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#### Abstract

We study a novel projection-based particle method to the solution of the corresponding McKean-Vlasov equation. Our approach is based on the projectiontype estimation of the marginal density of the solution in each time step. The projection-based particle method can profit from additional smoothness of the underlying density and leads in many situation to a significant reduction of numerical complexity compared to kernel density estimation algorithms. We derive strong convergence rates and rates of density estimation. The case of linearly growing coefficients of the McKean-Vlasov equation turns out to be rather challenging and requires some new type of averaging technique. This case is exemplified by explicit solutions to a class of McKean-Vlasov equations with affine drift.


## 1 Introduction

Nonlinear Markov processes are stochastic processes whose transition functions may depend not only on the current state of the process but also on the current distribution of the process. These processes were introduced by McKean [6] to model plasma dynamics. Later nonlinear Markov processes were studied by a number of authors; we mention here the books of Kolokoltsov [4] and Sznitman [9]. These processes arise naturally in the study of the limit behavior of a large number of weakly interacting Markov processes and have a wide range of applications, including financial mathematics, population dynamics, and neuroscience (see, e.g., [3] and the references therein).
Let $[0, T]$ be a finite time interval and $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathrm{P})$ be a complete probability space, where a standard $m$-dimensional Brownian motion $W$ is defined. We consider a class of McKeanVlasov SDEs, i.e. stochastic differential equation (SDE) whose drift and diffusion coefficients may depend on the current distribution of the process of the form

$$
\begin{cases}X_{t} & =\xi+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} a\left(X_{s}, y\right) \mu_{s}(d y) d s+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} b\left(X_{s}, y\right) \mu_{s}(d y) d W_{s}  \tag{1}\\ \mu_{t} & =\operatorname{Law}\left(X_{t}\right), \quad t \in[0, T],\end{cases}
$$

where $X_{0} \sim \xi$ is an $\mathcal{F}_{0}$-measurable random variable in $\mathbb{R}^{d}, a: \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $b: \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}$. If the functions $a$ and $b$ are smooth with uniformly bounded derivatives and the density of $\mu_{0}$ satisfies

$$
\mu_{0}(x) \lesssim \exp \left(-\rho_{0}|x|^{\rho_{1}}\right), \quad|x| \rightarrow \infty
$$

for some $\rho_{0}>0, \rho_{1}>0$, then (see [1]) there is a unique strong solution of (1) such that for all $p>1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}\left(\sup _{s \leq T}\left|X_{s}\right|^{p}\right) \leq \infty . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $d=1$ and for any $t \geq 0$, the measure $\mu_{t}(d u)$ possesses a bounded density $\mu_{t}(u)$. Then the family of these densities satisfies a nonlinear Fokker-Planck equation of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \mu_{t}(x)}{\partial t} & =-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\left(\int a(x, y) \mu_{t}(y) d y\right) \mu_{t}(x)\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}\left(\left(\int b(x, y) \mu_{t}(y) d y\right)^{2} \mu_{t}(x)\right) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

which can be seen as an analogue of the linear Fokker-Planck equation in the SDE case. In Section 4.1 we will show that if the drift $a$ is moreover affine in $x$, and the diffusion coefficient $b$ independent of $x$, the system (1), and hence (3), has an explicit solution. These solutions, apart from being interesting in their own right, also provide explicit cases of explosion, hence where the assumptions of [1] are (partially) violated.
The theory of the propagation of chaos developed in [9], states that (1) is a limiting equation of the system of stochastic interacting particles (samples) with the following dynamics

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}^{i, N}=\xi^{i}+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} a\left(X_{s}^{i, N}, y\right) \mu_{s}^{N}(d y) d s+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} b\left(X_{s}^{i, N}, y\right) \mu_{s}^{N}(d y) d W_{s}^{i} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, N$, where $\mu_{t}^{N}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{X_{t}^{i, N}}, \xi^{i}, i=1, \ldots, N$, are i.i.d copies of $\xi$, distributed according the law $\mu_{0}$, and $W^{i}, i=1, \ldots, N$, are independent copies of $W$. In fact it can be shown, under sufficient regularity conditions on the coefficients, that convergence in law for empirical measures on the path space holds, i.e., $\mu^{N}=\left\{\mu_{t}^{N}: t \in\right.$ $[0, T]\} \rightarrow \mu, N \rightarrow \infty$, see [7].
Despite the numerous branches of research on stochastic particle systems, results on numerical approximations of McKean-Vlasov-SDEs are very sparse. Authors in [1] proposed to use the Euler scheme with time-step $h=T / L$, that for $l=0, \ldots, L-1$, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{X}_{t_{l+1}}^{i, N}=\bar{X}_{t_{l}}^{i, N}+\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} a\left(\bar{X}_{t_{l}}^{i, N}, \bar{X}_{t_{l}}^{j, N}\right) h+\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} b\left(\bar{X}_{t_{l}}^{i, N}, \bar{X}_{t_{l}}^{j, N}\right) \Delta_{l+1} W^{i} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, N, t_{l}=h l$, and $\Delta_{l+1} W^{i}=W_{h(l+1)}^{i}-W_{h l}^{i}$. Implementation of the above algorithm requires usually $N^{2} \times L$ operations in every step of the Euler scheme. By using the algorithm presented here one can significantly reduce the complexity of the particle simulation especially if the coefficients of the corresponding McKean-Vlasov SDE are smooth.

## 2 Projected particle method

Assume that for any $t \geq 0$, the measure $\mu_{t}(d u)$ possesses a bounded density $\mu_{t}(u)$. Let ( $\left.\varphi_{k}, k=0,1,2, ..\right)$ be a total orthonormal system in $L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. We can formally write

$$
\mu_{t}(u)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{k}(t) \varphi_{k}(u),
$$

where the sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{k}(t):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \mu_{t}(u) \varphi_{k}(u) d u=\mathrm{E}\left[\varphi_{k}\left(X_{t}\right)\right] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

converges in $l_{2}$ for any fixed $t \in[0, T]$. Let us introduce the functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{k}(x) & :=\int a(x, u) \varphi_{k}(u) d u \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \\
\beta_{k}(x) & :=\int b(x, u) \varphi_{k}(u) d u \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} a(x, y) \mu_{t}(d y) & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{k}(x) \gamma_{k}(t)  \tag{7}\\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} b(x, y) \mu_{t}(d y) & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \beta_{k}(x) \gamma_{k}(t)
\end{align*}
$$

Fix some natural number $K>0$ and consider a projected particle approximation for (1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}^{i, K, N}=\xi^{i}+\int_{0}^{t} \sum_{k=0}^{K} \gamma_{k}^{N}(s) \alpha_{k}\left(X_{s}^{i, K, N}\right) d s+\int_{0}^{t} \sum_{k=0}^{K} \gamma_{k}^{N}(s) \beta_{k}\left(X_{s}^{i, K, N}\right) d W_{s}^{i} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, N$, where

$$
\gamma_{k}^{N}(s):=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi_{k}\left(X_{s}^{j, K, N}\right) .
$$

Consequently, we can consider an Euler-type approximation for (8)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{X}_{t}^{i, K, N}=\bar{X}_{\eta(t)}^{i, K, N}+\sum_{k=0}^{K} \gamma_{k}^{N}(\eta(t)) \alpha_{k}\left(\bar{X}_{\eta(t)}^{i, K, N}\right)(t-\eta(t)) \\
&+\sum_{k=0}^{K} \gamma_{k}^{N}(\eta(t)) \beta_{k}\left(\bar{X}_{\eta(t)}^{i, K, N}\right)\left(W_{t}^{i}-W_{\eta(t)}\right) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, N$, and $h=T / L$, where $\eta(t):=l h$ for $t \in[l h,(l+1) h)$. Note that in order to generate a discretized particle system $\left(\bar{X}_{h l}^{i, N}\right), i=1, \ldots, N, l=1, \ldots, L$, we need to perform (up to a constant depending on the dimension) NKL operations. This should be compared to $N^{2} L$ operations in (5). Thus if $K$ is much smaller than $N$, we get a significant cost reduction.

