Structural Analysis and Development of Notum Fragment Screening Hits

Yuguang Zhao, William Mahy, Nicky J. Willis, Hannah L. Woodward, David Steadman, Elliott D. Bayle, Benjamin N. Atkinson, James Sipthorp, Luca Vecchia, Reinis R. Ruza, Karl Harlos, Fiona Jeganathan, Stefan Constantinou, Artur Costa, Svend Kjær, Magda Bictash, Patricia C. Salinas, Paul Whiting, Jean-Paul Vincent, Paul V. Fish,* and E. Yvonne Jones*



ABSTRACT: The Wnt signaling suppressor Notum is a promising target for osteoporosis, Alzheimer's disease, and colorectal cancers. To develop novel Notum inhibitors, we used an X-ray crystallographic fragment screen with the Diamond-SGC Poised Library (DSPL) and identified 59 fragment hits from the analysis of 768 data sets. Fifty-eight of the hits were found bound at the enzyme catalytic pocket with potencies ranging from 0.5 to >1000 μ M. Analysis of the fragments' diverse binding modes, enzymatic inhibitory activities, and chemical properties led to the selection of six hits for optimization, and five of these resulted in improved Notum inhibitory potencies. One hit, 1-phenyl-1,2,3-triazole 7, and its related cluster members, have shown promising lead-like properties. These became the focus of our fragment development activities, resulting in compound 7d with IC₅₀ 0.0067 μ M. The large number of Notum fragment structures and their initial optimization provided an important basis for further Notum inhibitor development.

KEYWORDS: Notum inhibitors, fragment screening, Diamond-SGC Poised Library (DSPL), hit-to-lead development, Wnt signaling

INTRODUCTION

Wnt signaling pathways are fundamental for animal embryonic development, adult tissue homeostasis, and regeneration.¹ The signaling is initiated when lipidated Wnt ligands engage both frizzled receptors and coreceptors such as LRP5/6 or ROR1/ 2.^{1,2} A conserved serine residue in Wnt proteins (such as serine-206 of Wnt7a) undergoes O-linked lipidation by the porcupine O-acyltransferase (PORCN).^{3,4} This post-translational modification is crucial for binding to frizzled receptors.^{5,6} Enzymatic removal of this covalently attached lipid disables Wnt function.⁷ The carboxyesterase Notum is the only known enzyme that can remove the Wnt palmiteoyl lipid.7 Thus, the inhibition of Notum activity could restore suppressed Wnt function, which may help in some Wnt hypoactive pathologies such as Alzheimer's disease⁸ and osteoporosis.9 Surprisingly, in some Wnt hyperactive situations, Notum inhibition also shows promising beneficial effects. For example, in Wnt hyperactive adenomatous polyposis coli (APC)-mutant colorectal cancers, Notum protein is hugely

overexpressed and Notum inhibition has been demonstrated to be able to limit cancer cell expansion and the formation of intestinal adenomas.¹⁰ These observations highlight the value of searching for powerful Notum inhibitory drugs. In addition, Notum may have other substrates, such as the serine O-linked ghrelin octanoyl lipid,¹¹ meaning Notum inhibitors may have the potential to modulate activities other than Wnt signaling.

Small molecule inhibitors of Notum, such as LP-922056 and ABC99, have been identified that show promise in animal models to increase cortical bone thickness and strength,^{12,13} increase brain neuronal progenitor cell proliferation in the

 Received:
 May 31, 2022

 Accepted:
 June 3, 2022

 Published:
 June 22, 2022



Table 1. Notum Inhibition for Fragment Hits 1-59

a a waxa a sa a a a	DCDI fra ann amh	abautial	Nature	n maa d
compound number & reference	number ^a & PDB code ^b	chemical structure	Νοτυm ΙC ₅₀ (μΜ) ^c pIC ₅₀	clog <i>P</i> LE/LLE [®]
1	49 7B7W		>1000 <3.0	169 0.8 -/-
2	63 7B7X		140 ± 31 3.9	177 1.4 0.42/2.5
3	64 7B7Y		>1000 <3.0	170 1.4 -/-
4	65 7B84	OH N N	54 ± 16 4.3	224 2.6 0.35/1.7
5	67 7B86		480 ± 82 3.3	238 2.0 0.25/1.3
6	74 7B87	OMe OMe NH ₂	260 ± 120 3.6	243 2.7 0.28/0.9
7 ³⁸	77 7B89		0.50 ± 0.12 6.3 (N=13)	244 2.2 0.59/4.1
8 ³⁷	106 6TR7		37.2 4.4	220 1.3 0.36/3.1
9	110 7B8A		>1000 <3.0	247 2.1 -/-

compound number & reference	DSPL fragment number ^a & PDB code ^b	chemical structure	Notum IC ₅₀ (μΜ) ^c pIC ₅₀	MW ^d clog <i>P</i> LE/LLE ^e
10	147 7B8C	N Me	700 ± 100 3.2	231 2.6 0.26/0.6
11	151 7B8D		>1000 <3.0	249 0.8 -/-
12	154 7B8F		570 ± 20 3.2	233 2.5 0.25/0.7
13	159 7B8G	NH ₂ O	280 ± 110 3.6	199 3.0 0.33/0.6
14	163 7B8J	CO ₂ H	58 ± 8.2 4.2	212 3.3 0.33/0.9
15	173 7B8K	H N N N H	17±0.9 4.8	158 1.3 0.56/3.5
16	174 7B8L	Р N N OH F	3.3 ± 0.4 5.5	196 1.9 0.55/3.6
17	193 7B8M	OH OMe	34 ± 5.7 4.5	190 1.6 0.51/2.9
18	197 7B8N	H ₂ N N H	63 ± 12 ^f 4.2	243 1.2 0.32/3.0
19	199 7B8O	N Me	750 ± 17 3.1	212 1.9 0.29/1.2
20	201 7B8U	N N N NH ₂	110 ± 21 3.9	168 1.2 0.46/2.7
21	210 7B8X	Me O	930 ± 69 3.0	215 3.4 0.26/-0.4

compound number & reference	DSPL fragment number ^a & PDB code ^b	chemical structure	Notum IC ₅₀ (μΜ) ^c pIC ₅₀	MW ^d clog <i>P</i> LE/LLE ^e
22	276 7B8Y	N= N= N= S	93 ± 7 4.0	221 2.2 0.38/1.8
23	277 7B8Z		600 ± 59 3.2	235 2.5 0.28/0.7
24	282 7B98	NH ₂ O N	12 ± 3.2 4.9	174 1.2 0.53/3.7
25	283 7B99	H N Me	86 ± 21 4.1	226 2.5 0.36/1.6
26 ³⁹	286 6ZUV		11.5 ± 3.0 4.9 (N=9)	209 1.5 0.49/3.4
27	290 7B9D		>1000 <3.0	209 0.5 -/-
28	297 7B9I		54 ± 6.2 4.3	242 1.1 0.33/3.2
29	588 7B9N	O N-OH	g	167 0.9
30	609 7B9U		>1000 <3.0	215 0.1 -/-
31	634 7BA1		120 ± 30 3.9	247 3.2 0.30/0.7
32	646 7BAC		ca. 1000 3.0	235 2.1 0.25/0.9

compound number & reference	DSPL fragment number ^a & PDB code ^b	chemical structure	Notum IC ₅₀ (μΜ) ^c pIC ₅₀	MW ^d clog <i>P</i> LE/LLE ^e
33	648 7BAP	NH2 NH	500 ± 64 3.3	185 1.7 0.33/1.6
34	658 7BC8	H ₂ N _{NH} O	330 ± 11 3.5	242 2.1 0.27/1.4
35	690 7BC9		120 ± 24 3.9	248 2.3 0.32/1.6
36	705 7BCC		53 ± 18 4.3 (N=5)	228 2.9 0.34/1.4
37	714 7BCD	OH NO	58 ± 19 4.2	243 2.3 0.33/1.9
38	718 7BCE	H N Me	120 ± 49 3.9	228 3.7 0.32/0.2
39	722 7BCF		>1000 <3.0	249 -0.7 -/-
40 ³⁶	723 6R8P	Me O N	33 ± 4.7 4.5	242 1.7 0.35/2.8
41	772 7BCH	MeO N H	68 ± 5.4 4.2	179 1.0 0.45/3.2
42	784 7BCI	Me OH N H H	>1000 <3.0	179 1.7 -/-
43	791 7BCK	MeO O NH	87 ± 47 4.1	235 1.4 0.34/2.7

