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# Students' Department 

Edited by H. A. Finney

(Note.-The fact that these solutions appear in The Journal of Accountancy should not lead the reader to assume that they are the official solutions of the American Institute of Accountants. They merely represent the personal opinions of the editor of the Students' Department.)

## AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF ACCOUNTANTS

## Examination in Accounting Theory and Practice-Part II

MAY 16, I924, I P. M. TO 6 P. M.

The candidate must answer all the following questions:
No, I (27 points):
Drafts of the profit-and-loss accounts and the balance-sheet of the Atlantic Trading Company, showing the results of operations for the year ended December 31, 1923, were prepared for submission to the directors in January, 1924.

Before they were approved, a report of an investigation of the accounts of the company for the years 1919, 1920 and 1921 was received from the internalrevenue department. This report reduced considerably the large amounts of depreciation written off various assets and made a claim for the difference as additional excess profits and income taxes.
The claim was subsequently paid, and the directors decided to have the accounts for the years 1922 and 1923 and the balance-sheets at the end of those years redrafted in order to bring them into agreement with the figures shown in the internal-revenue report.
The accounts on the books of the company appear as follows:

| Property Accounts 1922 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Valuation } \\ & \text { Jan. I } \end{aligned}$ | Additions during year | Depreciation Dec. 3 I | Balance forward |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real estate. . | \$200,503.79 | \$2,249.08* | \$10,162.61 | \$192,590.26 |
| Machinery and tools | 2,206.95 | 1,799.01 | 1,918.07 | 2,087.89 |
| Auto trucks | 21,521.06 | 31,000.48 | 12,360.08 | 40,16I.46 |
| Horse trucks. | 132.50 |  | 132.50 |  |
| Horses. | 800.00 | 575.00 | 583.34 | 791.66 |
| Harness. | 245.70 | 61.05 | 221.75 | 85.00 |
| Office furniture | 1,774.42 | 2,909.86 | 1,610. 59 | 3,073.69 |
|  | \$227,184.42 | \$38,594.48 | \$26,988.94 | \$238,789.96 |
| Property Accounts 1923 |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate. . | \$192,590.26 | \$210.00* | \$3,300.26 | \$189,500.00 |
| Machinery and tools | 2,087.89 | 1,235 • 38 | 1,755.73 | 1,567.54 |
| Auto trucks. | 40,161.46 | 8,782.41 | 17,134.84 | 31,809.03 |
| Horse trucks |  | 112.58 | 66.92 | 45.66 |
| Horses. | 791.66 | 700.00 | 741.67 | 749.99 |
| Harness. | 85.00 | 80.00 | 143.00 | 22.00 |
| Office furniture | 3,073.69 | 3,264.54 | 3,400.86 | 2,937-37 |
|  | \$238,789.96 | \$14,384.91 | \$26,543.28 | \$226,631. 59 |

[^0]The internal-revenue agents traced back the original costs of the assets and all additions. Against these total costs they created depreciation reserves at the rates shown below.

You are required to take their figures as at the date to which their examination was restricted (December 31, 1921) and to extend them further, on the same basis, to December 31, 1923. Then bring the amounts of total cost into the accounts of the company, create the necessary reserves for depreciation and state the adjustments required in the profit-and-loss accounts, surplus account and balance-sheets at December 31, 1922 and 1923, in order to show the effect of these changes.

The amounts of additions to the assets, stated in the books of the company for the years 1922 and 1923, are to be taken as correct.

Note that the item of real estate has been divided, by the internal-revenue agents, into three separate classes to show different rates of depreciation.

Summary of Report of Internal-Revenue Department Agents

|  | Total cost <br> to <br> to | Depreciation <br> reserve | Annual rate <br> of deprecia- |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| tion allowed |  |  |  |

## Solution:

The first step is to determine the adjusted values of the property, setting up the total costs in asset accounts and setting up the reserves against them. There does not seem to be any way of apportioning the unallocated reserve over the various classes of assets, as there is no information as to the dates of acquisition of the property.

Adjustment of Property Accounts
As of January 1, 1922
Corrected valuations
Valuation

per books Total cost $\quad$| Depreciation |
| :---: |
| reserve |$\quad$ Net value

Adjustments as of Janu-
ary I, 1922:
Property account before adjustment

227,184.42
Debit to property account.........

$$
\$ 8 \mathrm{I}, 858.95
$$

Reserves for depreciation - before adjustment.....
Credits to reserves

$$
\$ 64,157.64
$$

Net value of property before adjustment........