## 3 Convergence analysis

In this section we first study the convergence of the approximated particle system (8) to the solution of the original system (1). As a first obvious but important observation, we note that the distribution of the triple $\left(X_{s}^{j, K, N}, X_{s}^{K, N}, X_{s}^{j}\right)$ with $X_{s}^{K, N}:=$ $\left(X_{s}^{1, K, N}, \ldots, X_{s}^{N, K, N}\right)$ does not depend on $j$, and therefore we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(X^{j, K, N}, X^{K, N}, X^{j}\right) \stackrel{\text { distr. }}{=}\left(X^{\cdot, K, N}, X^{K, N}, X^{\cdot}\right) \text { for } j=1, \ldots, N . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For ease of notation, henceforth we denote with $|\cdot|:=|\cdot|_{\text {dim }}$ for a generic dimension dim the standard Euclidian norm in $\mathbb{R}^{\text {dim }}$. Let us make the following assumptions.
(AF) The basis functions $\left(\varphi_{k}\right)$ fulfil

$$
\left|\varphi_{k}(z)-\varphi_{k}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq L_{k, \varphi}\left|z-z^{\prime}\right|, \quad\left|\varphi_{k}(z)\right| \leq D_{\varphi}, \quad k=0,1, \ldots
$$

for all $z, z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and some constants $L_{k, \varphi}, D_{\varphi}>0$.
(AC) The functions $\alpha_{k}(x), \beta_{k}(x), k=0,1,2, \ldots$ satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|\alpha_{k}(x)\right| & \leq A_{k, \alpha}(1+|x|) \quad \text { with } \\
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{k, \alpha} & \leq A_{\alpha} \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} L_{k, \varphi} A_{k, \alpha} \leq L_{\varphi} A_{\alpha}, \\
\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|\beta_{k}(x)\right| & \leq A_{k, \beta}(1+|x|) \quad \text { with } \\
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{k, \beta} & \leq A_{\beta}, \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} L_{k, \varphi} A_{k, \beta} \leq L_{\varphi} A_{\beta},
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constant $L_{\varphi}>0$, and further

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{x, x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\alpha_{k}(x)-\alpha_{k}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|}{\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|} \leq B_{\alpha}, \\
& \sup _{x, x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left|\beta_{k}(x)-\beta_{k}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|}{\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|} \leq B_{\beta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(AM) The density of $\mu_{0}$ satisfies

$$
\mu_{0}(x) \lesssim \exp \left(-\rho_{0}|x|^{\rho_{1}}\right), \quad|x| \rightarrow \infty
$$

for some $\rho_{0}>0$ and $\rho_{1}>0$.
Note that, if the sequence $\left(L_{k, \varphi}\right)_{k=0,1, \ldots}$ in (AF) is bounded, one may take $L_{\varphi}=$ $\sup _{k \geq 0} L_{k, \varphi}$ in (AC). Henceforth, for any random variable $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{\text {dim }}$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathrm{P})$ we shall use $\|\xi\|_{p}$ for the norm of $|\xi|$ in $L_{p}(\Omega)$. The following bound can be proved.

Theorem 1. For $p \geq 2$, it holds under assumptions (AC), (AF) and (AM) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\sup _{0 \leq r \leq T}\left|X_{r}^{\cdot, K, N}-X_{r}^{\cdot}\right|\right\|_{p} & \lesssim N^{-1 / 2}+\sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} A_{k, \alpha}\left\|\gamma_{k}\right\|_{L_{p}[0, T]} \\
& +\sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} A_{k, \beta}\left\|\gamma_{k}\right\|_{L_{p}[0, T]} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lesssim$ stands for an inequality with some positive finite constant depending on $A_{\alpha}, A_{\beta}, B_{\alpha}, B_{\beta,} D_{\varphi}, L_{\varphi}, \rho_{0}, \rho_{1}, p$, and $T$.

Remark 1. For $1 \leq p^{\prime} \leq 2$, we simply have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sup _{0 \leq r \leq T}\left|X_{r}^{\cdot, K, N}-X_{r}^{\cdot}\right|\right\|_{p^{\prime}} \leq\left\|\sup _{0 \leq r \leq T}\left|X_{r}^{\cdot, K, N}-X_{r}^{\cdot}\right|\right\|_{p} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $p \geq 2$.

The next theorem, on the convergence of the Euler approximation (9) to the projected system (8), can be proved along the same lines as the proof of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. For $p \geq 2$, it holds under assumptions (AC), (AF) and (AM) that

$$
\left\|\sup _{0 \leq r \leq T}\left|\bar{X}_{r}^{\cdot, K, N}-X_{r}^{\cdot, K, N}\right|\right\|_{p} \lesssim \sqrt{h},
$$

where $\lesssim$ stands for an inequality with some positive finite constant depending on $A_{\alpha}, A_{\beta}, B_{\alpha}, B_{\beta}, D_{\varphi}, L_{\varphi}, p$ and $T$.

Let us now discuss the estimation of the densities $\mu_{t}, t \geq 0$. Fix some $t>0$ and set

$$
\widehat{\mu}_{t}^{K, N}(x):=\sum_{k=1}^{K} \gamma_{k}^{N}(t) \varphi_{k}(x)
$$

with $\gamma_{k}^{N}(t):=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \varphi_{k}\left(X_{t}^{i, K, N}\right)$. We obviously have

$$
\mathrm{E} \int\left|\widehat{\mu}_{t}^{K, N}(x)-\mu_{t}(x)\right|^{2} d x=\sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathrm{E}\left[\left|\gamma_{k}^{N}(t)-\gamma_{k}(t)\right|^{2}\right]+\sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty}\left|\gamma_{k}(t)\right|^{2},
$$

where (due to (AF))

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}\left[\left|\gamma_{k}^{N}(t)-\gamma_{k}(t)\right|^{2}\right] & =\mathrm{E}\left[\left\lvert\, \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi_{k}\left(X_{t}^{j, K, N}\right)-\mathrm{E}\left[\left.\varphi_{k}\left(X_{t}\right)\right|^{2}\right]\right.\right. \\
& \leq 2 \mathrm{E}\left[\left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\varphi_{k}\left(X_{t}^{j, K, N}\right)-\varphi_{k}\left(X_{t}^{j}\right)\right)\right|^{2}\right] \\
& +2 \mathrm{E}\left[\left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\varphi_{k}\left(X_{t}^{j}\right)-\mathrm{E}\left[\varphi_{k}\left(X_{t}^{j}\right)\right]\right)\right|^{2}\right] \\
& \leq 2 L_{k, \varphi}^{2} \mathrm{E}\left[\left|X_{t}^{;, K, N}-X_{t}^{\cdot}\right|^{2}\right]+\frac{2}{N} \operatorname{Var}\left[\varphi_{k}\left(X_{t}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

since the $X^{j}$ are independent. Theorem 1 now implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathrm{E} \int\left|\widehat{\mu}_{t}^{K, N}(x)-\mu_{t}(x)\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} \lesssim & \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K}\left(L_{k, \varphi}^{2}+D_{\varphi}^{2}\right)\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& +\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} L_{k, \varphi}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty}\left(A_{k, \alpha}+A_{k, \beta}\right)\left\|\gamma_{k}\right\|_{L_{p}[0, T]} \\
& +\left(\sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty}\left|\gamma_{k}(t)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The last term always converges to zero, since $\mu_{t}$ is bounded and hence $\mu_{t} \in L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. The first term can be controlled for any $K$ by taking $N$ large enough. However, in order to ensure that the middle term goes to zero for $K \rightarrow \infty$ we need in addition to (AC), (AF), and (AM) some supplementary assumptions.

Discussion The bound (11) is proved under rather general assumptions on the coefficients $a(x, y)$ and $b(x, y)$. So we allow for a linear growth of these coefficients in $x$. This makes the proof of the bound rather challenging, since we need to avoid the explosion of coefficients while using the Gronwall's lemma. In order to overcome this problem, we employ a kind of averaging technique which, being combined with the symmetry of the particle distribution and the existence of moments (see Section 6.1), gives the desired bound. The bound (11) consists of stochastic and approximation errors. While the first error is of order $1 / \sqrt{N}$, the second one depends on $K$ and the properties of the coefficients $a(x, y)$ and $b(x, y)$. If these coefficients are smooth in the sense that their Fourier coefficients $\left(\alpha_{k}\right)$ and $\left(\beta_{k}\right)$ decay fast, then the approximation error can be made small even for medium values of $K$.

