

KARDIOLOGIA Polska

Polish Heart Journal The Official Peer-reviewed Journal of the Polish Cardiac Society since 1957

**Online first** 

This is a provisional PDF only. Copyedited and fully formatted version will be made available soon

ISSN 0022-9032

# Subclavian angioplasty during coronary interventions using radial approach

Authors: Ahmed Mahmoud El Amrawy, Santiago Camacho-Freire, Antonio Gomez-Menchero, Rosa Cardenal-Piris, Jose Francisco Diaz-Fernandez
Article type: Original article
Received: December 18, 2021
Accepted: June 20, 2022
Early publication date: June 20, 2022

This article is available in open access under Creative Common Attribution-Non-Commercial-No Derivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) license, allowing to download articles and share them with others as long as they credit the authors and the publisher, but without permission to change them in any way or use them commercially.

# Subclavian angioplasty during coronary interventions using radial approach

Ahmed Mahmoud El Amrawy<sup>1, 2</sup>, Santiago Camacho-Freire<sup>2</sup>, Antonio Gomez-Menchero<sup>2</sup>, Rosa Cardenal-Piris<sup>2</sup>, Jose Francisco Diaz-Fernandez<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Cardiology, Faculty of Medicine, Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt <sup>2</sup>Department of Interventional Cardiology, Juan Ramon Jimenez University Hospital, Huelva, Spain

## **Correspondence to:**

Ahmed Mahmoud El Amrawy, MD, Department of Cardiology, Faculty of Medicine, Alexandria University, Champollion Street, Alexandria, Egypt, phone: +20 100 622 29 22, e-mail: dr.ahmed.elamrawy@hotmail.com

Conflict of interests: None declared. Funding: None.

# WHAT'S NEW?

Transradial approach is the routine access for percutaneous coronary procedures in most centers. However, there are still complex cases in which the radial access may not be successful due to presence of severe atherosclerotic disease or stenosis in the radial or subclavian artery. We present a series of 48 patients with complex forearm approach due to subclavian stenosis, for which subclavian angioplasty was performed during the procedure. All the included patients did not have alternative vascular accesses. According to our knowledge, this is the first series in which subclavian angioplasty is performed *via* the radial route. We have found that percutaneous subclavian artery angioplasty can be done safely to facilitate complex transradial coronary procedures, especially in patients without alternative vascular access. Also, we may postulate that subclavian angioplasty may be successfully performed in patients with symptomatic upper limb ischemia, via the radial approach.

# ABSTRACT

**Background:** In the past years, the percentage of percutaneous coronary angiography and interventions using the radial access had significantly increased due to its higher safety, lower risk of major bleeding and hence lower cardiovascular mortality. Subclavian artery stenosis is one of the challenges that may be met during transradial coronary interventions, which may necessitate femoral access crossover or conversion.

**Aims:** To evaluate the feasibility and safety of performing subclavian angioplasty via the radial access, during complex coronary interventions using forearm approach.

**Methods:** A series of patients with complex radial approach due to subclavian stenosis, for which subclavian angioplasty was performed during the procedure. Forty-eight patients out of 22 500 procedures performed, from February 2009 to February 2020, were included. All patients did not have alternative vascular access due to extensive peripheral arterial disease (previous history of iliac stenting or distal aortic occlusion which makes femoral access crossover difficult, also the contralateral radial/ulnar artery was very faint or not felt at all).

**Results:** Mean age was 72 (10) years and 67% were males. Subclavian angioplasty was successfully done in all patients *via* the ipsilateral radial access; 91.7% (44 patients) required subclavian stenting and 4 patients were treated by subclavian angioplasty without stenting. Coronary angiography or intervention was perfectly done through the revascularized subclavian artery; coronary stenting was successfully done in 36 patients as indicated.

**Conclusions:** It can be concluded that percutaneous subclavian artery angioplasty can be done safely and effectively to facilitate complex transradial coronary procedures, with an acceptable immediate technical success, especially in patients without alternative vascular access. Also, we may conclude that subclavian angioplasty may be successfully performed in patients with symptomatic upper limb ischemia, *via* the radial approach.