compound number & reference	DSPL fragment number ^a & PDB code ^b	chemical structure	Notum IC ₅₀ (μΜ) ^c pIC ₅₀	MW ^d clog <i>P</i> LE/LLE ^e
44	792 7BCL	O O Me	410 ± 63 3.4	191 1.4 0.34/2.0
45	810 7BD2	H N O O H	720 ± 18 3.1	242 1.9 0.24/1.2
46	823 7BD3	NH ₂ N N OMe	380 ± 130 3.4	201 2.7 0.32/0.7
47	828 7BD4	N O OMe	g	243 2.5
48	830 7BD5	Me O CO ₂ H	>1000 <3.0	180 1.9 -/-
49	863 7BD6	HO NH	550 ± 93 3.2	189 1.4 0.33/1.8
50	872 7BD8	Me O N	36 ± 2.7 4.4	227 3.3 0.37/1.1
51	886 7BD9		>1000 <3.0	227 0.9 -/-
52	900 7BDA	O CO ₂ H	>1000 <3.0	166 1.4 -/-
53	916 7BDB	Me Me NH Me	980 ± 17 3.0	235 0.3 0.25/2.7
54	923 7BDC		78 ± 8.2 4.1	203 2.1 0.38/2.0
55	924 7BDD	Me Me	200 ± 76 3.7	242 3.5 0.29/0.2

compound number & reference	DSPL fragment number ^a & PDB code ^b	chemical structure	Notum IC ₅₀ (μΜ) ^c pIC ₅₀	MW ^d clog <i>P</i> LE/LLE ^e
56	927 7BDF		>100 ^f <4.0	234 1.3 -/-
57	934 7BDG		260 ± 51 3.5	203 2.0 0.33/1.5
58	955 7BDH		390 ± 53 3.4	193 2.0 0.38/1.4
59	274 7B01	S NH ₂ HN ⁻ NH	ND ^h	185 0.8 -/-

^{*a*}Fragment number as annotated in the DSPL. ^{*b*}Coordinates for these 59 X-ray structures have been published in the PDB. ^{*c*}IC₅₀ values are mean \pm s.d. of N = 2-4 experiments quoted to 2 s.f. unless stated otherwise. Differences of <2-fold should not be considered as significant. Inhibitors with an IC₅₀ > 1000 μ M showed 20–45% inhibition @ 1 mM. ^{*d*}MW and clogP calculated with ChemDraw Professional 16.0.1.4. ^{*e*}Ligand efficiency, LE = 1.4(-pIC₅₀)/HAC; lipophilic ligand efficiency, LLE = pIC₅₀ – clogP. ^{*f*}Compound showed a variable response upon retesting, IC₅₀ > 100 μ M. ^{*g*}Compound illicited a variable, supramaximal response in fluoresence. Fragment was deselected. ^{*h*}ND, not determined. Fragment was deselected.

ventricular-subventricular zone (V-SVZ),¹⁴ and rejuvenate colon stem cells.¹⁵ These small molecule Notum inhibitors were discovered by high-throughput screening (HTS)¹³ or by an activity-based protein profiling (ABPP) with a library of activated carbamates.¹⁶

For innovative and effective drug development, highthroughput screening needs to be combined with structurebased drug design and chemistry for lead optimization. Fragment screening to identify small, low-molecular-weight organic molecules that bind to a target protein can be achieved in several ways, including surface plasmon resonance (SPR),^{17,18} isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC),¹⁹ thermal shift assays (TSA),²⁰ nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR)^{21,22} and X-ray crystallography.^{23,24} Once a high-resolution structure of the target protein is determined, virtual screens can also be effective.²⁵ Recent rapid advances in X-ray data collection automation at synchrotron radiation sources²⁶ in combination with automated crystal handling and data analysis work streams such as the XChem platform²⁷ at Diamond Light Source make crystallographic fragment screens most attractive. Hundreds or even thousands of compounds can be tested for target protein binding in crystals and structures determined in an efficient way.²⁸ Such screens provide atomic detail for ligand orientation and interaction modes, indicating potential routes for fragment growing and chemical tractability for synthesis strategies. Crystallographic fragment screening is highly sensitive with the possibility to identify low affinity (millimolar range) hits, which are unlikely to be false positives.²⁹ For these reasons, crystallographic fragment screening has become the gold standard for fragment hit identification and an essential component of fragment-based drug design (FBDD).³⁰ Notum has a prominent enzymatic pocket,^{7,31} which affords opportunities for crystallographic fragment screening. By using the Diamond XChem platform with the DSPL library, we identified 59 fragment hits that bind

to Notum. These hits were validated by biochemical assays, and several promising hits were chosen for fragment development.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

X-Ray Crystallographic Fragment Screen. The X-ray crystallography-based fragment screen was performed at the XChem platform of Diamond Light Source in combination with synchrotron beamline I04-1 (Didcot, UK). The DSPL fragment library³² and Notum core protein⁷ crystals were used. The XChem platform provides a pipeline for crystal drop image analysis, which was used to guide the precise dispensing of compounds within crystal drops (without damage of crystals) by ECHO acoustic droplet ejection.³³ A "Shifter" device was used to assist crystal harvesting and recording. Xray diffraction data were collected in unattended mode, processed with Xia2, and analyzed with Pan-Dataset Density Analysis (PanDDA).³⁴ The resulting difference maps were used for ligand fitting by Coot.35 The identified hits were subject to a Notum enzyme inhibitory assay, and promising hits were chosen for optimization. The general procedure is illustrated in Figure S1. We collected and analyzed 768 data sets, identifying an initial 61 potential hits. Two hits were excluded from later refinement because of inconclusive density for the corresponding ligands. We report here the analysis of all 59 confirmed Notum fragment complexes. All the structures were determined at high resolution (the majority at better than 2 Å), validated, deposited in the PDB, and released (the accession codes are listed in Table 1). The hit compounds vary considerably in their chemical structures (1-59) with a range of physicochemical properties (M_W 158–249; clogP –0.7 – 3.5; HBD 0-4) and variety in their chemical classes, although the majority are neutral molecules (neutral 47 hits, acids 7 hits, and bases 7 hits); see Table 1 for details.



Figure 1. Position of fragment hits within the Notum structure. (A) Notum structure shown as cartoon and surface. All fragment hits (sticks) from complex structures were superimposed alongside the natural substrate (PAM)-bound structure (PDB:4UZQ). (B) Close-up view of the enzyme pocket hits. (C–F) Subpocket positions are clustered into four groups. The natural substrate (the PAM linked Wnt7a serine-206) is shown as thick gray sticks. Thinner fragment sticks indicate compounds with poor potencies (IC₅₀ > 100 μ M); thicker sticks indicate good potencies (IC₅₀ < 100 μ M). (G and H) Overlay of the Notum pocket bound to PAM (gray) and fragment 47 (green) or 4 (pink). All structures are deposited in the PDB with access codes listed in Table 1.

Fragment Hits Localized in the Notum Enzyme Pocket. Among the 59 hits identified, only one (59) was found to not bind inside the enzyme pocket and was instead observed to bind at three other locations (Figure 1A). All other hits (1–58) were found bound exclusively within the pocket or with at least one molecule in the enzyme pocket. The majority of the hits are observed in the central area of the pocket (position a, Figure 1B, C), where they overlap with the binding site of the palmitoleate (PAM) lipid group covalently linked to Wnts. Hits with high potency (IC₅₀ < 20 μ M) tend to cluster in this position, including 7, **15**, **16**, and **24** (Figure 1C). Thus, hits from this cluster represent clear candidates for optimization. Some hits (21, 28, 38, 56) show atoms that extend toward the entrance of the pocket (where the PAMserine linkage is observed, position b, Figure 1B, D), but retain several interactions with the central pocket residues. Among these, 28 shows a modest IC₅₀ value and was chosen for optimization. Another group of hits (9, 10, 18, 32, 35, 36, 40, 47) extended toward the interior boundary of the pocket (position c, Figure 1B, E). Hit 40 from this group was subjected to optimization as reported.³⁶ The fourth group extends toward the base of the pocket (position d, Figure 1B, F). This group of hits (4, 22, 37, 43) showed IC₅₀ values between 50 and 100 μ M. This group of hits causes an



Figure 2. Plot of LLE vs LE for fragment hits. Plot only includes Notum inhibitors with a measured $IC_{50} \leq 1$ mM.

expansion of the pocket volume, as seen for hit 4 in Figure 1H, which offers potential for exploring the chemical space created by induced fit. It is noteworthy that in a few compound-bound Notum structures, like 47, some surrounding pocket residues become disordered, and the pocket becomes artificially expanded (Figure 1G). This kind of pocket volume, formed by the disordered residues, may be not useful for proactive structure-guided design.

