



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

## Telemedicine and virtual respiratory care in the era of COVID-19

**Citation for published version:**

Pinnock, H, Murphie, P, Vogiatzis, I & Poberezhets, V 2022, 'Telemedicine and virtual respiratory care in the era of COVID-19', *ERJ Open Research*, vol. 8, no. 3. <https://doi.org/10.1183/23120541.00111-2022>

**Digital Object Identifier (DOI):**

[10.1183/23120541.00111-2022](https://doi.org/10.1183/23120541.00111-2022)

**Link:**

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

**Document Version:**

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

**Published In:**

ERJ Open Research

**General rights**

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

**Take down policy**

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact [openaccess@ed.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@ed.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.





## Early View

Invited review

# Telemedicine and virtual respiratory care in the era of COVID-19

Hilary Pinnock, Phyllis Murphie, Ioannis Vogiatzis, Vitalii Poberezhets

Please cite this article as: Pinnock H, Murphie P, Vogiatzis I, *et al.* Telemedicine and virtual respiratory care in the era of COVID-19. *ERJ Open Res* 2022; in press (<https://doi.org/10.1183/23120541.00111-2022>).

This manuscript has recently been accepted for publication in the *ERJ Open Research*. It is published here in its accepted form prior to copyediting and typesetting by our production team. After these production processes are complete and the authors have approved the resulting proofs, the article will move to the latest issue of the ERJOR online.

Copyright ©The authors 2022. This version is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial Licence 4.0. For commercial reproduction rights and permissions contact [permissions@ersnet.org](mailto:permissions@ersnet.org)

*Commissioned review for ERJ Open Research*

## **Telemedicine and virtual respiratory care in the era of COVID-19**

### **Hilary Pinnock**

Usher Institute, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

### **Phyllis Murphie**

NHS Dumfries and Galloway, Scotland, UK

### **Ioannis Vogiatzis**

Department of Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitation, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Northumbria University Newcastle, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK

### **Vitalii Poberezhets**

Department of Propedeutics of Internal Medicine, National Pirogov Memorial Medical University, Vinnytsya, Ukraine

### **Corresponding author**

Professor Hilary Pinnock

Usher Institute, The University of Edinburgh

Doorway 3, Medical School,

Teviot Place,

Edinburgh

EH8 9AG

E-mail: [hilary.pinnock@ed.ac.uk](mailto:hilary.pinnock@ed.ac.uk)

Tel: [0131 650 9474](tel:01316509474)

Word count: 4,950

## **Abstract**

The World Health Organization defines telemedicine as ‘an interaction between a health care provider and a patient when the two are separated by distance’. The COVID-19 pandemic has forced a dramatic shift to telephone and video consulting for follow up and routine ambulatory care for reasons of infection control. Short Message Service (‘text’) messaging has proved a useful adjunct to remote consulting allowing transfer of photographs and documents. Maintaining non-communicable diseases care is a core component of pandemic preparedness and telemedicine has developed to enable (for example) remote monitoring of sleep apnoea, telemonitoring of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, digital support for asthma self-management, remote delivery of pulmonary rehabilitation. There are multiple exemplars of telehealth instigated rapidly to provide care for people with COVID-19, to manage the spread of the pandemic, or to maintain safe routine diagnostic or treatment services.

Despite many positive examples of equivalent functionality and safety, there remain questions about the impact of remote delivery of care on rapport and the longer-term impact on patient/professional relationships. Although telehealth has the potential to contribute to universal health coverage by providing cost-effective accessible care, there is a risk of increasing social health inequalities if the ‘digital divide’ excludes those most in need of care. As we emerge from the pandemic, the balance of remote versus face-to-face consulting, and the specific role of digital health in different clinical and healthcare contexts will evolve. What is clear is that telemedicine in one form or another will be part of the ‘new norm’.

## **Summary of the "take home" message**

The COVID-19 pandemic has forced a dramatic shift to remote care and accelerated multiple telemedicine initiatives. As they evolve into the new norm these initiatives must accommodate preferences and address the risk of increasing the digital divide.

## **Introduction**

The World Health Organization (WHO) defines telemedicine (or telehealth – the WHO uses the terms interchangeably) as ‘an interaction between a healthcare provider and a patient when the two are separated by distance’,[1] adding that this communication may be synchronous (as in telephone or video consultations) or asynchronous (when data, queries and responses are exchanged by e-mail or Short Message Service (SMS)) with the aim of providing clinical support and improving health outcomes.[2] Teleconsultations, telemonitoring, mobile health, remote delivery of interventions are all facets of digital healthcare that have been thrust into mainstream service by the requirement for social distancing in a pandemic, and are now a core focus of professional societies (for example the European Respiratory Society,[3])

Telehealth is promoted as having the potential to contribute to universal health coverage by providing cost-effective accessible care,[4] including for vulnerable and ageing populations and those living in remote areas.[1] Others have pointed to inequities arising from the digital divide as the people most in need may be those least able to use, or without access to, essential technology.[5] In addition to enforcing remote clinical care, the COVID-19 pandemic has demonstrated the enormous value to public health and policy of the ‘big data’ generated by digital health, though the need for confidence in how personal data are protected and used remains a priority for the public.[4] Artificial intelligence (AI) can support respiratory care: for example interpreting chest X-rays and sleep studies,[6,7] predicting acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive lung disease (COPD),[8] and chatbots answering questions from people with lung cancer.[9] Effective implementation strategies, however, are poorly developed,[10] and the potential for AI to replace (as opposed to support) clinical decision-making[11] currently outstrips the trust of many patients and professionals.[12,13]

Whilst we are mindful of this wider perspective, in this clinical review we focus on selected examples to provide an expert perspective on the potential of telemedicine to deliver virtual respiratory care. We will consider how the COVID-19 pandemic has had a major influence on this story, promoting implementation of remote ways to monitor the progress of COVID in people at risk,[14-18] highlighting the potential of safely maintaining the care of people with non-communicable respiratory diseases,[19,20] and of supporting self-management during times of lockdown.[21] The imperative to contain the spread of infection resulted in an overnight shift to remote consulting (illustrated in Figure 1);[14-16] as COVID-19 gradually moves to endemic rather than pandemic status, we will discuss the ‘new norm’ and the legacy that the pandemic has given to telemedicine.

### **Remote access to consultations**

Remote consulting is not new. The medical literature of the late 19<sup>th</sup> Century contains a number of reports of innovative practice using the recently-invented telephone to (for example) listen to a child’s breath sounds and reassure a mother that their child did not have croup, enable transmission and amplification of heart sounds to a remote specialist, facilitate organisation of rural general practices and to communicate within and between hospitals.[25] Some of the barriers that have held back widespread adoption of telephone (and other remote) consulting were being described in letters to the editor of the *Lancet* a hundred years ago,[25] including inadequate fees in comparison to face-to-face consultations,[26-28] and the fear of being swamped by anxious patients. In contrast to the pandemic role of remote consulting in supporting infection control, an early scare was the risk of contracting infection from unhygienic public telephones.[25]

### *Telephone consulting for acute problems*

A century later, the telephone had become the norm for providing access to acute medical advice with out-of-hours services in many countries reporting that the majority of calls could be managed safely without the need for a face-to-face consultation.[29-32] Respiratory infections are one of the commonest reasons for out-of-hours and primary care same-day contacts,[33-35] so (even pre-COVID-19) telephone consultations were a core component of front-line respiratory care. Whether 'telephone triage' is an efficient strategy for managing acute demand in routine practice is unclear.[36] Although some, [32,37,38] but not all,[39] studies have shown that telephone triage reduces professional time and costs on the day of the request, there is evidence that consultation rates increase over the four weeks after the index call.[32,39-44] Compensating for lack of confidence in decisions made in remote consultations has been suggested as a reason for increased rates of follow-up.[32] Safety evidence, however, is reassuring, with systematic reviews demonstrating no significant increase in poor outcomes such as emergency department visits, hospitalisations or deaths.[40,42-44]

Another possibility is a qualitative difference in telephone compared to face-to-face consultations. Analysis of the content of consultations shows that telephone consultations are shorter, mainly because they only address one problem (typically at least half primary care face-to-face consultations deal with two or more presenting problems [45]) suggesting that additional problems are deferred in focused telephone calls. Several studies have concluded that doctors undertaking telephone consultations devote less time to rapport building, data gathering, provision of education and counselling, and provide less opportunistic healthcare.[45-48] Reassuringly, patients' recall of important advice (such as treatment instructions, or safety-netting advice) does not appear to be compromised by remote modes of communication.[49]

### *Telephone consulting for routine review of known conditions*

A recurring theme (from patients[50] and healthcare professionals[51]) is that remote consulting may be particularly appropriate for the review of known problems, when there is no requirement to make a diagnosis,[51] and potentially a relationship already exists between the patient and healthcare professional.[52]

