



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Tundra vegetation change and impacts on permafrost

Citation for published version:

Heijmans, MMPD, Magnússon, RÍ, Lara, MJ, Frost, GV, Myers-Smith, I, van Huissteden, J, Jorgenson, MT, Fedorov, AN, Epstein, HE, Lawrence, DM & Limpens, J 2022, 'Tundra vegetation change and impacts on permafrost', *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, vol. 3, pp. 68–84. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-021-00233-0>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1038/s43017-021-00233-0](https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-021-00233-0)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Nature Reviews Earth & Environment

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



1 **Tundra vegetation change and impacts on permafrost**

2

3 Monique M. P. D. Heijmans^{1†}, Rúna Í. Magnússon¹, Mark J. Lara^{2,3}, Gerald V. Frost⁴, Isla Myers-Smith⁵,
4 Jacobus van Huissteden⁶, M. Torre Jorgenson⁷, Alexander N. Fedorov⁸, Howard E. Epstein⁹, David M.
5 Lawrence¹⁰ and Juul Limpens¹

6 ¹ Department of Environmental Sciences, Wageningen University & Research, Wageningen, the
7 Netherlands

8 ² Department of Plant Biology, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL, USA

9 ³ Department of Geography, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL, USA

10 ⁴ Alaska Biological Research, Inc., Fairbanks, AK, USA

11 ⁵ Department of Earth Sciences, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

12 ⁶ School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

13 ⁷ Alaska Biological Research, Inc., Fairbanks, AK, USA

14 ⁸ Melnikov Permafrost Institute, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Yakutsk, Russia

15 ⁹ Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA

16 ¹⁰ National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, USA

17

18 †email: monique.heijmans@wur.nl

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 **Abstract**

28 Tundra vegetation productivity and composition are responding rapidly to climatic changes in the
29 Arctic. These changes can, in turn, mitigate or amplify permafrost thaw. In this Review, we synthesize
30 remotely-sensed and field-observed vegetation change across the tundra biome, and outline how
31 these shifts could influence permafrost thaw. Permafrost ice content appears to be an important
32 control on local vegetation changes; woody vegetation generally increases in ice-poor uplands,
33 whereas replacement of woody vegetation by (aquatic) graminoids following abrupt permafrost
34 thaw is more frequent in ice-rich Arctic lowlands. These locally observed vegetation changes
35 contribute to regional satellite-observed greening trends, although the interpretation of greening
36 and browning is complicated. Increases in vegetation cover and height generally mitigate permafrost
37 thaw in summer, yet increase annual soil temperatures through snow-related winter soil warming
38 effects. Strong vegetation–soil feedbacks currently alleviate the consequences of thaw-related
39 disturbances. However, if the increasing scale and frequency of disturbances in a warming Arctic
40 exceeds the capacity for vegetation and permafrost recovery, changes to Arctic ecosystems could be
41 irreversible. To better disentangle vegetation-soil-permafrost interactions, ecological field studies
42 remain crucial, but require better integration with geophysical assessments.

43

44 **[H1] Introduction**

45 Arctic tundra is changing rapidly, with a pervasive trend toward more abundant and taller vegetation
46 as shrubs and trees expand northward¹. Field and satellite observations suggest that tundra
47 vegetation has become more productive, a phenomenon known as tundra greening. Such increases
48 in the biomass and stature of Arctic tundra vegetation can alter the thermal properties of the ground
49 surface. Canopies can mediate the effect of increasing summer air temperatures on soil
50 temperatures²⁻⁴ and contribute to insulation of soils in winter through trapping of snow⁵⁻⁸.
51 Vegetation and soil characteristics also influence surface energy partitioning and the thermal
52 diffusivity of the soil^{9,10}.

53 Permafrost (permanently frozen ground) underlies soil and vegetation, and is the foundation of
54 Arctic tundra ecosystems. In turn, vegetation and near-surface soils insulate permafrost¹¹, regulating
55 the effects of atmospheric conditions. However, the Arctic is warming more than twice as fast as the
56 global average, amplified by loss of sea ice cover¹. Even if Arctic temperatures were to stabilize at 2°C
57 of warming, as aimed for with the Paris Agreement, approximately 40% of near-surface permafrost is
58 still projected to thaw¹². Permafrost-dominated ecosystems are thus at risk¹³, even under modest
59 CO₂ emission scenarios¹, with consequences for Arctic inhabitants¹⁴.

60 Observed tundra vegetation changes are partially related to permafrost thaw, which can be a gradual
61 or rapid process, with differing influences on Arctic ecosystems^{15,16} (**Fig. 1**). Gradual thaw could
62 stimulate decomposition of organic soils, releasing soil nutrients^{17,18} and encouraging belowground
63 plant responses, changing vegetation productivity and composition¹⁸⁻²⁰. Thawing can be abrupt at
64 locations where the ice volume exceeds that of soil pore spaces (excess ice) and forms structures
65 such as ice wedges or ice lenses¹⁶. When excess ice melts, the soil surface subsides and could even
66 collapse, leading to local mortality and shifts in plant communities^{10,16,21,22} as most shrub species
67 cannot tolerate inundated conditions in newly formed depressions²¹.

68 Changes in Arctic ecosystems have the potential to affect global climate^{1,23}. Specifically, warming and
69 partial thawing of permafrost soils enhance microbial decay of old soil organic matter²³, estimated to
70 release ~130–160 Pg carbon, primarily in the form of CO₂, over this century, albeit with large
71 uncertainties²³. This greenhouse gas release from thawing Arctic soils presents an important climate
72 feedback mechanism for future warming^{24-26,27,28}, accompanying those associated with albedo
73 changes driven by large-scale increases in tundra shrub cover⁹.

74 In this Review, we describe pan-Arctic patterns of tundra vegetation changes across diverse
75 permafrost environments and their potential effects on permafrost integrity. We begin by
76 documenting Arctic tundra vegetation changes from remote sensing and field observations. We
77 follow with discussion of vegetation-permafrost interactions, including the mechanisms through
78 which vegetation can mitigate or amplify permafrost thaw. Finally, future research priorities are
79 proposed to aid in disentangling the interrelated dynamics of vegetation and permafrost across
80 Arctic environments.

81

82 **[H1] Arctic tundra vegetation**

83 Climate and other environmental controls, such as topography, soil chemistry, soil moisture and the
84 historical extent of plant species, all influence the distribution and composition of tundra plant
85 communities. Throughout the Arctic tundra biome, there is considerable variation in vegetation
86 productivity and plant species composition from north to south (**Table 1**).

87 At regional scales, climate is the main factor driving tundra vegetation composition²⁹. The tundra
88 biome is treeless by definition, as tree recruitment and growth are limited by stressful conditions
89 because of low summer temperatures (mean July temperature generally < 10°C), low annual
90 precipitation (< 250 mm) and short growing seasons (1.5 - 4 months)^{30,31}. Tundra often consists of

91 patchy, low-ground vegetation comprising shrubs, **graminoids [G]** (sedges, grasses, and rushes),
92 forbs, mosses and lichens³¹.

93 Local-scale Arctic tundra vegetation patterns are mostly driven by soil moisture gradients related to
94 landscape microtopography²⁹. Poorly drained, high soil moisture locations generally host graminoid
95 vegetation, whereas better drained, more elevated or sloping areas are drier and can be shrub-
96 dominated³¹. Shrubs preferably grow on moist soils³², but cannot tolerate waterlogged conditions,
97 whereas sedges have adaptations to tolerate anaerobic water-saturated environments.

98

99 **[H2] Bioclimate subzones**

100 While the northernmost tundra zone is sometimes classified as polar desert³³, tundra vegetation can
101 be green and abundant along the southern margin of the Arctic; the abundance and stature of tundra
102 vegetation generally increases with warmer summer temperatures^{30,31,34-36}. This latitudinal variation
103 is often described as bioclimate subzones^{31,34-36}, as delineated on the [Circumpolar Arctic Vegetation](#)
104 [Map](#) (CAVM)³¹. The five CAVM bioclimate subzones, A-E from north to south, coincide with increases
105 in summer temperature³¹ (**Table 1**) and can be seen as generalized vegetation and climate zonation.
106 In reality, boundaries are diffuse and local deviations are common owing to the influence of local
107 conditions and landscape history^{31,36,37}.

108 As demonstrated in the CAVM, the extreme environments of the northernmost part of the Arctic
109 support only scattered cushion plants, forbs, grasses, and a large fraction of mosses and
110 lichens^{30,31,37}. Southern Arctic regions, by contrast, host more robust vegetation communities. These
111 include taller deciduous shrub species (willow and alder), and extensive tussock sedge tundra in
112 relatively well-drained (but mesic) parts of the Arctic, such as northern Alaska and north-western
113 Canada^{30,31,37}. Given the sensitivity of tundra plant growth to summer temperatures, tundra
114 vegetation generally has, and is expected to continue to increase in abundance and size in a warming
115 climate³⁸.

116

117 **[H2] Role of abiotic microgradients**

118 The Arctic tundra biome (as delineated on the CAVM³¹) is underlain by permafrost, generally with a
119 continuous spatial distribution (Supplementary Table 1). The **active layer [G]** is essential to tundra
120 plant life as it forms the rooting zone from which plants can absorb soil-borne nutrients and water in
121 summer^{17,39}. Tundra plants often form associations with mycorrhizal fungi that assist with extracting

122 soil nutrients in exchange for carbon^{39,40}. Moreover, tundra soils contain diverse microbial
123 communities and over 2,000 species of soil invertebrates⁴¹. Changes in the soil microbial community
124 can strongly affect the release of carbon and nutrients through decomposition of soil organic
125 matter^{41,42}. Differential subsidence and heave in permafrost soils with variable ice content cause
126 additional macro- to micro-scale heterogeneity in topography, soil moisture and thickness of the
127 active layer^{16,43,44}. The latter exerts a strong influence on tundra vegetation microgradients^{29,43}.
128 Tundra vegetation itself affects permafrost thaw through its influences on the surface thermal
129 regime^{2,3,10,45}, illustrating the tight linkage between spatial patterns in tundra vegetation and
130 permafrost^{4,16,46,47}.

131

132 **[H1] Arctic tundra vegetation change**

133 Both remote sensing and field observations agree over large-scale greening trends in the tundra^{38,48-}
134 ⁵⁰ (**Fig. 2**). However, relationships between the two still remain poorly understood⁵⁰, necessitating
135 documentation of vegetation changes over multiple decades and across diverse Arctic regions.

136

137 **[H2] Remote sensing observations**

138 **[H3] Spectral greening**

139 Expectations that tundra plant communities will develop more green biomass³⁸ and species
140 distributions will shift northward with warming⁴⁸ are corroborated by circumpolar satellite
141 observations. They reveal increasing trends (greening) in the **Normalized Difference Vegetation Index**
142 **[G]** (NDVI) since the early 1980s⁴⁹, with an estimated 20 - 40% of the Arctic tundra showing
143 significant spectral greening⁴⁹⁻⁵¹. This trend likely reflects large-scale increases in vegetation
144 productivity owing to gradual improvement of plant growing conditions related to climate
145 warming^{38,50,52,53}. Indeed, experimental warming in 61 tundra sites generally increased vegetation
146 green biomass, with shrub increases in sites with relatively warm air temperatures and graminoid
147 increase in the coldest sites³⁸.

148 Warming can increase soil nutrient availability through increased microbial decomposition of soil
149 organic matter, resulting in increased release of plant-available nutrients⁵⁴. Nutrient release is seen
150 as a key mechanism driving the increases in biomass, as evidenced by long-term fertilization
151 experiments⁵⁵⁻⁵⁷. Warmer summer temperatures^{38,58}, longer growing seasons⁵⁹, increased
152 precipitation⁶⁰, deeper and earlier seasonal permafrost thaw^{20,61-63} and increasing atmospheric CO₂

153 concentrations⁶⁴ could all be responsible for increased vegetation productivity. However, the exact
154 mechanisms leading to enhanced tundra vegetation productivity and greening remain uncertain and
155 are likely spatially heterogeneous.

156 **[H3] Spectral browning**

157 Since 2011, spectral greening trends have slowed considerably. In turn, **browning [G]** has become
158 more pronounced locally, with an estimated 1-8% of the Arctic tundra undergoing spectral
159 browning⁴⁹⁻⁵¹. The mechanisms at play are not yet sufficiently clear^{49,65}, but are often related to
160 specific disturbances that reduce or completely remove vegetation cover⁶⁶, including: wildfires,
161 which can dramatically affect vegetation^{27,70}, surface topography, geomorphology and surface
162 wetness⁶⁷⁻⁶⁹; winter warming events, which result in bud break and subsequent freeze damage or
163 frost drought, particularly in low Arctic areas with shallow snow depth^{66,70-73}; or herbivores and
164 pathogens⁷⁴. Browning can also be caused by a combination of factors, as demonstrated on the
165 Arctic coast of Alaska where severe spectral browning has been attributed to complex interactions
166 between permafrost landforms, vegetation cover, increasing temperature and precipitation⁷⁵.

167 Browning events related to local disturbances are often followed by vigorous regrowth as plants take
168 advantage of newly available nutrients^{46,76}. Gradual greening therefore follows short-lived, often
169 highly local, browning events⁵⁰. In specific cases, however, local browning events can influence the
170 trends in satellite-observed vegetation indices detected at larger spatiotemporal scales^{50,77,78}. In
171 Northern Scandinavia, for instance, widespread small-scale browning occurred following climate-
172 related vegetation damage⁷². Similarly, larger scale disturbances such as thermokarst lake expansion,
173 erosion of permafrost coasts and increased flooding are visible in moderate to coarse resolution
174 NDVI⁷⁸⁻⁸⁰. As the interaction between disturbance events, recovery and longer-term trends
175 introduces non-linearity in NDVI records, baseline establishment and temporal range and resolution
176 are extremely important in the interpretation of spectral browning.

