

This is a provisional PDF only. Copyedited and fully formatted version will be made available soon.



ISSN: 0015-5659

e-ISSN: 1644-3284

Congenital venous anomalies associated with retrocaval ureter: evaluation using computed tomography

Authors: T. Ichikawa, S. Ono, Y. Nagafuji, M. Kobayashi, H. Yashiro, J. Koizumi, F. Uchiyama, Y. Fujii, T. Hasebe, H. Terayama, J. Hashimoto

DOI: 10.5603/FM.a2022.0036

Article type: Original article

Submitted: 2022-02-01

Accepted: 2022-03-07

Published online: 2022-04-05

This article has been peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance. It is an open access article, which means that it can be downloaded, printed, and distributed freely, provided the work is properly cited.

Articles in "Folia Morphologica" are listed in PubMed.

Congenital venous anomalies associated with retrocaval ureter: evaluation using computed tomography

T. Ichikawa et al., Congenital venous anomaly of retrocaval ureter

T. Ichikawa¹, S. Ono¹, Y. Nagafuji², M. Kobayashi³, H. Yashiro³, J. Koizumi⁴, F. Uchiyama², Y. Fujii⁵, T. Hasebe⁶, H. Terayama⁷, J. Hashimoto¹

¹Department of Radiology, Tokai University School of Medicine, Isehara-si, Japan

²Department of Radiology, Ebina General Hospital, Ebina, Japan

³Department of Radiology, Hirastuka City Hospital, Kanagawa, Japan

⁴Department of Radiology, Chiba University, Chiba, Japan

⁵Department of Radiology, Fujisawa City Hospital, Kanagawa, Japan

⁶Department of Radiology, Tokai University Hachioji Hospital, Tokyo, Japan

⁷Department of Anatomy, Tokai University School of Medicine, Kanagawa, Japan

Address for correspondence: Tamaki Ichikawa, Department of Radiology, Tokai University School of Medicine, 143 Shimokasuya, Isehara, Kanagawa, 259-1193, Japan, tel: 81-463-93-1121, fax: 81-463-93-6827, e-mail: tamaki-i@is.icc.u-tokai.ac.jp

Abstract

Background: Retrocaval ureter is a rare congenital anomaly resulting from anomalous development of inferior vena cava (IVC) and not from anomalous of the ureter. The anomaly always occurs on the right side due to regression of right supracardinal vein and persistence of right posterior cardinal vein. Retrocaval ureter tends to be associated with various vena cava anomalies because of the embryogenesis. We aimed to identify the prevalence of associated congenital venous anomalies (CVA) resulting from cardinal vein development in adults with retrocaval ureter using computed tomography (CT) images.

Materials and methods: The study included 22 adults with retrocaval ureter. We evaluated CT findings and determined the incidence of associated CVA using thin

slice data sets from CT scanner with 64 or more detectors. We compared the prevalence of CVA in the retrocaval ureter group (mean age: 57±19 years) and in the control group of 6189 adults with normal ureter (mean age: 66±14 years).

Results: In the retrocaval ureter group, 4 adults (18.2 %) had CVA including double IVC, right double IVC, preisthmic IVC with horseshoe kidney, and preaortic iliac confluence. One of 2 adults with preaortic iliac confluence had right double right IVC. In the control group, 49 adults (0.79%) had CVA including 37 double IVCe, 11 left IVCe, and 1 IVC interruption azygos continuation. Fifteen horseshoe kidneys were found. The prevalence of associated CVA in the retrocaval ureter group was higher than that in the control group ($p < 0.001$).

Conclusions: Retrocaval ureter is frequently associated with CVA. Various CVA with retrocaval ureter could happen because of abnormal development of not only the right posterior or supra cardinal vein but also other cardinal veins.

