

Oberwolfach Preprints



OWP 2014 - 13

KATHLEEN L. PETERSEN AND CHRISTOPHER D. SINCLAIR

Equidistribution of Elements of Norm 1 in Cyclic
Extensions

Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach gGmbH
Oberwolfach Preprints (OWP) ISSN 1864-7596

Oberwolfach Preprints (OWP)

Starting in 2007, the MFO publishes a preprint series which mainly contains research results related to a longer stay in Oberwolfach. In particular, this concerns the Research in Pairs-Programme (RiP) and the Oberwolfach-Leibniz-Fellows (OWLF), but this can also include an Oberwolfach Lecture, for example.

A preprint can have a size from 1 - 200 pages, and the MFO will publish it on its website as well as by hard copy. Every RiP group or Oberwolfach-Leibniz-Fellow may receive on request 30 free hard copies (DIN A4, black and white copy) by surface mail.

Of course, the full copy right is left to the authors. The MFO only needs the right to publish it on its website *www.mfo.de* as a documentation of the research work done at the MFO, which you are accepting by sending us your file.

In case of interest, please send a **pdf file** of your preprint by email to *rip@mfo.de* or *owlf@mfo.de*, respectively. The file should be sent to the MFO within 12 months after your stay as RiP or OWLF at the MFO.

There are no requirements for the format of the preprint, except that the introduction should contain a short appreciation and that the paper size (respectively format) should be DIN A4, "letter" or "article".

On the front page of the hard copies, which contains the logo of the MFO, title and authors, we shall add a running number (20XX - XX).

We cordially invite the researchers within the RiP or OWLF programme to make use of this offer and would like to thank you in advance for your cooperation.

Imprint:

Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach gGmbH (MFO)
Schwarzwaldstrasse 9-11
77709 Oberwolfach-Walke
Germany

Tel +49 7834 979 50
Fax +49 7834 979 55
Email admin@mfo.de
URL www.mfo.de

The Oberwolfach Preprints (OWP, ISSN 1864-7596) are published by the MFO.
Copyright of the content is held by the authors.

Equidistribution of Elements of Norm 1 in Cyclic Extensions

KATHLEEN L. PETERSEN and CHRISTOPHER D. SINCLAIR

August 26, 2014

Abstract

Upon quotienting by units, the elements of norm 1 in a number field K form a countable subset of a torus of dimension $r_1 + r_2 - 1$ where r_1 and r_2 are the numbers of real and pairs of complex embeddings. When K is Galois with cyclic Galois group we demonstrate that this countable set is equidistributed in this torus with respect to a natural partial ordering.

MSC2010: 11K36 11R42 11R04 11R27

Keywords: equidistribution, cyclic number field, Hecke zeta function, norm 1

1 Introduction

Let K be a number field of degree d over \mathbb{Q} . We will eventually restrict ourselves to Galois extensions with cyclic Galois group, but for the moment we maintain generality. Let \mathcal{N} be the subset of elements in K with norm ($N = N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$) equal to 1. We also define \mathfrak{o}, U and W to be, respectively, the ring of integers, the group of units and the group of roots of unity of K .

The set of archimedean places is denoted S_∞ , and has cardinality $r_1 + r_2$ where r_1 is the number of real places and r_2 is the number of complex places. For each place $v \in S_\infty$ we denote by $\|\cdot\|_v$ either the usual absolute value if v is real, or the usual absolute value squared if v is complex. We define the regulator map $\log : K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2}$ by

$$\alpha \mapsto (\log \|\alpha\|_v)_{v \in S_\infty}.$$

We also define $\Sigma : \mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\mathbf{x} \mapsto x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_{r_1+r_2}$. Then, by the proof of Dirichlet's Unit Theorem, $\log U$ is a lattice in $\ker \Sigma$ and the kernel of \log restricted to U is W . This is most usually stated as

$$U \cong W \times \mathbb{Z}^{r_1+r_2-1}.$$

Since $\log U$ is a lattice in $\ker \Sigma$,

$$T := \ker \Sigma / \log U, \tag{1.1}$$

is isomorphic to the torus $\mathbb{T}^{r_1+r_2-1}$. It follows from the definition of the norm that $\log \mathcal{N}$ lies in $\ker \Sigma$ and hence $\tilde{\mathcal{N}} := \log \mathcal{N} / \log U$ is a countable subset of T .

The point of this paper is to show that when K is a cyclic extension of \mathbb{Q} , there is a canonical partial ordering on $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ for which it is equidistributed in T .