177

178 **[H3] Scaling and confounding effects in spectral trends**

179 The relative scarcity of Arctic browning observations could also be related to the spatial resolution of
180 satellite observations; small-scaled browning events are easily overlooked by moderate-resolution
181 satellites owing to spectral mixing^{32,50,79,81}. For example, change detection using very high resolution
182 (0.5m) images can reveal small-scale disturbances on sub-decadal timescales that go unnoticed in
183 coarser resolution⁸⁴, such as ponding in shrub-dominated tundra⁷⁹. Centimeter-scale NDVI from
184 unmanned aerial vehicles has further been shown to accurately reflect the spatial variation of

185 heterogeneous Arctic ecosystems^{77,82}. In Qikqitaruk – Herschel Island, Canada, a 50 x 50 cm pixel size
186 is optimal for detecting variation in NDVI across the landscape⁷⁷. As spatial resolution of space borne
187 sensors has increased, variation in the percentages of spectral greening and browning can often be
188 attributed to the period examined; the longer back in time, the more greening^{50,65,83}. As a result,
189 challenges remain in extrapolating the higher-resolution satellite data to larger scale and Arctic-wide
190 greening and browning trends^{51,65}.

191 Obtaining suitable satellite data for monitoring high latitude environments is also challenging owing
192 to persistent cloudiness, low solar angles and the short growing season, all of which can result in
193 poor image acquisition⁸³. Among satellite-derived vegetation indices, NDVI is the most
194 straightforward to compute and has been most widely used to monitor Arctic ecosystems^{49,50,78,80,84}.
195 Although NDVI corresponds well with biophysical vegetation properties in general, increases in
196 surface wetness can reduce NDVI^{50,78}. For example, a pixel with increased surface water due to
197 abrupt thaw could show a spectral browning trend, despite vigorous sedge growth in the developing
198 aquatic environment⁷⁸.

199 At the other extreme, NDVI values are relatively insensitive to vegetation changes in very densely
200 vegetated areas, resulting in a non-linear relationship between NDVI values and vegetation green
201 biomass⁵⁰. The largest relative increase in vegetation indices will be found in well-drained locations
202 that have transitioned from bare ground to being vegetated⁵⁰. The greatest NDVI values are typically
203 measured in shrub-dominated plant communities^{34,85,86}, and in turn, spectral greening has often been
204 linked to expansion of shrub vegetation⁵⁰. However, multi-temporal high-resolution datasets and,
205 ideally, field observations are generally needed to interpret and validate the spectral greening and
206 browning trends for a given location.

207

208 ***[H2] Field observations***

209 ***[H3] Trends in Arctic vegetation change***

210 Documentation of multi-decadal vegetation changes across diverse Arctic regions remains essential
211 to identify mechanisms of future Arctic vegetation change. Revisiting areas in northern Alaska where
212 old aerial photographs were taken provide some of the earliest reports of increased shrub cover^{87,88}.
213 Long-term field monitoring report increasing abundance of graminoid and shrub vegetation^{38,48,61,89-}
214 ⁹¹, although it is possible that research finding no change is underreported. Data on vegetation
215 changes are strongly clustered in the Alaskan Arctic, with fewer points available from Eastern
216 Canada, Greenland and the Russian Arctic^{38,48,92-96} (**Fig. 2a**). Since this underrepresentation has a role

217 in most synthesis efforts to date^{38,48}, it is difficult to extrapolate observed trends to a pan-Arctic
218 context. For instance, the Canadian Archipelago and Western Siberia have shown strong browning in
219 satellite observations⁴⁹, but very little ground data is available to confirm these trends.

220 A large part of the observed vegetation change—including shrub cover increase—takes place in
221 dynamic landscape positions (such as floodplains, erosional slopes, permafrost disturbances and
222 drained lake basins) and other landscape locations where exposed mineral soil allows for recruitment
223 of plant species^{32,97-99}. Tundra wildfires represent another type of disturbance that tends to support
224 shrub recruitment after initial disturbance^{100,101}. Historically, tundra wildfires have occurred with
225 return intervals varying regionally from decades to millennia, but annual burned area could double in
226 the future, based on climate projections¹⁰¹. Considering the key role of landscape dynamics and the
227 current gaps in geographical data coverage, future monitoring efforts could improve understanding
228 of vegetation trends across the Arctic and help to relate them to observed spectral greening and
229 browning.

230 ***[H3] Analysis of vegetation change across the Arctic***

231 To support insight into regional differences in Arctic tundra vegetation changes, field-observed
232 vegetation cover changes across the Arctic were synthesized (**Supplementary Data**) and related to
233 site characteristics such as bioclimate subzone³¹ (**Table 1**), permafrost characteristics, climatic
234 conditions and satellite-based greening trends (**Supplementary Methods**). Based on the reported
235 changes in cover of distinct plant functional groups, sites were subdivided into several commonly
236 observed vegetation change trajectories (**Supplementary Table 2**). For each site, climate re-analysis
237 datasets (1950-2020)¹⁰², NDVI (2000-2020)^{103,104} and soil moisture (1987-2020)¹⁰⁵ observations were
238 extracted, based on summer and winter means, and Theil-Sen slopes were calculated to illustrate the
239 changes in site conditions over the recorded period per site. Lastly, thematic data from the CAVM³¹
240 (bioclimate subzone and landscape physiography) and IPA permafrost map¹⁰⁶ (permafrost extent and
241 ice content) were extracted for each site. Relationships between vegetation change trajectories and
242 climate, NDVI and soil moisture data were assessed using ordination techniques, and association
243 between vegetation change trajectories and landscape, permafrost and bioclimate classes per site
244 were assessed using contingency tables and Fisher's exact test.

245 An increase in shrub cover was by far the most reported vegetation change (46% of sites
246 documenting tundra vegetation change; **Figs. 2a, 2b**) and is relatively uniform over the Arctic tundra
247 (**Figs. 2a, 3a**), although more common in upland than in lowland sites (**Fig. 3b**). Climate, NDVI and soil
248 moisture data and temporal trends are not significantly associated with vegetation change
249 trajectories (**Supplementary Fig. 2**). Instead, different vegetation change trajectories predominate in

250 different bioclimatic subzones (**Fig. 3a**), although scarcity in field data and varying representation per
251 subzone make interpretation of these relationships difficult. Similar to previous synthesis efforts^{38,48},
252 the colder Arctic bioclimate subzones A and B are underrepresented (**Fig. 3a**), making it difficult to
253 discern meaningful trends. In bioclimate subzone C (10% of all sites), graminoids and dwarf shrubs
254 [G] can establish on newly available soils after glacial retreat¹⁰⁷, though at the cost of the lichen layer
255 at the ground surface¹⁰⁸. In this cold subzone, increased cover of graminoids and low shrubs are the
256 dominant vegetation changes (**Fig. 3a, Supplementary Table 3 & 4**).

257 For the southernmost subzones D and E (where most of data points are concentrated), there are
258 many reports of increased cover of tundra shrubs, sometimes replacing graminoids. The reverse also
259 occurs, where low shrub vegetation is replaced by (aquatic) graminoids following abrupt permafrost
260 thaw. Such abrupt thaw-driven vegetation succession (18% of all sites) is relatively common in
261 subzone D (**Fig. 3a**), particularly at sites with ice-rich continuous permafrost (**Fig. 3c**), and was
262 typically observed in coastal lowlands (**Fig. 3b**). Further south in subzone E, tundra vegetation
263 includes tall shrubs [G] and reported vegetation changes also include tree establishment. Such tree
264 encroachment (9% of all sites) was most frequently observed in rapidly warming Low Arctic regions
265 in landscape positions with low ice content (**Fig. 3c**). The latter suggests that permafrost
266 characteristics like ice content are an important control on tundra vegetation change trajectories
267 (**Fig. 1**). The absence of significant relationships with the explored climate parameters
268 (**Supplementary Fig. 1**) suggests either strong local control or non-linearity in the response of
269 vegetation composition to changes in environment and climate, supporting the view that Arctic
270 vegetation dynamics are strongly controlled by regional to microscale gradients in permafrost
271 dynamics, topography and wetness.

272 **[H2] Combining datasets**

273 Field-observed vegetation changes are generally assumed to influence the spectral greening trend.
274 However, the vegetation change trajectories described—increased cover of graminoids, increased
275 cover of shrubs, abrupt thaw-driven vegetation succession, and tree encroachment—appear to be
276 associated with similar degrees of spectral greening, as represented by the NDVI (**Fig. 2c**). Moreover,
277 sites with tree encroachment did not show particularly strong spectral greening (**Fig. 2c**). A potential
278 explanation could be that these sites already have abundant shrub vegetation prior to tree
279 establishment, contributing to the non-linearity of NDVI increases in already densely vegetated
280 areas⁵⁰.

281 Abrupt thaw resulted in NDVI trends of similar direction and magnitude as increased shrub cover
282 (**Fig. 2c**). This change could be a result of fast re-colonisation of new vegetation within a decade^{46,47,76}

283 or concurrent NDVI increases in adjacent, unaffected vegetation⁵⁰. The positive NDVI trends indicate
284 that the spatial scale of browning events such as abrupt thaw could be too small and short-lived to
285 be detected with trends derived from moderate-resolution satellite imagery^{50,65,77}. In addition, NDVI
286 increases could be driven by warming-induced increases in green vegetation cover regardless of the
287 species groups involved⁶⁵.

288 Differences in methods and scale used to assess vegetation cover add to discrepancies between field-
289 based changes in cover of plant functional types and spectral greening. While including cover of dark
290 branches makes mechanistic sense to assess local changes in cover or expansion of species (as done
291 in some field studies), it does not translate directly into changes in green leaf area or leaf area index,
292 which are more closely correlated with spectral greening^{49,50}. Regardless, the combination of field
293 observations with large-scale spectral greening leaves no doubt that the Arctic tundra vegetation is
294 changing in many places. With continuing technological developments, the Arctic region can be
295 studied remotely in increasing spatial and temporal detail^{77,82,83}. The latter will increase the need for
296 field-based assessments, which are essential for correct interpretation and understanding of the
297 satellite-observed vegetation changes and their impacts on permafrost soils.

298

299 **[H1] Vegetation–permafrost interactions**

300 Arctic vegetation changes and their impacts on snow conditions have consequences for permafrost
301 integrity^{4,10,11}. In general, permafrost occurs in regions with mean annual air temperatures below
302 about -6°C ⁴. However, permafrost can locally persist at warmer ambient temperatures and degrade
303 at lower temperatures owing to differences in thermal impacts of vegetation, snow and ground
304 surface of different tundra ecosystems^{4,11}. These differences in thermal behaviour depend on
305 interconnected ecosystem properties, such as vegetation, soil, hydrology and microtopography^{4,43,47}.
306 Under continued warming, local ecosystem effects on permafrost integrity could become
307 increasingly relevant, as changes in ecosystem properties could mitigate or amplify the influence of
308 air temperature changes on permafrost integrity^{4,10}.

309 The exact mechanisms that determine observed thermal effects are not always well understood¹⁰.
310 Increasing vegetation cover and height result in warmer soil temperatures in winter, but colder soil
311 temperatures and shallower thaw depths in summer (**Table 2**). This effect is evident for shrub
312 vegetation in particular⁵. Manipulation experiments with removal or addition of shrubs, moss and
313 litter confirm the winter warming and summer cooling effects of vegetation^{2,6,21,109-112}. The identified
314 mechanisms through which vegetation affects permafrost integrity also vary seasonally (**Fig. 4**).
315 Effects in winter and spring are strongly determined by vegetation-snow interactions^{5,7,8,45,100,113-118},

316 and summer effects revolve around changes in vegetation and ground surface albedo^{7,113,119}, heat
317 flux partitioning^{2,3,6,109,120} and thermal properties of the moss layer and topsoil^{4,21,85,111,112,121}. While
318 other mechanisms also likely have a role (**Fig. 4**)¹⁰, snow trapping^{7,122} and radiation interception in the
319 canopy^{2,6,10} are reported as the main pathways by which tundra vegetation canopies affect
320 permafrost integrity.

321

322 **[H2] Winter effects**

323 **[H3] Snow trapping and insulation by the snowpack**

324 In winter, vegetation primarily affects soil temperatures through trapping of snow in vegetation with
325 taller and more complex canopies, such as tall shrubs⁵⁻⁷. As snow is an effective insulator, snow
326 accumulation in shrub canopies will reduce the cooling effect of cold winter air temperatures and
327 lead to warmer winter soil temperatures^{5-7,123}. The snow cover in shrub vegetation is not only deeper
328 than outside the shrub canopy, but also differs in physical properties^{113,124} that make the snow less
329 conductive to heat⁷. In turn, the warmer winter soil temperature under tall shrub canopies has been
330 hypothesised to provide greater release of soil nutrients in winter through enhanced microbial
331 decomposition of soil organic matter, delivering the nutrients needed for further shrub growth^{7,122}.
332 While there is abundant field evidence of taller vegetation trapping more and better insulating snow,
333 resulting in warmer winter soil temperatures⁵ (**Table 2**), the strength of the winter effect varies
334 between vegetation types. Winter warming is especially observed under taller shrubs⁵, but much less
335 under dwarf shrubs and moss^{2,100,110,111} and in cases where microtopography overrides the effect of
336 vegetation on snow depth^{21,47,120}. Thus, the extent to which local vegetation structure and
337 microtopography promote snow accumulation likely critically determines the strength of the winter
338 warming effect^{7,21,100,125}.