Key words: transradial approach, percutaneous coronary intervention, peripheral intervention

# INTRODUCTION

In the past years, the percentage of percutaneous diagnostic coronary angiography and coronary interventions by radial or ulnar access had increased significantly. This increase is attributed to the reduction in mortality, major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE), rate of major bleeding and vascular complications, hence the improved safety with the radial or ulnar approach [1, 2].

Diffuse atherosclerotic disease of the radial, ulnar or subclavian arteries, repeated procedures, iatrogenic dissection, and the need for larger diameter intervention catheters are the most encountered obstacles and the most frequent causes for femoral crossover or conversion [3–5]. Subclavian artery stenosis is associated with higher cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. It remains an important cause of upper limb, brain and cardiac ischemia [6].

Subclavian artery angioplasty is an alternative to femoral crossover in complex radial or ulnar access. Subclavian angioplasty procedures have been performed with good success in symptomatic patients with critical upper limb ischemia, however, their use in patients undergoing transradial coronary procedures is still not clearly known [7, 8].

The aim of this study was to underline the safety and efficacy of performing subclavian angioplasty via the transradial approach during coronary intervention procedures.

#### **METHODS**

We present a series of procedures with complex radial approach due to subclavian stenosis, for which subclavian angioplasty was performed during the procedure. All patients had manifestations of ipsilateral upper limb ischemia in the form of claudications, and difficult alternative vascular accesses due to diffuse and advanced atherosclerotic peripheral vascular disease. In all the included patients, the ipsilateral radial artery was felt but the contralateral side was faint or not felt. We set goals of efficacy and safety that included the success rate of the procedure and the existence of radial/ulnar pulse at follow up. Before performing the subclavian angioplasty, other strategies like sheathless catheters, 4–5 F catheters, and balloon assisted tracking over angioplasty wire were tried without success [9]. All patients were on antiplatelet therapy and immediately after the cannulation of the ipsilateral radial artery, cocktail was administered through the introducer with 5000 IU of unfractionated heparin and 200 mcgr of nitroglycerin. In cases of suspicion of vasospasm, boluses with nitroglycerin or verapamil and sedatives were administered.

There were 48 cases of subclavian angioplasty out of 22 500 coronary procedures from February 2009 to February 2020, patients presenting with acute coronary syndrome (ACS) were excluded.

These were the steps of the procedure (Figure 1):

• Access through the radial route and advancing the 6 F introducer sufficiently to progress the catheters through the artery.

- Proceeding with hydrophilic 0.035" guidewire or if not possible, a 0.014" or 0.018" angioplasty guidewire trying to negotiate the stenosed subclavian artery.
- Progressing the peripheral over-the-wire (OTW) balloons and dilating the diseased segment.
- Proceeding with the coronary procedure and intervention as needed.
- Performing control injection at the end of the procedure to assess for residual stenosis or possible complications resulting from subclavian angioplasty and stenting of the diseased segment if needed.

The following data were collected: patients' demographics and risk factors, coronary angiographic data, subclavian angioplasty procedure details (wires used, balloons for predilatation or postdilatation, and subclavian stenting), and outcomes (success of subclavian angioplasty and success of coronary interventions).

Follow up was performed at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months postprocedure. Clinical follow up included recording of vital signs and palpation of the radial and ulnar pulse in all visits. Arterial duplex was performed at 6 and 12 months after the angioplasty procedure. Follow up echocardiography was performed at one month and one year after the coronary intervention.

## Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS version 25.0). Categorical variables are expressed as absolute values and percentages. Continuous variables were expressed as mean (standard deviation [SD]).

#### RESULTS

#### Patients' characteristics (Table 1)

Most of the patients were males (67%) with multiple cardiovascular risk factors (83% hypertensive, 75% dyslipidemic [low-density lipoprotein, LDL cholesterol, >160 mg/dl or triglycerides, TGs, >200 mg/dl], and 83% diabetic). Peripheral arterial disease (PAD) was previously documented in all patients; 36 patients had a previous history of iliac stenting, four patients had occluded distal aorta and 67% of patients had a previous history of coronary artery disease (CAD; stable anginal symptoms or previous history of ACS or coronary revascularization). Five patients (10.4%) were having atrial fibrillation and taking anticoagulation (non-vitamin K oral antagonist [NOAC], three patients were taking apixaban and two patients were taking rivaroxaban), statins were used in all patients, and 44 patients

(91.7%) were taking antiplatelets. Mean hemoglobin concentration was 13.5 (2.1) g/dl, and serum creatinine level was 1.0 (0.9) mg/dl. 26 patients were overweight (body mass index [BMI], 25–29.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), and five patients (10.4%) were obese (BMI >30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>).

