New Journal of Physics

The open access journal at the forefront of physics

Deutsche Physikalische Gesellschaft DPG IOP Institute of Physics

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

The role of the Kramers-Henneberger atom in the higher-order Kerr effect

To cite this article: Maria Richter et al 2013 New J. Phys. 15 083012

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

Related content

- Adiabatic Floquet model for the optical response in femtosecond filaments
- Strong-field atomic stabilization: numerical simulation and analyticalmodelling
- Coulomb and polarization effects in subcycle dynamics of strong-field ionization

Recent citations

- Nonlinear polarization and ionization in O2: metastable electronic state model Jonathan Heinz et al
- Symmetry breaking of Kramers-Henneberger atoms by ponderomotive force Yan Zhang and Qi Wei
- Young's Double-Slit Interference in a Hydrogen Atom Pei-Lun He et al

New Journal of Physics

The open access journal for physics

The role of the Kramers–Henneberger atom in the higher-order Kerr effect

Maria Richter 1,5 , Serguei Patchkovskii 2 , Felipe Morales 1 , Olga Smirnova 1 and Misha Ivanov 1,3,4

¹ Max-Born-Institute, Max-Born-Strasse 2A, D-12489 Berlin, Germany
² National Research Council of Canada, 100 Sussex Drive, Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0R6, Canada
³ Department of Physics, Humboldt University, Newtonstraße 15, D-12489 Berlin, Germany
⁴ Department of Physics, Imperial College London, SW7 2AZ London, UK E-mail: Maria.Richter@mbi-berlin.de

New Journal of Physics **15** (2013) 083012 (14pp) Received 15 March 2013 Published 6 August 2013 Online at http://www.njp.org/ doi:10.1088/1367-2630/15/8/083012

Abstract. We discuss the connection between strong-field ionization, saturation of the Kerr response and the formation of the Kramers–Henneberger (KH) atom and long-living excitations in intense infrared (IR) external fields. We present a generalized model for the intensity-dependent response of atoms in strong IR laser fields, describing deviations in the nonlinear response at the frequency of the driving field from the standard model. We show that shaping the driving laser pulse allows one to reveal signatures of the excited KH states in the Kerr response of an individual atom.

⁵ Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence.

Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

New Journal of Physics **15** (2013) 083012 1367-2630/13/083012+14\$33.00

© IOP Publishing Ltd and Deutsche Physikalische Gesellschaft

Contents

1. Introduction	2
2. Preliminary analytical analysis	4
3. Numerical simulations	6
4. Conclusions	12
Acknowledgments	13
References	13

1. Introduction

The physical reality of bound states of a nearly free electron has been recently demonstrated experimentally by Eichmann *et al* [1]. They have observed acceleration of neutral helium atoms at a rate of 10^{14} times the Earth gravity *g*, expelled from the focus of the 800 nm, 100 fs laser pulse with intensities approaching 10^{16} W cm⁻². The high kinetic energies of the neutrals expelled from the laser focus prove that the atoms have remained neutral throughout the whole duration of the laser pulse. The ponderomotive force responsible for the acceleration could have only come from laser-induced oscillations of the nearly free electron, still managing to pull the heavy atomic core after itself during the laser pulse.

Theoretically, the existence and relevance of such 'almost-free' states for weakly bound systems such as the potassium atom and modest IR laser intensities $I \sim 10^{13} \,\mathrm{W \, cm^{-2}}$ has recently been verified in [2]. Detailed *ab initio* theoretical study of the emergence of these states for well-bound systems with an ionization potential I_p around 10–14 eV in the relevant frequency regime (near-infrared (IR) light) has recently been done by Popov *et al* [3] and Kapoor and Bauer [4].

The 'bound states of a free electron' are associated with the formation of the Kramers–Henneberger (KH) atom: the stable system of 'atom + strong laser field' [3, 5, 6]. In such states the electron motion is a combination of nearly free oscillations and a slow drift around the atomic core. The potential of the KH atom is described in the frame of reference attached to the moving electron. It is defined as the laser-period averaged part of the 'laser-dressed' oscillating ionic potential. For high frequencies in the extreme ultraviolet range it appears to be natural that the harmonics of the oscillating ionic potential become negligible and thus the stable KH states physically relevant. However, *ab initio* theoretical analysis [2, 3, 7, 8] has confirmed the analytical prediction [7, 9] and Floquet analysis [10, 11] that for laser field strengths $F \gg F_{\rm BS}$ the KH states are also formed even for relatively small laser frequencies $\hbar \omega \ll I_{\rm p}$. Here $F_{\rm BS}$ is the so-called barrier suppression field at which the potential barrier, created by the Coulomb field together with the laser field, is suppressed below the binding energy of the field-free state.

It is generally assumed that for the KH picture to hold, all atomic states must enter the KH regime. Here, we analyse the situation where all excited atomic states are in the regime of $F \gg F_{\rm BS}$ while the ground state is still well bound. Importantly, this condition is fulfilled for *all* excited states of noble gas atoms already at laser intensities $I \sim 10^{13} \,\mathrm{W \, cm^{-2}}$.

We show that even when the ground state of the atom is well bound, the excited states entering the KH regime show clear signatures in the system's response, including the Kerr effect: the (linear) growth of the refractive index at the driving field frequency with the field intensity. We show that while ionization does indeed play a very important role in the reversal of the linear Kerr effect with increasing intensity in standard experimental conditions (see e.g. [12–18] for experimental evidence), the 'bound states of a free electron' are equally, if not even more, important for the onset of this behaviour, corroborating recent *ab initio* results of Béjot *et al* [19]. Thus, our results show that the suggestions made in [19–25] are not entirely without merit.

