1	Preliminary Clinical Validation Of A New Picture–Based Visual
2	Acuity Test In Children With Amblyopia: A Comparison Of The
3	Auckland Optotypes (Tao) And Crowded Logmar Letters
4	
5	Emma M. McVeigh BSc(Hons) ^{1,2} , Siobhán M. Ludden PhD, B.MedSci(Hons) ^{1,3,8} , Sahra Mohamed
6	BMed Sci(Hons) ⁴ , Nilpa Shah PhD ^{1,2} , Pádraig J. Mulholland PhD ^{1,2,5} , Annegret Dahlmann-Noor PhD
7	1,4,6,7
8	¹ National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre at Moorfields Eye Hospital NHS
9	Foundation Trust and UCL Institute of Ophthalmology, London, UK
10	² Optometry Department, Moorfields Eye Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK
11	³ Orthoptic Department, Moorfields Eye Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK
12	⁴ Community Eye Service, Cambridge Community Services, Bedford, UK
13	⁵ Centre for Optometry and Vision Science, Biomedical Sciences Research Institute, Ulster University, Coleraine, UK
14	⁶ Paediatric service, Moorfields Eye Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK
15	⁷ Moorfields at Bedford Hospital, Kempston Road, Bedford, UK
16	⁸ HSE Grangegorman Eye Clinic, Dublin, Ireland
17	CORRESPONDING AUTHOR DETAILS:
18	Annegret Dahlmann-Noor – <u>annegret.dahlmann-noor@nhs.net</u>
19	
20	
21	WORD COUNT : 2146

22 Author Contributions: Emma McVeigh and Siobhán Ludden had full access to all the data	in the study
--	--------------

- and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.
- 24 Concept and design: Ludden, Dahlmann-Noor.
- 25 Acquisition of data: Emma McVeigh (London), Siobhán Ludden, (London) Sahra Mohamed (Bedford)
- 26 Analysis/interpretation of data: All authors.
- 27 Drafting of the manuscript: McVeigh, Ludden (joint first authors).
- 28 Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: All authors.
- 29 Statistical analysis: McVeigh, Ludden, Dahlmann-Noor, Mulholland.
- 30 Obtained funding: McVeigh, Ludden, Dahlmann-Noor.
- 31 Administrative, technical, or material support: Mulholland, Dahlmann-Noor, Shah. Supervision:
- 32 Mulholland, Shah, Dahlmann-Noor.
- 33
- 34 **KEYWORDS**: Amblyopia, Visual Acuity, The Auckland Optotypes, COMPlog, ETDRS, Picture acuity
- 35 test
- 36
- 37
- •
- 38
- 39
- 40

- 42

43 ABSTRACT

44 Background/aims

45 Amblyopia is the most common visual deficit in children and accurate visual acuity (VA) assessment is

46 essential for diagnosis. While ETDRS high-contrast logMAR VA is the reference standard test for adults,

47 less agreement exists for pre-literate children. A new picture optotype acuity test (The Auckland

48 Optotypes; TAO) has shown favourable comparison to letter acuity charts but has not yet been evaluated

49 in children with amblyopia. This study aimed to compare visual acuity (VA) obtained using TAO to

50 crowded logMAR letters in children age 5-8 years with amblyopia.

51

52 Methods:

53 Children with amblyopia (n=54 [20.37% strabismic, 18.52% anisometropic, 61.11% mixed], mean age

54 78.30 ±11.72 months) were recruited from paediatric ophthalmology/orthoptic clinics at Moorfields Eye

55 Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, London, and Cambridge Community Services NHS Trust, Bedford.

56 Best corrected VA was measured in both the amblyopic eye (AE) and fellow eye (FE) using TAO and a

57 crowded letter acuity chart. Bland-Altman analysis was used to measure 95% Limits of agreement (LoA)

58 for VA measures captured (AE, FE and interocular difference [IOD]).

59

60 **Results:**

61 Good agreement between TAO and letter VA measurement was observed (mean bias: AE -0.01, FE 0.01,

62 IOD -0.02). For AE measures 95% LoA were from -0.25 to 0.24 logMAR, this being similar for FE (-

63 0.24 to 0.25) and IOD measures (-0.30 to 0.27).