## Procedural data (Table 2)

Regarding angiographic data, severe arteriosclerotic stenosis of the subclavian artery was found in most of the included patients, and only four patients (8.3%) had a totally occluded subclavian artery which was successfully crossed with a steerable stiff 0.014-inch wires (e.g., ASAHI Confianza [Abbott Vascular, Santa Clara, CA, US]). Angioplasty was performed with different types of OTW peripheral balloons, the most commonly used balloon diameter was 6 mm. All cases were done with 6 F guiding catheters. Subclavian stenting was performed in 44 patients (91.7%) and four patients did not require stenting due to good luminal gain in control angiography performed at the end of the procedure. Balloon expandable stents were used in 50% of patients. Eight patients required using two stents for treating the subclavian stenosis. Destination introducer, to correct radial/brachial tortuosity, with a 6 F therapeutic catheter was used in eight patients. 75% of patients had significant CAD that was treated by coronary stenting, and 25% had non-significant CAD. For closure of the radial artery, a pneumatic brace system for 4–6 hours was used. Aspirin 75–100 mg was given to all patients, clopidogrel was in used in 38 patients (79.2%), and ticagrelor in 5 patients (10.4%).

### Follow-up (Table 2)

With follow up at 1, 3, 6 months, and one year; ipsilateral radial and ulnar pulse was well felt in all patients. Arterial duplex showed patent ipsilateral peripheral circulation in all treated patients. Four patients required repeat coronary angiography and the subclavian stent was found to be widely patent in all of them. Patients with atrial fibrillation were maintained on aspirin and anticoagulants for 6 months, then aspirin stopped. No MACE (myocardial infarction, stroke, arrhythmia, or mortality) was recorded in any of the included patients.

#### DISCUSSION

Nowadays, the transradial approach in coronary angiography and percutaneous coronary interventions (PCI) is an attractive alternative for the femoral approach. The expanded use of transradial approach originates from its high procedural success, reduced risk of major access site related bleeding, lower mortality, increased patient comfort, and cost reduction [1, 2].

However, there are still complex cases in which the radial access may not be successful due to presence of severe atherosclerotic disease or stenosis in the subclavian artery [3–5].

The evolution of the transradial approach over the last few years brought along new procedural difficulties that should be overcome by evolving techniques. As in the above described cases, atherosclerotic disease or stenosis of the subclavian artery is a major obstacle to a successful radial approach and may result in complications or conversion to a transfemoral approach. The radial access is routinely used in our center and we try to overcome any difficulties in the access site before shifting to alternative access without causing harm to the patients. Subclavian artery angioplasty represents a useful technique to solve this obstacle in symptomatic patients undergoing coronary angiography or PCI.

We included 48 cases of symptomatic subclavian artery stenosis, all patients had one or more risk factors for atherosclerosis and had a documented history of extensive PAD; which omitted the possibility of using other vascular access or shifting to femoral access. In the included patients, the ipsilateral radial artery was felt but the contralateral side was faint or not felt which made shifting to the contralateral side impractical. Also shifting to the femoral access was not feasible as all of the included patients had extensive lower limb arteriopathy and having history of iliac intervention or distal aortic occlusion. When thoroughly analyzing the patients' history, we found that most of the patients had symptomatic upper extremity ischemic symptoms. All patients underwent successful subclavian angioplasty through the radial approach, with or without stent implantation.

In most published series [8], left subclavian artery angioplasty predominates over right, perhaps because of some reservation over angioplasty at a site near the right common carotid origin. However, in our series, most of the cases (32 out of 48) had right subclavian artery disease which were successfully treated percutaneously without complications.

For the treatment of symptomatic hand ischemia, endovascular treatment with percutaneous angioplasty is now considered the first line therapy for above elbow arterial diseases. Surgical revascularization is reserved for difficult cases with unfavorable anatomy to percutaneous approach. The risk of new neurological or ischemic sequelae following subclavian angioplasty is very small [7, 8, 10].