For standard laser pulses, separating the contribution of ionization from other possible mechanisms leading to the saturation of the Kerr effect is very challenging. In particular, the importance of Rydberg states in the Kerr response has been extensively discussed in ab initio simulations by Volkova et al [26, 27], concluding that while such states are important, the numerical evidence does not prompt the revision of the existing filamentation paradigm. Similar conclusion is reached by Köhler et al [28], using the method for analysis proposed by Nurhuda et al [29]. This method separates the induced dipole into bound-bound, bound-continuum and continuum-continuum contributions by projecting the exact time-dependent wavefunction on the field-free states and associating the first two with (instantaneous) polarization of the ground state while the third is associated with ionization. However, using the field-free states in the presence of an intense laser field is not adequate, especially for identifying the role of the KH states which, while bound, are dominated by the free electron motion. Interestingly, Nurhuda et al have reached a somewhat different conclusion using the same approach, especially regarding the ground state polarization via continuum states (compare figure 2(d) of [29] versus figure 2(c) of [28]). The complexity in the analysis of the contribution of the nearly free states is confirmed by results of Kano et al [30], who find that bound states alone can provide saturation of the Kerr response.

Here we show that shaped laser pulses offer additional opportunities for addressing this difficult question: they emphasize the role of the restructured spectrum of the dressed atom compared to the field-free system and allow us to identify the origin of resonance structures appearing in the Kerr response (also found but not discussed by e.g. Köhler *et al* [28] and Kano *et al* [30]). We show that these resonances are associated with the KH states.

The 'bound states of a free electron' are relevant for the Kerr response in two complementary ways. Firstly, their polarizability is largely the same as that of the genuinely free electrons. Thus, once these states get populated, their response to the propagating light pulse will be very similar to that of a plasma. Trapping of classical trajectories launched at the exit from the tunnelling barrier, simulating ionization, has been documented and discussed in [31]. It has now been analysed in detail, both experimentally and theoretically in [32–36], and is called 'frustrated tunnelling'. The bound nature of these trapped states can show up after the end of the laser pulse, possibly in the anisotropic response of the excited medium to the delayed probe pulse as recently shown in [37] using full *ab initio* treatment of the problem and solving coupled Schrödinger and Maxwell equations.

Secondly, the laser-induced restructuring of the excited states should also have implications for the instantaneous response of the ground state, i.e. non-resonant polarization associated with virtual (rather than real) excitations. Consider the standard perturbative expression describing the linear response of a ground-state atom to a weak laser field, linearly polarized along the *z*-axis:

$$\alpha(\omega) = \sum_{n,\pm} \frac{|z_{gn}|^2}{E_n - (E_g \pm \omega)}.$$
(1)

Here ω is the light frequency, E_g denotes the energy of the initial ground state $|g\rangle$, E_n is the energy of the intermediate virtual state $|n\rangle$ and $z_{ng} = \langle n|\hat{z}|g\rangle$ is the corresponding transition matrix element. Assuming for a second that a similar expression applies to the dressed atom, except that the energies $E_n(F)$ and the matrix elements $z_{ng}(F)$ are those of the dressed states, the restructuring of the spectrum should alter the susceptibility. One obvious effect is the growth of the resonance denominators due to the ponderomotive shift U_p of the excited states, $E_n \to E_n(F) \sim E_n + U_p$, where $U_p = F^2/4\omega^2$. Clearly, if the substitutions $E_n \to E_n(F)$ and $z_{ng} \to z_{ng}(F)$ were legitimate under certain conditions, one would expect the atomic susceptibility to decrease with increasing intensity.

Below we discuss the generalization of (1) and show that such substitutions are indeed justified in a field with frequency $\omega \ll E_n - E_g$. For noble gases with a large gap between the ground and the excited states this corresponds to IR frequencies. Then we discuss numerical simulations for the hydrogen atom, both in one dimension (1D) and in three dimensions (3D), and show how the field-dressed states influence the response of a single atom.

2. Preliminary analytical analysis

Due to the large gap between the ground and the excited states in noble gas atoms, or in air molecules, it is useful to break the full Hilbert space of the field-free system into two subspaces, one containing the lone ground state $|g\rangle$, another all other states $|n\rangle$, both excited bound and continuum. Writing the wavefunction as

$$\Psi(t)\rangle = a_g(t)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}E_g t}|g\rangle + |\Psi^{(2)}(t)\rangle,\tag{2}$$

where $a_g(t)$ is the ground state amplitude and $\Psi^{(2)}(t)$ belongs to the second subspace, we find the exact formal expression for $\Psi^{(2)}(t)$ [38]:

$$|\Psi^{(2)}(t)\rangle = -i \int_{-\infty}^{t} dt' \, \hat{U}_{22}(t,t') \hat{V}_{L}(t') |g\rangle a_{g}(t') e^{-iE_{g}t'}.$$
(3)

Here $\hat{V}_{L}(t) = \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{F}(t)$ describes the interaction with the linearly polarized laser field $\mathbf{F}(t) = F(t)\cos(\omega t)\mathbf{e}_{z}$ (\mathbf{e}_{z} denotes the unit vector along the *z*-axis), which couples the two subspaces. \hat{U}_{22} is the exact propagator in the second subspace, which includes fully the interaction with the laser field that couples the field-free states of this subspace.

