The maize brown *midrib6* (*bm6*) mutation encodes a functional *GTP Cyclohydrolase1*

April Leonard¹ Orcid, Shuping Jiao¹ Orcid, Lynn Heetland¹ Orcid, Jennifer Jaqueth¹ Orcid, Tiffany Hudson¹ Orcid, Kevin D. Simcox^{1,2} Orcid, Robert B. Meeley^{1,2} Orcid, Dilbag S. Multani^{1,2} Orcid

¹ Corteva Agriscience, 7300 NW 62nd Avenue, P. O. Box 1004, Johnston, Iowa 50131 USA

² (KDS) West Des Moines, Iowa USA; (RBM) Des Moines, Iowa USA; and (DSM) Napigen Inc., 200 Powder Mill Road,

Delaware Innovation Space – E500, Wilmington, Delaware 19803 USA

*Corresponding author: E-mail: dilbagmultani8@gmail.com

Keywords: brown midrib6 (bm6), Map-based cloning, GTP Cyclohydrolase1, Silage

Abstract

Brown midrib mutations in maize (*Zea mays* L.) and sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L.) alter lignin composition and enhance cell wall digestibility. These mutations are prime candidates for silage breeding. Six *brown midrib* mutants are currently known, *brown midrib1* (*bm1*) to *brown midrib6* (*bm6*). The *bm1* and *bm3* mutations are being used commercially for silage. The underlying genes responsible for five of the six bm mutations in maize (*bm1*, *bm2*, *bm3*, *bm4*, and *bm5*) are known. Chen and co-workers (2012) characterized the *bm6* mutation, demonstrating that *bm6* increases cell wall digestibility and physically mapped *bm6* within a 180 kilobase region on chromosome 2. The present investigation utilized map-based cloning to identify the candidate gene responsible for the *bm6* phenotype as GTP Cyclohydrolase1 (GCH1) and validated the candidate gene through reverse genetics. Orthologs of *bm6* include at least one paralogous gene in maize on chromosome 10 and various homologs in other grasses and dicots. The discovery that GCH1 is responsible for the maize *bm6* phenotype suggests that GCH1 plays a role in the tetrahydrofolate biosynthetic process.

Abbreviations

4CL1 - 4-coumarate coenzyme A ligase1 bm - Brown midrib mutants BMR - Brown midrib mutants in sorghum CAD - Cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase COMT - Caffeic acid O-methyltransferase FPGS - Folylpolyglutamate synthase gDNA - Genomic DNA GSP - Gene-specific primer GCH1 - GTP Cyclohydrolase1 KASP - Kompetitive allele-specific PCR

Introduction

In North America, maize has been cultivated mainly for grain production for thousands of years. Maize has also been used for forage as its quality is relatively consistent, and its yield and energy contents are high (Lauer, 1995). The USA is the largest maize forage producer globally (Lauer *et al.*, 2001). Cell wall digestibility is the key factor in determining forage quality (Andrieu *et al.*, 1993; Barrière *et al.*, 2003, 2004a). Despite forage yield improvements of 0.13 to 0.16 t/ha per year since 1930, there has been no improvement in cell wall digestibility (Lauer *et al.*, 2001). In Europe, maize is widely grown as a forage crop with ~4.6 mha surface area covera-

MTHFR - Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase *Mu* – Mutator *Mu* - TIR - Mutator-terminal inverted repeat NSS - Non-stiff stock elite inbred line PCR - Polymerase Chain Reaction PPTM - Part per ten million RT-PCR - Reverse Transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction SAM - S-adenosyl-L-methionine SNP - Single Nucleotide Polymorphism SSR - Single Sequence Repeat TUSC - Trait Utility System for Corn.

ge (Barrière *et al.*, 2004a). Breeding efforts in Europe have substantially improved whole plant yield over the last decade, with ~0.1 t/ha increase per year overall. However, cell wall digestibility substantially decreased over this time, which has resulted in a reduced feeding value of elite maize hybrids (Barrière *et al.*, 2005). Cell wall digestibility correlates highly with biomass quality for forage (Barrière *et al.*, 2003, 2004b) and cellulosic ethanol production (Lorenz *et al.*, 2009). Lignin limits the access of cellulolytic enzymes to the cell wall (Moore and Jung, 2001), and a negative correlation exists with lignin content and either in vitro or in vivo cell wall digestibility (Riboulet *et al.*, 2008). Lignin, cross-

KASP Primer	Sequence (5' - 3')
PZE-102006385_A1	GAAGGTGACCAAGTTCATGCTGATTTTGGTTTGCAGCCTCCGGA
PZE-102006385_A2	GAAGGTCGGAGTCAACGGATTTTGGTTTGCAGCCTCCGGG
PZE-102006385_C1	GCAAGCAAAATACTCCTATGTGCAACAAA
PZB01233.2_A1	GAAGGTGACCAAGTTCATGCTTCCATAGTTCCATGACGAGAATTCAT
PZB01233.2_A2	GAAGGTCGGAGTCAACGGATTCCATAGTTCCATGACGAGAATTCAG
PZB01233.2_C1	GCGCGACGCAAGCTGCCACT
PUT-163a-60346233-2546_A1	GAAGGTGACCAAGTTCATGCTAAAAAAATAACAGCTTATAAGATGCGCCT
PUT-163a-60346233-2546_A2	GAAGGTCGGAGTCAACGGATTAAAAATAACAGCTTATAAGATGCGCCC
PUT-163a-60346233-2546_C1	ACTGAGGGCACTAGACGAGGCA
PZE-102007336_A1	GAAGGTGACCAAGTTCATGCTTTCTTCTCTCCGTCTATCTA
PZE-102007336_A2	GAAGGTCGGAGTCAACGGATTTCTTCTCTCTCCGTCTATCTA
PZE-102007336_C1	GCAAATCAGCAAATCATCAGCTGGCA
bm6_3708522_A1	GAAGGTGACCAAGTTCATGCTGAACACAAGTAATTTGATTAATACCTATACG
bm6_3708522_A2	GAAGGTCGGAGTCAACGGATTGAACACAAGTAATTTGATTAATACCTATACT
bm6_3708522_C1	GGGCGCAAACATTTTGTGTATCTCTTAT

Table 1 - A list of Kompetitive allele-specific PCR primers (KASP markers) used to narrow down the chromosomal region associated with the *bm6* locus in a fine-mapping population.

linked with arabinoxylans through ferulate bridges, is a heteropolymer that strengthens stalks and is essential for water transport in vascular tissue and resistance to pathogens in higher land plants (Baucher *et al.*, 1998; Boerjan *et al.*, 2003; Weng and Chapple, 2010). In maize, lignin polymers are composed of the three different hydroxycinnamoyl alcohol subunits (monolignols), pcoumaryl, coniferyl, and sinapyl alcohol (Barrière *et al.*, 2007). Lignin structure affects cell wall digestibility, for example, the ratio of syringyl (S) to guaiacyl (G) units (Grabber *et al.*, 2004; Barrière, 2017). Both lignin content and ferulate-lignin cross-linking have more impact on cell wall digestibility than lignin composition (Grabber *et al.*, 2009).

Brown midrib (bm) mutants contain reddish-brown pigmentation in their leaf midribs (Sattler et al., 2010). First reported over 90 years ago (Jorgenson, 1931), the association of the reddish-brown bm leaf phenotype is well established with reduced lignin concentration in maize (Grand et al., 1985; Cherney et al., 1991; Sattler et al., 2010). Maize contains six known brown midrib loci. Based on map locations and non-allelic relationships in crosses among each other, these recessive mutations are bm1, bm2, bm3, bm4, bm5, and bm6. The bm1 to bm4 mutants have reduced lignin content, altered composition, and increased cell wall digestibility (Barrière et al., 2004b). bm1 and bm3 encode the lignin biosynthetic enzymes cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase (CAD) and caffeic acid O-methyltransferase (COMT), respectively. CAD catalyzes the synthesis of coniferyl and p-coumaryl alcohols, while COMT catalyzes the synthesis of sinapyl alcohol (Halpin et al., 1998; Vignols et al., 1995). The bm2 gene encodes a functional methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR)

involved in the formation of the critical methyl donor, S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM) (Tang et al., 2014). SAM links MTHFR with lignin biosynthesis by serving as a methyl donor for both COMT and caffeoyl CoA 3-O-methyltransferase (Tang et al., 2014). The bm4 gene encodes a functional folylpolyglutamate synthase (FPGS, EC# 6.3.2.17) that acts upstream of MTHFR in the lignin biosynthetic pathway (Li et al. 2015). Using a series of allelic crosses of uncharacterized bm mutations with a known set of bm mutations, Ali and coworkers (2010) identified two new additional brown midrib mutations, bm5 and bm6. The bm5 mutation was identified as 4-coumarate coenzyme A ligase1 (4CL1), affecting G lignin biosynthesis and soluble feruloyl derivatives accumulation in lignified maize tissues (Xiong et al., 2019). Chen and co-workers (2012) characterized the bm6 mutation for plant height, cell wall digestibility and fine mapped the locus to a 180 kb chromosomal region on the short arm of chromosome 2 but did not identify the underlying gene.

This study identified a candidate *Bm6* gene via a mapbased cloning approach. The candidate gene was validated by independent analyses of the *bm6-ref* and an exonic *Mutator* transposon insertional allele, *bm6-P48F9*. The RT-PCR expression analysis further confirmed the presence of the Mutator transposon in intron2 and exon2 in the *bm6-ref* and *bm6-P48F9* alleles, respectively, resulting in significant changes in gene expression of Zm00001d001959 in mutants as compared to their wild-type sibs. The *bm6* locus encodes for a functional GTP Cyclohydrolase1 (GCH1) and illustrates a role for GCH1 in the tetrahydrofolate biosynthetic process.