Although the primary aim of our procedure was to open the subclavian artery in order to continue the percutaneous coronary procedure, but this may raise the possibility of adopting the radial access or route for performing ipsilateral subclavian angioplasty while percutaneously treating symptomatic subclavian stenosis. According to our knowledge, this is the largest series in which subclavian angioplasty is performed via the radial route using a

single ipsilateral access, unlike most of the published series [10-13]; in which the femoral route was the standard access.

# CONCLUSION

Percutaneous subclavian artery angioplasty through the radial route is a safe and effective tool in symptomatic patients during complex transradial coronary procedures, with an acceptable immediate technical success, leading to reduction in the need for femoral crossover which may not be feasible in all patients especially those at high cardiovascular risk or having extensive PAD. Also, we may conclude that subclavian angioplasty may be successfully performed in patients with symptomatic upper limb ischemia, via the radial approach.

# **Study limitations**

- The main limitation is the type of the study, retrospective and non-comparative. Further studies may be needed to validate and confirm the findings in our study.
- Screening for subclavian stenosis was not routinely performed in all patients, it was only diagnosed when the percutaneous catheters or guidewires could not advance into the aorta, this may underestimate the prevalence subclavian stenosis in the studied population.
- Contralateral subclavian artery was not injected to look for contralateral subclavian disease.

# REFERENCES

- Rao S, Tremmel J, Gilchrist I, et al. Best practices for transradial angiography and interventions: A consensus statement from the society for cardiovascular angiography and intervention's transradial working group. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv. 2013; 83(2): 228–236, doi: 10.1002/ccd.25209, indexed in Pubmed: 24123781.
- Ferrante G, Rao SV, Jüni P, et al. Radial versus femoral access for coronary interventions across the entire spectrum of patients with coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2016; 9(14): 1419–1434, doi: <u>10.1016/j.jcin.2016.04.014</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>27372195</u>.
- 3. Abdelaal E, Brousseau-Provencher C, Montminy S, et al. Risk score, causes, and clinical impact of failure of transradial approach for percutaneous coronary

interventions. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2013; 6(11): 1129–1137, doi: 10.1016/j.jcin.2013.05.019, indexed in Pubmed: 24139933.

- Shadman R, Criqui MH, Bundens WP, et al. Subclavian artery stenosis: prevalence, risk factors, and association with cardiovascular diseases. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2004; 44(3): 618–623, doi: <u>10.1016/j.jacc.2004.04.044</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>15358030</u>.
- Dehghani P, Mohammad A, Bajaj R, et al. Mechanism and predictors of failed transradial approach for percutaneous coronary interventions. JACC Cardiovasc Interv. 2009; 2(11): 1057–1064, doi: <u>10.1016/j.jcin.2009.07.014</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>19926044</u>.
- Ochoa VM, Yeghiazarians Y. Subclavian artery stenosis: a review for the vascular medicine practitioner. Vasc Med. 2011; 16(1): 29–34, doi: 10.1177/1358863X10384174, indexed in Pubmed: 21078767.
- Rodriguez-Lopez JA, Werner A, Martinez R, et al. Stenting for atherosclerotic occlusive disease of the subclavian artery. Ann Vasc Surg. 1999; 13(3): 254–260, doi: 10.1007/s100169900254, indexed in Pubmed: 10347257.
- Iared W, Mourão JE, Puchnick A, et al. Angioplasty versus stenting for subclavian artery stenosis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014(5): CD008461, doi: <u>10.1002/14651858.CD008461.pub3</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>24833157</u>.
- Patel T, Shah S, Pancholy S, et al. Balloon-assisted tracking: a must-know technique to overcome difficult anatomy during transradial approach. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv. 2014; 83(2): 211–220, doi: <u>10.1002/ccd.24959</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>23592578</u>.
- Chatterjee S, Nerella N, Chakravarty S, et al. Angioplasty alone versus angioplasty and stenting for subclavian artery stenosis--a systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Ther. 2013; 20(5): 520–523, doi: <u>10.1097/MJT.0b013e31822831d8</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>23344091</u>.
- 11. Yu J, Korabathina R, Coppola J, et al. Transradial approach to subclavian artery stenting. J Invasive Cardiol. 2010; 22(5): 204–206, indexed in Pubmed: <u>20440034</u>.
- Kedev S, Zafirovska B, Petkoska D, et al. Results of transradial subclavian artery percutaneous interventions after bilateral or single access. Am J Cardiol. 2016; 118(6): 918–923, doi: <u>10.1016/j.amjcard.2016.06.029</u>, indexed in Pubmed: <u>27471055</u>.
- Jiang XJ, Zhang HM, Wu HY, et al. Procedural success rate and short-term outcomes of percutaneous interventional therapy for severe subclavian artery stenosis in 152 patients [article in Chinese]. Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi. 2007; 35(4): 316– 319, indexed in Pubmed: <u>17711655</u>.