Credit to surplus. .
\$17,701.31

The problem indicates that the property accounts have already been adjusted as of January I, 1922, and that adjustments have also been made in regard to the taxes, as the problem states that the taxes were paid. In computing the depreciation for 1922 and 1923 on the adjusted basis, it is necessary to take precautions against over-depreciating any asset. By reference to the following schedule, it will be noted that depreciation of only $\$ 327.67$ was provided on the harness acquired prior to January 1, 1922. Depreciation at the rate of $33 \frac{1}{2} \%$ would have amounted to $\$ 48 \mathrm{I}$. 53 , which would have over-depreciated the property.

[^1]
## Adjustment of Property and Other Accounts

As of December 3r, 1922

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depreciation rate | Total | Depre- | Depre- | Total |
|  | cost to | ciation | ciation | against |
|  | Jan. I, 1922 | thereon for | prior to | assets |
|  |  | 1922 | 1922 | acquired |
|  |  |  |  | prior to |
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| Consisting of : |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Reserve as of January 1, $1922 . \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ . ~ \$ 64,157.64 ~$Depreciation for 1922: |  |
|  |  |
| On assets acquired prior to 1922.. . . \$20,274.85 |  |
| On assets acquired during 1922.... . 3,605.57 | 23,880.42 |
| Total | \$88,038.06 |
| Decrease of depreciation and increase of profits of 1922: |  |
| Depreciation provided per books. Adjusted amount provided. | \$26,988.94 |
|  | 23,880.42 |
| Decrease in depreciation and increase in profits.. . . . . | \$3,108.52 |
| Additional federal taxes: <br> $12 \frac{1}{2} \%$ of additional profits of $\$ 3,108.52$. |  |
|  | \$388.57 |
| Surplus: |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Addition to property . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . } & \text { \$ro8,847.89 } \\ \text { Less addition to reserve. . . . . . . . . . . . } & 88,038.06\end{array}$ |  |
|  |  |
| Less additional taxes. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $388.57$ |
| Net addition to surplus. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | \$20,421.26 |
| Summary of additions to balance-sheet items As of December 3I, 1922 |  |
| Property account. . . . \$io8,847.89 $\begin{gathered}\text { Reserves for deprecia- } \\ \text { tion. . . . . . }\end{gathered}$ | \$88,038.06 |
| Additional tax liability | 388.57 |
| Surplus............ | 20,421.26 |
| \$108,847.89 | \$108,847.89 |

The following tabulation continues the computations and shows the values at the end of 1923, after adjustments:

## Adjustment of Property and Other Accounts

As of December 31, 1923



| Increase of depreciation and decrease of profits for 1923: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjusted depreciation |  |  | \$28,426.55 |
| Depreciation per books. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |  | 26,543.28 |
| Decrease in depreciation and increase in profits . . . . . . . . |  |  | \$1,883.27 |
|  |  |  | \$235.41 |
| Surplus: |  |  |  |
| Addition as of December 31, 1922 Addition on account of decrease in taxes-1923 |  |  | \$20,421.26 |
|  |  |  | 235.41 |
| Total. <br> Less additional depreciation-1923. |  |  | \$20,656.67 |
|  |  |  | I,883.27 |
| Net increase in surplus as of December 31, 1923.. |  |  | \$18,773.40 |
| Summary of additions to balance-sheet items |  |  |  |
| As of December 31, 1923 |  |  |  |
| Property <br> Claim for overpayment of 1923 federal taxes | \$135,391. 17 | Reserves for deprecia- | \$116,464 6r |
|  |  | Additional tax liability |  |
|  | 235.41 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 388.57 \\ 18,773.40 \end{array}$ |
|  | \$135,626.58 |  | \$135,626.58 |

## No. 2 (1o points):

A certain manufacturing concern makes tools and other equipment for use in its own plant and charges them to the capital asset accounts at the market value (which is in excess of cost) and credits the difference between the market and the cost value to profit-and-loss for the period in which the tools and other equipment are manufactured.
In the examination of the customers' accounts of this concern, you find certain credit balances aggregating $\$ 13,011.31$ arising out of allowances for returned goods, defective goods, etc. It has been the practice of the company to deduct items of this nature from amounts due from customers and to state the net difference in the balance-sheet as "uncollected customers' accounts."
What is your criticism of these methods?