Gene ID_v4	Genomic Location	Gene Annotation	Gene Expression
Zm00001d001948	2: 3,416,796 - 3,418,004	Homeobox-TF 67; hb67	Leaves, anther, ear
Zm00001d001949	2: 3,418,932 - 3,422,178	Ubiquitin-like super family protein	Tassel, ear, base of stage 2 leaf (v7), 4th internode
Zm00001d001951	2: 3,423,831 - 3,424,283	PHD finger-like domain-containing protein 5A	Tassel, ear, 4th internode, embryo, 6DAP seed
Zm00001d001952	2: 3,425,808 - 3,432,915	Zinc finger C-x8-C-x5-C-x3-H typefamily protein	Roots, leaves, ear, embryo, anther, 4th internode
Zm00001d001953	2: 3,438,958 - 3,444,350	IQ calmodulin-binding motif family protein	Tassels, silk, base of stage 2 leaf (v7), brace roots
Zm00001d001959	2: 3,543,770 - 3,549,932	GTP cyclohydrolase1	Roots, leaves, germinating kernels, embryo
Zm00001d001960	2: 3,559,183 - 3,560,951	fht1 - Flavanone 3-hydroxylase1 (F3H)	Tassel, weak in leaves and anthers
Zm00001d001961	2: 3,566,924 - 3,567,634	Uncharacterized; provisional gene set	Endosperm, 18DAP seed

Table 2 - List of genes in the bm6 fine-mapped interval on maize chromosome 2 (Public_B73v4) and their expression profile.

Material and methods

A.

Materials

The *bm6* mutant stock 209C (derived from stock 5803 J bm*-86-87-8875-6; designated here as *bm6-ref*; Fig. 1A) was acquired from the Maize Genetics Center and used in crosses with two non-stiff stalk (NSS) Corteva Agriscience elite inbred lines to generate two F2 populations. Three germinal *Mutator* insertions, P03 48 F-09 (referred as *bm6-P48F9*, Fig.1B), PV03 27 H-12, and PV03 5 G-05, were identified using the Corteva Agriscience Trait Utility System for Corn (TUSC). These three TUSC alleles were crossed to an NSS Corteva Agriscience elite inbred line to develop F2 populations.

Fine Mapping of the bm6-ref allele

The segregating F2 plants from each population of the *bm6-ref* allele were scored for the brown midrib phenotype and genotyped using 20 SNP markers corresponding to the region identified by Chen and coworkers (2012) on the short arm of maize chromosome 2. After confirming the *bm6* locus map position, 2944 F2 kernels were seed-chipped and genotyped using 4 flanking polymorphic TaqMan markers (Applied Biosystems, CA). Selected kernels showing recombination breakpoints in the *bm6* region were planted in the field, characterized for the brown midrib and wildtype phenotypes, and genotyped again using the same TaqMan markers. Additional markers were developed *in-house* based on 56K array data and other previously identified SNPs. Briefly, marker sequences were put into the



B.

bm6-ref WT-sib

WT-sib bm6-P48F9

Fig. 1 - Phenotypic characterization of the *brown midrib6 (bm6)* mutation. *bm6* mutants display reddish-brown pigmented leaf midribs (red arrows) versus green midribs in their wild-type sibs (blue arrows). A - The *bm6-ref* allele introgressed into a Corteva Agriscience non-stiff stalk (NSS) inbred line at the V6 growth stage. B - The *bm6-P48F9* mutant segregating in F2 population of a cross between a Corteva Agriscience NSS inbred line and the *bm6-P48F9* TUSC mutant allele at the V7 growth stage.



Fig. 2 - *Bm6* candidate gene identification. A - Map-based cloning of the *bm6-ref* allele. Chromosomal regions ruled out by the recombination breakpoints are indicated by black bars. The number of plants listed on the lefthand side for each recombinant class. Asterisks indicate diagnostic markers flanking the fine-mapped interval. B - Eight genes were detected in the fine-mapped interval and each filled arrow represented their directions. The Zm00001d001959 (dark black arrow) was identified as a putative candidate gene for the *Bm6* locus. C - The gene structure of Zm00001d001959. The *Bm6* gene consists of three exons (filled rectangles), two introns (thin lines), and 5' and 3' untranslated regions (empty rectangles). The *bm6-ref* allele has a *Mutator* (*Mu*) insertion in intron 2 (red triangle). TUSC analysis identified three germinal *Mu*-insertions (empty triangles). One of these three insertions had an insertion in exon2, which is causative for the *bm6-P48F9* allele. PHN numbers and arrows denote gene-specific primers used for PCR fingerprinting and RT-PCR expression and for their directions, respectively.

Primer Picker tool from LGC Biosearch Technologies to design primers (LGC Biosearch Technologies, CA) for the Kompetitive allele-specific PCR (KASP) genotyping assays. Markers polymorphic using genomic DNA (gDNA) extracted from *bm6-ref* homozygotes, the wildtype parent inbred line, and plants heterozygous across the fine-mapped region were selected. The selected markers were then run on the recombinant plants grown in the field to narrow the interval as much as possible. Table 1 lists the KASP markers used to narrow down the chromosomal region associated with the *bm6 locus*.

Candidate Gene Identification

Based on annotation and expression of the genes within the fine-mapped interval, a putative candidate for the *bm6* mutation was selected. Nested PCR primers were designed based on the public domain genomic sequence. PCR amplification of the *bm6* mutant and its WT sib, using GoTaq (Promega (Promega, WI), generated nested fragments to overcome difficulties in amplifying this region. Initial-round PCR amplification utilized outer primers, and then the initial PCR product diluted 1:50 (v/v) and used as a template with inner nested primers.

Candidate Gene Validation for the bm6 mutation

Gene-specific primers (GSPs) from the *bm6* candidate gene was combined with a *Mu*-TIR primer to establish a tight linkage between the candidate gene and the *bm6* mutant phenotype. The BC3F3 mapping consisted of genomic DNA of 24 homozygous *bm6-ref* mutants and 24 homozygous WT sibs (+/+). PCR used two GSPs (PHN177359 and PHN177358) and a primer designed from the *Mutator*-Terminal Inverted Repeat (*Mu*-TIR; PHN9242). The PCR products were excised from the gel, cloned in a TA cloning vector and sequenced using M13F (5'-GTAAAACGACGGCCAGT-3') and M13R (5'-CAGGAAACAGCTATGAC-3') primers. In addition, genomic DNA from seven transposon-induced *Mu*insertion alleles, identified using TUSC, were amplified using the same parameters as above.

RNA Isolation and RT-PCR

For reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), the leaf samples from 4-5 weeks old plants grown in the field were collected when the *bm6* phenotype appeared. The total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy plant mini kit (Qiagen, MD). According to the manufacturer's instructions, first-strand cDNA synthesis used a QuantiTec reverse transcription kit (Qiagen, MD). *ZmActin1*, amplified with *ZmActin1*-F (5'-CTGACGAGGATATCCAGCCTATCGTATGTGA-CAATG-3') and *ZmActin1*-R (5'-AACCGTGTGGCTCA-CACCATCACC-3') primers, as internal control, and

then GSPs from maize were used to amplify transcripts of *bm6*-ref and *bm6*-P48F9 and their WT sibs. RT-PCR reaction included GSPs and two microliters of the reverse transcription reaction products. The RT-PCR program consisted of 95°C for 2 min, 35 cycles of 95°C for 15 sec, 64°C for 15 sec, 72°C for 30 sec, and final extension at 72°C for 7 min in a 25-uL volume.

Sequence analysis

The LASERGENE® bioinformatics computing suite MEGALIGN® program (DNASTAR® Inc., WI) and Bio-Edit (Hall, 1999) computed the Sequence alignments and percent identity calculations. Multiple sequences alignments used the ClustalW alignment method (Higgins and Sharp, 1989) with the default parameters (GAP PENALTY=I0, GAP LENGTH PENALTY=I0). The Geneious Prime Clustal alignment tool (Biomatters Inc., NZ) created the sequence alignment figures. Default parameters for pairwise 60 alignments using the ClustalW method were KTUPLE=1, GAP PENALTY=3, WINDOW=5, and DIAGONALS SAVED=5).

Cloning and Sequencing of RT-PCR Transcripts

The RT-PCR transcripts from the bm6-ref and bm6-P48F9 alleles, along with their WT sibs, were excised from the gel, purified, and cloned using the TOPO TA Cloning Kit for Sequencing (Invitrogen, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. In the case of the bm6-ref allele, all transcripts were excised and purified as a pool. Cloned bands were transformed into Mix & Go! DH5 Alpha Competent Cells (Zymo) using the manufacturer's Mix & Go Transformation protocol. For sequencing, 64 colonies from the bm6-ref allele library, 16 from the bm6-P48F9 allele, and eight from their WT sibs were selected, and overnight cultures were grown. Plasmid DNA, extracted using the QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit (Qiagen, MD), was sequenced using M13-Forward and M13-Reverse primers and sequenced using Sequencher 4.8 (Gene Codes Corporation, MI).

Expression Analysis of the bm6 Gene

Profile expression of the maize bm6 gene involved using more than 800 libraries developed by Corteva Agriscience from various tissues collected at different developmental stages and under different biotic and abiotic stress treatments. The average gene expression of Zm00001d001959 was determined using Solexa-WgT (all values in part per ten million or PPTM) and was confirmed using both the eFP Atlas Browser and the RNA-seq expression data from the MaizeGDB public database (https://www.maizegdb.org/gene_center/gene/ Zm00001d001959).



Fig. 3 - Genotyping and expression analysis of the *bm6-ref* and *bm6-P48F9* alleles. A - Gene- specific primer (GSP), PHN17739, in combination with a *Mu-TIR* primer (PHN9242) amplified a ~750 bp PCR products (upper panel, lane A4 missing sample). Two GSPs (PHN177359 and PHN177358) flanking the *Mu*-insertion site in the *bm6-ref* allele were unable to amplify any product in all plants tested (lower panel) indicating that all bm6-ref plants tested were homozygous mutants. B - Similarly, the PCR-fingerprinting of segregating F2 plants, using the same set of primers as in Fig. 3A, identified two plants (# B8 and B15) homozygous for the *bm6-P48F9* insertional allele, and two plants (B13 and B16) homozygous for wildtype allele. The rest of the 12 plants were heterozygotes for the *bm6-P48F9* insertional allele. C - The RT-PCR expression analysis of the *bm6* alleles. The *bm6-P48F9* allele either contains a larger transcript (lanes 2, 3, and 5, upper panel) or missing transcript (lanes 1 and 4, upper panel) to its contrasting wild-type sib (lane 6). The *bm6-efallele* detected multiple species of mRNA of variable sizes (lane 8) as compared to a small size transcript in its wild-type sib (lane 7) and recurrent inbred parents (lanes 9 and 10). RT-PCR controls are distilled water in lane 11, and *ZmActin1* in the lower panel.