For time-periodic Hamiltonians, we can introduce the exact Floquet states

$$|\Phi_k(t)\rangle = e^{-i\int_{-\infty}^{t} E_k(\tau)d\tau} |\phi_k(t)\rangle$$
(4)

in the second subspace, where both the energy $E_k(t)$ and the wavefunction $\phi_k(t)$ are periodic functions of time with period $T_{\omega} = 2\pi/\omega$. These states form a complete basis set in the second subspace, and hence the decomposition of identity $\sum_k |\Phi_k\rangle\langle\Phi_k|$ can be inserted into (3) between \hat{U}_{22} and \hat{V}_{L} :

$$|\Psi^{(2)}(t)\rangle = \sum_{k} |\phi_{k}(t)\rangle a_{k}(t),$$

$$a_{k}(t) = -i \int_{-\infty}^{t} dt' e^{-i \int_{t'}^{t} E_{k}(\tau) d\tau} F(t') \langle \phi_{k}(t') | \hat{z} | g \rangle a_{g}(t') e^{-iE_{g}t'}.$$
(5)

Using (2), one can see that the dipole induced by the laser field contains two components

$$D_{z}(t) = \langle \Psi(t) | d_{z} | \Psi(t) \rangle$$

= $- \left[a_{g}^{*}(t) e^{iE_{g}t} \langle g | \hat{z} | \Psi^{(2)}(t) \rangle + c.c. \right] - \langle \Psi^{(2)}(t) | \hat{z} | \Psi^{(2)}(t) \rangle$
= $[D_{12}(t) + c.c.] + D_{22}(t).$ (6)

In the strong-field limit, keeping in mind that F(t') is a slow function of time compared to the t'-dependent phases, the amplitudes $a_k(t)$ are given by integrals of fast-oscillating functions, see (5). Such integrals always have two types of contributions. The first main contribution comes from the saddle points inside the integration contour and signify real transitions from the ground state into the excited and continuum states, which, in turn, contribute to the induced dipole. Deviations from the usual linear Kerr effect due to real ionization and real excitation are associated with these transitions.

The second contribution to the integral in (5) comes from the end point of the integral, t' = t, and is equally standard. This contribution is derived using integration by parts and assuming that the laser field $F\cos(\omega t)$ is slow compared to the oscillations of the exponents. Writing out explicitly the ground-state Stark shift in the low-frequency field as

$$a_g(t) = b_g(t) \exp\left(-i\int_{t_i}^t \Delta E_g^{(S)}(\tau) d\tau\right),\tag{7}$$

we find for the second contribution

$$a_k^{(\text{inst})}(t) = a_g(t) e^{-iE_g t} F(t) \frac{z_{kg}(t)}{E_k(t) - E_g(t)},$$
(8)

where $E_g(t) = E_g + \Delta E_g^{(S)}(t)$ and $z_{kg}(t) = \langle \phi_k(t) | \hat{z} | g \rangle$. This contribution describes virtual transitions from the ground state to the excited states, i.e. the instantaneous polarization of the ground state driven by the low-frequency field. At the intensities of interest, $a_k^{(inst)} \ll 1$ since $Fz_{kg} \ll E_k - E_g$. Substituting this expression into (6) for the leading term $D_{12}(t)$, we obtain the contribution to the ground-state atom response from the instantaneous polarization following the driving laser field:

$$D_{12}^{(\text{inst})}(t) + \text{c.c.} \simeq 2|a_g(t)|^2 F(t) \sum_k \frac{|z_{gk}(t)|^2}{E_k(t) - E_g(t)}.$$
(9)

There are several obvious restrictions on the applicability of this expression. Firstly, it is only valid in the low-frequency field, when the photon energy is small compared to the energy gap between the ground and the excited states. Secondly, the virtual amplitudes a_k must be small, i.e. $1 - |a_g(t)|^2 \ll 1$, otherwise the $D_{22}(t)$ term becomes equally important.

Expression (9) is remarkably similar to the lowest-order perturbation theory result, except that the field-free states and their energies are replaced by the dressed Floquet states and the associated energies $E_k(t)$.

Knowing the structure of the states $\phi_k(t)$ and the energies $E_k(t)$, one can analyse the field dependence of the instantaneous response. In particular, one can apply the first-order perturbation theory to the field-free states ϕ_k , E_k to find corrections introduced by the field. In this approximation, one immediately obtains the standard Kerr effect, i.e. $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \kappa F^2$. As the application of the first-order perturbation theory to the excited states becomes insufficient, one should not be surprised to see deviations from the linear intensity dependence. From this perspective, the recent experimental results for near-IR laser fields [39] showing that this does

not happen until close to the onset of ionization, are counter-intuitive. We will return to this point later in the paper, when discussing our numerical results.