Hit Validation and Selection. Fragment hits were validated as inhibitors of Notum enzymic activity in a biochemical assay. All hit compounds were synthesied or purchased as authentic solid samples (see the Supporting Information), except 59, which was deselected because of its nonpocket occupation. The inhibition of enzyme activity (IC_{50}) value) was calculated from 10-point concentration-response curves with compound concentrations ranging from 30 nM to 1 mM (inhibition-concentration curves for compounds will be made available upon request). Two compounds, 29 and 47, demonstrated variable, supramaxial responses in fluoresence at higher concentrations (>100 μ M), which is the opposite outcome expected for a Notum inhibitor in this assay. Inspection of their chemical structures suggests that this outcome was most likely because of assay interference rather than enzyme activation. Ultimately, these two compounds would need to be screened with alternative technologies to determine their mode of action and were simply deselected as better options were available.

Twenty fragments had an IC₅₀ < 100 μ M with two examples, 7 and **16**, showing inhibition of Notum activity with an IC₅₀ < 10 μ M (Table 1). 1-Phenyl-1,2,3-triazole 7 (IC₅₀ 0.5 μ M) was the most active fragment from the screen and anchored a small cluster of six structurally related phenyl azoles (7, 16, 17, 22, 24, 26). Additional azoles and azines (e.g., 5, 35, 36, 46), identified as weaker hits, provided some insight into preliminary SARs around this cluster.

In addition, the dataset was analyzed by the standard design metrics ligand efficiency $(LE)^{20}$ and lipophilic ligand efficiency $(LLE)^{21}$ in order to tease out smaller, less lipophilic hits that may also prove to be attractive starting points for fragment development (Figure 2). A plot of LLE vs LE clearly showed the phenyl azoles (cluster 1: $LE \ge 0.3$, $LLE \ge 3$) to be superior, and, interestingly, the majority of inhibitors in this cluster are structurally positioned in the central part of the enzyme pocket (position a, Figure 1C). This analysis also identified additional clusters and singletons for further investigation.

1,2,3-Triazole 7, and close analogue 26 (IC₅₀ 11.5 μ M),²³ became the main focus of our fragment development activities along with other members of cluster 1 (16, 24). Limited efforts were made to investigate cluster 2 (28: IC₅₀ 54 μ M) as it was the least attractive option by LE and LLE. Cluster 3 (40: IC₅₀ 33 μ M) was also selected as a preferred hit because the template was highly chemically enabled with three points of diversity and offered the opportunity to quickly explore SAR to improve activity.¹⁹ Singleton benzimidazole 15 (IC₅₀ 17 μ M) scored highly in terms of both LE and LLE, and was selected for optimization. These six hits were simultaneously explored to evaluate their potential to deliver a potent inhibitor of Notum.

Singleton hit *N*-[2-(5-fluoro-1*H*-indol-3-yl)ethyl]acetamide (8: IC₅₀ 37.2 μ M) is closely related to the hormone melatonin, which was also shown to bind to Notum but with slightly



Figure 3. Notum ligand interaction details. (A) Comparison hits 7 and 26. The compounds and Notum interaction residues are shown as sticks (gray for 26, orange for 7). (B) Comparison of 26 (gray sticks) and 7a (salmon sticks). (C) Comparison of 26 (gray sticks) and 7b (magenta sticks). The dash lines indicate the gained interaction by 7, 7a, and 7b in comparison to 26. All the compounds here form π - π stacking interactions with residues F268 and W128.

weaker affinity (IC₅₀ 75 μ M; 6TR5). Full details of the ligandbound structures with Notum and biophysical characterization of the ligand-Notum interactions have been reported.³⁷

Resource limitations meant it was not possible to simultaneously explore all the hits, and so some clusters and singletons were paused to be reexamined should the front runners fail to deliver an advanced lead with the desired profile. Hits for fragment development were selected, or paused, based on the following criteria: IC_{50} , LE, LLE, synthetic accessibility, multiple points of structural diversification to create SAR, and absence of metabolic or structural liabilities. Fragment hits **2** (IC_{50} 140 μ M), **20** (IC_{50} 110 μ M), and **43** (IC_{50} 87 μ M) could also have been good starting points for development had they not been overshadowed by preferred hits 7 (cluster 1) and **40** (cluster 3).

Fragment Development. 1,2,3-Triazoles. A pair of remarkable hits were 4-(hydroxmethyl)triazoles 7 and 26, which also highlighted some early SAR.36,39 A 3-Cl-4-Cl disubstituted phenyl ring (7) conferred a 23-fold improvement in Notum inhibition when compared to a single 4-Cl (26). Structurally, the 3-Cl gained hydrophobic interaction with P287 and strengthened the interaction with F268 (Figure 3A). Triazole 7 has properties consistent with lead-like chemical space and scored highly when assessed by design metrics (LE 0.59; LLE 4.1), including a favorable prediction of brain penetration.⁴⁰⁻⁴² Triazole 7 was further assessed in standard in vitro assays to determine its ADME properties and showed good aqueous solubility (100 mg/mL), moderate stability in liver microsomes (MLM, Cl_i 88 mL/min/mg protein; HLM, Cl_i 12 mL/min/mg protein), and excellent cell permeability (MDCK-MDR1, AB/BA P_{app} 57/59 × 10⁻⁶ cm/s, ER 1.0).³⁸ The development of 26 by modifying the heterocyclic head group, along with the exploration of SAR of the phenyl ring, identified two complementary lead series: 1,3,4-oxadiazol-2(3*H*)-ones (7**a**)³⁹ and 1,2,3-triazoles (7**b**).

A systematic investigation of substitution on the phenyl ring in the oxadiazol-2-one series identified 7a as a potent inhibitor.³⁹ The mouse pharmacokinetic studies demonstrated





good plasma exposure but only partial brain penetration.³⁹ Structurally, the oxadiazole head group gains interactions with G127, H389, A233, and S232. These interactions cause the phenyl ring to be flipped in comparison to 3-Cl of 7. The 3-CF₃ gains a lot of interactions with the Notum pocket residues Y129, F319, and I291 (Figure 3B). These residues are located on the opposite side of the pocket when compared to the 3-Cl interaction residues of 7 (P287, F268, Figure 3A).

Comparison of preferred substitution patterns of the phenyl ring across several Notum-inhibitor chemotypes found that 3-CF₃-4-Cl groups could give potent activity. Available structural information showed that these inhibitors tend to occupy the palmitoleate pocket more completely.

Application of the preferred 3-CF₃-4-Cl substituents to the 1,2,3-triazole series gave 7b as a credible early lead with improvement in the Notum inhibition activity (IC₅₀ 0.065 \pm 0.040 μ M). Compound 7b restored Wnt/ β -catenin signaling in a cell-based TCF/LEF (Luciferase) reporter assay in the presence of Notum (EC₅₀ 1.6 \pm 1.2 μ M)³⁹ and, as expected, had similar in vitro ADME properties to 7. Structurally, the 3-CF₃ of 7b conferred the same phenyl ring orientation as the 3-Cl of 7 retaining interaction of P287 and F268 but gained additional interactions with residues V346, F320, and I291 (Figure 3C). Compared to 7a, of which 3-CF₃ being on the

pubs.acs.org/chemneuro



Figure 4. Summary of fragment development of six hits 7/26, 16, 24, 28, 15, and 40.



Figure 5. Notum fragment interaction details and IFo–Fcl omit maps (green mesh at the contour level of 3σ , or pink at 2σ). (A) Hit **16** (gray sticks) forms hydrophobic interactions with Notum pocket residues. Aligned **7a** (salmon) and **7b** (magenta) are shown as thin sticks. (B) Hit **24** (light teal sticks) interaction details. Yellow dash lines indicate the polar interactions. Catalytic water (W1) is shown as a red sphere. (C) Hit **28** (dark green sticks) interaction details. There are two copies of **28**. The outside pocket copy of the omit map is shown as green sticks with a hash-lined box. (D) Hit **15** (purple sticks) interaction details. The cyanamide group mediated hydrophobic interactions were highlighted as cyan dash lines. All the compounds within the pocket form π - π stacking interactions with residue F268.