Example 1. The Hermite polynomial of order $j$ is given, for $j \geq 0$, by

$$
H_{j}(x)=(-1)^{j} e^{x^{2}} \frac{d^{j}}{d x^{j}}\left(e^{-x^{2}}\right)
$$

Hermite polynomials are orthogonal with respect to the weight function $e^{-x^{2}}$ and satisfy: $\int_{\mathbb{R}} H_{j}(x) H_{\ell}(x) e^{-x^{2}} d x=2^{j} j!\sqrt{\pi} \delta_{j, \ell}$. The Hermite function of order $j$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{j}(x)=c_{j} H_{j}(x) e^{-x^{2} / 2}, \quad c_{j}=\left(2^{j} j!\sqrt{\pi}\right)^{-1 / 2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sequence $\left(\varphi_{j}, j \geq 0\right)$ is an orthonormal basis of $L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$. The density $\mu_{t}$ can be developed in the Hermite basis $\mu_{t}(u)=\sum_{j \geq 0} \gamma_{j}(t) \varphi_{j}(u)$ where $\gamma_{j}(t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu_{t}(x) \varphi_{j}(x) d x=$ $\left\langle\mu_{t}, \varphi_{j}\right\rangle$. This leads to a collection of projection estimators $\hat{\mu}_{K, N}=\sum_{j=0}^{K} \gamma_{j}^{N}(t) \varphi_{j}$, where $\gamma_{j}^{N}=N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \phi_{j}\left(X_{t}^{i}\right)$ is the empirical estimator of $\gamma_{j}(t)$. Let us discuss the assumptions (AC) and (AF). Using Theorem 34 in [2] and the definition of $\alpha_{k}$ and $\beta_{k}$, we can derive the following result.
Theorem 3. Suppose that for any $u \in \mathbb{R}$, the coefficients $a(x, u)$ and $b(x, u)$ admit derivatives in $u$ up to order $s>2$ such that all functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a(x, u), \partial_{u} a(x, u), \ldots, \partial_{u}^{s} a(x, u), u^{s-\ell} \partial_{u}^{\ell} a(x, u) \\
& b(x, u), \partial_{u} b(x, u), \ldots, \partial_{u}^{s} b(x, u), u^{s-\ell} \partial_{u}^{\ell} b(x, u),
\end{aligned}
$$

$\ell=0, \ldots, s-1$, belong to $L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ (in $u$ ) together with their first derivatives in $x$. Then the assumptions (AC) and (AF) are satisfied and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sup _{0 \leq r \leq T}\left|X_{r}^{\cdot, K, N}-X_{r}^{\cdot}\right|\right\|_{p} \lesssim K^{1-s / 2}+N^{-1 / 2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $K, N \rightarrow \infty$.
Remark 2. As a rule, one chooses $N$ and $K$ such that the errors in (14) are balanced, that is $N^{1 /(s-2)} \sim K$, yielding a proportional reduction of computational cost of order of $N \cdot K / N^{2} \sim N^{-(s-3) /(s-2)}$. In [1] conditions are formulated, guaranteeing that all measures $\mu_{t}, t \geq 0$, possess smooth exponentially decaying densities. In this case we can additionally profit from the decay of the Fourier coefficients $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)$ such that the convergence rates in (11) give rise to a proportional reduction of computational cost approaching $N^{-1}$. Generally, the smoother the density $\mu_{t}$ is, the faster is the decay rate of its Fourier coefficients $\gamma_{k}(t)$.

## 4 Specific models

### 4.1 Generalised Shimizu-Yamada Models

Inspired by the work of Shimizu and Yamada [8], [10] and [5], we consider the McKeanVlasov equations of the form (1) with

$$
a(x, u):=a^{0}(u)+a^{1}(u) x, \quad b(x, u):=b(u) .
$$

This class of models allows for a linear dependence of drift on the distribution of $X$ through $\mathrm{E}\left[a^{0}\left(X_{t}\right)\right]$ and $\mathrm{E}\left[a^{1}\left(X_{t}\right)\right]$.

Theorem 4. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{a^{j}}(p, q) & :=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi q}} \int a^{j}(u) e^{-\frac{(p-u)^{2}}{2 q}} d u, \quad j=0,1, \\
H_{b}(p, q) & :=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi q}} \int b(u) e^{-\frac{(p-u)^{2}}{2 q}} d u
\end{aligned}
$$

and let $\left(A_{t}, G_{t}\right)$ be a solution of the following system of ODEs

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{t}^{\prime}=H_{b}^{2}\left(A_{t}, G_{t}\right)+2 H_{a^{1}}\left(A_{t}, G_{t}\right) G_{t}  \tag{15}\\
& A_{t}^{\prime}=H_{a^{0}}\left(A_{t}, G_{t}\right)+H_{a^{1}}\left(A_{t}, G_{t}\right) A_{t}, \quad\left(G_{0}, A_{0}\right)=\left(0, x_{0}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Then the McKean-Vlasov equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d X_{t}=\left(\mathrm{E}\left[a^{0}\left(X_{t}\right)\right]+X_{t} \mathrm{E}\left[a^{1}\left(X_{t}\right)\right]\right) d t+\mathrm{E}\left[b\left(X_{t}\right)\right] d W_{t}, \quad X_{0}=x_{0} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

with bounded functions $a^{i}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i=0,1$, has an explicit solution of the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{t} & =x_{0} e^{\int_{0}^{t} H_{a^{1}}\left(A_{s}, G_{s}\right) d s}+\int_{0}^{t} H_{a^{0}}\left(A_{s}, G_{s}\right) e^{\int_{s}^{t} H_{a^{1}}\left(A_{r}, G_{r}\right) d r} d s  \tag{17}\\
& +\int_{0}^{t} H_{b}\left(A_{s}, G_{s}\right) e^{\int_{s}^{t} H_{a^{1}}\left(A_{r}, G_{r}\right) d r} d W_{s} .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. It can be straightforwardly checked that for arbitrary continuous and deterministic functions $\mathfrak{a}_{t}^{0}, \mathfrak{a}_{t}^{1}$, and $\mathfrak{b}_{t}$ on $[0, T]$, the solution of the SDE

$$
d X_{t}=\left(\mathfrak{a}_{t}^{0}+\mathfrak{a}_{t}^{1} X_{t}\right) d t+\mathfrak{b}_{t} d W_{t}, \quad X_{0}=x_{0}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,
$$

reads explicitly,

$$
X_{t}=x_{0} e^{\int_{0}^{t} \mathfrak{a}_{s}^{1} d s}+\int_{0}^{t} \mathfrak{a}_{s}^{0} e^{\int_{s}^{t} \mathfrak{a}_{r}^{1} d r} d s+\int_{0}^{t} \mathfrak{b}_{s} e^{\int_{s}^{t} \mathfrak{a}_{r}^{1} d r} d W_{s},
$$

and thus the characteristic function of $X_{t}$ takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{t}(v)=\exp \left[\mathfrak{i} v \int_{0}^{t} \mathfrak{a}_{s}^{0} e^{\int_{s}^{t} \mathfrak{a}_{r}^{1} d r} d s-\frac{1}{2} v^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \mathfrak{b}_{s}^{2} e^{2 \int_{s}^{t} \mathfrak{1}_{r}^{1} d r} d s+\mathfrak{i} v e^{\int_{0}^{t} \mathfrak{a}_{s}^{1} d s} x_{0}\right] . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\frac{e^{-\frac{(p-u)^{2}}{2 q}}}{\sqrt{2 \pi q}}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int e^{-\mathrm{i} v u} \exp \left[\mathfrak{i} v p-v^{2} q / 2\right] d v,
$$

we have for $j=0,1$,

$$
H_{a^{j}}(p, q)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int a^{j}(u) d u \int \exp \left[\mathfrak{i} v p-v^{2} q / 2\right] e^{-\mathrm{i} v u} d v
$$

Now let

$$
\mathfrak{a}_{t}^{j}=\mathrm{E}\left[a^{j}\left(X_{t}\right)\right], \quad j=0,1, \quad \text { and } \quad \mathfrak{b}_{t}=\mathrm{E}\left[b\left(X_{t}\right)\right] .
$$

It then follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{a^{j}}\left(e^{\int_{0}^{t} \mathfrak{a}_{s}^{1} d s} x_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} \mathfrak{a}_{s}^{0} e^{\int_{s}^{t} \mathfrak{a}_{r}^{1} d r} d s, \int_{0}^{t}\left(\mathfrak{b}_{s}^{0}\right)^{2} e^{2 \int_{s}^{t} \mathfrak{a}_{r}^{1} d r} d s\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int a^{j}(u) d u \int \varphi_{t}(v) e^{-\mathrm{i} v u} d v \\
& =\int a^{j}(u) \mu_{t}(u) d u \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left[a^{j}\left(X_{t}\right)\right]=\mathfrak{a}_{t}^{j}, \quad j=0,1,
\end{aligned}
$$

and similarly,

$$
H_{b}\left(e^{\int_{0}^{t} \mathfrak{a}_{s}^{1} d s} x_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} \mathfrak{a}_{s}^{0} e_{s}^{t} \mathfrak{a}_{r}^{1} d r d s, \int_{0}^{t}\left(\mathfrak{b}_{s}^{0}\right)^{2} e^{2 \int_{s}^{t} \mathfrak{a}_{r}^{1} d r} d s\right)=\mathrm{E}\left[b\left(X_{t}\right)\right]=\mathfrak{b}_{t} .
$$

By next introducing

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{t} & :=e^{\int_{0}^{t} \mathfrak{a}_{s}^{1} d s} x_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} \mathfrak{a}_{s}^{0} e^{\int_{s}^{t} \mathfrak{a}_{r}^{1} d r} d s, \\
G_{t} & :=\int_{0}^{t}\left(\mathfrak{b}_{s}^{0}\right)^{2} e^{2 \int_{s}^{t} \mathfrak{a}_{r}^{1} d r} d s,
\end{aligned}
$$

the system (15) follows straightforwardly. Conversely, it is easy to see that a solution to (15) yields an explicit solution (17) to (16).