Consider now non-perturbative IR fields with intensities in the range of 10^{13} W cm⁻², and noble gases such as Ne, Ar or Kr. The ground state, with $I_p \sim 14$ eV or even larger, remains strongly bound at these intensities, and its Stark shift $\Delta E_g^{(S)}$ is negative. All excited states are, however, deeply in the barrier suppression regime. The dressed states associated with them are the quasi-bound KH states [3, 5, 6]. In the so-called KH reference frame, which oscillates with the free electron $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_{\text{KH}} + (F/\omega^2)\cos(\omega t)\mathbf{e}_z$, they are well approximated [2, 4] by stationary eigenstates $\phi_k^{(\text{KH})}(\mathbf{r}_{\text{KH}})$ of the laser-cycle averaged binding potential

$$U^{(\rm KH)}(\mathbf{r}_{\rm KH}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \ U(\mathbf{r}_{\rm KH} + a_0 \cos(\phi) \mathbf{e}_z), \tag{10}$$

where $a_0 = F/\omega^2$ is the quiver amplitude of the free electron. For $a_0 \gg 1$ the potential acquires the characteristic double-well structure, with the two wells separated by $2a_0$, becoming shallower as a_0 increases. As a consequence the spectrum changes. Transforming back to the laboratory frame, the states $\phi_k^{(\text{KH})}(\mathbf{r}_{\text{KH}})$ (i) begin to oscillate and (ii) acquire the associated phase,

$$\Phi_k(\mathbf{r},t) = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}E_k^{(\mathrm{KH})}t - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\int_{-\infty}^t \mathbf{A}^2(\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau} \phi_k^{(\mathrm{KH})}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{a}(t)), \tag{11}$$

where $\mathbf{A}(t) = -(F/\omega)\sin(\omega t)\mathbf{e}_z$ and $\mathbf{a}(t) = a_0\cos(\phi)\mathbf{e}_z$. Thus, the instantaneous contribution to the induced dipole reads

$$D^{(\text{inst,KH})}(t) \sim D_{12}^{(\text{inst,KH})}(t) + \text{c.c.}$$

$$\simeq 2|a_g(t)|^2 F(t) \sum_k \frac{|z_{gk}(t)|^2}{E_k^{(\text{KH})} + A^2(t)/2 - E_g(t)}.$$
(12)

The ponderomotive term $A^2(t)/2$ is large and positive. Consequently, the denominator grows. Simultaneously, the $\phi_k^{(\text{KH})}$ become progressively more delocalized with increasing a_0 , leading to the reduction of the transition matrix elements. Hence, it is reasonable to expect that the instantaneous response decreases with intensity as the excited states enter the KH regime, i.e. for laser field strengths $F \gg F_{\text{BS}}$. For states with binding energies of a few eV this implies $I \ge 10^{13} \text{ W cm}^{-2}$.

3. Numerical simulations

To gauge the importance of light-induced restructuring of the atom for its nonlinear response, we have calculated the full nonlinear response of a 1D model hydrogenic system and the real 3D hydrogen atom by solving the time-dependent Schrödinger equation (TDSE) numerically on a grid. We have computed the induced dipole d(t) and its Fourier transform at the fundamental driving frequency d_{ω} for a broad range of peak laser field strengths F_0 and frequencies ω ranging from UV to mid-IR. In all 1D and 3D simulations a reflection-free absorbing boundary [40] is used.

Numerical analysis of the importance and the relative contribution of the KH states is challenging for two conceptual reasons. Firstly, there is a well-known difficulty of unambiguously distinguishing bound versus continuum states when the laser pulse is still present. Secondly, it is also difficult to separate virtual from real excitations while the field is turned on, and such analysis is even sensitive to the gauge [19]. Moreover, given the very large negative polarizability of both continuum and KH states, even small transfer of real population to these states (at the few 10^{-3} level) will dominate the negative contribution to the Kerr response for IR laser frequencies.

The strategy we have adopted to deal with these challenges is as follows. For each set of laser parameters, we have performed our calculations for different sizes of the simulation volume. For small volumes, all or most of the free electrons are absorbed, suppressing their contribution (the plasma response) to d_{ω} . For very large simulation volumes, all continuum electrons are retained in the calculation until the end of the laser pulse. Thus, following the dependence (or independence) of the response on the size of the simulation volume, we can quantify the contribution of the free electrons.

Moreover, changing the size of the simulation volume also allows us to gauge the role of the KH states. Indeed, for high laser intensities their size is $\sim \pm 2a_0$, where $a_0 = F/\omega^2$ is the electron oscillation amplitude. As soon as the size of the simulation volume, including the width of the absorbing potential (33 au in our case) becomes less than $\pm 2a_0$, the KH states will be effectively destroyed and their contribution to d_{ω} suppressed. This turns the system into an analogue of a short-range potential with a sole bound (ground) state left. The short-range system has been analysed by Kolesik and collaborators [41, 42], showing that in this case the linear Kerr effect persists until ionization. Our calculations shown in figure 1(c) are fully in line with their results for small box sizes.

Importantly, the laser pulse envelope will have significant effect on the relative role, existence and stability of the KH states. Indeed, the formation of stable 'bound states of the free electron' requires that the intensity of the laser field remains constant during many laser cycles. In the case of short bell-shaped laser pulses the positions of these states, and their lifetimes, change from cycle to cycle, making their identification much more difficult and smearing distinct features that they might produce in the nonlinear response. Moreover, the KH states suffer fast decay during the transition between the perturbative and the KH regime. Therefore our analysis was done for two different laser pulse shapes: (i) a short eight-cycle pulse with a smooth bell-shaped envelope ((4-0-4)-pulse) and (ii) a long flat-top pulse that turns on in four cycles until the peak intensity is reached, which then remains constant during the next 40 cycles until the pulse smoothly turns off in four cycles ((4-40-4)-pulse). In both cases the leading edge and the trailing edge of the two pulses are described by a sin²-envelope. The flat-top pulse is favourable for the existence, stability and efficient population of the KH states.