Twenty years before the COVID pandemic forced remote consultations, several trials investigated the potential of telephone reviews for asthma.[53,54] A key aim of these primary care studies was to increase the (historically low[55]) proportion of people with asthma who received at least an annual review. Reassured by a randomised controlled trial (RCT) that showed that telephone reviews could be cost-effectively substituted for traditional face-to-face reviews with no loss of control, [53,56] different strategies have been tested to implement this in routine practice. Using proactive telephone calls to triage the need for a face-to-face review increased review rate from 64% to 87%, with no between-group difference in control or use of healthcare resources.[54] Incorporating the offer of a telephone review (about a fifth of patients chose telephone) and opportunistically calling non-responders increased the proportion reviewed from 54% to 66%.[57] A Cochrane review in 2016 (n=6 studies) concluded that offering telephone consultations could increase the proportion of people with asthma who received a review, with no evidence of harm in terms of asthma control though impact on unscheduled care was uncertain because these events were uncommon in primary care populations.[58]

Similarly, there was already evidence that remote consulting, informed by usage data, was effective and more convenient than traditional face-to-face consulting for reviewing obstructive sleep apnoea and hypopnoea syndrome (OSAHS).[59] With the COVID pandemic, remote consulting became the norm for routine reviews of most long-term conditions.[60]



### *Telephone consulting for hospital out-patients*

Whilst historically less widely adopted in hospitals than primary care practice (until the pandemic mandated a move to remote consulting), observational studies have shown the potential utility of telephone consultations to replace follow-up consultations,[61,62] and also as a preliminary contact to streamline future hospital consultations.[63] A telephone call to take a history and arrange necessary investigations prior to the first respiratory outpatient attendance reduced the need for follow-up appointments.[63] Post-discharge telephone follow-up has been tried in a range of conditions (though not specifically respiratory), but whilst appreciated by patients, benefits in terms of reduced complications or readmissions have not been clearly demonstrated.[64] About a third of respiratory out-patient follow-up appointments were considered suitable for telephone reviews because there was no need for a physical examination or hospital-based tests.[61,62]

### *Video-consulting*

Video-consultations offer the potential to overcome some of the limitations of telephone reviews by enabling visual communication,[65] but in contrast to telephone consulting were rarely part of routine practice until COVID-19 forced social distancing.[24,66] Whilst supporting the feasibility of video-consultations,[67] the pre-COVID evidence base is therefore limited with respect to effectiveness, safety and cost.[68,69] Anticipated benefits compared to telephone consultations are the ability to pick up non-verbal clues, avoid misunderstandings and improve rapport.[70] More specifically, in the respiratory context there was already interest in the potential to observe and demonstrate inhaler technique,[70] improve adherence to tuberculosis therapy with remote directly observed therapy,[71] deliver

breathlessness training for COPD,[72] share completion of an asthma action plan,[73] undertake remote spirometry,[74] provide supportive and palliative care in cancer,[75] and follow-up OSAHS.[59]

Early exploratory work highlighted that the perceived benefits of having a visual component were tempered by practical problems with the technical infrastructure as either the patient or the clinician had inadequate bandwidth, or an unstable connection – and sometimes just a lack of basic equipment such as a webcam.[66,70,75-78] Although many of technical hitches were minor (such as failure to ‘unmute’ or ‘enable video’), ‘technical talk’ occupied a significant proportion of video-consultations,[24,26,68,75,76] which disrupted the flow of the conversation and in the context of a busy clinical environment could be prohibitive.[26,78,79]

The dramatic increase in video-consultations during the first few months of the pandemic was particularly evident and maintained in secondary care (see Figure 2).[77,80-82] Reports from a range of clinical settings and healthcare systems emphasise the significant organisational changes that were needed to establish a practical and safe out-patient video-consulting service,[83] typically including upgrading infrastructure, revising billing arrangements and service workflow, ensuring suitable consulting space and staff training, and developing processes for appointment handling (including identifying patients for whom remote consulting was inappropriate), and allowing additional time to resolve technical problems.[24,70,76-79,82,84] Pandemic changes to global and local policy promoted acquisition of necessary infrastructure, facilitated the development and approval of hardware and software systems for managing videocalls and e-consultations,[81] and promoted the organisational changes required to implement ‘digital-first’ healthcare systems.[27,81,82,85]

*Asynchronous consulting: SMS, e-consultations, and automated telephone calls,*

A decade ago, qualitative interviews with patients,[65] and general practitioners,[86] and a survey of practice managers,[87] revealed that e-mail and SMS text messaging were perceived as useful for sending appointment reminders and sharing specific information (such as results of investigations) but were not acceptable alternatives to face-to-face consultations. A Cochrane review (n=132 studies) concluded that automated telephone calls could increase uptake of immunisation and screening, reduce non-attendance at appointments, and promote adherence to medications or tests, though evidence of health benefits in specific respiratory conditions (asthma, COPD, sleep apnoea and nicotine dependence) was inconclusive.[88]

A systematic review including 57 primary/community care studies from a range of countries concluded that e-consultations were convenient and valued for routine queries on non-serious conditions.[89] Despite this, pre-pandemic use of e-consultations was very low and largely used for administrative requests (certification or prescription requests; test results).[90] Although seen by early adopters as an 'inevitable' innovation in a digital era, dealing with e-consultations was not necessarily time efficient,[91] despite the potential to divert requests to appropriate members of the team. About two-thirds of clinical e-contacts were converted to a telephone or face-to-face consultation, especially when the query related to a new problem,[91] and, echoing the findings of acute telephone consultations, a quarter of on-line consulters had further consultations in the following month.[90] A Cochrane review in 2012 (n=9 studies) found insufficient evidence of the impact of e-consultations on resource use, and quality of communication.[92]

E-consultations typically use a template to structure the clinical history and ensure relevant information is collected.[91] These may be generic templates for acute consultations, potentially interactive depending on initial choices, or may be tailored to disease-specific follow-up. For example, the template may request standardised information such as

completion of control questionnaires in reviews of asthma or COPD.[93] Whilst offering convenience for patients with clearly defined problems, concerns have been raised about how ‘empathy’ and ‘emotional work’ are marginalised by asynchronous e-consultations.[91]

In the context of the pandemic, the facility of attaching photographs and documents has been widely used to avoid face-to-face contact. Enabled by bespoke software linked to the electronic health record,[94] photographs of rashes or other visible problems can be requested by SMS to supplement a telephone consultation or documents can be sent to the patient reinforcing information.[95] Medicolegal concerns about confidentiality, timelines for responding to e-consultations, or the risk of missing an important diagnosis are on-going concerns in the context of communication reliant on written information with no auditory or visual clues.[87,89]

#### *Training and advice for remote consulting*

Given the dramatic rise in use of remote consulting during the pandemic, it is unsurprising that numerous papers have been published with advice on consulting in the context of the COVID pandemic.[60,68,96,197] Whilst telephone consultations are relatively well practiced,[52,98] advice on video-consulting often include detailed ‘checklists’ for clinicians of organisational, technical, and medicolegal tasks, ‘video-conference etiquette’, generic consultation skills to build rapport, establish trust, and safety-net[68,96,97] even before specific clinical tasks are considered. Home monitoring devices are increasingly available, or can be lent to monitor an acute illness or for a specific task.[96] An important priority during the pandemic was assessing breathlessness remotely without access to measurements.[97] Despite much discussion,[99] most clinicians continued to use the pragmatic approach of

asking the patients if they felt more breathless than usual combined with listening for evidence of shortness of breath as the patient was speaking.

Video-conferencing has become a norm for many people to maintain social contact during the pandemic, but patients may still benefit from advice about using the medium for consultations.[68] In particular ensuring a suitable setting (private, well lit, quiet, and with adequate internet connection) is important advice.[68]

### **Maintaining care for respiratory non-communicable diseases.**

Maintaining the care of non-communicable diseases (NCDs) is a core component of pandemic preparedness, though a global WHO survey revealed significant disruption of NCD-related care in many countries, including a number of respiratory services (See Figure 3).[19] A survey of 39 European countries revealed the potential of remote solutions for maintaining access to prescription drugs and other essential services,[100] despite restricted out-patient and primary care consultations in 90% of countries.[20] Interactive telemedicine has been advocated to support the care of a broad range of NCDs with an extensive (and often positive) evidence base in the context of heart failure, diabetes and hypertension.[101] We here consider five diverse exemplars of remote care for respiratory NCDs. These are selected as being relatively common respiratory challenges with an evidence base that can inform delivery of care.