Figure 6. Optimization of fragment hit 7 through to 7d.

opposite of the pocket, it suggests the head group of oxadiazol or triazole may influence the phenyl group interaction.

Pyrrazoles. Initial efforts to improve 16 focused on N1 methylation and SAR of the aryl ring by substitution with halogens (F, Cl) (Table S1). Only the introduction of $3\text{-}CF_3$ -4-Cl groups 16a (IC₅₀ 1.4 ± 0.1 μ M) gave an incremental improvement in activity (2.3-fold) (Figure 4). Direct comparison of 16a with alternative heterocyclic head groups showed the pyrazole to be significantly inferior to both the oxadiazol-2-one 7a and 1,2,3-triazole 7b. Comparison of the binding modes of 16 with 7a and 7b by superimposing their structures show that the 3-F and 5-F of 16 overlap with the CF₃ group of 7b and 7a, respectively, gaining interactions with both sides of the pocket (Figure 5A).

Isoxazoles. Isoxazole 24 also belongs to cluster 1 and demonstrates good inhibition of Notum activity (IC₅₀ 12 μ M) (Figure 2). Structurally, the aminomethyl group shows two conformations (Figure 5B) and forms extensive polar interactions with the catalytic triad residues S232, H389 and the oxyanion residue W128, as well as catalytic water (Figure 5B). This catalytic water 1 (W1) may coordinate protonation/ deprotonation of the catalytic triad and is important for the enzymatic action.⁴³ Isoxazole 24 is positioned similarly to 7, showing good hydrophobic interactions with other pocket residues, including F268, F319, Y129, and T236 (Figure 5B). However, despite its promise as a hit for fragment development, a small set of analogues that explored halogen substitution on the aryl ring or alternatives to the 5aminomethyl group offered no improvement over 24 and were inferior to the triazoles.

Benzylamines. Benzylamine 28 was the preferred hit from cluster 2 albeit with weaker activity (IC₅₀ 54 μ M) compared to other clusters selected for hit development. The X-ray data refinement identified two copies of 28 bound to Notum; one was inside the enzyme pocket with very poor electron density (Figure 5C) and the other copy was located outside the pocket, bridging another crystal packing promoter. These nonpocket binders are unlikely to contribute to the inhibition of Notum's enzyme activity as we observed previously,³⁷ and so, only the interactions of the pocket binder copy were investigated (Figure 5C). The virtual chemical space of bis(benzyl)amines is incredibly large based on reliable chemical methods for their construction and available synthetic monomers. This could be further expanded by placing a third group on the nitrogen atom. Rather than initiate a large combinatorial approach, we elected to make a small pilot set of bis(benzyl)amines to establish their potential to compete with the triazole series. The benzodioxol-5-ylmethyl group was common to the two hits in cluster 2 and so was retained to

provide single point changes with the variation of the second substituent. However, most changes were detrimental with only the 4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl group **28a** (IC₅₀ 16 ± 1.5 μ M), providing a small increase in activity but at the expense of significant added lipophilicity (clog*P* 4.0) (Figure 2, Table S1).

Benzimidazoles. Benzimidazole 15 was selected as a singleton with good potency (IC₅₀ 17 μ M) and LE/LLE values similar to the leading members of cluster 1 (Figure 2). The benzo ring of 15 forms a ring-ring stack interaction with F268 and hydrophobic interactions with F320, I291, Y129, and W128 (Figure 5D). The cyanamide polar group (-NHCN) forms a polar interaction with oxyanion residue W128 and extensive hydrophobic interactions with W128, A233, and F268 (Figure 5D). New analogues were all prepared with the retention of the cyanamide group at C2 to retain these favorable interactions. Efforts to improve activity were limited to the exploration of benzimidazole at the N1, C4, C5, and C7 positions; combinations of preferred groups (N1-Me, 4-Me, 4-Cl) were not synergistic (Table S1). The most active inhibitor from this limited set was the simple 4-methyl analogue 15a $(IC_{50} 0.94 \pm 0.06 \ \mu M)$, which represented a modest 18-fold increase in Notum activity accompanied by small gains in LE and LLE (Figure 2). However, there emerged a plateau in Notum inhibition with this series at around 1 μ M.



2-Phenoxyacetamides. Fragment development of **40** from cluster 3 has been initiated earlier.³⁶ Fragment **40** (IC₅₀ 33 μ M) was identified with poor density, but its analogues improved the ligand electron densities, following the replacement of the pyridine ring with benzotriazole (**40a**, IC₅₀ 0.12 μ M) and isoquinoline (**40b**, IC₅₀ 0.085 μ M).

Further optimization of hit **40** by SAR studies of the aryloxy ring, acetamide backbone, and amide group, guided by several Notum-inhibitor structures, gave indazole **40c** (IC₅₀ 0.032 μ M) as the most potent inhibitor from this series. However, it



Figure 7. Structural character of 7d development. (A–D) The IFo–Fcl omit electron density maps (green mesh contoured at 3σ) for 7 (7B89, orange), 7b (7PKV, magenta), 7c (7QVZ, teal), 7d (7PK3, light green), respectively. (E) Superimposed 7b, 7c, and 7d complex structures. The 7d pocket is outlined as the gray surface. (F) Interaction details of 7c (teal sticks) with comparison to 7b (magenta sticks). Cyan dash lines indicate 7c gained hydrophobic interactions. (G) Interaction details of 7d (green sticks) with comparison to 7c (teal sticks). Dash lines indicate that 7d gained polar (yellow) or hydrophobic (cyan) interactions, or the lost polar interaction (black) compared to 7c. All the compounds here form π – π stacking interactions with residue F268.

was not possible to combine Notum activity with metabolic stability as measured in mouse and human liver microsomes. It was of note that these compounds were metabolized in an NADPH-independent manner.³⁶

With a plateau in potency at around 1–10 μ M for the pyrrazoles 16, bis(benzyl)amines 28, and benzimidazoles 15, and the 2-phenoxyacetamides 40 having poor metabolic stability, our efforts became entirely focused upon further optimization of the 1,2,3-triazole series 7 (Figure 6).

Fragment Hit to Advanced Lead. Further development of 7b through the introduction of aryl substituents that more optimally fill the palmitoleate pocket gave 7c, and then deletion of the hydroxymethyl group identified 7d as a potent inhibitor of Notum activity (IC₅₀ 0.0067 ± 0.0016 μ M) (Figure 7). Full details of these SAR studies, along with the profile of 7d, have been presented in a recent publication.³⁸

Structurally, all members of the 1,2,3-triazole series (7, 7b-d) were crystallographically resolved at high resolution with good data collection and refinement statistics, and their omit maps are shown in Figure 7A-D. Oxadiazole 7a has been published through optimization of 26.³⁹ The introduction of a 2-Cl on the aryl ring of 7b gave 7c, which further improved potency by 7-fold. Analysis showed that 7c maintained the same position and orientation as 7b within the pocket (Figure 7E). The newly added 2-Cl group gained hydrophobic interaction with W128, Y129, and V346 (Figure 7F). In addition, the 3-CF₃ group of 7c was repositioned such that one fluorine atom interacts with F268, which is already participating in a ring-ring stack interaction with the phenyl

ring, while one other fluorine atom of the CF_3 gains interaction with P287(Figure 7F).

Triazole 7d was designed to improve pharmacokinetic properties in vivo by reducing clearance through phase 2 metabolism of the primary hydroxyl group, but it also became the most potent inhibitor from this series despite removal of the $-CH_2OH$ group.³⁸ From the structure point of view, despite the loss of the hydrogen bond of the $-CH_2OH$ group compared to 7c, the catalytic residue S232 presents an alternative rotamer conformation and forms a new hydrogen bond with -1N as well as interaction with -2N of the triazole head (Figure 7G). These additional interactions contribute to further increasing potency, and 7d proved to be a potent inhibitor of Notum activity inhibition in both biochemical (OPTS) and cell-based reporter (TCF/LEF) assays (IC₅₀ 0.0067 ± 0.0016 μ M and EC₅₀ 0.110 ± 0.100 μ M, respectively).