**Figure 1.** Angiogram showing: **A.** Severe stenosis in the right subclavian artery. **B.** Crossing with 0.018" guide wire. **C.** Dilatation with balloon  $5 \times 40$  mm. **D.** Two self-expandable stents  $6 \times 40$  mm and  $6 \times 60$  mm were deployed. **E.** Postdilatation with  $7 \times 40$  mm balloon. **F.** Good angiographic result

Age, years, mean (SD)	72 (10)
Male sex, n (%)	32 (67)
Active smoker, n (%)	24 (50)
Hypertensive, n (%)	40 (83)
Diabetes mellitus, n (%)	40 (83)
Atrial fibrillation, n (%)	5 (10.4)
Hyperlipidemic (LDL cholesterol >160 mg/dl or TGs >200 md/dl), n (%)	36 (75)
Peripheral artery disease, n (%)	48 (100)
Previous peripheral (iliac) stenting, n (%)	36 (75)
Prior ischemic heart disease (stable anginal symptoms or previous ACS or coronary revascularisation), n (%)	32 (67)

Previous coronary intervention by the same approach, n	8 (17)
(%)	
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	
Overweight, BMI, 25–29.9 kg/m <sup>2</sup> , n (%)	26 (54.2)
Obese, BMI >30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> , n (%)	5 (10.4)
Chronic kidney disease, eGFR <30 ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> , n	4 (8)
(%)	
Hemoglobin level, g/dl, mean (SD)	13.5 (2.1)
Serum creatinine, mg/dl, mean (SD)	1.0 (0.9)
Medications, n (%)	
Antiplatelets	44 (91.7)
NOAC	5 (10.4)
Statins	48 (100)

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; LDL, lowdensity lipoprotein; NOAC, non-vitamin K oral antagonist; TGs, triglycerides;

Multivessel coronary disease, n (%)	32 (67)
Angiographic severe coronary calcification, n (%)	16 (33)
Totally occluded subclavian artery, n (%)	4 (8)
Right subclavian artery disease, n (%)	32 (67)
Wires used, n (%)	
0.014"	32 (67)
0.018"	16 (33)
Exchange to 0.035" wire	24 (50)
(after predilatation)	
Balloon predilatation, n (%)	48 (100)
Predilatation balloon diameter, mm, mean (SD)	4.8 (1.5)
Predilatation balloon length, mm, mean (SD)	60 (34)
Subclavian stenting, n (%)	44 (91.7)
Patients requiring two stents, n (%)	8 (17)
Subclavian stent diameter, mm, mean (SD)	6.25 (0.9)
Subclavian stent length, mm, mean (SD)	59.7 (19.5)

# Table 2. Procedural data and follow-up

Balloon postdilatation, n (%)	16 (33)
Postdilatation balloon diameter, mm, mean (SD)	7.0 (0.9)
Postdilatation balloon length, mm, mean (SD)	50 (11.5)
Vascular complications, n (%)	0
Coronary PCI, n (%)	36 (75)
Long sheath 90 cm, n (%)	8 (17)
Successful coronary intervention, n (%)	48 (100)
Medications, n (%)	
Aspirin	48 (100)
Clopidogrel	38 (79.2)
Ticagrelor	5 (10.4)
NOACs	5 (10.4)
Follow-up, n (%)	
Patency of ipsilateral forearm pulsations	48 (100)
MACE	0

Abbreviations: MACE, major adverse cardiovascular events; PCI, percutaneous coronary interventions; other — see Table 1