Example 2. Let us consider affine functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{0}(u) & =a_{0}^{0}+a_{1}^{0} u, \\
a^{1}(u) & =a_{0}^{1}+a_{1}^{1} u, \\
b(u) & =b_{0}+b_{1} u .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then for $c \equiv a^{0}, c \equiv a^{1}$, and $c \equiv b$, respectively, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{c}(p, q) & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi q}} \int c(u) e^{-\frac{(p-u)^{2}}{2 q}} d u \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi q}} \int c_{0} e^{-\frac{(p-u)^{2}}{2 q}} d u+\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\pi q}} \int c_{1} u e^{-\frac{(p-u)^{2}}{2 q}} d u \\
& =c_{0}+c_{1} p
\end{aligned}
$$

with $c(u)=c_{0}+c_{1} u$. In particular, the $H_{c}(p, q)$ do not depend on $q$, and so (15) simplifies to

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{t}^{\prime}=a_{0}^{0}+\left(a_{1}^{0}+a_{0}^{1}\right) A_{t}+a_{1}^{1} A_{t}^{2}, \quad A_{0}=x_{0} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution (can be checked via Mathematica) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{t}=-\frac{\left(a_{1}^{0}+a_{0}^{1}\right)}{2 a_{1}^{1}}+\frac{\sqrt{-D}}{2 a_{1}^{1}} \tan \left[\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-D} t+\arctan \left[\frac{a_{1}^{0}+a_{0}^{1}+2 a_{1}^{1} x_{0}}{\sqrt{-D}}\right]\right] \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $D:=\left(a_{1}^{0}+a_{0}^{1}\right)^{2}-4 a_{0}^{0} a_{1}^{1}<0$, and by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{t}=\frac{\sqrt{D}-a_{1}^{0}-a_{0}^{1}}{2 a_{1}^{1}}-\frac{\sqrt{D}}{a_{1}^{1}} \frac{1}{1+\frac{\sqrt{D}+a_{1}^{0}+a_{0}^{1}+2 a_{1}^{1} x_{0}}{\sqrt{D}-a_{1}^{0}-a_{0}^{1}-2 a_{1}^{1} x_{0}} e-\sqrt{D} t} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $D:=\left(a_{1}^{0}+a_{0}^{1}\right)^{2}-4 a_{0}^{0} a_{1}^{1} \geq 0$. Consequently, the corresponding McKean-Vlasov SDE reads

$$
d X_{t}=\left(a_{0}^{0}+a_{1}^{0} A_{t}+\left(a_{0}^{1}+a_{1}^{1} A_{t}\right) X_{t}\right) d t+\left(b_{0}+b_{1} A_{t}\right) d W_{t}
$$

with explicit solution

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{t} & =x_{0} e^{\int_{0}^{t}\left(a_{0}^{1}+a_{1}^{1} A_{s}\right) d s}+\int_{0}^{t}\left(a_{0}^{0}+a_{1}^{0} A_{s}\right) e^{\int_{s}^{t}\left(a_{0}^{1}+a_{1}^{1} A_{r}\right) d r} d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t}\left(b_{0}+b_{1} A_{s}\right) e^{\int_{s}^{t}\left(a_{0}^{1}+a_{1}^{1} A_{r}\right) d r} d W_{s} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{t}$ is given by (20) or (21). Moreover, it is also possible to give closed form expressions for the mean and variance of (22), but omitted here since these expressions are rather long.

Example 3. By taking in Example 2

$$
a(x, u)=a_{1}^{0} u+a_{0}^{1} x, \quad b(x, u)=b_{0}, \quad a_{1}^{0}+a_{0}^{1}<0,
$$

we get essentially the Shimizo-Yamada model. With $\sqrt{D}:=-a_{1}^{0}-a_{0}^{1}$, and taking the limit for $a_{1}^{1} \rightarrow 0$ in (21) we get after some trivial manipulations

$$
A_{t}=x_{0} e^{\left(a_{1}^{0}+a_{0}^{1}\right) t}
$$

which of course can also be found directly from (19) by taking $a_{0}^{0}=a_{1}^{1}=0$. From (22) we then get straightforwardly the explicit solution

$$
X_{t}=x_{0} e^{\left(a_{1}^{0}+a_{0}^{1}\right) t}+\int_{0}^{t} b_{0} e^{a_{0}^{1}(t-s)} d W_{s}
$$

which is Gaussian with mean $x_{0} e^{\left(a_{1}^{0}+a_{0}^{1}\right) t}$ and variance $b_{0}^{2} \frac{e^{2 a_{0}^{1} t}-1}{2 a_{0}^{1}}$, and which is consistent with the terminology in ([3], Section 3.10), where $a_{1}^{0}+a_{0}^{1}=-\gamma$ and $a_{0}^{1}=-\gamma-\kappa$.

Example 4. By taking in Example 2

$$
a(x, u)=\left(a_{0}^{1}+a_{1}^{1} u\right) x, \quad b(x, u)=b_{0},
$$

we straightforwardly get from (21),

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{t}=\frac{x_{0} e^{a_{0}^{1} t}}{1-\frac{a_{1}^{1}}{a_{0}^{1}} x_{0}\left(e^{a_{0}^{1} t}-1\right)}, \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}=x_{0} e^{\int_{0}^{t}\left(a_{0}^{1}+a_{1}^{1} A_{s}\right) d s}+\int_{0}^{t} b_{0} e^{\int_{s}^{t}\left(a_{0}^{1}+a_{1}^{1} A_{r}\right) d r} d W_{s} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. Plugging (23) into (24) then yields

$$
X_{t}=\frac{x_{0} e^{a_{0}^{1} t}}{1-\frac{a_{1}^{1}}{a_{0}^{1}} x_{0}\left(e^{a_{0}^{1} t}-1\right)}+\frac{b_{0} e^{a_{0}^{1} t}}{1-\frac{a_{1}^{1}}{a_{0}^{1}} x_{0}\left(e^{a_{0}^{1} t}-1\right)} \Gamma_{t}
$$

with Gaussian $\Gamma_{t}=\int_{0}^{t}\left(1-\frac{a_{1}^{1}}{a_{0}^{1}} x_{0}\left(e^{a_{0}^{1} s}-1\right)\right) e^{-a_{0}^{1} s} d W_{s}$. In particular, if $a_{0}^{1}=0$ we get

$$
A_{t}=\frac{x_{0}}{1-a_{1}^{1} x_{0} t},
$$

and solution

$$
X_{t}=\frac{x_{0}}{1-a_{1}^{1} x_{0} t}+b_{0} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1-a_{1}^{1} x_{0} s}{1-a_{1}^{1} x_{0} t} d W_{s} .
$$

Remark 3. From Example 4 it is clear that if $a_{1}^{1} \neq 0$, the McKean-Vlasov solution may explode in finite time. On the other hand, this is not surprising since when $a_{1}^{1} \neq 0$ the derivative $\partial_{u} a(x, u)$ is unbounded and so the main results in [1] do not apply.