#### *Monitoring to support remote consulting in obstructive sleep apnoea hypopnoea syndrome*

OSAHS affects an estimated billion people globally and optimised diagnostic and treatment pathways are necessary to deliver cost-effective healthcare and reduce health impacts.[102] Remote consultations have been an option for people with OSAHS who are using continuous

positive airway pressure (CPAP) therapy for more than two decades.[103] People can be seen at distance from the main sleep centre, with discussions informed by telemonitoring data from their CPAP devices viewed on cloud-based platforms that allow adjustment of prescribed settings if issues such as poor adherence or high mask leaks are identified.[104] There is increasing evidence that this approach is at least as effective as face-to-face reviews and may enable more timely management. In terms of service delivery, telemonitoring has been deemed feasible in a range of healthcare systems,[105-108] facilitating access to CPAP initiation,[105] promoting timely titration of therapy,[106,109,110] and troubleshooting of technical problems.[106,111] A meta-analysis (n=11 RCTs; 1440 patients) concluded that overall telemedicine improved adherence compared to centre-based care.[112] Clinical outcomes (for example Epworth Sleepiness Score) were generally reported as similar between groups,[59,105,109,113] and there is evidence that telehealth is more cost-effective than traditional in-person follow-up.[108,110,113,114]

Telemonitoring and video consultations (see Figure 4) are generally well accepted by patients using CPAP therapy.[103,107-110,114-116] The time savings reduce the impact on work productivity and the reduced travel and fuel consumption costs are valued as environmentally friendly.[59]

#### *Remote monitoring to reduce exacerbations of COPD*

Key objectives of COPD telemonitoring are to reduce exacerbations (especially severe exacerbations resulting in distressing and costly admissions) and improve quality of life. It is therefore disappointing that a Cochrane review in 2021 (n=29 studies from 11 countries) concluded that remote monitoring is ineffective at reducing exacerbations, admissions and deaths even when provided as part of a multifaceted intervention.[117] For example, in a meta-analysis of three studies (400 participants) comparing telemonitoring supported by

usual care with usual care, there was no significant reduction in hospital admissions or improvement in quality-of-life at 6-months.[117] The trials included in this meta-analysis were heterogeneous, and the review authors suggest that the intensity of clinical contact associated with the intervention may have been a factor in determining effectiveness.

These interventions are typically monitored asynchronously by healthcare professionals at a substantial resource cost to healthcare systems.[118,119] Although there was no evidence of benefit from telehealth, importantly there was no evidence of harm,[117] and there may be clinical, social, geographic and (especially in a pandemic) infection control reasons for providing telemonitoring to some individuals.

The reasons for this disappointing lack of effect in COPD are likely to be multifactorial, but a key factor is that there are no clear predictors of exacerbations.[120] Symptom scores are poorly predictive,[121] reflecting the difficulty many people with COPD have in differentiating early exacerbations from day-to-day fluctuations in symptoms.[122] Similarly, background variation in physiological measures (oxygen saturation, heart rate and respiratory rate) make it difficult to detect small changes associated with exacerbations.[123-125] Innovative biomarkers that could change the utility of telemonitoring are yet to be translated into clinical practice.[126]

Rather than involving healthcare professionals in regular monitoring of telehealth data, there is some evidence that digitally supported self-management may improve breathlessness and (at least in the short term) quality-of-life.[127-129]

### *Digital support for asthma self-management*

Supported self-management of asthma is an effective complex intervention that reduces acute attacks and improves asthma control.[130,131] Peaks in prescribing of inhaled steroids and

emergency packs of steroids immediately prior to the first lockdown, suggests that an increased focus on self-management may have contributed to the reduction in asthma attacks and emergency department attendances observed during the pandemic.[132] Novel strategies for supporting self-management became important as both acute consultations and routine reviews shifted to remote.[60]

Support for people living with asthma encompasses clinical, practical, information and social support,[133] much of which can be delivered remotely.[21] From a clinical perspective, the key component is the self-management discussion summarised in an agreed action plan. Integrated within ubiquitous mobile technology, digital action plans can be available whenever and wherever timely action is needed. In addition, sharing of logged data could facilitate remote medical advice in the event of an attack.[134]

A Cochrane review (n=18 studies) comparing telemonitoring interventions with usual care, showed that health outcomes (acute attacks, healthcare resource use, asthma control) were similar in both groups,[135] though a review of interactive telehealth concluded that there may be a small improvement in quality-of-life in adults.[136] Multi-faceted interventions using combinations of tele-case management, telemonitoring, teleconsultations, reminders and education may be effective.[137] Other systematic reviews echo these findings, emphasising that whilst telemonitoring may not be consistently more effective than usual care, there was no evidence of harm.[101,138-141] The decision on their use might therefore be based on patient preference and organisational routines.

Most asthma applications (apps) are monitoring devices with few apps including an interactive action plan that responds to asthma status by prompting the user to follow their healthcare professional's personalised advice.[142] Existing algorithms are based on symptoms and peak flows,[143] but as patient and professional trust in artificial intelligence



grows,[12] digital action plans will be able to incorporate data from novel wearables and environmental sensors into personalised ‘living with asthma’ action plans.

### *Remote delivery of pulmonary rehabilitation*

Tele-rehabilitation is the delivery of rehabilitation services at a distance, using technology to provide exercise training, self-management education and information regarding disease management remotely.[144] Tele-rehabilitation can incorporate a number of technological modalities including telephone (audio calls; text messaging), web-based platforms, mobile applications or interactive video-conferencing. Importantly, participation may depend on patients having an appropriate device (e.g. telephone, smart phone, tablet or computer).

Several studies have investigated the feasibility and efficacy of tele-rehabilitation delivered via different modes of delivery. One option is group exercise via a videoconference software system installed on a tablet or PC. Patients watch the on-screen instructor and use their own minimal equipment at home to exercise.[145] Other approaches allow patients to access an interactive web-based programme which includes home exercises, an individualised action plan and education material. Patients interact with the healthcare professionals by entering information on the dedicated webpage for asynchronous review by the professional.[146] Another option installs exercise videos and educational material onto a tablet as a resource for patients exercising at home. Tele-monitoring, often via wearable technology, may complement tele-rehabilitation by enabling patients to monitor vital signs using Bluetooth-enabled wearables that transmit data to a cloud-based platform via an app installed on the tablet. The healthcare professional reviews vital signs and patient-reported outcomes and provides feedback to the patient (see Figure 5).[147]

A recent Cochrane review,[148] compared tele-rehabilitation to centre-based rehabilitation in patients with chronic respiratory diseases, concluding that there was ‘probably little or no

difference' (moderate certainty evidence) between the modes of delivery in their effect on exercise capacity (6-min walking distance; incremental shuttle walk test; cycling tests). Similarly, there was no between-group difference in dyspnoea or quality-of-life, though anxiety (but not depression) favoured tele-rehabilitation.[148] Adverse effects were similar in both delivery modes. Importantly, participants were more likely to complete a programme of tele-rehabilitation compared to centre-based rehabilitation (completion rate 93% compared to 70%). The review concluded that tele-rehabilitation could provide a clinically effective and safe alternative to centre-based pulmonary rehabilitation.[148]

#### *Telephone delivery of psychosocial interventions for lung cancer*

A Cochrane review (n=32 studies) of telephone support for cancer care concluded that telephone reviews were convenient for patients but impact on symptom control varied.[149] Three of the studies in this review recruited people with lung cancer with variable outcomes.[150-152] A psychosocial intervention delivered in four telephone calls did not improve symptom management or caregiver burden,[150] though a six-session intervention designed specifically for people with advanced lung cancer improved depression, anxiety and caregiver burden.[151] Caregiver support provided in fourteen telephone calls not only reduced anxiety and improved the self-efficacy of the caregivers, but also improved patient outcomes (pain, depression, quality of life).[152] These studies tested specific interventions in addition to routine respiratory/oncology follow-up; none pre-empted the pandemic context in which traditional face-to-face follow-up was replaced by remote consulting.[76]

#### **Digital innovation to manage COVID-19 infections**

Qualitative data from eight European countries, described the central role of telephone triage in providing safe access to primary care in the context of the pandemic, and specifically highlighted the challenge of managing respiratory infections remotely with no (or very limited) access to COVID-19 testing.[153] Patients generally accepted the need for remote consulting, even if some would have preferred a face-to-face appointment, and had confidence in their primary care professional's advice especially if there was a pre-existing relationship. The professionals described the uncomfortable tension of needing to avoid face-to-face contact to prevent spread of infection and wanting a physical examination to provide reassurance. Ensuring clear safety-netting and making arrangements for review if things deteriorated was a priority. Supporting the social consequences of the pandemic (loss of income, fear of essential workers unable to isolate, comforting bereaved unable to attend funerals) were core roles for primary care during the pandemic, which GPs and nurses felt was made more difficult because of the lack of 'touch' in remote consultations.[153]

#### *Telehealth support for community management of COVID-19*

Multiple examples are described of telehealth, instigated rapidly to provide care for people with COVID-19, to manage the spread of the pandemic, or to maintain services.