## Solution:

The practice of charging the property accounts with the market value of tools and other equipment manufactured, such market value being in excess of cost, and crediting the excess over cost to profit-and-loss for the period, is subject to two criticisms. In the first place, it results in carrying fixed assets in the accounts at a value greater than cost, which is incorrect in itself and results in the further error of making the depreciation charges of subsequent periods too heavy. In the second place, it results in taking up a profit which has not been realized. Profits are made by sales, and not by manufacturing fixed assets for use in further production. The manufacture of the tools and equipment has evidently resulted in a saving, but savings should not be recorded as profits. Since credit balances in accounts receivable are liabilities, they should be set out on the liability side of the balance-sheet, and the debit side should show accounts receivable at the total of the debit balances in the subsidiary ledger.

No. 3 (23 points):
The following is a summary of the cash receipts and disbursements of the trustees of the late R. A. Armstrong and a distribution of the estate.

Under the terms of his will, Mr. Armstrong left all his estate in trust for his two children with the exception of his life insurance of $\$ 500,000$ and his home, valued at $\$ 100,000$, which he bequeathed to his widow, an annuity to his sister and sundry legacies. The income from the estate he instructed the trustees to pay to his widow during her life. Receipts:

Cash and bank balances at date of death . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$ 50,000$
Life insurance. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 5 500,000
Income from bond interest. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 5 5,000
Income from dividends on stock. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\quad$ I0,000
Income from dry-goods business, net . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 500,000
Sundry debtors at date of death. .............................. $\quad$ 15,000
Proceeds of sale of dry-goods business, including new build-
ings and equipment. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
Securities sold, stocks and shares. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
Securities sold, bonds and debentures.
500,000
\$8,080,000

## Disbursements:

Sundry creditors at date of death . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$ 5,000$
Inheritance tax. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 800 . $\$ 8$.000
Sundry legacies paid in full. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 300 300,000
Mrs. Armstrong, net income . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 300 .000
Advances to heirs (\$100,000 each). . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 200,000
Legal expenses. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 400,000
Funeral expenses. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 15,000
Cost of constructing extension, dry-goods store . . . . . . . . . . . . . 700,000
Cost of annuity for Miss Armstrong, deceased's sister. . . . . 500,000
$\$ 3,220,000$
Balance, being net amount of estate to be divided equally between the two children
$\$ 4,860,000$
From the figures and information given above, you are asked to prepare correct capital and revenue accounts and state the balance due to the widow and each of the children. (Consider that the widow should receive all the income collected.)

## Solution:

In order to maintain a proper distinction between principal and income, the cash account should have been provided with classification columns as follows:

| Cash receipts | Principal | Income | -Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash and bank balances at time of death | \$50,000 |  | \$50,000 |
| Life insurance. | 500,000 |  | 500,000 |
| Income from bond interest |  | \$5,000 | 5,000 |
| Income from dividends on stock |  | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| Income from dry-goods business-net |  | 500,000 | 500,000 |
| Sundry debtors at date of death. . . . | 15,000 |  | 15,000 |
| Proceeds of sale of dry-goods business | 5,500,000 |  | 5,500,000 |
| Securities sold, stocks and shares. | 1,000,000 |  | 1,000,000 |
| Securities sold, bonds and debentures. | 500,000 |  | 500,000 |
|  | \$7,565,000 | \$515,000 | \$8,080,000 |


| Cash disbursements |  | \$300,000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sundry creditors at date of death. | \$ 5,000 |  | \$ 5,000 ${ }^{\text { }}$ |
| Inheritance tax. | 800,000 |  | 800,000 |
| Sundry legacies. | 300,000 |  | 300,000 |
| Mrs. Armstrong-net income. |  |  | 300,000 |
| Advances to heirs. . . . . . . . . | 200,000 |  | 200,000 |
| Legal expenses. | 400,000 |  | 400,000 |
| Funeral expenses. | 15,000 |  | 15,000 |
| Cost of extension, dry-goods store. | 700,000 |  | 700,000 |
| Cost of annuity for Miss Armstrong | 500,000 |  | 500,000 |
| Balance. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 4,645,000 | 215,000 | 4,860,000 |
|  | \$7,565,000 | \$515,000 | \$8,080,000 |