64

65 Conclusion:

TAO and letters elicited similar VA in children with amblyopia. TAO could be a useful picture-based
chart for paediatric vision assessment.

68

69

71 INTRODUCTION

72 Accurate measurement of visual acuity (VA) is central to the detection of amblyopia, the most common 73 vision deficit in childhood, and the monitoring of treatment efficacy. Measuring VA in children is 74 difficult and requires age-appropriate tests and experienced clinicians. The Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) chart is the reference standard VA test for adults however, it is not suitable 75 76 for pre-literate children. Picture-based vision charts have been designed to address this; however, these 77 often have their own limitations, for example, overestimation of VA compared to letter optotypes (1-4). In the UK, in pre-literate children the Kay Picture test (1) is widely used. Clinical validation has shown 78 79 that it overestimates visual acuity by 0.10-0.20 logMAR, compared to crowded letter tests (2, 3). In 80 children with amblyopia, Kay Picture values have been found to differ from ETDRS measurements by 81 around 0.20 logMAR. There has also been a suggestion of proportional bias, with increasing VA 82 overestimate with worsening levels of VA (4). The Kay Pictures subsequently have been redesigned to 83 address some of these issues but with limited validation (5). 84 Recently, a new picture optotype test, The Auckland Optotypes (TAO) has been developed (6). TAO are 85 an open access set of psychometrically robust, picture optotypes. It consists of 10 optotypes which have 86 a consistent stroke width, 1:1 aspect ratio and are fully enclosed with limited acute angles (figure 1). 87 Existing evidence suggests that VA obtained with TAO is comparable to that obtained with ETDRS in 88 adults. Similarly, strong agreement has been found between TAO and Sloan letters as well as Lea 89 symbols in visually normal children (6-8). Such agreement is promising and suggests that TAO could 90 enhance the accuracy of VA assessment in pre-literate children and potentially fulfil the criteria for a 91 picture optotype test suitable for use in clinical trials. However, before TAO could be advocated for 92 clinical or research use, validation in children with visual difficulties and in particular amblyopia is 93 required. 94

The aim of this study was to examine the agreement of VA measurements obtained using TAO to that of
the reference standard VA test for children with amblyopia –crowded logMAR letters (HOTV/Keeler
Crowded logMAR).

98

99 METHODS

100 Participants

101 Fifty-four children aged 5-8 years (mean \pm SD, 78.30 \pm 11.72 months) with unilateral amblyopia were 102 recruited to this study between the 13th June 2019 - 27th February 2020. Amblyopia was defined as an 103 interocular difference $\geq 0.20 \log$ MAR, with fellow eye acuity of 0.20 logMAR or better. Children with a 104 history of intraocular surgery, current ocular surface inflammation, glaucoma, cataract or developmental 105 delay were excluded. Due to screen size limitations, participants with VA >1.40 logMAR were excluded. 106 Ethical approval was obtained from the Research Ethics Committee London – Surrey (19/LO/0519). 107 All procedures adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed parental consent was 108 obtained prior to study procedures and children also provided written assent where appropriate.

109

110 **Psychophysical procedure**

111 Study procedures were undertaken at Moorfields Eye Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, City Road,

London (site 1) and Cambridgeshire Community NHS Trust, Bedford (site 2). At both sites, a consistent clinical testing room, was used for test presentation i.e., both optotype acuity tests were presented under the same room illumination and the test chart brightness was $>80 \text{ cd/m}^2$ for all measures. Testing order

115 of acuity tests was randomised using simple block randomisation.

116 At site 1, both TAO and letter (HOTV) tests were presented on a 19" GNR TS902 LCD monitor (pixel 117 resolution 1280x1024, refresh rate 60Hz) via the COMPlog computerised system calibrated for a 3.5m 118 viewing distance. The COMPlog testing algorithm employs an initial range finding phase whereby a 119 single crowded optotype is presented in 0.20 logMAR steps until a single reversal is obtained. Threshold 120 VA was then measured by presenting a single line of five optotypes, separated by half an optotype width 121 and surrounded by an overall crowding box, decreasing in 0.10 logMAR intervals. If all five optotypes 122 could not be simultaneously presented due to limitations in screen size, the lines were broken into smaller 123 numbers of optotypes such that a cumulative total of five optotypes were presented for each line size.