In figure 1 we present the intensity-dependent response of the hydrogen atom, both 1D and 3D, for two selected frequencies and the short (4-0-4)-pulse. In all calculations the applied electric field has linear polarization along the *z* axis. We plot the real part of the nonlinear contribution to the frequency-dependent polarizability, calculated as $\Delta \alpha_{\omega}(F) = d_{\omega}(F)/F_0 - \lim_{F_0 \to 0} d_{\omega}(F)/F_0$, where ω is the central frequency of the driving field and F_0 is the peak value of the electric field.

Panels (a) and (c) show the results for a $0.9 \,\mu\text{m}$ and a $1.8 \,\mu\text{m}$ laser pulse acting on a model 1D system with soft-core Coulomb potential $V(z) = -1/\sqrt{(z)^2 + (1.4142)^2}$ and ground state energy $E_g = -0.5$ au. The response is calculated by solving the TDSE for different grid sizes ranging from $z \in [-100, 100]$ au to $z \in [-1000, 1000]$ au, with a time-step of $\Delta t = 0.125$ au, and a grid step-size of $\Delta z = 0.05$ au. The orange lines in the insets of figure 1 are the extrapolations of the linear response at small intensities towards higher intensities. For $\lambda \simeq 0.9 \,\mu\text{m}$, the polarizability increases linearly until $I \simeq 2.4 \times 10^{13} \,\text{W cm}^{-2}$. Beyond this intensity the Kerr response first saturates and subsequently reverses its sign.



Figure 1. Dependence of the Kerr response on the size of the simulation grid for the short (4-0-4)-pulse. Plotted is the real part of the nonlinear contribution to the polarizability (with the low-field limit subtracted) as a function of intensity for (a) the 1D hydrogenic system and a central frequency of the driving pulse of $\omega = 0.050$ au, (b) the 3D hydrogen atom and a central frequency of the driving pulse of $\omega = 0.050$ au, (c) same as (a), but for $\omega = 0.025$ au, (d) same as (b), but for $\omega = 0.025$ au. 1D results are shown for different grid sizes ranging from $z \in [-100, 100]$ au to $z \in [-1000, 1000]$ au. 3D results are computed in cylindrical coordinates for the simulation volume 0 with radius $\rho \in [0, 100]$ au and $z \in [-100, 100]$ au, simulation volume 1 with radius $\rho \in [0, 100]$ au and $z \in [-200, 200]$ au, simulation volume 2 with radius $\rho \in [0, 100]$ au and $z \in [0, 100]$ [-400, 400] au, and simulation volume 3 with radius $\rho \in [0, 200]$ au and $z \in$ [-800, 800] au. The horizontal black solid line indicates $\text{Re}[\Delta \alpha_{\omega}] = 0$. The insets show a zoom of the intensity regions, in which the Kerr response saturates. The solid orange lines are the extrapolations of the linear response at small intensities towards higher intensities.

Firstly, we note that saturation starts just before the results begin to depend on the simulation volume. Thus, it is not yet dominated by the free electrons.

Secondly, there is a very peculiar dependence on the volume size beyond $I = 2.9 \times 10^{13} \,\mathrm{W \, cm^{-2}}$. For grid sizes above $\pm 200 \,\mathrm{au}$, there is no size-dependence of the response.

Thus, all electrons responsible for the saturation of the Kerr response are within the $\pm (200 - 33)$ au = ± 167 au distance from the origin.

Thirdly, comparing with the results for the smallest simulation volume ± 100 au, we see that about half of them are much closer, within $\pm (100 - 33)$ au = ± 67 au for the intensity range shown in the inset. They are either trapped into Rydberg states or have a positive energy below 0.5 eV (our absorbing potential absorbs virtually all electrons above this energy).

The physics becomes much clearer for the mid-IR wavelength of $1.8 \,\mu$ m. Here we can see a clear dependence of the saturation effect on the grid size.

First, let us consider all grids larger than ± 200 au. The saturation occurs universally at the same intensity and starts just before the onset of the grid-size dependence. For higher intensities, the clear dependence on the grid size demonstrates the contribution of free electrons.

Now, we bring the reader's attention to the dramatic change for the $\pm(100-33)$ au = ± 67 au grid: the linear dependence of the Kerr response is perfectly restored. To interpret this result, we note that the size of the KH states is $2a_0 \approx 90$ au at the intensity $I = 2.7 \times 10^{13}$ W cm⁻². Thus, in this regime the saturation and the reversal of the Kerr effect are dominated by the response of the KH states, which are not supported by the ± 100 au grid.

Figures 1(b) and (d) show similar calculations for the 3D hydrogen atom. A spatial resolution of 0.2 au and a time-step of $\Delta t = 0.005$ au is used. For $\lambda \simeq 0.9 \,\mu$ m, the Kerr response is saturated around $I = 4.3 \times 10^{13} \,\mathrm{W \, cm^{-2}}$, however, the results do not depend on the size of the simulation volume. Virtually all states that contribute to the atom's response are confined within the smallest simulation volume ($\rho_{max} = 100 \,\mathrm{au}, -100 < z < 100 \,\mathrm{au}$).

At $I = 5.6 \times 10^{13} \,\mathrm{W \, cm^{-2}}$ the Kerr effect is reversed and the polarizability shows a dependence on the simulation volume meaning that the free electrons contribute. However, the overall decrease relative to the linear Kerr response is noticeably larger than the variation between the bigger simulation volumes, suggesting that the physics is similar to that in the 1D case: substantial contribution of the Rydberg states.