339 **[H3] Snow albedo effects**

340 The winter warming effect can be further modified by the snow albedo effect. Apart from its
341 insulative properties, snow has a high albedo and strongly reduces the amount of incoming solar
342 radiation that can melt snow during the Arctic day. The influence of the snow surface albedo is
343 highest for an unbroken cover of snow and varies across the year with greater effects in spring
344 relative to autumn^{10,114,124}. However, if shrubs protrude above the snowpack, the albedo can be
345 reduced by around 30% relative to low-lying tundra due to the dark woody stems⁸. The latter can
346 induce temporary snow melt, creating layers of ice within the snowpack^{124,126}. Such ice layers
347 increase the density and thermal conductivity of the snowpack and could limit further snow drift in

348 winter¹²⁶. Thus, warm spells in autumn can potentially reduce or cancel out the warming effects of a
349 tall shrub canopy in winter¹²⁶.

350 In spring, the role of albedo becomes pronounced as solar radiation increases after the polar night.
351 The snow albedo effect slows down the melting of snow and warming of the soil in spring^{8,45,123,127}.
352 However, when tall shrub branches protrude above the snow, the lower albedo can accelerate the
353 spring snowmelt^{122,127,128}, cancelling out the soil cooling effect of snow in spring, thereby reinforcing
354 net winter warming.

355 The winter warming effect of different vegetation types likely depends critically on canopy structure,
356 which determines to what extent vegetation traps snow and protrudes above the snowpack, and
357 thereby the net effect of insulating snow cover and snow albedo effects^{114,125,129}. Although there is
358 general consensus that increased tall shrub cover will lead to winter soil warming⁵, if and how
359 summer canopy effects on soil temperatures offset these winter warming effects, and under which
360 conditions, remains less well quantified.

361 ***[H2] Summer effects***

362 In contrast to winter warming, summer soil temperature recordings and measured thaw depths
363 generally indicate a summer soil cooling effect of taller vegetation (**Table 2**). Daily soil temperatures
364 under different stages of shrub vegetation across the Arctic indicate that summer soil cooling is
365 related to increasing shrub height^{5,115} and, for paludifying [G] shrublands, to progressive
366 accumulation of insulative organic soil layers¹¹⁵. Similar cooling effects are observed for other
367 vegetation types (**Table 2**). In some environments, summer soil temperature in tussock tundra
368 vegetation showed the largest decoupling from summer air temperatures⁵, and in one instance, thaw
369 depth was shallower under graminoid vegetation than other tundra vegetation types¹¹⁶. Different
370 vegetation types could affect summer soil temperature and permafrost integrity in different ways
371 depending on the mechanism through which they affect the surface energy balance and soil thermal
372 properties¹⁰.

373 ***[H3] Summer albedo***

374 The summer surface albedo poses a first control on the surface energy balance. Reflective surfaces
375 such as lichens and standing dead graminoid leaves can increase the albedo^{119,130}, whereas albedo
376 tends to decline with increasing height and cover of darker vegetation elements, such as shrubs and
377 trees^{3,7,119}. Local hydrology can also affect the surface albedo, as ponded areas have low albedos¹³⁰.
378 Therefore, the relative importance of albedo in determining vegetation effects on the soil thermal
379 regime can vary strongly among different settings^{130,131}.

380 **[H3] Partitioning of solar radiation**

381 Net incoming radiation provides the energy used for warming the air (sensible heat flux), energy used
382 for evapotranspiration (latent heat flux) and energy used for warming the soil (ground heat flux)¹³².
383 Of these fluxes, the ground heat flux ultimately controls soil temperatures and permafrost
384 integrity^{3,119,124}. Ground heat fluxes typically account for 5% (forest) to 25% (wet tundra) of total net
385 radiation in northern biomes^{3,119}. Over a gradient from barren tundra to forest the proportion of net
386 radiation allocated to sensible and latent heat fluxes tends to increase^{3,119,133}. The proportion of net
387 radiation that is allocated to the ground heat flux depends on the degree to which vegetation
388 intercepts incoming radiation and thereby shades the soil surface. The more net shortwave radiation
389 is intercepted higher up in the canopy and available for sensible and latent heat fluxes, the less
390 reaches the ground to contribute to the ground heat flux^{119,132,133}.

391 Part of this intercepted net radiation is used for evapotranspiration, which includes transpiration and
392 evaporation from the soil and leaf surface³. The latter constitutes a loss of energy in the form of
393 latent heat and leaves less energy available for warming of the surrounding air and soil³. Several
394 mechanisms moderate this evaporative cooling effect, such as control of stomatal conductance by
395 plants^{124,132,133} and lower soil moisture availability^{44,134}. Apart from incoming radiation, Arctic shrub
396 canopies can intercept as much as 15%–30% of ambient rainfall, further contributing to latent heat
397 loss^{135,136}. As height and density of vegetation increases, the reference level of energy exchange shifts
398 to a higher position in the canopy, which in practice means that more energy is allocated to sensible
399 and latent heat loss, and less to the ground heat flux³.

400 **[H3] Canopy aerodynamics**

401 Both sensible and latent heat loss are additionally promoted by the mixing of air, which increases
402 heat transfer between air layers. Compared to smooth short vegetation, taller and more
403 heterogeneous canopies increase air turbulence, and canopy temperatures will be more closely
404 coupled to that of the atmosphere^{119,131-133}. However, smooth, low profile shrub canopies have also
405 been found to sustain cool microclimates below the canopy^{120,137,138} owing to their dense horizontally
406 branched canopies¹²⁰, which can effectively intercept incoming radiation and cool the top soil layer.
407 The cooler surface temperature in turn is decoupled from ambient air temperature due to low air
408 mixing within the smooth, aerodynamic canopy^{120,137,138}. The contrast outlined above illustrates the
409 complex role of the canopy structure and its aerodynamic roughness length in flux partitioning.
410 While the turbulence induced by tall rough canopies promotes heat losses to the atmosphere, a lack
411 of turbulence within low densely branched aerodynamic canopies of uniform height creates a
412 smooth vegetation layer acting as an insulator to the underlying soil.

413

414 **[H2] Soil thermal properties in summer**

415 The ground heat flux is not only determined by the remainder of net radiation after accounting for
416 latent and sensible heat loss but is also modified by the thermal regime of the soil surface¹⁰. For
417 example, in dry, sparsely vegetated high Arctic environments, ground heat flux can be a relatively
418 large proportion of total net radiation due to low latent heat loss^{3,119}. Ground heat fluxes are driven
419 by temperature gradients and influenced by soil thermal diffusivity, the capacity to spread heat into
420 the soil. For example, in wet tundra sites, ground heat fluxes can be substantial, due to the high
421 thermal conductivity of wet soils^{3,119}. Soil moisture and organic soil layers provide important controls
422 on the ground thermal regime^{4,10}.

423 How vegetation changes affect soil moisture in summer is difficult to quantify. The presence of
424 vegetation can alter the overall soil thermal-hydrological regime by reducing soil moisture due to
425 increased transpiration^{120,124,128} and canopy interception^{135,136}. These drying effects reduce soil
426 thermal conductivity and thereby the ground heat flux^{4,10,136,139,140}. Reduced rain throughfall due to
427 canopy interception can additionally reduce heat inputs into the soil associated with the heat
428 content within the rain itself^{140,141}. However, soil moisture and thermal diffusivity are strongly
429 controlled by climate, microtopography and lateral flow, moisture retention characteristics of the soil
430 and organic layers and permafrost extent and ground ice content^{10,22,43,47}. Such factors can interact
431 with or even override those of vegetation and cause microscale heterogeneity in wetness, thermal
432 diffusivity and thaw depth^{10,29}.

433 Ground surface layers such as plant litter and moss and lichen understories also exert significant
434 controlling influence on thaw depths^{109,119,142}, as has been illustrated in moss and litter manipulation
435 experiments¹⁰⁹⁻¹¹². Mosses often form the understory of tundra vegetation, particularly in wetter
436 tundra regions, and can form thick mats with low thermal conductivity, thus effectively insulating the
437 permafrost^{110-112,143}. The insulation depends on the thickness of the moss mat and its moisture status,
438 where moss thermal conductivity has a positive linear relationship with moss moisture content¹¹¹,
439 similar to soil organic layers^{4,115,144}. In contrast to mosses, lichens do not contribute much to the
440 attenuation of ground heat fluxes despite having low thermal conductivity, due their low thermal
441 capacity^{45,142}. Spatiotemporal patterns of organic soil layers such as peat, and thus thermal properties
442 of the soil, are strongly controlled by microtopography, permafrost characteristics and
443 hydrology^{4,29,47}.

444

445 **[H2] Balance of winter and summer effects**

446 While in summer shallower thaw depths are found under both low and tall shrub canopies⁵ relative
447 to the understory of mosses and lichens (**Table 2**), mean annual soil temperatures tend to be warmer
448 under increasingly tall shrub canopies^{5,6,115,145}. This annual warming effect can be related to several
449 observations. First, winter warming tends to be stronger than summer cooling in absolute terms^{5,6,115}.
450 For instance, experimental artificial canopies of 70 cm led to 2°C cooling in summer but 5°C warming
451 in winter⁶. Secondly, the winter season is much longer than the summer season at high latitudes. The
452 resulting year-round warming has been proposed to contribute to permafrost degradation in the
453 long run due to gradual increases of permafrost temperatures⁵. However, most assessments of
454 vegetation effects on permafrost focus on topsoil temperatures and little is known about the relative
455 impact of winter warming and summer cooling at soil depths deeper than 20cm. Lastly, effects of
456 vegetation types other than shrubs (such as graminoids, mosses or mixed vegetation) on year-round
457 annual ground temperatures have not been quantified as extensively⁴⁵. Given the importance of
458 canopy height, density and structure to the relative importance of snow processes and canopy heat
459 flux partitioning^{3,7,21,100,119,125,133}, different vegetation types and plant species are likely to have
460 different balances of winter warming and summer cooling.

461 An additional knowledge gap is the variability in balance between summer cooling and winter
462 warming of soils varies across diverse permafrost environments. The vegetation-permafrost feedback
463 mechanisms described in this section all depend critically on local-scale landscape structure. For
464 instance, micro- and meso-topography are important factors affecting permafrost dynamics, as even
465 small elevation gradients affect snow depth, surface temperature, soil aeration, soil moisture, soil
466 fertility, the length of the growing season, and depth of thaw^{21,43,125,146}. This covariation is an integral
467 part of tundra ecosystems^{29,43,47} and could contribute to differences reported in the literature for
468 field-observed impacts on permafrost integrity of various vegetation types^{145,147} (Table 2, Fig. 4).
469 Attributing observed changes in soil temperatures or permafrost to particular mechanisms remains
470 challenging, as it requires controlling for a large number of potential influences and interactions¹⁰.
471 Replication of experimental studies across microtopographical gradients and Arctic regions over
472 multiple growing seasons and continued cross-site synthesis should shed light on the emerging
473 behaviour of permafrost under vegetation changes across different permafrost (micro)environments.

474

475 **[H1] Vegetation dynamics and abrupt thaw**

476 Permafrost thaw depends not only on the thermal properties of vegetation and soil organic matter
477 but also on the ground ice content of the near-surface permafrost, which determines whether thaw

478 will be gradual or abrupt^{16,148}. While active layer deepening improves nutrient availability and
479 drainage, thereby generally improving plant growing conditions and accelerating vegetation
480 succession¹⁸⁻²⁰ (**Fig. 1**), abrupt thaw can temporarily remove or kill vegetation, delaying or altering
481 the direction of vegetation succession^{10,21,22}.

482 Abrupt thaw can only take place when there is excess ice near the permafrost surface. Permafrost ice
483 contents can be as high as 75-90% by volume in the surface layers of the permafrost^{16,149}. Ice melting
484 can lead to soil subsidence, altering tundra land-forms and topography at multiple spatial scales, a
485 process also referred to as thermokarst^{16,148}. On slopes, thermokarst triggers hillslope processes such
486 as thaw slumps, thermal erosion gullies and active layer detachments^{16,76,148,150}. In poorly drained
487 lowland terrain, the resulting changes in surface hydrology can initiate a positive feedback loop,
488 where greater heat diffusivity in wet soils leads to further thawing and melting of ice and vegetation
489 and soil collapse^{4,16,21,47,139,151}. Within the Arctic biome, ice-rich permafrost is mostly located in poorly
490 drained lowland landscapes along the Arctic coasts (**Supplementary Figs. 2 & 3, Supplementary**
491 **Table 4**). Thus, ice-rich permafrost regions can be expected to be most sensitive to permafrost thaw
492 dynamics, which is confirmed by the strong association of the abrupt thaw-driven vegetation change
493 trajectory and ice-rich permafrost occurrence such as in coastal lowlands (**Figs. 3b, c**). As about 20%
494 of Arctic land permafrost is vulnerable to abrupt thaw¹⁵², further climate warming can severely
495 impact the tundra landscape including vegetation.

