 18-22 September 2008, Ideaprint, Bari, Italy, pp. 5-9.
- Morgan R.P.C., Quinton J.N., Smith R., Govers G., Poesen J.W.A., Auerswald K., Chisci G., Torri D., Styczen M.E., 1998 - The European soil erosion model (EUROSEM): A process-based approach for predicting sediment transport from fields and small catchments. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 23: 527–544.

- Munteanu I., Dumitru M., Florea N., Canarache A., Lăcătuşu R., Vlad V., Şimota C., Ciobanu C., Roşu C., 2012 - Status of soil mapping, monitoring and database compilation in Romania at the beginning of the 21st century, European Soil Bureau, Research Report no. 9. 2, p. 281-296.
- Renard K., Foster G.R., Weesies,G.A. Porter,J.P., 1991 - RUSLE Revised universal soil loss equation. Journal of Soil and Water Conservation, 46, 30-33.
- Van der Knijff J.M., Jones R.J.A., Montanarella L., 2000 - Soil erosion risk assessment in Europe. EUR 19044 EN. Italy: European Soil Bureau.
- Williams J.R., Dyke P.T., Jones C.A., 1983 - EPIC: A model for assessing the effects of erosion on soil productivity. In: Laurenroth W.K. *et al.* (ed.) Analysis of Ecological Systems: state-of-the-art in ecological modeling. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 553–572.
- Williams J.R., Jones C.A., Dyke P.T., 1984 - A modeling approach to determining the relationship between erosion and soil productivity. Transactions of the ASAE, 27: 129– 144.