Also for $\lambda \simeq 1.8 \,\mu$ m, the 3D simulations are in line with the 1D results. For the smallest simulation volume, the KH states are absorbed by the absorbing boundary, preventing the saturation and reversal of the Kerr response at higher intensities. For the larger simulation volumes, the Kerr effect is saturated at the same intensity $I = 4.3 \times 10^{13} \,\mathrm{W \, cm^{-2}}$ as for $\lambda \simeq 0.9 \,\mu$ m. However, the grid size dependence is more pronounced: the deviation from the linear response is twice as big for the largest simulation volume compared to that of volume 1 and that of volume 2, for which the response is virtually the same. The grid size dependence demonstrates bi-modal distribution of electrons: at this intensity half of the contributing electrons are located within the volume 1 with |z| < 200 au while the rest are outside volume 2, i.e. at |z| > 400 au.

How does the Kerr response change for the longer (4-40-4)-pulse? Good convergence of the results in 3D requires very large simulation volumes, with $|z_{\text{max}}| = 1600$ au and $\rho_{\text{max}} = 400$ au, making reliable computations for long laser pulses prohibitively expensive. Figure 2 shows our results for the 1D hydrogenic system and several values of the laser wavelength. A spatial resolution of 0.1 au and a time-step of $\Delta t = 0.125$ au is used.

First of all, we note that there are clear resonances in the response at the driving frequency, especially well pronounced for shorter laser wavelengths ($\lambda \simeq 0.5 \,\mu\text{m}$ and $\lambda \simeq 0.7 \,\mu\text{m}$), where they are accompanied by a dramatic drop in the Kerr response due to population transfer into excited states with large negative polarizability.



Figure 2. Kerr response for the long (4-40-4)-pulse. Plotted is the real part of the nonlinear contribution to the polarizability (with the low-field limit subtracted) as a function of intensity for the 1D hydrogenic system and a central frequency of the driving pulse of (a) $\omega = 0.0675$ au, (b) $\omega = 0.090$ au (red), $\omega = 0.055$ au (blue) and $\omega = 0.025$ au (green). The insets show the low-intensity regions. The horizontal black dashed line indicates Re[$\Delta \alpha_{\omega}$] = 0. The vertical orange dashed lines in (a) indicate the intensity values for which the Floquet spectra shown in figure 3(c) are computed.

Complementary calculations monitoring ionization (not depicted here) show that these resonances are accompanied by very little ionization and that the population stays bound after the end of the laser pulse. For $\lambda \simeq 0.8 \,\mu$ m, the drop in the Kerr response due to a multiphoton resonance just precedes the onset of ionization. For longer wavelengths, the onset of ionization is essentially frequency-independent, with ionization probability reaching 0.5% around $I = 3.2 \times 10^{13} \,\mathrm{W \, cm^{-2}}$. Importantly, for the longest wavelengths, resonances persist in the tunnelling regime of the Keldysh parameter $\gamma^2 = I_p/2U_p = 2I_p\omega^2/F^2 < 1$ and even well in the barrier suppression regime for all excited states.

What is the origin of these resonance structures? We stress that we are looking at the response at the fundamental frequency, ω , with the ground state well separated from the rest of the spectrum. Let us focus on the prominent resonance for $\lambda \simeq 0.7 \,\mu$ m, centred at the intensity $I = 2.39 \times 10^{13} \,\mathrm{W \, cm^{-2}}$. Figure 3(a) shows the intensity-dependent energy structure of the 1D hydrogenic system. The dashed lines represent the energies of its excited states shifted by $U_{\rm p}$. The Stark shift of the ground state of only $\sim -0.08 \,\mathrm{eV}$ at $3 \times 10^{13} \,\mathrm{W \, cm^{-2}}$ is negligible.

First thing to note is the six-photon Freeman resonance [43] between the ground state $|g\rangle$ and the second excited state $|2\rangle$ at $I = 2.39 \times 10^{13}$ W cm⁻². Figure 3(c) shows the Floquet analysis of the energy-amplitude structure of the populated field-dressed states for $I = 2.39 \times 10^{13}$ W cm⁻², as well as for a lower intensity, $I = 1.56 \times 10^{13}$ W cm⁻² and for a higher intensity, $I = 2.64 \times 10^{13}$ W cm⁻², both outside the resonance region, cf figure 2(a). The Floquet analysis was carried out as described in [4]. Surprisingly, the six-photon peak is negligible. However, the three-photon peak and the four-photon peak are distinct for $I = 2.39 \times 10^{13}$ W cm⁻² and drop considerably for $I = 2.64 \times 10^{13}$ W cm⁻². The solid lines in figure 3(a) show the intensity-dependent energies of the excited states of the associated KH atom. In the KH picture no six-photon Freeman resonance occurs, explaining why the six-photon peak is negligible.