496 **[H2] Vegetation disturbance and abrupt thaw**

497 Abrupt thaw can be triggered by changes at the tundra surface that abruptly alter the amount and
498 rate of heat transported from atmosphere to soil or remove insulating soil and vegetation layers.
499 Warm summers, particularly when combined with elevated summer precipitation can initiate thaw
500 processes by increasing the amount of available thermal energy^{140,141,150} and the rate^{139,140,153} at which
501 this energy is transported through the soil (**Fig. 4**). Abrupt thaw can also be forced by extreme winter
502 precipitation^{22,44} when a thick, low density snowpack insulates the soil against cold air
503 temperatures^{123,154}. The effect of high snowfall on thaw depths can surpass that of air temperatures
504 and can last for multiple years, as is currently evident in Eastern Siberia¹⁵⁵. Moreover, in the spring
505 following a winter with exceptionally high snowfall, waterlogging can cause large-scale destruction of
506 the vegetation cover¹⁵⁶. Waterlogging and vegetation mortality can in turn promote further
507 permafrost thaw^{16,21}. Finally, wildfires, such as the large fire near Alaska's Anaktuvuk River, can
508 initiate or accelerate abrupt thaw as the fire removes the protective vegetation and soil organic
509 layer, allowing heat penetration to greater depths^{90,157}. These natural processes illustrate the
510 vulnerability of ice-rich permafrost terrain to climate anomalies and vegetation disturbance.

511 The detrimental effect of vegetation removal or disturbance on permafrost integrity is supported by
512 various manipulation studies (**Table 2**). In general, the removal of a vegetation component (shrub
513 canopy, but also moss and organic layers) increases thaw depths, soil temperature and soil
514 temperature amplitude in summer^{2,6,21,111,112}. Addition of moss or litter layers and introduction of
515 artificial canopies tends to have an opposite effect^{109,110}. Disturbance of vegetation can trigger
516 positive feedback loops leading to larger scale degradation of permafrost and vegetation, as
517 illustrated by experimental removal of shrub canopies in the Siberian lowland tundra²¹. The latter led
518 to increased thaw depths, which in turn resulted in soil subsidence due to melting of thin ice lenses.
519 Depressions that evolved from ice melting effectively trapped snow and water which contributed to
520 further thawing, water ponding and progressive shrub mortality²¹. As the frequency and scale of
521 abrupt thaw has been increasing over the past decades^{68,134,153,158-161}, it is unclear to what extent
522 vegetation succession after abrupt thaw can facilitate new ice formation and partly offset the impact
523 of abrupt thaw at a landscape scale.

524

525 **[H2] Recovery of vegetation and permafrost**

526 Generally, abrupt thaw is followed by recovery related to vegetation succession. Succession
527 mechanisms strongly depend on new hydrological conditions after abrupt thaw. If abrupt thaw leads
528 to ponding (such as thermokarst ponds, pits and troughs), aquatic plant species can establish, often
529 followed by colonization by peat moss (*Sphagnum*)^{46,47,162}. Progressive accumulation of organic
530 matter and peat over decades to centuries can elevate the surface above the water table⁴⁷, providing
531 a substrate for colonization by terrestrial plants, including shrubs⁴⁶. The formation of an organic layer
532 above the water table also reduces snow accumulation in winter and increases thermal insulation in
533 summer as the top layer dries out^{47,111}. The latter enables renewed formation of an ice-rich
534 permafrost layer (syngenetic ground ice formation¹⁶³) and subsequent ground heave, further
535 elevating the surface above the ponding water^{46,47,131}. If abrupt thaw does not lead to ponding, for
536 instance, thaw slumps on hillslopes, shrubs expand rapidly on disturbed bare ground^{97,99,164}, resulting
537 in a strong greening trend⁷⁶. Similar successions can be observed in larger ponds and lakes, which can
538 both slowly fill in with wetland vegetation or drain abruptly after thawing of permafrost increases
539 hydrological connectivity^{16,165-167}. Drainage of thermokarst lakes leads to renewed ground ice
540 aggradation¹⁶⁷ and enables vegetation re-establishment, which manifests as pronounced spectral
541 greening¹⁶⁶. The net effect on a landscape scale and consequences for climate feedback likely depend
542 on the balance between frequency and magnitude of disturbances and recovery rates of vegetation
543 and permafrost.

544

545 **[H2] Degradation and recovery rates**

546 Timescales for complete vegetation and permafrost recovery are poorly quantified under the current
547 climate, let alone in a rapidly warming Arctic. These timescales also depend on the magnitude of the
548 disturbance¹⁵¹. Thermokarst features generally form within weeks to decades^{10,16}. In small, shallow
549 thaw ponds with drowned low shrubs, sedges can colonise the new open water within 8 years
550 followed by *Sphagnum* moss establishment. The latter results in a reversal of the increased thaw
551 depths and some initial recovery of permafrost on very short timescales⁴⁶. Complete recovery of
552 permafrost and re-establishment of woody vegetation however might take at least multiple
553 decades^{46,47,76,150,151,164} for small-scale abrupt thaw (such as small tundra ponds, shallow ice wedge
554 degradation or smaller thaw slumps) to centuries or millennia after large-scale degradation (such as
555 thaw lakes, advanced ice wedge degradation and large thaw slumps)^{150,151,167,168}.

556 Climatic conditions, ground ice content, sediment characteristics and landscape physiography further
557 influence mechanisms and timescales associated with recovery rates of permafrost^{4,47,151,167}. The
558 extent, ice content and structure of newly aggraded permafrost are often different from those prior
559 to disturbance^{11,47,151,167}, and some permafrost degradation is irreversible^{4,169}. In relatively warm
560 subarctic permafrost peatlands, permafrost recovery might not occur in the current climate and
561 species composition can shift permanently under the resulting hydrological changes¹⁶⁹. Stabilisation
562 can also be halted if thermokarst is accompanied by continued large-scale erosion in fluvially incised
563 and coastal environments¹⁵⁹.

564 Such irreversible processes illustrate the potential limit to the resilience of Arctic ecosystems. If the
565 scale or frequency of disturbance outpaces those of vegetation and permafrost recovery, the
566 consequences can cascade beyond the scale of the initial disturbance. Once disturbance prevails over
567 recovery, it can lead to (quasi-)permanent changes in distribution and connectivity of ecosystems
568 across the Arctic landscape^{27,170}. The non-linear response is most evident when changes in
569 topography or soil hydraulic conductivity alter water drainage patterns, as changes in water flow
570 paths can lead to formation of new thaw lakes, disappearance of existing thaw lakes or changes to
571 river discharge regimes^{44,171}. Improved understanding of when and where these tipping points could
572 be reached is one of the big ongoing challenges for Arctic research^{27,170}.

573

574 **[H1] Summary and future perspectives**

575 Large-scale satellite observations indicate widespread greening in the Arctic tundra region,
576 supporting field-observed vegetation changes and other circumarctic evidence of change ,including
577 increased shrub cover, change in plant communities and an increase in tundra plant height^{38,48,172}.
578 Browning events, such as abrupt thaw and tundra wildfires, result in loss of vegetation, but are
579 currently too short-lived and too small-scaled to substantially impact the multi-decadal greening
580 trend. Spectral greening is generally related to gradually improving environmental conditions for
581 plant growth⁵¹, but can also be related to vegetation recovery after browning events^{50,76}, making
582 spectral trends sensitive to the time-interval over which they are assessed⁵⁰. Field studies confirm
583 that increased cover of woody vegetation remains the prevailing trend in Arctic tundra ecosystems.
584 Ice content of the permafrost appears to be an important local control on tundra vegetation shifts,
585 which can be used to further improve Arctic vegetation models by taking ice content information into
586 account. Tree encroachment predominantly takes place in upland tundra regions low in permafrost
587 ice content, whereas in permafrost regions with higher ice content, vegetation succession following
588 abrupt thaw is the dominant reported change. However, there is still limited information on the
589 timescales of vegetation and permafrost recovery after abrupt thaw.

590 Many field studies are concentrated in northern Alaska and north-western Canada, while highly
591 vulnerable regions in Arctic Russia, such as the ice-rich coastal Siberian lowlands, remain largely
592 unexplored or otherwise underrepresented in English literature^{92,152}. In the Russian Arctic in
593 particular, ice-rich soils often coincide with carbon-rich **Yedoma deposits [G]**¹⁷³, making the most
594 unstable regions the most sensitive regarding potential greenhouse gas release. Similarly, the high
595 Arctic remains underrepresented^{38,48}, and establishment of monitoring programs in the Canadian
596 Archipelago—which has shown strong browning⁴⁹ and rapid permafrost degradation⁶⁸—and northern
597 Greenland is highly encouraged⁹². While abrupt thaw can impact local infrastructure¹⁷⁴, the reverse,
598 human activities resulting in vegetation damage, can lead to abrupt thaw^{160,175}.

599 Empirical data from field and remote sensing at multiple scales are essential for improving the
600 vegetation and permafrost simulation models that are currently used to predict future greenhouse
601 gas emissions from a warming Arctic. Modelers should take tundra ecosystem changes including
602 abrupt thaw but also gradual active layer increases into account using real-world data to help
603 parameterize or constrain ecosystem models^{10,69,176,177}. Empirical data also provide support for
604 ecological conservation and environmental management to reduce the ecological vulnerability of the
605 Arctic tundra ecosystem and sustain the livelihoods of Arctic peoples^{1,14}. We describe three main
606 challenges for Arctic tundra ecosystem research to help achieve these goals.

607 Understanding how tundra ecosystems will respond to the expected changes in surface wetness
608 requires improved spatial resolution of remote sensing moisture datasets, such as from microwave
609 remote sensing¹⁰⁵, that can capture relevant landscape heterogeneity. Hydrological aspects are
610 relatively poorly covered in field research, despite large anticipated changes in tundra hydrology.
611 Both the amount of precipitation and the ratio of precipitation that falls as rain rather than snow are
612 anticipated to increase in the Arctic¹⁷⁸ and can be expected to increase permafrost thaw¹⁷⁹. The
613 effects of precipitation on the thermal regime are further regulated by (micro)topography.
614 Accumulation of precipitation in downslope landscape positions can promote localized permafrost
615 thaw and methane emissions^{141,179} and is known to contribute to the browning signal in certain
616 regions of the Arctic⁷⁸. In contrast, in uplands and in lowlands where water flow is impeded by
617 subsurface ice structures, permafrost thaw can promote increased subsurface drainage^{16,44,165},
618 resulting in drier soils⁴⁴. Whereas time series of surface soil temperatures have been measured in
619 many locations (**Table 2**) using miniature temperature loggers, soil moisture is not as well-monitored.
620 Improved soil moisture datasets with high spatial and temporal resolution would be a crucial step
621 forwards in our understanding of Arctic ecosystems in a changing climate.

622 To properly assess the long-term net effect of vegetation on permafrost thaw, there needs to be an
623 improved understanding of interactions of vegetation with soil thermal-hydrological properties,
624 (micro)topography and deeper soil and permafrost temperatures rather than topsoil temperatures
625 alone. Ecologically and climatologically informed manipulation experiments of vegetation cover
626 should explicitly monitor geophysical changes across multiannual timescales, deeper soil and
627 permafrost depths and diverse permafrost environments and microtopography. Since experimental
628 manipulation of a single driver might not always be representative of real-world changes,
629 comparison with long term monitoring studies and experimental studies that manipulate multiple
630 drivers is recommended⁴⁸. The latter will help to disentangle the high degree of interrelatedness
631 between vegetation, water, permafrost and topography that characterizes Arctic environments.
632 While geophysical studies tend to pay little attention to vegetation, ecological studies do not always
633 account for soil thermal and hydrological aspects, and the two should be more integrated.

634 A final challenge is in upscaling the many - often highly localized - interactions to larger spatial and
635 temporal scales. While increasing spatial and temporal resolution of panarctic satellite- or model-
636 based datasets has led to substantial progress on this front, controlling for a very large number of
637 potential influences and interactions in models is notoriously challenging¹⁰. Instead, replication of
638 experimental studies across microtopographical gradients and Arctic regions over multiple growing
639 seasons and continued cross-site synthesis could shed light on the emerging behaviour of permafrost
640 under vegetation changes across different permafrost environments.