Community-based telemonitoring of symptoms scores, temperature, oximetry, and blood pressure supported by self-management information and/or remote monitoring proved to be a cost-effective alternative to hospitalisation.[15,16,18,154-158] Although a rapid systematic review was unable to confirm safety due to lack of standardised reporting,[18] mixed-method evaluation of individual programmes concluded that they were reassuring to patients (especially the oximetry),[15,155,158] acceptable to clinicians,[15,154,158] able to detect signs of deterioration,[15,158,159] and a safe approach for selected high-risk patients with

mild-to-moderate COVID-19.[15,16,18,157-159] Economic analysis was limited to reporting resources used and the amount spent per patient monitored.[18]

Contact tracing smartphone-based applications potentially helped control the spread of COVID-19, but the effectiveness of this technology depends on high levels of adoption among the population.[160] Different age groups had different perceptions of contact tracing technologies: older people were motivated by their concern about health risks but less convinced about using an app; middle-age adults were concerned about security and privacy of health data; younger generations were motivated by attachment to their community and were influenced by social media.[161,162]

Maintaining allied health professional services (physiotherapy, dietetics, occupational therapy, psychology, speech therapy, social care) required rapid implementation of telehealth that was generally positively received though some patients preferred a blended model of telehealth and in-person care.[163] Intensive administrative staff support was required to manage the demand for telehealth.[163] The COVID-19 pandemic has accelerated implementation of electronic mental health services to address pandemic-related stress (including post-COVID traumatic stress), problems of social isolation, as well as a range of specific mental health disorders.[164]

AI techniques have been applied to COVID-related epidemiology, therapeutics (drug discovery), clinical research (predictive modelling), social and behavioural studies.[165] Specific examples include development of automated tools for interpreting CT scans, chest X-rays and lung ultrasound diagnostics,[166-170] though clinical trials to establish the benefits and safety of image analysis in clinical practice are awaited.[171]

### **Perspectives on empathy and developing rapport in remote consultations**

Concerns about the potential loss of empathy when healthcare professionals and patients cannot see and ‘feel’ each other (maybe akin to the ‘laying on of hands’ of traditional images of medical consultations) has been the subject of discussion for many years,[104,172] and remains a theme in current research.[153,173] One physician observed in the context of breaking bad news, that ‘you can’t offer a tissue in a remote consultation’,[70] and others have commented on the lack of touch and smell,[95] and the loss of ‘human-ness’ in e-consultations.[174] A common observation was that remote consulting ‘worked better’ when the patient and clinician knew each other and the interaction was building on an existing relationship.[26,175] Communication skills, such listening, encouraging questioning, emphasising choice and investing time in developing rapport may help develop relationships in remote consultations,[104,175] and the increased use of video-consulting may overcome some of these ‘distance’ problems.[104,176]

### **Concerns about digital inequities**

The WHO highlights the potential of telehealth to contribute to universal health coverage by providing cost-effective accessible care,[1,4] but there are concerns about the risk of increasing social health inequalities.[5] Although video consultations have been promoted as improving access for the housebound or those living at a distance from healthcare facilities,[69] an inflexible policy of remote consulting excludes those who cannot afford,[89] or do not have the skills to use required technology.[24,28,81] Most studies are conducted in high-income countries, with a paucity of evidence from deprived communities or low and middle-income countries (LMICs), heightening concerns about increasing the digital divide.[101] For example, provision of wearable connected devices and cloud-based technologies are suggested as improving access to diagnostics,[149] and providing remote access to pulmonary rehabilitation,[148] but insecure electricity and technology infrastructure

mean this is unlikely to benefit rural communities in LMICs,[177] or those from deprived communities whose use of technology is, of necessity, ‘frugal’.[178] ‘Digital-by-default’ policies, accelerated during the pandemic, risk leaving behind the most marginalised.[178] In addition, in many LMICs, there is a significant gender gap in mobile ownership, so that women with respiratory disease may not have access, for example, to remote pulmonary rehabilitation or support for their asthma self-management.[179]

Language barriers in ethnic minority groups are a significant barrier to remote consulting.[24,65] Ethics frameworks recommend that all digital innovation should address ‘fairness’ as an over-arching principle (along with transparency and accountability).[180] Suggested approaches to reducing ‘unfairness’ include ensuring access to the required infrastructure, and engaging populations at risk of being excluded by virtue of limited e-health literacy or for cultural reasons in the development of digital health initiatives.[5]

Older age is often cited as a barrier to use of telehealth, though older patients with reduced mobility may appreciate the ease of telephone access,[33] and with appropriately designed interfaces telehealth has been acceptable to people with COPD and associated multimorbidity.[118,181] Familiarity with videoconferencing software has increased dramatically during the pandemic as families relied on videocalls to keep in contact with vulnerable parents and grandparents, which may facilitate telemedicine in the future.[182]

People with multimorbidity or general frailty benefit from holistic approaches that may be more appropriate face-to-face.[183] Observational studies suggest that clinicians tend to triage older patients to face-to-face consultations,[89,184] reflecting awareness of the severity or complexity of their healthcare problems.[32,173]

## **Conclusions and perspectives on the new post-COVID norm**

The COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in a major change in perceptions of telemedicine. Remote consulting has, in many situations, been the only safe option.[14,22] Incentives and resources have enabled rapid scaling up of telehealth capacity; ‘light touch’ regulation has enabled practical and perceptual barriers to be overridden.[27,81] Policy, clinical and research questions arise about how this will evolve post-COVID with tightening of regulations and the imperative to address digital inequalities,[81] and e-health literacy.[185] Remote consultations can improve access, reduce costs and travel,[28] and offer environmental benefits,[82] and are likely to persist as a flexible option, but (patient and professional) preference will become an increasing consideration when choice is not overridden by infection control requirements.[186] Evidence-based guidance will be needed on what is clinically safe for remote consulting,[24,82] and the acceptability of an increasing role of AI in interpreting investigations, identifying risk, informing clinical decisions, and advising patients on self-management actions.[11]

Whilst the exact balance of remote versus face-to-face consulting, and the specific role of digital health in different clinical and healthcare contexts will evolve as we emerge from the pandemic, what is clear is that telemedicine in one form or another will be part of the ‘new norm’.

## References

1. World Health Organization. Global diffusion of eHealth: making universal health coverage achievable. Report of the third global survey on eHealth. 2019  
<https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241511780> Date last accessed 16 Feb 2022
2. World Health Organization. Telemedicine: opportunities and developments in Member States: Report on the second global survey on eHealth. 2009  
[https://www.who.int/goe/publications/goe\\_telemedicine\\_2010.pdf](https://www.who.int/goe/publications/goe_telemedicine_2010.pdf) Date last accessed 16 Feb 2022
3. European Respiratory Society. Digital respiratory medicine – realism vs futurism. Presidential summit 2021. <https://www.ersnet.org/past-advocacy-events/digital-health-summit-2021-digital-respiratory-medicine-realism-vs-futurism> Date last accessed 24 Apr 2022
4. World Health Organization. Report on the WHO Symposium on the Future of Digital Health Systems in the European Region.  
<https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/329032/9789289059992-eng.pdf> Date last accessed 16 Feb 2022
5. Latulippe K, Hamel C, Giroux D. Social health inequalities and eHealth: a literature review with qualitative synthesis of theoretical and empirical studies. *J Med Internet Res* 2017; 19: e6731
6. Poly TN, Islam MM, Li YC, *et al.* Application of artificial intelligence for screening covid-19 patients using digital images: Meta-analysis. *JMIR Med Inform* 2021;9:e21394
7. Hwang J, Lee T, Lee H, *et al.* A Clinical Decision Support System for Sleep Staging Tasks With Explanations From Artificial Intelligence: User-Centered Design and Evaluation Study. *J Med Intern Res* 2022;24:e28659
8. Wu CT, Li GH, Huang CT, *et al.* Acute exacerbation of a chronic obstructive pulmonary disease prediction system using wearable device data, machine learning, and deep learning: development and cohort study. *JMIR mHealth uHealth* 2021;9:e22591
9. Kataoka Y, Takemura T, Sasajima M, *et al.* Development and Early Feasibility of Chatbots for Educating Patients With Lung Cancer and Their Caregivers in Japan: Mixed Methods Study. *JMIR Cancer* 2021;7:e2691
10. Gama F, Tyskbo D, Nygren J, *et al.* Implementation Frameworks for Artificial Intelligence Translation Into Health Care Practice: Scoping Review. *J Med Intern Res* 2022;24:e32215
11. Kaplan A, Haenlein M. Siri, Siri, in my hand: Who’s the fairest in the land? On the interpretations, illustrations, and implications of artificial intelligence. *Business Horizons* 2019;62:15-25



12. Hui CY, McKinstry B, Fulton O, *et al.* Patients and clinicians perceived trust in internet-of-things (IoTs) system to support asthma self-management: a qualitative study. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth* 2021; 9: e24127
13. Boillat T, Nawaz FA, Rivas H. Readiness to Embrace Artificial Intelligence Among Medical Doctors and Students: Questionnaire-Based Study. *JMIR Med Education* 2022;8:e34973
14. Vindrola-Padros C, Singh KE, Sidhu MS, *et al.* Remote home monitoring (virtual wards) for confirmed or suspected COVID-19 patients: a rapid systematic review. *EClinicalMedicine* 2021; 37: 100965
15. McKinstry B, Alexander H, Maxwell G, *et al.* The use of telemonitoring in managing the COVID-19 pandemic: pilot implementation study. *JMIR Form Res* 2021; 5: e20131
16. Silven AV, Petrus AH, Villalobos-Quesada M, *et al.* Telemonitoring for patients with COVID-19: recommendations for design and implementation. *J Med Intern Res* 2020; 22: e20953
17. Greenhalgh T, Knight M, Inda-Kim M, *et al.* Remote management of covid-19 using home pulse oximetry and virtual ward support. *BMJ* 2021; 372: n677
18. Casariego-Vales E, Blanco-López R, Rosón-Calvo B, *et al.* Efficacy of telemedicine and telemonitoring in at-home monitoring of patients with covid-19. *J Clin Med* 2021; 10: 2893
19. World Health Organization. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on noncommunicable disease resources and services: results of a rapid assessment. 2020  
<https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240010291> Date last accessed 16 Feb 2022
20. Ágh T, van Boven JFM, Wettermark B, *et al* for ENABLE Collaborators. A cross-sectional survey on medication management practices for noncommunicable diseases in Europe during the second wave of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Front Pharmacol* 2021; 12: 685696
21. Pinnock H. Connecting Professionals and Patients: How Technology can Support Asthma Self-Management. *Respiratory Drug Delivery Europe* 2017; 1: 43-52
22. Health Foundation and Nuffield Trust. The remote care revolution during Covid-19.  
<https://www.nuffieldtrust.org.uk/files/2020-12/QWAS/digital-and-remote-care-in-covid-19.html#1> Date last accessed 18 February 2022
23. The Health Foundation. How has the COVID-19 pandemic impacted primary care?  
<https://www.health.org.uk/news-and-comment/charts-and-infographics/how-has-the-covid-19-pandemic-impacted-primary-care> Last updated 27 May 2021. Date last accessed 16 Feb 2022
24. Sinha S, Kern LM, Gingras LF, *et al.* Implementation of Video Visits During COVID-19: Lessons Learned From a Primary Care Practice in New York City. *Front Public Health* 2020; 8: 514