The problem specifically states that the bond interest and the dividends were income. It is unusual to find an estate with no interest accrued at the date of death, and with no dividends declared at that time. In classifying the income from the dry-goods business as "income" it is necessary to assume that the wording of the problem is final and that the books were closed at date of death. There is no basis for dividing the legal expenses between principal and income, as there is no information as to the amount incurred in preserving and realizing the estate and the amount incurred in collection of income. There is no evidence to indicate that the trustees had any right to make advances to the heirs.
It may be impossible to prepare an absolutely correct capital account from the information given. The estate, or capital, account should be credited with the inventoried value of the property at the time of death; subsequent gains and losses on realization should be credited and charged to the account. But this information is not given. The income account would be credited with the items in the income column of the cash receipts record, and charged with the items in the income column of the cash disbursements record. The table, as prepared, shows that Mrs. Armstrong has not received the net income but is entitled to an additional payment of $\$ 215,000$.
No. 4 (23 points):
You are instructed to investigate the financial condition of a concern by a client who is a large creditor. He informs you that the company about to be investigated has been falling behind in payments and believes that this is caused by a too extensive application of funds, or use of working capital, for the construction of new plants, etc. He furnishes you with a statement supplied by an officer of the company as at the beginning of the year, on the basis of which credit was granted, and requests that you make a report on the financial standing of the concern at the end of the year and on the situation in general.
You find that the company has no general ledger, but that a cashbook, sales book, voucher register and accounts-receivable ledger are kept. You list the assets and liabilities, with the exception of the plant (of the cost of which there is no record in evidence), and obtain the following result of conditions as at the end of the year:

| Cash. | \$ 5,000 | Bank loans. | \$ 14,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accounts receivable. | 24,000 | Notes payable | 20,000 |
| Inventories. | 18,000 | Vouchers payable. . | 75,000 |
| Sundry assets | 4,000 | Mortgages payable. . | 10,000 |
| Total assets, excepting plant. | \$51,000 | Total liabilities, excepting capital. | \$119,000 |
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| Liabilities |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bank loans. | \$14,000 | \$10,000 | \$4,000 |
| Notes payable | 20,000 | 15,000 | 5,000 |
| Vouchers payable. | 75,000 | 60,000 | 15,000 |
| Mortgages payable. | 10,000 | 10,000 |  |
| Capital stock (presumably no change) | 50,000 | 50,000 |  |
| Surplus. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 157,000 | 207,000 | 50,000* |
|  | \$326,000 | \$352,000 | \$26,000* |

The summary of the entries for the year indicates that the assets and liabilities shown in the statement furnished by the officer of the company as of the beginning of the year were misstated, because the changes in assets and liabilities shown in the increase-decrease column of the foregoing comparative statement do not agree with the changes shown by the books of original entry, as summarized below:

| Cash: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disbursements. |  | \$854,000 |
| Receipts. |  | 852,500 |
| Net decrease. |  | \$1,500 |
| Accounts receivable: Charges for sales. |  | \$852,000 |
| Credits: |  |  |
| Cash. | \$842,500 |  |
| Discount. | 7,500 | 850,000 |
| Net increase. |  | \$2,000 |
| Bank loans: |  |  |
| Cash paid during the year. . . Cash received during the year |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 16,000 \\ 10,000 \end{array}$ |
| Net decrease. |  | \$6,000 |
| Vouchers payable: |  |  |
| Charges during the year: |  |  |
| Cash. | \$838,000 |  |
| Discount. | 500 |  |
| Credits during the year: |  |  |
| New construction.. | 25,000 |  |
| Operating expenses. | 798,000 | 823,000 |
| Net decrease. |  | \$15,500 |

Assuming that the balance-sheet at the end of the year and the entries during the year have been verified by audit, the balance-sheet at the beginning of the year can be built up by applying to the closing balance-sheet the increases and decreases shown by the books of original entry. The following statement shows the balance-sheet submitted by the officer and the balance-sheet built up by the method suggested. There is no indicated change in the notes payable. The inventory has decreased $\$ 5,000$.
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The increase in surplus during the year, in the amount of $\$ 42,000$, is supported and accounted for by the following statement:

Name of company
Profit and loss account
For the year ended

| Inventory at beginning. | \$23,000 | Sales | \$852,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating expenses. | 798,000 | Discounts taken. |  |
| Discounts allowed. | 7,500 | Inventory at end | 18,000 |
| Profit. | 42,000 |  |  |
|  | \$870,500 |  | \$870,500 |