## 5 Proofs

### 5.1 Proof of Theorem 1

Let us introduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{a}_{K, N}(x, y):=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_{k}(x) \varphi_{k}\left(y^{j}\right)=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \varphi_{k}\left(y^{j}\right) \int a(x, u) \varphi_{k}(u) d u, \\
& \mathfrak{b}_{K, N}(x, y):=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_{k}(x) \varphi_{k}\left(y^{j}\right)=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \varphi_{k}\left(y^{j}\right) \int b(x, u) \varphi_{k}(u) d u,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{a}_{s}(x) & :=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} a(x, u) \mu_{s}(d u) d s, \\
\mathfrak{b}_{s}(x) & :=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} b(x, u) \mu_{s}(d u) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, y \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}$. We so have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{t}^{i}:=X_{t}^{i, K, N}-X_{t}^{i} & =\int_{0}^{t}\left(\mathfrak{a}_{K, N}\left(X_{s}^{i, K, N}, X_{s}^{K, N}\right)-\mathfrak{a}_{s}\left(X_{s}^{i}\right)\right) d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t}\left(\mathfrak{b}_{K, N}\left(X_{s}^{i, K, N}, X_{s}^{K, N}\right)-\mathfrak{b}_{s}\left(X_{s}^{i}\right)\right) d W_{s}^{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $W^{i}, i=1, \ldots, N$, are i.i.d. copies of the $m$-dimensional Wiener process $W$. Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\Delta_{t}^{i}\right|^{p} & \leq 2^{p-1} t^{p-1} \int_{0}^{t}\left|\mathfrak{a}_{K, N}\left(X_{s}^{i, K, N}, X_{s}^{K, N}\right)-\mathfrak{a}_{s}\left(X_{s}^{i}\right)\right|^{p} d s  \tag{25}\\
& +2^{p-1} d^{p-1} \sum_{q=1}^{d}\left|\int_{0}^{t}\left(\mathfrak{b}_{K, N}^{q}\left(X_{s}^{i, K, N}, X_{s}^{K, N}\right)-\mathfrak{b}_{s}^{q}\left(X_{s}^{i}\right)\right) d W_{s}^{i}\right|^{p}
\end{align*}
$$

and so we have with

$$
\overline{\Delta_{t}^{p}}:=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sup _{s \in[0, t]}\left|\Delta_{s}^{i}\right|^{p}
$$

the bound

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{\Delta_{t}^{p}} & \leq 2^{p-1} t^{p-1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{t}\left|\mathfrak{a}_{K, N}\left(X_{s}^{i, K, N}, X_{s}^{K, N}\right)-\mathfrak{a}_{s}\left(X_{s}^{i}\right)\right|^{p} d s \\
& +2^{p-1} d^{p-1} \sum_{q=1}^{d} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sup _{s \in[0, t]}\left|\int_{0}^{s}\left(\mathfrak{b}_{K, N}^{q}\left(X_{s}^{i, K, N}, X_{s}^{K, N}\right)-\mathfrak{b}_{s}^{q}\left(X_{s}^{i}\right)\right) d W_{s}^{i}\right|^{p} \\
& =: 2^{p-1} t^{p-1} \operatorname{Term}_{1}+2^{p-1} d^{p-1} \operatorname{Term}_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Assumption (AC) implies

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\mathfrak{a}_{K, N}(x, y)-\mathfrak{a}_{K, N}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right| & =\left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K}\left(\alpha_{k}(x) \varphi_{k}\left(y_{j}\right)-\alpha_{k}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \varphi_{k}\left(y_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K}\left|\alpha_{k}(x)-\alpha_{k}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|\left|\varphi_{k}\left(y_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right| \\
& +\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K}\left|\alpha_{k}(x)\right|\left|\varphi_{k}\left(y_{j}\right)-\varphi_{k}\left(y_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right| \\
& \leq\left|x-x^{\prime}\right| D_{\varphi} B_{\alpha, \varphi}+\frac{L_{\varphi} A_{\alpha}}{N}\left(1+\left|x^{\prime}\right|\right) \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left|y_{j}-y_{j}^{\prime}\right| \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathfrak{a}_{K, N}(x, y)-\mathfrak{a}_{K, N}\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right|^{p} & \leq 2^{p-1}\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|^{p} D_{\varphi}^{p} B_{\alpha, \varphi}^{p} \\
& +2^{p-1} L_{\varphi}^{p} A_{\alpha, \varphi}^{p}\left(1+\left|x^{\prime}\right|\right)^{p} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left|y_{j}-y_{j}^{\prime}\right|^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So it holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathfrak{a}_{K, N}\left(X_{s}^{i, K, N}, X_{s}^{K, N}\right)-\mathfrak{a}_{K, N}\left(X_{s}^{i}, X_{s}\right)\right|^{p} & \leq 2^{p-1} D_{\varphi}^{p} B_{\alpha}^{p}\left|\Delta_{s}^{i}\right|^{p} \\
& +2^{p-1} L_{\varphi}^{p} A_{\alpha}^{p}\left(1+\left|X_{s}^{i}\right|\right)^{p} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left|\Delta_{s}^{j}\right|^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

and then it follows that, with regard to Term $_{1}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Term}_{1} & \leq 2^{2 p-2} D_{\varphi}^{p} B_{\alpha}^{p} \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{E}\left[\overline{\Delta_{s}^{p}}\right] d s \\
& +2^{2 p-2} L_{\varphi}^{p} A_{\alpha}^{p} \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{E}\left[\overline{\Delta_{s}^{p}} \cdot \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(1+\left|X_{s}^{i}\right|\right)^{p}\right] d s \\
& +2^{p-1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{E}\left[\left|\mathfrak{a}_{K, N}\left(X_{s}^{i}, X_{s}\right)-\mathfrak{a}_{s}\left(X_{s}^{i}\right)\right|^{p}\right] d s . \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us now consider the middle term. Set

$$
\zeta_{s, N}:=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(1+\left|X_{s}^{i}\right|\right)^{p}-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{E}\left[\left(1+\left|X_{s}^{i}\right|\right)^{p}\right]
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}\left[\overline{\Delta_{s}^{p}} \cdot \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(1+\left|X_{s}^{i}\right|\right)^{p}\right] & =\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{E}\left[\left(1+\left|X_{s}^{i}\right|\right)^{p}\right] \cdot \mathrm{E}\left[\overline{\Delta_{s}^{p}}\right] \\
& +\mathrm{E}\left[\zeta_{s, N} \cdot \overline{\Delta_{s}^{p}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

For arbitrary but fixed $\theta>0$, it holds that

$$
\mathrm{E}\left[\zeta_{s, N} \cdot \overline{\Delta_{s}^{p}}\right]=\mathrm{E}\left[\zeta_{s, N} \cdot \overline{\Delta_{s}^{p}} 1_{\left\{\zeta_{s, N} \leq \theta\right\}}\right]+\mathrm{E}\left[\zeta_{s, N} \cdot \overline{\Delta_{s}^{p}} 1_{\left\{\zeta_{s, N}>\theta\right\}}\right],
$$

where on the one hand

$$
\mathrm{E}\left[\zeta_{s, N} \cdot \overline{\Delta_{s}^{p}} 1_{\left\{\zeta_{s, N} \leq \theta\right\}}\right] \leq \theta \mathrm{E}\left[\overline{\overline{\Delta_{s}^{p}}}\right]
$$

and on the other

$$
\mathrm{E}\left[\zeta_{s, N} \cdot \overline{\Delta_{s}^{p}} 1_{\left\{\zeta_{s, N}>\theta\right\}}\right] \leq \sqrt{\mathrm{E}\left[\zeta_{s, N}^{2} 1_{\left\{\zeta_{s, N}>\theta\right\}}\right]} \sqrt{\mathrm{E}\left[\left(\overline{\Delta_{s}^{p}}\right)^{2}\right]} .
$$

Due to (2) we have that for any $\eta>0$, there exists $C_{\theta, \eta}>0$ such that

$$
\mathrm{E}\left[\zeta_{s, N}^{2} 1_{\left\{\zeta_{s, N}>\theta\right\}}\right]=\frac{1}{N} \mathrm{E}\left[\left(\sqrt{N} \zeta_{s, N}\right)^{2} 1_{\left\{\sqrt{N} \zeta_{s, N}>\theta \sqrt{N}\right\}}\right] \leq \frac{C_{\theta, \eta}^{2}}{N^{\eta+1}}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq T,
$$

for $N$ large enough and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}\left[\left(\overline{\Delta_{s}^{p}}\right)^{2}\right] & \leq \mathrm{E}\left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sup _{r \in[0, T]}\left|\Delta_{r}^{j}\right|^{2 p}\right]=\mathrm{E}\left[\sup _{r \in[0, T]}\left|\Delta_{r}^{\cdot}\right|^{2 p}\right] \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left[\sup _{r \in[0, T]}\left|X_{r}^{;, K, N}-X_{r}^{\cdot}\right|^{2 p}\right] \\
& \leq 2^{2 p-1} \mathrm{E}\left[\sup _{r \in[0, T]}\left|X_{r}^{\cdot, K, N}\right|^{2 p}\right]+2^{2 p-1} \mathrm{E}\left[\sup _{r \in[0, T]}\left|X_{r}^{\cdot}\right|^{2 p}\right] \\
& \leq D_{1}+D_{2}=D^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where due to Theorem 5

$$
\mathrm{E}\left[\sup _{r \in[0, T]}\left|X_{r}^{\cdot, K, N}\right|^{2 p}\right] \leq D_{1} \quad \text { uniform in } N \text { and } K
$$