1.1 An Ordering on $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$

From here forward we will assume that K is Galois over \mathbb{Q} with cyclic Galois group G generated by σ . Note that this implies that K is either totally real, or totally imaginary, but we will still write, for instance, $U \cong W \times \mathbb{Z}^{r_1+r_2-1}$ with the understanding that one of r_1 and r_2 is 0.

We will define an ordering on $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ by devising an ordering on $h : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{>0}$ with the property that if $\beta \in \mathcal{N}$ and $v \in U$ then $h(v\beta) = h(\beta)$.

By Hilbert's Theorem 90, if $\beta \in \mathcal{N}$, there exists $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}$ such that $\beta = \alpha/\sigma(\alpha)$. Define $\pi : K^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ by $\pi(\alpha) = \alpha/\sigma(\alpha)$. We will say $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$ is a *visible point* for β if $\pi(\alpha) = \beta$ and $|N(\alpha)|$ is minimal for all integers with this property. That is, Hilbert's Theorem 90 implies that for every $\beta \in \mathcal{N}$ there is a visible point α , and in this situation, we define

$$h(\beta) = |N(\alpha)|.$$

Claim 1.1. *Assume that $\alpha \in \mathfrak{o}$ is a visible point for β , and $\gamma \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$. Then $\beta = \pi(\gamma)$ if and only if there exists a non-zero rational integer n such that $\gamma = n\alpha$.*

Proof. If $\pi(\alpha) = \pi(\gamma)$ then

$$\frac{\alpha}{\gamma} = \frac{\sigma(\alpha)}{\sigma(\gamma)} = \sigma\left(\frac{\alpha}{\gamma}\right),$$

and hence α/γ is fixed by G . It follows that there exist relatively prime rational integers m and n such that $\alpha/\gamma = m/n$, and by the minimality of $|N(\alpha)|$, $|m| < |n|$. Thus both α and $\gamma = n\alpha/m$ are algebraic integers which map onto β .

If we assume $n/m > 0$, then for $j = \lfloor n/m \rfloor$ we have $\gamma - j\alpha$ is an algebraic integer, with

$$\pi(\gamma - j\alpha) = \pi\left(\left(\frac{n}{m} - j\right)\alpha\right) = \frac{(n/m - j)\alpha}{\sigma((n/m - j)\alpha)} = \pi(\alpha),$$

and

$$|N(\gamma - j\alpha)| = \left|N\left(\left(\frac{n}{m} - j\right)\alpha\right)\right| = \left(\frac{n}{m} - j\right)^d |N(\alpha)| < |N(\alpha)|.$$

The only possible situation which does not violate the minimality of $|N(\alpha)|$ is that when $n/m = j$. That is, when n/m is an integer. In this situation $\gamma = n\alpha$.

The case when $n/m < 0$ is similar.

Conversely, if $\gamma = n\alpha$ and α is a visible point for β we have

$$\beta = \pi(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha}{\sigma(\alpha)} = \frac{n\alpha}{n\sigma(\alpha)} = \frac{n\alpha}{\sigma(n\alpha)} = \pi(n\alpha) = \pi(\gamma). \quad \square$$

This proves in particular, that α is a visible point for β if and only if $-\alpha$ is.

Claim 1.2. • *If $v \in U$ then there exists $u \in U$ such that $v = \pi(u)$.*

• *If $\beta \in \mathcal{N}$ and $v \in U$, then $h(v\beta) = h(\beta)$.*

Proof. Assume that $v \in U$. First, we show that $v = \pi(u)$ where $u = \sigma(v)$. As σ^d is the identity, it follows that $v = \sigma^{d-1}(u)$. Therefore

$$v = \sigma^{d-1}(u) = \frac{u\sigma^{d-1}(u)}{u} = \frac{u\sigma^{d-1}(u)}{\sigma(u)\sigma^{d-1}(u)} = \frac{u}{\sigma(u)} = \pi(u).$$

For the second assertion, assume that α is the visible point corresponding to β . Then $\pi(\alpha) = \alpha/\sigma(\alpha) = \beta$. Moreover, if u be a visible point for $v \in U$, then

$$\pi(u\alpha) = \frac{u\alpha}{\sigma(u\alpha)} = \frac{u}{\sigma(u)} \frac{\alpha}{\sigma(\alpha)} = v\beta.$$