零震The reasons for the company's inability to meet its payments may be further explained by the following statement:

> Name of company
> Statement of application of funds
> For the year ended

Funds provided:
By profits
$\$ 42,000.00$
By net decrease in current assets:

| Decrease in cash. | \$1,500.00 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Decrease in inventories | 5,000.00 |
| Total. | \$6,500.00 |
| Increase in acco | 2,000.00 |

## The Journal of Accountancy

Funds applied:

No. 5 ( 17 points):
The following propositions are presented:

1. Depreciation of physical property is continuous and unavoidable.
2. Interest on invested capital is an element of cost.
3. Cash is a current asset.
4. True value of inventories is cost or market, whichever is lower.
5. True value of fixed assets on the books of a going concern is replacement value.
6. Interest paid previous to operation of a new plant is part of cost of plant.
7. Dividends on stocks accrue day by day.
8. Inter-company profits belonging to minority stockholders have no place in a consolidated balance-sheet.
Without copying or discussing the foregoing propositions at any length, indicate to which of the categories following they belong:
A. Statements of incontrovertible fact, or
B. Statements which are not strictly true.
C. Statements of accepted accounting principle or procedure which are correct, or
D. Statements of accepted accounting principle or procedure which are incorrect, or
E. Controversial statements of accounting principle or procedure for which reputable authority may be found on both sides.

## Solution:

(1) Statement of incontrovertible fact.
(2) Controversial statement.
(3) Statement of incontrovertible fact so far as unrestricted cash is concerned. Cash in sinking fund is not current.
(4) Until recently this statement would have been considered an expression of accepted accounting principle which was regarded as correct. Other propositions have been submitted by competent authorities recently which perhaps put the statement in the controversial class.
(5) This is a statement which is not strictly true. Replacement value is perhaps not true value, but most accountants recognize the propriety of reflecting replacement value in the accounts if proper treatment is given to the unrealized profit resulting from revaluing the property.
(6) This statement is not strictly true. The interest is not in reality a part of the cost, but the capitalization of the interest is permitted because of the fact that before a new plant is put into operation it produces no profits against which the interest can be charged.
(7) This statement is not legally true. Dividends do not accrue. But since the so-called accrual of dividends is taken into account in the purchase and sale of stock it can scarcely be denied that the passing of time tends to add a value to the stock. Perhaps the best statement is: It is unfortunate that there is no other word which expresses the real meaning which "accrual" is called upon to express.
(8) This is a controversial question. Some accountants believe that the entire inter-company profit should be eliminated; others believe that only the holding company's proportion should be eliminated.

## Reserves for Contingencies

## Editor, Students' Department:

SIR: On the books of a partnership there appears at the end of three years the following account: "Reserve for possible losses of perishable merchandise, $\$ 4,500$." The partnership was formed by A, B and C, who share profits and losses equally.

The partnership agreement states that goodwill shall be considered as an amount equal to the net earnings for the last two years. At the end of the third year A desires to retire from the partnership. The reserve was created out of the profits of the two previous years, and at the time of A's retirement it is apparent that the reserve has not been needed as no losses of merchandise have occurred. Is the retiring partner entitled to a share of the reserve?

Rio Piedras, Porto Rico.
The retiring partner is entitled to share in the reserve. It is not proper to deduct from the profits of the three years during which he was a partner a provision for a loss which has not occurred during the period of his membership in the firm. If any merchandise on hand at the time of his retirement is in bad condition, the loss should be charged against the reserve. The question seems to mean that the merchandise is in good condition.

A will share in the reserve in two ways. In the first place, since profits and losses are shared equally, he will be entitled to a credit of one-third of the amount of the reserve as his share of the profits which have been set aside in a contingent reserve which proved to be unnecessary. In the second place, the reserve will have to be added back to the profits of the past two years to determine the total profits on which the good-will is based. This will result in an increase of $\$ 4,500$ in the good-will and, as good-will is divisible in the profit and loss ratio, A will be entitled to one-third of the good-will.

Thus A will receive an additional credit of $\$ 1,500$ as his share of the profits set aside in the reserve and an additional $\$ \mathrm{I}, 500$ as his share of the increase in the good-will.