25. Aronson SH. The Lancet on the telephone 1876-1975. *Med Hist* 1977; 21: 69-87
26. Greenhalgh T, Shaw S, Wherton J, *et al.* Real-world implementation of video outpatient consultations at macro, meso, and micro levels: mixed-method study. *J Med Internet Res* 2018; 20: e9897
27. Keesara S, Jonas A, Schulman K. Covid-19 and health care's digital revolution. *New Eng J Med* 2020; 382: e82
28. Kichloo A, Albosta M, Dettloff K, *et al.* Telemedicine, the current COVID-19 pandemic and the future: a narrative review and perspectives moving forward in the USA. *Fam Med Community Health* 2020; 8: e000530
29. Lattimer V, George S, Thompson F, *et al.* Safety and effectiveness of nurse telephone consultation in out of hours primary care: randomised controlled trial. The South Wiltshire Out of Hours Project (SWOOP) Group. *BMJ* 1998; 317: 1054–59
30. Christensen MB, Olesen F. Out of hours service in Denmark: evaluation five years after reform. *BMJ* 1998; 316: 1502
31. Poole SR, Schmitt BD, Carruth T, *et al.* After-hours telephone coverage: the application of an area-wide telephone triage and advice system for pediatric practices. *Pediatrics* 1993; 92: 670-9
32. Huibers L, Moth G, Carlsen AH, *et al.* Telephone triage by GPs in out-of-hours primary care in Denmark: a prospective observational study of efficiency and relevance. *Br J Gen Pract* 2016; 66: e667-73
33. Gonzalez F, Cimadevila B, Garcia-Comesaña J, *et al.* Telephone consultation in primary care: A retrospective two-year observational analysis of a public healthcare system. *J Health Organ Manag* 2018; 32: 321-337
34. Fielding S, Porteous T, Ferguson J, *et al.* Estimating the burden of minor ailment consultations in general practices and emergency departments through retrospective review of routine data in North East Scotland. *Fam Pract* 2015; 32: 165–72
35. Leutgeb R, Engeser P, Berger S, *et al.* Out of hours care in Germany-High utilization by adult patients with minor ailments? *BMC Fam Pract* 2017; 18: 1-8
36. Newbould J, Abel G, Ball S, *et al.* Evaluation of telephone first approach to demand management in English general practice: observational study. *BMJ* 2017; 358: j4197
37. McKinstry B, Walker J, Campbell C, *et al.* Telephone consultations to manage requests for same-day appointments: a randomised controlled trial in two practices. *Br J Gen Pract* 2002; 52: 306-10

38. Jiwa M, Mathers N, Campbell M. The effect of GP telephone triage on numbers seeking same-day appointments. *Br J Gen Pract* 2002; 52: 390-1
39. Holt TA, Fletcher E, Warren F, *et al.* Telephone triage systems in UK general practice: analysis of consultation duration during the index day in a pragmatic randomised controlled trial. *Br J Gen Pract* 2016; 66: e214-8
40. Campbell JL, Fletcher E, Britten N, *et al.* Telephone triage for management of same-day consultation requests in general practice (the ESTEEM trial): a cluster-randomised controlled trial and cost-consequence analysis. *Lancet* 2014; 384: 1859-68
41. Salisbury C, Murphy M, Duncan P. The impact of digital-first consultations on workload in general practice: modeling study. *J Med Internet Res* 2020; 22: e18203
42. Bunn F, Byrne G, Kendall S. Telephone consultation and triage: effects on health care use and patient satisfaction. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2004, Issue 3. Art. No.: CD004180. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD004180.pub2
43. Downes MJ, Mervin MC, Byrnes JM, *et al.* Telephone consultations for general practice: a systematic review. *Syst Rev* 2017; 6: 1-6
44. Boggan JC, Shoup JP, Whited JD, *et al.* Effectiveness of acute care remote triage systems: a systematic review. *J Gen Intern Med* 2020; 35: 2136-45.
45. McKinstry B, Hammersley V, Burton C, *et al.* The quality, safety and content of telephone and face-to-face consultations: a comparative study. *Qual Saf Health Care* 2010; 19: 298–303
46. Hewitt H, Gafaranga J, McKinstry B. Comparison of face-to-face and telephone consultations in primary care: qualitative analysis. *Br J Gen Pract* 2010; 60: e201-12
47. Innes M, Skelton J, Greenfield S. A profile of communication in primary care physician telephone consultations: application of the Roter Interaction Analysis System. *Br J Gen Pract* 2006; 56: 363-8
48. Derkx HP, Rethans JJ, Maiburg BH, *et al.* Quality of communication during telephone triage at Dutch out-of-hours centres. *Pat Ed Counsel* 2009; 74: 174-8
49. McKinstry B, Watson P, Elton RA, *et al.* Comparison of the accuracy of patients' recall of the content of telephone and face-to-face consultations: an exploratory study. *Postgrad Med J* 2011; 87: 394-9
50. Pinnock H, Snellgrove C, Madden V, *et al.* Telephone or surgery asthma reviews? Preferences of participants in a primary care randomised controlled trial *Prim Care Respir J* 2005; 14: 42 - 46

51. McKinstry B, Watson P, Pinnock H, *et al.* Telephone consulting in primary care: a triangulated qualitative study of patients and providers. *Br J Gen Pract* 2009; 59: e209-18
52. van Galen LS, Car J. Telephone consultations. *BMJ* 2018; 360: k1047
53. Pinnock H, Bawden R, Proctor S, *et al.* Accessibility, acceptability, and effectiveness in primary care of routine telephone review of asthma: pragmatic, randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2003; 326: 477-9.
54. Gruffydd-Jones K, Hollinghurst S, Ward S, *et al.* Targeted routine asthma care in general practice using telephone triage. *Br J Gen Pract* 2005; 55: 918-23
55. Gruffydd-Jones K, Nicholson I, Best L, *et al.* Why don't patients attend the asthma clinic? *Asthma Gen Pract* 1999; 7: 36-39
56. Pinnock H, McKenzie L, Price D, *et al.* Cost effectiveness of telephone or surgery asthma reviews: health economic analysis of a pragmatic primary care randomised controlled trial. *Br J Gen Pract* 2005; 55: 119-124
57. Pinnock H, Adlem L, Gaskin S, *et al.* Accessibility, clinical effectiveness and practice costs of providing a telephone option for routine asthma reviews: Phase IV controlled implementation study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2007; 57: 714-722
58. Kew KM, Cates CJ. Remote versus face-to-face check-ups for asthma. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2016, Issue 4. Art. No.: CD011715. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD011715.pub2.
59. Murphie P, Little S, McKinstry B, *et al.* Remote consulting with telemonitoring of continuous positive airway pressure usage data for the routine review of people with obstructive sleep apnoea hypopnoea syndrome: A systematic review. *J Telemed Telecare* 2019;25:17-25
60. International Primary Care Respiratory Society. Remote consultations. <https://www.ipcrg.org/dth11> Date last accessed 18 Feb 2022
61. Roberts NJ, Partridge MR. Telephone consultations in secondary care. *Respir Med* 2007; 101: 1665-9
62. Partridge MR. An assessment of the feasibility of telephone and email consultation in a chest clinic. *Pat Ed Counsel* 2004; 54: 11-3
63. O'Byrne L, Roberts NJ, Partridge MR. Preclinic telephone consultations: an observational cohort study. *Clin Med* 2012; 12: 140
64. Mistiaen P, Poot E. Telephone follow-up, initiated by a hospital-based health professional, for post-discharge problems in patients discharged from hospital to home. *Cochrane Database of*

Systematic Reviews 2006, Issue 4. Art. No.: CD004510. DOI:  
10.1002/14651858.CD004510.pub3.