Results and discussion

Fine mapping of the Bm6 locus

Since Chen and co-workers (2012) previously mapped the bm6-ref mutation to the short arm of chromosome 2 (Chen et al., 2012), 75 segregating F2s plants from each of the two bm6-ref mapping populations were screened with 20 Corteva Agriscience maize proprietary markers to confirm the bm6-ref map location. These markers covered a 25cM interval between 0 - 25.19cM on the short arm of chromosome 2. Next, 2944 F2 kernels (30 x 96 well plates) from one segregating population were processed for kernelchipping and genotyped using 8 TaqMan markers to identify 556 recombinants representing 21 different combinations of 4 polymorphic markers covering the 11.69cM to 25.19cM region. Recombinants, along with the homozygous bm6-ref mutant, inbred parent, and F1s, were planted in the field, genotyped, and characterized for their phenotypes. Out of 556 recombinant lines, we identified 146 lines segregating for the bm6-ref allele and 410 for the WT sib allele. This segregation pattern fits a 1:3 ratio, implying that the *bm6-ref* allele is a single recessive locus ($\chi 2 = 0.470$). Marker data analysis placed the bm6 locus to a 2.1cM interval on chromosome 2, between physical map positions 1,816,304 -3,944,295 on the Public_B73v4 maize genetic map. The recombination frequency using in-house developed KASP markers further delimited the position of the bm6 to a 169. 4 kb interval between physical map positions 3,418,033 - 3,587,454 (Fig. 2). The two flanking markers, PZB01233.2 and PUT-163a-60346233-2546 (marked with asterisks in Fig. 2A), delimited 4 and 31 recombinants, respectively. The KASP marker bm6_3708522, situated between the two flanking markers at physical position 3,450,732, cosegregates with the *bm6* phenotype.

Identification of a candidate gene for the bm6 mutation

The physical distance in between the bm6_3708522 and a left-flanking marker, PZB01233.2 (Zm-B73-REFEREN-CE-GRAMENE-4.0), is ~32.7 kb, and this region harbored five genes; Zm00001d001948, Zm00001d001949, Zm00001d001951, Zm00001d001952, and Zm00001d001953. In contrast, the bm6_3708522 marker is ~136.7 kb from the right-flanking flanking marker PUT-163a-60346233-2546, and only three genes; Zm00001d001959, Zm00001d001960, and Zm00001d001961, were detected within this interval. Thus, the 169.4 kb fine-mapped interval contains eight genes (Fig. 2B). Table 2 lists the gene annotations and expression profiles of these eight genes. We considered Zm00001d001959 the best putative

candidate gene responsible for the bm6 phenotype based on its location (2: 3,543,770 - 3,549,932), physically situated next to the KASP marker bm6_3708522 (2: 3,450,732), and its annotation. Zm00001d001959 is annotated as a Guanosine triphosphate (GTP) cyclohydolase1 in maize (ZmGch1) and is a homolog of Arabidopsis GTP cyclohydrolase1 (AT3G072270.1; GCH1). The alignment of the full-length EST sequence with the genomic sequence from MaizeGDB confirmed that the Zm00001d0001959 gene consists of three exons and two introns (Fig. 2C). Exon1 is only one bp long, whereas exon2 and exon3 are 246 bp and 1184 bp long, respectively. Intron1 is 124 bp long, whereas the intron2 is 4140 bp in size. Next, genomic DNA (gDNA) of homozygous bm6-ref and homozygous wild-type sibs (WT sib) was used to PCR-amplify the entire Zm00001d001959 gene from both bm6-ref mutant and its WT sib using GSPs designed from the genomic sequence of ZmGch1 (Table S1). The lack of PCR amplification for the region between the end of exon2 and first 300 bp of intron2 in the bm6-ref allele using PHN177359 and PHN177358 GSPs suggested a structural change in comparison to the WT sib. Since the bm6-ref allele was isolated from Mu-active materials (5803J bm*-86-87-8875-6; wx1-Mum6 stock), we amplified the *bm6-ref* material using a combination of the GSP (PHN177359) and a Mutator-Terminal Inverted Repeat (Mu-TIR) primer to determine if a Mutator transposon insertion was present. The primer combination amplified a ~750 bp PCR product in all plants containing the bm6-ref allele (Fig. 3A, upper panel). No PCR product was amplified from bm6-ref plants using two GSPs flanking the insertion, indicating that all bm6-ref plants were homozygous for the bm6-ref allele (Fig. 3A, lower panel). The cloning and sequencing of the PCR products confirmed the presence of a Mu-insertion in intron2, ~181 bp downstream from the exon2-intron2 junction of the candidate gene. In a population of 118 BC1F2 plants, all individuals containing the Mu insertion in Zm00001d001959 exhibited the bm6 phenotype, indicating that the Mu insertion causes the bm6 phenotype.

Transcript analysis of the bm6-ref allele

The *Mu*-insertion in the *bm6-ref* allele resulted in six alternate mRNAs species (larger sizes) with variable expression levels in RT-PCR analysis as compared to one smaller size transcript in its WT sib (Fig.3C). Cloning and sequencing analysis of all six mature transcripts of the *bm6-ref* allele along with the one transcript of its WT sib revealed that five of the six mature transcripts of the *bm6-ref* allele retained 124 bp sequence of intron1 as compared to functional cDNA of its WT sib (Fig.4). Similarly, in five of the six mature transcripts, a part of