Synthesis of Inhibitors. Consistent with the design of the DSPL being a "poised" fragment library, the synthesis of hits and close analogues during hit development was a straightforward process. The chemistry was established, reliable, and involved relatively short synthetic sequences of usually just one step or telescoped routes. The resupply of the selected hits 1-58 as authenticated, solid samples was accomplished by purchase from commercial vendors (19/58) or resynthesis using standard methods (39/58), see the Supporting Information.

General methods for the synthesis of new inhibitors during the fragment development phase (7b, 15a, 16a, and 28a) are presented in Schemes 1234, illustrated with the most active

Scheme 1. Synthesis of 1,2,3-Triazoles 7b^a



^{*a*}Reagents and conditions: (a) (i) NaNO₂ (1.2 equiv), CF₃CO₂H, 0 °C \rightarrow RT, 1.5 h; (ii) H₂O, RT \rightarrow 0 °C, (iii) NaN₃ (1.1 equiv), 0 °C \rightarrow RT, 1 h; (b) HC \equiv CCH₂OH (1.0 equiv), sodium L-ascorbate (0.4 equiv), CuSO₄·SH₂O (0.2 equiv), tBuOH-H₂O, 50 °C, 2 h, 83%.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of Benzimidazole 15a^a



^aReagents and conditions: (a) (PhO)₂C=N.CN (1.0 equiv), *i*PrOH, 50 °C, 3 h, 33%.

Scheme 3. Synthesis of Pyrrazole 16a^a



^aReagents and conditions: (a) $Me_2NCH(OMe)_2$ (3 equiv), DMF, 90 °C, 2 h; (b) $NH_2NH_2 \cdot H_2O$ (10 equiv), MeOH, 68 °C, 18 h, 41% over two steps.

Scheme 4. Synthesis of Bis(benzyl)amine 28a^a



^aReagents and conditions: (a) (i) piperonal (1.2 equiv), MeOH, RT, 30 min; (ii) NaBH₄ (1.2 equiv), RT, 4 h, 68%.

inhibitor from the set of analogues (Figure 4 and Table S1). Detailed methods for the synthesis of 1,3,4-oxadiazol-2(3*H*)-ones 7a,³⁹ 1-phenyl-1*H*-1,2,3-triazoles 7c and 7d,³⁸ and 2-phenoxyacetamides 40a, 40b, and 40c³⁶ have been published.

CONCLUSIONS

X-ray crystallographic fragment screening provided an effective way for the identification of a large number of novel fragments bound to Notum. The three-dimensional atomic detail of ligand orientation and interaction modes from the 58 compound-Notum complexes provides a rich seam of information for Notum-inhibitor design and development. The pocket fragment hits have diverse binding modes with central pocket binding chemical groups overlaping with the space occupied by the natural substrate Wnt lipid PAM. Some fragments can show induced fit with expanded pocket volume. The screen is sensitive with the ability to pick out a substantial number of hits (11) with low affinity (IC₅₀ > 1 mM). Many

hits (20) show reasonable Notum inhibition potency (IC₅₀ < 100 μ M) with the best one having IC₅₀ 0.5 μ M. Structural and chemical property analysis lead us to choose six hits (7, **15**, **16**, **24**, **28**, and **40**) for fragment development with most delivering new analogues having better potencies. 1,2,3-Triazole 7 became the main focus of our activities and ultimately was optimized to deliver 7d (ARUK3001185) as a potent, selective, and brain penetrant inhibitor of Notum activity suitable for use in animal models of disease.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

X-Ray Crystallographic Fragment Screen. Human Notum protein (Notum_{core} S81-T451 with a C330S mutation)⁷ was produced in HEK293S GNTI-cells and purified using standard procedures as described before.³⁷ The crystals were grown in 96-well Swissci/MRC plates with reservoir solution of 1.5 M ammonium sulfate and 0.1 M sodium citrate, pH 4.2. Fragment-based screening was carried out using the XChem platform and beamline I04-1 at the Diamond Light Source (www.diamond.ac.uk/Instruments/Mx/Fragment-Screening). The crystal drops were imaged analyzed with TeXRank⁴⁴ for ranking crystal quality and allocating drop regions for fragment dispensing so as not to damage crystals. The DSPL³² compounds (500 mM in DMSO) were dispensed (60 to 300 nL drop) by acoustic droplet ejection with the ECHO liquid handler (Labcyte INC).³³ After one hour of soaking, the crystals were harvested (with no further cryoprotective reagent) with the help of a Shifter device (Oxford Lab Technologies). The X-ray diffraction data were collected on beamline 104-1, in automated unattended mode. The fragment information and data collection were managed by XChemExplorer.²⁷ The data were analyzed by PanDDA³⁴ and further confirmed and refined with REFMAC.⁴⁵ All the 59 fragment hit-Notum complex structures have been validated and deposited to PDB with accession codes listed in Table 1 (all the PDB entries have been released).

Notum Activity Assay with the OPTS Substrate. The lipase substrate OPTS has been successfully used to measure Notum enzyme activity and compound inhibitory potency. The experimental details have been described before.^{36,38,39} Briefly, the recombinant Notum protein, test compounds, and the fluorescent substrate OPTS were mixed using a Labcyte Echo 550 acoustic liquid handler. Reactions were allowed to occur for 40 min at room temperature. The endpoint fluorescence was recorded using a microplate reader (PheraSTAR FSX), and the compound IC₅₀ values were calculated from curves using a 4PL fit.

Chemistry. General Information. General Methods have been described in detail.³⁹ Procedures for the purchase or resynthesis of the original fragment hits from the DSPL (1-58) are presented in the Supporting Information.

The purity of synthesized compounds was evaluated by NMR spectroscopy and/or liquid chromatography-mass spectroscopy (LC-MS) analysis. All compounds had purity \geq 95% unless otherwise stated. Purchased compounds were used as supplied.

Synthesis of New Notum Inhibitors. (1-(4-Chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methanol (**7b**). Step 1: sodium nitrite (420 mg, 6.14 mmol) was added portionwise to a solution of 4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)aniline (1000 mg, 5.11 mmol) in TFA (5 mL) at 0 °C over 30 min. The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 1.5 h. Water (0.1 mL) was added, and the mixture cooled to 0 °C. Sodium azide (365 mg, 5.62 mmol) was added portionwise over 30 min, and the mixture was then allowed to warm slowly to room temperature over 1 h. The mixture was basified to pH 8–9 by the dropwise addition of sat. aqueous NaHCO₃ and then extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic extracts were dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated under reduced pressure at ≤ 25 °C [Caution] to give 4-azido-1-chloro-2 (trifluoromethyl)benzene, which was used without further purification.

Step 2: propargyl alcohol (0.29 mL, 5.11 mmol), sodium Lascorbate (405 mg, 2.05 mmol), and copper(II) sulfate pentahydrate (255 mg, 1.02 mmol) were added to a solution of the foregoing 4azido-1-chloro-2-(trifluoromethyl)benzene (ca. 5.1 mmol) in water (10 mL) and *t*-BuOH (10 mL), and the mixture was heated at 50 °C for 2 h. The cooled mixture was diluted with water and extracted with EtOAc. The organics were washed with water (×2) and brine (×2), dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (0–5% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂) to afford 7b (1180 mg, 4.25 mmol, 83% yield) as a white solid. LCMS (Acidic): RT 1.69 min, *m*/*z* 278.1, 280.1 [M + H]⁺; ¹H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 8.89 (s, 1H), 8.35 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 8.26 (dd, *J* = 8.7, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 7.95 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 5.37 (t, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 4.62 (d, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 149.59, 135.66, 133.27, 130.09, 127.89 (q, *J* = 31.6 Hz), 125.05, 122.26 (q, *J* = 273.4 Hz), 121.42, 119.14 (q, *J* = 5.4 Hz), 54.89.

N-(4-*M*ethyl-1*H*-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)cyanamide (**15a**). A 10 mL thick-walled reaction vial was charged with diphenyl *N*-cyanocarbonimidate (167 mg, 0.70 mmol), 2,3-diaminotoluene (86 mg, 0.70 mmol), and 2-propanol (5 mL). The vial was sealed with a Teflon-lined crimp cap, placed in a preheated aluminum heating block at 50 °C and stirred for 3 h. The reaction was cooled to r.t. and purified by column chromatography (0–10% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂) to afford **15a** (40 mg, 0.23 mmol, 33% yield). LCMS (Basic): RT 1.36 min, *m*/*z* (ESI+) 173.0 [M + H]⁺; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 12.29 (app br d, *J* = 15.4 Hz, 2H), 7.03–6.98 (m, 2H), 6.93 (br d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 2.36 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 155.03, 129.99, 129.25, 123.52, 122.37, 120.50, 118.12, 107.80, 16.31.