Therefore $h(v\beta) = |N(u\alpha)| = |N(\alpha)| = h(\beta)$. □

Given $\beta \in \mathcal{N}$ we define $\tilde{\beta} = \log \beta + \log U \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}$. Here and throughout, tildes will mark quantities which are invariant under multiplication by a unit. By Claim 1.2 we may define $h : \tilde{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{>0}$ by $h(\tilde{\beta}) = h(\beta)$, and we may finally explicitly state our main theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *With with the partial ordering specified by h , $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ is equidistributed in T .*

1.2 Equidistribution of $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ in T

Given $r > 0$ we define

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(r) = \{\tilde{\beta} \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}} : h(\tilde{\beta}) < r\}.$$

By Weyl's criteria, $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ is equidistributed with respect to h if, for any character $\chi : T \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\#\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(r)} \sum_{\tilde{\beta} \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(r)} \chi(\tilde{\beta}) = \int_T \chi d\mu,$$

where μ is Haar (probability) measure on T . This is equivalent to

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\#\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(r)} \sum_{\tilde{\beta} \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(r)} \chi(\tilde{\beta}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \chi \text{ is trivial;} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The function

$$r \mapsto \sum_{\tilde{\beta} \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(r)} \chi(\tilde{\beta})$$

is the summatory function of the L -series

$$L(\chi; s) = \sum_{\tilde{\beta} \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}} \frac{\chi(\tilde{\beta})}{h(\tilde{\beta})^s}.$$

This observation is useful since asymptotics of the summatory function (as a function of r) follow from analytic properties of $L(\chi; s)$ using standard Tauberian theorems. Specifically, if we can show that, for the trivial character χ_0 , $L(s, \chi_0)$ has a pole at $s = \sigma_0$ and there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for all other characters, $L(s, \chi)$ is analytic for $\text{Re}(s) > \sigma_0 - \epsilon$, then there exists a non-zero constant C such that as $r \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\#\tilde{\mathcal{N}}(r) \sim Cr^{\sigma_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\tilde{\beta} \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}(r)} \chi(\tilde{\beta}) = o(r^{\sigma_0}),$$

and Weyl's criteria will be satisfied. See [3, Ch.VII §3].

1.3 Rewriting the L -series

We begin with a lemma summarizing useful facts about h and π .

Lemma 1.4. *Suppose α is a visible point for β , u is a unit and n a natural number, then*

- $n^d h(\widetilde{\beta}) = |N(n\alpha)|$,
- $h(u\widetilde{\beta}) = h(\widetilde{\beta})$
- $\widetilde{\pi(n\alpha)} = \widetilde{\pi(\alpha)}$
- $\widetilde{\pi(u\alpha)} = \widetilde{\pi(\alpha)}$.

Proof. The first is simply the observation that

$$n^d h(\widetilde{\beta}) = n^d |N(\alpha)| = |N(n\alpha)|.$$

The second is a restatement of Claim 1.2 using the fact that $h(\widetilde{\beta}) = h(\beta)$. For the third item,

$$\pi(n\alpha) = \frac{n\alpha}{\sigma(n\alpha)} = \frac{n}{\sigma(n)} \frac{\alpha}{\sigma(\alpha)} = \frac{\alpha}{\sigma(\alpha)} = \pi(\alpha),$$

since σ acts trivially on \mathbb{Q} . The final statement follows since

$$\widetilde{\pi(u\alpha)} = \log \pi(u) + \log \pi(\alpha) + \log U = \log \pi(\alpha) + \log U = \widetilde{\pi(\alpha)}. \quad \square$$

Given $\alpha \in \mathcal{V}$, we write $\widetilde{\alpha} = \alpha U$ to be a coset of U , and we define

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{V}} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{V}} \widetilde{\alpha}.$$

Lemma 1.4 and Claim 1.1 imply that π extends to an injective map from $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ onto $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$. It follows that

$$L(\chi; s) = \sum_{\widetilde{\beta} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}} \frac{\chi(\widetilde{\beta})}{h(\widetilde{\beta})^s} = \sum_{\widetilde{\alpha} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}} \frac{\chi(\widetilde{\pi(\alpha)})}{|N(\alpha)|^s}.$$

Note that, since $N(\alpha) = \pm N(u\alpha)$ for $u \in U$, $|N(\alpha)|$ is well-defined for any $\alpha \in \widetilde{\alpha}$ and hence the latter sum is well-defined. Multiplying and dividing by $\zeta(ds)$, we have

$$L(\chi; s) = \frac{1}{\zeta(ds)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\widetilde{\alpha} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}} \frac{\chi(\widetilde{\pi(\alpha)})}{n^{ds} |N(\alpha)|^s} = \frac{1}{\zeta(ds)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\widetilde{\alpha} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}} \frac{\chi(\widetilde{\pi(n\alpha)})}{|N(n\alpha)|^s}$$