65. Van Baar JD, Joosten H, Car J, *et al.* Understanding reasons for asthma outpatient (non)-attendance and exploring the role of telephone and e-consulting in facilitating access to care: exploratory qualitative study. *BMJ Qual Saf* 2006; 15: 191-5
66. Morrison C, Beattie M, Wherton J, *et al.* Testing and implementing video consulting for outpatient appointments: using quality improvement system thinking and codesign principles. *BMJ Open Quality* 2021; 10: e001259
67. Mallow JA, Petite T, Narsavage G, *et al.* The use of video conferencing for persons with chronic conditions: A systematic review. *E-health Telecommunication Systems and Networks* 2016; 5: 39
68. Car J, Koh GC, Foong PS, *et al.* Video consultations in primary and specialist care during the covid-19 pandemic and beyond. *BMJ* 2020; 371: m3945
69. Ignatowicz A, Atherton H, Bernstein CJ, *et al.* Internet videoconferencing for patient–clinician consultations in long-term conditions: A review of reviews and applications in line with guidelines and recommendations. *Digital Health* 2019; 5: 2055207619845831
70. Donaghy E, Atherton H, Hammersley V, *et al.* Acceptability, benefits, and challenges of video consulting: a qualitative study in primary care. *Br J Gen Pract* 2019; 69: e586-94
71. Lam CK, Pilote KM, Haque A, *et al.* Using video technology to increase treatment completion for patients with latent tuberculosis infection on 3-month isoniazid and rifampin: an implementation study. *J Med Internet Res* 2018; 20: e287
72. Nield M, Hoo GW. Real-time telehealth for COPD self-management using Skype™. *J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis* 2012; 9: 611-9
73. Hamour O, Smyth E, Pinnock H for the IMP<sup>2</sup>ART programme. Completing asthma action plans by screen-sharing in video-consultations: practical insights from a feasibility assessment. *npj Prim Care Respir Med* 2020; 30: 48
74. McGowan A, Laveneziana P, Bayat S, *et al.* International consensus on lung function testing during COVID-19 pandemic and beyond. *ERJ Open Res* 2021; DOI: 10.1183/23120541.00602-2021
75. Funderskov KF, Raunkiær M, Danbjørg DB, *et al.* Experiences with video consultations in specialized palliative home-care: qualitative study of patient and relative perspectives. *J Med Internet Res* 2019; 21:e10208

76. Pardolesi A, Gherzi L, Pastorino U. Telemedicine for management of patients with lung cancer during COVID-19 in an Italian cancer institute: SmartDoc Project. *Tumori J* 2021 May 10: doi.org/10.1177/030089162110127
77. Johns G, Khalil S, Ogonovsky M, *et al.* Taming the chaos: NHS professionals' perspective of using video consulting during COVID-19 in Wales. *BMJ Open Quality* 2021; 10: e001318
78. Wright RC, Partovi N, Levy RD. Necessity is the mother of invention: Rapid implementation of virtual health care in response to the COVID- 19 pandemic in a lung transplant clinic. *Clinical Transplantation* 2020; 00: e14062.
79. Tossaint-Schoenmakers R, Versluis A, Chavannes N, *et al.* The Challenge of Integrating eHealth Into Health Care: Systematic Literature Review of the Donabedian Model of Structure, Process, and Outcome. *J Med Internet Res* 2021; 23: e27180
80. Watt T, Firth Z, Fisher R, *et al.* Use of primary care during the COVID-19 pandemic. Health Foundation. <https://www.health.org.uk/news-and-comment/charts-and-infographics/use-of-primary-care-during-the-covid-19-pandemic> Last updated 17 September 2020. Date last accessed 16 Feb 2022
81. Shaw SE, Hughes G, Wherton J, *et al.* Achieving spread, scale up and sustainability of video consulting services during the Covid-19 pandemic? Findings from a comparative case study of policy implementation in England, Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland. *Front Digit Health* 2021;3: 754319.
82. Wherton J, Greenhalgh T. Evaluation of the Near Me video consulting service in Scotland during COVID-19. Edinburgh: Scottish Government. 2020
83. James HM, Papoutsi C, Wherton J, *et al.* Spread, scale-up, and sustainability of video consulting in health care: systematic review and synthesis guided by the NASSS framework. *J Med Internet Res* 2021; 23: e23775
84. Lam AY, Chan EC, Quek CM, *et al.* Videoconsultation to overcome barriers during COVID-19. *Ann Acad Med Singap* 2021; 50: 77-8
85. Bhaskar S, Bradley S, Chattu VK, *et al.* Telemedicine across the globe-position paper from the COVID-19 pandemic health system resilience PROGRAM (REPROGRAM) international consortium (Part 1). *Front Public Health* 2020; 8: p.644
86. Hanna L, May C, Fairhurst K. The place of information and communication technology-mediated consultations in primary care: GPs' perspectives. *Fam Pract* 2012; 29: 361-6

87. Hanna L, May C, Fairhurst K. Non-face to face consultations and communications in primary care: the role and perspective of general practice managers in Scotland. *Informatics in primary care* 2011; 19:17-24
88. Posadzki P, Masteley LH, Felix LM, *et al.* Automated telephone communication systems for preventive healthcare and management of long-term conditions. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2016, Issue 12. Art. No.: CD009921. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD009921.pub2
89. Mold F, Hendy J, Lai YL, *et al.* Electronic consultation in primary care between providers and patients: systematic review. *JMIR Med Inform* 2019; 7: e13042
90. Edwards HB, Marques E, Hollingworth W, *et al.* Use of a primary care online consultation system, by whom, when and why: evaluation of a pilot observational study in 36 general practices in South West England. *BMJ Open* 2017; 7: e016901
91. Casey M, Shaw S, Swinglehurst D. Experiences with online consultation systems in primary care: case study of one early adopter site. *Br J Gen Pract* 2017; 67: e736-43
92. Atherton H, Sawmynaden P, Sheikh A, *et al.* Email for clinical communication between patients/caregivers and healthcare professionals. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2012, Issue 11. Art. No.: CD007978. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD007978.pub2
93. Arderns Healthcare Informatics. The leaders in providing SystmOne & EMIS Web templates & resources. <https://www.ardens.org.uk/> Date last accessed 18<sup>th</sup> February 2022
94. AccuRx. General Practice <https://www accurx.com/who-we-support/general-practice> Date last accessed 18<sup>th</sup> February 2022
95. Murphy M, Scott LJ, Salisbury C, *et al.* Implementation of remote consulting in UK primary care following the COVID-19 pandemic: a mixed-methods longitudinal study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2021; 71: e166-77
96. Bakhai M, Aw J, Ballard T *et al.* Principles of safe video consulting in general practice during COVID-19. NHS England, May 2020. [www.england.nhs.uk/coronavirus/wp-content/uploads/sites/52/2020/03/C0479-principles-of-safe-video-consulting-in-general-practice-updated-29-may.pdf](http://www.england.nhs.uk/coronavirus/wp-content/uploads/sites/52/2020/03/C0479-principles-of-safe-video-consulting-in-general-practice-updated-29-may.pdf) Date last accessed 18 Feb 2022
97. Greenhalgh T, Koh GC, Car J. Covid-19: a remote assessment in primary care. *BMJ* 2020; 368: m1182
98. Car J, Sheikh A. Telephone consultations. *BMJ* 2003; 326: 966-9
99. Greenhalgh T, Kotze K, Van Der Westhuizen H. Are there any evidence-based ways of assessing dyspnoea (breathlessness) by telephone or video? 2020 <https://www.cebm.net/covid->

[19/are-there-any-evidence-based-ways-of-assessing-dyspnoea-breathlessness-by-telephone-or-video/](#) Date last accessed 18 Feb 2022

100. Kardas P, van Boven JF, Pinnock H, *et al.* Disparities in European healthcare system approaches to maintaining continuity of medication for non-communicable diseases during the COVID-19 outbreak. *Lancet Regional Health - Europe* 2021; 4: 100099
101. Flodgren G, Rachas A, Farmer AJ, *et al.* Interactive telemedicine: effects on professional practice and health care outcomes. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2015, Issue 9. Art. No.: CD002098
102. Benjafield AV, Ayas NT, Eastwood PR, *et al.* Estimation of the global prevalence and burden of obstructive sleep apnoea: a literature-based analysis. *Lancet Respir Med* 2019; 7: 687-98
103. Taylor Y, Eliasson A, Andrada T, *et al.* The role of telemedicine in CPAP compliance for patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Sleep Breathing* 2006; 10: 132-8.
104. Pépin JL, Tamisier R, Hwang D, *et al.* Does remote monitoring change OSA management and CPAP adherence? *Respirology* 2017; 22: 1508-17
105. Fietze I, Herberger S, Wewer G, *et al.* Initiation of therapy for obstructive sleep apnea syndrome: A randomized comparison of outcomes of telemetry-supported home-based vs. sleep lab-based therapy initiation. *Sleep Breath* 2021; <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11325-021-02371-7>
106. Hoet F, Libert W, Sanida C, *et al.* Telemonitoring in continuous positive airway pressure-treated patients improves delay to first intervention and early compliance: a randomized trial. *Sleep Med* 2017; 39: 77-83.
107. Kooij L, Vos PJ, Dijkstra A, *et al.* Video Consultation as an Adequate Alternative to Face-to-Face Consultation in Continuous Positive Airway Pressure Use for Newly Diagnosed Patients With Obstructive Sleep Apnea: Randomized Controlled Trial. *JMIR Formative Research* 2021; 5: e20779.
108. Smith CE, Daut ER, Clements F, *et al.* Telehealth services to improve nonadherence: a placebo-controlled study. *Telemed J e-Health* 2006 ; 12: 289-96.
109. Contal O, Poncin W, Vaudan S, *et al.* One-year adherence to continuous positive airway pressure with telemonitoring in sleep apnea hypopnea syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. *Front Med* 2021; 8: 450
110. Lugo VM, Garmendia O, Suarez-Girón M, *et al.* Comprehensive management of obstructive sleep apnea by telemedicine: Clinical improvement and cost-effectiveness of a Virtual Sleep Unit. A randomized controlled trial. *PloS One* 2019; 14: e0224069