Key words: retrocaval ureter, vena cava, congenital venous anomaly

INTRODUCTION

Retrocaval ureter, also known as circumcaval ureter, or preureteral vena cava, is a congenital condition characterized by the persistence of the posterior cardinal vein on the right, which causes the proximal ureter to deviate medially, behind the inferior vena cava (IVC), before resuming its natural course anteriorly and laterally [1,9,13,14,17]. Many authors prefer using the term “preureteral vena cava”, as the cause of this variant is IVC developmental abnormality, and not a ureteral one [9,13,14,15,16, 21]. The prevalence of retrocaval ureter was reported as 0.06%-0.27% and the overall prevalence was 0.13% [2, 14]. Males are affected by retrocaval ureter about three times more often than females [2, 4]. Bateson and Atkibson distinguished two types of retrocaval ureters: type 1 of low loop (S or ‘fish-hook’ deformity), in which the ureter crosses behind the IVC at the level of the L3 vertebra, and type 2 of high loop (sickle-shaped deformity), in which the renal pelvis and the upper ureter lie horizontally [2]. The low loop type is more common than the

high loop type and has a moderate or severe hydronephrosis [2]. From a clinical standpoint, many cases of retrocaval ureter are asymptomatic, and only detected incidentally using imaging techniques [9, 13, 16]. CT elegantly depicts the abnormal course of the ureter [13]. When present, the symptoms are usually abdominal pain and hematuria due to ureteral obstruction or urinary infection [1, 2, 13, 16, 26]. Retrocaval ureter has been associated with other local or general congenital abnormalities including horseshoe kidney, right double IVC, contralateral kidney agenesis, preaortic venous confluence [1-3, 7, 9-16, 20, 21, 23, 27, 30]. The associations are related to the development of cardinal veins. A review of the literature by Perimenis et al. revealed that 21% of the cases of retrocaval ureter present with concomitant abnormalities mainly from the cardiovascular system and the genitourinary tract [20]. There are many case reports about congenital association: however, the prevalence of the associated congenital venous anomalies (CVA) and clinical features were not evaluated in case series. The purpose of this article was to identify the prevalence of the associated CVA in patients with retrocaval ureter using CT data, and to emphasize its clinical importance.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The institutional ethics committee approved this retrospective study and granted a waiver for the requirement of informed consent.

Our study included 22 adults with retrocaval ureter (17 males and 5 females, mean age: 57 ± 19 years old) and 6189 adults with a normal urinary system (3382 males and 2807 females, mean age: 66 ± 14 years old) in the control group. The control group was selected using the inclusion and exclusion criteria depicted in Fig. 1. Cases with retrocaval ureter were searched using the key words “retrocaval ureter”, “circumcaval ureter”, “postcaval ureter” and “preureteral vena cave” among the abdominal CT reports of adults between January 2008 and September 2020 in our university hospital and affiliated hospitals. We excluded case examined using non-contrast CT. Twenty-two adults with retrocaval ureter underwent contrast enhanced chest-to- abdominal CT between January 2008 and September 2020 in our university

hospital and affiliated hospitals. CVA resulting from cardinal vein development and CRA were evaluated using CT images (0.5-3 mm) on a picture archiving and communication system (PACS) workstation (SDS viewer, NOBORI Ltd. Tokyo, Japan). For retrocaval ureter group, acquired renal diseases were assessed using CT and medical records. We evaluated side and shape of the retrocaval ureter. We used classification of retrocaval ureter on the shape by Beteson and Atkibson [2].

CT was performed using a 64 to 128-slice scanner (SOMATOM Forsee, SOMATOM Definition Flash, SOMATOM Definition Edge, Siemens AG, Munich, Germany) at a slice thickness of 0.5 mm. The other parameters were as follows: tube voltage, 60-120 kVp; tube current, auto mA; and rotation time, 0.5 second. Contrast-enhanced CT examinations were performed by injecting 2 ml/kg of nonionic contrast material at a rate of 2 ml/s with scanning delay of 120 seconds. CT urography was performed with more than 300 seconds of scanning delay.

Two radiologists with more than 20 years' experience each in CT image interpretation reviewed 1 mm reconstructed axial CT images on a PACS workstation. If needed, additional multiplanar reformations, maximum injection projection and CT urography were used for the evaluations. The radiologists resolved any disagreement through discussion to reach a consensus.