124 This was the case for both TAO and letter tests. The simultaneous presentation of five optotypes per line

could occur from 0.80 logMAR. Testing was terminated once all optotypes at a given VA level (i.e., a
whole line) were incorrectly identified.

At site 2, TAO and letters were presented as hand-held tests at 3m distance. TAO was presented on a hand-held Microsoft Surface Go 10-inch tablet (screen resolution: 1800 x 1200, refresh rate: 60 Hz) via COMPlog, as above. Letter acuity was assessed using hand-held Keeler Crowded Acuity Cards in a similar two-stage process. Initially participants were asked to identify either the second or third letter on a line, decreasing in 0.20 logMAR steps until one letter was incorrectly identified. Threshold VA testing then began from the last correct response, decreasing in 0.10 logMAR intervals. Testing was terminated once all optotypes on the line were incorrectly identified.

134

135 Data collection was undertaken by experienced orthoptists/paediatric optometrist. An opaque occluder 136 was used for uniocular testing; the amblyopic eye was always tested first. Participants were instructed to name the optotypes aloud; a matching card was permitted if required. A forced-choice procedure was 137 138 employed in all cases to minimize the effect of observer criterion, i.e., if children were unsure of the 139 optotype presented at threshold they were encouraged to attempt/guess before termination. Optotype 140 presentation duration was unlimited and, in all cases, single optotype scoring was applied. VA was 141 recorded in standard logMAR notation. A scaling factor (-0.216 logMAR) was applied to TAO measures 142 to account for a different bounding box to stroke width ratio compared with Sloan letters (SC Dakin, personal communication, 2019). The stroke width / total optotype ratio for TAO is 1:8.23, compared to 143 144 1:5 for Snellen, 1:7 for Lea Symbols and 1:10 for Kay pictures. Therefore; such a scaling factor is 145 required to achieve equivalence between different optotype sets (6).

146

147 Data Analysis

Analysis was conducted using MATLAB 2020a (The MathWorks Inc., USA). Bland-Altman Limits of
Agreement (LoA) analysis was used to measure agreement between the VA tests. The upper and lower
95% LoA and associated 95% confidence intervals were calculated. Proportional bias was evaluated using
Pearson's correlation and ordinary least squares linear regression analysis. VA measures in the amblyopic

152	eye (AE) and fellow eye (FE), in addition to interocular difference (IOD) were evaluated separately.
153	Equality plots were also constructed for AE, FE and IOD comparisons between charts, with paired
154	samples t-tests being undertaken to determine if there were statistically significant differences in these
155	measures with each chart form. A three-way ANOVA with the fixed effects of chart type and testing site,
156	together with the random effect of amblyopia severity was performed to examine what experimental
157	factors may influence the IOD measures captured in this study. For the purposes of this analysis
158	amblyopia severity was determined by \log MAR letter acuity in the amblyopic eye. AE acuity <0.6 was
159	considered moderate amblyopia and \geq 0.6 severe amblyopia (9, 10). An α of 0.05 was considered
160	statistically significant with holm-Bonferroni correction being applied to p-values where necessary.
161	
162	
163	
164	
165	
166	
167	
168	
169	
170	
171	
172	
173	
174	

RESULTS

All participants successfully completed both TAO and logMAR letter VA tests. Participant characteristicsare detailed in Table 1.