111. Carlier S, Bruyneel AV, Bruyneel M. Pressure adjustment is the most useful intervention for improving compliance in telemonitored patients treated with CPAP in the first 6 months of treatment. *Sleep Breath* 2021; <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11325-021-02367-3>
112. Hu Y, Su Y, Hu S, *et al.* Effects of telemedicine interventions in improving continuous positive airway pressure adherence in patients with obstructive sleep apnoea: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Sleep Breath* 2021; 25: 1761-71.
113. Turino C, de Batlle J, Woehrle H, *et al.* Management of continuous positive airway pressure treatment compliance using telemonitoring in obstructive sleep apnoea. *Eur Respir J* 2017; 49: 1601128
114. Garmendia O, Monasterio C, Guzmán J, *et al.* Telemedicine strategy for CPAP titration and early follow-up for sleep apnea during COVID-19 and post-pandemic future. *Arch Bronconeumol* 2021; 57: 56–58
115. Fields BG, Behari PP, McCloskey S, *et al.* Remote ambulatory management of veterans with obstructive sleep apnea. *Sleep* 2016; 39: 501-9.
116. Bros JS, Poulet C, Arnol N, *et al.* Acceptance of telemonitoring among patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome: how is the perceived interest by and for patients? *Telemed e-Health* 2018; 24: 351-9.
117. Janjua S, Carter D, Threapleton CJD, *et al.* Telehealth interventions: remote monitoring and consultations for people with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2021, Issue 7. Art. No.: CD013196. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD013196.pub2
118. Pinnock H, Hanley J, McCloughan L, *et al.* Effectiveness of telemonitoring integrated into existing clinical services on hospital admission for exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: researcher blind, multicentre, randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2013; 347: f6070
119. London Respiratory Team. Take a breath in, keep it in and now try to breathe again. NHS England, 2013. <http://www.networks.nhs.uk/nhs-networks/london-respiratory-network> Last date accessed 17 Feb 2022
120. Pinnock H, McKinstry B. Telehealth for COPD: promises, populations and personalised care *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2018; 198: 552-4
121. Sanchez-Morillo D, Fernandez-Granero MA, Leon-Jimenez A. Use of predictive algorithms in-home monitoring of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and asthma: a systematic review. *Chronic Respir Dis* 2016; 13: 264-83

122. Williams V, Hardinge M, Ryan S, *et al.* Patients' experience of identifying and managing exacerbations in COPD: a qualitative study. *npj Prim Care Respir Med* 2014; 24: 14062
123. Hurst JR, Donaldson G, Quint JK, *et al.* Domiciliary pulse-oximetry at exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: prospective pilot study *BMC Pulm Med* 2010; 10: 52-58
124. Burton C, Pinnock H, McKinstry B. Changes in physiological measures with symptoms and decision to treat exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: analysis of telemonitoring data. *J Telemed Telecare* 2015; 21: 29-36
125. Rubio N, Parker R, Drost E, *et al.* Home monitoring of breathing rate in people with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease: observational study of feasibility, acceptability and change after exacerbation. *Int J COPD* 2017; 12: 1221-1231
126. Koutsokera A, Kostikas K, Nicod LP, *et al.* Pulmonary biomarkers in COPD exacerbations: a systematic review. *Respir Res* 2013; 14: 111
127. Janjua S, Bancho E, Threapleton CJD, *et al.* Digital interventions for the management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2021, Issue 4. Art. No.: CD013246. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD013246.pub2
128. McCabe C, McCann M, Brady AM. Computer and mobile technology interventions for self-management in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2017, Issue 5. Art. No.: CD011425. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD011425.pub2.
129. Hallensleben C, van Luenen S, Rolink E, *et al.* eHealth for people with COPD in the Netherlands: a scoping review. *Int J COPD* 2019; 14: 1681
130. Pinnock H, Parke HL, Panagioti M, *et al.*, for the PRISMS group. Systematic meta-review of supported self-management for asthma: a healthcare service perspective. *BMC Medicine* 2017; 15: 64
131. Hodkinson A, Bower P, Grigoroglou C, *et al.* Self-management interventions to reduce healthcare utilisation and improve quality of life among patients with asthma: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2020; 370: m2521
132. Davies GA, Alsallakh MA, Sivakumaran S, *et al.* Impact of COVID-19 lockdown on emergency asthma admissions and deaths: national interrupted time series analyses for Scotland and Wales. *Thorax* 2021; 76: 867-73.
133. Pearce G, Parke H, Pinnock H, *et al.* The PRISMS Taxonomy of Self-Management Support: Derivation of a Novel Taxonomy and Initial Testing of Utility. *J Health Serv Res Policy* 2016; 21: 73-82

134. Hui CY, McKinstry B, Fulton O, *et al.* Patients' and Clinicians' Visions of a Future Internet-of-Things System to Support Asthma Self-Management: Mixed Methods Study. *J Med Internet Res* 2021;23:e22432
135. Kew KM, Cates CJ. Home telemonitoring and remote feedback between clinic visits for asthma. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2016, Issue 8. Art. No.: CD011714. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD011714.pub2
136. Snoswell CL, Rahja M, Lalor AF. A systematic review and meta-analysis of change in health-related quality of life for interactive telehealth interventions for patients with asthma. *Value Health* 2021; 24: 291-302
137. Chongmelaxme B, Lee S, Dhipayom T, *et al.* The effects of telemedicine on asthma control and patients' quality of life in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol: In Practice* 2019; 7: 199-216
138. Hui CY, Walton R, McKinstry B, *et al.* The use of mobile applications to support self-management for people with asthma: a systematic review of controlled studies to identify features associated with clinical effectiveness and adherence. *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 2017; 24: 619-632
139. Hanlon P, Daines L, Campbell C, *et al.* Telehealth interventions to support self-management of long-term conditions: a systematic meta-review of diabetes, heart failure, asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and cancer. *J Med Internet Res* 2017; 19: e172
140. Morrison D, Wyke S, Agur K, *et al.* Digital asthma self-management interventions: a systematic review. *J Med Intern Res* 2014; 16: e2814
141. Marcano Belisario JS, Huckvale K, Greenfield G, *et al.* Smartphone and tablet self management apps for asthma. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2013, Issue 11. Art. No.: CD010013.
142. Huckvale K, Morrison C, Ouyang J, *et al.* The evolution of mobile apps for asthma: an updated systematic assessment of content and tools. *BMC Medicine* 2015; 13: 1-5
143. Honkoop PJ, Taylor DR, Smith AD, *et al.* Early detection of asthma exacerbations by using action points in self-management plans. *Eur Respir J* 2013; 41: 53-9
144. Kairy D, Lehoux\_P, Vincent\_C, Visintin\_M. A systematic review of clinical outcomes, clinical process, healthcare utilization and costs associated with telerehabilitation. *Disability Rehabilitation* 2009; 31: 427-47
145. Hansen H, Bieler T, Beyer N, *et al.* Supervised pulmonary tele-rehabilitation versus pulmonary rehabilitation in severe COPD: a randomised multicentre trial. *Thorax* 2020; 75: 413-21

146. Chaplin E, Hewitt S, Apps L, *et al.* Interactive web-based pulmonary rehabilitation programme: a randomised controlled feasibility trial. *BMJ Open* 2017; 7: e013682
147. Vasilopoulou M, Papaioannou AI, Kaltsakas G, *et al.* Home-based maintenance tele-rehabilitation reduces the risk for acute exacerbations of COPD, hospitalisations and emergency department visits. *Eur Respir J* 2017; 49: 1602129
148. Cox NS, Dal Corso S, Hansen H, *et al.* Telerehabilitation for chronic respiratory disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2021, Issue 1. Art. No.: CD013040
149. Ream E, Hughes AE, Cox A, *et al.* Telephone interventions for symptom management in adults with cancer. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2020, Issue 6. Art. No.: CD007568. DOI:10.1002/14651858.CD007568.pub2
150. Mosher CE, Winger JG, Hanna N, *et al.* Randomized pilot trial of a telephone symptom management intervention for symptomatic lung cancer patients and their family caregivers. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 2016; 52: 469-82
151. Badr H, Smith CB, Goldstein NE, *et al.* Dyadic psychosocial intervention for advanced lung cancer patients and their family caregivers: results of a randomized pilot trial. *Cancer* 2015; 121: 150-8
152. Porter LS, Keefe FJ, Garst J, *et al.* Caregiver-assisted coping skills training for lung cancer: results of a randomized clinical trial. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 2011; 41: 1-3
153. Pardolesi A, Gherzi L, Pastorino U. Telemedicine for management of patients with lung cancer during COVID-19 in an Italian cancer institute: SmartDoc Project. *Tumori J* 2021 May 10: doi.org/10.1177/030089162110127
154. Khalid I, Imran M, Imran M, *et al.* Telemedicine monitoring of high-risk coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) patients by family medicine service after discharge from the emergency department. *J Fam Community Med* 2021; 28: 210
155. Vaughan L, Eggert LE, Jonas A, *et al.* Use of home pulse oximetry with daily short message service messages for monitoring outpatients with COVID-19: The patient's experience. *Digital Health* 2021; 7: 20552076211067651
156. Pimlott N, Agarwal P, McCarthy LM, *et al.* Clinical learnings from a virtual primary care program monitoring mild to moderate COVID-19 patients at home. *Fam Pract* 2021; 38: 549-55
157. Shaw JG, Sankineni S, Olaleye CA, *et al.* A novel large scale integrated telemonitoring program for COVID-19. *Telemed e-Health* 2021; 27: 1317-21
158. Panicacci S, Donati M, Lubrano A, *et al.* Telemonitoring in the Covid-19 era: The Tuscany region experience. *InHealthcare* 2021; 9: 516