3-(4-Fluoro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-1H-pyrazole (16a). The compound 16a was prepared using the two-step method used to prepare 16, starting from 4'-chloro-3'-(trifluoromethyl)acetophenone (200 mg, 0.90 mmol). Purification by column chromatography (0–50% EtOAc in cyclohexane) gave 16a (200 mg, 0.81 mmol, 94% yield) as an off-white solid. LC-MS (Basic): RT 1.84 min, *m*/*z* 245.1, 247.1 [M-H]; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.36 (br s, 1H), 8.14 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.91 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.66 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.67 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 149.42, 132.21, 131.88, 131.44, 130.83 (m), 129.84, 128.83 (q, *J* = 31.5 Hz), 124.91 (q, *J* = 5.5 Hz), 122.97 (q, *J* = 274 Hz), 100.07.

1-(Benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)-N-(4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)methanamine (28a). A solution of 4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)benzylamine (0.07 mL, 0.48 mmol) and piperonal (86 mg, 0.57 mmol) in MeOH (2 mL) was stirred at r.t for 30 min. After this time, sodium borohydride (22 mg, 0.57 mmol) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at r.t for a further 4 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was diluted with water and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (0-60% EtOAc in cyclohexane). The residue was further purified by the SCX-2 cartridge (MeOH - 1 M NH₃ in MeOH) to afford 28 (111.6 mg, 0.32 mmol, 68% yield) as a colorless oil. Purity 87% by LCMS (Basic): RT 1.96 min, m/z 344.1 [M + H]⁺; ¹H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.68 (br s, 1H), 7.48-7.44 (m, 2H), 6.85 (br s, 1H), 6.78-6.75 (m, 2H), 5.95 (s, 2H), 3.80 (s, 2H), 3.71 (s, 2H); 13 C NMR (151 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 146.92, 146.79, 139.66, 133.84, 132.56, 131.47 (2C), 127.31, 127.28, 121.37 (2C), 108.72, 108.25, 101.09, 53.11, 51.91.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acschemneuro.2c00325.

Schematic illustration of the overall procedure for the Xray based fragment screen, lead identification and development. Notum inhibition SAR for additional pyrrazoles 16, benzylamines 28 and benzimidazoles 15. Procedures for the purchase or resynthesis of fragment hits 1-58. ¹H NMR spectra for resynthesized fragment hits 1-58. Spectroscopic and analytical data for fragment development compounds 7b, 15a, 16a, and **28a.** UHPLC analytical data for advanced lead 7d (PDF)

Molecular formula strings and Notum IC_{50} values for 1– 58 (CSV)

Accession Codes

Coordinates for X-ray structures of Notum crystallized with 1 (7B7W), 2 (7B7X), 3 (7B7Y), 4 (7B84), 5 (7B86), 6 (7B87), 7 (7B89), 7a (6ZVL), 7b (7PKV), 7c (7QVZ), 7d (7PK3), 8 (6TR7), 9 (7B8A), 10 (7B8C), 11 (7B8D), 12 (7B8F), 13 (7B8G), 14 (7B8J), 15 (7B8K), 16 (7B8L), 17 (7B8M), 18 (7B8N), 19 (7B8O), 20 (7B8U), 21 (7B8X), 22 (7B8Y), 23 (7B8Z), 24 (7B98), 25 (7B99), 26 (6ZUV), 27 (7B9D), 28 (7B9I), 29 (7B9N), 30 (7B9U), 31 (7BA1), 32 (7BAC), 33 (7BAP), 34 (7BC8), 35 (7BC9), 36 (7BCC), 37 (7BCD), 38 (7 BCE), 39 (7BCF), 40 (6R8P), 41 (7BCH), 42 (7BCI), 43 (7BCK), 44 (7BCL), 45 (7BD2), 46 (7BD3), 47 (7BD4), 48 (7BD5), 49 (7BD6), 50 (7BD8), 51 (7BD9), 52 (7BDA), 53 (7BDB), 54 (7BDC), 55 (7BDD), 56 (7BDF), 57 (7BDG), **58** (7BDH) and **59** (7B01) have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank. All coordinates have been released except 7b, 7c and 7d which will be released upon article publication.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

- Paul V. Fish Alzheimer's Research UK UCL Drug Discovery Institute, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, U.K.; The Francis Crick Institute, London NW1 1AT, U.K.;
 orcid.org/0000-0002-2117-2173; Phone: +44 (0)20 7679 6971; Email: p.fish@ucl.ac.uk
- E. Yvonne Jones Division of Structural Biology, Wellcome Centre for Human Genetics, University of Oxford, Oxford OX3 7BN, U.K.; o orcid.org/0000-0002-3834-1893; Phone: +44 (0)1865 287546; Email: yvonne@ strubi.ox.ac.uk

Authors

- Yuguang Zhao Division of Structural Biology, Wellcome Centre for Human Genetics, University of Oxford, Oxford OX3 7BN, U.K.; © orcid.org/0000-0001-8916-8552
- William Mahy Alzheimer's Research UK UCL Drug Discovery Institute, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, U.K.
- Nicky J. Willis Alzheimer's Research UK UCL Drug Discovery Institute, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, U.K.; © orcid.org/0000-0003-3245-5280
- Hannah L. Woodward Alzheimer's Research UK UCL Drug Discovery Institute, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, U.K.; © orcid.org/0000-0001-8429-2546
- David Steadman Alzheimer's Research UK UCL Drug Discovery Institute, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, U.K.; orcid.org/0000-0003-4271-5525
- Elliott D. Bayle Alzheimer's Research UK UCL Drug Discovery Institute, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, U.K.; The Francis Crick Institute, London NW1 1AT, U.K.
- Benjamin N. Atkinson Alzheimer's Research UK UCL Drug Discovery Institute, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, U.K.; orcid.org/0000-0001-5511-9859
- James Sipthorp Alzheimer's Research UK UCL Drug Discovery Institute, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, U.K.; The Francis Crick Institute, London NW1 1AT, U.K.

- Luca Vecchia Division of Structural Biology, Wellcome Centre for Human Genetics, University of Oxford, Oxford OX3 7BN, U.K.
- **Reinis R. Ruza** Division of Structural Biology, Wellcome Centre for Human Genetics, University of Oxford, Oxford OX3 7BN, U.K.
- Karl Harlos Division of Structural Biology, Wellcome Centre for Human Genetics, University of Oxford, Oxford OX3 7BN, U.K.
- Fiona Jeganathan Alzheimer's Research UK UCL Drug Discovery Institute, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, U.K.
- Stefan Constantinou Alzheimer's Research UK UCL Drug Discovery Institute, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, U.K.
- Artur Costa Alzheimer's Research UK UCL Drug Discovery Institute, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, U.K.
- **Svend Kjær** *The Francis Crick Institute, London NW1 1AT, U.K.*
- Magda Bictash Alzheimer's Research UK UCL Drug Discovery Institute, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, U.K.
- Patricia C. Salinas Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, Laboratory for Molecular and Cellular Biology, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, U.K.
- Paul Whiting Alzheimer's Research UK UCL Drug Discovery Institute, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, U.K.
- Jean-Paul Vincent The Francis Crick Institute, London NW1 1AT, U.K.

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acschemneuro.2c00325

Author Contributions

The research was performed through contributions of all authors. Y.Z., W.M., P.V.F. and E.Y.J. wrote the manuscript with additional contributions from N.J.W, E.D.B., D.S., H.L.W., and B.N.A. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

Y.Z. and E.Y.J. are supported by Cancer Research UK (C375/A17721), the UK Medical Research Council (MR/T000503/1), and the Wellcome Trust (203141/Z/16/Z). The Alzheimer's Research UK UCL Drug Discovery Institute is core funded by Alzheimer's Research UK (520909). The Francis Crick Institute receives its core funding from Cancer Research UK (FC001002), the UK Medical Research Council (FC001002), and the Wellcome Trust (FC001002).