Figure 3. Analysis of the origin of the resonance in the response for $\lambda \simeq$ 0.7 μ m at $I = 2.39 \times 10^{13}$ W cm⁻², see figure 2(a). (a) Energy structure of the 1D hydrogenic system versus intensity. Dashed lines represent the energies of its excited states shifted by $U_{\rm p}$. The solid black line represents the ground state energy, which is assumed to remain constant for all intensities. The sixphoton Freeman resonance between the ground state and the second excited state at $I = 2.39 \times 10^{13} \,\mathrm{W \, cm^{-2}}$ is indicated. Non-black solid lines represent the energies of the excited states of the associated KH atom. (b) Transition energies between the third and the second excited KH state, $E_{\rm |KH3\rangle} - E_{\rm |KH2\rangle}$, and between the second and the first excited KH state, $E_{\rm |KH2\rangle} - E_{\rm |KH1\rangle}$, versus intensity. The horizontal orange line denotes the one-photon energy of the $\lambda \simeq$ 0.7 μ m laser field. The vertical orange line indicates $I = 2.39 \times 10^{13} \,\mathrm{W \, cm^{-2}}$. (c) Quasi-energy spectra for $I = 1.56 \times 10^{13} \,\mathrm{W \, cm^{-2}}$ (green solid line), I = 2.39×10^{13} W cm⁻² (red dashed line) and $I = 2.64 \times 10^{13}$ W cm⁻² (blue dashed line). The energies corresponding to a *n*-photon (n = 1, ..., 7) transition starting from the unperturbed ground state are indicated. Panels (d) and (e) show the partial quasi-energy spectra calculated for a subsystem consisting of the seven lowest excited KH states for $I = 1.56 \times 10^{13} \,\mathrm{W \, cm^{-2}}$ and I = $2.39 \times 10^{13} \,\mathrm{W \, cm^{-2}}$, respectively. The orange dashed lines indicate the energies corresponding to the three-photon and the four-photon transition starting from the unperturbed ground state.

However, the transition energies between the third and the second excited KH state, $E_{\rm |KH2\rangle} - E_{\rm |KH2\rangle}$, and between the second and the first excited KH state, $E_{\rm |KH2\rangle} - E_{\rm |KH1\rangle}$, become nearly resonant with the $\lambda \simeq 0.7 \,\mu m$ laser field, see figure 3(b). Hence, for $I = 2.39 \times 10^{13} \,\mathrm{W \, cm^{-2}}$, these excited KH states are strongly coupled by the field, potentially forming a Floquet ladder which can be felt by the ground state.

To check this idea we have considered a subsystem consisting of the seven lowest excited KH states, $\Psi_{\text{sub}}^{(\text{KH})} = \sum_{i=1}^{7} a_i^{(\text{KH})}(t) \psi_i^{(\text{KH})}$, which are coupled by the first and second harmonic of the KH potential, see (10). Starting from the first excited KH state, we have solved the (truncated) TDSE in the KH frame

$$i\partial_{t}\Psi_{\rm sub}^{\rm (KH)} = \left[-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^{2} + \sum_{n=0}^{2}U_{n}(z_{\rm KH})\cos(n\omega t)\right]\Psi_{\rm sub}^{\rm (KH)},$$
$$U_{n}(z_{\rm KH}) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{0}^{2\pi}U(z_{\rm KH} + a_{0}\cos(\phi))\cos(n\phi)\,\mathrm{d}\phi,$$

where U is the binding potential, thereby obtaining the time-dependent partial amplitudes $a_i^{(KH)}(t)$ and their Fourier transforms $a_i^{(KH)}(\omega)$.

Panels (d) and (e) show the partial quasi-energy spectra $|a_i^{(\text{KH})}(\hbar\omega)|^2$ for $I = 1.56 \times 10^{13} \text{ W cm}^{-2}$ and $I = 2.39 \times 10^{13} \text{ W cm}^{-2}$, respectively. The energies corresponding to the three-photon transition and to the four-photon transition starting from the unperturbed ground state are indicated. For $I = 1.56 \times 10^{13} \text{ W cm}^{-2}$ no resonance is present. For $I = 2.39 \times 10^{13} \text{ W cm}^{-2}$ two quasi-energy peaks appear at the three-photon and four-photon resonance, consistent with the full calculation. We note that the relative amplitudes of the quasi-energy peaks are not reliable due to the limited number of states included in the calculation, especially due to the absence of the ground state. Thus, our analysis suggests an interplay of quasi-static tunnelling from the ground state, trapping of the tunnelled population in the KH states, and the modifications of the 'instantaneous' response of the dressed ground state associated with the establishment of the KH regime for the excited states (which requires several laser cycle with constant intensity).

4. Conclusions

We have shown that our numerical analysis supports our analytical prediction that the restructuring of a 'laser-dressed' atom, in particular the formation of KH states, does play an important role in the nonlinear Kerr effect, even for mid-IR wavelengths. This is an unusual regime since the KH atom is only formed for the excited states but not for the ground state, which remains only weakly perturbed. The significance of the KH states for the Kerr response is strongly pulse-shape dependent. For the short bell-shaped pulse our numerical results indicate that the saturation of the Kerr response happens just before ionization sets in. The higher-order Kerr effect appears to be real, but it is important only in a very narrow intensity window. As soon as ionization occurs, the free electrons start to dominate. The deviations from the standard model are much more prominent for the flat-top pulse, for which our calculations reveal clear resonances in the response at the driving frequency. Our Floquet analysis suggests that these resonances can be explained by population transfer into excited KH states. While clear observation of these effects in laser filamentation is challenging and requires the use of shaped laser pulses, their signatures could be visible due to the presence of resonance structures

in the Kerr response, possibly leading to unusual self-focusing and propagation dynamics. From the perspective of attosecond and intense-field physics, finding such signatures outside the traditional setup employing high-resolution photo-electron spectroscopy, velocity map imaging and COLTRIMS, would be extremely exciting.

Acknowledgments

We thank A M Popov, M Kolesik, P Polynkin, C Köhler, L Bergé, J-P Wolf, J Kasparian, H M Milchberg, R J Levis, G Steinmeyer and J V Moloney for stimulating and insightful discussions. This research was supported in part by the EPSRC Programme grant no. EP/I032517/1, the Marie Curie ITN Network CORINF and the ERA-Chemistry Project PIM2010EEC-00751.