159. Dirikgil E, Roos R, Groeneveld GH, *et al.* Home monitoring reduced short stay admissions in suspected COVID-19 patients: COVID-box project. *Eur Respir J* 2021; 58: 2100636
160. Dowthwaite L, Fischer J, Vallejos EP, *et al.* Public Adoption of and Trust in the NHS COVID-19 Contact Tracing App in the United Kingdom: Quantitative Online Survey Study. *J Med Intern Res* 2021; 23: e29085.
161. Scholl A, Sassenberg K. How Identification With the Social Environment and With the Government Guide the Use of the Official COVID-19 Contact Tracing App: Three Quantitative Survey Studies. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth* 2021; 9: e28146
162. Shoji M, Ito A, Cato S, *et al.* Prosociality and the Uptake of COVID-19 Contact Tracing Apps: Survey Analysis of Intergenerational Differences in Japan. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth* 2021; 9: e29923
163. Cottrell M, Burns CL, Jones A, *et al.* Sustaining allied health telehealth services beyond the rapid response to COVID-19: Learning from patient and staff experiences at a large quaternary hospital. *J Telemed Telecare* 2021; 27: 615-624
164. Ellis LA, Meulenbroeks I, Churruca K, *et al.* The Application of e-Mental Health in Response to COVID-19: Scoping Review and Bibliometric Analysis. *JMIR Mental Health* 2021; 8: e32948
165. Xu Z, Su C, Xiao Y, *et al.* AI for COVID-19: Battling the pandemic with computational intelligence. *Intell Med* 2021; 9: 34
166. Alam, MU, Rahmani R. Federated Semi-Supervised Multi-Task Learning to Detect COVID-19 and Lungs Segmentation Marking Using Chest Radiography Images and Raspberry Pi Devices: An Internet of Medical Things Application. *Sensors* 2021; 21: 5025
167. Sadre R, Sundaram B, Majumdar S, *et al.* Validating deep learning inference during chest X-ray classification for COVID-19 screening. *Sci rep* 2021; 11: 16075
168. Delli Pizzi A, Chiarelli AM, Chiacchiaretta P, *et al.* Radiomics-based machine learning differentiates "ground-glass" opacities due to COVID-19 from acute non-COVID-19 lung disease. *Sci rep* 2021; 11: 17237
169. Haq AU, Li JP, Ahmad S, *et al.* Diagnostic Approach for Accurate Diagnosis of COVID-19 Employing Deep Learning and Transfer Learning Techniques through Chest X-ray Images Clinical Data in E-Healthcare. *Sensors* 2021; 21: 8219
170. Dhont J, Wolfs C, Verhaegen F. Automatic COVID- 19 diagnosis based on chest radiography and deep learning - success story or dataset bias? *Med Phys* 2022; 49: 978-987


171. Jungmann F, Müller L, Hahn F, *et al.* Commercial AI solutions in detecting COVID-19 pneumonia in chest CT: not yet ready for clinical implementation? *Eur Radiology* 2021; <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-021-08409-4>
172. Miller EA. The technical and interpersonal aspects of telemedicine: effects on doctor–patient communication. *J Telemed Telecare* 2003; 9: 1-7
173. Turner A, Scott A, Horwood J, *et al.* Maintaining face-to-face contact during the COVID-19 pandemic: a longitudinal qualitative investigation in UK primary care. *BJGP Open* 2021; 5: 0036.
174. Boers SN, Jongsma KR, Lucivero F, *et al.* Part 2: Clinical implementation of eHealth in Primary Care: addressing the ethical dimensions. *Eur J Gen Pract* 2020; 26: 26–32
175. Orlando JF, Beard M, Kumar S. Systematic review of patient and caregivers’ satisfaction with telehealth videoconferencing as a mode of service delivery in managing patients’ health. *PLoS One* 2019; 14: e0221848
176. Funderskov KF, Boe Danbjørg D, Jess M, *et al.* Telemedicine in specialised palliative care: Healthcare professionals' and their perspectives on video consultations - A qualitative study. *J Clin Nurse* 2019; 28: 3966-76
177. International Telecommunication Union. Data and analytics: taking the pulse of the information society. <https://www.itu.int/itu-d/sites/statistics/> Date last accessed 24 Apr 2022
178. Hernandez K, Roberts T. Leaving No One Behind in a Digital World. K4D Emerging Issues Report. Brighton, UK: Institute of Development Studies
179. Global System for Mobile Communications. Connected Women The Mobile Gender Gap Report 2021. <https://www.gsma.com/r/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/The-Mobile-Gender-Gap-Report-2021.pdf> Date last accessed 24 Apr 2022
180. UK Government Digital Service. Data Ethics Framework 2020 <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/data-ethics-framework> Date last accessed 17 Feb 2022
181. Jakobsen AS, Laursen LC, Rydahl-Hansen S, *et al.* Home-based telehealth hospitalization for exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: findings from “the virtual hospital” trial. *Telemed J E Health* 2015; 21: 364-73
182. Polgar O, Patel S, Walsh JA, *et al.* Digital habits of pulmonary rehabilitation service-users following the COVID-19 pandemic. *Chronic Respir Dis* 2022;19:14799731221075647
183. Joy M, McGagh D, Jones N, *et al.* Reorganisation of primary care for older adults during COVID-19: a cross-sectional database study in the UK. *Br J Gen Pract* 2020; 70: e540-7

184. Johnston S, MacDougall M, McKinstry B. The use of video consulting in general practice: semi-structured interviews examining acceptability to patients. *J Innov Health Inform* 2016; 23: 493–500
185. Norgaard O, Furstrand D, Klokke L, *et al.* The e-health literacy framework: A conceptual framework for characterizing e-health users and their interaction with e-health systems. *Knowledge Management and E-Learning* 2015; 7: 522–540
186. Pinnock H, Hoskins G, Neville R, *et al.* Triage and remote consultations: moving beyond the rhetoric of access and choice. *Br J Gen Pract* 2005; 55: 910-911


## Figures

**Figure 1. Shift to remote consulting in a) UK hospital and b) UK primary care in response to the COVID-19 pandemic**

### a) Hospital outpatient consultations



### b) General practice consultations



These figures are reproduced from Health Foundation and Nuffield Trust QualityWatch 'The remote care revolution during Covid-19' [22])

Figure 1. Shift to remote consulting in a) UK hospital and b) primary care in response to the COVID-19 pandemic

These figures are reproduced from Health Foundation and Nuffield Trust QualityWatch 'The remote care revolution during Covid-19' [22])



**Figure 2. Growth in use of a UK NHS video-conferencing platform (Near Me) at the beginning of the pandemic**




This figure is reproduced from Shaw et al[88] under the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY)

Figure 2. Growth in use of a UK NHS video-conferencing platform (Near Me) at the beginning of the pandemic

This figure is reproduced from Shaw *et al*[88] under the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY)

**Figure 3. Percentage of countries reporting disruption to non-communicable disease services**



This 'snapshot' of the disruption to NCD care from a WHO survey of 163 countries worldwide conducted in June 2020, so represents the early impact of the pandemic.[19]  
It is reproduced under a Creative Commons Attribution License CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO

Figure 3. Percentage of countries reporting disruption to non-communicable disease services during the pandemic

This 'snapshot' of the disruption to NCD care from a WHO survey of 163 countries worldwide conducted in June 2020, so represents the early impact of the pandemic.[19]

It is reproduced under a Creative Commons Attribution License CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO

Figure 4. Remote consulting in a sleep apnoea service (Dumfries and Galloway, UK)




Figure 4. Remote consulting in a sleep apnoea service (Dumfries and Galloway, UK)

Figure 4. Tele-rehabilitation



Patients monitor vital signs using Bluetooth-enabled wearable monitors that transmit data to a cloud-based platform via an application installed onto the tablet. Health professionals can log in and see if the patient has uploaded data and provide feedback.[152]

Figure 5. Tele-rehabilitation.

Patients monitor vital signs using Bluetooth-enabled wearable monitors that transmit data to a cloud-based platform via an application installed onto the tablet. Health professional can log in and see if the patient has uploaded data and provide feedback[152]