Since each non-zero algebraic integer γ can be written uniquely as $n\alpha$ for visible point α and positive integer n , by Lemma 1.4 we have

$$L(\chi; s) = \frac{1}{\zeta(ds)} \sum_{\gamma \in \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}} \frac{\chi(\widetilde{\pi(\gamma)})}{|N(\gamma)|^s}$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}} = \mathfrak{o}^\times / U$. The set $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$ corresponds to the non-zero principal (integral) ideals P . Therefore, we can write this as a sum over P by setting $\widehat{\chi}(\gamma\mathfrak{o}) := \chi(\widetilde{\pi(\gamma)})$, so that

$$L(\chi; s) = \frac{1}{\zeta(ds)} \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \in P} \frac{\widehat{\chi}(\mathfrak{a})}{\mathbb{N}\mathfrak{a}^s}.$$

2 Analytic Properties of $L(\chi; s)$

It is convenient to define

$$\Xi_1(\widehat{\chi}; s) = \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \in P} \frac{\widehat{\chi}(\mathfrak{a})}{\mathbb{N}\mathfrak{a}^s},$$

so that $L(\chi; s) = \Xi_1(\widehat{\chi}; s)/\zeta(ds)$. The 1 subscript reflects the fact that we wish to see Ξ_1 as a *partial* zeta function—that is a subsum over the ideal class of principal ideals—of some *complete* zeta function given as a sum over *all* non-zero integral ideals. We will call this complete zeta function, once it is suitably defined, $\Xi(\widehat{\chi}; s)$ and we will show that it is a Hecke zeta function. Equidistribution will follow from known analytic properties of partial Hecke zeta functions, together with standard Tauberian theorems.

To see $\Xi_1(\widehat{\chi}; s)$ as a partial zeta function of a Hecke zeta function we must extend $\widehat{\chi}$ to a Hecke character, that is to a character on the idèle class group. Recall that for each place v of K , K_v is the completion of K with respect to v and, if v is non-archimedean U_v is the subset of K_v with absolute value less than 1 (the choice of representative absolute value for the place is irrelevant). The group of idèles is the restricted direct product over all places v of K_v^\times with respect to U_v (since we have not defined U_v for archimedean places, K_v^\times always appears for these terms in the product). For a finite set of non-archimedean places S (which we index by their corresponding prime ideals), we denote by

$$J_S = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} K_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times \times \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \notin S} U_{\mathfrak{p}},$$

and we denote the product of K_v^\times over archimedean places by

$$E_\infty = \prod_{v|\infty} K_v^\times \cong (\mathbb{R}^\times)^{r_1} \times (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{r_2}.$$

Then the group of idèles of K is

$$J = \bigcup_S E_\infty \times J_S,$$

where the union is over all finite sets of non-archimedean places and multiplication is component-wise. The set K^\times embeds in J via the diagonal map, and a Hecke character (for our purposes) is a continuous homomorphism $J \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ which is trivial on K^\times as identified with its image under this embedding.¹ We call the restriction of a Hecke character to E_∞ , the *infinite component* of the character. We will make use of the fact that any character on E_∞ appears as the infinite component of a Hecke character if and only if it is trivial on units [2, p.78].

Recall that for the principal integral ideal $\mathfrak{a} = \alpha\mathfrak{o}$, we defined

$$\widehat{\chi}(\mathfrak{a}) = \chi(\widetilde{\pi(\alpha)}) = \chi(\log \pi(\alpha) + \log U).$$

This allows us to view χ as a homomorphism from $\mathfrak{o} \setminus \{0\}$ into \mathbb{T} which is trivial on units. Since \mathfrak{o} embeds into $\mathbb{R}^{r_1} \times \mathbb{C}^{r_2}$ as a lattice, we can view $\widehat{\chi}$ as a homomorphism from this lattice (minus the origin) in E_∞ to \mathbb{T} . It remains to extend this homomorphism to a continuous homomorphism on all of E_∞ .