	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
<pre>bm6-ref-Var1 bm6-ref-Var2 bm6-ref-Var3</pre>	A AGTT CGGTCCACCTATO AGTT CGGTCCACCTATO AGTT CGGTCCACCTATO	CTTCCCTCCC	CATCGCGTT: CATCGCGTT: CATCGCGTT:	TATTATTCO TATTATTCO TATTATTCO	GTCCTTCTTC	CATT CGG CCCT CATT CGG CCCT CATT CGG CCCT	TCGCCGCTGC	TATATITCG TATATITCG TATATITCG	GGCCGCCTT	
bm 6-ref-Var4 bm 6-ref-Var5 bm 6-ref-Var6	A AGTTCGGTCCACCTATO AGTTCGGTCCACCTATO	CITCCCTCCC	CATCGCGTT:	TATTATTCC	GTCCTTCTT	CATTOGGOOOT	TCGCCGCTGC	TATATTTCG	GGCCGCCTT	RCC RCC
$ \begin{array}{l} & {\it ZmBm6^-cDNA} \\ & {\it bm6^-ref^-Var1} \\ & {\it bm6^-ref^-Var2} \\ & {\it bm6^-ref^-Var3} \\ & {\it bm6^-ref^-Var4} \\ & {\it bm6^-ref^-Var5} \\ & {\it bm6^-ref^-Var6} \end{array} $	110 TCCTAATAA TAGCCGCC TCCTAATAA TAGCCGCC TCCTAATAA TAGCCGCC TCCTAATAA TAGCCGCC	120 	130 GATACCGACA GATACCGCCA GATACCGCCA GATACCGCCA GATACCGCCA GATACCGCCA GATACCGCCA	140 CCGCCACCGC CCGCCACCGC CCGCCACCGC CCGCCACCGC CCGCCACCGC CCGCCACCGC	150 CAT GGGAGCGG CAT GGGAGCGG CAT GGGAGCGG CAT GGGAGCGG CAT GGGAGCGG CAT GGGAGCGG	160 CTCGAGGAGGG CTCGAGGAGGG CTCGAGGAGGG CTCGAGGAGGG CTCGAGGAGGG CTCGAGGAGGG CTCGAGGAGGG	170 CCACCTCGCG CCACCTCGCG CCACCTCGCG CCACCTCGCG CCACCTCGCG CCACCTCGCG	180 GCCGCCCCCG GCCGCCCCCG GCCGCCCCCG GCCGCC	190 rececereces rececereces rececereces rececereces rececereces rececereces rececereces	200 4GG 4GG 4GG 4GG 4GG 4GG G
$\label{eq:states} \begin{split} & \mathcal{I}m\mathbb{B}m6-c\mathbb{D}\mathbb{N}\mathbb{A}\\ & bm6-ref-\mathbb{V}ar2\\ & bm6-ref-\mathbb{V}ar2\\ & bm6-ref-\mathbb{V}ar3\\ & bm6-ref-\mathbb{V}ar5\\ & bm6-ref-\mathbb{V}ar6 \end{split}$	210 AGGAGGAGGAAAGCGAC AGGAGGAGGAAAGCGAC AGGAGGAGGAAAGCGAC AGGAGGAGGAAAGCGAC AGGAGGAGGAAAGCGAC AGGAGGAGGAAAGCGAC	2 20 TACAT CGGC T TACAT CGGCC TACAT CGGCC TACAT CGGCC TACAT CGGCC TACAT CGGCC TACAT CGGCC	230 TCCTCGCAGG TCCTCGCAGG TCCTCGCAGG TCCTCGCAGG TCCTCGCAGG TCCTCGCAGG	240 GGAAGCGCCG GGAAGCGCCG GGAAGCGCCG GGAAGCGCCG GGAAGCGCCG GGAAGCGGCG GGAAGCGGCGG	250 GCGGGCGACG GCGGCGACG GCGGCGACG GCGGCGACG GCGGCGACG GCGGCGACG GCGGCGACG	2 6 0 CC GT GGA GC CC CC GT GGA GC CC	270 GCCGT GC GC G GCCGT GC GC G	280 CCCTGCTGCTGC CCCTGCTGCTGC CCCTGCTGCTGC CCCTGCTGCTGC CCCTGCTGCTGC CCCTGCTGCTGC	290 regegetteset regegetteset regegetteset regegetteset regegetteset regegetteset regegetteset	300 IGA IGA IGA IGA IGA IGA
$\begin{array}{l} 2m Bm 6^- c D NA \\ bm 6^- re f^- Var 1 \\ bm 6^- re f^- Var 2 \\ bm 6^- re f^- Var 3 \\ bm 6^- re f^- Var 4 \\ bm 6^- re f^- Var 5 \\ bm 6^- re f^- Var 6 \end{array}$	210 GGAC GACCGCC GC GA GC GGAC GACCGCC GC GA GC	320 GCCTGCTCCG GCCTGCTCCG GCCTGCTCCG GCCTGCTCCG GCCTGCTCCG GCCTGCTCCG	330 GAC GCC CAAG GAC GCC CAAG GAC GCC CAAG GAC GCC CAAG GAC GCC CAAG GAC GCC CAAG GAC GCC CAAG	340 CCCCTCCCCA CCCCTCCCCA CCCCTCCCCA CCCCTCCCCA CCCCTCCCCA CCCCTCCCCA CCCCTCCCCA CCCCCCCC	350 AGGCCTTCCGC AGGCCTTCCGC AGGCCTTCCGC AGGCCTTCCGC AGGCCTTCCGC AGGCCTTCCGC	3 6 0 CGAC GGC AC CC CGAC GGC AC CC	270 GAG	380 AGACCTTCG	390 TTTTCCCGTTC TTTTCCCGTTC	400
ZmBm6-cDNA	410	420	430	440	450	460	470	480	490	500
bm 6-ref-Varl bm 6-ref-Var2 bm 6-ref-Var3 bm 6-ref-Var3 bm 6-ref-Var4 bm 6-ref-Var5 bm 6-ref-Var6	CT GACTT CGTG GATC CO CT GACTT CGTG GATC CO	TCGGT AACAA1	TTOSTOCOOS	IGCITIGICI	ftgtgtggani ftgtgtggani	AATGGCGTCCT AATGGCGTCCT	CCCTGATCCG	T GACTGACC	GCCGTCGTG	SAG SAG
	510	520	530	540	550	560	570	580	590	600
2mBm 6 - cDNA bm 6 - ref - Varl bm 6 - ref - Varl bm 6 - ref - Var2 bm 6 - ref - Var3 bm 6 - ref - Var3 bm 6 - ref - Var5 bm 6 - ref - Var6	CGGCGCT CT TCAG CATH CATH CGGCGCT CT TCAG CATH CGGCGCT CT TCAG CATH CATH	CACCACGCTA CACCACGCTA CACCACGCTA CACCACGCTA CACCACGCTA	TAGAGCCTAG TAGAGCCTAG TAGAGCCTAG TAGAGCCTAG TAGAGCCTAG	AGCTCTAACTI AGCTCTAACTI AGCTCTAACTI AGCTCTAACTI AGCTCTAACTI	ACGCCGAGATI ACGCCGAGATI ACGCCGAGATI ACGCCGAGATI	ATT GCCATTA ATT GCCATTA ATT GCCATTA ATT GCCATTA ATT GCCATTA	TGGACGAAGA TGGACGAAGA TGGACGAAGA TGGACGAAGA	GGGAAGGGG GGGAAGGGG GGGAAGGGG GGGAAGGGG GGGAAGGGG	ATTCGACGAAA ATTCGACGAAA ATTCGACGAAA ATTCGACGAAA ATTCGACGAAA	ATG ATG ATG ATG ATG
Zm Bm 6-cDNA	610	620	630	640	650	660	670	680	690	700 AG
bm 6-ref-Varl bm 6-ref-Var2	GAGGCGT TGGC GT TGGC GAGGCGT TGGC GT TGGC	TTCTCTGTTT	TGGAGACGCA	CGCGACAGCC	AACTCCAAA	AC GGATACGAG	ACAGETETTG	GGGCTGCGT	AACAGGCTAG	CAG
<pre>bm6-ref-Var3 bm6-ref-Var4 bm6-ref-Var5 bm6-ref-Var6</pre>	GAGGCGT TGGC GT TGGC GAGGCGT TGGC GT TGGC GAGGCGT TGGC GT TGGC	TTCTCTGTTT	TGGAGACGCA TGGAGACGCA TGGAGACGCA	CGC GACAGCCI CGC GACAGCCI CGC GACAGCCI	АААСТССААА) АААСТССААА) АААСТССААА)	AC GGATACGAG AC GGATACGAG AC GGATACGAG	ACAGETETTG ACAGETETTG ACAGETETTG	GGGCTGCGT GGGCTGCGT GGGCTGCGT	AACAGGCTAG AACAGGCTAG AACAGGCTAG	CAG CAG CAG CAG
$\begin{array}{l} {\it ZmBm6-cDNA}\\ {\it bm6-ref-Var1}\\ {\it bm6-ref-Var2}\\ {\it bm6-ref-Var3}\\ {\it bm6-ref-Var5}\\ {\it bm6-ref-Var5}\\ {\it bm6-ref-Var6} \end{array}$	710 Ссалаласталаласас Ссалаласталаласас Ссалаласталаласас Ссалаласталаласас Ссалаласталаласас Ссалаласталаласас Ссалаласталаласас Ссалаласталаласас	720 TAGTGCAAGG TAGTGCAAGG TAGTGCAAGG TAGTGCAAGG TAGTGCAAGG TAGTGCAAGG	730 TGCTCTGTTT TGCTCTGTTT TGCTCTGTTT TGCTCTGTTT TGCTCTGTTT TGCTCTGTTT	740 CCAGAGGTTG CCAGAGGTTG CCAGAGGTTG CCAGAGGTTG CCAGAGGTTG CCAGAGGTTG	750 GTGTGGATAAJ GTGTGGATAAJ GTGTGGATAAJ GTGTGGATAAJ GTGTGGATAAJ GTGTGGATAAJ	760 AAGGACTGGAT AAGGACTGGAT AAGGACTGGAT AAGGACTGGAT AAGGACTGGAT AAGGACTGGAT	770 CTGCTGCTGGTGG CTGCTGCTGGTGG CTGCTGCTGGTGG CTGCTGCTGGTGG CTGCTGCTGGTGG	780 AAC TGGCGG AAC TGGCGG AAC TGGCGG AAC TGGCGG AAC TGGCGG AAC TGGCGG AAC TGGCGG	7 90 GCAAGTAGTTO GCAAGTAGTTO GCAAGTAGTTO GCAAGTAGTTO GCAAGTAGTTO GCAAGTAGTTO GCAAGTAGTTO	800 977 977 977 977 977 977 977
2mBm6-cDNA bm6-ref-Var1 bm6-ref-Var2 bm6-ref-Var3	810 CGAGACATTGAACTTTT CGAGACATTGAACTTTT CGAGACATTGAACTTTT CGAGACATTGAACTTTT	8 20 CTCCTATTGTO CTCCTATTGTO CTCCTATTGTO CTCCTATTGTO	8 20 GAGTCA TGCT GAGTCA TGCT GAGTCA TGCT GAGTCA TGCT	840 TGCTTCCATTC TGCTTCCATTC TGCTTCCATTC	850 CAGCATACAG CAGCATACAG CAGCATACAG CAGCATACAG	860 FGCCATGTCGG FGCCATGTGGG FGCCATGTGGG FGCCATGTGGG	870 GTATGTTCCC GTATGTTCCC GTATGTTCCC GTATGTTCCC	880 TCAGGTGGAI TCAGGTGGAI TCAGGTGGAI TCAGGTGGAI	8 90 AGAGT GG TTG AGAGT GG TTG AGAGT GG TTG AGAGT GG TTG AGAGT GG TTG	900 3GT 3GT 3GT 3GT
hm6-ref-Var5	CGAGACATTGAACTTTT CGAGACATTGAACTTTT	CTCCTATTGT	GAGTCATGCT GAGTCATGCT	TGCTTCCATTO	CAGCATACAG CAGCATACAG	IGCCATGTGGG	GTATGTTCCC	TCAGGTGGA	GAGTGGTTGC	GT GT
$ \begin{array}{l} & {\mathcal I}m{\mathcal B}m{\mathcal 6}^-{\rm cD}{\rm NA} \\ & {\rm b}m{\mathcal 6}^-{\rm re}{\mathcal f}^-{\rm Var}1 \\ & {\rm b}m{\mathcal 6}^-{\rm re}{\mathcal f}^-{\rm Var}2 \\ & {\rm b}m{\mathcal 6}^-{\rm re}{\mathcal f}^-{\rm Var}3 \\ & {\rm b}m{\mathcal 6}^-{\rm re}{\mathcal f}^-{\rm Var}4 \\ & {\rm b}m{\mathcal 6}^-{\rm re}{\mathcal f}^-{\rm Var}5 \\ & {\rm b}m{\mathcal 6}^-{\rm re}{\mathcal f}^-{\rm Var}6 \end{array} $	TANGCANGCTTTCTAG TANGCANGCTTTCTAG TANGCANGCTTTCTAG TANGCANGCTTTCTAG TANGCANGCTTTCTAG TANGCANGCTTTCTAG TANGCANGCTTTCTAG	GTATC TGAT GT GTATC TGAT GT GTATC TGAT GT GTATC TGAT GT GTATC TGAT GT GTATC TGAT GT GTATC TGAT GT	930 TCT TTGCCAA TCT TTGCCAA TCT TTGCCAA TCT TTGCCAA TCT TTGCCAA TCT TTGCCAA	940 GAGAT TGCAAJ GAGAT TGCAAJ GAGAT TGCAAJ GAGAT TGCAAJ GAGAT TGCAAJ GAGAT TGCAAJ	950 AACCCTCAAA AACCCTCAAA AACCCTCAAA AACCCTCAAA AACCCTCAAA AACCCTCAAA	960 SACT AGC TAAT SACT AGC TAAT SACT AGC TAAT SACT AGC TAAT SACT AGC TAAT SACT AGC TAAT	GAAATCTGTG GAAATCTGTG GAAATCTGTG GAAATCTGTG GAAATCTGTG GAAATCTGTG	980 GTGCAC TGCJ GTGCAC TGCJ GTGCAC TGCJ GTGCAC TGCJ GTGCAC TGCJ GTGCAC TGCJ	990 ATGCTAGCATI ATGCTAGCATI ATGCTAGCATI ATGCTAGCATI ATGCTAGCATI	ICA ICA ICA ICA ICA ICA ICA
$\label{eq:states} \begin{split} & \mathbb{Z}m\mathbb{B}m6^-\mathrm{cDNA}\\ & bm6^-\mathrm{re}f^-\mathrm{Varl}\\ & bm6^-\mathrm{re}f^-\mathrm{Vars}\\ & bm6^-\mathrm{re}f^-\mathrm{Vars}\\ & bm6^-\mathrm{re}f^-\mathrm{Vars}\\ & bm6^-\mathrm{re}f^-\mathrm{Vars}\\ & bm6^-\mathrm{re}f^-\mathrm{Vars} \end{split}$	1010 ACCTGCTGGTGTGGCTG ACCTGCTGGTGTGGCTG ACCTGCTGGTGTGGCTG ACCTGCTGGTGTGGCTG ACCTGCTGGTGTGGCTG ACCTGCTGGTGTGGCTG	1020 TTGCTCTGCA TTGCTCTGCA TTGCTCTGCA TTGCTCTGCA TTGCTCTGCA TTGCTCTGCA	1030 GTGCTGGCACJ GTGCTGGCACJ GTGCTGGCACJ GTGCTGGCACJ GTGCTGGCACJ GTGCTGGCACJ	1040 ATTCCTTTACC ATTCCTTTACC ATTCCTTTACC ATTCCTTTACC ATTCCTTTACC ATTCCTTTACC	1050 CAGAAAACTT CAGAAAACTT CAGAAAACTT CAGAAAACTT CAGAAAACTT CAGAAAACTT CAGAAAACTT	10 60 3GAA TOCAAGA 3GAA TOCAAAA 3GAA TOCAAAA 3GAA TOCAAAA 3GAA TOCAAAA 3GAA TOCAAAA	1070 LCTTTGGAAGG LCTTTGGAAGG LCTTTGGAAGG LCTTTGGAAGG LCTTTGGAAGG	1080 TTGGATTAG TTGGATTAG TTGGATTAG TTGGATTAG TTGGATTAG TTGGATTAG	1090 AACTTCACATT AACTTCACATT AACTTCACATT AACTTCACATT AACTTCACATT AACTTCACATT	1100 ICA ICA ICA ICA ICA ICA
ZmBm 6- CDNA	TCTCGCTCTGGAGTCTT	TGAAGGTGAN	AGCAGCACTT	TCT GGAGTGA	TTCTTOOCT	TTGTTANGCT	TAGGGGCATA	GACGTGGA	,	
bm 6-ref-Var1 bm 6-ref-Var2 bm 6-ref-Var3 bm 6-ref-Var3 bm 6-ref-Var4 bm 6-ref-Var5 bm 6-ref-Var6	TCTCGCTCTGGAGTCTT TCTCGCTCTGGAGTCTT TCTCGCTCTGGAGTCTT TCTCGCTCTGGAGTCTT TCTCGCTCTGGAGTCTT TCTCGCTCTGGAGTCTT	TGAAGGT GAA TGAAGGT GAA TGAAGGT GAA TGAAGGT GAA TGAAGGT GAA TGAAGGT GAA	AGCAGCACTT AGCAGCACTT AGCAGCACTT AGCAGCACTT AGCAGCACTT AGCAGCACTT	TCT GGAG TGA TCT GGAG TGA TCT GGAG TGA TCT GGAG TGA TCT GGAG TGA TCT GGAG TGA	CTTCTTGGCT CTTCTTGGCT CTTCTTGGCT CTTCTTGGCT CTTCTTGGCT CTTCTTGGCT	CT TG TTA AGCT CT TG TTA AGCT	TAGGGGCATA TAGGGGCATA TAGGGGCATA TAGGGGCATA TAGGGGCATA TAGGGGCATA	GAC GTGGAG GAC GTGGAG GAC GTGGAG GAC GTGGAG GAC GTGGAG GAC GTGGAG	3	