Notes

The authors declare the following competing financial interest(s): Y.Z., N.J.W., W.M., E.D.B., H.W., B.N.A., J.S., L.V., R.R.R., P.V.F., and E.Y.J. are co-inventors of patent application WO 2020043866, which describes inhibitors of Notum. The authors have no other relevant affiliations or financial involvement apart from those disclosed.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Diamond Light Source XChem team (Prof. Frank von Delft, Drs. Jose Brandao-Neto, Patrick Collins, Alexandre Dias, Alice Douangamath and Renjie Zhang for their support for the fragment screen and use of the I04-1 beam line data collection (under BAG application IB16814), and the staff of beamline I04 for assistance with crystal data collection (under BAG application MX14744). We thank Dr. Matthias Zebisch (Evotec) for the initiation of the Notum project and James Hillier for assistance with some data sets. The Cell Services and Structural Biology Science Technology Platforms at the Francis Crick Institute are gratefully acknowledged for their provision and purification of recombinant Notum. We would like to thank Sarah Frew and Amy Monaghan for additional screening data. We thank the staff at the UCL Department of Chemistry for spectroscopic and analytical services.

ABBREVIATIONS

ABPP, activity-based protein profiling; AD, Alzheimer's disease; ADME, absorption distribution metabolism elimination; DMSO, dimethylsulfoxide; DSPL, Diamond-SGC Poised Library; ER, efflux ratio; FBDD, fragment-based drug design; HAC, heavy atom count; HTS, high-throughput screen; ITC, isothermal titration calorimetry; LE, ligand efficiency; LLE, lipophilic ligand efficiency; LRP5/6, low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 5/6; MW, molecular weight; NMR, nuclear magnetic resonance; OPTS, trisodium 8-octanoyloxypyrene-1,3,6-trisulfonate; PanDDA, Pan-Dataset Density Analysis; PDB, Protein Data Bank; PORCN, protein-serine O-palmitoleoyltransferase porcupine; ROR1/2, neurotrophic tyrosine kinase, receptor-related 1 /2; SAR, structure-activity relationship; SPR, surface plasmon resonance; TCF/LEF, Tcell factor/lymphoid enhancing factor; TPSA, topological polar surface area; TSA, thermal shift assays; Wnt, Wingless Integrated-1.

REFERENCES

(1) Nusse, R.; Clevers, H. Wnt/beta-catenin signaling, disease, and emerging therapeutic modalities. *Cell* **201**7, *169*, 985–999.

(2) Angers, S.; Moon, R. T. Proximal events in Wnt signal transduction. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **2009**, *10*, 468–477.

(3) Nile, A. H.; Hannoush, R. N. Fatty acylation of Wnt proteins. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2016**, *12*, 60–69.

(4) Tanaka, K.; Okabayashi, K.; Asashima, M.; Perrimon, N.; Kadowaki, T. The evolutionarily conserved porcupine gene family is involved in the processing of the Wnt family. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **2000**, 267, 4300–4311.

(5) Hirai, H.; Matoba, K.; Mihara, E.; Arimori, T.; Takagi, J. Crystal structure of a mammalian Wnt-frizzled complex. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **2019**, *26*, 372–379.

(6) Janda, C. Y.; Waghray, D.; Levin, A. M.; Thomas, C.; Garcia, K. C. Structural basis of Wnt recognition by Frizzled. *Science* **2012**, 337, 59–64.

(7) Kakugawa, S.; Langton, P. F.; Zebisch, M.; Howell, S.; Chang, T.

H.; Liu, Y.; Feizi, T.; Bineva, G.; O'Reilly, N.; Snijders, A. P.; Jones, E. Y.; Vincent, J. P. Notum deacylates Wnt proteins to suppress signalling activity. *Nature* **2015**, *519*, 187–192.

(8) Aghaizu, N. D.; Jin, H.; Whiting, P. J. Dysregulated Wnt signalling in the Alzheimer's brain. *Brain Sci.* **2020**, *10*, 902.

(9) Baron, R.; Gori, F. Targeting WNT signaling in the treatment of osteoporosis. *Curr. Opin. Pharmacol.* **2018**, *40*, 134–141.

(10) Flanagan, D. J.; Pentinmikko, N.; Luopajarvi, K.; Willis, N. J.; Gilroy, K.; Raven, A. P.; McGarry, L.; Englund, J. I.; Webb, A. T.; Scharaw, S.; Nasreddin, N.; Hodder, M. C.; Ridgway, R. A.; Minnee, E.; Sphyris, N.; Gilchrist, E.; Najumudeen, A. K.; Romagnolo, B.; Perret, C.; Williams, A. C.; Clevers, H.; Nummela, P.; Lahde, M.; Alitalo, K.; Hietakangas, V.; Hedley, A.; Clark, W.; Nixon, C.; Kirschner, K.; Jones, E. Y.; Ristimaki, A.; Leedham, S. J.; Fish, P. V.; Vincent, J. P.; Katajisto, P.; Sansom, O. J. NOTUM from Apc-mutant cells biases clonal competition to initiate cancer. *Nature* **2021**, *594*, 430–435.

(11) Zhao, Y.; Schuhmacher, L. N.; Roberts, M.; Kakugawa, S.; Bineva-Todd, G.; Howell, S.; O'Reilly, N.; Perret, C.; Snijders, A. P.; Vincent, J. P.; Jones, E. Y. Notum deacylates octanoylated ghrelin. *Mol. Metab.* **2021**, *49*, No. 101201.

(12) Brommage, R.; Liu, J.; Vogel, P.; Mseeh, F.; Thompson, A. Y.; Potter, D. G.; Shadoan, M. K.; Hansen, G. M.; Jeter-Jones, S.; Cui, J.; Bright, D.; Bardenhagen, J. P.; Doree, D. D.; Moverare-Skrtic, S.; Nilsson, K. H.; Henning, P.; Lerner, U. H.; Ohlsson, C.; Sands, A. T.; Tarver, J. E.; Powell, D. R.; Zambrowicz, B.; Liu, Q. NOTUM inhibition increases endocortical bone formation and bone strength. *Bone Res.* **2019**, *7*, 2.

(13) Tarver, J. E., Jr.; Pabba, P. K.; Barbosa, J.; Han, Q.; Gardyan, M. W.; Brommage, R.; Thompson, A. Y.; Schmidt, J. M.; Wilson, A. G. E.; He, W.; Lombardo, V. K.; Carson, K. G. Stimulation of cortical bone formation with thienopyrimidine based inhibitors of Notum Pectinacetylesterase. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2016**, *26*, 1525–1528.

(14) Mizrak, D.; Bayin, N. S.; Yuan, J.; Liu, Z.; Suciu, R. M.; Niphakis, M. J.; Ngo, N.; Lum, K. M.; Cravatt, B. F.; Joyner, A. L.; Sims, P. A. Single-cell profiling and SCOPE-seq reveal lineage dynamics of adult ventricular-subventricular zone neurogenesis and NOTUM as a key regulator. *Cell Rep.* **2020**, *31*, No. 107805.

(15) Pentinmikko, N.; Iqbal, S.; Mana, M.; Andersson, S.; Cognetta, A. B., 3rd; Suciu, R. M.; Roper, J.; Luopajarvi, K.; Markelin, E.; Gopalakrishnan, S.; Smolander, O. P.; Naranjo, S.; Saarinen, T.; Juuti, A.; Pietilainen, K.; Auvinen, P.; Ristimaki, A.; Gupta, N.; Tammela, T.; Jacks, T.; Sabatini, D. M.; Cravatt, B. F.; Yilmaz, O. H.; Katajisto, P. Notum produced by paneth cells attenuates regeneration of aged intestinal epithelium. *Nature* **2019**, *571*, 398–402.

(16) Suciu, R. M.; Cognetta, A. B., 3rd; Potter, Z. E.; Cravatt, B. F. Selective irreversible inhibitors of the Wnt-deacylating enzyme NOTUM developed by activity-based protein profiling. *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.* **2018**, *9*, 563–568.

(17) Chavanieu, A.; Pugniere, M. Developments in SPR fragment screening. *Expert Opin. Drug Discovery* **2016**, *11*, 489–499.

(18) Zhao, Y.; Ren, J.; Hillier, J.; Lu, W.; Jones, E. Y. Antiepileptic drug carbamazepine binds to a novel pocket on the Wnt receptor Frizzled-8. *J. Med. Chem.* **2020**, *63*, 3252–3260.