We compared the prevalence of associated CVA and CRA in the retrocaval ureter and control groups using the Mann-Whitney U test. Demographic data in adults with CVA was compared between two groups by chi-square test. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 23 software. P values < .05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

In the retrocaval ureter group, 4 adults with CVA and CRA were found: 1 right double IVC (Fig. 2), 1 double IVC, 1 preisthmic IVC with horseshoe kidney (Fig. 3), and 2 cases of preaortic iliac confluence (Fig. 4). An adult with right double IVC had preaortic iliac confluence. In the retrocaval ureter group, the prevalence of CVA and CRA per person was 18.2 % (4/22) and 4.5% (1/22).

The adults with CVA and CRA in the control group were 34 males (CVA: 24, CRA: 10) and 30 females (CVA: 25, CRA: 6), and the mean age was 59±21years old. The control group included 49 adults with CVA. All cases were IVC anomalies: 11 left IVCe (8 males, 3 females), 37 double IVCe (16 males, 21 females), 1 IVC interruption azygos continuation (1 female). Sixteen adults with CRA were found 15: horseshoe kidneys (10 males, 5 females) and 1 right renal absence (1 female). One adult with double IVC was associated congenital right renal absence and bicornuate uterus. The prevalence of CVA per person was 0.79 % (49/6189), including left IVC (0.18 %), double IVC (0.58 %), and IVC interruption azygos continuation (0.02 %). The prevalence of CRA per person was 0.26 % (16 /6189).

The prevalence of CVA and CRA per person in the retrocaval ureter group was higher than that in the control group ($p<.001$).

Male ratio associated with CVA in the RCU group was higher than that in the control group ($P=0.009$). There was no significant difference in age of adults with CVA among two groups ($P=0.06$).

Five adults with retrocaval ureter were evaluated by CT urography. The clinical and CT findings are shown in Table 1. All retrocaval ureters were on the right side with 14 low loop types and 8 high loop types. Fourteen adults including 4 with CVA in the retrocaval ureter group had asymptomatic and retrocaval ureter associated CVA were found incidentally. Seven adults with hydronephrosis were found and the patients' symptoms were flank pain and hematuria. Eight adults had acquired renal diseases. Three of them including urinary cancer treated with surgery and retroperitoneal laparoscopic approach was not performed. One adult experienced recurrent hydronephrosis with ureter stone after surgery.

DISCUSSION

Retrocaval ureter tends to be associated with IVC anomaly due to the embryological malformation of the cardinal vein [9, 13-16, 21, 27]. Ours is the

largest study of associated CVA and CRA in adults with retrocaval ureter using CT data. Our results show that the prevalence of associated CVA was 18.2 %. The prevalence of congenital IVC anomalies in the general population was reported as 0.2 %-3.0 % [3-5]. In our study the prevalence was 0.79% and the result was consistent. The prevalence of associated CVA and CRA was significantly more frequent in adults with retrocaval ureter than those with a normal ureter. The review of literature revealed by Perimenis et al. that 21% of the cases of the cases of retrocaval ureter present with congenital abnormalities mainly from the cardiovascular system and the genitourinary tract [20]. Present result was similar to that of the review article. However, they did not assess associated congenital cardiovascular diseases in detail [20]. They reported 9 horseshoe kidneys in 352 cases with retrocaval ureter with prevalence of 2.6 %, and the prevalence of CRA including renal agenesis was reported as 5.1 % [20]. The prevalence of CRA was 4.5% in our study: however, no renal agenesis was found, likely because our sample was small.

IVC anomalies in retrocaval ureter patients were left IVC, double IVC, double right IVC and preisthmic IVC with horseshoe kidney [4-7, 10-12, 15, 18, 20-24, 30]. Retrocaval ureter is usually found on the right [1, 2, 9, 13, 14, 16]. All retrocaval ureters found in our study were on the right. Left retrocaval ureter is associated with situs inversus, or duplicated or single left IVC [4, 5, 7, 20, 21, 28, 30]. In double IVC, the retrocaval ureter is present on the right or left side [4, 5, 7]. The double IVC case in our study had the right retrocaval ureter. Right double IVC is an extremely rare condition, although there are some case reports describing it [5, 6, 11, 23, 24]. Right double IVC is sometimes associated with retrocaval ureter as we observed [6,11, 23]. In the partial right double IVC, the anomalous ureter crosses thorough IVC split [6, 18].