641 **References**

- 642 1 Meredith, M. *et al.* (ed D.C. Roberts [H.-O. Pörtner, V. Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, M. Tignor,
643 E. Poloczanska, K. Mintenbeck, A. Alegría, M. Nicolai, A. Okem, J. Petzold, B. Rama, N.M.
644 Weyer (eds.)].) (2019).
- 645 2 Blok, D. *et al.* Shrub expansion may reduce summer permafrost thaw in Siberian tundra.
646 *Global Change Biology* **16**, 1296-1305, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.02110.x (2010).
647 **A field study in which dwarf shrub canopies were removed experimentally, resulting in**
648 **increased thaw depths, thereby underscoring the protective role of vegetation cover on**
649 **permafrost.**
- 650 3 van Huissteden, J. *Thawing Permafrost: Permafrost Carbon in a Warming Arctic.* (Springer
651 Nature, 2020).
- 652 4 Jorgenson, M. *et al.* Resilience and vulnerability of permafrost to climate change. *Canadian*
653 *Journal of Forest Research* **40**, 1219-1236 (2010).
- 654 5 Kropp, H. *et al.* Shallow soils are warmer under trees and tall shrubs across Arctic and Boreal
655 ecosystems. *Environmental Research Letters* **16**, 015001 (2020).
- 656 6 Myers-Smith, I. H. & Hik, D. S. Shrub canopies influence soil temperatures but not nutrient
657 dynamics: an experimental test of tundra snow–shrub interactions. *Ecology and Evolution* **3**,
658 3683-3700 (2013).
- 659 7 Sturm, M. *et al.* Snow-shrub interactions in Arctic tundra: A hypothesis with climatic
660 implications. *Journal of Climate* **14**, 336-344, doi:10.1175/1520-
661 0442(2001)014<0336:ssiiat>2.0.co;2 (2001).
- 662 8 Sturm, M. *et al.* Winter biological processes could help convert arctic tundra to shrubland.
663 *Bioscience* **55**, 17-26, doi:10.1641/0006-3568(2005)055[0017:wbpchc]2.0.co;2 (2005).
- 664 9 Chapin, F. S. *et al.* Role of land-surface changes in Arctic summer warming. *Science* **310**, 657-
665 660, doi:10.1126/science.1117368 (2005).
- 666 10 Loranty, M. M. *et al.* Reviews and syntheses: Changing ecosystem influences on soil thermal
667 regimes in northern high-latitude permafrost regions. *Biogeosciences* **15**, 5287-5313 (2018).
668 **Review article showing how Arctic ecosystem processes can influence soil thermal**
669 **dynamics in permafrost soil.**
- 670 11 Shur, Y. L. & Jorgenson, M. T. Patterns of permafrost formation and degradation in relation
671 to climate and ecosystems. *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes* **18**, 7-19,
672 doi:10.1002/ppp.582 (2007).
- 673 12 Chadburn, S. E. *et al.* An observation-based constraint on permafrost loss as a function of
674 global warming. *Nature Climate Change* **7**, 340-344, doi:10.1038/nclimate3262 (2017).
- 675 13 Smith, S. L., O'Neill, H. B., Isaksen, K., Noetzli, J. & Romanovsky, V. E. The Changing Thermal
676 State of Permafrost. *Nat. Rev. Earth. Environ.* **3** (2022).
- 677 14 Ksenofontov, S., Backhaus, N. & Schaepman-Strub, G. 'There are new species': indigenous
678 knowledge of biodiversity change in Arctic Yakutia. *Polar Geography* **42**, 34-57,
679 doi:10.1080/1088937x.2018.1547326 (2019).
- 680 15 Schuur, E. A. *et al.* Vulnerability of permafrost carbon to climate change: Implications for the
681 global carbon cycle. *BioScience* **58**, 701-714 (2008).
- 682 16 Kokelj, S. V. & Jorgenson, M. Advances in thermokarst research. *Permafrost and Periglacial*
683 *Processes* **24**, 108-119 (2013).
- 684 17 Keuper, F. *et al.* A frozen feast: Thawing permafrost increases plant-available nitrogen in
685 subarctic peatlands. *Global Change Biology* **18**, 1998-2007 (2012).
- 686 18 Salmon, V. G. *et al.* Nitrogen availability increases in a tundra ecosystem during five years of
687 experimental permafrost thaw. *Global Change Biology* **22**, 1927-1941 (2016).

- 688 19 Blume-Werry, G., Milbau, A., Teuber, L. M., Johansson, M. & Dorrepaal, E. Dwelling in the
689 deep—strongly increased root growth and rooting depth enhance plant interactions with
690 thawing permafrost soil. *New Phytologist* **223**, 1328-1339 (2019).
- 691 20 Wang, P. *et al.* Above-and below-ground responses of four tundra plant functional types to
692 deep soil heating and surface soil fertilization. *Journal of Ecology* **105**, 947-957 (2017).
- 693 21 Nauta, A. L. *et al.* Permafrost collapse after shrub removal shifts tundra ecosystem to a
694 methane source. *Nature Climate Change* **5**, 67-70, doi:10.1038/nclimate2446 (2015).
- 695 22 Osterkamp, T. *et al.* Physical and ecological changes associated with warming permafrost and
696 thermokarst in interior Alaska. *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes* **20**, 235-256 (2009).
- 697 23 Schuur, E. A. *et al.* Climate change and the permafrost carbon feedback. *Nature* **520**, 171
698 (2015).
- 699 24 Koven, C. D. *et al.* Permafrost carbon-climate feedbacks accelerate global warming.
700 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **108**, 14769-
701 14774, doi:10.1073/pnas.1103910108 (2011).
- 702 25 Abbott, B. W. & Jones, J. B. Permafrost collapse alters soil carbon stocks, respiration, CH₄,
703 and N₂O in upland tundra. *Global Change Biology* **21**, 4570-4587 (2015).
- 704 26 Voigt, C. *et al.* Warming of subarctic tundra increases emissions of all three important
705 greenhouse gases - carbon dioxide, methane, and nitrous oxide. *Global Change Biology* **23**,
706 3121-3138, doi:10.1111/gcb.13563 (2017).
- 707 27 Lenton, T. M. *et al.* Climate tipping points - too risky to bet against. *Nature* **575**, 592-595,
708 doi:10.1038/d41586-019-03595-0 (2019).
- 709 28 Miner, K. R. Permafrost carbon emissions in a Changing Arctic. *Nat. Rev. Earth. Environ.*
710 (2022).
- 711 29 Peterson, K. & Billings, W. Tundra vegetational patterns and succession in relation to
712 microtopography near Atkasook, Alaska. *Arctic and Alpine Research* **12**, 473-482 (1980).
- 713 30 Bliss, L. in *North American Terrestrial Vegetation* (ed MG
714 Billings Barbour, WD) (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1988).
- 715 31 Walker, D. A. *et al.* The circumpolar Arctic vegetation map. *Journal of Vegetation Science* **16**,
716 267-282 (2005).
- 717 32 Frost, G. V., Epstein, H. E. & Walker, D. A. Regional and landscape-scale variability of Landsat-
718 observed vegetation dynamics in northwest Siberian tundra. *Environmental Research Letters*
719 **9**, 025004 (2014).
- 720 33 Walker, D. A. *et al.* Environment, vegetation and greenness (NDVI) along the North America
721 and Eurasia Arctic transects. *Environmental Research Letters* **7**, doi:10.1088/1748-
722 9326/7/1/015504 (2012).
- 723 34 Reynolds, M. K. *et al.* A raster version of the Circumpolar Arctic Vegetation Map (CAVM).
724 *Remote Sensing of Environment* **232**, 111297 (2019).
- 725 35 Chernov, Y. I. & Matveyeva, N. in *Polar and alpine tundra* 361-507 (1997).
- 726 36 Elvebakk, A. Bioclimatic delimitation and subdivision of the Arctic. *The Species Concept in the*
727 *High North—A Panarctic Flora Initiative*, 81-112 (1999).
- 728 37 Yurtsev, B. A. Floristic division of the Arctic. *Journal of Vegetation Science* **5**, 765-776 (1994).
- 729 38 Elmendorf, S. C. *et al.* Plot-scale evidence of tundra vegetation change and links to recent
730 summer warming. *Nature Climate Change* **2**, 453 (2012).
- 731 **A meta-analysis of field-observed vegetation changes from 46 polar sites indicating**
732 **widespread increases of shrub vegetation and increased plant size.**
- 733 39 Iversen, C. M. *et al.* The unseen iceberg: plant roots in arctic tundra. *New Phytologist* **205**, 34-
734 58 (2015).
- 735 40 Hobbie, J. E. & Hobbie, E. A. 15N in symbiotic fungi and plants estimates nitrogen and carbon
736 flux rates in Arctic tundra. *Ecology* **87**, 816-822 (2006).

- 737 41 Nielsen, U. N. & Wall, D. H. The future of soil invertebrate communities in polar regions:
738 different climate change responses in the Arctic and Antarctic? *Ecology letters* **16**, 409-419
739 (2013).
- 740 42 Clemmensen, K. E. *et al.* A tipping point in carbon storage when forest expands into tundra is
741 related to mycorrhizal recycling of nitrogen. *Ecology Letters* **n/a**,
742 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13735> (2021).
- 743 43 Minke, M., Donner, N., Karpov, N., de Klerk, P. & Joosten, H. Patterns in vegetation
744 composition, surface height and thaw depth in polygon mires in the Yakutian Arctic (NE
745 Siberia): a microtopographical characterisation of the active layer. *Permafrost and Periglacial*
746 *Processes* **20**, 357-368 (2009).
- 747 44 Liljedahl, A. K. *et al.* Pan-Arctic ice-wedge degradation in warming permafrost and its
748 influence on tundra hydrology. *Nature Geoscience* **9**, 312 (2016).
- 749 45 Grunberg, I., Wilcox, E. J., Zwieback, S., Marsh, P. & Boike, J. Linking tundra vegetation, snow,
750 soil temperature, and permafrost. *Biogeosciences* **17**, 4261-4279, doi:10.5194/bg-17-4261-
751 2020 (2020).
- 752 **A field study reporting large variations in soil temperatures and thaw depth can be**
753 **explained by vegetation-mediated differences in snow height.**
- 754 46 Magnusson, R. I. *et al.* Rapid Vegetation Succession and Coupled Permafrost Dynamics in
755 Arctic Thaw Ponds in the Siberian Lowland Tundra. *Journal of Geophysical Research-*
756 *Biogeosciences* **125**, doi:10.1029/2019jg005618 (2020).
- 757 47 Jorgenson, M. *et al.* Role of ground ice dynamics and ecological feedbacks in recent ice
758 wedge degradation and stabilization. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface* **120**,
759 2280-2297 (2015).
- 760 **Outlines the role of ground ice and vegetation succession in thermokarst terrain, including**
761 **first estimates of recovery times.**
- 762 48 Bjorkman, A. D. *et al.* Status and trends in Arctic vegetation: Evidence from experimental
763 warming and long-term monitoring. *Ambio* **49**, 678-692 (2020).
- 764 **A meta-analysis of plant species responses to experimental climate warming across Arctic**
765 **sites, findings shrubs and graminoids generally responded positively to warming, whereas**
766 **lichens and bryophytes responded more negatively.**
- 767 49 Frost, G. V. *et al.* Arctic Report Card 2020: Tundra Greenness.
768 doi:<https://doi.org/10.25923/46rm-0w23> (2020).
- 769 **Provides an annual update of Arctic NDVI, offering a longstanding record of Arctic greening**
770 **and browning.**
- 771 50 Myers-Smith, I. H. *et al.* Complexity revealed in the greening of the Arctic. *Nature Climate*
772 *Change* **10**, 106-117, doi:10.1038/s41558-019-0688-1 (2020).
- 773 **Review article outlining complexity in Arctic greening and browning dynamics. The**
774 **temporal and spatial scale of spectral data and the role of non-vegetation related**
775 **processes and ground truthing remains essential.**
- 776 51 Berner, L. T. *et al.* Summer warming explains widespread but not uniform greening in the
777 Arctic tundra biome. *Nature Communications* **11**, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-18479-5 (2020).
- 778 52 Sistla, S. A. *et al.* Long-term warming restructures Arctic tundra without changing net soil
779 carbon storage. *Nature* **497**, 615-+, doi:10.1038/nature12129 (2013).

- 780 53 Bhatt, U. S. *et al.* Circumpolar Arctic Tundra Vegetation Change Is Linked to Sea Ice Decline.
781 *Earth Interactions* **14**, doi:10.1175/2010ei315.1 (2010).
- 782 54 Oechel, W. C. & Billings, W. Effects of global change on the carbon balance of arctic plants
783 and ecosystems. *Arctic ecosystems in a changing climate: an ecophysiological perspective*,
784 139-168 (1992).
- 785 55 Shaver, G. R. *et al.* Species composition interacts with fertilizer to control long-term change
786 in tundra productivity. *Ecology* **82**, 3163-3181, doi:10.1890/0012-
787 9658(2001)082[3163:sciwft]2.0.co;2 (2001).
- 788 56 Bret-Harte, M. S., Shaver, G. R. & Chapin III, F. S. Primary and secondary stem growth in arctic
789 shrubs: implications for community response to environmental change. *Journal of Ecology*
790 **90**, 251-267, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2745.2001.00657.x> (2002).
- 791 57 Mack, M. C., Schuur, E. A. G., Bret-Harte, M. S., Shaver, G. R. & Chapin, F. S. Ecosystem
792 carbon storage in arctic tundra reduced by long-term nutrient fertilization. *Nature* **431**, 440-
793 443, doi:10.1038/nature02887 (2004).
- 794 58 Myers-Smith, I. H. *et al.* Climate sensitivity of shrub growth across the tundra biome. *Nature*
795 *Climate Change* **5**, 887 (2015).
- 796 59 McGuire, A. D. *et al.* Sensitivity of the carbon cycle in the Arctic to climate change. *Ecological*
797 *Monographs* **79**, 523-555 (2009).
- 798 60 van der Kolk, H.-J., Heijmans, M. M., van Huissteden, J., Pullens, J. W. & Berendse, F.
799 Potential Arctic tundra vegetation shifts in response to changing temperature, precipitation
800 and permafrost thaw. *Biogeosciences* **13**, 6229-6245 (2016).
- 801 61 Myers-Smith, I. H. *et al.* Eighteen years of ecological monitoring reveals multiple lines of
802 evidence for tundra vegetation change. *Ecological Monographs* **89**, doi:10.1002/ecm.1351
803 (2019).
- 804 62 Leffler, A. J., Klein, E. S., Oberbauer, S. F. & Welker, J. M. Coupled long-term summer
805 warming and deeper snow alters species composition and stimulates gross primary
806 productivity in tussock tundra. *Oecologia* **181**, 287-297 (2016).
- 807 63 Euskirchen, E. *et al.* Importance of recent shifts in soil thermal dynamics on growing season
808 length, productivity, and carbon sequestration in terrestrial high-latitude ecosystems. *Global*
809 *Change Biology* **12**, 731-750 (2006).
- 810 64 McGuire, A. D. *et al.* Dependence of the evolution of carbon dynamics in the northern
811 permafrost region on the trajectory of climate change. *Proceedings of the National Academy*
812 *of Sciences* **115**, 3882-3887 (2018).
- 813 65 National Academies of Sciences, E. & Medicine. *Understanding Northern Latitude Vegetation*
814 *Greening and Browning: Proceedings of a Workshop*. (The National Academies Press, 2019).
- 815 66 Phoenix, G. K. & Bjerke, J. W. Arctic browning: extreme events and trends reversing arctic
816 greening. *Global change biology* **22**, 2960-2962 (2016).
- 817 67 Bret-Harte, M. S. *et al.* The response of Arctic vegetation and soils following an unusually
818 severe tundra fire. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **368**,
819 20120490, doi:doi:10.1098/rstb.2012.0490 (2013).
- 820 68 Farquharson, L. M. *et al.* Climate change drives widespread and rapid thermokarst
821 development in very cold permafrost in the Canadian High Arctic. *Geophysical Research*
822 *Letters* **46**, 6681-6689 (2019).
- 823 69 Turetsky *et al.* Permafrost collapse is accelerating carbon release. *Nature* **569**, 32-34 (2019).
- 824 **Reveals that abrupt thaw of permafrost could double the estimated future release of**
825 **greenhouse gases from permafrost soils compared to scenarios of gradual thaw.**
- 826 70 Bokhorst, S. *et al.* Impacts of extreme winter warming in the sub-Arctic: growing season
827 responses of dwarf shrub heathland. *Global Change Biology* **14**, 2603-2612 (2008).