Fig. 4 - A multiple alignments of six *bm6-ref* allele mature transcripts (designated here *bm6- ref*-var1 to *bm6-ref*-var6) and a functional wild-type sib transcript (*ZmBm6*-cDNA) amplified by RT-PCR (Fig. 3C). Bold black letters represent exons 1, 2, and 3, and blue letters represent intronic sequences. Five of the six mature *bm6-ref* allele transcripts contained an additional 141 bp of the *Mutator*-terminal inverted repeat sequence (highlighted in bold red) in intron2



Fig. 5 - The tissue-specific expression of the *Bm6* gene (Zm00001d001959) in B73. Expression was measured in part per ten million (PPTM) using the Solexa-AgT Platform. The number of samples for each tissue is presented at the bottom. The error bars represent the standard error of the mean.

the intron2 (39 bp or 181 bp) and 141 bp of Mu-TIR sequences were detected (Fig.4). A BLAST search using 141 bp of the Mu-TIR as a query identified a Mutator1 (Mu1) insertion in intron2 of Zm00001d001959 in the bm6-ref allele. The mature transcripts of the bm6-refvar5 and bm6-ref-var6 also showed a three bp deletion (indel) in the exon2 sequence compared to bm6-refvar1, bm6-ref-var2, bm6-ref-var3, bm6-ref-var4, and the functional ZmBm6-cDNA (Fig.4). This three bp deletion in transcripts of two variants belonged to a natural variation of an SSR (GAG)³ compared to (GAG)⁴ in the other four variants and its WT sib. Since exon 1 of the ZmGch1 gene is only one bp long, the addition of 124 bp of intron1 in five of the six mature transcripts of bm6-ref disrupted the starting codon (ATG). The Mu1insertion in intron2 of the bm6-ref allele interfered with splicing and produced multiple species of mature transcripts for the ZmGch1 gene. The differential splicing of intronic sequences and 141 bp of Mu-TIR in all six bm6-ref mature transcripts resulted in a frameshift and early termination of their predicted polypeptides leading to a null mutation

Candidate gene validation for the bm6 mutation

To further support that the *bm6* phenotype results from a mutation in *ZmGch1*, we took advantage of the existing TUSC facility in Corteva Agriscience to identify additional *Mutator* transposon insertion alleles (Meeley and Briggs, 1995). Reverse genetics screening of gDNA from ~42,000 F2 plants from the TUSC library identified seven TUSC alleles (*Fig. S1*). The cloning and sequencing of the PCR products of TUSC alleles confirmed that six of the seven TUSC alleles had Mu-insertions in introns, four in intron1 and two in intron2, whereas one TUSC allele, PV03 48 F-09, had a Mu-insertion in exon2 of Zm00001d001959. Due to low germination rates, only F2 seed of the exon2 insertion P03 48 F-09 and intronic insertions PV03 27 H-12, and PV03 5 G-05 were available for analysis (Fig.2C). Fingerprinting of F2 plants from each of the three alleles confirmed that the Mu-insertions were germinal. The lines were maintained by crossing heterozygous TUSC plants with a Corteva Agriscience NSS inbred line. The PHN177359 GSP primer from the 5'UTR of Zm00001d001959 gene in combination with Mu-TIR primer (PHN9242) resulted in 300 bp products in homozygous and heterozygous plants of P03 48 F09 allele (Fig. 3B). Most plants analyzed in the P03 48 F09 allele were heterozygous for the insertion, except for two plants homozygous for the mutant allele and two plants homozygous for the wild-type allele (Fig. 3B). The cloning and sequence of the PCR product confirmed a Mu-insertion in exon2, 11 bp downstream of the intron1-exon2 junction. The two plants homozygous for the P03 48 F-09 allele showed a brown midrib phenotype, whereas the two intronic alleles, PV03 27 H-12 and PV03 5 G-05, did not segregate for the mutant phenotype in their populations (data not shown). The PV03 48 F-09 allele, designated as bm6-P48F9, was also allelic in crosses with the bm6-ref allele (Fig. 1B). The extended reverse genetic analysis of 86 plants of the F2 population of the bm6-P48F9 allele demonstrated a complete linkage between the Mu-insertion in exon2 of the bm6-P48F9 allele and its brown midrib mutant phenotype.

Furthermore, RT-PCR expression analysis of the bm6-P48F9 allele detected a complete absence of a single larger size transcript than its WT sib and the recurrent inbred parent line (Fig.3C). The sequence analysis of the mature bm6-P48F9 transcript detected the presence of 149 bp of intron1 and a three bp addition each at two sites in exon2 as compared to the functional wildtype transcript of its WT sib (Fig S2). These changes in the bm6-P48F9 allele led to a frameshift and an early termination of its predicted polypeptide. Thus, a Muinsertion in exon2 of the bm6-P48F9 allele made it a null mutation, and these results provided independent support that we have isolated the correct gene for the bm6 locus. Furthermore, these results also suggest that the brown midrib phenotype in both bm6 alleles might be due to Mu-insertion interference leading to the lack of expression of the functional wild-type transcript of the bm6 gene. A minor transcript amplified in both mutant alleles, their WT sibs, and recurrent inbred parents by RT-PCR using GSPs PHN177410 and PHN177411 was cloned and sequenced (Fig.3C). Its sequences in both mutant alleles and their WT sibs were the same (data not shown) and aligned with Zm00001d026531, a homolog of Zm00001d001959 on chromosome 10, indicating that the homolog might have a different function.