(19) Recht, M. I.; Nienaber, V.; Torres, F. E. Fragment-based screening for enzyme inhibitors using calorimetry. *Methods Enzymol.* **2016**, 567, 47–69.

(20) Ahmad, M. U. D.; Fish, A.; Molenaar, J.; Sreeramulu, S.; Richter, C.; Altincekic, N.; Schwalbe, H.; Wienk, H.; Perrakis, A. Nano-differential scanning fluorimetry for screening in fragment-based lead discovery. *J. Visualized Exp.* **2021**, 62469.

(21) Pellecchia, M.; Sem, D. S.; Wuthrich, K. NMR in drug discovery. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery* **2002**, *1*, 211–219.

(22) Shuker, S. B.; Hajduk, P. J.; Meadows, R. P.; Fesik, S. W. Discovering high-affinity ligands for proteins: SAR by NMR. *Science* **1996**, 274, 1531–1534.

(23) Hartshorn, M. J.; Murray, C. W.; Cleasby, A.; Frederickson, M.; Tickle, I. J.; Jhoti, H. Fragment-based lead discovery using X-ray crystallography. *J. Med. Chem.* **2005**, *48*, 403–413.

(24) Nienaber, V. L.; Richardson, P. L.; Klighofer, V.; Bouska, J. J.; Giranda, V. L.; Greer, J. Discovering novel ligands for macromolecules using X-ray crystallographic screening. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2000**, *18*, 1105–1108.

(25) Steadman, D.; Atkinson, B. N.; Zhao, Y.; Willis, N. J.; Frew, S.; Monaghan, A.; Patel, C.; Armstrong, E.; Costelloe, K.; Magno, L.; Bictash, M.; Jones, E. Y.; Fish, P. V.; Svensson, F. Virtual screening directly identifies new fragment-sized inhibitors of carboxylesterase Notum with nanomolar activity. *J. Med. Chem.* **2022**, *65*, 562–578.

(26) Winter, G.; McAuley, K. E. Automated data collection for macromolecular crystallography. *Methods* **2011**, *55*, 81–93.

(27) Krojer, T.; Talon, R.; Pearce, N.; Collins, P.; Douangamath, A.; Brandao-Neto, J.; Dias, A.; Marsden, B.; von Delft, F. The XChemExplorer graphical workflow tool for routine or large-scale protein-ligand structure determination. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr. 2017, 73, 267–278.

(28) Douangamath, A.; Powell, A.; Fearon, D.; Collins, P. M.; Talon, R.; Krojer, T.; Skyner, R.; Brandao-Neto, J.; Dunnett, L.; Dias, A.; Aimon, A.; Pearce, N. M.; Wild, C.; Gorrie-Stone, T.; von Delft, F. Achieving efficient fragment screening at XChem facility at Diamond light source. J. Visualized Exp. **2021**, No. e62414.

(29) Price, A. J.; Howard, S.; Cons, B. D. Fragment-based drug discovery and its application to challenging drug targets. *Essays Biochem.* **2017**, *61*, 475–484.

(30) Murray, C. W.; Blundell, T. L. Structural biology in fragmentbased drug design. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **2010**, *20*, 497–507.

(31) Zhao, Y.; Jolly, S.; Benvegnu, S.; Jones, E. Y.; Fish, P. V. Small-molecule inhibitors of carboxylesterase Notum. *Future Med. Chem.* **2021**, *13*, 1001–1015.

(32) Cox, O. B.; Krojer, T.; Collins, P.; Monteiro, O.; Talon, R.; Bradley, A.; Fedorov, O.; Amin, J.; Marsden, B. D.; Spencer, J.; von Delft, F.; Brennan, P. E. A poised fragment library enables rapid synthetic expansion yielding the first reported inhibitors of PHIP(2), an atypical bromodomain. *Chem. Sci.* **2016**, *7*, 2322–2330.

(33) Collins, P. M.; Ng, J. T.; Talon, R.; Nekrosiute, K.; Krojer, T.; Douangamath, A.; Brandao-Neto, J.; Wright, N.; Pearce, N. M.; von Delft, F. Gentle, fast and effective crystal soaking by acoustic dispensing. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Struct. Biol.* **2017**, *73*, 246–255.

(34) Pearce, N. M.; Krojer, T.; Bradley, A. R.; Collins, P.; Nowak, R. P.; Talon, R.; Marsden, B. D.; Kelm, S.; Shi, J.; Deane, C. M.; von Delft, F. A multi-crystal method for extracting obscured crystallographic states from conventionally uninterpretable electron density. *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8*, 15123.

(35) Emsley, P.; Cowtan, K. Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr.* 2004, 60, 2126–2132.

(36) Atkinson, B. N.; Steadman, D.; Zhao, Y.; Sipthorp, J.; Vecchia, L.; Ruza, R. R.; Jeganathan, F.; Lines, G.; Frew, S.; Monaghan, A.; Kjaer, S.; Bictash, M.; Jones, E. Y.; Fish, P. V. Discovery of 2-phenoxyacetamides as inhibitors of the Wnt-depalmitoleating enzyme NOTUM from an X-ray fragment screen. *MedChemComm* **2019**, *10*, 1361–1369.

(37) Zhao, Y.; Ren, J.; Hillier, J.; Jones, M.; Lu, W.; Jones, E. Y. Structural characterization of melatonin as an inhibitor of the Wnt deacylase Notum. *J. Pineal Res.* **2020**, *68*, No. e12630.

(38) Willis, N. J.; Mahy, W.; Sipthorp, J.; Zhao, Y.; Woodward, H.; Atkinson, B. N.; Bayle, E. D.; Svensson, F.; Frew, S.; Jeganathan, F.; Monaghan, A.; Benvegnu, S.; Jolly, S.; Vecchia, L.; Ruza, R. R.; Kjaer, S.; Howell, S.; Snidjers, A.; Bictash, M.; Salinas, P. C.; Vincent, J. P.; Jones, E. Y.; Whiting, P.; Fish, P. V. Design of a potent, selective and brain penetrant inhibitor of Wnt-deactivating enzyme Notum by optimization of a crystallographic fragment hit. *J. Med. Chem.* **2022**, *65*, 7212.

(39) Mahy, W.; Willis, N. J.; Zhao, Y.; Woodward, H. L.; Svensson, F.; Sipthorp, J.; Vecchia, L.; Ruza, R. R.; Hillier, J.; Kjaer, S.; Frew, S.; Monaghan, A.; Bictash, M.; Salinas, P. C.; Whiting, P.; Vincent, J. P.; Jones, E. Y.; Fish, P. V. 5-Phenyl-1,3,4-oxadiazol-2(3H)-ones are potent inhibitors of Notum carboxylesterase activity identified by the optimization of a crystallographic fragment screening Hit. *J. Med. Chem.* **2020**, *63*, 12942–12956.

(40) Gupta, M.; Lee, H. J.; Barden, C. J.; Weaver, D. F. The bloodbrain barrier (BBB) score. J. Med. Chem. 2019, 62, 9824–9836.

(41) Rankovic, Z. CNS physicochemical property space shaped by a diverse set of molecules with experimentally determined exposure in the mouse brain. *J. Med. Chem.* **2017**, *60*, 5943–5954.

(42) Wager, T. T.; Hou, X.; Verhoest, P. R.; Villalobos, A. Moving beyond rules: the development of a central nervous system multiparameter optimization (CNS MPO) approach to enable alignment of druglike properties. *ACS Chem. Neurosci.* **2010**, *1*, 435–449.

(43) Zhao, Y.; Svensson, F.; Steadman, D.; Frew, S.; Monaghan, A.; Bictash, M.; Moreira, T.; Chalk, R.; Lu, W.; Fish, P. V.; Jones, E. Y. Structural Insights into Notum Covalent Inhibition. J. Med. Chem. 2021, 64, 11354–11363.

(44) Ng, J. T.; Dekker, C.; Kroemer, M.; Osborne, M.; von Delft, F. Using textons to rank crystallization droplets by the likely presence of crystals. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr.* **2014**, 70, 2702–2718.

(45) Murshudov, G. N.; Vagin, A. A.; Dodson, E. J. Refinement of macromolecular structures by the maximum-likelihood method. *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr.* **1997**, *53*, 240–255.