Preisthmic IVC with retrocaval ureter is the specific finding and associated with horseshoe kidney [10, 12, 20, 29]. Because embryogenesis of the renal parenchyma and its venous drainage in the IVC occur simultaneously, it is plausible that horseshoe kidney and IVC anomalies are consequences of a shared disturbed

signal that occurs as these retroperitoneal structures' development [10, 12].

Impairment of renal ascent and rotation may affect the usual venous development [12].

Combined anomaly of retrocaval ureter and preaortic iliac confluence have been rarely reported [6, 9, 24]. In normal development, the posterior aspect forms the iliac venous confluence, but persistence of the subcardinal vein results in preaortic iliac venous confluence [24]. Shin et al. reported a case of right double IVC with combined retrocaval ureter anomalies and preaortic iliac confluence, as we observed [23].

Congenital IVR anomalies with normal ureter are more than half of left IVC on normal situs and most of them are double IVC, left IVC and IVC interruption azygos continuation [1, 13, 14]. Almost all retrocaval ureter presents on the right side because of the abnormal development of the right posterior cardinal vein. Right retrocaval ureter with double IVC and preaortic iliac confluence such those in this study have been reported [7, 24]. Various CVA with retrocaval ureter could happen because of abnormal development of not only the right posterior cardinal vein but also other cardinal veins. Variations of associated congenital IVC anomalies were different on normal or retrocaval ureter.

Associated congenital anomalies of the urinary system excluding horseshoe kidney are contralateral renal agenesis, ectopic or malrotated opposite kidney, hypospadias and absence of vas deferens [3, 9]. In our study, we only found a horseshoe kidney.

Retrocaval ureter occurs more often in male same as our results [9]. Retrocaval ureter is usually asymptomatic [9, 20]. In our study, 16 cases were incidentally found in CT examinations (76.2%). When present, symptoms most often began at ages 30- 40 [2, 26]. The mean age of our 5 symptomatic patients were 50 years old. Even though this condition is usually diagnosed in adults, there has been an increased number of case reports in recent years showing symptomatic cases in children [9]. Some patients had abdominal pain and hematuria due to hydronephrosis or urinary infection [1, 9, 13, 14, 16]. Associated urolithiasis and ureteral cancer

were reported [8, 19, 25]. Surgical management is needed when the patient is symptomatic with documented subrenal functional obstruction [1, 8, 13, 16, 19, 25]. Surgical treatment should be performed as soon as possible when severe hydronephrosis is present and the upper ureter exhibits obvious dilation that affects the function of kidney [9]. Patients with recurrent infection, secondary stones, and bleeding require urgent surgical treatment [9]. Surgical treatment involves transection and relocation of the ureter anterior to IVC [9, 16]. Laparoscopic reconstruction technique is effective and minimally invasive [16, 19, 20].

In our study, 3 patients (2 patients with renal stone and 1 patient with ureteral cancer) were treated with surgery. Two patients with mild hydronephrosis were observed. The main causes for hydronephrosis are stenosis or adhesion of the retrocaval segment and torsion [2]. All our retrocaval ureters associated CVA were low type and no hydronephrosis was seen. Degree of retrocaval ureter compression in preisthmic IVC is unclear because the anomaly is very rare. Retrocaval ureter compression in the partial right double IVC might be severe because ureter crosses through its narrow spirit. Careful observation is necessary for patients with low loop retrocaval ureter because there is marked hydronephrosis in up to 50% of the patients [2]. Caval dilatation due to aging might increase the risk of retrocaval ureter compression. Furthermore, complex anatomy of retrocaval ureter and associated CVA is troublesome on not only urological surgery but also abdominal lymphadenectomy.

Congenital IVC anomalies are one of the risk factors of in the development of deep venous thrombosis [10, 22]. Thrombophlebitis in deep venous thrombosis might be increased stenosis and adhesion between retrocaval ureter and IVC. Asymptomatic retrocaval ureter without hydronephrosis is incidentally detected in CT scans. Even though this is a rare condition, high quality CT images with thin slice data reveal the anomaly in detail: CT urography is especially effective (Fig. 1, 4). Understanding of the retrocaval ureter and associated CVA is important, and radiologists should be pointed out the exact anatomy.