Expression analysis of the ZmGch1

The bm6 gene is expressed in multiple plant tissues with maximum average expression in roots (650 PPTM) and leaf/shoot (575 PPTM), in 185 and 412 samples, respectively (Fig.5). The maximum average expression is 450 PPTM in embryo and pericarp, 300 PPTM in silk, 200 PPTM in immature ears, and 100 PPTM in meristem samples (Fig.5). The expression profile of Zm00001d001959 was also confirmed using the eFP Atlas Browser (Winter et al., 2007) from MaizeGDB, detecting maximum expression in the primary root (developmental zone, root cortex, and stele), crown roots at 1-5 days old seedlings, first internode (V5), SAM, stem, tassel (V18), post-pollination leaves (0-6 DAP), and pericarp (Hoopes et al., 2019). RNA-seq expression data from MaizeGDB further confirmed that maximum expression of Zm00001d001959 (60.1 FPKM; Fragment read Per Kilobase per Million mapped reads) is in germinating kernels (2 DAI), 58.1 FPKM in the root cortex of 5 days old seedlings, 36.9 FPKM in embryos (38 DAP), 30.6 FPKM in mature leaf (V8), 26.5 FPKM in V7-V8 internodes, and 18.7 FPKM in the meristem of 16-19 days old plants. These results indicate that Zm00001d001959 is expressed in the roots of germinating seedlings and then upregulated in mature leaves, internodes, and meristem tissues. A high Pearson correlation (0.7043) between Zm00001d001959 expression and the expression of the Folypolyglutamate synthase (FPGS) gene mapped on chromosome 9 was detected in the Solexa WgT database of the Corteva Agriscience indicating the functional role of *GTP1* cyclohydrolase1 in the tetrahydrofolate (THF) biosynthesis II pathway. FPGS plays multiple roles in plants. The maize *bm4* gene encodes a functional *folypolyglutamate synthase* (Li *et al.*, 2015), which is involved in the poly-glutamylation of THF as a part of one-carbon (C1) metabolism (Cossins and Chen, 1997; Mehrshahi *et al.*, 2010).

The bm6 candidate gene is a GTP cyclohydrolase1 (GCH1)

The Zm00001d001959 gene is a homolog of Arabidopsis AT3G07270, annotated as GTP cyclohydrolase1 (source: Araport11). The GTP cyclohydrolae1 is involved in 7,8-dihydroneopterin 3'-triphosphate, tetrahydrobiopterin, and tetrahydrofolate biosynthesis. GTP cyclohydrolase1 (GCH1) mediates the first and committing step of the pterin branch of the 6-hydroxymethyldihydropterin diphosphate biosynthesis I (https://pmn. plantcyc.org/ARA/NEW-IMAGE?object=PWY-6147). Based on the Gene Ontology provided by TAIR (The Arabidopsis Information Resource), this peptide is involved in tetrahydrofolate biosynthesis (https://pmn.plantcyc. org/ARA/NEW-IMAGE?object=PWY-3742). Tetrahydrofolate (vitamin B9) and its derivatives, commonly termed folates, are vital cofactors for enzymes mediating onecarbon-transfer reactions (Cossins and Chen, 1997; and Hanson et al., 2000). Folates are involved in a wide range of critical metabolic functions, including the biosynthesis of methionine, purines, thymidylate, and pantothenate. In plants, folates are also involved in photorespiration, amino acid metabolism, and chloroplastic protein biosynthesis (Hanson and Gregory, 2002; Jabrin et al., 2003). The fluxes through C1 pathways mediated by folates are exceptionally high for methylated compounds such as the secondary metabolites lignin, alkaloids, betaines, and primary metabolites such as choline, pectin, and chlorophyll (Hanson and Roje, 2001). The BLASTP search at NCBI revealed two identifiable conserved domains, GTP cyclohydrolase1 domain (IPR043134) and NADPH-dependent 7-cyano-7-deazaguanine reductase (IPR043133) in the ZmGCH1 polypeptide. The ZmGCH1 polypeptide is 476 amino acids (aa) long compared to 466 aa in Arabidopsis and 478 aa in sorghum. The deduced amino acid sequence of the maize GCH1 polypeptide was aligned with homologous polypeptides of both monocot and dicot plant species and presented in Figure 6. Among monocots, the GCH1 polypeptides of sorghum, foxtail millet (Setaria italica), and rice (Oryza sativa) were 92.9%, 88.9%, and 82.4% identical with maize at amino acid





level, respectively, in contrast to the barley (*Hordeum vulgare*), wheat (*Triticum aestivum*), and *Brachypodium distachyon* polypeptides have diverged from maize and showed only 78%, 77.3%, and 79.0% identity, respectively. Homologs in dicots, including Arabidopsis (*Arabidopsis thaliana*) and soybean (*Glycine max*), showed 49.4% and 49.6% identity with the ZmGCH1 polypeptide at the global alignment level, respectively. The multiple alignments also confirmed that two domains of the GCH1 polypeptides are highly conserved in all plant species (Fig. 6). The first GTP cyclohydrolase1 domain, IPR043134, is 156 aa long at N-terminal, and the second GTP cyclohydrolase1 /NADPH-dependent 7-cyano-7-deazaguanine reductase domain, IPR043133, is 186 aa long at C-terminal.

Potential use of Gch1 locus in Sorghum

The quality and advantages of sorghums with the brown midrib (BMR) gene are now well established, and BMR forages can equal the feeding value of corn silage at significantly lower water and input requirements as well as much lower seed costs (McCollum et al., 2010). The *bmr6* and *bmr12* mutants are *loss-of-function* mutations in sorghum genes orthologs of *bm1* (CAD) and *bm3* (COMT) of maize, respectively, and are being used commercially for silage (Sattler et al. 2010; Saballos et al., 2012). The highest global identity of SbGCH1 polypeptide is that of the maize GCH1 polypeptide, suggesting the conservation of function mutation

in sorghum could offer potential use as silage. Furthermore, a non-GMO brachytic dwarf, photoperiod sensitive, and male-sterile forage sorghum BMR 60D hybrids offered by sorghum seed industries might be ideal for delivering a much higher leaf to stalk ratio for improved feed quality and palatability in Europe (McCollum *et al.*, 2010).

Conclusions

In the present investigation, we confirmed mapping and fine-mapping results earlier reported by Chen and co-workers using the same bm6-ref allele (Chen et al., 2012) and identified a candidate gene for Bm6 by a map-based cloning approach. A loss-of-function mutation caused by the insertion of Mu1 in intron2 of GTP Cyclohydrolase1 (Gch1) was responsible for the bm6ref mutant phenotype. Isolation of additional alleles using reverse genetics and expression analysis validated the candidate gene for Bm6. ZmGch1 mediates the first step in the tetrahydrofolate (THF) biosynthetic process and acts upstream of the bm4 locus, encoding Folypolyglutamate synthase (FPGS) in the THF pathway. These results complete the characterization of the last known brown midrib mutant in maize and enhance the knowledge for its potential use in maize and sorghum.

Funding

This work was fully supported by Corteva Agriscience

Author Contributions

A.L. Identified a candidate gene for the *bm6-ref* mutation by map-based approach and isolated a causative insertion for the *bm6-ref* phenotype. S.J. Completed PCR-fingerprinting and RT-PCR expression analysis of both the *bm6-ref* and *bm6-P48F9* alleles to validate the candidate gene; L. H. Managed field nurseries and genotyping resources; T.H. Identified TUSC alleles using the Zm00001d001959 genomic sequence; R.B.M. provided resources for TUSC screening, and guidance for this research project; J.J. Identified recombinants for *bm6-ref* allele using seed-chipping and field nursery; K.D.S. Acquired germplasm, managed field nurseries, and assisted in manuscript preparation; D.S.M. Candidate gene isolation and validation, supervised both S.J. and T.H., data analysis, and prepared this manuscript.

Acknowledgement

We are extremely thankful to the members of the Johnston, IA, and Waimea, HI Corteva Agriscience Integrated Field Science group for their invaluable assistance on this project.

Accession Numbers

Maize Acc. No. ONM12562.1 (Zm00001d001959); sorghumAcc.No.XP_021319088.1 (SORBI_3006G254100); rice Acc. No. KAF2936351.1 (Os04g0662700); barley Acc. No. KAE8801101.1 (HORVU2Hr1G109980); wheat Acc. No. ABM54074.1 (TraesCSB02G521000); Brachypodium Acc. No. XP_003580753.1 (BRA-DI5G25070); Setaria Acc. No. XP_004960185.1 (Si021939m.g); and Arabidopsis Acc. No. NM_111607 (AT3G07270.2).

References

- Ali F, Scott P, Bakht J, Chen Y, Lübberstedt T, 2010. Identification of novel brown midrib genes in maize by tests of allelism. Plant Breed 129: 724-726 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0523.2010.01791.x
- Andrieu J, Demarquilly C, Dardenne P, Barrière Y, Lila M, Maupetit P, Rivière F, Femenias N, 1993. Composition and nutritive value of whole maize plants fed fresh to sheep. I. Factors of variation. Ann Zootech 42: 221-249 https://doi.org/10.1051/ animres:19930301
- Barrière Y, 2017. Brown-midrib genes in maize and their efficiency in dairy cow feeding. Perspectives for breeding improved silage maize targeting gene modifications in the monolignol and p-hydroxycinnamate pathways. Maydica 62: 1-19 https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01595409
- Barrière Y, Alber D, Dolstra O, Lapierre C, Motto M, Ordas A, van Waes J, Vlasminkel L, Welcker C, Monod JP, 2005. Past and prospects of forage maize breeding in Europe. I. The grass cell wall as a basis of genetic variation and future improvements in feeding value. Maydica 50: 259-274 http://hdl.handle.net/10261/42856
- Barrière Y, Emile JC, Surault F, 2003. Genetic variation of silage maize ingestibility in dairy cattle. Animal Res 52: 489-500 https://doi. org/10.1051/animres:2003042
- Barrière Y, Emile JC, Traineau R, Surault F, Briand M, Gallais A, 2004a. Genetic variation for organic matter and cell wall digestibility in silage maize. Lessons from a 34-year long experiment with sheep in digestibility crates. Maydica 49: 115-126 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02682464
- Barrière Y, Ralph J, Méchin V, Guillaumie S, Grabber JH, Argillier O, Chabbert B, Lapierre C, 2004b. Genetic and molecular basis of grass cell wall biosynthesis and degradability. II. Lessons from brown-midrib mutants. CR Biologie 327: 847-860 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crvi.2004.05.010