- 828 71 Bokhorst, S. F., Bjerke, J. W., Tømmervik, H., Callaghan, T. V. & Phoenix, G. K. Winter warming
829 events damage sub-Arctic vegetation: consistent evidence from an experimental
830 manipulation and a natural event. *Journal of Ecology* **97**, 1408-1415 (2009).
- 831 72 Bjerke, J. W. *et al.* Record-low primary productivity and high plant damage in the Nordic
832 Arctic Region in 2012 caused by multiple weather events and pest outbreaks. *Environmental*
833 *Research Letters* **9**, 084006, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/9/8/084006 (2014).
- 834 73 Treharne, R., Bjerke, J. W., Tømmervik, H., Stendardi, L. & Phoenix, G. K. Arctic browning:
835 Impacts of extreme climatic events on heathland ecosystem CO₂ fluxes. *Global change*
836 *biology* **25**, 489-503 (2019).
- 837 74 Olofsson, J., Tommervik, H. & Callaghan, T. V. Vole and lemming activity observed from
838 space. *Nature Climate Change* **2**, 880-883, doi:10.1038/nclimate1537 (2012).
- 839 75 Lara, M. J., Nitze, I., Grosse, G., Martin, P. & McGuire, A. D. Reduced arctic tundra
840 productivity linked with landform and climate change interactions. *Scientific reports* **8**, 2345
841 (2018).
- 842 76 Verdonen, M., Berner, L. T., Forbes, B. C. & Kumpula, T. Periglacial vegetation dynamics in
843 Arctic Russia: decadal analysis of tundra regeneration on landslides with time series satellite
844 imagery. *Environmental Research Letters* **15**, 105020, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/abb500 (2020).
- 845 77 Assmann, J. J., Myers-Smith, I. H., Kerby, J. T., Cunliffe, A. M. & Daskalova, G. N. Drone data
846 reveal heterogeneity in tundra greenness and phenology not captured by satellites.
847 *Environmental Research Letters* **15**, 125002 (2020).
- 848 78 Reynolds, M. K. & Walker, D. A. Increased wetness confounds Landsat-derived NDVI trends in
849 the central Alaska North Slope region, 1985–2011. *Environmental Research Letters* **11**,
850 085004 (2016).
- 851 79 Magnússon, R. Í. *et al.* Shrub decline and expansion of wetland vegetation revealed by very
852 high resolution land cover change detection in the Siberian lowland tundra. *Science of The*
853 *Total Environment*, 146877, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146877> (2021).
- 854 80 Nitze, I. & Grosse, G. Detection of landscape dynamics in the Arctic Lena Delta with
855 temporally dense Landsat time-series stacks. *Remote Sensing of Environment* **181**, 27-41
856 (2016).
- 857 81 Chen, Y., Hu, F. S. & Lara, M. J. Divergent shrub-cover responses driven by climate, wildfire,
858 and permafrost interactions in Arctic tundra ecosystems. *Global Change Biology* **27**, 652-663,
859 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15451> (2021).
- 860 82 Siewert, M. B. & Olofsson, J. Scale-dependency of Arctic ecosystem properties revealed by
861 UAV. *Environmental Research Letters* **15**, 094030 (2020).
- 862 83 Beamish, A. *et al.* Recent trends and remaining challenges for optical remote sensing of
863 Arctic tundra vegetation: A review and outlook. *Remote Sensing of Environment* **246**, 111872,
864 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2020.111872> (2020).
- 865 84 Huete, A. *et al.* Overview of the radiometric and biophysical performance of the MODIS
866 vegetation indices. *Remote Sensing of Environment* **83**, 195-213,
867 doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257\(02\)00096-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(02)00096-2) (2002).
- 868 85 Blok, D. *et al.* The response of Arctic vegetation to the summer climate: relation between
869 shrub cover, NDVI, surface albedo and temperature. *Environmental Research Letters* **6**,
870 doi:10.1088/1748-9326/6/3/035502 (2011).
- 871 86 Boelman, N. T., Gough, L., McLaren, J. R. & Greaves, H. Does NDVI reflect variation in the
872 structural attributes associated with increasing shrub dominance in arctic tundra?
873 *Environmental Research Letters* **6**, 035501, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/6/3/035501 (2011).
- 874 87 Sturm, M., Racine, C. & Tape, K. Climate change - Increasing shrub abundance in the Arctic.
875 *Nature* **411**, 546-547, doi:10.1038/35079180 (2001).
- 876 88 Tape, K., Sturm, M. & Racine, C. The evidence for shrub expansion in Northern Alaska and the
877 Pan-Arctic. *Global Change Biology* **12**, 686-702 (2006).

878 89 Jorgenson, J. C., Raynolds, M. K., Reynolds, J. H. & Benson, A. M. Twenty-five year record of
879 changes in plant cover on tundra of northeastern Alaska. *Arctic Antarctic and Alpine Research*
880 **47**, 785-806, doi:10.1657/aaar0014-097 (2015).

881 90 Jorgenson, J. C., Jorgenson, M. T., Boldenow, M. L. & Orndahl, K. M. Landscape Change
882 Detected over a Half Century in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge Using High-Resolution
883 Aerial Imagery. *Remote Sensing* **10**, doi:10.3390/rs10081305 (2018).

884 91 Hobbie, J. E. *et al.* Ecosystem responses to climate change at a Low Arctic and a High Arctic
885 long-term research site. *Ambio* **46**, 160-173 (2017).

886 92 Virkkala, A.-M., Abdi, A. M., Luoto, M. & Metcalfe, D. B. Identifying multidisciplinary research
887 gaps across Arctic terrestrial gradients. *Environmental Research Letters* **14**, 124061 (2019).

888 93 Ropars, P. & Boudreau, S. Shrub expansion at the forest-tundra ecotone: spatial
889 heterogeneity linked to local topography. *Environmental Research Letters* **7**,
890 doi:10.1088/1748-9326/7/1/015501 (2012).

891 94 Ropars, P., Levesque, E. & Boudreau, S. How do climate and topography influence the
892 greening of the forest-tundra ecotone in northern Quebec? A dendrochronological analysis
893 of *Betula glandulosa*. *Journal of Ecology* **103**, 679-690, doi:10.1111/1365-2745.12394 (2015).

894 95 Tremblay, B., Levesque, E. & Boudreau, S. Recent expansion of erect shrubs in the Low Arctic:
895 evidence from Eastern Nunavik. *Environmental Research Letters* **7**, doi:10.1088/1748-
896 9326/7/3/035501 (2012).

897 96 Boulanger-Lapointe, N., Levesque, E., Boudreau, S., Henry, G. H. R. & Schmidt, N. M.
898 Population structure and dynamics of Arctic willow (*Salix arctica*) in the High Arctic. *Journal of*
899 *Biogeography* **41**, 1967-1978, doi:10.1111/jbi.12350 (2014).

900 97 Frost, G. V., Epstein, H. E., Walker, D. A., Matyshak, G. & Ermokhina, K. Patterned-ground
901 facilitates shrub expansion in Low Arctic tundra. *Environmental Research Letters* **8**, 015035
902 (2013).

903 98 Lantz, T. C., Kokelj, S. V., Gergel, S. E. & Henry, G. H. Relative impacts of disturbance and
904 temperature: persistent changes in microenvironment and vegetation in retrogressive thaw
905 slumps. *Global Change Biology* **15**, 1664-1675 (2009).

906 99 Huebner, D. C. & Bret-Harte, M. S. Microsite conditions in retrogressive thaw slumps may
907 facilitate increased seedling recruitment in the Alaskan Low Arctic. *Ecology and Evolution* **9**,
908 1880-1897 (2019).

909 100 Lantz, T. C., Marsh, P. & Kokelj, S. V. Recent shrub proliferation in the Mackenzie Delta
910 uplands and microclimatic implications. *Ecosystems* **16**, 47-59 (2013).

911 101 Hu, F. S. *et al.* Arctic tundra fires: natural variability and responses to climate change.
912 *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* **13**, 369-377, doi:10.1890/150063 (2015).

913 102 Harris, I., Osborn, T. J., Jones, P. & Lister, D. Version 4 of the CRU TS monthly high-resolution
914 gridded multivariate climate dataset. *Scientific Data* **7**, 109, doi:10.1038/s41597-020-0453-3
915 (2020).

916 103 Didan, K. MYD13Q1 MODIS/Aqua vegetation indices 16-day L3 global 250m SIN grid V006.
917 *NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC* **10**, doi:10.5067/MODIS/MYD13Q1.006 (2015).

918 104 Didan, K. MOD13Q1 MODIS/Terra vegetation indices 16-day L3 global 250m SIN grid V006.
919 *NASA EOSDIS Land Processes DAAC* **10**, doi:10.5067/MODIS/MOD13Q1.006 (2015).

920 105 Dorigo, W. *et al.* ESA CCI Soil Moisture for improved Earth system understanding: State-of-
921 the art and future directions. *Remote Sensing of Environment* **203**, 185-215 (2017).

922 106 Brown, J., Ferrians Jr, O., Heginbottom, J. A. & Melnikov, E. *Circum-Arctic map of permafrost*
923 *and ground-ice conditions*. (US Geological Survey Reston, VA, 1997).

924 107 Jones, G. A. & Henry, G. H. Primary plant succession on recently deglaciated terrain in the
925 Canadian High Arctic. *Journal of Biogeography* **30**, 277-296 (2003).

926 108 Cornelissen, J. H. C. *et al.* Global change and arctic ecosystems: is lichen decline a function of
927 increases in vascular plant biomass? *Journal of Ecology* **89**, 984-994 (2001).

- 928 109 Aguirre, D., Benhumea, A. E. & McLaren, J. R. Shrub encroachment affects tundra ecosystem
929 properties through their living canopy rather than increased litter inputs. *Soil Biology and*
930 *Biochemistry* **153**, 108121, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.108121> (2021).
- 931 110 Gornall, J. L., Jonsdottir, I. S., Woodin, S. J. & Van der Wal, R. Arctic mosses govern below-
932 ground environment and ecosystem processes. *Oecologia* **153**, 931-941,
933 doi:10.1007/s00442-007-0785-0 (2007).
- 934 111 Soudzilovskaia, N. A., Bodegom, P. M. & Cornelissen, J. H. Dominant bryophyte control over
935 high-latitude soil temperature fluctuations predicted by heat transfer traits, field moisture
936 regime and laws of thermal insulation. *Functional Ecology* **27**, 1442-1454 (2013).
- 937 112 Blok, D. *et al.* The cooling capacity of mosses: controls on water and energy fluxes in a
938 Siberian tundra site. *Ecosystems* **14**, 1055-1065 (2011).
- 939 113 Belke-Brea, M., Domine, F., Barrere, M., Picard, G. & Arnaud, L. Impact of Shrubs on Winter
940 Surface Albedo and Snow Specific Surface Area at a Low Arctic Site: In Situ Measurements
941 and Simulations. *Journal of Climate* **33**, 597-609, doi:10.1175/jcli-d-19-0318.1 (2020).
- 942 114 Wilcox, E. J. *et al.* Tundra shrub expansion may amplify permafrost thaw by advancing
943 snowmelt timing. *Arctic Science* **5**, 202-217, doi:10.1139/as-2018-0028 (2019).
- 944 115 Frost, G. V., Epstein, H. E., Walker, D. A., Matyshak, G. & Ermokhina, K. Seasonal and long-
945 term changes to active-layer temperatures after tall shrubland expansion and succession in
946 Arctic tundra. *Ecosystems* **21**, 507-520 (2018).
- 947 116 Wilson, M. A., Burn, C. & Humphreys, E. in *Cold Regions Engineering 2019* 687-695
948 (American Society of Civil Engineers Reston, VA, 2019).
- 949 117 Liljedahl, A. K., Timling, I., Frost, G. V. & Daanen, R. P. Arctic riparian shrub expansion
950 indicates a shift from streams gaining water to those that lose flow. *Communications Earth &*
951 *Environment* **1**, 50, doi:10.1038/s43247-020-00050-1 (2020).
- 952 118 Paradis, M., Lévesque, E. & Boudreau, S. Greater effect of increasing shrub height on winter
953 versus summer soil temperature. *Environmental Research Letters* **11**, 085005,
954 doi:10.1088/1748-9326/11/8/085005 (2016).
- 955 119 Beringer, J., Chapin, F. S., Thompson, C. C. & McGuire, A. D. Surface energy exchanges along a
956 tundra-forest transition and feedbacks to climate. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* **131**,
957 143-161, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2005.05.006 (2005).
- 958 120 Kempainen, J. *et al.* Dwarf Shrubs Impact Tundra Soils: Drier, Colder, and Less Organic
959 Carbon. *Ecosystems*, doi:10.1007/s10021-020-00589-2 (2021).
- 960 **Quantifies the effects of shrub abundance on the soil thermal regime using a distinction**
961 **between a rough tall shrub canopy and an aerodynamic dwarf shrub canopy.**
- 962 121 Jorgenson, M. T., Ely, C. & Terenzi, J. in *Shared Science Needs: Report from the Western*
963 *Alaska Lands* (eds J. H. Reynolds & H. V. Wiggins) (2012).
- 964 122 Sturm, M., Douglas, T., Racine, C. & Liston, G. E. Changing snow and shrub conditions affect
965 albedo with global implications. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences* **110** (2005).
- 966 123 Zhang, T. Influence of the seasonal snow cover on the ground thermal regime: An overview.
967 *Reviews of Geophysics* **43** (2005).
- 968 124 Domine, F., Barrere, M. & Morin, S. The growth of shrubs on high Arctic tundra at Bylot
969 Island: impact on snow physical properties and permafrost thermal regime. *Biogeosciences*
970 **13**, 6471-6486, doi:10.5194/bg-13-6471-2016 (2016).
- 971 125 Lawrence, D. M. & Swenson, S. C. Permafrost response to increasing Arctic shrub abundance
972 depends on the relative influence of shrubs on local soil cooling versus large-scale climate
973 warming. *Environmental Research Letters* **6**, 045504 (2011).
- 974 126 Barrere, M., Domine, F., Belke-Brea, M. & Sarrazin, D. Snowmelt events in autumn can
975 reduce or cancel the soil warming effect of snow-vegetation interactions in the Arctic.
976 *Journal of Climate* **31**, 9507-9518 (2018).