Thus, finally,

$$
\mathrm{E}\left[\overline{\Delta_{s}^{p}} \cdot \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(1+\left|X_{s}^{i}\right|\right)^{p}\right] \leq F_{1}^{p} \cdot \mathrm{E}\left[\overline{\Delta_{s}^{p}}\right]+\frac{F_{2}}{N^{p / 2+1 / 2}}
$$

with $F_{1}:=\theta^{1 / p}+\sup _{0 \leq s \leq T}\left\|1+\left|X_{s}\right|\right\|_{p}$ and $F_{2}:=C_{\theta, p} D$, where we have taken $\eta=p$. Set now

$$
H(s):=\mathrm{E}\left[\overline{\Delta_{s}^{p}}\right]
$$

then the estimate (27) reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{E}\left[\left|\mathfrak{a}_{K, N}\left(X_{s}^{i, K, N}, X_{s}^{K, N}\right)-\mathfrak{a}_{s}\left(X_{s}^{i}\right)\right|^{p}\right] d s \\
& \leq\left(2^{2 p-2} D_{\varphi}^{p} B_{\alpha}^{p}+2^{2 p-2} L_{\varphi}^{p} A_{\alpha}^{p} F_{1}^{p}\right) \int_{0}^{t} H(s) d s+2^{2 p-2} L_{\varphi}^{p} A_{\alpha}^{p} \frac{F_{2}}{N^{p / 2+1 / 2}} t \\
& +2^{p-1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{E}\left[\left|\mathfrak{a}_{K, N}\left(X_{s}^{i}, X_{s}\right)-\mathfrak{a}_{s}\left(X_{s}^{i}\right)\right|^{p}\right] d s \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Regarding the term Term ${ }_{2}$ we call upon the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy's inequality which states that for any $p \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\sup _{s \in[0, t]}\left|\int_{0}^{s}\left(\mathfrak{b}_{K, N}^{q}\left(X_{s}^{i, K, N}, X_{s}^{K, N}\right)-\mathfrak{b}_{s}^{q}\left(X_{s}^{i}\right)\right) d W_{s}^{i}\right|\right\|_{p} \\
& \leq C_{p}\left(\mathrm{E}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left|\left(\mathfrak{b}_{K, N}^{q}\left(X_{s}^{i, K, N}, X_{s}^{K, N}\right)-\mathfrak{b}_{s}^{q}\left(X_{s}^{i}\right)\right)\right|^{2} d s\right)^{p / 2}\right]\right)^{1 / p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that for $p \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{E} \sup _{s \in[0, t]}\left|\int_{0}^{s}\left(\mathfrak{b}_{K, N}^{q}\left(X_{s}^{i, K, N}, X_{s}^{K, N}\right)-\mathfrak{b}_{s}^{q}\left(X_{s}^{i}\right)\right) d W_{s}^{i}\right|^{p}  \tag{29}\\
& \leq C_{p}^{p} \mathrm{E}\left[\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left|\left(\mathfrak{b}_{K, N}^{q}\left(X_{s}^{i, K, N}, X_{s}^{K, N}\right)-\mathfrak{b}_{s}^{q}\left(X_{s}^{i}\right)\right)\right|^{2} d s\right)^{p / 2}\right] \\
& \leq C_{p}^{p} t^{p / 2-1} \mathrm{E}\left[\int_{0}^{t}\left|\left(\mathfrak{b}_{K, N}^{q}\left(X_{s}^{i, K, N}, X_{s}^{K, N}\right)-\mathfrak{b}_{s}^{q}\left(X_{s}^{i}\right)\right)\right|^{p} d s\right] \\
& \leq C_{p}^{p} t^{p / 2-1} \mathrm{E}\left[\int_{0}^{t}\left|\left(\mathfrak{b}_{K, N}\left(X_{s}^{i, K, N}, X_{s}^{K, N}\right)-\mathfrak{b}_{s}\left(X_{s}^{i}\right)\right)\right|^{p} d s\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, completely analogue to the derivation of (28), we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{E}\left[\left|\mathfrak{b}_{K, N}\left(X_{s}^{i, K, N}, X_{s}^{K, N}\right)-\mathfrak{b}_{s}\left(X_{s}^{i}\right)\right|^{p}\right] d s \\
\leq\left(2^{2 p-2} D_{\varphi}^{p} B_{\beta}^{p}+2^{2 p-2} L_{\varphi}^{p} A_{\beta}^{p} F_{1}\right) \int_{0}^{t} H(s) d s+2^{2 p-2} L_{\varphi}^{p} A_{\beta}^{p} \frac{F_{2}}{N^{p / 2+1 / 2}} t \\
+2^{p-1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{E}\left[\left|\mathfrak{b}_{K, N}\left(X_{s}^{i}, X_{s}\right)-\mathfrak{b}_{s}\left(X_{s}^{i}\right)\right|^{p}\right] d s . \tag{30}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now by gathering all together and taking expectations, we arrive at

$$
\begin{gather*}
H(t) \leq\left(D_{\varphi}^{p} B_{\alpha}^{p} T^{p-1}+L_{\varphi}^{p} A_{\alpha}^{p} F_{1}^{p} T^{p-1}\right. \\
\left.+C_{p}^{p} D_{\varphi}^{p} B_{\beta}^{p} d^{p} T^{p / 2-1}+C_{p}^{p} L_{\varphi}^{p} A_{\beta}^{p} d^{p} T^{p / 2-1} F_{1}^{p}\right) 2^{3 p-3} \int_{0}^{t} H(s) d s \\
+2^{3 p-3}\left(L_{\varphi}^{p} A_{\alpha}^{p} T^{p}+d^{p} C_{p}^{p} L_{\varphi}^{p} A_{\beta}^{p} T^{p / 2}\right) \frac{F_{2}}{N^{p / 2+1 / 2}}  \tag{31}\\
+2^{2 p-2} T^{p-1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{E}\left[\left|\mathfrak{a}_{K, N}\left(X_{s}^{i}, X_{s}\right)-\mathfrak{a}_{s}\left(X_{s}^{i}\right)\right|^{p}\right] d s \\
+2^{2 p-2} d^{p} C_{p}^{p} T^{p / 2-1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{E}\left[\left|\mathfrak{b}_{K, N}\left(X_{s}^{i}, X_{s}\right)-\mathfrak{b}_{s}\left(X_{s}^{i}\right)\right|^{p}\right] d s .
\end{gather*}
$$

We next proceed with explicit estimates for the last two terms above. Let us write

$$
\mathfrak{a}_{K, N}\left(X_{s}^{i}, X_{s}\right)-\mathfrak{a}_{s}\left(X_{s}^{i}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_{k}\left(X_{s}^{i}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{N}\left(\varphi_{k}\left(X_{s}^{j}\right)-\gamma_{k}(s)\right)-\sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} \alpha_{k}\left(X_{s}^{i}\right) \gamma_{k}(s),
$$

then we have by the Minkowski inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathfrak{a}_{K, N}\left(X_{s}^{i}, X_{s}\right)-\mathfrak{a}_{s}\left(X_{s}^{i}\right)\right\|_{p} & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{K}\left\|\alpha_{k}\left(X_{s}^{i}\right) \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \xi_{k}^{j}\right\|_{p} \\
& +\sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty}\left\|\alpha_{k}\left(X_{s}^{i}\right) \gamma_{k}(s)\right\|_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\xi_{k}^{j}:=\varphi_{k}\left(X_{s}^{j}\right)-\gamma_{k}(s), j=1, \ldots, N$, have mean zero. Let us now observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}\left[\left|\sum_{j=1}^{N} \xi_{k}^{j}\right|^{p} \mid X^{i}\right] & =\mathrm{E}\left[\left|\xi_{k}^{i}+\sum_{j \neq i}^{N} \xi_{k}^{j}\right|^{p} \mid X^{i}\right] \\
& \leq 2^{p-1} \mathrm{E}\left[\left|\xi_{k}^{i}\right|^{p}+\left|\sum_{j \neq i}^{N} \xi_{k}^{j}\right|^{p} \mid X^{i}\right] \\
& \leq 2^{2 p-1} D_{\varphi}^{p}+2^{p-1} \mathrm{E}\left[\left|\sum_{j \neq i}^{N} \xi_{k}^{j}\right|^{p}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