Consignments and Bonus

## Editor, Students' Department:

SIr: An employee is entitled to a commission or bonus of two and one-half per cent of the annual net profits. He leaves the firm on April 1, 1924. The profit for 1923 was say $\$ 20,000$. Goods shipped during 1923 on consignment and billed at regular prices, to be accounted and paid for when sold, amounted to say $\$ 15,000$. These goods were taken into the inventory at December 31, 1923, at cost.

Is the employee entitled to two and one-half per cent on the earnings from consignments shipped during 1923 and collected up to April 1, 1924?

Very truly yours,

> F.C.A.

New York.
In answering this question it must first be known whether the employee is to be allowed a commission on the profits earned between December 3I, 1923 and April 1, 1924. If he is to be allowed such a commission, it would seem that he should be allowed the two and one-half per cent on the profits earned on consignments closed during the three months.

## The Journal of Accountancy

But if he is not to receive any bonus on the 1924 profits, it seems equally clear that he is not entitled to any bonus on consignments shipped during 1923 but not sold by consignees until 1924. The principle is well established that profits are earned by sales and no profit is made by merely shipping goods on consignment. Therefore, the shipments which took place in 1923 produced no profit during that year unless they were closed during the year; the profits on consignments closed during 1924 belong to 1924.
On the other hand the employee would have the right to demand that all expenses in connection with these consignments be deferred until 1924, as it is not proper for him to suffer by the incurring of expenses if he is not allowed to benefit by profits. It may also be possible that the employee is entitled to some special consideration because of the fact that he devoted time and labor to inducing consignees to take the goods.

## Partnership Adjustment

## Editor, Students' Department:

Sir: Through the columns of The Journal of Accountancy, kindly give me your opinion of the solutions of the following problems, and if both are incorrect, will you please give me the proper one?
" A and B are partners sharing profits in accordance with their original investments. A invested $\$ 5,000$ and $B \$ 3,000$, making the capital of the firm $\$ 8,000$. They sell a one-third interest in the business to C for $\$ 8,000$. How shall A and B divide the $\$ 8,000$ received from C so that each may retain a one-third interest in the business without increasing or decreasing the original capital of the firm?"


A's share in the $\$ 8,000$ contributed by $C$ is:

| $5 / 8$ of $\$ 8,000$, or. Capital contributed to B | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 5,000.00 \\ 666.66 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total amount to be received in cash | \$5.666.66 |

## Students' Department

| B's share in the $\$ 8,000$ contributed by $\mathbf{C}$ is: $3 / 8$ of $\$ 8,000$, or. Capital repaid to A. |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,000.00 \\ 666.66 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total amount to be received in cash. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  | \$2,333.34 |
| Thanking you in advance for your courtesy, I remain, | Yours very truly, S. G. Ramirez. |  |
| San Juan, Porto Rico. |  |  |

The price received from C should be divided into two parts: first, the compensation for the interests transferred, and secondly, the profit. The first portion should be divided on the basis of the interests transferred and the second portion should be divided in the profit and loss ratio. The profit and loss ratio governs the division of the profit on a sale of an interest, because the partners are taking an immediate profit instead of waiting to take it from operations. In other words, they are allowing a third partner a share in the profits. This will decrease the profits to be shared in the future by the original partners. Therefore the profit on the sale should be divided in the ratio which would have governed the division of the profits parted with.
The first solution is therefore wrong because it divided the entire price on the basis of the interests transferred. The second solution is correct, but it is not tabulated in what appears to be a clear manner. The following statement of facts is suggested:

|  | A | $B$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original capitals. | \$5,000.00 | \$3,000.00 | \$8,000.00 |
| Less capitals retained-1/3 of \$8,000.00. . | 2,666.67 | 2,666.67 | 5,333-34 |
| Cash to be received for capital interests transferred. | \$2,333-33 | \$333.33 | \$2,666.66 |
| Cash to be received for profit on transfer: <br> Total amount received. . . \$8,000.00 |  |  |  |
| Total capital transferred. . $\quad 2,666.66$ |  |  |  |
| Profit. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 5,333.34 |  |  |  |
| Divided in the profit and loss ratio: $\text { A-5/8 of } \$ 5,333 \cdot 34$ | 3,333-34 |  |  |
| B-3/8 of $5,333 \cdot 34$ |  | 2,000.00 |  |
| Total. |  |  | 5,333-34 |
| Total cash to be received. | \$6,666.67 | \$2,333 - 33 | \$8,000.00 |


[^0]:    * The additions to real estate in 1922 and 1923 apply to sheds.

[^1]:    * Deduction.