- 977 127 Loranty, M. M., Goetz, S. J. & Beck, P. S. Tundra vegetation effects on pan-Arctic albedo.
978 *Environmental Research Letters* **6**, 024014 (2011).
- 979 128 Bonfils, C. *et al.* On the influence of shrub height and expansion on northern high latitude
980 climate. *Environmental Research Letters* **7**, 015503 (2012).
- 981 129 Williamson, S. N., Barrio, I. C., Hik, D. S. & Gamon, J. A. Phenology and species determine
982 growing-season albedo increase at the altitudinal limit of shrub growth in the sub-Arctic.
983 *Global Change Biology* **22**, 3621-3631, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13297> (2016).
- 984 130 Juszak, I., Eugster, W., Heijmans, M. & Schaepman-Strub, G. Contrasting radiation and soil
985 heat fluxes in Arctic shrub and wet sedge tundra. *Biogeosciences* **13**, 4049-4064,
986 doi:10.5194/bg-13-4049-2016 (2016).
- 987 131 Göckede, M. *et al.* Negative feedback processes following drainage slow down permafrost
988 degradation. *Global change biology* **25**, 3254-3266 (2019).
- 989 132 Bonan, G. *Ecological climatology: concepts and applications*. . (Cambridge University Press,
990 2015).
- 991 133 Eugster, W. *et al.* Land-atmosphere energy exchange in Arctic tundra and boreal forest:
992 available data and feedbacks to climate. *Global change biology* **6**, 84-115 (2000).
- 993 134 Liljedahl, A. *et al.* Nonlinear controls on evapotranspiration in arctic coastal wetlands.
994 *Biogeosciences* **8**, 3375-3389 (2011).
- 995 135 Zwieback, S., Chang, Q., Marsh, P. & Berg, A. Shrub tundra ecohydrology: rainfall interception
996 is a major component of the water balance. *Environmental Research Letters* **14**, 055005
997 (2019).
- 998 136 Subin, Z. M. *et al.* Effects of soil moisture on the responses of soil temperatures to climate
999 change in cold regions. *Journal of climate* **26**, 3139-3158 (2013).
- 1000 137 Aalto, J., Scherrer, D., Lenoir, J., Guisan, A. & Luoto, M. Biogeophysical controls on soil-
1001 atmosphere thermal differences: implications on warming Arctic ecosystems. *Environmental*
1002 *Research Letters* **13**, 074003, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/aac83e (2018).
- 1003 138 Asmus, A. L. *et al.* Shrub shading moderates the effects of weather on arthropod activity in
1004 arctic tundra. *Ecological Entomology* **43**, 647-655, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/een.12644>
1005 (2018).
- 1006 139 Hinkel, K., Paetzold, F., Nelson, F. & Bockheim, J. Patterns of soil temperature and moisture
1007 in the active layer and upper permafrost at Barrow, Alaska: 1993–1999. *Global and Planetary*
1008 *Change* **29**, 293-309 (2001).
- 1009 140 Douglas, T. A., Turetsky, M. R. & Koven, C. D. Increased rainfall stimulates permafrost thaw
1010 across a variety of Interior Alaskan boreal ecosystems. *npj Climate and Atmospheric Science*
1011 **3**, 1-7 (2020).
- 1012 141 Neumann, R. B. *et al.* Warming effects of spring rainfall increase methane emissions from
1013 thawing permafrost. *Geophysical Research Letters* **46**, 1393-1401 (2019).
- 1014 142 Aartsma, P., Asplund, J., Odland, A., Reinhardt, S. & Rensen, H. Microclimatic comparison of
1015 lichen heaths and shrubs: shrubification generates atmospheric heating but subsurface
1016 cooling during the growing season. *Biogeosciences* **18**, 1577-1599, doi:10.5194/bg-18-1577-
1017 2021 (2021).
- 1018 143 Fisher, J. P. *et al.* The influence of vegetation and soil characteristics on active-layer thickness
1019 of permafrost soils in boreal forest. *Global Change Biology* **22**, 3127-3140,
1020 doi:10.1111/gcb.13248 (2016).
- 1021 144 Van Cleve, K. *et al.* Taiga Ecosystems in Interior Alaska. *BioScience* **33**, 39-44,
1022 doi:10.2307/1309243 (1983).
- 1023 145 Kade, A., Romanovsky, V. & Walker, D. The n-factor of nonsorted circles along a climate
1024 gradient in Arctic Alaska. *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes* **17**, 279-289 (2006).
- 1025 146 Atchley, A. L., Coon, E. T., Painter, S. L., Harp, D. R. & Wilson, C. J. Influences and interactions
1026 of inundation, peat, and snow on active layer thickness. *Geophysical Research Letters* **43**,
1027 5116-5123 (2016).

1028 147 Klene, A. E., Nelson, F. E., Shiklomanov, N. I. & Hinkel, K. M. The n-factor in natural
1029 landscapes: variability of air and soil-surface temperatures, Kuparuk River Basin, Alaska, USA.
1030 *Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research* **33**, 140-148 (2001).

1031 148 van Everdingen, R. O. *Multi-language glossary of permafrost and related ground-ice terms*.
1032 (National Snow and Ice Data Center/World Data Center for Glaciology, Boulder, 2005).

1033 149 Iwahana, G. *et al.* Geocryological characteristics of the upper permafrost in a tundra-forest
1034 transition of the Indigirka River Valley, Russia. *Polar Science* **8**, 96-113 (2014).

1035 150 Lewkowicz, A. G. & Way, R. G. Extremes of summer climate trigger thousands of thermokarst
1036 landslides in a High Arctic environment. *Nature Communications* **10**, doi:10.1038/s41467-
1037 019-09314-7 (2019).

1038 151 Kanevskiy, M. *et al.* Degradation and stabilization of ice wedges: Implications for assessing
1039 risk of thermokarst in northern Alaska. *Geomorphology* **297**, 20-42 (2017).

1040 152 Olefeldt, D. *et al.* Circumpolar distribution and carbon storage of thermokarst landscapes.
1041 *Nature communications* **7**, 13043 (2016).

1042 153 Jorgenson, M., Shur, Y. L. & Pullman, E. R. Abrupt increase in permafrost degradation in
1043 Arctic Alaska. *Geophysical Research Letters* **33** (2006).

1044 154 Stieglitz, M., Déry, S., Romanovsky, V. & Osterkamp, T. The role of snow cover in the warming
1045 of arctic permafrost. *Geophysical Research Letters* **30** (2003).

1046 155 Anisimov, O. & Zimov, S. Thawing permafrost and methane emission in Siberia: Synthesis of
1047 observations, reanalysis, and predictive modeling. *Ambio*, 1-10 (2020).

1048 156 Tei, S. *et al.* An extreme flood caused by a heavy snowfall over the Indigirka River basin in
1049 Northeastern Siberia. *Hydrological Processes* **34**, 522-537 (2020).

1050 157 Jones, B. M. *et al.* Recent Arctic tundra fire initiates widespread thermokarst development.
1051 *Scientific Reports* **5**, doi:10.1038/srep15865 (2015).

1052 158 Fraser, R. H. *et al.* Climate sensitivity of high Arctic permafrost terrain demonstrated by
1053 widespread ice-wedge thermokarst on Banks Island. *Remote Sensing* **10**, 954 (2018).

1054 159 Kokelj, S. V., Lantz, T. C., Tunnicliffe, J., Segal, R. & Lacelle, D. Climate-driven thaw of
1055 permafrost preserved glacial landscapes, northwestern Canada. *Geology* **45**, 371-374 (2017).

1056 160 Reynolds, M. K. *et al.* Cumulative geoecological effects of 62 years of infrastructure and
1057 climate change in ice-rich permafrost landscapes, Prudhoe Bay Oilfield, Alaska. *Global change*
1058 *biology* **20**, 1211-1224 (2014).

1059 161 Yang, M., Nelson, F. E., Shiklomanov, N. I., Guo, D. & Wan, G. Permafrost degradation and its
1060 environmental effects on the Tibetan Plateau: A review of recent research. *Earth-Science*
1061 *Reviews* **103**, 31-44 (2010).

1062 162 Payette, S., Delwaide, A., Caccianiga, M. & Beauchemin, M. Accelerated thawing of subarctic
1063 peatland permafrost over the last 50 years. *Geophysical research letters* **31** (2004).

1064 163 French, H. & Shur, Y. The principles of cryostratigraphy. *Earth-Science Reviews* **101**, 190-206,
1065 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2010.04.002> (2010).

1066 164 Burn, C. R. & Friele, P. Geomorphology, vegetation succession, soil characteristics and
1067 permafrost in retrogressive thaw slumps near Mayo, Yukon Territory. *Arctic*, 31-40 (1989).

1068 165 Walvoord, M. A. & Kurylyk, B. L. Hydrologic impacts of thawing permafrost—A review.
1069 *Vadose Zone Journal* **15** (2016).

1070 166 Zona, D. *et al.* Characterization of the carbon fluxes of a vegetated drained lake basin
1071 chronosequence on the Alaskan Arctic Coastal Plain. *Global Change Biology* **16**, 1870-1882
1072 (2010).

1073 167 Jorgenson, M. T. & Shur, Y. Evolution of lakes and basins in northern Alaska and discussion of
1074 the thaw lake cycle. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface* **112** (2007).

1075 168 Cray, H. A. & Pollard, W. H. Vegetation recovery patterns following permafrost disturbance in
1076 a Low Arctic setting: case study of Herschel Island, Yukon, Canada. *Arctic, antarctic, and*
1077 *alpine research* **47**, 99-113 (2015).

- 1078 169 Baltzer, J. L., Veness, T., Chasmer, L. E., Sniderhan, A. E. & Quinton, W. L. Forests on thawing
 1079 permafrost: fragmentation, edge effects, and net forest loss. *Global Change Biology* **20**, 824-
 1080 834 (2014).
- 1081 170 Scheffer, M., Hirota, M., Holmgren, M., Van Nes, E. H. & Chapin, F. S. Thresholds for boreal
 1082 biome transitions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **109**, 21384-21389
 1083 (2012).
- 1084 171 Nitze, I., Grosse, G., Jones, B. M., Romanovsky, V. E. & Boike, J. Remote sensing quantifies
 1085 widespread abundance of permafrost region disturbances across the Arctic and Subarctic.
 1086 *Nature communications* **9**, 1-11 (2018).
- 1087 172 Elmendorf, S. C. *et al.* Global assessment of experimental climate warming on tundra
 1088 vegetation: heterogeneity over space and time. *Ecology Letters* **15**, 164-175,
 1089 doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01716.x (2012).
- 1090 173 Strauss, J. *et al.* Deep Yedoma permafrost: A synthesis of depositional characteristics and
 1091 carbon vulnerability. *Earth-Science Reviews* **172**, 75-86,
 1092 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2017.07.007> (2017).
- 1093 174 Hjort, J. e. a. Impacts of permafrost degradation on infrastructure. *Nat. Rev. Earth. Environ.* **3**
 1094 (2022).
- 1095 175 Kumpula, T., Pajunen, A., Kaarlejärvi, E., Forbes, B. C. & Stammer, F. Land use and land cover
 1096 change in Arctic Russia: Ecological and social implications of industrial development. *Global*
 1097 *Environmental Change* **21**, 550-562, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2010.12.010>
 1098 (2011).
- 1099 176 Nitzbon, J. *et al.* Fast response of cold ice-rich permafrost in northeast Siberia to a warming
 1100 climate. *Nature Communications* **11**, 1-11 (2020).
- 1101 177 Lawrence, D. M., Koven, C. D., Swenson, S. C., Riley, W. J. & Slater, A. Permafrost thaw and
 1102 resulting soil moisture changes regulate projected high-latitude CO₂ and CH₄ emissions.
 1103 *Environmental Research Letters* **10**, 094011 (2015).
- 1104 178 Bintanja, R. & Andry, O. Towards a rain-dominated Arctic. *Nature Climate Change* **7**, 263-267
 1105 (2017).
- 1106 179 Mekonnen, Z. A., Riley, W. J., Grant, R. F. & Romanovsky, V. E. Changes in precipitation and
 1107 air temperature contribute comparably to permafrost degradation in a warmer climate.
 1108 *Environmental Research Letters* **16**, 024008, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/abc444 (2021).
- 1109 180 Mikhailov, I. Changes in the soil-plant cover of the high Arctic of Eastern Siberia. *Eurasian Soil*
 1110 *Science* **53**, 715-723 (2020).
- 1111 181 Frost, G. V. *et al.* Multi-decadal patterns of vegetation succession after tundra fire on the
 1112 Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta, Alaska. *Environmental Research Letters* **15**, doi:10.1088/1748-
 1113 9326/ab5f49 (2020).
- 1114 182 Whitley, M. A. *et al.* Assessment of LiDAR and spectral techniques for high-resolution
 1115 mapping of sporadic permafrost on the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta, Alaska. *Remote Sensing* **10**,
 1116 258 (2018).