Our study has some limitations. First, the sample size was too small to evaluate associated diseases. Second, all cases could not be evaluated using CT urography. High loop retrocaval ureter might not be detected at the delayed phase on contrast-enhanced CT. Furthermore, a large study including long-term follow up is necessary to evaluate congenital and acquired disease.

CONCLUSIONS

We identified the prevalence of associated CVA and CRA in patients with retrocaval ureter using CT data was about 20%. Various CVA with retrocaval ureter were found resulting from abnormal development of not only the right posterior cardinal vein but also other cardinal veins.

Acknowledgements

Authors thank Dr. Shuichi Kawada and Dr. Hiroshi Yamamuro for their technical support.

Conflict of interest: None declared

REFERENCES

1. Bass JE, Redwin MD, Kramer LA, et al. Spectrum of Congenital Anomalies of the Inferior Vena Cava: Cross-sectional Imaging Findings. *Radiographics*. 2000; 20(3): 639-652, doi:10.1148/radiographics.20.3.g00ma09639, index of Pubmed: 10835118
2. Bateson EM, Atkinson D. Circumcaval ureter: A new classification. *Clin Radiol*. 1969; 20(2): 173-177, doi: 10.1016/s0009-9260(69) 80166-2, index of Pubmed: 5771632
3. Cardoza F, Shambhulinga CK, Rajeevan AV. Retrocaval ureter and contra lateral renal agenesis - a case report and review of literature. *Int. Braz. J Urol*. 2016; 42(4): 842-844, doi: 10.1590/S1677-5538.IBJU.2015.0549, index of Pubmed: 27564299
4. Chou CT, Yang AD, Hong YC, et al. Bilateral retrocaval ureters with IVC duplication. *Abdom Imaging*. 2006; 31(5):596-597, doi: 10.1007/s00261-005-0122-1, index of Pubmed: 16534551
5. Dudekula A, Prabhu SD. A Rare Case of Right Retrocaval Ureter with Duplication of Infrarenal IVC. *Case Rep Radiol*. 2014; 2014: 345712, doi: 10.1155/2014/345712, index of Pubmed: 25478276
6. Gong J, Jiang H, Liu T, et al. Imaging of partial right double vena cava with ureter crossing through