178	Bland-Altman analysis indicated good agreement between TAO and crowded logMAR letters (Figure 2 a-
179	c). LoA for FE measurements were $\pm 0.25 \log$ MAR, with similar values for AE ($\pm 0.24 \log$ MAR) and
180	IOD (± 0.32 logMAR). A paired t-test (with Holm-Bonferroni correction) also revealed there to be no
181	statistically significant differences in either FE (P=0.49), AE (P=0.79) and IOD (P=0.45) measurements
182	with either test examined. No statistically significant proportional bias between chart forms was observed
183	for AE ($r^2=0.04$, $p=0.16$) and FE measures ($r^2=0.06$, $p=0.07$), but was evident for FE measures ($r^2=0.08$,
184	p=0.04, fig. 2c) whereby IOD measures appeared to be underestimated by TAO relative to letter acuity
185	measures when amblyopia was more dense. A similar trend may be observed from the equality plot
186	examining the relationship between IOD measures with TAO and logMAR letter acuity (fig. 2e). Further
187	examination also revealed there to be statistically significant interaction effects between study site and
188	amblyopia grade ($F_{(1,107)}$ =8, P=0.005) on IOD values. No other interaction effects were observed. Post-
189	hoc analysis (fig. 3) revealed there be markedly lower IOD values in participants at site 2 compared to site
190	1(P<0.001, fig. 3c), this reflecting the fact relatively fewer patients with severe amblyopia were recruited at
191	this site compared to site 1 (Table 1).

199 **DISCUSSION**

Good agreement was established between TAO and letter VA (Figure 2 a-c), indicating that TAO appears 200201 to be an equivalent measure to letter VA in children with amblyopia. The 95% LoA established in this study in the AE (±0.24 logMAR) and FE (±0.25 logMAR) were similar to, albeit slightly wider than, 202 203 previously reported comparisons between TAO and logMAR letters in visually normal children (±0.20 204 logMAR) (7, 8). The LoA observed in this study are also in line with those for well-established and 205 widely used picture acuity charts. For example, in a paediatric population the agreement between Lea Symbols and ETDRS has been shown to be in the region of 0.30 to -0.20 logMAR (11). While LoA 206 207between Kay Pictures and Keeler crowded logMAR charts, both of which have fewer optotype 208alternatives than TAO, has been reported as (0.225 to -0.030 logMAR) (3, 11, 12). However, TAO does 209 appear advantageous as the mean bias observed in this analysis is lower than that reported for other 210 picture acuity charts indicating closer agreement with VA measures with the reference standard ETDRS 211 chart (3, 11, 12). 212

213 While there was no overall influence of study site on observed trends for IOD measures with each chart 214 form (fig. 3a), site 1 did exhibit higher overall IOD values in children with severe amblyopia (AE ≥ 0.6 215 logMAR, fig. 3c). We hypothesise that this observed difference in overall IOD (across both chart forms) 216 is a result of differences in the cohorts recruited at each study site, rather than any systematic differences 217 between tests, investigators or study procedures at each site. Indeed, it may be seen from fig. 3c that a 218 greater number of patients with dense amblyopia (as defined using logMAR letter VA) were recruited and 219 tested at site 1 compared to site 2.

220

While we observed good agreement between TAO and letter VA, TAO appeared to underestimate IOD relative to letters in severe amblyopia (≥0.6 crowded letter logMAR, figures 2a, 3b). This underestimation is somewhat surprising as the agreement between amblyopic and fellow eye acuity using TAO compared to letter optotypes was a good level and similar LoA were found for either eye. We propose that this underestimation of IOD in severe amblyopia is a result of differences in TAO design compared to letters. 226 For example, TAO, consisting of 10 optotypes, contains more possible alternatives than the letters used 227 in paediatric letter charts considered in this study. It has been demonstrated that the number of 228 alternatives in a forced choice (AFC) test is inversely related to VA threshold measures (13, 14); the letter tests used in this study being truncated (COMPlog: 4-AFC, Keeler: 6-AFC) relative to TAO (10-AFC) 229 which could contribute to lower IOD in severe amblyopia as there is increased probability of an incorrect 230 "guess" at threshold with TAO. TAO optotypes also lack acute angles which could potentially increase 231 232 their difficulty relative to letters at threshold (15, 16). Further examination of the equality plot comparing 233 TAO and letter VA for the FE in the cohort examined (fig. 2e) would suggest that such issues appear to bias measures primarily at the higher VA range (better than 0.20 logMAR). It is also possible that this 234 trend could also be attributed to the small participant sample within this VA range (n=14) or increased 235 236 measurement variability in severe amblyopia. As this was an unexpected finding in this sample, further work with an appropriate sample size would be beneficial to specifically determine if IOD varies with 237 238 amblyopia severity when examined with TAO and conventional tests. Further work incorporating inter 239 and intra-test variability would also be useful to evaluate the precision of cross-sectional and longitudinal VA measures with TAO in amblyopia. 240

