



## Are we at Risk of Dumbing Down Courses in Order to Increase Student Satisfaction?

Viktorija Florjančič

University of Primorska, Faculty of Management, Slovenia

[viktorija.florjancic@fm-kp.si](mailto:viktorija.florjancic@fm-kp.si)

Business informatics (BI) is a compulsory course on both the professional and university management degree study programmes. Before the update of the study programme in 2013, the course was implemented in the 3<sup>rd</sup> or 4<sup>th</sup> semester and had a value of 8 ECTS. Once the changes were made to the programme in 2013, the BI course was moved to the 1<sup>st</sup> semester and was shortened by 2 ECTS. In the 2013/2014 academic year, the BI course worth 8 ECTS was implemented for the final time in the 2<sup>nd</sup> year whilst the updated course of 6 ECTS was implemented for the first time in the 1<sup>st</sup> year. The course subject matter remained almost unchanged, but the students' activities, assessment and guidelines were adapted to the new circumstances. At the end of the course, the routine evaluation showed a significantly higher dropout rate among the 1<sup>st</sup> year students than amongst the 2<sup>nd</sup> years. The dropout rate on the professional degree programme was 49.5% at the 1<sup>st</sup> year level compared to the 14.3% at the 2<sup>nd</sup> year level. On the university degree programme, 40% of students did not pass their exam, compared to 6.2% in the 2<sup>nd</sup> year. It is a well-known fact that some students only enrol in university to receive "student" status that offers them social security and other benefits. But is this the sole reason that caused such significant differences between the dropout rates? This was the main question we posed after the 2014/2015 updated course concluded and a high dropout rate was once again recorded (38.5% among university degree students and 48.8% among professional degree students).

In the 2014/2015 academic year, the updated course was only implemented in the 1<sup>st</sup> year. Despite being updated, it was implemented using the methods as the previous version of the programme; combining weekly face-to-face meetings with online student activities that were performed in the open-sourced learning management system Moodle. The approach we use is known as the blended learning approach and is being constantly improved from year to year. Thus, it can be assumed that the teaching method is not the reason for the noticeable dropout.

A detailed analysis was carried out on a group of students who had been taught by the same teacher; this enabled us to exclude teacher impact as a factor affecting dropout. The survey group consisted of 95 students from the 2013/2014 course and 74 students from 2014/2015. The response rate ranged from 65.8% on the 2014/2015 professional degree programme to 78% on the 2013/2014 university degree programme.

Different student characteristics were compared and some statistically significant differences were found between students and their attitudes towards study. Despite reporting that they spend less time studying, the younger students rated their study efforts slightly higher, than the older students. The 1<sup>st</sup> year students also gave a higher rating to the tutor support they had received in the online environment. The regular weekly activities and assignments were not well accepted by younger students. The regular activities were evaluated as not being easy to complete. It is rational to assume that the younger students have not developed their study skills yet.

A data comparison between the first and second generation of students who attended the updated course have shown that understanding of study materials and student guidelines is very important for successfully completing the course.

The findings of the routine annual evaluation and the observations that have been made by the teacher open a serious question that needs to be considered in the upcoming academic year. Do we, with the aim of achieving student satisfaction and lowering the dropout rate, really need to make a course less academically demanding? Are the university really at risk of dumbing down to a secondary school level as reflected by Scott (2014)?

*Keywords:* blended learning, dropout, higher education

### References:

Scott, Alister. (2014) Universities at risk of dumbing down into secondary school. In *The Conversation*, 22 August, 2014. Available: <http://theconversation.com/universities-at-risk-of-dumbing-down-into-secondary-schools-30553> (18. 4. 2015).