using (6). For $p \geq 2$, it follows from the Rosenthal's inequality that,

$$
\mathrm{E}\left[\left|\sum_{j \neq i}^{N} \xi_{k}^{j}\right|^{p}\right] \leq C_{p}^{(1)}\left(\left(\sum_{j \neq i}^{N} \mathrm{E}\left|\xi_{k}^{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 2}+\sum_{j \neq i}^{N} \mathrm{E}\left|\xi_{k}^{j}\right|^{p}\right)
$$

for a constant $C_{p}^{(1)}$ only depending on $p$, and, in fact, for $p=2$ we have simply,

$$
\mathrm{E}\left[\left|\sum_{j \neq i}^{N} \xi_{k}^{j}\right|^{p}\right]=\sum_{j \neq i}^{N} \mathrm{E}\left|\xi_{k}^{j}\right|^{2}
$$

Thus, for $p \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}\left[\left.\left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \xi_{k}^{j}\right|^{p} \right\rvert\, X_{s}^{i}\right] & \leq \frac{2^{2 p-1} D_{\varphi}^{p}}{N^{p}}+\frac{2^{p-1} C_{p}^{(1)}}{N^{p}}\left(\left(\sum_{j \neq i}^{N} \mathrm{E}\left|\xi_{k}^{j}\right|^{2}\right)^{p / 2}+\sum_{j \neq i}^{N} \mathrm{E}\left|\xi_{k}^{j}\right|^{p}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{2^{2 p-1} D_{\varphi}^{p}}{N^{p}}+\frac{2^{2 p-1} C_{p}^{(1)} D_{\varphi}^{p}}{N^{p / 2}}+\frac{2^{2 p-1} C_{p}^{(1)} D_{\varphi}^{p}}{N^{p-1}} \\
& \leq \frac{\left(C_{p}^{(2)}\right)^{p} D_{\varphi}^{p}}{N^{p / 2}} \text { for } N>N_{p} \text { and some } C_{p}^{(2)}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

So for any $p \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\alpha_{k}\left(X_{s}^{i}\right) \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \xi_{k}^{j}\right\|_{p}^{p} & \leq A_{k, \alpha}^{p} \mathrm{E}\left[\left(1+\left|X_{s}^{i}\right|\right)^{p} \mathrm{E}\left[\left.\left|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \xi_{k}^{j}\right|^{p} \right\rvert\, X_{s}^{i}\right]\right] \\
& \leq A_{k, \alpha}^{p} \frac{\left(C_{p}^{(2)}\right)^{p} D_{\varphi}^{p}}{N^{p / 2}} \mathrm{E}\left[\left(1+\left|X_{s}\right|\right)^{p}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
\left\|\alpha_{k}\left(X_{s}^{i}\right) \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \xi_{k}^{j}\right\|_{p} \leq C_{p}^{(2)} A_{k, \alpha} D_{\varphi} F_{3} N^{-1 / 2} \quad \text { with } \quad F_{3}:=\sup _{0 \leq s \leq T}\left\|1+\left|X_{s}\right|\right\|_{p}
$$

and further

$$
\sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty}\left\|\alpha_{k}\left(X_{s}^{i}\right) \gamma_{k}(s)\right\|_{p} \leq F_{3} \sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} A_{k, \alpha}\left|\gamma_{k}(s)\right| .
$$

We thus obtain,

$$
\left\|\mathfrak{a}_{K, N}\left(X_{s}^{i}, X_{s}\right)-\mathfrak{a}_{s}\left(X_{s}^{i}\right)\right\|_{p} \leq C_{p}^{(2)} A_{\alpha} D_{\varphi} F_{3} N^{-1 / 2}+F_{3} \sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} A_{k, \alpha}\left|\gamma_{k}(s)\right|,
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{E}\left[\left|\mathfrak{a}_{K, N}\left(X_{s}^{i}, X_{s}\right)-\mathfrak{a}_{s}\left(X_{s}^{i}\right)\right|^{p}\right] & \leq 2^{p-1}\left(C_{p}^{(2)}\right)^{p} A_{\alpha}^{p} D_{\varphi}^{p} F_{3}^{p} N^{-p / 2} \\
& +2^{p-1} F_{3}^{p}\left(\sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} A_{k, \alpha}\left|\gamma_{k}(s)\right|\right)^{p} . \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

Analogously we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{E}\left[\left|\mathfrak{b}_{K, N}\left(X_{s}^{i}, X_{s}\right)-\mathfrak{b}_{s}\left(X_{s}^{i}\right)\right|^{p}\right] & \leq 2^{p-1}\left(C_{p}^{(2)}\right)^{p} A_{\beta}^{p} D_{\varphi}^{p} F_{3}^{p} N^{-p / 2} \\
& +2^{p-1} F_{3}^{p}\left(\sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} A_{k, \beta}\left|\gamma_{k}(s)\right|\right)^{p} . \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, combining the estimates (32) and (33) with (31), yields for $0 \leq t \leq T$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(t) & \leq\left(C_{p, \varphi, X} T^{p-1}+D_{p, \varphi, X} d^{p} T^{p / 2-1}\right) \int_{0}^{t} H(s) d s \\
& +\left(E_{p, \varphi, X} T^{p}+F_{p, \varphi, X} d^{p} T^{p / 2}+O\left(N^{-1 / 2}\right)\right) N^{-p / 2} \\
& +G_{p, \varphi, X} T^{p-1} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} A_{k, \alpha}\left|\gamma_{k}(s)\right|\right)^{p} d s \\
& +H_{p, \varphi, X} d^{p} T^{p / 2-1} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} A_{k, \beta}\left|\gamma_{k}(s)\right|\right)^{p} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

with abbreviations

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{p, \varphi, X} & =2^{3 p-3} D_{\varphi}^{p} B_{\alpha}^{p}+2^{3 p-3} L_{\varphi}^{p} A_{\alpha}^{p} F_{1}^{p} \\
D_{p, \varphi, X} & =2^{3 p-3} C_{p}^{p} D_{\varphi}^{p} B_{\beta}^{p}+2^{3 p-3} C_{p}^{p} L_{\varphi}^{p} A_{\beta}^{p} F_{1}^{p} \\
E_{p, \varphi, X} & =2^{3 p-3}\left(C_{p}^{(2)}\right)^{p} A_{\alpha}^{p} D_{\varphi}^{p} F_{3}^{p} \\
F_{p, \varphi, X} & =2^{3 p-3} C_{p}^{p}\left(C_{p}^{(2)}\right)^{p} A_{\beta}^{p} D_{\varphi}^{p} F_{3}^{p} \\
G_{p, \varphi, X} & =2^{3 p-3} F_{3}^{p} \\
H_{p, \varphi, X} & =2^{3 p-3} C_{p}^{p} F_{3}^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, the statement of the theorem follows from Gronwall's lemma by raising the resulting inequality to the power $1 / p$, then using that $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{q}\left|a_{i}\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{q}\left|a_{i}\right|$ for arbitrary $a_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, a Minkowski type inequality, and the observation that

$$
\mathrm{E}\left[\overline{\Delta_{T}^{p}}\right]=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathrm{E}\left[\sup _{s \in[0, T]}\left|\Delta_{s}^{i}\right|^{p}\right]=\mathrm{E}\left[\sup _{s \in[0, T]}\left|\Delta_{s}^{*}\right|^{p}\right] .
$$

## 6 Appendix

### 6.1 Existence of moments

Theorem 5. Fix some $p \geq 2$ and suppose that $\mathrm{E}\left[\left|X_{0}\right|^{p}\right]<\infty$. Then it holds under assumptions (AC) and (AF),

$$
\left\|\sup _{s \in[0, T]}\left|X_{s}^{\prime, K, N}\right|\right\|_{p}<\infty .
$$

Proof. Fix some $i \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$ and for every $R>0$ introduce the stopping time

$$
\tau_{i, R}=\inf \left\{t \in[0, T]:\left|X_{t}^{i, K, N}-X_{0}^{i}\right|>R\right\} .
$$