241

No proportional bias was observed with AE measures, this being evident in both the Bland-Altman (fig.
2a) and equality plots (fig.2d). This is particularly relevant in amblyopia as poorer AE VA triggers
increased patching doses; thus, any VA overestimation could result in insufficient treatment for the
severity of the condition. Therefore, TAO could be advantageous in the accurate estimate of AE acuity
in pre-literate children.

247

248

249

252	CONCLUSIONS
253	This study describes the first comparison of TAO to logMAR letters in children with amblyopia. Good
254	agreement was found between the tests, using a clinical testing protocol, suggesting that TAO could be
255	appropriate for clinical VA measures in children with amblyopia. We recognise that this is a preliminary
256	study and a larger sample size would be advantageous to expand on these findings. Further investigation
257	of TAO VA measures in younger children and in severe amblyopia would be advantageous.
258	
259	
260	COMMERCIAL DISCLOSURES:
261	Mulholland PJ - Heidelberg Engineering & LKC Inc. (Research support)
262	Shah N - The Moorfields Acuity Chart (Co-inventor)
263	- COMPlog Clinical Vision Measurement Systems Ltd (Research support)
264	
265	Dahlmann-Noor A – Santen Inc (Medical Advisor)
266	
267	AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT:
268	SL was responsible for the initial study design and concept, protocol development, BIPOSA funding
269	application, ethics application, recruitment of participants and drafting the final manuscript.
270	EMcV was responsible for the College of Optometrists funding application, assisting with ethics
271	application, recruitment of participants and drafting the final manuscript.
272	NS was responsible for assisting in the College of Optometrists funding application and editing the final
273	manuscript.

274	PJM was responsible for assisting in the College of Optometrists funding application and editing the final
275	manuscript.

276 SM was responsible for the recruitment of participants at site 2.

277 ADN was responsible for the initial design and concept of the study, NIHR Biomedical Research Centre

278 grant application, ethics application, overseeing the study and editing the final manuscript.

279

280	FUNDING: Supported in part by an in-practice research grant from the College of Optometrists
281	(EMcV), a research award grant from the British Isles Paediatric Ophthalmology and Strabismus
282	Association (BIPOSA)(SL), and by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical
283	Research Centre based at Moorfields Eye Hospital NHS Foundation Trust and UCL Institute of
284	Ophthalmology (AD-N, PJM, NS). The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily
285	those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health.
286	
287	CONFERENCE PRESENTATION: Presented in part at the British & Irish Paediatric
288	Ophthalmology & Strabismus Association meeting 2019 (Edinburgh, UK).
289	
290	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: The authors would like to thank Professor Steven Dakin for his assistance
291	in illustration of TAO optotypes for this paper. We also express our gratitude to the patients of
292	Moorfields Eye Hospital Paediatric Clinic and Cambridge Community Services (Bedford) Eye Clinic and
293	their parents/guardians who kindly gave their time to participate in this research.
294	
295	
296	

298 **REFERENCES**

299 1. Kay H. New method of assessing visual acuity with pictures. Br J Ophthalmol. 1983;67(2):131-3.

Anstice NS, Jacobs RJ, Simkin SK, Thomson M, Thompson B, Collins AV. Do picture-based
 charts overestimate visual acuity? Comparison of Kay Pictures, Lea Symbols, HOTV and Keeler logMAR
 charts with Sloan letters in adults and children. PLoS One. 2017;12(2):e0170839.

303 3. O'Boyle C, Chen SI, Little JA. Crowded letter and crowded picture logMAR acuity in children with 304 amblyopia: a quantitative comparison. Br J Ophthalmol. 2017;101(4):457-61.