- its split, confirmed at surgery. *Clinical Imaging*. 2011; 35(2): 148-150, doi: 10.1016/j.clinimag.2010.12.001, index of Pubmed: 21377055
7. Gupta P, Khullar M, Sharma R, et al. A rare presentation of the double inferior vena cava with an anomalous retrocaval right ureter: embryogenesis and clinical implications. *Clin Diagn Res*. 2013; 7(3): 518-521, doi: 0.7860/JCDR/2013/4576.2810, index of Pubmed: 23634409
8. Guttilla A, Fiorello M, Fulcoli V, et al. A Case of retrograde treatment of a ureteral stone in a retrocaval ureter. *J Endourology Case Reports*.2018; 4(1): 198-200, doi: 10.1089/cren.2018.0061, index of Pubmed: 30671541
9. Hostiuc S, Rusu MC, Negoii I, et al. Retrocaval ureter: a meta-analysis of prevalence. *Surgical and Radiol Anatomy* 2019; 41(11): 1377-1382, doi: 10.1007/s00276-019-02269-w, index of Pubmed: 31201483
10. Ichikawa T, Kawada S, Koizumi J, et al. Anomalous inferior vena cava associated with horseshoe kidney on multidetector computed tomography. 2013; *Clin Imaging* 37(5):889–894, doi: 10.1016/j.clinimag.2013.03.005, index of Pubmed: 23849103
11. Ichikawa T, Kawada S, Yamashita T, et al. A case of right double inferior vena cava with circumcaval ureter. *Jpn J Radiol*.2014 32(7); 421-424, doi: 10.1007/s11604-014-0312-2, index of Pubmed: 24760202
12. Kehagias DT, Gouliamos AD, Vlahos LJ. Horseshoe kidney associated with anomalous inferior vena cava. *Eur Radiol*. 1999; 9(5): 935-936, doi: 10.1007/s003300050770, index of Pubmed: 10369994
13. Kandpal H, Sharma R, Gamangatti S, et al. Imaging the inferior vena cava: a road less traveled. *Radiographics* 2008; 28(3):669-689, doi: 10.1148/rg.283075101, index of Pubmed: 18480478
14. Kellman GM, Alpern MB, Sandler MA et al. Computed tomography of vena caval anomalies with embryologic correlation. *Radiographics* 1998; 8(3): 533-556, doi: 10.1148/radiographics.8.3.3380993, index of Pubmed: 3380993
15. Lautin EM, Haramati N, Frager D, et al. CT diagnosis of circumcaval ureter. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1988; 150(3): 591-594, doi: 10.2214/ajr.150.3.591, index of Pubmed: 3257616
16. Li HZ, Ma X, Qi L, et al. Retroperitoneal laparoscopic ureteroureterostomy for retrocaval ureter: report of 10 cases and literature review. 2010; 76(4): 873-876, doi: 10.1016/j.urology.2009.12.056 index of Pubmed: 20381833
17. Lin HY, Chou YH, Huang SP, et al. Retrocaval ureter: report of two cases and literature review. *Kaohsiung J Med Sci*. 2003; 19(3):127-131, doi:10.1016/S1607-551X(09)70460-7, index of Pubmed: 12751873
18. Nagashima T, Lee J, Andoh K, et al. Right double inferior vena cava: Report of 5 cases and literature review. *J Comput Assist Tomogr*. 2006; 30(4): 642-645, doi: 10.1097/00004728-200607000-

00014, index of Pubmed: 16845296

19. Noda Y, Naiki T, Naiki-Ito A, et al. Rare case of carcinoma in situ originated in right retrocaval ureter successfully managed with laparoscopic procedure. *IJU Case Rep.* 2020; 3: (4)128-131, doi: 10.1002/iju5.12163, index of Pubmed: 33392470

20. Perimenis P, Gyftopoulos K, Athanasopoulos A, et al. Retrocaval ureter and associated abnormalities. *Inter Urology and nephrology.* 2002; 33(1): 19-22, doi: 10.1023/a:1014436432109, index of Pubmed: 12090330

21. Pierro JA, Soleimanpour M, Bory JL. Left retrocaval ureter associated with left inferior vena cava. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1990; 155(3): 545–546, doi: 10.2214/ajr.155.3.2117354, index of Pubmed: 2117354

22. Sakellaris G, Tilemis S, Papakonstantinou O, et al. G Deep venous thrombosis in a child associated with an abnormal inferior vena cava. *Acta Pediatr.*2005; 94(2): 242-244, doi: 10.1111/j.1651-2227.2005.tb01900.x, index of Pubmed: 15981763

23. Shin M, Lee JB, Park SB, et al. Right double inferior vena cava associated with retrocaval ureter: computed tomographic findings in two cases. *Clinical Imaging.* 2014; 38(3): 353-356, doi: 10.1016/j.clinimag.2013.12.012, index of Pubmed: 24513316

24. Shindo S, Kobayashi M, Kaga S, et al. Retrocaval ureter and preaortic iliac venous confluence in a patient with an abdominal aortic aneurysm. *Sutg Radiol Anat.*1999; 21(2): 147-149, doi: 10.1007/s00276-999-0147-1, index of Pubmed: 10399217

25. Simforoosh N, Nouri-Mahdavi K, Tabibi A. Laparoscopic pyelopyelostomy for retrocaval ureter without excision of the retrocaval segment: first report of 6 cases. *The Journal of Urology.*2006; 175(6): 2166-2169, doi: 10.1016/S0022-5347(06)00269-2, index of Pubmed: 16697829

26. Soundappan SVS, Barker AP. Retrocaval ureter in children: a report of two cases. *Pediatr Surg Int* 2004; 20(2):158–160, doi: 10.1007/s00383-003-1038-x, index of Pubmed: 14770320