Barrière Y, Riboulet C, Méchin V, Maltese S,

Pichon M, Cardinal AJ, Lapierre C, Lübberstedt T, Martinant JP, 2007. Genetics and genomics of lignification in grass cell walls based on maize as a model system. Genes, Genomes and Genomics 1: 133–156

- Baucher M, Monties B, Van Montagu M, Boerjan W, 1998. Biosynthesis and genetic engineering of lignin. Critical Reviews in Plant Sci 17: 125-197 https://doi.org/10.1080/07352689891304203
- Boerjan W, Ralph J, Baucher M, 2003. Lignin biosynthesis. Ann Rev of Plant Biol 54: 519-546 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.54.031902.134938
- Chen Y, Liu H, Ali F, M. Scott P, Ji Q, Frei UK, Lübberstedt T, 2012. Genetic and physical fine mapping of the novel brown midrib gene *bm6* in maize (*Zea mays* L.) to a 180 kb region on chromosome 2. Theor Appl Genet 125: 1223-1235 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-012-1908-5
- Cherney JH, Cherney DJR, Akin DE, Axtell JD, 1991. Potential of brown-midrib, low-lignin mutants for improving forage quality. Adv Agron 46: 157-195 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2113(08)60580-5
- Cossins EA, Chen L, 1997. Folates and onecarbon metabolism in plants and fungi. Review Phytochemistry 45(3): 437-52 https://doi. org/10.1016/s0031-9422(96)00833-3
- Grabber JH, Mertens DR, Kim H, Funk C, Lu F, Ralph J, 2009. Cell wall fermentation kinetics are impacted more by lignin content and ferulate cross-linking than by lignin composition. J Sci Food Agric 89(1): 122-129 https://doi.org/10.1002/ jsfa.3418
- Grabber JH, Ralph J, Lapierre C, Barrièrec Y, 2004. Genetic and molecular basis of grass cell-wall degradability. I. Lignin–cell wall matrix interactions. Comptes Rendus Biologies 327(5): 455-465 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crvi.2004.02.009
- Grand C, Sarni F, Boudet AM, 1985. Inhibition of cinnamyl-alcohol-dehydrogenase activity and lignin synthesis in poplar (*Populus* x *euroamericana* Dode) tissue by two organic compounds. Planta 163: 232-237 https://doi. org/10.1007/bf00393512
- Hall TA, 1999. BioEdit: A user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symposium Series 41: 95-98
- Hanson AD, Gage DA, Shachar-Hill Y, 2000. Plant one-carbon metabolism and its engineering. Trends Plant Sci 5(5): 206-213 https://doi. org/10.1016/s1360-1385(00)01599-5
- Hanson AD, Roje S, 2001. One-carbon metabolism in higher plants. Ann Rev Plant Physiol Plant

Mol Biol 52: 119-137 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev. arplant.52.1.119

- Hanson AD, Gregory JF, 2002. Synthesis and turnover of folates in plants. Curr Opin Plant Biol 5(3): 244-249 https://doi.org/10.1016/s1369-5266(02)00249-2
- Halpin C, Holt K, Chojecki J, Oliver D, Chabbert B, Monties B, Edwards K, Barakate A, Foxon GA, 1998. Brown-midrib maize (*bm1*) - a mutation affecting the cinnamyl alcohol dehydrogenase gene. Plant Journal 14(5): 545-553 https://doi. org/10.1046/j.1365-313x.1998.00153.x
- Higgins DG, Sharp PM, 1989. Fast and sensitive multiple sequence alignments on a microcomputer. Comput Appl Biosci 5(2): 151-3 https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/5.2.151
- Hoopes GM, Hamilton JP, Wood JC, Esteban E, Pasha A, Vaillancourt B, Provart NJ, Buell CR, 2019. An updated gene atlas for maize reveals organ-specific and stress-induced genes. Plant J 97(6): 1154-1167 https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.14184
- Jabrin S, Ravanel S, Gambonnet B, Douce R, Rebeille F, 2003. One-carbon metabolism in plants. Regulation of tetrahydrofolate synthesis during germination and seedling development. Plant Physiol 131(3): 1431-1439. https://doi. org/10.1104/pp.016915
- Jorgenson LR, 1931. Brown midrib in maize and its lineage relations. J Am Soc Agron 23: 549-557 https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj1931.000219620023000 70005x
- Lauer JG, 1995. Corn hybrids for silage uses. Wisconsin Crop Manager 2(2): 16-18 http://corn. agronomy.wisc.edu/WCM/W012.aspx
- Lauer JG, Coors JG, Flannery PJ, 2001. Forage yield and quality of corn cultivars developed in different eras. Crop Sci 41: 1449-1455 https://doi. org/10.2135/cropsci2001.4151449x
- Li L, Hill-Skinner S, Liu S, Beuchle D, Tang HM, Yeh C-T, Nettleton D, Schnable PS, 2015. The maize brown midrib4 (bm4) gene encodes a functional folylpolyglutamate synthase. The Plant J 81: 493-504 https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.12745
- Lorenz AJ, Coors JG, de Leon N, Wolfrum EJ, Hames BR, Sluiter AD, Weimer PJ, 2009. Characterization, genetic variation, and combining ability of maize traits relevant to the production of cellulosic ethanol. Crop Sci 49(1): 85-98 https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2008.06.0306
- McCollum T, McCuistion K, Bean B, 2010. Brown Mid-rib and photoperiod-sensitive forage sorghums. The Agriculture Program, The Texas A&M University System, AREC 05-20. http:// agrilife.org/amarillo/files/2010/11/brownmidrib.pdf

- Meeley B, Briggs S, 1995. Reverse genetics for maize. Maize Gene. Coop Newslett 69: 67-67
- Mehrshahi P, Gonzalez-Jorge S, Akhtar TA, Ward JL, Santoyo-Castelazo A, Marcus SE, Lara-Núñez A, Ravanel S, Hawkins ND, Beale MH, Barrett DA, Knox JP, Gregory JF, Hanson AD, Bennett MJ, Dellapenna D, 2010. Functional analysis of folate polyglutamylation and its essential role in plant metabolism and development. Plant J 64: 267-279 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313x.2010.04336.x
- Moore KJ, Jung HJ, 2001. Lignin and fiber digestion. J Range. Manage 54: 420-430 https:// repository.arizona.edu/handle/10150/643890
- Riboulet C, Fabre F, Dénoue D, Martinant J, Lefevre B, Barrière Y, 2008. QTL mapping and candidate gene research from lignin content and cell wall digestibility in a top-cross of a flint maize recombinant inbred line progeny harvested at silage stage. Maydica 53: 1–9
- Saballos A, Sattler SE, Sanchez E, Foster TP, Xin Z, Kang C, Pedersen JF, Wilfred Vermerris W, 2012. Brown midrib2 (Bmr2) encodes the major 4-coumarate: coenzyme A ligase involved in lignin biosynthesis in sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench). Plant J 70 (5): 818-830 https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2012.04933.x
- Sattler SE, Funnell-Harris DL, Pedersen JF, 2010. Efficacy of singular and stacked brown midrib 6 and 12 in the modification of lignocellulose and grain chemistry. J Agric Food Chem 58: 3611-3616 https://doi.org/10.1021/jf903784j

- Tang HM, Liu S, Hill-Skinner S, Wu W, Reed D, Yeh C-T, Nettleton D, Schnable PS, 2014. The maize brown midrib2 (bm2) gene encodes a methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase that contributes to lignin accumulation. Plant J 77: 380–392 https://dx.doi.org/10.1111%2Ftpj.12394
- Vignols F, Rlgau J, Torres MA, Capellades M, Puigdomènech P, 1995. The brown midrib3 (bm3) mutation in maize occurs in the gene encoding caffeic acid o-methyltransferase. Plant Cell 7: 407-416 https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.7.4.407
- Weng J-K, Chapple C, 2010. The origin and evolution of lignin biosynthesis. New Phytologist 187 (2): 273-285 https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.1469-8137.2010.03327.x
- Winter D, Vinegar B, Nahal H, Ammar R, Wilson GV, Provart NJ, 2007. An "Electronic Fluorescent Pictograph" browser for exploring and analyzing large-scale biological data sets. PLoS One 2 (8): e718 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0000718
- Xiong W, Wu Z, Liu Y, Li Y, Su K, Bai Z, Guo S, Hu Z, Zhang Z, Bao Y, Sun J, Yang G, Fu C, 2019. Mutation of 4-coumarate: coenzyme A ligase 1 gene affects lignin biosynthesis and increases the cell wall digestibility in maize brown midrib5 mutants. Biotech Biofuels 12: 82 https:// doi.org/10.1186/s13068-019-1421-z

Supplemental Table S1 - A list of the gene-specific primers (GSPs) designed from an 8276 bp genomic sequence of Zm00001d001959, a candidate gene for the *bm6-ref* mutation. The primers with PHN numbers were used in reverse genetics to identify *Mutator* transposon insertion alleles in the TUSC study. Due to difficulties in amplifying some sequence regions, nested primers (denoted by N letter at the end of the forward or reverse primer name) were designed and used in PCR amplifications. The color-highlighted primers detect polymorphism (absence/presence) between the *bm6-ref* allele and its WT sib, and the "Gene Region" column describes the primer location.