1117

1118 **Acknowledgements**

1119 This publication is part of the Netherlands Polar Programme (ALWPP.2016.008), financed by the
 1120 Dutch Research Council (NWO). M. T. Jorgenson acknowledges financial support from NSF grant
 1121 1820883, and M. J. Lara support from NSF-EnvE (1928048) and DOE-TES (DE-SC0021094).

1122

1123 **Author contributions**

1124 -

1125

1126 **Competing interests**

1127 The authors declare no competing interests.

1128

1129 **Peer review information**

1130 *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment* thanks Michael Loranty and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s)

1131 for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

1132

1133 **Publisher's note**

1134 Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional
1135 affiliations.

1136

1137 **Supplementary information**

1138 Supplementary information is available for this paper at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s415XX-XXX-XXXX-X>

1139

1140 **Key points**

- 1141 ● Expansion of shrub vegetation is by far the most reported field-observed vegetation change in
1142 the Arctic tundra region, contributing to field- and satellite-observed Arctic greening.
- 1143 ● Spectral greening trends are sensitive to the spatial and temporal scales over which they are
1144 observed; ground-truthing via field studies thus remains indispensable for interpretation.
- 1145 ● Tree and shrub establishment occur primarily in warming upland regions on ice-poor permafrost,
1146 whereas abrupt thaw followed by vegetation recovery is relatively abundant on lowlands with
1147 ice-rich permafrost.
- 1148 ● Geographical coverage of field studies is concentrated in western North America, leaving large
1149 areas of Arctic tundra in High-Arctic Canada and Siberia poorly characterized.
- 1150 ● Increasing vegetation cover and height affect soil thermal regimes, with warming in winter and
1151 cooling in summer. Integration of ecological and geophysical knowledge is necessary to assess
1152 long-term net effects.

- 1153 ● While disturbances of vegetation and permafrost can be compensated by strong internal soil-
 1154 vegetation feedbacks, tipping points and large-scale ecosystem collapse could occur once
 1155 disturbances exceed capacity for recovery.

1156

1157 **Tables**

1158 **Table 1** Vegetation structure in bioclimate subzones.

Bioclimate subzone ³¹	Mean July temp (°C) ³¹	Vertical structure of plant cover ^{31,35}	Horizontal structure of plant cover ^{31,35}	Visualisation of plant cover* ³¹
A	0-3	Mostly barren. In favourable microsites, one lichen or moss layer <2 cm tall, very scattered vascular plants barely exceeding the moss layer.	<5% cover of vascular plants, up to 40% cover by mosses and lichens.	[Insert t1.1]
B	3-5	Two layers: a moss layer 1-3 cm thick and a herbaceous layer, 5-10 cm tall, with prostrate dwarf shrubs <5 cm tall.	5-25% cover of vascular plants, up to 60% cover of cryptogams.	[Insert t1.2]
C	5-7	Two layers: a moss layer 3-5 cm thick and a herbaceous layer 5-10 cm tall, with prostrate and hemi-prostrate dwarf shrubs <15 cm tall.	5-50% cover of vascular plants, open patchy vegetation.	[Insert t1.3]
D	7-9	Two layers: a moss layer of 5-10 cm thick, and a herbaceous or dwarf shrub layer 20-50 cm tall, sometimes with a low-shrub layer to 80 cm.	50-80% cover of vascular plants, interrupted closed vegetation.	[Insert t1.4]
E	9-12	Two to three layers: a moss layer 5-10 cm thick, a herbaceous or dwarf-shrub layer 20-50 cm tall, and sometimes a low-shrub layer to 80 cm	80-100% cover of vascular plants, closed canopy.	[Insert t1.5]

1159

1160 *Grey: barren; yellow: graminoid; light green: dwarf shrub; dark green: shrub; blue: wetland.

Table 2: Field observations of relationships between Arctic tundra vegetation and soil thermal and permafrost conditions (

Study area and reference	Bioclimate subzone*	Winter		Summer	
		Effect **	Mechanism	Effect **	Mechanism
Meta-analyses					
Synthesis of soil temperature data from 87 tundra sites ⁵		Pos		Neg	
Observational Studies					
Faddeyevsky Island, Russia (75N, 144E) ¹⁸⁰	B	-		Neg	Insulating moss layer
Prudhoe Bay, USA (70.23N, -148.42E) ⁴⁷	C	Neg	Sparsen vegetation associated with thermokarst depressions, which accumulate snow	Neg	Insulating organic layer
Howe Island, USA (70.30 N, 147.98 W) ¹⁴⁵	C	Pos	Canopy snow trapping	Neg	Insulating organic layer
Franklin Bluffs, USA (69.67 N, 148.72 W) ¹⁴⁵	D	Pos	Canopy snow trapping	Neg	Insulating organic layer
Happy Valley, USA (69.13 N, 148.83 W) ¹⁴⁵	E	Pos	Canopy snow trapping	Neg	Insulating organic layer
Indigirka lowlands, Russia (70.83N, 147.49E) ⁴⁶	E	-		Neg	-
Illisarvik basin, Canada (69.48N, -134.59E) ¹¹⁶	E	Pos	Canopy snow trapping	Neg	-
Ayiyak River, USA (68.83N, -152.52E) ⁷	E	Pos	Canopy snow trapping	Neg	Soil shading, insulating organic/moss layer
Kuparuk and Sagavanirktok Rivers, USA (68.76N, -148.87E) ¹¹⁷	E	Pos	Canopy snow trapping, talik formation	-	-
Trail Valley Creek research station, Canada (68.74N, -133.50E) ⁴⁵	E	Pos	Canopy snow trapping	Neg	Complex effect of snowmelt timing
Siksik Creek watershed, Canada (68.50N, -133.75E) ¹¹⁴	E	Pos	Canopy snow trapping	Pos/ Neg	Snowmelt timing, vegetation and microtopography
Kharp, Russia (66.83N, 65.98E) ⁹⁷	E	Pos	-	Neg	-
Council, USA (64.88N, -163.65E) ⁸	E	0	Interactions between canopy snow trapping and branch protrusion	Neg	-
Kashunuk, USA (61.38N, -165.47E) ²⁶	E	Pos	-	Neg	-
Tutakok, USA (61.25N, -165.49E) ²⁶	E	Pos	-	Neg	-
Manokinak, USA (61.20N, -165.07E) ¹²¹	E	Pos	-	Neg	-
Izaviknek Hills, USA (61.30N, -162.75E) ¹⁸¹	E	-	-	Neg	-
Tutako River, USA (61.20N, -165.40) ¹⁸²	E	-	-	Neg	Sparsen vegetation is associated with thermokarst depressions
Mackenzie River Delta, Canada (68.26N - 69.06N) ¹⁰⁰	E/ s	Pos	Canopy snow trapping	Neg	Delayed snowmelt, soil shading

Abisko, Sweden (68.350N, 18.816E) ¹¹¹	s	-	-	Neg	Reduced thermal conductivity and moisture under moss
Tasiapik Valley, Canada (56.57N, -76.49E) ¹¹³	s	-	Shrub protrusion, winter snow melting events	-	-
Hudson Bay coast, Canada (56.33N, -76.33E) ¹¹⁸	s	Pos	Canopy snow trapping	Neg	Soil shading, insulating moss layer
Manipulation Studies					
Indigirka lowlands, Russia (70.83N, 147.49E) ²	E	0	No effect on snow depth	Neg	Soil shading
Adventdalen, Svalbard (78.17N, 16.12E) ¹¹⁰	A	0	-	Neg	Insulating moss layer
Indigirka lowlands, Russia (70.82N, 147.48E) ²¹	E	-	Shrub removal resulted in thermokarst depressions, which accumulate snow	Neg	Shrub removal resulted in thermokarst
Indigirka lowlands, Russia (70.82N, 147.47E) ¹¹²	E	-	-	Neg	Insulating moss layer
Abisko, Sweden 68.350N, 18.816E) ¹¹¹	s	0	-	0	Insulating moss layer
Ruby Range Mountains, Canada (61.22N, -138.28E) ⁶	s	Pos	Canopy snow trapping	Neg	Soil shading
Kluane Lake, Canada (61.22N, -138.28E) ¹⁰⁹	s	Pos	-	Neg	Canopy shading and interception.

* A-E refer to CAVM bioclimate zones, see **Table 1**. s = "Tundra site in subarctic climate zone".

** Identified effect of vegetation on soil temperatures and/or permafrost conditions in summer or winter. Pos = warming, Neg = cooling, 0 = no effect, - = Not examined. Full descriptions can be found in **Supplementary Table 5**.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Vegetation change trajectories. a | Changes in vegetation in well-drained, ice-poor Arctic tundra. **b |** changes in vegetation in poorly-drained ice-rich Arctic tundra. On relatively well-drained sloping terrain on ice-poor permafrost, tussock tundra, consisting of tussock-forming sedges and some dwarf shrubs, is the dominant vegetation type. Under conditions of gradual permafrost thaw, vegetation can become more productive and shrubs can establish on the relatively dry soils. In case of poorly drained terrain underlain by permafrost with ice wedges or ice lenses, permafrost degradation leads to mortality of dwarf shrub vegetation owing to drowning, followed by establishment of aquatic sedges in the new or deeper open water.

Figure 2. Spatial patterns in field-observed vegetation changes and associated NDVI dynamics. a | Dominant field-observed vegetation change trajectory (green, blue and grey shapes) and NDVI trends (colour), as evident from Theil-Sen regression slopes of annual maxima in MODIS 250m resolution greenness over the period 2000-2020. Statistically Insignificant trends are depicted as zero, with smaller symbols. Blue shades indicate non-monotonic increases whereas green shades indicate monotonic increases, as determined by a Mann-Kendall test (see Supplementary methods). The green area represents Arctic vegetation zones A-E above the tree line, as defined in the Circumpolar Arctic Vegetation Map³¹. **b |** Observed frequency of main field-observed vegetation trajectories. **c |** MODIS NDVI trend per vegetation trajectory. Values indicate the number of field sites per vegetation change category. Shrub expansion is the dominant field-observed vegetation change, but does not contribute more to NDVI trends than other vegetation changes (ANOVA, $F(4,55) = 0.287$, $p = 0.885$).

Figure 3. Distribution of field-observed vegetation change trajectories over the Arctic. a | Spatial distribution of field sites over CAVM bioclimate zones³¹ (left panel), and contingency tables of vegetation change trajectory with bioclimate zones (right panels). The size of dots in the right panel represents the deviation from the expected distribution, quantified as Pearson residuals. The colour represents either fewer (red) or more (blue) observations than expected based on marginal totals. P-values indicate whether two categorical variables are significantly associated based on a Fisher's exact test. Bioclimate zones A and B were excluded due to underrepresentation ($n=1$). See Supplementary Figures 2-4 and Supplementary Table 4. **b |** as in **a**, but over CAVM landscape types³¹. Hills and mountains were aggregated to "upland" terrain. **c |** as in **a**, but for permafrost extent types and ice content¹⁰⁶. Discontinuous permafrost with medium and low ice content was aggregated to "discontinuous permafrost", continuous permafrost was further subdivided based on ice content.

Shrub expansion is concentrated in upland terrain, whereas thermokarst-driven succession is concentrated in ice-rich lowland terrain.

Figure 4. Effects of shrub canopies on permafrost thaw depth. Black arrows indicate effects related to vegetation, snow and soil (+ for positive, - for negative). Dashed arrows indicate net effects across causal dependencies, where blue indicates positive net effects on permafrost integrity and red negative net effects. Ground heat flux refers to a heat flux from atmosphere to soil, where the reverse situation (soil to atmosphere) is interpreted as a negative flux. Shrub canopies influence permafrost conditions through effects on snow, heat fluxes and soil.

Glossary

Tall shrubs: erect shrubs, generally 2m or taller often growing on more fertile sites such as flood plains. Species comprise mostly deciduous species such as *Salix* and *Alnus* species

Dwarf shrubs: low-statured shrubs, generally less than 1m tall, mostly evergreen ericaceous shrubs, but also deciduous shrub species such as *Betula nana*.

Graminoids: plant species with an erect grass-like growth form, encompassing both true grasses and sedges.

Active layer: the top layer of soil which overlies permafrost, thawing in summer and refreezing in winter.

Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI): a spectral vegetation index that is sensitive to the green biomass, generally correlating with plant properties such as leaf area index.

Spectral greening: Increasing (positive) trends in NDVI, or other satellite-derived vegetation indices.

Spectral browning: Decreasing (negative) trends in NDVI.

Paludifying: gradual conversion of forest or shrubland to peatlands.

Yedoma deposits: wind-blown deposits from the last ice age, often rich in ground ice and soil organic matter.

TOC summary

Greening and vegetation community shifts have been observed across Arctic environments. This Review examines these changes and their impact on underlying permafrost.