- 305 4. Shah N, Laidlaw DA, Rashid S, Hysi P. Validation of printed and computerised crowded Kay
 306 picture logMAR tests against gold standard ETDRS acuity test chart measurements in adult and amblyopic
 307 paediatric subjects. Eye (Lond). 2012;26(4):593-600.
- 308 5. O'Connor A, Kay H, Milling A, Newsham D, Tidbury L. Redevelopment of the Kay Picture Test.
 309 British and Irish Orthoptic Journal; 2016. p. 14-21.
- Hamm LM, Yeoman JP, Anstice N, Dakin SC. The Auckland Optotypes: An open-access
 pictogram set for measuring recognition acuity. J Vis. 2018;18(3):13.
- Hamm LM, Langridge F, Black JM, Anstice NS, Vuki M, Fakakovikaetau T, et al. Evaluation of
 vision screening of 5-15-year-old children in three Tongan schools: comparison of The Auckland
 Optotypes and Lea symbols. Clin Exp Optom. 2020;103(3):353-60.
- 8. Hamm LM, Anstice NS, Black JM, Dakin SC. Recognition acuity in children measured using The Auckland Optotypes. Ophthalmic Physiol Opt. 2018;38(6):596-608.
- 317 9. Group PEDI. The clinical profile of moderate amblyopia in children younger than 7 years. Arch
 318 Ophthalmol. 2002;120(3):281-7.
- 10. Holmes JM, Kraker RT, Beck RW, Birch EE, Cotter SA, Everett DF, et al. A randomized trial of
 prescribed patching regimens for treatment of severe amblyopia in children. Ophthalmology.
 2003;110(11):2075-87.
- 11. Dobson V, Clifford-Donaldson CE, Miller JM, Garvey KA, Harvey EM. A comparison of Lea
 Symbol vs ETDRS letter distance visual acuity in a population of young children with a high prevalence of
 astigmatism. J AAPOS. 2009;13(3):253-7.
- Milling A, Newsham D, Tidbury L, O'Connor AR, Kay H. The redevelopment of the Kay picture
 test of visual acuity. British and Irish Orthoptic Journal. 2015;13.
- 327 13. Shah N, Dakin SC, Redmond T, Anderson RS. Vanishing Optotype acuity: repeatability and effect
 328 of the number of alternatives. Ophthalmic Physiol Opt. 2011;31(1):17-22.
- 329 14. Carkeet A. Modeling logMAR visual acuity scores: effects of termination rules and alternative
 330 forced-choice options. Optom Vis Sci. 2001;78(7):529-38.
- 15. Ferris FL, Freidlin V, Kassoff A, Green SB, Milton RC. Relative letter and position difficulty on
 visual acuity charts from the Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study. Am J Ophthalmol.
 1993;116(6):735-40.
- Sloan LL, Rowland WM, Altman A. Comparison of three types of test target for the measurement
 of visual acuity. Q Rev Ophthalmol. 1952;8(1):4-16.
- 336
- 337
- 338
- 339
- 340
- 341

2 2
28
26
78.30 ±11.72
30
10
7
4
3
11 (20.37%)
10 (18.52%)
33 (61.11%)
4.32 (-0.19 to +6.75)
1.88 (+0.19 to +4.35)

Table 1: Participant Characteristics. Where data is normally distributed mean ± standard deviation (SD) is

346 reported. Where data was not normally distributed median (interquartile range) is reported.





Figure 1. An illustration of The Auckland Optotypes in both regular and vanishing forms.

....



Figure 2. (a-c) Bland-Altman LoA plots indicate good agreement between TAO and crowded logMAR letters for the amblyopic eye (a), fellow eye (b) and interocular difference measures (c). 95% confidence intervals surrounding the 95% LOA are represented by grey shading with different plot markers for each test site. (d-f) Equality plots comparing TAO and logMAR. Ordinary least-squares linear regression line is included (black) along with an equality line (grey).



Figure 3. Interaction plots examining the effect of (a) experimental site and chart form, (b) logMAR
letter VA level in the amblyopic eye (amblyopia severity) and chart form, and (c) logMAR letter VA level
in the amblyopic eye (amblyopia severity) with test site on IOD values.