Primer Name	Primer Sequence (5' - 3')	Gene Region
PHN177357	TCCCATGCTACTCTACTCTGGCTGCCG	Upstream of 5'-UTR
bm6_2430_1F	ATGCTACTCTACTCTGGCTGCCG	Upstream of 5'-UTR
bm6_2430_1FN	GCGCCTCAGAAAATGTTACCACG	Upstream of 5'-UTR
PHN177359	GATCCTGATCCAACACACATCTCGAGGC	5'-UTR
PHN178410	ACCCCATCGCTTCGTCGCTA	5'UTR
bm6_2430_2F	TTCGTGGATCCGTCGGTAACAA	Intron2
bm6_2430_2FN	TTCGTCCCCGTGCTTTGTCT	Intron2
bm6_2430_1RN	CCGCTCTATAGCGTGGTGTATGC	Intron2
bm6_2430_1R	CGTTCAAATGACATACGGCGTAG	Intron2
PHN177358	CCATACGCGTTCAAATGACATACGGCG	Intron2
bm6_2430_3F	GGCACTTTACTTGCCACTTAATAGT	Intron2
bm6_2430_3FN	GTTGACTATTTATTATGCCTGACGAC	Intron2
bm6_2430_2RN	TCAGAGGTGCTGATGTTGTGAGC	Intron2
bm6_2430_2R	TTACAGCAACAAAGCAACGCAA	Intron2
bm6_2430_4F	GGGTTTTGTCATTTTGGAATTAATG	Intron2
bm6_2430_4FN	GAATGGGCAAGAACATTGATAAATTT	Intron2
bm6_2430_3RN	CAATTTTAAACACACAAACTTCTGTCTC	Intron2
bm6_2430_3R	GTTTTCAAGTACTTAAAGAAGCAACC	Intron2
bm6_2430_5F	GCAGAGTAGATGAGGTGGTGTTAAG	Intron2
bm6_2430_5FN	GGTCTACAAAAAGACGGCATGCT	Intron2
bm6_2430_4RN	CATGTGCATTTCTACAACACTTATCTG	Intron2
bm6_2430_4R	CATAAATCCAATGCAACATGTGC	Intron2
bm6_2430_6F	TTGTTTATCAAGGTGCAGATCGC	Intron2
bm6_2430_6FN	GAGCCAACTTCGAGTCGAGCCT	Intron2
bm6_2430_5RN	TTTGTATCGCCTCTTAGGATCCC	Intron2
bm6_2430_5R	GGCCTTCTCCTGTCTCTCTCC	Intron2
bm6_2430_7F	TGTAATTTTGAGCAAACGAGCCA	Intron2
bm6_2430_7FN	TCCAATGTCCAACCTCATTTTGA	Intron2
bm6_2430_6RN	GGAGCAGGACCTATCAGCTTCAA	Intron2
bm6_2430_6R	TTTATTGCTCCCAACGAAGGC	Intron2
bm6_2430_8F	TGAGTCATGCTTGCTTCCATTCA	Exon3
bm6_2430_8FN	GCATACAGTGCCATGTCGGG	Exon3
bm6_2430_7RN	GCAGAGCAACAGCCACACCAG	Exon3
bm6_2430_7R	TGGTAAAGGAATGTGCCAGCACT	Exon3
PHN177360	GCAGCACTTTCTGGAGTGACTTCTTGGC	Exon3
PHN178411	TTAGCCTCCACGTCTATGCCCC	Exon3
bm6_2430_9F	TGTGAACACCATCTTCTGCCCT	Exon3
bm6_2430_9FN	TTGGGTACTTTGGCAATGGAAG	Exon3
bm6_2430_8RN	GCCCCATTGTGCGAAACTGAA	Exon3
bm6_2430_8R	TGCAAATGTGGTTGGCCTCA	Exon3
PHN177362	CGATCGGCGTAACATCAAATTCAACGGT	3'-UTR
bm6_2430_9RN	AAATTGGGATGACAAGAGCATGC	Downstream of 3'-UTR
bm6_2430_9R	CAGGATTCAATTCGAACATCGTG	Downstream of 3'-UTR
PHN177361	GGAACGTCCGAAATACGTGAGCCGTG	Downstream of 3'-UTR



Supplemental Figure S1 - Identification of Mu-insertional alleles using TUSC resources of the Corteva Agriscience. A and C - Gel electrophoresis of the PCR products of F2 plants using a forward GSP (PHN177359) in combination with the Mu-TIR primer and a reverse GSP (PHN177358) in combination with Mu-TIR primer, respectively. B and D - Southern Blot (SB) analysis of the PCR products from A and C electrophoresis gels using a DNA probe from Zm00001d001959 gene amplified by two GSPs, PHN177359 and PHN177358. E and F - Selected PCR bands from gels A and C were excised for cloning and sequencing to determine the Mutator-insertion sites in the Zm00001d001959 genomic sequence.

	10	20	30	40	50	60	70
bm6-ref	ACCCCATCGCTTCGTCGC	TACGCA <mark>GTT</mark>	CGGTCCACCTAT	ссттесстессте	CATCGCGTTTTAT	ΓΑΤ	
ZmBm6-WT	ACCCCATCGCTTCGTCGC	TAGTACGCA					
bm6-P48F9	ACCCCATCGCTTCGTCGC	TAGTACGCAG	TTCGGTCCACCTA	ATC			
	80	90	100	110	120	130	140
bm6-ref	TCCCGTCCTTCTTCATT						
ZmBm6-WT							
bm6-P48F9	TATCGCCTCCT	TGTCGGCCGI	CGTTATATTTCGC	CGGCCGATCCTTC	GTTCAGTT		
	150	160	170	180	190	200	210
bm6-ref		C	GGCCCTTCGCCG	CTGCTATATTTCG	CGGCCGCCTTG	CCTCCTAATAATAG	
ZmBm6-WT							
bm6-P48F9	GTTCCTTTT	TCCCCATTTG	CGCCCCTTCGTC	GCTGCTATATTCC	GCGACCGCCT	CGCCTAATAATAG	
	220	230	240	250	260	270	280
bm6-ref	CCGCCGCGGGCAGTGGA	TACCGCCACC	GCCACCGCCATO	GGAGCGCTCGA	GGAGGCCCACC	TCGCGGCCG	
ZmBm6-WT	TGGATACCGACA		CCATGGGAGCGC	TCGAGGAGGCC	CACCTCGCGGCC	CG	
bm6-P48F9	TCGCCGCGGGCAGTGGA	YACCGCGACC	GCCACCGTCATG	GGAGCGCTCGA	GGGGGCCCACCT	rcgcggccg	
	290	300	310	320	330	340	350
bm6-ref	CCGCGTGCGCGTGCGA	GGAGGAGGA	GGAAAGCGACTA				000
ZmBm6-WT	CCGCGTGCGCGTGCGA	GGAGGAGGA	GGAAAGCGACTA	ACATCGGCTTCCT	CGCAGGGGAA	AGCGGC	
bm6-P48F9	TCGCTTGCGCGTGTGACG	ATGACGAGGA	AGCAAAGCGACT	GCATCCCCCTGC	TCGCAGGGGAC	GCCGCGAC	
	360	370	380	390	400	410	420
bm6-ref	GGCGGGCGACGCCGTGG	AGCCGGCCG	TGCGCGCCCTGC	CTGCTGGGGCTC	GGTGAGGACGA	CCGCCGCGAG	
ZmBm6-WT	GGCGGGCGACGCCGTGG	GAGCCGGCCG	TGCGCGCCCTGC	CTGCTGGGGCTC	GGTGAGGACGA	CCGCCGCGAG	
bm6-P48F9	GGCGGCCGACGCCATGG	AGCCGGCGG	TGCGCGCGCTCC	TGCTGGGGCTCC	GGCGAGGACGAC	CCGCCGCGAG	
	430	440	450	460	470	480	490
bm6-ref	GGCCTGCTCCGGACGCC	CAAGCGCGTC	GCCAAGGCCTTC	CGCGACGGCAC	CCGAGGCTACAC	GCAAAAAG	
ZmBm6-WT	GGCCTGCTCCGGACGCC	CAAGCGCGTC	GCCAAGGCCTTC	CGCGACGGCAC	CCGAGGCTACAC	GCAAAAAG	
bm6-P48F9	GGACTGCGCCGGACGCC	CAAGCGCGTC	CTCCAAGGCCTTC	CGCGACGGCAC	CCGAGGTTACAG	IGCAAAAAG	
	570	580	590	600	610	620	630
bm6-ref	AACTGGCGGGCAAGTAG	ITGTTCGAGAC	CATTGAACTTTTC	ICCTATTGTGAGT	CATGCTTGCTTCC	CATTC	
ZmBm6-WT	AACTGGCGGGCAAGTAG	TTGTTCGAGAG	CATTGAACTTTTC	ICCTATTGTGAGT	CATGCTTGCTTCC	CATTC	
bm6-P48F9	AACTGGCGGGCAAGTAGT	ITGTCCGAGAG	CATTGAACTTTTC	TCATACTGCGAG	rcatgcttgcttc	CATTC	
	640	650	660	670	680	690	700
bm6-ref	AGCATACAGTGCCATGTG	GGGTATGTTC	CCTCAGGTGGAA	GAGTGGTTGGGT	TAAGCAAGCTTT	CTAGAG	,
ZmBm6-WT	AGCATACAGTGCCATGTC	GGGTATGTTC	CCTCAGGTGGAA	GAGTGGTTGGGT	TAAGCAAGCTTT	CTAGAG	
bm6-P48F9	AGCATACAGTGCCATGTT	GGGTATGTTCC	CTCGGGTGGAA	GGGTGGTTGGGT	TAAGCAAGCTTT	CTAGAG	
	710	720	730	7/10	750	760	770
hm6-ref	TATCTGATGTCTTTGCCAA	GAGATTGCAA					770
ZmBm6-WT	TATCTGATGTCTTTGCCAA	GAGATTGCAA		CTAGCTAATGAA		CTGCA	
bm6-P48F9	TGTCTGATGTCTTTGCCAA	GAGATTGCAA	AACCCTCAAAGA		GTCTGTGGTGCA	ACTGCA	

Supplemental Figure S2 - The multiple alignments of the mature transcript sequences of *bm6-P48F9* compared to the *bm6-ref* allele and its wild-type sib. The intronic DNA sequence present in the mature transcripts of *bm6-ref* and *bm6-P48F9* alleles is highlighted by blue letters, whereas the exonic sequence of the ZmGCH1 gene is represented by bold black letters.

17