We obviously have

$$
\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left|X_{t \wedge \tau_{i, R}}^{i, K, N}\right| \leq R+\left|X_{0}^{i}\right|
$$

so that the non-decreasing function $f_{R}(t):=\left\|\sup _{s \in[0, t]}\left|X_{s \wedge \tau_{i, R}}^{i, K, N}\right|\right\|_{p}, t \in[0, T]$, is bounded by $R+\left\|X_{0}^{i}\right\|_{p}$. On the other hand

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{s \in[0, t]}\left|X_{s \wedge \tau_{i, R}}^{i, K, N}\right| & \leq\left|X_{0}^{i}\right|+\int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{i, R}}\left|\mathfrak{a}_{K, N}\left(X_{s}^{i, K, N}, X_{s}^{K, N}\right)\right| d s \\
& +\sup _{s \in[0, t]}\left|\int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{i, R}} \mathfrak{b}_{K, N}\left(X_{s}^{i, K, N}, X_{s}^{K, N}\right) d W_{s}^{i}\right| \\
& \leq\left|X_{0}^{i}\right|+\int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{i, R}}\left|\mathfrak{a}_{K, N}\left(X_{s}^{i, K, N}, X_{s}^{K, N}\right)\right| d s \\
& +\sum_{q=1}^{d} \sup _{s \in[0, t]}\left|\int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{i, R}} \mathfrak{b}_{K, N}^{q}\left(X_{s}^{i, K, N}, X_{s}^{K, N}\right) d W_{s}^{i}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from the Minkowski and BDG inequality that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{R}(t) & \leq\left\|X_{0}\right\|_{p}+\int_{0}^{t}\left\|1_{\left\{s \leq \tau_{i, R}\right\}} \mathfrak{a}_{K, N}\left(X_{s}^{i, K, N}, X_{s}^{K, N}\right)\right\|_{p} d s \\
& +d C_{p}^{B D G}\left\|\sqrt{\int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{i, R}}\left|\mathfrak{b}_{K, N}\left(X_{s}^{i, K, N}, X_{s}^{K, N}\right)\right|^{2} d s}\right\|_{p} \\
& \leq\left\|X_{0}\right\|_{p}+A_{\alpha} D_{\varphi} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\left(1+\left|X_{s \wedge \tau_{i, R}}^{i, K, N}\right|\right)\right\|_{p} d s \\
& +A_{\beta} D_{\varphi} d C_{p}^{B D G}\left\|\sqrt{\int_{0}^{t}\left|\left(1+\left|X_{s \wedge \tau_{i, R}}^{i, K, N}\right|\right)\right|^{2} d s}\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|X_{0}\right\|_{p}+A_{\alpha} D_{\varphi} \int_{0}^{t}\left(1+\left\|\left|X_{s \wedge \wedge \tau_{i, R}}^{i, K, N}\right|\right\|_{p}\right) d s \\
& +A_{\beta} D_{\varphi} d C_{p}^{B D G}\left(\sqrt{t}+\left(\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\left|X_{s \wedge \tau_{i, R}}^{i, K, N}\right|^{2}\right\|_{p / 2} d s\right)^{1 / 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

again by the Minkowski inequality $(p \geq 2)$. Consequently, the function $f_{R}$ satisfies
$f_{R}(t) \leq\left\|X_{0}\right\|_{p}+A_{\alpha} D_{\varphi} \int_{0}^{t}\left(1+f_{R}(s)\right) d s+A_{\beta} D_{\varphi} d C_{p}^{B D G}\left(\sqrt{t}+\left(\int_{0}^{t} f_{R}^{2}(s) d s\right)^{1 / 2}\right)$,
that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{R}(t) & \leq\left\|X_{0}\right\|_{p}+A_{\alpha} D_{\varphi} t+A_{\beta} D_{\varphi} d C_{p}^{B D G} \sqrt{t} \\
& +A_{\alpha} D_{\varphi} \int_{0}^{t} f_{R}(s) d s+A_{\beta} D_{\varphi} d C_{p}^{B D G}\left(\int_{0}^{t} f_{R}^{2}(s) d s\right)^{1 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 1 (see Appendix) it follows that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\| \sup _{s \in[0, T]}\left|X_{s \wedge \tau_{i, R}}^{i, K, N}\right| & \|_{p} \tag{34}
\end{array} \quad \leq 2 e^{\left(2 A_{\alpha} D_{\varphi}+A_{\beta}^{2} D_{\varphi}^{2} d^{2}\left(C_{p}^{B D G}\right)^{2}\right) T} \times x X_{p}+A_{\alpha} D_{\varphi} T+A_{\beta} D_{\varphi} d C_{p}^{B D G} \sqrt{T}\right) . ~\left(\| X_{0}\right) .
$$

Now note that the stopping times $\tau_{i, R}$ are non-decreasing in $R$, and thus converges non-decreasingly to $\tau_{i, \infty}$ say, with $\tau_{i, \infty} \in[0, T] \cup\{\infty\}$. Thus,

$$
R \rightarrow \sup _{s \in[0, T]}\left|X_{s \wedge \tau_{i, R}}^{i, K, N}\right|
$$

is nondecreasing with

$$
\lim _{R \uparrow \infty} \sup _{s \in[0, T]}\left|X_{s \wedge \tau_{i, R}}^{i, K, N}\right|=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\sup _{s \in[0, T]}\left|X_{s}^{i, K, N}\right| \text { on }\left\{\tau_{i, \infty}=\infty\right\}  \tag{35}\\
\infty \text { on }\left\{\tau_{i, \infty} \leq T\right\}
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Indeed, on the set $\left\{\tau_{i, \infty} \leq T\right\}$ we have for any $R>0,\left|X_{\tau_{i, R}}^{i, K, N}-X_{0}^{i}\right| \geq R$ with $\tau_{i, R} \leq T$, so that

$$
\sup _{s \in[0, T]}\left|X_{s \wedge \tau_{i, R}}^{i, K, N}\right| \geq\left|X_{\tau_{i, R}}^{i, K, N}\right| \geq\left|X_{\tau_{i, R}}^{i, K, N}\right| \geq R-\left|X_{0}^{i}\right|
$$

The Fatou lemma (35) implies (with $0:=\infty \cdot 0$ ),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\lim _{R \uparrow \infty} 1_{\left\{\tau_{i, \infty} \leq T\right\}} \sup _{s \in[0, T]}\left|X_{s \wedge \tau_{i, R}}^{i, K, N}\right|\right\|_{p} & =\infty \cdot P\left(\left\{\tau_{i, \infty} \leq T\right\}\right) \\
& \leq \liminf _{R}\left\|1_{\left\{\tau_{i, \infty} \leq T\right\}} \sup _{s \in[0, T]}\left|X_{s \wedge \tau_{i, R}}^{i, K, N}\right|\right\|_{p} \\
& \leq \liminf _{R}\left\|\sup _{s \in[0, T]}\left|X_{s \wedge \tau_{i, R}}^{i, K, N}\right|\right\|_{p}<\infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

because of (34). So $P\left(\left\{\tau_{i, \infty} \leq T\right\}\right)=0$, i.e. $\tau_{\infty}=\infty$ almost surely. Again by the Fatou lemma, (35) then implies

$$
\left\|\sup _{s \in[0, T]}\left|X_{s}^{i, K, N}\right|\right\|_{p} \leq \liminf _{R}\left\|\sup _{s \in[0, T]}\left|X_{s \wedge \tau_{i, R}}^{i, K, N}\right|\right\|_{p} \leq(*)<\infty,
$$

because of (34) again.

The following lemma is consequence of Gronwall's theorem.
Lemma 1. Let $f:[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$and $\psi:[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be two non-negative nondecreasing functions satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t) \leq A \int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s+B\left(\int_{0}^{t} f^{2}(s) d s\right)^{1 / 2}+\psi(t), \quad t \in[0, T] \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A, B$ are two positive real constants. Then

$$
f(t) \leq 2 e^{\left(2 A+B^{2}\right) t} \psi(t), \quad t \in[0, T]
$$

Proof. It follows from the elementary inequality $\sqrt{x y} \leq \frac{1}{2}(x / B+B y), x, y \geq 0, B>0$, that

$$
\left(\int_{0}^{t} f^{2}(s) d s\right)^{1 / 2} \leq\left(f(t) \int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s\right)^{1 / 2} \leq \frac{f(t)}{2 B}+\frac{B}{2} \int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s
$$

Plugging this into (36) yields

$$
f(t) \leq\left(2 A+B^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s+2 \psi(t)
$$

Now the standard Gronwall inequality yields the desired result.
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