To do this, we remark that the Galois group of K acts on the infinite places of K . Let w be a (fixed) infinite place, and set $w' = \sigma(w)$. Then σ extends to a continuous map $K_w \rightarrow K_{w'}$ [4, §1]. In particular, since K is Galois over \mathbb{Q} , we have $K_w = K_{w'}$ (as metric spaces) and hence σ is a

¹We omit any discussion of the conductor of a Hecke character, since in our situation it will always be \mathfrak{o} .

continuous automorphism of K_w . If $a_n \in K_w^\times$ and $\sigma(a_n) \rightarrow 0$ then since σ is continuous on K_w and σ^d is the identity, $\sigma(a_n) \rightarrow 0$ implies that

$$a_n = \sigma^{d-1} \circ \sigma(a_n) \rightarrow \sigma^{d-1}(0) = 0.$$

It follows that, the map $\pi : a \mapsto a/\sigma(a)$ extends to a continuous function on K_w^\times . Likewise, the map $\log \circ \pi : E_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2-1}$ given by

$$\log \circ \pi(\mathbf{a}) := (\log \|\pi(a_v)\|_v)_{v \in S}$$

is a continuous extension of the map $\alpha \mapsto \log \pi(\alpha)$ to E_∞ by viewing K^\times as a subset of E_∞ using the diagonal (canonical) embedding of K^\times into E_∞ . To see that $\log \circ \pi(E_\infty)$ is in $\ker \Sigma$ it suffices to note that K^\times is dense in E_∞ and $\log \circ \pi(K^\times) \subseteq \ker \Sigma$. It follows that

$$\chi(\mathbf{a}) := \chi(\log \circ \pi(\mathbf{a}) + \log U)$$

is a continuous character on E_∞ which agrees with $\chi(\log \circ \pi(\alpha) + \log U)$ on canonical embedding of $\mathfrak{o} \setminus \{0\} \hookrightarrow E_\infty$. It follows that there is a unique extension to a Hecke character on the idèles of K . We call this character $\widehat{\chi}$, since it agrees with $\widehat{\chi}$ on $\mathfrak{o} \setminus \{0\}$, and hence on principal ideals. Notice that $\widehat{\chi}$ is trivial exactly when χ is the trivial character on $\mathbb{T}^{r_1+r_2-1}$.

The associated Hecke zeta function for this character is given by

$$\Xi(\widehat{\chi}; s) = \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \in I} \frac{\widehat{\chi}(\mathfrak{a})}{\mathbb{N}\mathfrak{a}^s},$$

where I is the set of non-zero integral ideals of \mathfrak{o} . Note that $\Xi_1(\widehat{\chi}; s)$ as defined before, is exactly the partial zeta function of $\Xi(\widehat{\chi}; s)$ over principal ideals.

We approach the end of our journey. In [1] it is proved that $\Xi_1(\widehat{\chi}; s)$ has an analytic continuation (as a function of s) to the entire plane, except when $\widehat{\chi}$ is trivial, in which case it has a meromorphic continuation to a function with a single simple pole at $s = 1$. It follows that, if χ is nontrivial, then so is $\widehat{\chi}$, and $L(\chi; s) = \Xi_1(\widehat{\chi}; s)/2\zeta(ds)$ has an analytic continuation to the half-plane $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1/d$. If χ is trivial, then $L(\chi; s)$ has a meromorphic continuation to the same half-plane, but with a simple pole at $s = 1$. Weyl's criteria now follows from the standard Tauberian theorems presented in Section 1.2.

3 Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach (Research in Pairs) and its sponsoring agencies for providing a stimulating and congenial atmosphere in which to complete the present work. The first author would also like to acknowledge the Simons Foundation (grant number 209226) for providing partial funding for this collaboration.

References

- [1] E. Hecke. Eine neue Art von Zetafunktionen und ihre Beziehungen zur Verteilung der Primzahlen. *Math. Z.*, 6(1-2):11–51, 1920.
- [2] H. Koch. *Algebraic number theory*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, russian edition, 1997. Reprint of the 1992 translation.

- [3] Serge Lang. *Algebraic numbers*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, Mass.-Palo Alto-London, 1964.
- [4] J. T. Tate. Global class field theory. In *Algebraic Number Theory (Proc. Instructional Conf., Brighton, 1965)*, pages 162–203. Thompson, Washington, D.C., 1967.

KATHLEEN L. PETERSEN
Department of Mathematics, Florida State University, Tallahassee FL
email: petersen@math.fsu.edu

CHRISTOPHER D. SINCLAIR
Department of Mathematics, University of Oregon, Eugene OR 97403
email: csinclai@uoregon.edu