References

- [1] Eichmann U, Nubbemeyer T, Rottke H and Sandner W 2009 Nature 461 1261
- [2] Morales F, Richter M, Patchkovskii S and Smirnova O 2011 Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 108 16906
- [3] Popov A M, Tikhonova O V and Volkova E A 2011 J. Mod. Opt. 58 1195
- [4] Kapoor V and Bauer D 2012 Phys. Rev. A 85 023407
- [5] Henneberger W 1968 Phys. Rev. Lett. 21 838
- [6] Gavrila M 2002 J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 35 R147
- [7] Volkova E A, Popov A M, Smirnova O V and Tikhonova O V 1997 J. Exp. Theor. Phys. 84 658
- [8] Popov A M, Tikhonova O V and Volkova E A 1999 J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 32 3331
- [9] Smirnova O V 2000 J. Exp. Theor. Phys. 90 609
- [10] Gavrila M, Simbotin I and Stroe M 2008 Phys. Rev. A 78 033404
- [11] Gavrila M, Simbotin I and Stroe M 2008 Phys. Rev. A 78 033405
- [12] Kolesik M, Mirell D, Diels J-C and Moloney J V 2010 Opt. Lett. 35 3685
- [13] Chen Y-H, Varma S, Antonsen T M and Milchberg H M 2010 Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 215005
- [14] Kosareva O et al 2011 Opt. Lett. 36 1035
- [15] Wahlstrand J K and Milchberg H M 2011 Opt. Lett. 36 3822
- [16] Polynkin P, Kolesik M, Wright E M and Moloney J V 2011 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106** 153902
- [17] Wahlstrand J K, Cheng Y-H, Chen Y-H and Milchberg H M 2011 Phys. Rev. Lett. 107 103901
- [18] Odhner J H, Romanov D A, McCole E T, Wahlstrand J K, Milchberg H M and Levis R J 2012 Phys. Rev. Lett. 109 065003
- [19] Béjot P, Cormier E, Hertz E, Lavorel B, Kasparian J, Wolf J P and Faucher O 2013 Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 043902
- [20] Loriot V, Hertz E, Faucher O and Lavorel B 2009 Opt. Express 17 13429
- [21] Loriot V, Hertz E, Faucher O and Lavorel B 2010 Opt. Express 18 3011(E)
- [22] Loriot V, Béjot P, Ettoumi W, Petit Y, Kasparian J, Henin S, Hertz E, Lavorel B, Faucher O and Wolf J-P 2011 Laser Phys. 21 1319
- [23] Béjot P, Kasparian J, Henin S, Loriot V, Vieillard T, Hertz E, Faucher O, Lavorel B and Wolf J-P 2010 Phys. Rev. Lett. 104 103903
- [24] Béjot P, Hertz E, Kasparian J, Lavorel B, Wolf J-P and Faucher O 2011 Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 243902
- [25] Brée C, Demicran A and Steinmeyer G 2011 Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 183902
- [26] Volkova E A, Popov A M and Tikhonova O V 2011 JETP Lett. 94 519
- [27] Volkova E A, Popov A M and Tikhonova O V 2012 Quantum Electron. 42 680
- [28] Köhler C, Guichard R, Lorin E, Chelkowski S, Bandrauk A D, Bergé L and Skupin S 2013 Phys. Rev. A 87 043811
- [29] Nurhuda M, Suda A and Midorikawa K 2008 New J. Phys. 10 053006
- [30] Kano P O, Brio M and Moloney J V 2006 Commun. Math. Sci. 4 53

New Journal of Physics 15 (2013) 083012 (http://www.njp.org/)

13

IOP Institute of Physics **D**EUTSCHE PHYSIKALISCHE GESELLSCHAFT

- [31] Yudin G L and Ivanov M Y 2001 Phys. Rev. A 63 033404
- [32] Nubbemeyer T, Gorling K, Saenz A, Eichmann U and Sandner W 2008 Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 233001
- [33] Manschwetus B, Nubbemeyer T, Gorling K, Steinmeyer G, Eichmann U, Rottke H and Sandner W 2009 Phys. Rev. Lett. 102 113002
- [34] Shvetsov-Shilovski N I, Goreslavski S P, Popruzhenko S V and Becker W 2009 Laser Phys. 19 1550
- [35] Emmanouilidou A, Lazarou C, Staudte A and Eichmann U 2012 Phys. Rev. A 85 011402
- [36] Landsman A S, Pfeiffer A N, Hofmann C, Smolarski M, Cirelli C and Keller U 2013 New J. Phys. 15 013001
- [37] Andreasen J, Wright E M and Kolesik M 2012 arXiv:1210.7285v1 [physics.optics]
- [38] Smirnova O, Spanner M and Ivanov M 2007 J. Mod. Opt. 54 1019
- [39] Wahlstrand J K, Cheng Y-H and Milchberg H M 2012 Phys. Rev. Lett. 109 113904
- [40] Manolopoulos D E 2002 J. Chem. Phys. 117 9552
- [41] Teleki A, Wright E M and Kolesik M 2010 Phys. Rev. A 82 065801
- [42] Brown J M, Lotti A, Teleki A and Kolesik M 2011 Phys. Rev. A 84 063424
- [43] Freeman R R, Bucksbaum P H, Milchberg H, Darack S, Schumacher D and Geusic M E 1987 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 59 1092

14