27. Tamhankar AS, Savalia AJ, Sawant AS, et al. Transperitoneal laparoscopic repair of retrocaval ureter: Our experience and review of literature. *Urology Annals.* 2017; 9(4): 324-329, doi:10.4103/UA.UA_52_17, index of Pubmed: 29118532

28. Thirugnanasambandam V, Nayak P, Mossadeq A. Left retrocavalureter without situs inversus or inferior vena cava duplication. *Indian J Urol* 2015; 31(4):372–373, doi: 0.4103/0970-1591.166481, index of Pubmed: 26604455

29. Youssif M. Horseshoe kidney with retrocaval ureter. *Eur Urol.* 1985;11(1):61-62, doi: 10.1159/000472452, index of Pubmed: 3987751

30. Watanabe M, Kawamura S, Ishii N, et al. Left preureteral vena cava (retrocaval or circumcaval ureter) associated with partial situs inversus. *J Urol.* 1991; 145(5): 1047-1048, doi: 0.1016/s0022-5347(17)38528-2, index of Pubmed: 2016790.

Table 1: Summary of clinical and CT findings

No	Age	Sex	Cr.	CT findings			Clinical findings		
				Side of RCU	Type of RCU	Hydronephrosis	ARD	CRA	CVA
1	60	M	0.7	Right	Low	N	N	N	N
2	24	M	0.8	Right	Low	N	Renal cyst	N	N
3	25	M	1.2	Right	Low	P	Renal cysts	N	N
4	79	M	0.8	Right	High	N	Urolithiasis	N	N
5	38	M	1.1	Right	Low	N	N	N	N
6	40	M	0.8	Right	High	N	N	N	N
7	46	F	0.6	Right	High	N	N	HSK preisthmic IVC	
8	46	M	0.8	Right	High	N	N	N	DRIVC, PIC
9	71	M	0.8	Right	High	N	Renal cyst	N	PIC
10	70	F	0.8	Right	High	N	N	N	DIVC
11	50	M	0.7	Right	Low	P	N	N	N
12	55	M	0.9	Right	Low	N	Ureteral cancer	N	N
13	23	M	0.5	Right	Low	N	Urolithiasis	N	N
14	77	M	0.9	Right	Low	N	N	N	N
15	54	M	0.8	Right	Low	N	N	N	N
16	62	M	0.8	Right	Low	P	N	N	N
17	79	M	0.9	Right	High	N	N	N	N
18	73	M	0.8	Right	Low	P	N	N	N
19	60	F	0.8	Right	Low	P	N	N	N
20	74	M	0.6	Right	Low	P	N	N	N
21	76	M	1.41	Right	Low	P	N	N	N
22	77	F	0.65	Right	High	N	Urolithiasis	N	N

Cr.: creatinine, RCU: retrocaval ureter, ARD: acquired renal disease, CRA: congenital renal anomaly, CVA: congenital venous anomaly, HSK: horseshoe kidney, IVC: inferior vena cava, DRIVC: double right IVC, DIVC: double IVC, PIC: preaortic iliac confluence, M: male, F: Female, N: negative, P: positive

Fig. 1




Figure 1: Flow chart shows inclusion and exclusion criteria in the control group.

Figure 2: A case of right double inferior vena cava. a: Axial CT image shows ureter (white arrow) between right sided dorsal (black arrow) and ventral (arrowhead) vena cavae. b: Volume rendering image clearly reveals relationship among dorsal (black arrow) and ventral (arrowhead) vena cavae and retrocaval ureter (white arrow). Dotted arrow is left gonadal vein.

a



b




Figure 3: A case of preisthmic inferior vena cava (IVC). a: Axial CT image shows preisthmic IVC (white arrow) of horseshoe kidney and retrocaval ureter (black arrow). b: CT urography shows compression of the right retrocaval ureter (white arrow).

a




b



Figure 4: A case of preaortic iliac confluence. a: Axial CT image shows retrocaval ureter (black arrow) at lower level of right kidney. b: Axial CT image shows left common iliac vein (white arrow) anterior to right common iliac artery.

a



b

